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ABSTRACT

Background: Since the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), a substantial increase in bile duct injury (BDI) incidence was noted. Multiple methods to prevent this complication have been developed and investigated. The most suitable method however is subject to debate. In this systematic review, the different modalities to aid in the safe performance of LC and prevent BDI are delineated.

Materials and methods: A systematic search for articles describing methods for the prevention of BDI in LC was conducted using EMBASE, Medline, Web of science, Cochrane CENTRAL and Google scholar databases from inception to 11 June 2018.

Results: 90 studies were included in this systematic review. Overall, BDI preventive techniques can be categorized as dedicated surgical approaches (Critical View of Safety (CVS), fundus first, partial laparoscopic cholecystectomy), supporting imaging techniques (intraoperative radiologic cholangiography, intraoperative ultrasonography, fluorescence imaging) and others. Dedicated surgical approaches demonstrate promising results, yet limited research is provided. Intraoperative radiologic cholangiography and ultrasonography demonstrate beneficial effects in BDI prevention, however the available evidence is low. Fluorescence imaging is in its infancy, yet this technique is demonstrated to be feasible and larger trials are in preparation.

Conclusion: Given the low sample sizes and suboptimal study designs of the studies available, it is not possible to recommend a preferred method to prevent BDI. Surgeons should primarily focus on proper dissection techniques, of which CVS is most suitable. Additionally, recognition of hazardous circumstances and knowledge of alternative techniques is critical to complete surgery with minimal risk of injury to the patient.

1. Introduction

With a number of 150–200 procedures per 100,000 inhabitants in Europe and the United States each year, cholecystectomy is one of the most common abdominal surgical procedures today, of which over 80% is performed laparoscopically [1,2]. Since the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) its superiority compared to open cholecystectomy (OC), e.g. decreased postoperative pain and shorter length of stay, was cause of its rapid and widespread implementation. Accompanying this however, was an upsurge in the occurrence of bile duct injury (BDI), a potentially life threatening complication. Compared to an average of 0.2% in OC [3,4], the incidence of BDI encountered a drastic increase after the introduction of LC, with reported rates of up to 1.5% [5–11]. At first, this aggravation was attributed to the learning curve surgeons had to deal with [10]. Yet, higher patient numbers and operator experience did not significantly decrease the incidence of BDI [12]. Since then, considerable effort has been made to improve safety in LC with a variety of methods described in literature, reducing the incidence of BDI to around 0.23% and 0.30% [13,14]. To date however, it is unclear what contribution the different methods make in the prevention of BDI, therefore rendering it difficult to identify the most suitable method.

In this systematic review, the different modalities that might aid in the realization of safe LC are outlined with emphasis on the available evidence with regard to the prevention of BDI.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Search strategy

EMBASE, Medline, Web of science, Cochrane CENTRAL and Google scholar databases were systematically searched from inception up to 11
June 2018 for articles describing possible methods to avoid BDI in LC. With the assistance of an information specialist, the search was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and limited to manuscripts written in the English language. The complete search strategy can be found in Appendix 1.

The work has been reported in line with AMSTAR (Assessing the methodological quality of systematic reviews) Guidelines.

### 2.2. Article selection and data extraction

Potentially eligible articles were reviewed by two investigators independently (IZ and FvdG). Exclusion criteria included: no description of role in BDI prevention, technical reports without study population, no full text available, non-original articles, surveys, case reports, animal or cadaveric studies, guidelines or protocols, no distinction between OC and LC, and other hepatopancreatobiliary surgery. Discrepancies between the two investigators were resolved through consensus.

The following data were extracted from the included articles:

- Study period
- Study type
- Described method of BDI prevention
- Number of included cases
- BDI rate (number of BDIs per total number of cases)
- Bile leak rate (number of bile leaks per total number of cases)
- Success rate (successful execution of the described method per total number of cases)
- Conversion rate (the number cases converted top open resection per total number of cases)
- Authors' conclusion
- Authors' viewpoint regarding the described method

The level of evidence (according to the Oxford Centre of Evidence-Based Medicine [15]) was appraised for each inclusion. Articles were categorized according to the following BDI prevention methods:

1. Dedicated surgical approaches
   - Critical view of safety (CVS); the technique proposed by Strasberg et al., in 1995 to conclusively identify the cystic duct and the cystic artery and minimize misidentification [16].
   - Fundus first laparoscopic cholecystectomy (FFLC); The act of clamping the gallbladder at the fundus to facilitate traction during dissection alongside the liver bed towards the liver hilum. Through the natural course of dissection, the cystic duct emerges from the infundibulum and is thereby identified.
   - Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy (LSC); Partial resection of the gallbladder, most often by transection proximal to the cystic duct. This technique makes it possible to avoid dissection in Calot's hepatobiliary triangle in case of precarious conditions.

2. Supporting imaging techniques
   - Intraoperative radiologic cholangiography (IOC); The practice in which a radiographic image of the biliary tree is acquired during surgical intervention by cannulating a bile duct and subsequently administering a radiographic contrast agent. In general, three different policies towards the use of IOC can be distinguished: routine use, selective use or total omission. Routine IOC implies that all patients planned for LC are expected to have IOC performed during the procedure. In selective use, IOC is only performed in certain circumstances, according to protocol or upon surgeons' request.
   - Intraoperative ultrasonography (IOUS); The visualization of transverse and longitudinal planes of not only biliary structures, but also other critical structures, such as the portal and caval veins, and the hepatic arteries by introducing a linear ultrasonography probe.
   - Fluorescence cholangiography; The method of using a fluorescence agent to illuminate the biliary system. The best known fluorescence agent currently used is Indocyanine green (ICG), which becomes fluorescent once excited with specific wavelength light in the near infra-red (NIR) spectrum (approximately 800–825 nm) [17]. Once injected into the blood stream, ICG is excreted via the liver into bile almost exclusively [18].

### 3 Other BDI prevention methods

#### 3.1. Critical view of safety

The initial database search resulted in 2309 articles. After removal of duplicate studies, 1429 potentially relevant articles were screened based on title and abstract, resulting in 318 records eligible for full-text review. After thorough assessment, an additional 228 articles were excluded, resulting in 90 studies to be included in this systematic review. The PRISMA flowchart presented in Fig. 1 depicts the detailed selection of studies.

Among the 90 studies, 20 covered dedicated surgical approaches (CVS in 7 studies [19–25], FFLC in 6 [26–31], LSC in 7 [32–38]). Supporting imaging techniques were investigated in 69 (IOC in 45 studies, [39–83] IOUS in 8 studies [76–78,84–88], fluorescence imaging in 16 studies [79,89–103]). Other methods were described in 5 studies [104–108]. Detailed characteristics of these studies are represented in supplemental Table 1 through 5 (Appendix 2). Overall, the results of 203,368 patients in total were presented in the included articles (with an average per article of 2285 patients (range 12–51,041). 68 studies reported BDI rates, a total of 1104 incidents. The mean reported BDI rate was 0.23% (range 0–3.1%).

Supplemental Figure 1 depicts the studies included in this systematic review in order of publication date and the time periods of inclusion. Note that the primary method investigated before the turn of the century was IOC. Thereafter, other modalities of BDI prevention were starting to be explored.

#### 4. Dedicated surgical approaches

A summary of findings of the articles covering dedicated surgical approaches is presented in Table 1.

### 4.1. Critical view of safety

Seven studies were included in this systematic review covering the use of CVS [19–25]. Within these articles, encompassing over 5000 cases in total (average of 818 patients per study; range 54–3042), one BDI was reported by Yegiyants et al. among 3042 cases (incidence 0.03%) [25]. Overall, the median reported success rate of CVS was 95.8% (IQR 95.4%–100%). The median reported conversion rate was 0.95% (IQR 0–2.4%).

### 4.2. Fundus first laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Six studies described FFLC [26–31]. A median of 32 FFLC procedures were performed (IQR 22–46). The conversion rate in these series was lower than the general conversion rate in LC, with a median of 0.3% (IQR 0–1.2%). Mahmud et al. described a conversion rate of 1.2% among 710 LCs, of which 35 by way of FFLC [27]. The authors also reported that, without the use of FFLC in this study, conversion would have been necessary in 28 cases having received FFLC, what would have resulted in a potential conversion rate of 5.2%. Tuveri et al.
conducted a large retrospective study (1965 LCs; 29 FFLCs), in which two BDIs occurred (none in the FFLC group) [31]. It was also noted that a significantly larger amount of complications occurred in the FFLC group than in the conventional LC group (20% vs. 1.4% respectively). Both the studies by Mahmud et al. and Tuveri et al. respectively reported dense adhesions (40% and 51%), followed by impacted stones in Hartmann's pouch (29% and 17%) and a short dilated CD (17% and 14%) as most common indications of resorting to FFLC.

4.3. Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy

7 articles covered LSC [32–38]. A median of 39 LSC procedures have been performed (IQR 26–47), accounting for a median of 9.1% of LC cases in these articles (IQR 6.3%–10.3%). The median reported number of bile leaks was 6.3% (IQR 0.85%–12.5%). Beldi et al. compared their institution's experience in LSC with a national database, reporting a significantly lower conversion rate in cases with acute cholecystitis (9.7%–23.2% respectively) [32]. LSC was attempted in 46 of 345 cases (13.3%) with subsequent conversion deemed necessary in approximately one fifth of the patients. The posterior wall was left in situ in all LSC patients and the infundibulum in 26.1% of the cases. No bile duct injury was reported. Nakajima et al. compared two periods: before and after the introduction of LSC in their institution [35]. Before introduction, the BDI incidence was reported to be 1.6% with a conversion rate of 2.5%. after introduction LSC was performed in 10.3% of the LC cases with both BDI incidence and conversion rate significantly

Table 1

Dedicated surgical approaches – summary of findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BDI prevention technique</th>
<th>N Studies</th>
<th>N Cases</th>
<th>Median Success rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Average per study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical View of Safety</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5728</td>
<td>818 (54–3042)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundus First Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3094</td>
<td>516 (16–53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6196</td>
<td>885 (23–60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent total, average (range) or median (interquartile range) of reported outcomes among included studies

Fig. 1. Prisma flow-chart.
Three other studies directly compared a routine IOC policy with selective use of IOC [63–65]. One of these studies (n = 835) concluded that routine IOC was superior in comparison with a selective approach [64], while two studies (n = 334 and 319 respectively) found no superiority in a routine policy considering BDI rates [63,65].

### 5. Supporting imaging techniques

#### 5.1. Intraoperative radiologic cholangiography

The key findings of articles covering IOC are summarized in Table 2. One RCT was included in this systematic review [41]. The authors of this study randomized 404 patients to either conventional LC or LC combined with routine IOC. No significant differences were reported between the two groups in regards to BDI rate, conversion rate or bile leaks. Therefore, the authors concluded that IOC as an adjunctive to LC had no significant effect on the reported success rates of LC or BDIs. In a retrospective study performed by Flum et al. covering 30,630 LCs, a significant reduction in BDI rate was observed with concurrent IOC use, about 40% less (2.0 vs. 3.3 per 1000 cases) [43]. It was thereby noted that the majority of BDIs occurred in earlier parts of the learning curve. Fletcher et al. reviewed cases of BDI to assess possible risk factors [42]. The authors concluded that approximately one third of BDI cases could be prevented by performing IOC.

#### 5.1.1. Routine and selective use of IOC

Fifteen articles primarily addressed the routine use of IOC [44–58]. Among an average of 5126 patients per study (range 100–51,041), the median reported BDI incidence was 0.025% (IQR 0.0–0.285%).

Tornqvist et al. obtained the data of 51,041 patients operated between 2005 and 2010 from the national Swedish Registry for Gallstone Surgery (GallRiks) [56]. In this retrospective cohort study, 747 BDIs – graded according to the Hannover criteria (109) – were identified (incidence 1.5%). In patients suffering from concurrent cholecystitis, a significant protective effect was demonstrated by applying IOC. Alvarez et al. retrospectively evaluated the routine use of IOC (successfully performing cholangiography in over 95% of the cases) in 11,423 consecutive LCs [44]. Twenty patients suffered from BDI (0.17%) of which 18 were diagnosed and managed peroperatively. The sensitivity and specificity for the detection of BDI in this study was 79% and 100% respectively.

Four articles addressed the selective use of IOC [59–62]. With an average of 8364 patients per study, the median reported BDI incidence was 0.15% (IQR 0–0.3%). IOC was attempted in a median of 29% of the cases (IQR 11.8%–34.6%). Giger et al. performed a retrospective analysis of 31,838 LC cases entered in a prospectively maintained nationwide database [59]. 101 BDIs (0.3%) were observed among these cases. IOC was performed in 36.6% of LCs, among which 39.6% of BDIs were observed. IOC did not seem to reduce the amount of BDIs in this study, as no significant differences were found between the groups. The amount of BDIs that were missed during surgery also did not show a significant difference (10% and 8% - IOC and no IOC respectively).

Three other studies directly compared a routine IOC policy with selective use of IOC [63–65]. Of these one studies (n = 835) concluded that routine IOC was superior in comparison with a selective approach [64], while two studies (n = 334 and 319 respectively) found no superiority in a routine policy considering BDI rates [63,65].

### 5.1.2. Omission of IOC

Ten studies were included in this systematic review that evaluated the safety of LC without the use of IOC. In these studies any specific surgical techniques as described above were not mentioned. The median reported BDI incidence without the use of IOC was 0.28% (IQR 0.02–0.53%). Comparing the reported rates of conversion among the included studies in this review, the median conversion rate of the articles without IOC was 3.1% (IQR 2.5%–5.1%), versus a median of 3.5% (IQR 1.8%–5.8%) reported by studies employing either routine or selective IOC. The prospective study by Mir et al. demonstrated that, in rural hospitals in a developing country, where a minimalistic setting applies and costly interventions like IOC are undesirable or even unavailable, safe LC was also possible [70]. Despite their restrictions, the authors report a BDI incidence of 0.08% and conversion rates of 1.8%, all having been acquired through application of safe dissection techniques. In 1993, Barkun et al. reported a BDI incidence of 0.38% while employing a very low IOC rate (4.2%), achieving a rate similar as has been reported elsewhere in literature [66]. Taylor et al. reported the outcomes of 2038 LC cases without the use of IOC after retrospective review [73]. BDI was reported in 1.1%, of which 18.2% required additional surgery postoperatively. Zacharakis et al. reported a BDI rate of 0.37% in 1851 patients who underwent LC without an IOC, which were found to be comparable with the reported rates after an LC with routine use of an IOC [75].

### 5.1.3. Other applications of IOC

Three articles reported results of performing IOC through the gall-bladder (cholecystocholangiography) [80–82]. All three studies agreed that cholecystocholangiography is a simpler method of employing IOC compared to the CD method. Noji et al. and Liyanage et al. addressed a method of preoperative endoscopic placement of an endo-nasal biliary drainage tube (ENBD), through which cholangiography could be performed, avoiding the chance of BDI as a consequence of the cannulation process [52,83]. Both recommended the use of ENBD, particularly in cases in which the patient is to undergo preoperative ERCP.

### 6. Intraoperative ultrasonography

A summary of findings regarding IOUS are delineated in Table 2. The largest study was performed by Machi et al., investigating 1381 LC cases with routine IOUS [86]. In this article, successful imaging using IOUS was reported in 98% of the cases. Overall, the reported accuracy among the studies was similarly high, with a median reported overall identification rate of biliary structures of 97% (IQR 95.9%–97%) [76–78,85–88]. Five studies reported BDI incidence [76,84–87]. Of these five, the studies of Biffi et al. and Hakamada et al. had occurrences of BDI (0.6% and 1.1% respectively) [84,85]. The other three reported none. When explored in more detail, the reported BDIs in these two articles all occurred in the group in which IOUS was not used.

---

**Table 2**

Intraoperative Radiologic Cholangiography and Intraoperative Ultrasonography – summary of findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BDI prevention technique</th>
<th>N Studies</th>
<th>N Cases</th>
<th>Average per study</th>
<th>Median success rate</th>
<th>Median BDI Incidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative Radiologic Cholangiography</td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>155,105</td>
<td>4432 (50–51,041)</td>
<td>89% (78.5–94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Routine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>76,894</td>
<td>5126 (100–51,041)</td>
<td>94% (88.5–96.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selective</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33,455</td>
<td>8364 (75–31,838)</td>
<td>76.3% (75.7–85.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Omission</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9935</td>
<td>994 (82–2038)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative Ultrasonography</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3360</td>
<td>420 (43–1381)</td>
<td>88.8% (78.5–94)</td>
<td>0% (0–0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent total, average (range) or median (interquartile range) of reported outcomes among included studies.

dropping to 0.3% each.
Table 3
Fluorescence Cholangiography - summary of findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N Studies on Fluorescence Cholangiography</th>
<th>N Cases</th>
<th>Median identification rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54 (12-184)</td>
<td>75.7% (62.4–93.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.8% (77.8–97.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (97.8–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.3% (83–98.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent total, average (range) or median (interquartile range) of reported outcomes among included studies. CHD: common hepatic duct, CD: cystic duct, CBD: common bile duct.

7. Fluorescence imaging

The main findings of the studies covering fluorescence cholangiography are summarized in Table 3.

The primary focus of the studies covering fluorescence cholangiography is the evaluation of feasibility and the biliary detection rates. No BDI were reported in any of these studies. For the extrahepatic biliary system, the studies investigating ICG-NIR reported the following median detection rates of biliary structures: 75.7% for the CHD (IQR 62.4–93.8%); 87.8% for the CD-CHD confluence (IQR 77.8–97.8%); 100% for the CD (range 97.8%–100%); 87.3% for the CBD (83%–98.5%). While the majority of the articles utilize ICG-NIR to map the biliary tree, Mohsen et al. achieved fluorescence imaging of biliary structures through administration of a fluorescein solution and subsequent exposure to UV-A, visualizing the bile ducts in 82.5% of the time whilst demonstrating true negative results for other tissue in all cases [98].

8. Comparison of techniques and other preventive measures

8.1. Comparison of techniques

Three articles compared IOC with the use of IOUS [76–78]. IOUS was favored over IOC in all, on account of technical availability, success rate in the examination of the biliary tree and the absence of radiation and contrast solution. In the study by Osayi et al. both ICG-NIR and routine IOC were performed during the same procedure, comparing their measurements [79]. The main study focus was safety and the role of ICG-NIR in the identification of biliary anatomy. CD detection rates were superior compared to IOC (95.1% vs. 72.0% respectively). Furthermore, IOC was uncontaminable in 24.4% of the IOC cases compared to an inability to visualize biliary structures in 4.9% using the ICG-NIR technique.

8.2. Other preventive measures

Apart from the main techniques mentioned above, several other methods have been investigated. Cai et al. reported over a decade’s experience with hydrodissection combined with blunt dissection using the suction tube to expose Calot’s hepatobiliary triangle, having applied this technique in 21,497 patients [104]. In this series BDI incidence was reported to be 0.09%, with a conversion rate of 1.1%. Li et al. introduced a 4-point grading system to evaluate intraoperative unfavorable factors (IUF) as a decision aid for the use of IOC and/or conversion to OC [105]. The purpose of this study was to validate this tool by comparing safety of LC before (n = 384) and after introduction (n = 396). After implementation, a significant increase in conversion rate was observed (1.6%–5.4%), while the BDI rate dropped from 1.3% to zero. No significant differences in postoperative morbidity and mortality were observed.

Three articles reported alternative methods to directly visualize the biliary system, either by way of methylene blue dye injection or light cholangiography [106–108]. Xu et al. compared methylene blue cholangiography with light cholangiography, favoring the latter, demonstrating clear images of the biliary tree using an optic fiber introduced via duodenoscopy [108].

9. Discussion

As a much dreaded complication of LC, BDI has been widely researched. In this systematic review, the largest to date within this topic, we have provided a critical analysis of the different modalities currently employed for its prevention. We have noted however that research yielding a high level of evidence is difficult to perform and consequently scarce. In a recent perspective written by Strasberg and Brunt it was emphasized that, despite the numerous major BDIs that still occur, the amount of injuries per number of LCs is relatively low [110]. This makes it incredibly difficult to organize a proper RCT. Therefore, low sample size is a recurrent problem in the search for valid literature. A different problem we encountered is the inconsistency of BDI reporting. For instance, different BDI classification systems are currently in use [111]. Some studies report BDI according to one of these classification systems, for instance Tornqvist et al. [56] employing the Hannover classification [109], whilst others report terms like ‘major BDI’ or ‘common bile duct injury’. The nature of these unclassified injuries are frequently unclear, making the true incidence of BDI caused by iatrogenic damage difficult to estimate.

Despite the aforementioned problem involving low power among studies, the articles describing CVS report just one BDI in over 5000 cases, the lowest reported incidence of BDI in literature with regard to a specific technique for prevention of BDI. This might suggest that CVS would be a capable method to conduct safe LC. However, CVS requires a thorough knowledge of biliary surgical anatomy with special reference that Calot’s hepatobiliary triangle has to be unfolded completely and overseen correctly after mobilization of the gallbladder neck from the liver. A recent survey has demonstrated that, despite the fact that CVS was well-known overall, many respondents, senior surgeons in particular, were not able to adequately discern the essential steps of this technique [112]. In an effort to create awareness among the practicing surgeons, the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES) has implemented CVS in its SAGES Safe Cholecystectomy Program, as part of their general culture of safety program [113].

CVS is more likely to function well in uncomplicated LCs, while in case of acute inflammation, fibrosis, or adhesions, a safe dissection within Calot’s hepatobiliary triangle is often impeded. In these cases a different approach should be considered. Conversion to open cholecystectomy might come to mind in these situations. Conversion does not necessarily facilitate easier operation however, as conditions do not change and visibility might be equally poor. FFLC or LSC are techniques that could be employed in these circumstances instead of conversion.

Bile leak rates were higher among LSC cases in this review. The median reported number of bile leaks was 6.3%, compared to the 0.35% reported in standard LC [114]. This could probably be attributed to the incomplete resection of the gallbladder and incomplete closure of the residual infundibulum. The morbidity associated with these bile leaks however is moderate: only 1.4%–15% of bile leaks require an (endoscopic) intervention [32,115].

IOC has been a comprehensively investigated, yet highly debated method in both conventional and laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ever since the moment it was first described by Mirizzi in 1931 [116], IOC has demonstrated to be a helpful tool in both prevention and intraoperative recognition of BDI. However, definitive recommendation
to employ this technique routinely, selectively or not at all cannot be given because of the low evidence available studies are coping with. Due to the same reason, a review by Ford et al. made a similar conclusion: no robust evidence currently exists to either support or abandon the use of IOC in the prevention of BDI [117]. Also, IOC use is highly variable across the world. For example, IOC use is customary in the UK and the USA; two surveys among surgeons demonstrated mass use of IOC in these countries, with 93%–99% of surgeons reported to use IOC – among which 24%–27% used the technique routinely [118,119]. In contrast, IOC is rarely used outside these parts of the world [120,121]. Moreover, since the wide availability of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), the necessity to perform IOC has been diminished greatly [122]. This development has already led to surgical trainees lacking exposure to IOC, which is unfavorable if this technique is to be incorporated in surgical practice [112]. Furthermore, IOC is prone to failure. The median reported success rate was 89% among the included studies and none established a perfect record. Even if a successful IOC is achieved, i.e. successful cannulation and mapping of the biliary tree on a radiological image, this does not equal correct interpretation. Advocates for omission of IOC also state that proper dissection techniques in favorable circumstances do not necessarily call for IOC and this technique might even be harmful to the patients due to the additional operative time and the risk of iatrogenic major BDI [50,61,66].

IOUS is another method to identify biliary structures, yet less invasive than IOC. It has the potential to achieve high accuracy, with reports of completely visualizing the biliary tract in 92%–100% of cases, with a failure rate that is lower than IOC [123]. Furthermore, in theory IOUS could be repeated an infinite amount of times with negligible harm to the patient on account of its non-invasive nature, without the need of radiologic contrast solutions or cannulation of a ductal structure. An apparent disadvantage is the learning curve in the performance and interpretation of the ultrasonogram, which has previously been described as ten or even up to thirty cases [124,125]. Despite these advantages, the evidence in support of IOUS as a preventive measure of BDI is scarce and therefore decisive recommendation cannot be given.

Upcoming modalities such as fluorescence imaging could function as a minimally invasive and easy to perform extension to conventional LC. ICG-NIR allows for repeatable and real time exploration of the biliary system, something that is not possible with radiological IOC due to safety limits in radiation exposure and iodine contrast administration and that is difficult to achieve with IOUS. ICG-NIR provides good detection rates of biliary structures, with specifically high detection rates of the cystic duct. Furthermore, new methods within the field of fluorescence cholangiography are currently being developed, of which in particular direct intragallbladder injection of ICG is promising, providing higher contrast due to the reduced ICG accumulation in the liver as seen after systemic administration [126].

A limitation to the articles evaluating fluorescence cholangiography is that the moments when biliary structures are detected are quite inconsistent; some measurements are made before dissection of Calot’s hepatobiliary triangle, whilst some are made thereafter. A recent review evaluating the utility of ICG-NIR cholangiography reported similar results in terms of for the intraoperative visualization of the biliary system [127]. Important deficiencies of the technique however were also noted. Mainly, the limited tissue penetration of light prohibited the deeper intrahepatic ducts, as well as extrabiliary ducts obscured by (inflamed) tissue to be adequately visualized.

In the current systematic review, the primary aim of the studies investigating fluorescence imaging was to obtain information regarding the feasibility and safety. From the results provided it can be concluded that the technique of ICG-NIR imaging is indeed feasible and safe. The following step is to properly study the benefits of fluorescence imaging on a larger scale. Hence a multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing LC assisted by ICG-NIR cholangiography with conventional LC is initiated in the Netherlands [128].

10. Conclusion

Many methods used in the prevention of BDI have demonstrated promising results, yet lack sufficient power. To execute a high volume multicenter study providing the high level of evidence necessary however is very challenging. Furthermore, there is great need for consensus regarding a systematic reporting system of BDI to adequately determine the true incidence of BDI and, not in the least, discern between the severity of injuries. For the time being, it is advisable to focus on proper dissection techniques while following the basic principles of biliary surgery, of which CVS seems arguably the preferred method. Moreover, when conventional dissection proves to be too hazardous, a sufficient attention to alternative techniques should be apprehended.
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