

# Biom mineralized collagen for bone regeneration

Citation for published version (APA):

De Melo Pereira, D. (2022). *Biom mineralized collagen for bone regeneration: Insights into cell-material interactions*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. ProefschriftMaken. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20220124dp>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2022

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20220124dp](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20220124dp)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

## Chapter 8

### Statement of impact

To appreciate the scientific impact of the work presented in this thesis it is first important to recognize the field in which it is positioned.. Like the majority of biomedical research, it sits at the intersection of disciplines. Starting from fundamental to more applied topics, the studies presented here have aspects of biomineralization, materials science, and regenerative medicine. Inspiration for the use of biomineralized collagen was born out of discoveries made in the field of biomineralization, with its studies concerning processes of natural mineralization of biological tissues (bone in particular), but its contribution fits more completely under the umbrella of regenerative medicine. More specifically, this work contributes to the existing knowledge of biomimetic materials and their applications as *in vitro* models to study (patho)physiological processes and as clinical products. The main contribution to the field of biomimetic materials comes from the results describing interactions between osteoclasts and biomineralized collagen, presented in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4. By showing that osteoclast resorption of biomineralized collagen is possible, we add to the evidence that this material can indeed be considered one of the closest lab-made analogs for bone microenvironment. Osteogenic and osteoclast-related gene expression of hMSCs, explored in Chapter 5, further adds to this evidence.

Above everything else, the results and discussions presented in this thesis are relevant to the scientific community concerned with mimicking the bone microenvironment. On the one hand, they are useful for the continued development of bone graft substitutes, and on the other hand, as mentioned in Chapter 7, for pre-clinical studies of bone (patho)physiology and drug testing, the value of which depends on biomaterials that adequately resemble the native tissue.

Estimating the societal impact of pre-clinical in-vitro studies, like the ones presented in this thesis, is far more difficult, and in my opinion, only a step short of clairvoyance. In fact, currently, there is no direct, appreciable societal impact from the research presented in this thesis. Nonetheless, a few considerations can be made regarding its potential future application.

The contributions of this thesis to the understanding of biomineralized collagen as a biomaterial of choice for mimicking the microenvironment of bone may be valuable to biomedical companies active in the field of medical devices and regenerative medicine products, such as companies that develop bone graft substitute materials. A prospective market study from February 2021 estimates the global bone graft and

---

substitutes market at USD 2.78 billion (2020), with a compound annual growth rate estimated around 5.8% until 2028.<sup>[1]</sup> Companies such as DePuy Synthes, Stryker, Medtronic, Zimmer Biomet, Integra Orthobiologics, among others, all develop some form of synthetic bone graft substitute, usually comprising a biomimetic composition of calcium phosphate, collagen, or both.<sup>[2,3]</sup> The market share of synthetic graft substitutes (as opposed to human or animal derived products) has been increasing in the past, and is projected to grow in the coming years. In addition, synthetic bone graft substitutes have become increasingly more biomimetic, with composite formulations (collagen-CaP) replacing single-component materials, sometimes even with biological growth factors added. However, so far, no commercial product is composed of collagen with intrafibrillar mineral, such as the one investigated in this thesis.

As mentioned in Chapter 1, in many clinical cases, bone defects are large and complex, and require grafting to aid its regeneration. As the population in developed countries skews older, the incidence of situations requiring a bone graft or a bone graft substitute increases, and so does the need for alternatives to the current standard of care (using the patient's own bone tissue).

The road that starts with fundamental discoveries of bone biomineralization processes is long and winding, passing by material design, optimization for regenerative strategies, and many other stops, before (potentially) reaching society at large, in the form of new and improved solutions to clinical challenges. The contributions made to science along that road stand on their own feet, even if the ultimate goal of a society-benefitting product remains elusive.

## References

- [1] Grand View Research, "Bone Graft And Substitutes Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report By Material Type (Allograft, Synthetic), By Application (Craniofacial, Dental, Foot & Ankle), By Region, And Segment Forecasts, 2021 - 2028," can be found under <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/bone-grafts-substitutes-market>, 2021.
- [2] A. Oryan, S. Alidadi, A. Moshiri, N. Maffulli, *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* **2014**, 9, 1.
- [3] A. Bow, D. E. Anderson, M. Dhar, *Drug Metab. Rev.* **2019**, 51, 533.