

# DNA methylation markers for early detection of colorectal cancer

## Citation for published version (APA):

Lentjes - Beer, M. (2016). *DNA methylation markers for early detection of colorectal cancer: clinical applicability and biological function*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Uitgeverij BOXPress. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20161202ml>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2016

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20161202ml](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20161202ml)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

## **Valorization**



Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a major burden on the health care system with over 1,4 million newly diagnosed patients and almost 700,000 deaths annually.<sup>1</sup> Because of these numbers, the global economic burden is substantial, with an estimated \$US14-22 billion each year. Most of the costs occur in advanced stage CRC and are related to hospitalization, chemo- and radiotherapy, treatment of related side-effects and supportive care.<sup>2</sup> In the Netherlands, CRC is one of the most frequently diagnosed malignancies with over 15,000 new cases and over 5,000 cancer-related deaths per year.<sup>3</sup> In 2011, €488 million were spent on health care of CRC patients in the Netherlands.<sup>4,5</sup> In order to decrease CRC rates and thus also the economic as well as the social burden, it is generally accepted that the most effective strategy to manage the disease is early detection.<sup>6</sup>

In addition to invasive screening methods, i.e. colonoscopy and sigmoidoscopy, several non-invasive methods have been developed such as the fecal occult blood test (FOBT) and fecal immunochemical hemoglobin test (FIT). It has already been studied that population-based screening using FOBT leads to a reduction in CRC mortality rates.<sup>7-10</sup> Since FIT achieves higher participation and CRC detection rates<sup>11-13</sup>, population-based screening with this test is expected to improve the mortality rates even more. While FIT is cost-effective and is expected to reduce CRC incidence and mortality, its performance can be improved since the test still misses approximately 20% of CRCs and up to 70% of advanced neoplasia.<sup>11,14,15</sup> Lowering the cut-off value increases the detection of advanced neoplasia, but results in a decrease of the positive predictive value leading to unnecessary patient anxiety and costs of follow up examinations.

In order to improve CRC screening, we identified several promoter methylation biomarkers in blood and/or feces. In Chapter 2, the potential of promoter methylation detection of *GATA4* in fecal DNA is investigated, reaching sensitivities and specificities of 51-71% and 84-93%, respectively. Additionally, detection of *GATA5* promoter methylation using blood-based assays as described in chapter 6, yielded a methylation frequency of only 18% with a specificity 99%. *SYNE1* and *FOXE1* obtained respectively detection rates of 47% and 46% with specificities of 96% and 93%. Combining *SYNE1* and *FOXE1* increased the sensitivity to 58% with a minimal decrease of the specificity to 91%. Though these results are promising, the reached sensitivities and specificities are not sufficient for incorporation into a clinical setting. Due to improved fecal and blood DNA isolation and detection techniques, these results might improve in the future. In chapter 4, we showed that detection of *NDRG4* promoter methylation in stool samples identified 53-61% of CRC patients, whereas almost no false positive results were obtained. Before incorporation of a novel biomarker into a screening program can be considered, an optimal sensitivity and specificity should be reached. In addition, extensive validation within the intended target population to confirm the initial results is required.<sup>16</sup> Combining sensitivity and specificity, *NDRG4* is one of the best single early

detection methylation markers published so far. This finding has been validated in independent studies, demonstrating its clinical potential.<sup>17-20</sup> *NDRG4* methylation as a diagnostic marker for CRC has been patented by our group and biomarker company MDxHealth (Irvine, USA, [www.mdxhealth.com](http://www.mdxhealth.com)) and was licensed to Exact Sciences (Madison, USA, [www.exactsciences.com](http://www.exactsciences.com)), a molecular diagnostics company developing a molecular marker test for CRC. Exact Sciences incorporated *NDRG4* in their multi-marker molecular diagnostic CRC screening test called Cologuard<sup>®</sup>, which includes detection of *KRAS* mutations and *NDRG4* and *BMP3* promoter methylation together with a human hemoglobin immunoassay.<sup>16</sup> The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved Cologuard<sup>®</sup> to screen an average-risk adult population of ≥50 years old for CRC.<sup>21</sup> Additionally, many health insurance companies in the United States of America decided to cover the costs of Cologuard<sup>®</sup>.

As in many other countries, a population-based CRC screening program has been introduced in the Netherlands since 2014, in which individuals of 55-75 years or older are offered an immunochemical fecal occult blood test (FIT). If positive, a follow-up colonoscopy will be performed. The costs are funded by the national budget and therefore paid with tax revenues. This does not include the costs of the colonoscopy in the case of a positive FIT, which is paid by the health insurance of the identified individual. Comparison of Cologuard<sup>®</sup> with FIT in a large prospective study, showed a significantly higher detection rate of 85% and a specificity of 95% with the Cologuard<sup>®</sup> test, while the FIT achieved less false positive results.<sup>19</sup> Although the sensitivity of the Cologuard<sup>®</sup> is promising, several adaptations are required before the test can be incorporated in countries such as the Netherlands, in which the logistic system is designed for screenees receiving a FIT which only requires a minimal amount of stool and is feasible for at-home testing. Using Cologuard<sup>®</sup>, whole stool samples have to be transported to a laboratory which is not only a logistic and economic burden but also requires adequate transportation material and preservation buffers. Improvement of Cologuard<sup>®</sup> can be obtained by further development of at-home testing on small amounts of stool. Several factors influence the amount of DNA and its quality, such as sample collection, storage buffers and DNA isolation. In order to increase the yield of high quality DNA, these factors should be optimized.

In conclusion, one of the biomarkers identified in this thesis has been incorporated into a commercial biomarker-assay that detects more CRCs when compared to FIT. If this biomarker assay will be introduced in more CRC screening programs, we expect a decrease in CRC morbidity and mortality and consequently a decrease of the social and economic burden.

## References

1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2015. 65(2):87-108.
2. Frazier AL, Colditz GA, Fuchs CS, et al., Cost-effectiveness of screening for colorectal cancer in the general population. *JAMA* 2000;284(15):1954-1961.
3. Integraal Kankercentrum Nederland. 2015; Available from: [www.iknl.nl](http://www.iknl.nl).
4. Database RKvZ. 2013; Available from: [www.kostenvanziekten.nl](http://www.kostenvanziekten.nl).
5. Jansman FG, Postma MJ, and Brouwers JR. Cost considerations in the treatment of colorectal cancer. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2007;25(7):537-562.
6. Edwards BK, Ward E, Kohler BA, et al. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1975-2006, featuring colorectal cancer trends and impact of interventions (risk factors, screening, and treatment) to reduce future rates. *Cancer* 2010;116(3):544-573.
7. Hardcastle, J.D., Chamberlain, J.O., Robinson, M.H., et al. Randomised controlled trial of faecal-occult-blood screening for colorectal cancer. *Lancet* 1996;348(9040):1472-1477.
8. Mandel JS, Bond JH, Church TR, et al. Reducing mortality from colorectal cancer by screening for fecal occult blood. Minnesota Colon Cancer Control Study. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328(19):1365-1371.
9. Kronborg O, Jorgensen OD, Fenger C, et al. *Randomized study of biennial screening with a faecal occult blood test: results after nine screening rounds. Scand J Gastroenterol* 2004;39(9):846-851.
10. Hewitson P, Glasziou P, Watson E, et al. Cochrane systematic review of colorectal cancer screening using the fecal occult blood test (hemoccult): an update. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103(6):1541-1549.
11. Schreuders EH, Ruco A, Rabeneck L, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: a global overview of existing programmes. *Gut* 2015;64(10):1637-1649.
12. Hol L, van Leerdam ME, van Ballegooijen M, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: randomised trial comparing guaiac-based and immunochemical faecal occult blood testing and flexible sigmoidoscopy. *Gut* 2010;59(1):62-68.
13. van Rossum LG, van Rijn AF, Laheij RJ, et al. Random comparison of guaiac and immunochemical fecal occult blood tests for colorectal cancer in a screening population. *Gastroenterology* 2008;135(1):82-90.
14. Lansdorp-Vogelaar I, Knudsen AB, and Brenner H. Cost-effectiveness of colorectal cancer screening. *Epidemiol Rev* 2011;33:88-100.
15. Chen LS, Liao CS, Chang SH, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis for determining optimal cut-off of immunochemical faecal occult blood test for population-based colorectal cancer screening (KCIS 16). *J Med Screen* 2007;14(4):191-199.
16. Ahlquist DA, Zou H, Domanico M, et al. Next-generation stool DNA test accurately detects colorectal cancer and large adenomas. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142(2):248-56; quiz e25-6.
17. Ahlquist DA, Zou H, Domanico M, et al. Next-generation stool DNA test accurately detects colorectal cancer and large adenomas. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142(2):248-56.
18. Ahlquist DA, Taylor WR, Mahoney DW, et al. The stool DNA test is more accurate than the plasma septin 9 test in detecting colorectal neoplasia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012;10(3):272-277 e1.
19. Imperiale TF, Ransohoff DF, Itzkowitz SH, et al. Multitarget stool DNA testing for colorectal-cancer screening. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370(14):1287-1297.
20. Lidgard GP, Domanico MJ, Bruinsma JJ, et al. Clinical performance of an automated stool DNA assay for detection of colorectal neoplasia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;11(10):1313-1318.
21. A stool DNA test (Cologuard) for colorectal cancer screening. *JAMA* 2014;312(23):2566.