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ABSTRACT

Background. Diet-induced weight loss is accompanied by adaptive thermogenesis, i.e. a disproportional reduction of resting energy expenditure (REE) a decrease in physical activity and increased movement economy.

Objective. To determine if energy restriction induced adaptive thermogenesis and adaptations in physical activity are related to changes in leptin concentrations.

Methods. Eighty-two healthy subjects (23 men, 59 women), mean ± SD age 41 ± 8 years and BMI 31.9 ± 3.0 kg/m², followed a very low energy diet for 8 weeks with measurements before and after the diet. Leptin concentrations were determined from fasting blood plasma. Body composition was assessed with a three-compartment model based on body weight, total body water (deuterium dilution) and body volume (BodPod). REE was measured (REEm) with a ventilated hood and predicted (REEp) from measured body composition. Adaptive thermogenesis was calculated as REEm/REEp. Parameters for the amount of physical activity were total energy expenditure expressed as a multiple of REEm (PAL), activity-induced energy expenditure divided by body weight (AEE/kg) and activity counts measured by a tri-axial accelerometer. Movement economy was calculated as AEE/kg (MJ/kg/d) divided by activity counts (Mcounts/d).

Results. Subjects lost on average 10.7 ± 4.1% body weight (P < 0.001). Leptin decreased from 26.9 ± 14.3 before to 13.9 ± 11.3 μg/l after the diet (P < 0.001). REEm/REEp after the diet (0.963 ± 0.08) was related to changes in leptin levels (R² = 0.06; P < 0.05). There was no significant correlation between changes in leptin concentrations and changes in amount of physical activity. Movement economy changed from 0.036 ± 0.011 J/kg/count to 0.028 ± 0.010 J/kg/count and was correlated to the changes in leptin concentrations (R² = 0.07; P < 0.05).

Conclusion. During energy restriction, the decrease in leptin explains part of the variation in adaptive thermogenesis. Changes in leptin are not related to the amount of physical activity but could partly explain the increased movement economy.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Energy restriction induced weight loss is characterized by changes that promote a positive energy balance and cause the susceptibility for weight regain. On one side, appetite is elevated until the lost weight is regained [1–4]. On the other side, a decrease in total energy expenditure is often described. Studies performed in lean and obese subjects have shown

Abbreviations: TEE, total energy expenditure; REE, resting energy expenditure; AEE, activity induced energy expenditure; FFM, fat free mass; FM, fat mass; PAL, physical activity level.
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significant reductions in resting energy expenditure (REE) during and shortly after weight loss, to values below predictions based on weight loss and body composition changes [5–10]. The decrease in REE beyond what can be predicted by the loss of fat-free mass (FFM) and fat mass (FM) is defined as adaptive thermogenesis. Additionally, several studies demonstrated a decrease in physical activity and activity induced energy expenditure (AEE) as a result of weight loss [11–15] as well as increased movement economy and skeletal muscle work efficiency [5,16]. Leibel stated that the hyperphagic, hypometabolic phenotype of weight-reduced humans is similar to that of leptin-deficient or leptin-unresponsive rodents [17].

Energy restriction and maintenance of reduced body weight are accompanied by declines in circulating leptin concentrations [18]. This reduction in leptin is seen with different protocols to induce weight loss, indicating a consistent effect [19,20]. Experiments in mice revealed that part of the physiological response to weight loss can be prevented by leptin injections [21]. Subsequent research in humans has indicated that administration of leptin that restores circulating leptin to levels present before weight loss reversed the increased energy intake [22] and decreased energy expenditure [23] as well as changes in sympathetic nervous system tone, thyroid function and movement economy [24]. It is now believed that leptin or similar drug treatment may decrease or diminish the negative physiological consequence of energy restriction and could lead to more successful weight maintenance [25].

Linking adaptive thermogenesis, the decrease in physical activity and increased movement economy to changes in leptin concentrations during weight loss will give further insight in the underlying mechanisms and the pharmacotherapeutic relevance of the focus on leptin. The aim of this study was to determine if energy restriction induced adaptive thermogenesis, change in physical activity and increased movement economy is related to changes in leptin concentrations.

2. Subjects and Methods

2.1. Subjects

Eighty-two healthy subjects (59 women and 23 men) with a mean age of 41 ± 8 years and with a mean body mass index (BMI) of 31.9 ± 3.0 kg/m² were recruited by advertisements in local newspapers and on notice boards at the university. They underwent an initial screening that included measurements of body weight and height and the completion of a questionnaire on general health. All were in good health, not using medication (except for contraception), nonsmokers and at most moderate alcohol consumers. They were weight stable as defined by a weight change <5 kg for at least 3 months prior to the study. The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Maastricht University Medical Centre. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Clinical Trial Registration Number: NCT01015508 at clinicaltrials.gov.

2.2. Study Design

The study consisted of a very low energy diet for 8 weeks. Subjects came to the university for measurements on two occasions: the day before the start of the diet (baseline) and 8 weeks after the start of the diet (end of the diet). On each occasion, measurements included REE followed by body composition and the collection of a blood sample and were performed from 8:00 in the morning onwards in the fasting state. Total energy expenditure (TEE) and activity counts were measured during the two weeks prior to each measurement point.

2.3. Diet

The weight loss diet (Modifast; Nutrition et Santé Benelux, Breda, The Netherlands) was followed for a period of 8 weeks. The diet was a protein-enriched formula that provided 2.1 MJ/day (51.9 grams of protein, 50.2 grams of carbohydrates and 6.9 grams of lipids) and a micronutrient content, which meets the Dutch recommended daily allowance. The very low energy diet was provided to the subjects as sachets with powder. Each sachet represented one meal and 3 sachets were consumed every day. Besides the provided meal-replacements, subjects were allowed to eat vegetables when feeling hungry. Subjects were instructed to mix the powder with the amount of water indicated on the packages and were advised to drink water sufficiently throughout the diet period.

2.4. Body Composition

Height was measured at screening to the nearest 0.1 cm with the use of a wall-mounted stadiometer (model 220; Seca, Hamburg, Germany). Body composition was determined according to Siri’s three-compartment model based on body weight, body volume and total body water [26]. Body weight was measured using a calibrated scale (Life Measurement Corporation, Concord, CA). Body volume was measured via air-displacement plethysmography with the BodPod System (Life Measurement Corporation) [27,28]. Total body water was determined using deuterium dilution during the preceding night, according to the Maastricht protocol [29]. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing body weight by height squared (kg/m²).

2.5. Energy Expenditure

To reach the university for REE measurements, subjects were instructed to travel by public transport or by car to avoid physical activity that would increase REE. After arrival, they rested on a bed for 30 minutes, followed by 30 minutes of measuring their REE in the supine position using an open-circuit ventilated hood-system [30]. Gas analyses were performed by a paramagnetic oxygen analyzer (Servomex, type 500A, Crowborough, East Sussex, UK) and an infrared carbon dioxide analyzer (Servomex, type 500A, Crowborough, East Sussex, UK) while flow was kept at a constant rate of 80 l/min and additionally measured as described by Schoffelen et al. [31]. The within individual coefficient of variation for this system is 3.3% ± 2.1 [30]. Calculation of REE from measured oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production was based on Brouwer’s formula [32].
TEE (n = 38) was measured over two weeks intervals with the doubly labeled water method according to the Maastricht protocol [29]. On the evening of day 1, shortly after the collection of a background urine sample, subjects drank a weighed amount of $^2\text{H}_2^18\text{O}$ such that baseline levels were increased by 100–150 ppm for $^2\text{H}$ and 200–250 ppm for $^{18}\text{O}$. Subsequently, urine samples were collected in the morning of day 2 (second voiding), day 8 and day 14 and in the evening of days 1, 8 and 13. The doubly labeled water method gives precise and accurate information on carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) production. CO$_2$ production was subsequently converted to TEE with the use of the energy equivalent of CO$_2$, which can be calculated with additional information on the substrate mixture being oxidized [33]. The energy equivalent at baseline was calculated based on a normal Western diet with a mixed macronutrient composition and energy respectively for 55% from carbohydrate, 30% from fat and 15% from protein. At the end of the diet, the energy equivalent of CO$_2$ was based on the consumption of the Modifast diet, the actual loss of fat mass and fat free mass and additional energy intake. The additional food intake was the calculated compensation for the difference between weight loss and the expected weight loss based on the consumption of the Modifast diet alone, with the assumption of 1 kg weight change to be equivalent to 30 MJ [34]. The additional food intake was also assumed to be a normal mixed diet.

At baseline, AEE was calculated as $(0.9 \times \text{TEE} – \text{REE})$, assuming diet induced thermogenesis to be 10% of TEE, which is based on a normal mixed diet [35] and diet induced thermogenesis values for the separate macronutrients to be 10% for carbohydrate, 3% for fat and 25% for protein. At the end of the diet, the percentage diet induced thermogenesis was calculated based on the intake of the Modifast diet and the additional food intake with a normal mixed composition, which accounted for the difference between the expected weight loss and the real weight loss. Diet induced thermogenesis was calculated to be 8% of the TEE at the end of the diet; therefore AEE was calculated as $(0.92 \times \text{TEE} – \text{REE})$.

The physical activity level was calculated as $\text{PAL} = \text{TEE/REE}$. AEE was adjusted for body weight ($\text{AEE}_{kg} = \text{AEE/BM}$) to normalize the energy expenditure of physical activity [37].

### 2.6. Physical Activity Monitoring

Physical activity was monitored over two-week intervals using the previously validated DirectLife triaxial accelerometer (DirectLife, Philips Consumer Lifestyle, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). The device is small and lightweight and was carried at an elastic belt around the waist. Subjects were instructed to wear the accelerometer during waking hours, except during showering and water activities. A diary was used to report periods in which the subject was not wearing the accelerometer during the day. The accelerometer output was processed to determine body movement by measuring activity counts. Total activity counts were calculated over the two-week monitoring period, and the sum of counts was divided by the number of monitoring days to determine the average activity counts per day [38,39]. Days during which data were missing or subjects carried the accelerometer for <10 h were excluded and the average was calculated on the remaining data, considering daily physical activity an ergodic process. Subjects with at least seven valid days were included. Following these criteria, no subject was excluded.

### 2.7. Movement Economy

Movement economy was calculated as $\text{AEE/kg (MJ/kg/d)}$ divided by the activity counts (Mcounts/d). The outcome is expressed as J/kg/count.

### 2.8. Blood Parameters

Fasted blood samples were taken and collected in EDTA containing tubes to prevent clotting. Plasma was obtained by centrifugation and stored at $–80 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ until further analysis. Leptin concentrations were measured with the use of human RIA kit (Millipore, St. Charles, MO) with a detection limit of 0.5 ng/ml, an inter-assay precision of 3.6–6.2% and an intra-assay precision of 3.4–8.3%.

### 2.9. Calculations and Statistical Analysis

In addition to measuring REE with the ventilated hood system (REEm), REE was predicted (REEp) with the equation: $\text{REEp (MJ/d)} = 0.024 \times \text{fat mass (kg)} + 0.102 \times \text{fat free mass (kg)} + 0.85$ [40]. Since FM and FFM are used to calculate REEp, the equation can be used independently for gender. Adaptive thermogenesis was calculated as REEm divided by REEp. The obtained ratio was then compared between the different time points. A value above 1 indicates that measured REE is higher than what is expected based on the body composition, and a value lower than 1 indicates that measured REE is lower than what is expected based on the body composition. One-way repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons and one-way between-groups analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) were used to compare the variables across 0 and 8 weeks.

Multiple hierarchical regression analysis has been performed to determine relations and interactions between dependant and independent variables. Age, gender, baseline FM (kg), baseline FFM (kg), change in FM (kg), change in FFM (kg) and baseline dependant variable were used as covariates in all tests; the regression model combining these recurring variables is referred to as the combined model. The data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). All data are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD).

### 3. Results

Subject characteristics at baseline and the results on body composition, energy expenditure, physical activity, movement economy and leptin after the 8 weeks very low energy diet are provided in Table A.1.

#### 3.1. Interaction

The combined model including age, gender, baseline body composition, changes in body composition and baseline value of the dependant variable explained part 47% of the variance in the change in REEm after 8 weeks of diet ($P < 0.001$) with no
significant additional value of the change in leptin concentration (Table A.2).

Six percent of the variation in REEm/REEp after the diet was explained by the decrease in leptin \( (P < 0.05) \) on top of the explained variation by the combined model \( (R^2 = 0.35; P < 0.001) \).

The combined model explained 61% of the variation in the change in PAL \( (P < 0.001) \), 59% of the change in AEE/kg \( (P < 0.001) \) and 23% of the change in activity counts \( (P < 0.01) \) after 8 weeks of diet, with no significant additional explanation from the change in leptin concentration.

Seven percent of the variation in AEE/kg/activity counts after the diet was explained by the decrease in leptin \( (P < 0.05) \) on top of the explained variation by the combined model \( (R^2 = 0.69; P < 0.001) \).

4. Discussion

Measuring energy expenditure, physical activity and circulating leptin concentration before and after a diet showed that the decrease in leptin in response to energy restriction is significantly and independently related to the greater than expected decrease in resting energy expenditure. The decrease in leptin is not correlated to the reduction in the amount of physical activity, however, leptin is independently correlated to the increased movement economy. These results indicate that the change in plasma leptin levels could be part of the underlying mechanism explaining more efficient resting energy expenditure and more efficient physical activity during energy restriction.

Energy restriction leads to a decrease in REE of which only a part can be attributed to the change in body composition. The greater decline is often indicated as adaptive thermogenesis. In accordance with previous findings, our results show a four percent greater decline in REE than expected from the diet-induced weight loss, which was correlated to the change in body composition \([5,10,41,42]\). In addition to these results, the energy restriction induced change in leptin concentration was independently related to the adaptive response, indicating that a greater decrease in leptin correlates with, and could partly explain a greater disproportionate reduction in REE. Previously, leptin had been shown to be correlated to the weight loss induced decrease of REE \([43,44]\); our results specifically indicate a relation with adaptive thermogenesis, in line with Lecoultre et al. \([45]\). Rosenbaum et al. showed that leptin administration during a weight-reduced state returned energy expenditure to pre-weight loss levels \([23,24]\). The combined results suggest a central role for leptin in the underlying mechanisms of metabolic adaptation, where a leptin associated decrease in sympathetic nervous activity seems an important mediator \([46]\), still the exact mechanism remains speculative \([17,47]\).

Energy restriction and weight loss are associated with a reduction in physical activity \([11–13]\) and increased movement economy \([5,16]\) as well. Our results showed a decline of physical activity during the diet and an increase in movement economy, expressed as AEE per kilogram body weight per activity count. Furthermore, there is no significant correlation between the decrease in leptin and the decrease in the amount of physical activity. However, the results do show an independent correlation of the change in leptin levels and the increase in movement economy. In accordance with our results, Doucet et al. described a relation between the changes in leptin concentration and the difference between the predicted and the measured fall in net exercise energy expenditure \([48]\). This implies that leptin does not play a role in the reduction of the amount of physical activity but that it points toward an effect on increased movement economy during energy restriction. Although the exact mechanism is not clear, a decrease in sympathetic nervous activity related to leptin during weight loss seems an important mediator \([46,47]\).

A limitation of this study is generated by the inter-individual variation in the results. However, the observed variation allowed the induced metabolic adaptation to be correlated to a range from less to more successful weight loss and change in leptin levels. With regard to leptin, the researchers understand that 24-h leptin concentrations are preferable but indicate fasting leptin levels are a sufficient measure in a longitudinal study. The presence of a control group with subjects of similar BMI but not subjected to a very low energy diet would have been valuable. Another limitation is that interpreting PAL values during weight changes may be affected by the effect of weight loss on RMR. However, there is no indication for a significant change in the results and moreover, PAL results are in line with the results expressed as AEE/kg and activity counts. One of the main strengths of this study is the use of the gold standard in measuring free-living TEE: doubly labeled water. Therefore, PAL, AEE and AEE/kg reflect the physical activity and energy expenditure in normal daily life and are not confounded by restrictions of body movement. A second strength is the use of two independent methods based on measurement of energy expenditure and body movement.

In conclusion, this study indicates that the change in plasma leptin levels could be part of the underlying mechanism explaining more efficient resting energy expenditure and increased movement economy during energy restriction. Though, the decrease in leptin does not seem to affect the decline in the amount of physical activity during a diet. This confirms leptin as an important target for drug treatment, to diminish the negative physiological consequence of energy restriction and improve weight maintenance.
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### Table A.1 – Subject characteristics (mean ± SD) at baseline, after 8 weeks on a very low energy diet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (n = 82)</th>
<th>8 wk (n = 82)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>92.8 ± 11.9</td>
<td>83.5 ± 11.0***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM (kg)</td>
<td>38.9 ± 7.6</td>
<td>31.5 ± 7.8***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)</td>
<td>53.8 ± 9.3</td>
<td>51.9 ± 8.9***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEm (M/d)</td>
<td>7.29 ± 1.01</td>
<td>6.63 ± 0.88***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEp (M/d)</td>
<td>7.27 ± 0.96</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.90***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEm/REEp</td>
<td>1.004 ± 0.077</td>
<td>0.963 ± 0.073***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM/Loss baseline</td>
<td>0.967 ± 0.0007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEE (M/d)</td>
<td>5.17 ± 1.64</td>
<td>3.64 ± 1.49***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEE/kg</td>
<td>0.056 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.043 ± 0.016***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcounts/d</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.39***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEE/kg/counts (J/kg/count)</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.028 ± 0.010***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (μg/L)</td>
<td>26.9 ± 14.3</td>
<td>13.9 ± 11.3***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One-way repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons and one-way between-groups analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) were used to compare the variables across 0 and 8 weeks. FM, fat mass; FFM, fat free mass; REEm, measured resting energy expenditure; REEp, predicted resting energy expenditure; REEm/REEp, adjusted for weight loss percentage (±SE); AEE, activity induced energy expenditure; PAL, physical activity level.

* P < 0.05 compared with baseline.
** P < 0.01 compared with baseline.
*** P < 0.001 compared with baseline.

### Table A.2 – Multiple regression results of a model combining age, gender, baseline fat mass, baseline fat free mass, fat mass loss, fat free mass loss, baseline dependent variable and change in leptin concentration to predict changes after 8 weeks on a very low energy diet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in REE#</th>
<th>REEm/REEp (8 wk)#</th>
<th>Change in PAL#</th>
<th>Change in AEE/kg#</th>
<th>Change in counts/d#</th>
<th>Change in AEE/kg/counts#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Baseline FM</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline FFM</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in FM</td>
<td>Change in FFM</td>
<td>0.05***</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base line dependent variable#</td>
<td>0.24***</td>
<td>0.22***</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
<td>0.41***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in leptin</td>
<td>0.06*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.61***</td>
<td>0.59***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.47***</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
<td>0.61***</td>
<td>0.59***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple regression analysis results in predicting variation in the change in REE, 8-week REEm/REEp, change in PAL, change in AEE/kg, change in activity counts/d and change in AEE/kg/count (change is the difference between before and after the diet). Significant R²-values are shown for age, gender, baseline fat mass, baseline fat free mass, fat mass loss, fat free mass loss, baseline dependent variable and change in leptin concentration as well as the total model.

REEm, measured resting energy expenditure; REEp, predicted resting energy expenditure; PAL, physical activity level; AEE, activity induced energy expenditure; FM, fat mass; FFM, fat free mass.

* P < 0.05.
*** P < 0.001.
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