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The clinical and prognostic value of motor abnormalities in psychosis, and the importance of instrumental assessment

Peter N. van Harten, Sebastian Walther, Jerillyn S. Kent, Scott R. Sponheim, Vijay A. Mittal

ABSTRACT

Motor abnormalities comprise several clinical signs intrinsic to psychosis. Critically, these features are of prognostic value in individuals at-risk for psychosis, and for those in early stages of psychotic disorders. Motor abnormalities such as tremor, rigidity, and neurological soft signs often go unrecognized. Currently, advances in this area are limited by a paucity of theoretical conceptions categorizing or linking these behaviours to underlying neurobiology affected in psychosis. However, emerging technological advances have significantly improved the ability to detect and assess motor abnormalities with objective instruments in a timely and reliable manner. Further, converging evidence has laid the groundwork for theoretically and empirically derived categorization and conceptualization. This review summarizes these advances, stressing the importance of motor abnormalities for understanding vulnerability across different stages of psychosis and introducing these innovative instrumental approaches. Patients, researchers and clinicians will benefit from these new developments, as better assessment aids the development of targeted interventions to ultimately improve the care for individuals experiencing psychosis.

1. Introduction

Motor abnormalities have been observed in chronic patients with schizophrenia, antipsychotic naïve patients in their first episode, individuals identified as at ultra high-risk (UHR) for psychosis, unaffected first-degree relatives of schizophrenia patients, schizotypal patients, and relatively healthy individuals with verbal auditory hallucinations. The predictive value of motor symptoms for the development and course of psychosis, (Cuesta et al., 2014; Mittal et al., 2008a) as well as what is known of the functional neuroanatomy of motor abnormalities, suggests that these features are an integral part of psychotic psychopathology (Fervaha et al., 2014).

Current diagnostic systems predominantly use mental, cognitive, and affective symptoms to characterize psychotic disorders. Yet, the reliability and accuracy of these traditional diagnostic techniques have been called into question because of factors such as observer bias as well as the confounding influence of particular clinical features such as suspiciousness, cognitive deficits and amotivation. Further, the current diagnostic system weighs positive symptoms heavily, but de-emphasizes critical cognitive and negative symptoms categories, which may be more closely tied to the underlying pathophysiology of psychosis. In this context, it is important to consider that growing evidence indicates that motor abnormalities may make up an objectively measurable symptom dimension of psychosis. Importantly, motor abnormalities can be quantified with innovative instruments, yielding sensitive, valid and reliable data. Also, portable devices allow for continuous monitoring of motor abnormalities outside the clinic and enable the examination of how motor abnormalities may predict aspects of everyday functioning.

In this review we provide a comprehensive primer on motor abnormalities in psychosis (see Table 1 and Fig. 1), discuss related diagnostic, prognostic and treatment value, and describe relationships with cognitive and affective symptoms observed in psychotic disorders. We also discuss new technical developments in the assessment of motor function and future directions in the study of motor abnormalities across a spectrum of psychotic disorders.
### Table 1
Definitions (including some comments) of motor abnormalities studied in psychosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motor Abnormality</th>
<th>Motor Abnormality</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypokinetic</td>
<td>Dyskinesia</td>
<td>Involuntary movements most commonly seen in the limbs, mouth, or tongue resembling either chorea (i.e., flinging, jerking) or athetosis (i.e., writhing) (Mittal et al., 2011a; Mittal et al., 2010b; Pappa and Dazzan, 2009) (see Figs. 1C and 3B-1).</td>
<td>• Historically, both spontaneous and antipsychotic medication induced (i.e., tardive) dyskinesia have been measured via rating scales (e.g., AIMS, DISCUS) developed to assess for tardive dyskinesia, wherein observers code for the presence and severity of dyskinetic movement (e.g., slow, irregular movement of wrists, hands, or fingers; puckering; lip smacking) (Guy, 1976; Kalachnik and Sprague, 1993).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyperkinetic Dyskinesia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Involuntary movements most rhythmic and oscillatory (Deuschl et al., 1998) (see Fig. 1B).</td>
<td>• Instrumental measures sensitive to more subtle manifestations of dyskinesia have been developed and successfully implemented (for example see Fig. 3B-2). These more subtle manifestations of dyskinesia have been assessed during voluntary movement tasks and include force variability secondary to irregular muscle contractions (Caligiuri et al., 1997; Cortese et al., 2005; Mittal et al., 2011a) and dysfluent movement secondary to disorganized muscle activity (Caligiuri et al., 2010; Teulings et al., 1997).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>Involuntary movement that is rhythmic and oscillatory (Deuschl et al., 1998) (see Fig. 1B).</td>
<td>• In the motor abnormalities in literature about schizophrenia, tremor is described and conceptualized as one of several parkinsonian symptoms (see below for hypokinetic parkinsonian symptoms rigidity and bradykinesia) (Pappa and Dazzan, 2009).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catatonia</td>
<td>Marked psychomotor disturbance characterized by at least 3 of the following symptoms: stupor, catalepsy, wax flexiblity, mutism, negativism, posturing, mannerism, stereotypy, agitation, grimacing, echolalia, echopraxia (Association, 2013) (hyperkinetic catatonia symptoms are discussed at right).</td>
<td>• Catatonia is a heterogeneous construct (Association, 2013), with a history of inconsistent definitions and with symptoms spanning motor, volitional, and affective domains (Walther and Strik, 2012).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Catatonia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypokinetic</td>
<td>Bradykinesia</td>
<td>Movement slowness (Marsden, 1989).</td>
<td>• As with tremor (see above), bradykinesia is rated on various clinical rating scales, and is considered a classic parkinsonian symptom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradykinesia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rigidity</td>
<td>Increased resistance in response to passive movement about a joint when relaxed (Deuschl et al., 1998); stiffness (Caligiuri et al., 1993) (see Fig. 1B).</td>
<td>• As with tremor (see above), rigidity is rated on various clinical rating scales, and is considered a classic parkinsonian symptom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rigidity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
1.1. Neuroanatomy of motor abnormalities in psychosis

A substantial proportion of the human brain is devoted to motor control and the generation of behavioural output. The frontal lobe contains a number of premotor areas, such as the cingulate motor area (CMA), supplementary motor area (SMA) and pre-supplementary motor area (pre-SMA), as well as the primary motor cortex (M1). These motor areas are tightly interconnected and further receive input from, and project to, the cerebellum, thalamus and the basal ganglia (see Fig. 2). The basal ganglia include caudate, putamen, internal globus pallidus (GPi), external globus pallidus (GPe) the subthalamic nucleus (STN) and substantia nigra. Several somatotopically organized but functionally segregated cortico-subcortical loops connect the basal ganglia with frontal cortical areas (Obeso et al., 2014). Important motor loops additionally connect the basal ganglia with the cerebellum (Bostan et al., 2010). The cortico-subcortical loops are organized in motor, associative (cognitive), and limbic (emotional) domains, contributing to controlling movement, cognition, reward and emotions. Even though these loops are segregated, information is shared between the loops, and in the event that one of these circuits becomes dysfunctional each of these domains may become disturbed. Thus, predominantly motor disorders such as Parkinson’s and Huntington’s disease may also include mental and cognitive symptoms and predominantly psychiatric disorders such as psychotic disorders also have motor disturbances (Obeso et al., 2014).

Psychotic disorders are associated with both structural and

---

Table 1 provides definitions of and a brief orientation to many of the various motor abnormalities that have been studied in psychosis. All the motor abnormalities outlined in the table have at least some degree of evidence of occurring independent of medication effects, for example through studies examining unmedicated or first-episode individuals with schizophrenia, archival or retrospective data of individuals with schizophrenia prior to conversion, at-risk populations (i.e. first degree relatives, individuals identified as ultrahigh risk for conversion), spectrum populations (i.e. schizotypal personality disorder, individuals with psychotic-like experiences), or individuals with schizophrenia in the pre-antipsychotic era. This table is not meant to be exhaustive, and it would be out of the scope of the current paper to review the evidence for spontaneity for each motor sign. Rather, the intent of the table is to provide important background information on the complex field of motor abnormalities in schizophrenia for researchers and clinicians who may not be familiar with this area outside of the context of antipsychotic medication effects. Examples of many of these motor abnormalities are illustrated in Figs. 1 and 3 (the text of Table 1 will reference the relevant figure and panel as applicable).
Fig. 1. Illustrations of Motor Abnormalities Common in Psychosis.
A. The female in this illustration is depicting issues with balance. B. The male in this figure is showing motor signs consistent with Parkinsonism including rigidity in the trunk, shuffling gait, and tremor in the hands. C. The adolescent male is exhibiting torticollis, which is defined by asymmetrical/abnormal head/neck beyond the normal position. This can occur in a jerking/involuntary manner, as depicted in the illustration, or develop slowly and remain in a fixed position. D. The adult female in this panel is illustrating a gesture-speech mismatch, which occurs when the semantic content of the spoken message is misaligned with the gesture. In this case, the individual is pointing in one direction, to describe a car traveling in the opposite direction.

Fig. 2. Brain motor areas.
functional alterations of the cerebral motor system, including aberrant white matter microstructure in motor tracts, as well as abnormalities in the shape, size, perfusion patterns, and functional activation of the basal ganglia. Some of these alterations are linked to motor abnormalities (for review see (Hirjak et al., 2015; Walther, 2015; Walther and Strik, 2012)). But currently it is unclear whether the pathophysiology of motor abnormalities in psychoses is shared with similar phenomena noted in primary movement disorders, such as Parkinson’s with dopamine (DA) dysfunction in the substantia nigra and putamen or Huntington’s disease with abnormal DA activity in the striatum. The neuroimaging literature on motor abnormalities in psychoses is still evolving, but there has been initial mapping of motor signs onto structural and functional data. Dysfunction is one of the more frequent motor abnormalities in psychosis and is thought to arise from errant striatopallidal activity disinhibiting thalamocortical projections (Obeso et al., 2014). Spontaneous dyskinesia (i.e., dyskinesia that occurs as a function of pathophysiology, and not medication side-effects) has been related to reduced volumes of the putamen in individuals at risk (Mittal et al., 2010a; Mittal et al., 2013), while altered white matter properties have been noted proximal to the basal ganglia in individuals with tardive dyskinesia (Bai et al., 2009). Tourette’s syndrome may share abnormal movements, stereotypies and echopraxia with catatonia in psychotic patients and is thought to result from aberrant network activity in multiple cortico-basal ganglia loops (Obeso et al., 2014). Catatonia includes multiple signs such as primary motor (hyperkinetic or hypokinetic) and volitional (e.g. negativism, automatic obedience) abnormalities. fMRI studies have revealed reduced activation in catatonic patients during finger tapping tasks in SMA and M1(Walther, 2015), and a current catatonic state has been associated with relative hyperperfusion of the SMA, particularly in retarded catatonia (Walther et al., 2016a, 2016b). No study has reported on cerebral correlates of parkinsonism in psychosis (Walther, 2015). Finally, neurological soft signs have been linked to reduced fMRI activation in inferior frontal gyrus, putamen and cerebellum, as well as to reduced grey matter volume in SMA, parietal cortex, thalamus, and cerebellum (Hirjak et al., 2015; Walther, 2015; Zhao et al., 2014). It is also important to note that during nonverbal communication the motor system is involved when processing gestures (Stegmayer et al., 2016a; Walther and Mittal, 2016). Relevant brain areas to receptive communication include SMA, M1, parietal areas serving spatial processing and language related areas devoted to semantic processing such as inferior frontal gyrus and superior temporal gyrus (see Fig. 2).

1.2. The relevance of motor abnormalities to psychotic symptomatology

Psychotic disorders and schizotypal personality disorder in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual – Fifth Edition (DSM-5) classification system are characterized by positive, negative, and cognitive symptoms and also ‘grossly disorganized or abnormal motor behaviour’ (Association, 2013). The focus of diagnostic interviews is typically on hallucinations, delusions, disorganized thought processes and the role of mood states, but less on motor symptoms (Kay et al., 1987; Leuch et al., 2005). This is despite investigations of motor abnormalities in antipsychotic naive individuals with varying levels of psychotic symptomatology that provide evidence for (i) an association between motor abnormalities and other psychotic symptomatology, (ii) the strengthening of the association with progression toward disorder onset, and (iii) that motor abnormalities predict social and role functional (i.e. occupational) impairment later in the disorder. Specifically, associations between motor abnormalities and clinical and cognitive symptoms have been found in antipsychotic naive patients in their first episode of psychosis and in UHR individuals (Cortese et al., 2005; Koning et al., 2011b, 2011c; Koning et al., 2010; Mittal et al., 2008b). The correlation has also been found in patients with schizotypy, siblings of patients with schizophrenia, in relatively healthy participants with verbal auditory hallucinations, in the general population (a correlation was found between psychotic like experiences and motor abnormalities) and in healthy children from the general population (a correlation was found between motor abnormalities and psychosis proneness) (Kindler et al., 2016; Koning et al., 2011b, 2011c; Mittal et al., 2011a). Secondly, work with UHR individuals has revealed that the magnitude of the association between motor abnormalities and prodromal symptoms increases over time (Mittal et al., 2008b).

Thirdly, the predictive value of motor abnormalities has been studied in antipsychotic naive patients in their first episode of psychosis. For example, the incidence of spontaneous Parkinsonism at baseline has been observed to predict cognitive impairment and neurological soft signs 6 months later in psychosis, and further, greater severity of parkinsonism has been found to be related to higher cognitive impairment (Cuesta et al., 2014). Correct expression of hand gestures was found to predict functional outcome and negative symptoms in schizophrenia, with poorer performance indicating inferior outcome(Walther et al., 2016a). Also, in an UHR population, motor abnormalities were related to poor functioning at a cross sectional and longitudinal level and motor abnormalities at baseline predicted conversion to psychosis (Cortese et al., 2005; Mittal et al., 2011b). This predictive value of motor abnormalities stresses the clinical relevance of motor abnormalities as a core manifestation of psychotic spectrum disorders and of vulnerability to psychosis. Therefore, assessment of motor abnormalities, as part of the diagnostic process of psychotic disorders, is essential (Kendler, 2016).

1.3. Longitudinal outcome of early (childhood) and prodromal motor symptoms

Motor signs may very well be the first observable symptom of vulnerability for psychotic disorders. Fish (Fish, 1987) proposed that pandyssymaturation (disorganized motor and sensory development) reflects psychosis vulnerability in the first 2 years of life. This notion has been widely supported by archival research designs of infants and young children who later develop schizophrenia as adults, revealing a pattern of non-specific motor perturbations (Cannon et al., 2002; Fish, 1987; Marcus et al., 1985), abnormalities in coordination and dyspraxia (Schiffman et al., 2015) and delays in milestones such as smiling, lifting head, sitting, crawling, standing walking and potty-training (Isobanni et al., 2004; Sorensen et al., 2010). A landmark series of innovative studies focusing on the infant and childhood home-movies of adulthood schizophrenia patients observed many of these noted deficits, as well as evidence for signs of more specific striatal-related motor dysfunction such as choreoathetoid movements and posturing of the upper limbs (Walker, 1994). In one of the only studies of its kind, these same investigators found childhood motor abnormalities were also linked to adult ventricular enlargement (Walker et al., 1996).

Some research nonetheless suggests that after age two, specific and diffuse motor signs are no longer distinguishable from control cases (e.g., infancy/childhood videotapes of unaffected siblings or community controls) (Walker et al., 1994). One possible reason is that the abnormalities are more easily detected as motor skills are in peak ascendance (Walker et al., 1994). The field is currently limited by inherent drawbacks of retrospective designs that make it difficult to detail the developmental course of motor abnormalities. For example, other archival designs examining early video-data indicate poor general motor skill development but not involuntary movements such as dyskinesias in older male children age 11–13 (Schiffman et al., 2004). It is clear that the precise pattern of early motor dysfunction development remains unknown.

The broader literature suggests that several factors affect the predictive value of motor signs in this childhood stage. First, studies have shown that motor development aberrations more strongly predict later psychosis when the child has a parent with a psychotic disorder than when the parents are healthy (Erlenmeyer-Kimling et al., 2000; Rosso et al., 2000; Schiffman et al., 2004). This is a critical point: abnormal
motor behaviours in young children in the general population are not a good predictive marker of schizophrenia, as many children will show these signs and fail to develop psychosis, and many individuals with psychosis will not have histories of these behaviours. The predictive utility appears to be limited to populations at risk (i.e., genetic risk or prodromal syndrome) for developing psychosis. Second, the type of motor behaviour seems to be important. For example, Rosso and colleagues (Rosso et al., 2000) examined a large birth-cohort sample (including adults with schizophrenia, unaffected siblings, and non-psychiatric controls), and observed that deviation in motor coordination measured at seven years predicted both schizophrenia and unaccompanied controls), and observed that deviation in motor coordination measured at seven years predicted both schizophrenia and unaffected sibling status (indicating a co-familial and perhaps genetic vulnerability), but also noted that unusual movements at ages four and seven predicted the adult schizophrenia status alone (indicating that these movements may be tied uniquely to pathophysiology). There is also evidence that sex differences may play a role in predictive utility. Specifically, a study evaluating early motor behaviours in a Danish high-risk cohort (following offspring of a parent with schizophrenia from childhood through adulthood) determined that general neuro-motor functioning among boys alone (e.g., difficulty manipulating silverware, observed during a videotaped lunch period) predicted later onset of schizophrenia in adulthood (Schiffman et al., 2004). However, more work, aimed at mechanism, is sorely needed to examine this interesting direction. Further, it is also possible that the motor signs remain predictive across early development, but our current strategies for assessing early stages are not sufficiently sensitive to capture subtleties of early development. While one solution is to employ new instrumental measures at these earlier periods in prospective studies, another strategy is to target broader and more proximal indices of motor skills. For example, performance in curricula requiring proficient motor skills shows promise as a predictive variable (Isohann et al., 2004).

The predictive value of motor signs appears to take on increased significance during the adolescent period, particularly in youth meeting criteria for a high-risk/prodromal syndrome. Perhaps the predictive value is stronger because it is during this period that the DA abnormalities characteristic of psychosis appear to become significant (Egerton et al., 2013); it is possible that because the DA activity in the same basal ganglia circuits also governs motor activity, observable aberrations in motor behaviour (e.g., spontaneous dyskinesia) represent sensitive prognostic indicators (Mittal et al., 2010a). Indeed, research in this period suggests that spontaneous dyskinesias are closely tied to striatal volume decrease (Mittal et al., 2010b) and symptoms. (Kindler et al., 2016; Mittal et al., 2007a) Further, the presence of these motor signs predicts conversion to psychosis within a narrow 2-year window (Callaway et al., 2014; Mittal and Walker, 2007). Other motor abnormalities also appear to be relevant in this population. For example, recent findings suggest that sensorimotor integration deficits are tied to aberrant cerebellar white matter-track development and progressive negative symptoms over a one-year period (Mittal et al., 2014), and both deficits in motor learning and postural sway (both tied to the cerebellum as well) are linked to the longitudinal increase in negative symptoms (Bernard et al., 2014; Dean et al., 2014; Dean and Mittal, 2015). This series of findings is exciting as it speaks to broad prognostic value (with significant translational potential) as well as the promise for distinct motor behaviours to speak to unique subtypes of psychosis. It also reaffirms the assertion that motor abnormalities appear to be a function of pathophysiology in psychotic disorders, and not exclusively a medication side effect.

### 1.4. Instrumental assessment of motor abnormalities

In contrast to other psychotic symptoms, motor abnormalities are visible to trained clinicians. This offers the possibility of alternative assessment techniques. In clinical practice a systematic evaluation of motor abnormalities is recommended. Assessments in both clinical and research settings have historically used clinical examination and various rating scales. Common rating scales are summarized in the supplementary material Table 1. However, rating scales are prone to observer bias and are not designed to detect subclinical motor abnormalities. Further, to be accurately used intensive rater training is required.

Video recordings have allowed for improved intrater reliability, but do not remove the potential for inconsistencies and errors.

Several instruments have recently been developed for measuring various motor abnormalities. Instrumental measurement has great advantages as it lacks observer bias, is highly reliable, very sensitive, may detect subclinical motor abnormalities, and requires little training. In addition, results from instruments are linearly related to severity of the motor abnormality (in contrast with ordinal outcomes of clinical rating scales) and direct data processing is possible. Also portable devices can be developed, allowing long-term real world assessment. In combination with the Experience Sampling Method (ESM) a motor-mental-cognitive real world assessment of psychotic symptoms may be possible (Bakker et al., 2013).

Instrumental measurement has already been used in research settings with various populations such as patients with psychotic disorders (Caligiuri et al., 1997; Walther et al., 2009), individuals at UHR for psychosis (Dean and Mittal, 2015; Mittal et al., 2007b), bipolar disorder (Bolbecker et al., 2011), schizotypal personality disorder (Neumann and Walker, 2003), siblings of patients with schizophrenia (Koning et al., 2011b), healthy individuals hearing voices (Willems et al., 2016), and healthy individuals taking 2 mg of haloperidol (Logemann et al., 2017). Table 2 lists several instruments used to measure various motor abnormalities. With accelerometers and gyroscopes integrated into smartphones, mobile applications that measure motor abnormalities have already been developed (e.g., assessment of tremor). With available pressure-sensitive displays, applications that can measure other motor abnormalities such as dyskinesia will be developed in the near future.

As noted, instrumental measures are highly sensitive, and can detect the same abnormalities that would be identified using traditional observer-based methods, as well as subthreshold abnormalities that might

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Motor abnormality measured</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Force variability</td>
<td>Dy�nesia</td>
<td>Tested on reliability and validity (Caligiuri et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handwriting kinematics</td>
<td>Dy�nesia</td>
<td>Figure B2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Several apps, ‘StudyMyTremor’</td>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>Use accelerometers embedded in smartphones. Quantify frequency and amplitude of tremor (Senova et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertial sensors</td>
<td>Bradykinesia</td>
<td>Tested on reliability and validity (Mentzel et al., 2016a; Mentzel et al., 2016b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Neurological Soft Signs</td>
<td>Figure A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glove</td>
<td>Gestures</td>
<td>Used in combination with virtual reality environment to improve joint attention in patients with pervasive developmental disorder (Cheng and Huang, 2012; Walther and Mittal, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actigraphy</td>
<td>Total activity counts, Restlessness</td>
<td>Tested on reliability and validity; can be used to measure (i) daily activity/restlessness, (ii) antipsychotic-induced akathisia, (iii) restless legs syndrome (Janno et al., 2008; Tuisku et al., 2005; Tuisku et al., 2004; Walther et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be missed by trained raters (Cortese et al., 2005). Further, instrumental measurements are highly useful in tracking development, where the same underlying vulnerability in the motor system may present differently at different stages. For example, clumsiness (Fig. 3: A-1) is more easily identifiable while motor skills are in ascendance, and can be more difficult to distinguish in later developmental periods. However, the same underlying cerebellar vulnerability remains present, and the more subtle related motor behaviours such as postural sway can be detected with sensitive instrumental balance scales (Fig. 3: A-2). Similarly, some research suggests that athetoidic movements, reflective of vulnerable striatal circuit, are present in young children who later develop schizophrenia as adults (Fig. 3: B-1), but in later childhood, this type of behaviour is no longer detectable (Walker et al., 1994). However, the innervated striatal system remains present, and instrumental approaches, such as handwriting kinematics, focusing on related motor variables (Fig. 3 B-2) can tap into the same vulnerability (Dean and Mittal, 2015; Dean et al., 2013).

2. Innovations in motor assessment

Despite much advancement there remain outstanding questions about motor abnormalities in psychosis, as well as future directions to be explored. Panel 1 outlines a few examples of critical questions and controversies in the field, and future directions are discussed below.

2.1. Mental-cognitive-motor network

The network approach is built on the idea that psychopathology can be perceived as the interaction of affective, cognitive, and behavioural components that form, together with personal and environmental risk factors, a dynamic psychometric framework (Isvoranu et al., 2016). There are several reasons to add motor abnormalities as one of the components in the network approach. As reviewed above, motor abnormalities have a predictive value, correlate with mental and cognitive symptoms and can be measured instrumentally. Also, neuroimaging studies have demonstrated that some of the motor abnormalities reported in psychosis stem from structural and functional impairments within the cerebral motor system (Walther, 2015; Walther and Strik, 2012). For example, neurological soft signs and gesture abnormalities may be related to aberrant integration of motor and sensory information (Mittal et al., 2014; Stegmayer et al., 2016a; Zhao et al., 2014). It could be that delayed or imperfect network maturation is responsible for motor abnormalities in psychosis. If so, longitudinal studies in adolescents at risk may demonstrate this link. Such studies should include the simultaneous measurement of motor behaviour (with wearable devices during the day) and psychiatric symptoms (e.g., with ESM). This will yield insight into the sequence of symptoms, possible etiologic factors, and the role of motor abnormalities in the progression from prodrome to psychosis (Bakker et al., 2013).
2.2. New targets for instrumentation and intervention

In the neurodevelopmental theory of psychotic disorders, abnormal brain development is considered to be a contributing factor to the disorder. The following motor phenomena have been related to the risk of psychotic disorders later in life (Khandaker et al., 2014) and are amenable to instrumental assessment.

2.2.1. Gesture

Gesture as defined in Table 1 is linked with language and cognitive systems and, similar to dyskinesia and bradykinesia, gesture abnormalities have been found in all stages of the psychotic disorder (prodromal, antipsychotic naive and chronic psychosis populations) (Millman et al., 2014; Stegmayer et al., 2016b; Walther and Mittal, 2016). Gestures are part of non-verbal communication and patients with psychosis spectrum disorders often show poor social interaction, which can be hampered by abnormalities of gesture perception, interpretation, and performance (Walther et al., 2015). Compared to healthy controls, patients with schizophrenia or with schizotypal personality disorder exhibit hand gestures less frequently, (Lavelle et al., 2013; Mittal et al., 2006; Walther and Mittal, 2016) and UHR individuals show more mismatch (semantic incongruency between the content of speech and a given gesture (see Fig. 3,1b)), and retrieval (used during pauses in speech while a person appears to be searching for a word or idea) problems than controls (Bernard et al., 2015; Millman et al., 2014 Millman et al., 2014). Impaired gesture performance is closely linked to overall nonverbal communication skills in schizophrenia (Walther et al., 2015), specific hypokinetin or hyperkinetic motor abnormalities (Walther et al., 2013), negative symptoms (Lavelle et al., 2013; Millman et al., 2014; Walther et al., 2015; Walther et al., 2013), and grey matter reduction (Stegmayer et al., 2016a) Instrumental measurement of dyskinesia, based on force variability, was developed by Caligiuri as early as the nineties (Caligiuri et al., 1997) and employs an easy to use device that is shown in the video (video link here).

2.2.2. Balance/Coordination

Several studies suggest that not only basal ganglia but also cerebellar abnormalities contribute to motor abnormalities in the prodromal phase and full clinical disorder, and may also contribute to cognitive disturbances. Increased postural sway is one manifestation of cerebellar dysfunction and has been studied with a sensitive digital scale (shown in Fig. 3A2), which is able to detect subtle postural sway movements. Increased postural sway in the UHR group has been found to predict changes in negative symptoms. Such a link between cerebellar-sensitive behaviour and mental symptoms raises the possibility of a biological marker that is present prior to the onset of psychosis (Bernard et al., 2014; Dean et al., 2014; Dean et al., 2015).

2.2.3. Neurological soft signs

Neurological soft signs have been studied intensively in patients with schizophrenia and in early detection of psychosis spectrum disorders including UHR individuals (Chan et al., 2016; Mittal et al., 2014; Mittal et al., 2007a). However, the sensitivity and specificity of neurological soft signs in the psychosis continuum is still controversial. There appears to be no widely accepted means of assessing neurological soft signs with objective instrument-based measurement. An instrument that is able to continuously monitor neurological soft signs would be a marked advance due to the increased precision and sensitivity of time series data that would be provided by this type of measurement.

2.2.4. Medication side effects

Medication, in particular psychotropics, is frequently the cause of motor side effects such as tremor, akathisia, bradykinesia, dyskinesia and dystonia. Tremor is one of the most common side effects and can be measured with mobile applications that use the smartphone's accelerometer and gyroscope signals. The signal metrics used to characterize tremor are mainly based on the magnitude of acceleration and rotation rate vectors of the device (Carignan et al., 2015; Kostikis et al., 2015). Akathisia is characterized by subjective inner restlessness and objective motor manifestations such as leg movements. Actigraphy is able to measure the objective component of akathisia (Poyurovsky et al., 2000). Bradykinesia as part of Parkinsonism can be measured with several devices such as inertial sensors as shown in the video. (Mentzel et al., 2016a) Instrumental measurement of dyskinesia, based on force variability, was developed by Caligiuri as early as the nineties (Caligiuri et al., 1997) and employs an easy to use device that is shown in the video (video link here).

3. Conclusions

Motor abnormalities are an important component of psychosis spectrum disorders and are highly amenable to objective assessment. Specifically, new electronic and software-based instruments allow reliable measurement of motor abnormalities. Patients, researchers and clinicians will benefit from these new developments, as better assessment aids the development of targeted interventions to ultimately improve the care for individuals experiencing psychosis. The next steps in investigating motor abnormalities require better models of motor abnormalities, common definitions of abnormal motor behaviours, and large-scale longitudinal measurements.
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Controversies and critical questions

Even though the association between motor abnormalities and psychosis has been increasingly studied and repeatedly demonstrated, there are some issues with motor abnormalities in the psychosis spectrum that remain poorly understood. A few examples of controversy are given below.

1. There is little data on the longitudinal progression of spontaneous movement abnormalities and how these abnormalities are influenced
by antipsychotic medication. One study suggests very heterogeneous courses, i.e. some patients experiencing deterioration, some improvement while in others motor abnormalities remain unaffected by antipsychotics (Peralta and Cuesta, 2010). Much more work on this clinically highly relevant topic is needed.

2. With the current understanding of functional neuroanatomy in the motor system it is hard to explain how hyperkinetic and hypokinetic motor abnormalities may exist in the same individual at the same time. Even though a sequential appearance of different motor abnormalities in one person could be attributed to aberrant network dynamics, the concurrent appearance of bradykinesia and dyskinesia remains mysterious.

3. It has been noted that various motor abnormalities are present across a range of psychological and developmental disorders (see Bernard and Mittal, 2015a, 2015b) and Walther & Morrens (Walther and Morrens, 2015) for discussion). While a detailed review of which motor abnormalities are present to what extent in which disorders is out of the scope of the current review, it is notable that there is appreciable overlap, with some motor abnormalities presenting across multiple disorders. For example, neurological soft signs (e.g., Goswami and colleagues(Goswami et al., 2006)), postural control dysfunction (Bolbecker et al., 2011), and instrument-measured dyskinesia and bradykinesia(Lohr and Caligiuri, 2006) have all been reported in individuals with bipolar disorder. Continued work examining these and other motor abnormalities in multiple populations will be necessary to elucidate the significance of common motor abnormalities across disorders (i.e., perhaps the same motor abnormality in multiple disorders reflects a common neural disruption or is associated with a specific transdiagnostic symptom domain).

4. The field would benefit from an integrative model accounting for various motor abnormalities.

Drug induced movement disorders: evaluation

This examination for drug induced movement disorders takes 3–5 min. Therefore, it can easily be integrated into everyday clinical practice. Ask your patient to sit in a chair preferable without armrests, and explain that some medicines can cause movement disorders and that a short evaluation can establish if that is the case.

Anamnesis

General

a) Do you have anything in your mouth (e.g., candy)? If so, please remove it.

b) Are you currently having any problems with your teeth or dentures?

c) How do you tolerate the medicine? Have you experienced any side effects?

d) Have you experienced movements over which you have no control? Did this happen before? Did it occur after you started taking the medicine?

Dyskinesia/dystonia

a) Have you noticed that the muscles around your mouth, lips, jaw or tongue sometimes move on their own without your wanting them to? Do any other muscles move of their own accord, for example your hands/fingers, feet/toes, neck, face, eyelids?

b) Have you noticed muscles spasm sometimes? Did your head tilt to the side? Did your eyes close involuntary? Did your eyes turn up involuntary or anything similar?

c) Do you have problems eating, swallowing, talking, writing, walking, getting dressed, hygiene or anything similar? Do the involuntary movements cause it?

Parkinsonism

a) Are you slower than usual? Are movements more difficult, do you feel stiff?

b) Has your voice changed, less understandable, less loud?

c) Have you noticed that you make more saliva? Does it bother you?

d) Do you sometimes have problems with trembling (demonstrate resting tremor of the hand)?

e) Has your handwriting changed since you have been taking medicines?

Akathisia

Do you feel restless, particularly in your legs? Is it difficult for you to sit still? Do you tend to keep moving your legs?

Myoclonus

Explain what myoclonus is. Myoclonus is a brief, sudden jerk caused by muscle contraction. You probably recognize this, for example just before you fall asleep. Do you now experience this more frequently than before you started using medicines?

General

a) Do the symptoms change over the course of the day? If yes, how?

b) Are there specific situations that provoke, worsen or lessen the movements?

c) Are there any additional noteworthy phenomena (numbness, pain, sensory trick (reduction of dystonic movements by touching the affected or adjacent body parts))? 

d) Do the involuntary movements embarrass you?

The examination

The examination is done with the patient in a sitting position unless otherwise noted.

1) ASK YOUR PATIENT TO SIT RELAXED, WITH HANDS ON THE THIGHS.

Look for: dyskinesia, dystonia, akathisia, poverty of movement, tremor; breathing pattern (irregular? fast?).

2) ASK PATIENT TO SIT BENT OVER WITH ELBOWS ON KNEES AND ARMS HANGING BETWEEN LEGS.

Look for: tremor in the hands.

3) ASK YOUR PATIENT TO DROP HIS/HER ARMS TO THE SIDE.

Look for: dyskinesia or tremor of the hands/fingers/legs/jaw or anywhere else. In the case of dyskinesia of the hands or trunk, also observe the patient’s toes.

4) ASK YOUR PATIENT TO STRETCH HIS/HER ARMS STRAIGHT OUT IN FRONT, WITH PALMS FACING DOWN AND FINGERS SLIGHTLY SPREAD OUT.

Look for: postural tremor in the hands. A very slight tremor is often normal.

5) ASK YOUR PATIENT TO PERFORM THE FOLLOWING MOVEMENTS SEVERAL TIMES (LEFT AND RIGHT IN SUCCESSION AND AS FAST AS POSSIBLE).

Look for: poverty of movement and getting fatigued.

a. Open and shut the hand entirely.

b. Make a large scissoring motion between the thumb and index finger.

c. Make a turning movement with the hand as if screwing in a light bulb (as far back and forth).

d. Stamp the heel on the ground, raising the foot about 5 cm.

6) PROVOCATION TEST: DYSKINESIA AROUND THE MOUTH.

Ask the patient to touch the tips of the fingers with the thumb of the same hand as fast as possible.
Look for: dyskinesia around the mouth.

7) ASK THE PATIENT TO OPEN HIS/HER MOUTH AND EXAMINE THE TONGUE, IF IN DOUBT, PERFORM SAME PROVOCATION TEST. EXAMINE THE TONGUE TWICE.

Look for: turning, worm-like movements, involuntary sticking out of the tongue, sticking the tongue repeatedly in the cheek. Saliva flow? Condition of the teeth? A slight tremor when sticking out the tongue is normal.

8) BEND AND STRETCH YOUR PATIENT’S ARMS AND LEGS AND MOVE HER/HIS HEAD.

Evaluate: stiffness (rigidity), which feels like bending a lead pipe or sometimes like a rhythmic interruption while bending, as with a ratchet.

9) ASK THE PATIENT TO WALK APPROXIMATELY 20 m (FOR EXAMPLE IN THE HALL), FAR ENOUGH FOR A NORMAL GAIT TO DEVELOP.

Look for: Is standing up difficult? During walking, pay attention to the arm swing, the length of the stride, bent posture, facial expression and the development of or increase in dyskinesia’s, dystonia’s or tremors (particularly in the hands).

10) PROVOCATION TEST: AKATHISIA.

Back in the examination room, ask your patient to stand (you stand also).

Look for: the legs (walking in place, repeated contraction of the calf muscles).

11) ASK THE PATIENT TO WRITE SOMETHING AND TO DRAW AN ARCHIMEDEAN SPIRAL.

Look for: tremor, micrographia, clumsiness, and writer’s cramp. Notice any development of, or increase in dyskinesia or dystonia.
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