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Social role functioning in Parkinson's disease: A mixed-methods systematic review
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Objectives: Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that often impedes activities of daily living (ADL) and social functioning. Impairment in these areas can alter social roles by interfering with employment status, household management, friendships, and other relationships. Understanding how PD affects social functioning can help clinicians choose management strategies that mitigate these changes.

Methods: We conducted a mixed-methods systematic review of existing literature on social roles and social functioning in PD. A tailored search strategy in five databases identified 51 full-text reports that fulfilled the inclusion criteria and passed the quality appraisal. We aggregated and analyzed the results from these studies and then created a narrative summary.

Results: Our review demonstrates how PD causes many people to withdraw from their accustomed social roles and experience deficits in corresponding activities. We describe how PD symptoms (eg, tremor, facial masking, and neuropsychiatric symptoms) interfere with relationships (eg, couple, friends, and family) and precipitate earlier departure from the workforce. Additionally, several studies demonstrated that conventional PD therapy has little positive effect on social role functioning.

Conclusions: Our report presents critical insight into how PD affects social functioning and gives direction to future studies and interventions (eg, couple counseling and recreational activities).
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease characterized by motor and nonmotor symptoms that impair functioning in activities of daily living (ADL) and cause changes in social functioning. Social functioning encompasses performance in specific social roles, or "expected ways of behaving," which are established by both an individual's personal goals and societal norms. In chronic illnesses, symptoms impact the ability to fulfill social roles such as employment, household management, friendships, and other relationships. Changes in social role functioning are particularly troublesome because satisfaction with social role performance is related to overall happiness and quality of life (QoL). Additionally, social isolation and loneliness is a risk factor for depression, cognitive decline, increased health-care costs, and overall mortality.

QoL has become a frequent outcome of interest in clinical trials and is one of the most important factors for determining clinical care for people with chronic diseases. In the PD field, research on QoL has increased, and several systematic reviews describe the
determinants of QoL, economic impact of decreased QoL, how to measure QoL, and prognostic factors related to QoL.\textsuperscript{12–15} Van Uem et al recently published a review on health-related QoL in patients with PD using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) developed by the World Health Organization as a framework. The ICF model was designed to represent health and disability at individual and population levels, using the following domains: body functions and structures, ADL, participation in social roles, personal features, and environmental factors.\textsuperscript{16} This review found that poor health-related QoL was most strongly associated with social role functioning in PD.\textsuperscript{17} Because no review has examined specific aspects of social functioning in PD and social functioning contributes greatly to QoL, we conducted a systematic review to identify what is currently known about this subject.

2 | METHODS

In order to capture the breadth of research on social role functioning, we incorporated studies estimating the association of social function with PD employing statistical methods and qualitative studies seeking to understand the construct of social functioning in PD. Inclusion criteria for the screening were as follows: (a) the PD participants and (b) at least one outcome of the paper focused on social functioning or a social role.

2.1 | Search strategy and study selection

A literature search was performed in March 2018, using several MeSH terms related to social roles (Table S1). All articles published before March 1, 2018, were included in the search, yielding 7129 articles from Pubmed (2257), Embase (3300), PsychINFO (1078), CINAHL (373), and Cochrane (121). After removing duplicates (n = 1872), the titles and abstracts of the remaining 5257 articles were screened by two reviewers (J.H. and K.P.). Articles were excluded from the review if they did not meet inclusion criteria, were not available in English, or if they did not present original research. A third reviewer (M.S.) served as an adjudicator when there was disagreement about inclusion in the full-text evaluation. In order to provide the most comprehensive review of papers investigating social functioning, we included studies employing a variety of instruments and data collection methods. However, because of the heterogeneity of instruments used, we were unable to conduct a meta-analysis.

After the initial screening, 4843 articles were excluded, leaving 414 articles in the full text evaluation (Figure 1). The full texts were then read to confirm they met inclusion criteria. After full text review, 344 articles were excluded, leaving 70 articles for quality appraisal and data extraction. We also searched the reference sections of the articles that were included after full-text review for any additional papers that may have been missed in the database search (two additional articles were identified). Table S2 describes the reasons for excluding these articles after full-text review.

2.2 | Data extraction and quality appraisal of included studies

One reviewer (K.P.) used a quality appraisal checklist to independently evaluate the quality of the 70 articles remaining after the full-text assessment. We used the Critical Appraisal Skills Program checklist for the quality appraisal.\textsuperscript{18} The checklist type was selected based on the study design. The appraisal resulted in the inclusion of 51 articles and the exclusion of 19 articles. One reviewer (K.P.) extracted data from the 51 articles that remained after the quality appraisal.

2.3 | Data synthesis

Our mixed-methods approach facilitated a synthesis of published results and enabled us to produce a narrative summary of existing work. Using the Cochrane procedure for narrative summary, one reviewer (K.P.) read through each paper and first developed a preliminary composition of the results (Tables S3 to S8).\textsuperscript{19} A combination of inductive and deductive reasoning was applied for the analysis. We employed deductive synthesis to first divide articles based on the type of social role described: couple relationship, parent/family role, work role, friendship role, social/leisure role, or grouped into a general social functioning category if no specific role was described. Some papers discussed multiple roles; the information relevant to each role was extracted from the paper and categorized in the appropriate section. Then, within each group, we applied an inductive synthesis to identify patterns of topics discussed and further divide papers into a subtheme. The data from studies were then translated using thematic analysis to describe common conclusions across different papers (within the previously defined social roles) (Table 1).

3 | RESULTS

We identified 51 papers to include in our analysis, all published between 1973 and 2018. Of these, 24 papers employed primarily quantitative
methods, 23 were qualitative, and four used a mixed-methods approach. Most study designs were cross sectional (n = 44), and the remaining articles were longitudinal (n = 7). The papers included in this review either covered general social functioning (n = 16) or discussed a specific social role, including the couple relationship (n = 36), parent/family role (n = 14), friendship role (n = 9), work role (n = 19), and/or social/leisure role (n = 6). Figure 2 depicts the distribution of articles based on methodology used and social role addressed.

3.1 | Quantitative studies: Instruments

A wide variety of instruments were used to measure social role functioning. Some studies included full questionnaires such as the Marital Adjustment Test. Other studies included questionnaires that assessed social functioning in a subsection of the full scale, for example, the Nottingham Health Profile.

3.2 | Qualitative studies: Data collection methods

Most of the qualitative studies employed in-depth interviews as the main data collection method and some employed focus group discussions to supplement the interviews. Questions that were included in the interviews were generally open ended (eg, “Can you tell me what your life is like with Parkinson's disease?”), some focused on specific social roles (eg, “Can you describe how the disease has affected your relationship with family, friends, and your community?”), while others were broad (eg, “How has your ‘usual state of health’ changed after diagnosis?”).

3.3 | Narrative analysis of papers by social role category

3.3.1 | General social functioning

General social functioning included papers (n = 16) that described overall socialization or social functioning but did not discuss a specific social role. These articles were categorized into three subthemes—clinical observations/PD symptoms (n = 4), treatment/intervention effect (n = 9), and QoL/life changes after PD (n = 3). Gunnery et al described that facial masking was associated with social functioning problems such as social rejection, although this finding was attenuated after controlling for depression.20 Miller et al described how communication problems (ie, voice problems) were associated with changes in socialization such as social withdrawal.21 People living with Parkinson’s felt that PD restricted their activity, decreased their socialization, and limited their ability to have a “meaningful” social contribution.21-23 Papers also described treatments and interventions to improve Parkinson’s symptoms including “typical” PD treatments (ie, medication and deep brain stimulation [DBS] surgery), exercise interventions (eg, tango class), and educational programs (eg, psychoeducation). Quantitative studies describing DBS and levodopa...
### TABLE 1  Summary of results from review papers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Role</th>
<th>Subtheme</th>
<th>Summary of Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General social functioning</td>
<td>Clinical observations/PD symptoms</td>
<td>• Facial masking is correlated with social rejection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Communication problems (eg, voice problems) can lead to social withdrawal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment/intervention effect</td>
<td>• PD can limit socialization and ability to feel capable of making a meaningful contribution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• A tango class helped increase social support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• There is little evidence that traditional therapies (medication and DBS) improve social role functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• For some people, social functioning can decline after DBS surgery; however, psychoeducation can address this decline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoL/life changes after PD</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Cognitive functioning is related to social integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Females report worse social support than males.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Primary relationships/ couple | Clinical/PD symptoms | • Facial masking and disease severity were associated with worse partner interaction. |
|                             |                     | • The added responsibility of taking care of a spouse with PD can strain relationships. |
|                             | Relationship satisfaction (nonsexual aspects) | • Nonsexual relationship aspects (eg, talking and sharing activities) can become more important after PD diagnosis. |
|                             | Sexual satisfaction | • Female participants still valued being perceived as a wife and mother.             |
|                             |                     | • Greater relationship mutuality predicted better QoL.                               |
|                             | Treatment effect    | • Sexual satisfaction was lower for younger onset People with PD.                   |
|                             |                     | • Sex can become problematic because of decreased drive, fatigue, and rigidity.     |
|                             |                     | • Sexual satisfaction was associated with marital satisfaction.                     |
|                             |                     | • Predictors of quality of sexual life include male gender, better motor functioning, and quality of sexual life for partner. |
|                             | Higher levodopa doses were associated with increased thoughts of breaking up.        |
|                             | People with PD who participated in a dance class with their spouse found it increased moral support. |
|                             | The effect of DBS on the couple role is mixed.                                       |

| Parent/family role | Treatment/intervention | • A tango intervention improved family role functioning.                             |
|                   | Sharing disease/communication | • After DBS people with PD typically had improved relations with their children.     |
|                   | Relationship satisfaction | • Family relationships are affected early in the disease.                            |
|                   |                          | • Some people with PD feel the need to "protect" their families from the diagnosis.  |
|                   |                          | • Some people with PD feel sharing their diagnosis with their families helps them better manage. |
|                   |                          | Sometimes there is no change in family relationship quality after diagnosis.        |
|                   |                          | • For some parenting becomes more important after diagnosis.                        |

| Friendship role | Number of social contacts/social connectedness | • People with PD are less likely to have many close friends.                         |
|                | Quality of life | • The number of friends may stay the same, but typically people with PD initiate fewer visits to friends. |
|                | Relationship quality | • Some people conceal themselves from others, which disrupts social connectedness.  |
|                |                          | • Changes in social contacts also substantially influence quality of life.          |
|                |                          | • Some friendships were strengthened while others lost friends because of the disease. |
|                |                          | • Identification and involvement with the larger PD community was a meaningful source of friendship and support. |

| Work role | Clinical/PD symptoms/predictors | • Age, anxiety, fatigue, motor symptoms, apathy, and cognition interfere with work performance. |
|          | Housework | • People with PD were less likely to participate in household management.              |
|          | Work unavailability/leaving the workforce | • People with PD are less likely to work.                                           |
|          |                          | • Some people try to keep their jobs as long as they can and worry about losing social connections from work. |
|          |                          | • Reasons for leaving work include being unable to meet demands.                    |
|          |                          | • People who continue to work try to hide their symptoms and try to maintain job performance rather than working toward a promotion. |
|          | Treatment/intervention | • No evidence that levodopa influences work role.                                    |
|          |                          | • Work activity often became worse after DBS.                                       |

| Social and leisure role | Activity type | • More likely to engage in solitary and/or sedentary activities.                    |
|                        | Treatment/intervention effect | • No evidence that levodopa helps with social activities.                             |
|                        |                          | • Participation in physical activities (eg, dance class) helped with social interaction. |
|                        |                          | • DBS had no effect on social and leisure role.                                     |

(Continues)
frequently reported no change in social functioning following surgery or in some cases a detrimental change.\textsuperscript{24-26} It is unclear why social functioning inconsistently improves post‐DBS; however, Schüpbach et al revealed that expectations of surgery outcomes influenced relationships (couple and work) after surgery.\textsuperscript{27} A psychoeducation class for DBS participants was found to significantly improve postsurgical social adjustment.\textsuperscript{28} The Stanford Chronic Disease Self‐Management Program, which aimed to improve social functioning, did not significantly improve social support scores; however, there were some positive correlations between changes in social support and changes in self‐management outcomes after program participation.\textsuperscript{29}

Another class of PD therapy was behavioral interventions, including a dance class and a self‐management training program. These interventions had a positive effect on social functioning, by increasing social engagement directly through the activity.\textsuperscript{29-34} Papers describing QoL or life changes after PD found that cognitive functioning related to participation.\textsuperscript{35,36} Additionally, Farhadi et al found that females reported worse psychosocial functioning and social support.\textsuperscript{37}

\subsection*{3.3.2 | Couple relationship}

The couple relationship has been measured by concordance with partner, dependence on partner, feelings about partner, relationship satisfaction, and sexual adjustment (ie, frequency and enjoyment of intercourse).\textsuperscript{38} Articles discussing this social role focused on the effect of clinical/PD symptoms (n = 7), relationship satisfaction (nonsexual) (n = 6), sexual satisfaction (n = 5), and the effect of treatment (n = 5). The quantitative papers that described clinical/PD symptoms affecting the couple relationship reported that facial masking and Hoehn and Yahr stage were correlated to an impaired couple relationship, while speech problems had no significant impact.\textsuperscript{20,39} Older couples and those who were able to better cope with the disease reported better relationships.\textsuperscript{40} The qualitative studies revealed positive and negative impacts on the couple relationship. A positive change that people living with Parkinson’s and their spouses reported was the affirmation of their commitment to each other after the diagnosis.\textsuperscript{41,42} Some negative changes reported included shifting relational roles (with more responsibility falling on the care partner), changes in sexual intimacy, engaging in fewer activities together, and financial burden.\textsuperscript{39,41-44} Ten papers described the impact of PD on nonsexual aspects (eg, communication, attention, and shared activities) of relationship satisfaction. Buhmann et al found that people living with Parkinson’s, especially women, believed these nonsexual aspects of their relationship became more important after PD diagnosis.\textsuperscript{45} Eleanor Singer compared marriage satisfaction between people living with Parkinson’s and age‐matched controls and found no significant difference.\textsuperscript{46} Three studies found that depression, anxiety, negative social exchanges, and alexithymia were associated with reduced relationship satisfaction.\textsuperscript{57-59} Mavandadi et al measured relationship satisfaction from the care partner perspective and found that satisfaction was

Abbreviations: DBS, deep brain stimulation; PD, Parkinson’s disease; QoL, quality of life.
related to the care partner’s “benefit finding,” or ability to experience positive change when faced with a stressor like PD.49 Karlstedt et al investigated relationship mutuality, “the positive quality of a relationship,” and found that having a male care partner was associated with higher mutuality scores for the people living with Parkinson’s. Care partner mutuality score was associated with the person living with Parkinson’s mutuality score and cognitive ability.50 Qualitative papers in this subtheme reported that uncertainty about the future and role changes that placed a greater burden on the care partner were strongly associated with relationship quality.39,41,51

Five papers described sexual functioning in PD and how it affects the couple relationship. Lower sexual satisfaction was more common among people with a younger disease onset, males, and people with worse motor scores (Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson’s disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS)), fatigue, and rigidity.52,53 Papers also reported that sex life satisfaction was significantly associated with marital satisfaction.43,47 Fleming et al elucidated this issue, listing dramatic increases or decreases in libido, as well as a shift in relationship roles from partner to carer as the main reasons for sex life dissatisfaction.41 Five papers described how different interventions or therapies impacted the couple relationship. DBS was found to diminish sexual desire and, in some cases, worsen marital satisfaction.26,46,54 Agid et al provided an explanation for this worsened marital quality following surgery: either people living with Parkinson’s rejected their spouse after they felt “cured” or they were rejected by their spouse who expected them to be able to return to their premorbid level of functioning following surgery.26 Similarly, higher doses of levodopa were associated with more frequent thoughts about breaking up with a partner and with relationship termination.45 However, support group attendance had a positive influence on the relationship by providing a way for couples to have a shared social activity.55

3.3.3 Family role

The family role has been defined by feelings about family interactions, the ability to handle family financial needs, the frequency and quality of interactions with family members, interest in a child’s activities and quality of interactions with children.38 Two mixed-methods papers described how treatments/interventions influenced the family relationship.27,31 People living with Parkinson’s who participated in a tango intervention reported improved family role functioning after the classes.31 Schüpbach et al reported improved family relationships after DBS surgery were more common than strained relationships.27 Another common theme related to the family role was discussing or sharing the disease with family members. Five papers described that communication early in the disease was crucial for facilitating understanding of the disease among family members and reducing its burden.40,41,53,56,57 Fleming et al provided more information about why communication was a struggle for some people living with Parkinson’s. People living with Parkinson’s reported the need to “protect” their families and did not want their children to “miss out” on anything because of the diagnosis.41 Navarta-Sanchez et al described how healthcare providers and family members influenced the way people living with Parkinson’s handled their disease.40 Receiving support from their family helped make people living with Parkinson’s feel more secure and motivated to maintain their treatment regimen.40

Four papers described family relationship satisfaction in PD. Eleanor Singer compared people living with Parkinson’s to age-matched controls and found no difference in parental role satisfaction.24 There were three qualitative papers that discussed the importance of family relationships for QoL in people living with Parkinson’s.23,42,58 Additionally, for some people living with Parkinson’s who were unable to work, parenting or family relationships became a higher priority.

3.3.4 Friendship role

The friendship role has been measured by how frequently contact (eg, telephone, email, and in person) is initiated with friends and the quality of these interactions.28 Most people living with Parkinson’s reported the number of social contacts they had remained stable after diagnosis and following some treatments for PD (eg, DBS surgery).4,54,59,60 Rubenstein et al noted that although the number of visits friends made to people living with Parkinson’s remained the same, people living with Parkinson’s were less likely to initiate social outings with friends.59 Soleimani et al revealed that people living with Parkinson’s were concerned about losing social connectedness because of their disease (preventing them from leaving the house or increasing their desire to remain isolated to conceal symptoms).60 Reduced social outings were found to greatly influence QoL and functioning in other relationships (eg, couple and family).23,61 Fleming et al reported a divide in how friendships changed with PD. Some friendships were strengthened while others ended.41 There was no consensus on factors that predicted relationship outcome following diagnosis.

3.3.5 Work role

The work role has previously been measured by incorporating both paid and unpaid work (eg, house work). Work role is defined by the duration of work, any changes to employment (eg, full time to part time), respondent’s feelings about the quality of their work, and relationships with coworkers.38 Nineteen papers described the work role and how it was impaired by PD. Three quantitative papers found factors that contributed to leaving the workforce included anxiety, older age, longer disease duration, female sex, cognitive performance, depression, and ability to perform ADL (eg, dressing and hygiene).26,62,63 Several papers described that people living with Parkinson’s decreased their work outside of the home and at home. Decreased employment was more evident in the young-onset group, with reasons for leaving the workforce often tied to the inability to meet job demands.39,42,53,54,59,64,65 Barbara Habermann reported that people living with Parkinson’s who remained in the workforce described goal adjustment, eg, changing their focus from career advancement to maintaining their current position.39 Qualitative studies also revealed that leaving the workforce impacted other social roles and overall QoL due to perceived loss of societal contribution and social contacts from work.23,51,60 Two papers described the
impact of DBS and levodopa on work roles. There was no evidence that levodopa led people to rejoin the workforce. Professional activity following DBS was more often worsened than improved.

3.3.6 Social and leisure role

The social and leisure role has been measured by the frequency, duration, and quality of social activities (e.g., hobbies and membership in organizations). Four papers described that the types of activities in which people living with Parkinson’s engaged tended to be more solitary and sedentary, such as reading or watching TV. Two qualitative papers provided reasons for this shift to more sedentary activities, including people living with Parkinson’s giving up more physically demanding hobbies because of the disease or favoring more solitary activities because of the unpredictability of symptoms and embarrassment about symptoms. People living with Parkinson’s mentioned that planning ahead was crucial for maintaining social activities and navigating symptom demands. Six papers described the impact of treatment/interventions on social activities. Social and leisure role performance was improved for people living with Parkinson’s who participated in activities with other people living with Parkinson’s (e.g., tango class). These classes naturally provided an opportunity for socialization, as well as the ability to meet people with similar challenges. Two papers evaluated the effect of DBS on social and leisure roles. Boel et al described no change in membership to organizations following DBS. Liddle et al found that people living with Parkinson’s reported improved leisure performance after DBS.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Summary of findings

The aim of this review was to describe the effect of PD on social role functioning. Our work integrates research on how PD affects various social roles, including the couple relationship, family, friendship, work, and social/leisure roles. Our analysis uncovered three central findings: (a) PD can affect performance in different social roles or may cause withdrawal from these roles; (b) standard pharmacologic and surgical interventions have little positive effect on social role functioning in PD; and (c) a wide variety of instruments and data collection methods were used in the reviewed studies, demonstrating a pressing need for a more uniform method to evaluate social role functioning in PD.

4.2 Strengths and limitations

Our systematic review is the first to summarize social functioning in PD, using a mixed-methods approach. We applied the Cochrane robust methodological procedures during the review process and had more than one reviewer at each stage to reduce bias. We also acknowledge that we could have missed some potentially relevant articles in other databases or the grey literature. However, we do feel that the five databases we have selected provide relevant sources for papers that would be included in our review.

Furthermore, our review only addressed social functioning from the perspective of the person with Parkinson disease. However, the patient’s functioning is also dependent on how partners or caregivers cope with the disease of their loved one and the extent to which this influences their own lives. Some studies focusing on this issue have been published, but we considered caregiver outcomes to be beyond the scope of this review.

4.3 Implications for research, policy, and practice

Social role performance is a priority for people with chronic diseases and the clinicians who treat them. Hammarlund et al researched which outcome measures were most important in PD trials from the perspectives of health-care professionals and people living with Parkinson’s. Study participants (people living with Parkinson’s and health-care professionals) most frequently ranked aspects related to social involvement (i.e., QoL, control of disease processes, ability to be on visiting terms, socializing, and participating in society) as the most important outcome. Additionally, the World Health Organization (WHO) emphasizes participation in social roles and social role performance among people with chronic disease or disability as a crucial method in which to prevent physical and mental health problems.

Our review demonstrates that social functioning is an expanding area of research in clinical practice. Although some papers were published in more academic research-oriented journals, there were several papers in psychology journals (n = 8), nursing journals (n = 7), rehabilitation journals (n = 12), and occupational therapy journals (n = 4). Additionally, publications related to social functioning have been increasing in popularity, with most of the papers included in this review published in the last 4 years.

Our review identified several correlates of impaired social role performance in PD, including disease severity, anxiety, depression, and cognitive impairment. However, less attention has been paid to interventions or methods of preserving or improving social role functioning. In fact, standard therapies for the motor symptoms of PD (DBS and levodopa) have not been shown to reliably improve social functioning and in some cases even worsen social role performance. Therefore, other approaches, such as nonpharmacologic therapies, should be investigated. A few studies found that activities like dancing or support groups improved social role functioning and QoL.

Future research should focus on a broader range of interventions to improve social role functioning in PD.

This review provides several targets related to social role functioning, which could be used to develop interventions. Poor general social functioning was associated with facial masking, communication problems, and cognitive impairment. Impaired couple relationships were related to facial masking, higher Hoehn and Yahr stage, lack of coping responses (i.e., spouse “benefit finding”), and sexual dysfunction. Earlier departure from the workforce was associated with female sex, older age, longer disease duration,
In addition to informing directions for future interventions to improve social role functioning, this review also revealed the lack of PD-specific social functioning measures available to researchers. The quantitative studies included 24 different questionnaires or activities to assess aspects of social role functioning; however, only three questionnaires were used in more than one study: the 39-Item Parkinson’s Disease Questionnaire (n = 3), Social Adjustment Scale (n = 2), and Golombok Rust Inventory of Marital State (n = 2). The 39-Item Parkinson’s Disease Questionnaire is a PD specific questionnaire; however, it does not assess social functioning exclusively and includes only three questions that address social function. The Social Adjustment Scale focuses on social roles; however, it is not validated in people living with Parkinson’s. Finally, the Golombok Rust Inventory only measures the couple relationship and has not been validated in PD. There are some factors that could be specific to social functioning in PD (e.g., embarrassment caused by symptoms leading to isolation) that may not be adequately assessed in general questionnaires. A benefit of conducting this mixed-methods review was that the qualitative studies addressed some questions that arose from the quantitative assessments. For example, several studies of DBS revealed that social functioning in the couple relationship was often worsened following surgery; however, family role functioning was improved. This discrepancy could not be clarified by these questionnaires alone, but qualitative interviews uncovered that expectations from patients and spouses play a role in relationship satisfaction following surgery. In order to better measure social role functioning in PD, it would be important to develop assessments that are validated in this population and can capture the nuances of the disease that are not currently ascertained from existing questionnaires. Furthermore, new studies should consider incorporating a mixed methods approach to better understand individual experiences with PD.

5 | CONCLUSION

Successful aging involves engagement in social and productive activities. Furthermore, reduced social participation is a risk factor for depression, cognitive decline, increased health-care costs, and overall mortality. Our review reveals how PD impairs general social functioning and the ability to fulfill specific roles. A number of symptoms associated with reduced social functioning can be targeted in order to improve function and QoL. However, current PD treatments and interventions have not been shown to adequately improve social functioning. Patients’ social participation should be considered as soon as minor losses or changes are detected to prevent isolation and promote successful aging.
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