

# Proximal esophageal cancer

Citation for published version (APA):

de Vos-Geelen, J. (2021). *Proximal esophageal cancer*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Maastricht University. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20210625jv>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2021

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20210625jv](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20210625jv)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

## Impact paragraph

In this thesis, we explored a number of scientific questions in an attempt to provide answers for clinical problems which may be encountered in everyday practice in (proximal) esophageal cancer. In this chapter, the relevance of the results described in this thesis and their scientific and social impact will be discussed.

## Reinforced real-world data

Esophageal cancer is the seventh leading type of cancer worldwide (572.034 new cases in 2018), and tenth in the Netherlands (2.456 new cases in 2018).<sup>1</sup> Although the absolute number of deaths has decreased, esophageal cancer covers the sixth place in cancer-related mortality globally and in the Netherlands.<sup>1</sup> Over the past decades, several developments in esophageal cancer care have been established, such as the implementation of neoadjuvant chemoradiation,<sup>2,3</sup> definitive chemoradiation,<sup>4</sup> and centralization of care in the Netherlands.<sup>5</sup> Cancer of the proximal esophagus is a distinct and rare entity. Due to its location, i.e. adjacent vital structures, the treatment approach of these cancers differs from cancers from lower parts of the esophagus, by predominantly performing non-surgical therapy. In addition, patients with proximal esophageal cancer are frequently excluded from esophageal trial participation and, as a result, no separate high level evidence has been achieved. Hence, research data from large populations in Western countries are necessary to optimize patient counseling in the Netherlands. This thesis has added value to clinical practice as it provides insights in the characteristics of proximal esophageal cancer patients, their treatment options, and it offers real-world survival data.

Overall, outcome of patients with (proximal) esophageal has improved over the past decades. We revealed that the broad implementation of combined modality treatment with chemoradiation was associated with this progress. We found that in proximal esophageal cancer, outcome in patients treated with surgery, with or without neoadjuvant therapy, was comparable with patients treated with the non-surgical strategy of definitive chemoradiation. Concerning high morbidity rates and decreased quality of life associated with the surgical procedures for proximal esophageal cancer, it is essential to focus on the multimodality treatment of chemoradiation, appreciating patients' quality of life but yet maintaining survival prospects.

## Enhanced chemoradiation strategies

Accordingly, this thesis focused on the identification of an optimal chemoradiation regimen for patients with proximal esophageal cancer. By performing a comparative effectiveness study of four contemporary chemoradiation schedules, we provided relevant information regarding risks of toxicity, and concerns of non-adherence mainly caused by adverse events. Furthermore, we demonstrated a suboptimal outcome based on high rates of locoregional residual disease or recurrence, with limited salvage treatment options.

Superiority of carboplatin plus paclitaxel versus cisplatin in definitive chemoradiation schedules for the treatment of proximal esophageal cancer could not be established in terms of overall survival. However, the toxicity profile was significantly better in patients treated with carboplatin plus paclitaxel compared with cisplatin. Hence, we concluded that carboplatin plus paclitaxel-based chemoradiation should be regarded as the standard of care in proximal esophageal cancer. This statement was supported by the Dutch Upper GI Cancer Group (DUCG) and the National Working Group Head Neck Cancer (NWHHT), following national scientific discussion sessions. In December 2020, a survey during a DUCG meeting following the presentation of our study results, demonstrated that 22 out of 24 Dutch physicians involved in esophageal cancer treatment, implemented carboplatin plus paclitaxel in chemoradiation for proximal esophageal cancer nowadays. This is a significant increase compared with 53% of the patients included in our study being treated with carboplatin plus paclitaxel between 2004 and 2014, enrolled from 11 centers in the Netherlands. The decision to implement carboplatin plus paclitaxel based regimens is strengthened by the conveniences and reduced direct healthcare costs associated with a shift towards outpatient treatment and lower numbers of high grade adverse events expected to minimize treatment-related hospitalization days, contributing to economic gain.

More importantly, patients will benefit directly from the substantial reduction of toxicities of carboplatin plus paclitaxel compared with cisplatin-based backbone used in chemoradiation. Specifically, in a disease like (proximal) esophageal cancer with this poor outcome it is essential that patients maintain the best achievable quality of life during treatment. This includes as little as possible hospitalization days for treatment and/or due to serious treatment-related side effects.

Various strategies have been addressed in the 'Future perspectives' paragraph for further optimization of chemoradiation. We are awaiting efficacy data of proton therapy, MRI guided radiotherapy, and immunotherapy in definitive chemoradiation schedules for esophageal cancer. However, in other cancers, the implementation of

these innovative treatment options are subject of debate to balance high quality health care programs and keeping health care budget within limits, in order to maintain accessibility of care for the total population.

## Treatment counseling

Several aspects have been explored in our studies that may help to optimize quality of patient care. We offer input to improve patient counseling in esophageal cancer, and implement the most optimal chemoradiation schedule in proximal esophageal cancer. Particularly in patients with resectable proximal esophageal cancer, the provided information is crucial for treatment counseling. For each individual patient, clinically meaningful treatment possibilities can be depicted in a more careful way. By discussing potential treatment options, higher satisfaction rates of patients in terms of awareness of side effects and survival outcome are obtained, considering patient values, treatment preferences and restrain psychological distress.<sup>6</sup> Hence, as a result narrowing the perception-reality gap. It is known that treatment tolerance and adherence amongst patients is highest when patients are extensively informed of the risks of the distinct treatment trajectories.<sup>7</sup>

## Follow-up after initial curative treatment

In this thesis, we further explored outcome of the subgroup of patients with the best perspective, i.e. clinical complete responders following chemoradiation. We showed that most recurrences in this subset of patients with proximal esophageal cancer occurred within the radiation field and within the first three years after primary definitive chemoradiation therapy. Whether or not follow-up after definitive chemoradiation for esophageal cancer is useful remains controversial. Hence, recommendations by NCCN and ESMO guidelines are inconsistent regarding follow-up strategies and duration of surveillance.<sup>8,9</sup> Considering the burden of prolonged follow-up on patients quality of life and health care costs, we state that restricting follow-up to three years following definitive chemoradiation for proximal esophageal cancer is to be considered. Unless other factors regarding patients recovery, e.g. repeated dilatations, dietary or psychosocial needs, require continued surveillance. It would be of great interest to study the influence of different novel methods of follow-up on the timing and rate of detection of residual disease or locoregional recurrence, e.g. diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI),<sup>10</sup> a radiomics nomogram model,<sup>11</sup> or

circulating tumor DNA.<sup>12</sup> In addition, benefits and strains of salvage treatments should further be addressed, reinforcing quality of life. Better pre-selection of patients will lead to less (avoidable) burden of invasive strategies for patients and their multidisciplinary expertise team.

Furthermore, research aiming to establish the effect of surveillance for early detection of second primary tumors may be of importance, considering the high occurrence of a second squamous cell cancer due to the close association of alcohol and smoking disposition.<sup>13</sup>

To optimize awareness amongst physicians in esophageal cancer care, the findings of this thesis were presented at the scientific meetings of DUCG and NWHHT, and at the national congress of the Netherlands Medical Oncology Working group (NVMO). Moreover, it is proposed to insert a separate paragraph in the national guideline of esophageal cancer treatment, highlighting the therapeutic options and their burden in patients with proximal esophageal cancer.

The preceding aspects delineate that further research in (proximal) esophageal cancer is not only crucial from a patients' point of view by providing progress in treatment and subsequent outcome, but also has the potential to result in an economic and societal benefit. Accordingly, we consider the results of our research as a step forward in esophageal cancer patient care.

## References

1. Ferlay, J., et al., Global Cancer Observatory: Cancer Today. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer. Available from: <https://gco.iarc.fr/today> (accessed November 23, 2020).
2. Tepper, J., et al., Phase III trial of trimodality therapy with cisplatin, fluorouracil, radiotherapy, and surgery compared with surgery alone for esophageal cancer: CALGB 9781. *J Clin Oncol*, 2008. 26(7): p. 1086-92.
3. van Hagen, P., et al., Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy for Esophageal or Junctional Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2012. 366(22): p. 2074-2084.
4. Cooper, J.S., et al., Chemoradiotherapy of locally advanced esophageal cancer: long-term follow-up of a prospective randomized trial (RTOG 85-01). Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. *Jama*, 1999. 281(17): p. 1623-7.
5. Dikken, J.L., et al., Effect of hospital volume on postoperative mortality and survival after oesophageal and gastric cancer surgery in the Netherlands between 1989 and 2009. *European Journal of Cancer*, 2012. 48(7): p. 1004-1013.
6. Stiggelbout, A.M., et al., Shared decision making: really putting patients at the centre of healthcare. *Bmj*, 2012. 344: p. e256.
7. LeBlanc, T.W., 'Shared decision-making, preferences, risk-tolerance, and the cancer patient experience'. *Expert Review of Quality of Life in Cancer Care*, 2017. 2(6): p. 275-277.
8. Lordick, F., et al., Oesophageal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol*, 2016. 27(suppl 5): p. v50-v57.
9. Ajani, J.A., et al., Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers, Version 2.2019, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*, 2019. 17(7): p. 855-883.
10. Borggreve, A.S., et al., Preoperative Prediction of Pathologic Response to Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy in Patients With Esophageal Cancer Using (18)F-FDG PET/CT and DW-MRI: A Prospective Multicenter Study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2020. 106(5): p. 998-1009.
11. Qiu, Q., et al., Development and Validation of a Radiomics Nomogram Model for Predicting Postoperative Recurrence in Patients With Esophageal Squamous Cell Cancer Who Achieved pCR After Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy Followed by Surgery. *Front Oncol*, 2020. 10: p. 1398.
12. Azad, T.D., et al., Circulating Tumor DNA Analysis for Detection of Minimal Residual Disease After Chemoradiotherapy for Localized Esophageal Cancer. *Gastroenterology*, 2020. 158(3): p. 494-505.e6.
13. Mitani, S., et al., Risk of second primary malignancies after definitive treatment for esophageal cancer: A competing risk analysis. *Cancer Med*, 2020. 9(1): p. 394-400.