

Neuroepigenomics in Alzheimer's disease

Citation for published version (APA):

Riemens, R. J. M. (2021). *Neuroepigenomics in Alzheimer's disease: the single cell ADds*. Ipskamp. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20211105rr>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2021

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20211105rr](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20211105rr)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Statements belonging to the PhD thesis
Neuroepigenomics in Alzheimer's disease: The single cell ADds

1. Previous neuroepigenomic studies in sporadic Alzheimer's disease (sAD) relying on classical bisulfite techniques have overestimated biologically relevant effects related to DNA methylation, in reality attributable to DNA hydroxymethylation, or, on the other hand, have overlooked or underestimated effects related to both modifications, when these were to cancel each other out. – *This thesis*
2. Interrogation of epigenetic marks is most informative when studied at the single-cell level, where intercellular differences can be dissected leading to a more refined understanding of their contribution to the disease. – *This thesis*
3. sAD-associated dysregulation of bisulfite methylation patterns in the dorsal raphe nuclei (DRN), including that of *TNXB*, is dependent on both the disease phenotype and the cell type analyzed. – *This thesis*
4. Patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) allow one to interrogate functional effects of genetic, epigenetic and transcriptional variants linked to risk, as well as to protective, environmental factors. – *This thesis*
5. Epigenomic data should be integrated into bigger multi-omics and multi-level data sets in order to dissect the functional consequences of changes in epigenetic marks and to address the significance of these alterations in the disease causation and progression. – *Discipline*
6. A plain exhaustive search for contributing factors is unlikely to be fruitful for neuroepigenomic research in sAD, where a more systematic approach towards understanding the role of epigenetic mechanisms in the disease is essential. – *Discipline*
7. An iPSC-derived neuron might not be optimally suited to model all disease-relevant phenotypes of sAD. – *Discipline*
8. The identification of sAD-associated epigenetic profiles is crucial in order to improve our understanding on affected molecular mechanisms and interacting environmental factors that could explain the complex underpinnings of the disease. – *Impact paragraph of this thesis*
9. It is important to look at the past to understand the present and obtain an insight into the future. – *Yang et al. (2016) Dementia and Neurocognitive Disorders*
10. Progress is made by trial and failure; the failures are generally a hundred times more numerous than the successes; yet they are usually left unchronicled. – *William Ramsay*
11. The more I learn, the more I realize how much I don't know. – *Albert Einstein*