

Our climate, our underground

Citation for published version (APA):

van Oost, B. (2016). *Our climate, our underground: understanding the slow implementation of carbon capture and storage*. Maastricht University.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2016

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Our climate, our underground

Understanding the slow implementation of carbon capture and storage

door Bart van Oost

1. A perspective on imaginaries, frames/overflows and boundary work helps to understand how democratic societies try to govern timely implementation of CCS and why this is so difficult (this dissertation).
2. Dutch CCS projects are informed by, and reinforce, the socio-technical imaginary of a 'networked nation' (Chapter 2).
3. Framing the necessity of CCS as a national issue made it more difficult to come to an authoritative verdict on the safety of CCS in case of the Barendrecht project (Chapter 3).
4. To introduce a stringent CO₂ standard for new coal-fired power plants, regulators will have to circumvent demonstrator's regress (Chapter 4).
5. One can offer a critical perspective on socio-technical change without choosing sides 'pro' or 'against' a studied technology.
6. Transcribing interviews is an important first step in the analysis of collected data. Qualitative researchers should therefore resist using automated transcription software.
7. Researchers in Science & Technology Studies (STS) have no privileged position in discussions on the democratization of science and technology.
8. Calculations of the future role of CCS are performative.
9. It is ironic that fossil-based energy companies who emphasize the promise of CCS when applying for government support emphasize the uncertainties involved when negotiating regulations that may require the actual use of CCS.
10. "If we named things what they are, our sentences would be monsoons, long rains of sound." (Bob Hicok, *Toward accuracy*)