

The domestic impact and effectiveness of the process of state reporting under UN human rights treaties in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Finland: Paper-pushing or policy prompting?

Citation for published version (APA):

Krommendijk, J. (2014). *The domestic impact and effectiveness of the process of state reporting under UN human rights treaties in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Finland: Paper-pushing or policy prompting?* [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Intersentia. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20140703jk>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2014

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20140703jk](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20140703jk)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 20 Apr. 2025

Propositions

The domestic impact and effectiveness of the process of state reporting under UN human rights treaties in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Finland. Paper-pushing or policy prompting?

Jasper Krommendijk
3 July 2014

1. The Concluding Observations are neither paper-pushing nor policy prompting. Rather they serve as “practical props” which give extra strength and legitimacy to the arguments of domestic actors advocating for policy or legislative changes.
2. Had the present research included (developing) countries in transition to a democracy, the conclusion would, most probably, be that the Concluding Observations are less effective in such countries than in the three established liberal democracies studied in this book.
3. The reason why the Concluding Observations have been more effective in Finland than in the Netherlands is reflective of the self-righteous and defensive attitude of Dutch politicians and government officials.
4. UN human rights treaty bodies should do less instead of more in the context of state reporting: it is essential for treaty bodies to prioritise and focus on the most important issues instead of trying to cover everything.
5. One should be careful of continuously proclaiming new human rights and developing new human rights treaties, such as rights of older persons or the right to peace.
6. A true human rights culture is still in its infancy in the Netherlands: human rights are seen as primarily relevant for others and the knowledge about human rights among Dutch politicians and the wider public is limited.
7. The law in the books does not always fully reflect the law in practice; legal scholarship should therefore be complemented by other disciplines.
8. International decision makers do not truly mean it when they reiterate “Never again” after each genocide or atrocity in an empty, mantra-like way.
9. Every PhD candidate should have a right to a free membership of a sports club with at least sauna facilities and a kids club, since this is essential to the successful and timely completion of the dissertation.
10. *‘Questions of science, science and progress do not speak as loud as my heart’*
Coldplay – ‘The scientist’