

Association of metabolic gene polymorphisms with tobacco consumption in healthy controls

Citation for published version (APA):

Smits, K. M., Benhamou, S., Garte, S., Weijenberg, M. P., Alamanos, Y., Manni, J. J., & Taioli, E. (2004). Association of metabolic gene polymorphisms with tobacco consumption in healthy controls. *International Journal of Cancer*, 110(2), 266-270. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.20114>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2004

DOI:

[10.1002/ijc.20114](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.20114)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:

Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

ASSOCIATION OF METABOLIC GENE POLYMORPHISMS WITH TOBACCO CONSUMPTION IN HEALTHY CONTROLS

Kim M. SMITS¹, Simone BENHAMOU^{2,3}, Seymour GARTE⁴, Matty P. WEIJENBERG¹, Yannis ALAMANOS⁵, Christine AMBROSONE⁶, Herman AUTRUP⁷, Judith L. AUTRUP⁷, Helena BARANOVA⁸, Lisa BATHUM⁸, Paolo BOFFETTA⁹, C. BOUCHARDY³, Jurgen BROCKMOLLER¹⁰, Dorota BUTKIEWICZ¹¹, Ingolf CASCORBI¹², Margie L. CLAPPER¹³, Christiane COUTELLE¹⁴, Ann K. DALY¹⁵, Giacomo MUZI¹⁶, Vita DOLZAN¹⁷, Tatyana G. Duzhak¹⁹, Katrin FARKER¹⁸, Klaus GOLKA¹⁹, Aage HAUGEN²⁰, David W. HEIN²¹, Allan HILDESHEIM²², Ari HIRVONEN²³, Ling L. HSIEH²⁴, Magnus INGELMAN-SUNDBERG²⁵, Ivan KALINA²⁶, Daehee KANG²⁷, Takahiko KATO²⁸, Masahiro KIHARA²⁹, Masako ONO-KIHARA²⁹, Heon KIM³⁰, Chikako KIYOHARA³¹, Pierre KREMERS³², Philip LAZARUS³³, Loic LE MARCHAND³⁴, Maria C. LECHNER³⁵, Stephanie LONDON³⁶, Johannes J. MANNI³⁷, Christine M. MAUGARD³⁸, Gareth J. MORGAN³⁹, Shunji MORITA⁴⁰, Valle NAZAR-STEWART⁴¹, Vessela Nedelcheva KRISTENSEN⁴², Yoshio ODA⁴³, Fritz F. PARL⁴⁴, Wilbert H.M. PETERS⁴⁵, Agneta RANNUG⁴⁶, Timothy REBBECK⁴⁷, Luis F. Ribeiro PINTO⁴⁸, Angela RISCH⁴⁹, Marjorie ROMKES⁵⁰, Jan ŠALAGOVIC²⁶, Bernadette SCHOKET⁵¹, Janeric SEIDEGARD⁵², Peter G. SHIELDS⁵³, Edith SIM⁵⁴, Daniel SINNETT⁵⁵, Richard C. STRANGE⁵⁶, Isabelle STUCKER², Haruhiko SUGIMURA⁵⁷, Jordi TO-FIGUERAS⁵⁸, Paolo VINEIS⁵⁹, Mimi C. YU⁶⁰, Wei ZHENG⁶¹, Paola PEDOTTI⁶² and Emanuela TAIOLI^{62*}

¹University Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands

²INSERM, Villejuif, France

³Geneva Cancer Registry, Geneva, Switzerland

⁴Genetics Research Institute, Milan, Italy

⁵University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece

⁶Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY, USA

⁷University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark

⁸Université d'Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France

⁹International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

¹⁰Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Georg August University, Goettingen, Germany

¹¹Centre of Oncology, Gliwice, Poland

¹²Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

¹³Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA

¹⁴Université de Bordeaux II, Bordeaux, France

¹⁵University of Newcastle, Newcastle, United Kingdom

¹⁶Istituto Medicina del Lavoro, Perugia, Italy

¹⁷University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

¹⁸Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany

¹⁹Institute of Occupational Physiology, University of Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

²⁰National Institute of Occupational Health, Oslo, Norway

²¹University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA

²²National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD, USA

²³Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland

²⁴Chang Gung University, Tao-Yuan, Taiwan

²⁵Karolinska Institutet Stockholm, Stockholm, Sweden

²⁶P.J. Šafárik University Košice, Košice, Slovakia

²⁷College of Medicine, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

²⁸Miyazaki Medical College, Miyazaki, Japan

²⁹Kyoto University School of Public Health, Kyoto, Japan

³⁰Chungbuk National University, Chungbuk, South Korea

³¹Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

³²Institut de Pathologie, Liège, Belgium

³³H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, FL, USA

³⁴University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, USA

³⁵Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

³⁶National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences Research, Triangle Park, NC, USA

Grant sponsor: the Dutch Health Insurance Company Zorgverzekerbaar VGZ; Grant sponsor: the Dutch Cancer Foundation. Grant sponsor: European commission; Grant number: 96/CAN/33919

*Correspondence to: Unit of Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Ospedale Policlinico IRCCS, Padiglione Marangoni, Via F. Sforza 35, 20122 Milano, Italy. Fax: +39-02-55-03-40-55. E-mail: sget@iol.it

Received 16 July 2003; Revised 1 September 2003; Accepted 11 September 2003

DOI 10.1002/ijc.20114

Published online 19 February 2004 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

³⁷University Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands

³⁸Centre René Gauducheau Nantes, Nantes-Saint-Herblain, France

³⁹Leeds General Infirmary, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

⁴⁰Yao Municipal Hospital, Osaka, Japan

⁴¹Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR, USA

⁴²Institute for Cancer Research, Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁴³Kanazawa University, Kanazawa-Ishikawa, Japan

⁴⁴Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

⁴⁵University Hospital Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

⁴⁶Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁴⁷University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁴⁸Department of Bioquímica, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴⁹Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Heidelberg, Germany

⁵⁰University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

⁵¹National Institute of Environmental Health, Budapest, Hungary

⁵²Lund University, Lund, Sweden

⁵³Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA

⁵⁴Department of Pharmacology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

⁵⁵Hôpital Sainte-Justine Montreal, Québec, Canada

⁵⁶Keele University, Staffordshire, United Kingdom

⁵⁷Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, Japan

⁵⁸Hospital Clinic Provincial, Barcelona, Spain

⁵⁹Università Degli Studi di Torino, Torino, Italy

⁶⁰Institute of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

⁶¹Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

⁶²Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology Unit, Ospedale Maggiore Istituto Ricerca e Cura a Carrattere Scientifico, Milan, Italy

Polymorphisms in genes that encode for metabolic enzymes have been associated with variations in enzyme activity between individuals. Such variations could be associated with differences in individual exposure to carcinogens that are metabolized by these genes. In this study, we examine the association between polymorphisms in several metabolic genes and the consumption of tobacco in a large sample of healthy individuals. The database of the International Collaborative Study on Genetic Susceptibility to Environmental Carcinogens was used. All the individuals who were controls from the case-control studies included in the data set with information on smoking habits and on genetic polymorphisms were selected ($n = 20,938$). Sufficient information was available on the following genes that are involved in the metabolism of tobacco smoke constituents: *CYP1A1*, *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, *NAT2* and *GSTP1*. None of the tested genes was clearly associated with smoking behavior. Information on smoking dose, available for a subset of subjects, showed no effect of metabolic gene polymorphisms on the amount of smoking. No association between polymorphisms in the genes studied and tobacco consumption was observed; therefore, no effect of these genes on smoking behavior should be expected.

© 2004 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Key words: pooled analysis; molecular epidemiology; smoking

The association between metabolic gene polymorphisms and diseases such as cancer has been studied extensively.^{1,2} Recently, attention has been drawn to the possible influence of these genetic polymorphisms on risk-related behavior of healthy persons, such as the individual consumption of tobacco. It has been suggested that the extent of tobacco smoking might be influenced by the metabolism of toxic compounds in the smoke,³ and that the level of some tobacco constituents such as nicotine in the body for the same quantity of cigarettes smoked might depend on specific metabolic genotypes.⁴ Dopamine pathways have also been implicated in smoking behavior, possibly with differences by ethnicity.^{5,6} In addition, metabolic gene polymorphisms could be associated with different smoking patterns in healthy individuals by modifying the amount of toxic carcinogens available in the body given the same smoking dose. The question of whether metabolic gene polymorphisms are associated with relevant environmental exposures, such as smoking, is important for several reasons. First,

it would help in understanding the mechanisms through which some metabolic gene polymorphisms are associated with increased risk of cancer of various sites,¹ and it could be of importance for smoking cessation programs.⁷ Furthermore, in the case-only study, a design used for studying gene-gene and gene-environment interaction, independence is required between genetic polymorphisms and exposure.⁸ Such independence has never been tested within a large data set.

We investigated the association between polymorphisms in *CYP1A1*, several *GST* s (*GSTM1*, *GSTP1* and *GSTT1*) and *NAT2* genes and smoking behavior in a large sample of individuals collected in the database of the International Collaborative Study on Genetic Susceptibility to Environmental Carcinogens (GSEC).⁹

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study population

Control subjects were selected from the International Collaborative Study on Genetic Susceptibility to Environmental Carcinogens. This is a collaborative project that collects information from case-control studies on genetic polymorphisms and cancer. Investigators who performed case-control studies on this topic have been contacted and asked to send their original data. The design of this study is explained in detail elsewhere.⁹

Subjects for the present analysis were controls gathered from several case-control studies. Individuals that were identified as carriers of precancerous lesions, such as endometriosis or colon polyposis, were excluded from this study. Subjects without any information on at least one of the selected genes and subjects without information on tobacco consumption were excluded. Sixty-two percent of the subjects were healthy subjects while 38% were hospital controls, *i.e.*, hospital patients admitted for nonneoplastic diseases. Tobacco smoking status was defined as never, ex-, or current smokers. Subjects who smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime were defined as ever smokers. In most studies, information was available to distinguish between current and ex-smokers; the latter group comprised subjects who quit smoking at least 6 months before entering the study. Whenever possible, the average tobacco consumption was expressed as both number of cigarettes smoked per day and cumulative tobacco consumption as pack-years.

We were able to use information on the following polymorphisms: *CYP1A1*, *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, *NAT2* and *GSTP1*. These genes were chosen because they are involved in tobacco products metabolism. The genotypic data on *NAT2* polymorphisms was divided into slow or rapid acetylator status according to the following definition: the presence of at least one *4 allele determines the status of fast acetylator. For *CYP1A1*, we considered the MspI RFLP allele, which is found in the *2A and *2B alleles, for *GSTP1* the Ile-Val polymorphism in codon 105. In all studies, comparable PCR-based techniques were used to determine the genotype of the subjects.

Statistical methods

Frequencies were calculated for the different genes according to smoking status. We calculated crude and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for each genotype according to smoking status. Data were adjusted for study, age, sex and ethnicity using logistic regression models. Breslow-Day test was

used to assess heterogeneity across strata. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 10.0. Differences in mean values of cigarettes smoked per day and pack-years were adjusted by study, age, sex and ethnicity with a multivariate linear model.

RESULTS

The population used for the analyses consisted of 20,938 persons. Of these, 15,193 were Caucasians (72.6%), 1,083 were African Americans (5.2%) and 2,430 were Asians (11.6%). The remaining subjects belonged to other ethnic groups. Table I presents a summary of the data used in the analyses. The association between smoking and the selected genes for the study population is reported in Table II. None of the polymorphisms showed any association with smoking status, although there was a weak association between *GSTM1* deletion and smoking, since subjects with the homozygous deletion were less likely to be current smokers (OR = 0.86; 95% CI = 0.80–0.98) than other subjects. Since smoking frequency and amount are different in men and women, the analysis was repeated after stratification according to gender. The only observed association was between *CYP1A1* polymorphism and ever smoking in men (OR = 0.81; 95% CI = 0.66–0.99). The data were stratified by type of controls (healthy vs. hospital). A positive association between *GSTT1* deletion and smoking status was present in healthy controls, but not in hospital controls. The opposite was observed for *NAT2*, where a positive association was present in hospital controls only. We also analyzed the simultaneous presence of phase 1 and phase 2 metabolic gene

TABLE I – SUMMARY OF DATA INCLUDED IN THIS STUDY

Gene	Number of studies	Number of subjects	Mean age	% of smokers with information on smoking amount
<i>CYP1A1</i>	39	4,447	53.25	43.5
<i>GSTM1</i>	70	10,719	54.50	42.7
<i>GSTT1</i>	44	5,993	53.37	35.5
<i>NAT2</i>	24	4,398	53.96	37.1
<i>GSTP1</i>	19	2,792	49.05	47.3

TABLE II – ASSOCIATION BETWEEN SMOKING AND GENETIC POLYMORPHISMS ACCORDING TO GENDER AND TYPE OF CONTROLS

Gene and smoking status	Total number with wild-type/number with polymorphism ^a	Adjusted OR ^b	Men, adjusted OR ^c	Women, adjusted OR ^c	Healthy controls, adjusted OR ^b	Hospital controls, adjusted OR ^b
<i>CYP1A1</i>						
Never smoker	1,198/535	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Ever smoker	2,025/720	0.94 (0.81–1.09)	0.81 (0.66–0.99)	1.10 (0.90–1.36)	0.89 (0.74–1.08)	1.10 (0.83–1.47)
Never smoker	910/421	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Current smoker	885/389	1.02 (0.85–1.23)	0.87 (0.68–1.11)	1.30 (0.98–1.73)	0.97 (0.78–1.21)	1.36 (0.86–2.14)
Ex-smoker	711/236	0.92 (0.74–1.14) ^d	0.78 (0.59–1.03)	1.14 (0.82–1.59)	0.83 (0.65–1.07)	1.31 (0.76–2.27)
<i>GSTM1</i>						
Never smoker	2,346/2,561	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Ever smoker	3,533/3,491	0.92 (0.85–1.00)	0.90 (0.81–1.01)	0.92 (0.82–1.05)	0.90 (0.81–0.99)	0.92 (0.79–1.07)
Never smoker	1,995/2,182	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Current smoker	1,798/1,749	0.86 (0.80–0.98)	0.84 (0.74–0.96)	0.99 (0.84–1.18)	0.90 (0.80–1.03)	0.85 (0.70–1.02)
Ex-smoker	1,088/1,099	0.94 (0.83–1.05)	1.01 (0.87–1.18)	0.83 (0.69–1.01)	0.97 (0.84–1.12)	0.83 (0.65–1.06)
<i>GSTT1</i>						
Never smoker	2,322/637	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Ever smoker	3,105/899	1.03 (0.90–1.17)	1.10 (0.93–1.31)	0.82 (0.67–1.01)	1.27 (1.06–1.52)	0.87 (0.70–1.09)
Never smoker	1,871/478	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Current smoker	1,365/375	0.99 (0.83–1.18)	0.99 (0.83–1.18)	0.99 (0.83–1.18)	1.22 (0.96–1.56)	0.87 (0.65–1.17)
Ex-smoker	1,088/298	1.07 (0.88–1.30)	1.15 (0.90–1.49)	0.92 (0.66–1.29)	1.30 (1.00–1.70)	1.00 (0.72–1.38)
<i>GSTP1</i>						
Never smoker	740/749	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Ever smoker	1,116/1,140	1.05 (0.90–1.24) ^e	1.18 (0.97–1.42)	0.78 (0.57–1.06)	1.08 (0.89–1.30)	0.97 (0.62–1.51)
Never smoker	700/710	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Current smoker	556/585	1.05 (0.87–1.28)	1.17 (0.94–1.46)	0.67 (0.43–1.04)	1.13 (0.90–1.42)	0.88 (0.54–1.45)
Ex-smoker	422/398	0.97 (0.78–1.20)	1.02 (0.79–1.32)	0.83 (0.56–1.24)	1.00 (0.78–1.29)	0.57 (0.29–1.11)
<i>NAT2</i>						
Never smoker	1,273/1,014	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Ever smoker	1,710/1,356	1.02 (0.90–1.15)	0.95 (0.81–1.12)	1.09 (0.90–1.33)	0.86 (0.74–1.01)	1.28 (0.99–1.65)
Never smoker	1,144/910	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Current smoker	951/711	0.94 (0.81–1.09)	0.92 (0.76–1.11)	0.98 (0.76–1.27)	0.76 (0.63–0.92)	1.40 (1.02–1.94)
Ex-smoker	558/461	1.07 (0.90–1.28)	0.95 (0.76–1.20)	1.33 (1.00–1.77)	0.97 (0.79–1.18)	1.33 (0.84–2.12)

^aNumbers in stratified analyses do not add up to numbers in the overall analyses due to the exclusion of subjects without available information on sex in the stratified analyses. Wild type: med type homozygotes; polymorphism: carrier of at least one polymorphic allele; for *GSTM1* and *GSTT1*, wild-type as wild-type homozygotes or deletion of one allele, polymorphism is deletion of both alleles. ^bOR are adjusted for study, gender, age and ethnicity. ^cOR are adjusted for study, age and ethnicity. ^d*p*-value for heterogeneity = 0.03. ^e*p*-value for heterogeneity = 0.01.

TABLE III – EFFECT OF THE SIMULTANEOUS PRESENCE OF 2 GENE POLYMORPHISMS ON SMOKING HABITS

Gene combination and smoking status	n	Crude OR	Adjusted OR ¹
<i>CYP1A1</i> wt and <i>GSTM1</i> carrier/ <i>CYP1A1</i> heterozygotes + homozygotes and <i>GSTM1</i> complete deletion			
Never smoker	1,455	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Ever smoker	2,447	0.81 (0.68–0.98)	0.91 (0.74–1.11)
<i>CYP1A1</i> wt and <i>GSTT</i> carrier/ <i>CYP1A1</i> heterozygotes + homozygotes and <i>GSTT</i> complete deletion			
Never smoker	1,080	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Current smoker	1,069	0.89 (0.70–1.12)	0.94 (0.73–1.20)
Ex-smoker	892	0.69 (0.54–0.89)	0.83 (0.62–1.10)
<i>GSTM1</i> and <i>GSTT</i> carrier/ <i>GSTM1</i> and <i>GSTT</i> complete deletion			
Never smoker	2,895	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Ever smoker	3,850	0.97 (0.83–1.13)	0.98 (0.83–1.17)
<i>GSTM1</i> and <i>GSTT</i> carrier/ <i>GSTM1</i> and <i>GSTT</i> complete deletion			
Never smoker	2,293	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Current smoker	1,677	1.04 (0.85–1.27)	0.96 (0.76–1.23)
Ex-smoker	1,311	0.98 (0.79–1.22)	1.05 (0.80–1.37)

¹OR adjusted for study, age, sex and ethnicity.

polymorphisms acting on the pathway of smoking metabolism, for example, the variants of *CYP1A1* (heterozygous plus homozygous) and the homozygous deletion of *GSTM1*. The odds of being an ever smoker were decreased in subjects carrying both a *CYP1A1* polymorphic allele and the homozygous deletion in *GSTM1* or in *GSTT1*, although we did not find any significant association (Table III).

Information about tobacco consumption in the whole population and stratified by sex is presented in Table IV. As expected, men smoke more cigarettes per day compared to women. The mean number of pack-years was higher in subjects carrying the *GSTP1* homozygous variant than in subjects with the wild-type genotype. Men with the *NAT2* rapid acetylator genotype had a significantly higher number of pack-years than men with the slow acetylator genotype. No differences were seen in daily amount of smoking; data on number of years smoked were too scarce to allow a separate analysis.

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to investigate the association between polymorphisms in a set of metabolic genes and the consumption of tobacco. The hypothesis behind this analysis is that in individuals with an increased phase 1 and a decreased phase 2 enzyme activity, as a result of genetic polymorphisms, the xenobiotic that is the substrate for those enzymes would reach a higher concentration. Such high concentration would become toxic and would therefore influence smoking habits. Studies that investigated the influence of genetic factors on smoking behavior are very scarce, with contrasting results, and have been concentrated on genes involved in nicotine metabolism.^{4,5,10–14} We decided to investigate the association of genes involved in the metabolism of tobacco carcinogens (*NAT2*, *CYP1A1*, as well as several *GST* s) with smoking behavior in a large population of individuals.

Our results suggest that none of the selected genes have a strong effect on smoking behavior. Information on cumulative tobacco consumption, available for a subset of subjects, showed no association between amount of smoking and metabolic gene polymorphisms. The weak effect of the *GSTP1* Val allele, and of *NAT2* acetylator status in men only, could be due to chance finding due to multiple hypothesis testing. The lack of consistency of such associations in the 2 genders detracts from their biologic plausibility.

TABLE IV – AVERAGE AND CUMULATIVE TOBACCO CONSUMPTION IN THE WHOLE POPULATION OF SMOKERS, AND FOR MEN AND WOMEN ACCORDING TO GENOTYPE

Genotype	Total		Men		Women	
	Cigarettes/day (n)	Pack-years (n)	Cigarettes/day (n)	Pack-years (n)	Cigarettes/day (n)	Pack-years (n)
<i>CYP1A1</i>						
Wild type	19.67 ± 13.38 (923)	29.38 ± 26.72 (1,385)	20.92 ± 13.34 (385)	34.78 ± 27.88 (449)	13.79 ± 9.84 (131)	24.02 ± 20.60 (127)
Heterozygous	19.17 ± 3.11 (349)	28.02 ± 29.82 (453)	21.62 ± 14.03 (176)	37.92 ± 31.35 (170)	13.98 ± 9.72 (48)	30.38 ± 37.22 (53)
Homozygous	21.78 ± 14.56 (88)	34.21 ± 30.64 (100)	20.80 ± 11.34 (54)	36.29 ± 20.92 (51)	14.10 ± 11.34 (10)	32.58 ± 30.65 (12)
<i>GSTM1</i>						
*1/*1 or *1/*0	19.24 ± 12.52 (1,658)	38.06 ± 66.06 (2,323)	18.75 ± 11.08 (768)	48.11 ± 92.70 (935)	15.30 ± 9.06 (196)	42.80 ± 65.46 (246)
*0/*0	19.67 ± 13.13 (1,689)	38.49 ± 63.30 (2,258)	18.78 ± 11.41 (746)	45.54 ± 85.14 (852)	17.72 ± 11.56 (199)	52.27 ± 87.17 (240)
<i>GSTT1</i>						
*1/*1 or *1/*0	18.46 ± 11.59 (1,166)	46.65 ± 93.53 (16,05)	17.41 ± 10.73 (470)	76.73 ± 146.23 (486)	15.37 ± 9.07 (122)	81.09 ± 121.34 (143)
*0/*0	18.82 ± 11.67 (445)	37.84 ± 74.55 (528)	18.65 ± 10.63 (208)	51.26 ± 112.51 (182)	13.65 ± 7.59 (43)	58.10 ± 93.97 (41)
<i>NAT2</i>						
Rapid	17.71 ± 11.21 (425)	50.38 ± 97.27 (678)	15.90 ± 10.62 (184)	70.95 ± 140.00 (260) ^a	19.24 ± 10.51 (103)	68.38 ± 94.96 (94)
Slow	16.63 ± 10.78 (597)	50.31 ± 99.64 (958)	15.74 ± 9.94 (266)	67.17 ± 132.04 (417)	16.75 ± 10.92 (137)	72.60 ± 114.68 (124)
<i>GSTP1</i>						
Wild type	18.64 ± 10.63 (499)	26.46 ± 22.73 (629)	18.07 ± 9.72 (235)	22.83 ± 17.12 (285)	16.58 ± 8.36 (36)	25.62 ± 19.27 (56)
Heterozygous	19.07 ± 10.87 (431)	26.61 ± 24.99 (598)	18.53 ± 9.87 (221)	25.60 ± 26.33 (312)	16.50 ± 9.98 (20)	30.63 ± 26.22 (32)
Homozygous	20.78 ± 12.21 (67)	29.34 ± 25.19 (98) ^b	19.17 ± 12.71 (30)	25.90 ± 24.52 (41)	22.00 ± 9.63 (4)	23.56 ± 21.43 (9)

^ap = 0.008, ^bp = 0.03.

Although, to our knowledge, this is the largest study ever performed on the relationship between genetic polymorphisms and individual consumption of tobacco, some specific groups still included only a small number of individuals; this was particularly true for homozygotes for certain polymorphisms. Therefore, more refined analyses, such as a stratification for both sex and ethnicity, were not possible. The definition of smoker, as well as the amount and duration of smoking, was collected through different questionnaires, therefore some misclassification is possible. However, it is unlikely that the subjects included in this analysis misreported their smoking status on purpose. Also, they did not know their genotypes; as a result, misclassification, if present, should not be related to the genotype.

Differences in laboratory techniques used for analysis of the genotype should not be a major source of bias, since PCR-based techniques currently used to analyze the genotype have become standardized. When looking at type of controls included in the analysis, we observed some differences in the association between genotype and smoking status. Although this results should be taken with caution due to the small sample size included in the subgroup analysis, they underline the well-known differences in smoking status between healthy and hospital controls, although a previous analysis showed no differences in genotype distribution among different types of controls.¹⁵

It must be emphasized that several other polymorphic genes, in addition to the ones analyzed in this study, are involved in tobacco

smoke metabolism. The analysis of the concomitant presence of 2 different gene polymorphisms suggests a stronger association with smoking behavior. Our results do not rule out the possibility that certain haplotypes encompassing several gene polymorphisms would be associated with tobacco smoking. In order to test such hypotheses, even larger number of subjects than the ones included in the present analysis are needed.

In summary, we observed no association between polymorphisms in the genes studied and tobacco consumption, therefore there does not appear to be any relationship of these genes and smoking behavior. If a particular polymorphism had been shown to be associated with smoking behavior, then smoking would be a confounder in studies investigating the relationship between that polymorphism and smoking-induced cancers, such as lung or bladder cancer. The data presented here, derived from a large population, suggest that no such confounding should be present for *CYP1A1*, *GST*s, or *NAT2*. The use of the case-only design for epidemiologic studies including these gene polymorphisms is therefore justified, at least when studying smoking habits. Studies of association between low-penetrance genetic polymorphisms and a disease or behavior such as smoking require large populations in order to be confident of the results. The GSEC project has proven useful for population genetic analyses¹⁵ and for testing a number of hypotheses relating specific low-penetrance gene polymorphisms to a specific cancer.¹⁶

REFERENCES

- Vineis P, Malats N, Lang M, D'Errico A, Caporaso N, Cuzick J, Boffetta P. Metabolic polymorphisms and susceptibility to cancer. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999.
- Raunio H, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Anttila S, Hietanen E, Hirvonen A, Pelkonen O. Diagnosis of polymorphisms in carcinogen-activating and inactivating enzymes and cancer susceptibility: a review. *Gene* 1995;159:113-21.
- Walton R, Johnstone E, Munafo M, Neville M, Griffiths S. Genetic clues to the molecular basis of tobacco addiction and progress towards personalized therapy. *Trends Mol Med* 2001;7:70-6.
- Cholerton S, Arpanahi A, McCracken N, Boustead C, Taber H, Johnstone E, Leathart J, Daly AK, Idle JR. Poor metabolisers of nicotine and CYP2D6 polymorphism. *Lancet* 1994;343:62-3.
- Shields PG, Lerman C, Audrian J, Bowman ED, Main D, Boyd NR, Caporaso NE. Dopamine D4 receptors and the risk of cigarette smoking in African-Americans and Caucasians. *Cancer Epidem Biomark Prev* 1998;7:453-8.
- Lerman C, Berrettini W. Elucidating the role of genetic factors in smoking behavior and nicotine dependence. *Am J Med Genet* 2003;11:48-54.
- McBride CM, Bepler G, Lipkus IM, Lyna P, Samsa G, Albright J, Datta S, Rimer BK. Incorporating genetic susceptibility feedback into a smoking cessation program for African-American smokers with low income. *Cancer Epidem Biomark Prev* 2002;11:521-8.
- Begg CB, Zhang ZF. Statistical analysis of molecular epidemiology studies employing case-series. *Cancer Epidem Biomark Prev* 1994;3:173-5.
- Taioli E. International collaborative study on genetic susceptibility to environmental carcinogens. *Cancer Epidem Biomark Prev* 1999;8:727-8.
- Tyndale RF, Sellers EM. Variable CYP2A6-mediated nicotine metabolism alters smoking behavior and risk. *Drug Metabol Disposition* 2001;29:548-52.
- London SJ, Idle JR, Daly AK, Coetzee GA. Genetic variation of CYP2A6, smoking, and risk of cancer. *Lancet* 1999;353:898-9.
- Caporaso NE, Lerman C, Audrain J, Boyd NR, Main D, Issaq HJ, Utermahlan B, Falk RT, Shields P. Nicotine metabolism and CYP2D6 phenotype in smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biom Prev* 2001;10:261-3.
- Saarikoski ST, Sata F, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Rautalahti M, Haukka J, Impivaara O, Jarvisalo J, Vainio H, Hirvonen A. CYP2D6 ultrarapid metabolizer genotype as a potential modifier of smoking behaviour. *Pharmacogenetics* 2000;10:5-10.
- Cholerton S, Boustead C, Taber H, Arpanahi A, Idle JR. CYP2D6 genotypes in cigarette smokers and non-tobacco users. *Pharmacogenetics* 1996;6:261-3.
- Garte S, Gaspari L, Alexandrie AK, Ambrosone C, Autrup H, Autrup JL, Baranova H, Bathum L, Benhamou S, Boffetta P, Bouchardy C, Breskvar K, et al. Metabolic gene polymorphism frequencies in control populations. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2001;10:1239-48.
- Benhamou S, Lee WJ, Alexandrie A-K, Boffetta P, Bouchardy C, Butkiewicz D, Brockmoller J, Clapper ML, Daly A, Dolzan V, Ford J, Gaspari L, et al. Meta- and pooled analyses of the effects of glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphisms and smoking on lung cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 2002;23:1343-50.