

Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography in clinical practice

Citation for published version (APA):

Lalji, U. (2016). *Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography in clinical practice: results in a screening population*. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20160512ul>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2016

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20160512ul](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20160512ul)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Stellingen behorend bij het proefschrift:

Contrast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography in Clinical Practice;

Results in a screening population

1. CESM can be used as a (primary) imaging tool in clinical practice. (this thesis)
2. Even though the radiation dose of CESM is higher compared to full field digital mammography, it is still within international accepted limits. (Chapter 3 of this thesis).
3. Regarding EUREF image quality criteria, low energy images obtained with CESM are equal compared to full field digital mammography. (Chapter 4 of this thesis)
4. In the workup of women recalled from the breast cancer screening program CESM is not only superior to full field digital mammography, it also improves diagnostic accuracy regardless of radiologist's experience. (Chapter 6 of this thesis)
5. The value of excluding disease in recalls from breast cancer screening programs is underestimated. (Valorization, this thesis)
6. Mammography is the easiest part of radiology, either it's benign or it's not. (Leonard M. Glassman, MD)
7. Statistical significance does not automatically imply clinical relevance (Marc Lobbes and Patty Nelemans)
8. Since beauty is in the eye of the beholder, a beautiful radiological image most often is a bad omen for a patient.
9. Science is built up of facts, as a house is built of stones; but an accumulation of facts is no more a science than a heap of stones is a house." (Henri Poincaré)
10. After climbing a great hill, one only finds that there are many more hills to climb. (Nelson Mandela)