Microvascular endothelial dysfunction is associated with albuminuria
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Albuminuria is thought to be a biomarker of microvascular and macrovascular endothelial dysfunction. However, direct evidence for an association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with albuminuria is limited. In addition, experimental data suggest a stronger association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with albuminuria in individuals with than in those without diabetes.

**Methods:** We examined cross-sectional associations of flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation \((n = 2095)\) and heat-induced skin hyperemia \((n = 1508)\) with 24-h albuminuria in the population-based, diabetes-enriched Maastricht Study. We used linear regression analyses to adjust for age, sex, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease risk factors. In addition, we tested for statistical interaction with type 2 diabetes.

**Results:** Median [interquartile range] albuminuria was 6.5 [3.9–11.6] mg/24h and 8.2% had albuminuria at least 30 mg/24h. After adjustment, albuminuria was 1.168 [3.9–11.6] mg/24 h and 8.2% had albuminuria at least 30 mg/24h. Further, each 100 percentage points lower heat-induced skin hyperemia was associated with a 1.022 (1.010–1.035) times greater albuminuria in participants with type 2 diabetes \((P_{interaction} < 0.10)\).

**Conclusion:** This is the first population-based study that provides direct evidence that microvascular endothelial dysfunction is associated with albuminuria, and that this association is stronger in individuals with than in those without type 2 diabetes.
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**Abbreviations:** CKD-EPI, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DVA, dynamic vessel analyzer; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1C, hemoglobin A1C; NO, nitric oxide; Q, quartile; UAE, urinary albumin excretion; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor

**INTRODUCTION**

Albuminuria is a marker of risk of not only renal failure and cardiovascular disease (CVD) \([1]\), but also of retinopathy \([2]\), heart failure \([3]\), impaired cognitive performance \([4]\), and depression \([5]\). A leading hypothesis to explain these associations is that albuminuria is a biomarker of generalized (i.e. microvascular and macrovascular) endothelial dysfunction \([2,5–8]\).

This concept posits that endothelial dysfunction of renal arterioles and capillaries (i.e. the renal microcirculation) increases intraglomerular pressure and glomerular capillary wall permeability, and thereby causes albuminuria \([6]\). Concomitantly, endothelial dysfunction of the extrarenal microcirculation contributes to retinopathy, cognitive decline, depression, and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction \([2,5,7,8]\), whilst endothelial dysfunction in coronary and carotid arteries (i.e. the macrocirculation) leads to atherothrombotic CVD \([6]\).

Indeed, there is strong evidence for the presence of endothelial dysfunction in the macrocirculation of individuals with albuminuria \([9–11]\).

In contrast, evidence for endothelial dysfunction in the microcirculation of individuals with albuminuria is primarily indirect. It derives mainly from studies using plasma biomarkers \([12–14]\) and the transcapillary escape rate of albumin \([15,16]\). In addition, for individuals with diabetes only, support comes from studies using strain-gauge plethysmography following forearm ischemia \([17]\), and
laser Doppler flowmetry following either iontophoresis of acetylcholine and sodium nitroprusside [18], or arterial occlusion [19].

Importantly, experimental data suggest that the association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with albuminuria may be stronger in individuals with diabetes than in nondiabetic individuals [20,21].

In view of the above, we examined, in a population-based cohort study of individuals without and with type 2 diabetes, whether direct measures of microvascular endothelial dysfunction are associated with albuminuria. For this purpose, we assessed flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia. These responses are thought to involve the endothelial release of NO as well as other vasodilators [22,23], and can be assessed noninvasively [22,23] and reproducibly [24,25] with the Dynamic Vessel Analyzer (DVA) [22] and laser Doppler flowmetry [23], respectively. Notwithstanding these similarities, both methods provide information on different vessel types and allow testing of vessel-reactivity to different stimuli and of different vessel responses. In addition, we examined whether any association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with albuminuria is stronger in individuals with type 2 diabetes than in those without.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The Maastricht Study population and design

We used data from the Maastricht Study, an observational prospective population-based cohort study. The rationale and methodology have been described previously [26]. In brief, the study focuses on the etiology, pathophysiology, complications and comorbidities of type 2 diabetes, and is characterized by an extensive phenotyping approach. Eligible for participation were all individuals aged between 40 and 75 years and living in the southern part of the Netherlands. Participants were recruited through mass mailings and from the regional Diabetes Patient Registry via mailings. Recruitment was stratified according to known type 2 diabetes status, with an oversampling of individuals with type 2 diabetes, for reasons of efficiency. The present report includes cross-sectional data from the first 3451 participants, who completed the baseline survey between November 2010 and September 2013. The examinations of each participant were performed within a time window of 3 months. The study has been approved by the institutional medical ethical committee (NL31329.068.10) and the Minister of Health, Welfare and Sports of the Netherlands (Permit 131088-105234-PG), and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave written informed consent.

Assessment of microvascular endothelial function

All participants were requested to refrain from smoking and drinking caffeine-containing beverages 3 h before the measurements. A light meal (breakfast and/or lunch) low in fat content was allowed at least 90 min before the start of the measurements [27].

Flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation

The retinal arteriolar response to flicker light was assessed with the DVA (Imedos, Jena, Germany) as described previously [27]. Briefly, pupils were dilated with 0.5% tropicamide and 2.5% phenylephrine at least 15 min prior to the start of the examination. For safety reasons, participants with an intraocular pressure more than 30 mmHg were excluded from the measurements. Per participant, either the left or right eye was selected depending on the time of day the measurement was performed and without reference to participant characteristics. A straight arteriolar segment of approximately 1.5 mm in length located 0.5–2 disc diameter from the margin of the optic disc in the temporal section was examined. Vessel diameter was automatically and continuously measured for 150 s. A baseline recording of 50 s was followed by a 40-s flicker light exposure period (flicker frequency 12.5 Hz, bright-to-dark contrast ratio 25:1), followed by a 60-s recovery period. Baseline retinal arteriolar diameter and flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation were automatically calculated with the integrated DVA software (version 4.51; Imedos, Jena, Germany). Baseline retinal arteriolar diameter was calculated as the average diameter of the 20–50 s recording and was expressed in measurement units, where one measurement unit is equal to 1 μm of the Gullstrand eye. Flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation was expressed as the percentage retinal arteriolar dilation over baseline and based on the average dilation achieved at time-points 10 and 40 s during the flicker stimulation period.

Heat-induced skin hyperemia

Heat-induced skin hyperemia was assessed with a laser Doppler system (Periflux 5000; Perimed, Stockholm, Sweden), equipped with a thermostatic laser Doppler probe (PF457; Perimed) at the dorsal side of the wrist of the left hand, as described previously [27]. Briefly, skin blood flow measurements were performed in a climate-controlled room at 24 °C. The laser Doppler output was recorded for 25 min with a sample rate of 32 Hz, which gives semi-quantitative assessment of skin blood flow expressed in arbitrary perfusion units. Skin blood flow was first recorded unheated for 2 min to serve as a baseline. Thereafter, the temperature of the probe was rapidly and locally increased to 44 °C, and then kept constant until the end of the registration. In 596 individuals, heat-induced skin hyperemia measurements were recorded between 20 and 25 min. These data were extrapolated to 25 min by adding the product of the average arbitrary perfusion units during the last completely recorded 1-min interval and a correction factor of 1.017, to the average arbitrary perfusion units during the recorded time, and taking the recording time into account. The correction factor of 1.017 was the ratio of the average arbitrary perfusion units in the 20–25 min interval (based on all available data) relative to the 19–20 min interval. The heat-induced skin hyperemic response was expressed as the percentage increase in average arbitrary perfusion units during the 23 min heating phase over the average baseline arbitrary perfusion units.
**Albuminuria**

To assess urinary albumin excretion (UAE), participants were requested to collect two 24-h urine collections (Supplemental Methods, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898). UAE was expressed both as a continuous and as a categorical variable (<15 mg/24 h, 15 to <30 mg/24 h, and ≥30 mg/24 h), in agreement with the fact that an association with (cardiovascular) disease already exists below the clinical cut-off value of 30 mg/24 h [1]. These definitions were preferably based on the average of two (available in 91.5% of the participants) 24-h urine collections.

**Potential confounders**

We assessed fasting glucose, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), glucose metabolism status, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, BMI, waist circumference, office blood pressure, 24-h average ambulatory blood pressure, medication use, smoking behavior, alcohol consumption, educational level, prevalent CVD, and self-reported physical activity, as described previously [26]. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was estimated with the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation based on both serum creatinine and serum cystatin C (eGFRcreys) [28]. Further details on the definitions of the above potential confounders are provided in the Supplemental Methods, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898. In addition, we assessed the retinopathy status as described in the Supplemental Methods, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898.

**Statistical analyses**

All analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York, USA) in the population with complete data on all variables used in the fully adjusted model. Characteristics of the entire study population and according to albuminuria categories were summarized as means with standard deviations (SD), medians with interquartile ranges, and numbers with percentages, as appropriate.

The correlation between flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia was assessed with a Pearson correlation coefficient.

Baseline retinal arteriolar diameter and flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation were categorized into quartiles as the associations of flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation with albuminuria seemed to be nonlinear. The quartile with the largest baseline retinal arteriolar diameter and the quartile with the greatest flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation served as reference categories. Baseline skin perfusion and heat-induced skin hyperemia were analyzed as continuous variables and expressed per one arbitrary perfusion unit lower baseline skin perfusion and per 100 percentage points lower heat-induced skin hyperemia, respectively.

Associations of baseline retinal arteriolar diameter, flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation, baseline skin perfusion, and heat-induced skin hyperemia with UAE, expressed as a continuous variable, were examined with multivariable linear regression analyses. UAE was highly positively skewed and had to be transformed by taking the inverse square root to fulfill the normality assumption of linear regression. However, as the results obtained with natural logarithm transformed UAE were qualitatively similar and are more easily interpretable, these results are presented. The regression coefficients were exponentiated to obtain the ratio of UAE per one unit increase in the independent variable. In addition, we performed multinomial logistic regression analyses to examine the associations with UAE expressed as a categorical dependent variable (<15 mg/24 h served as the reference category). All analyses were adjusted for potential confounders as follows: model 1, unadjusted model; model 2, age, sex, glucose metabolism status; model 3a, model 2 combined with waist circumference, total-to-HDL cholesterol ratio, triglycerides, use of lipid-modifying medication, office SBP, use of antihypertensive medication (including renin–angiotensin system inhibitors), eGFRcreys, prevalent CVD, smoking behavior, alcohol consumption, educational level; model 3b, as model 3a but adjusted for 24-h average ambulatory SBP instead of office SBP.

In addition, we tested for statistical interaction with type 2 diabetes (Pinteraction <0.10 was considered statistically significant). In these analyses, participants with normal glucose metabolism and prediabetes (Supplemental Methods, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898) were combined into one category, because of the small number of participants with prediabetes and the even smaller number of participants in the respective albuminuria categories in this group.

We performed several additional analyses, each starting from model 3a. First, we tested for statistical interaction with HbA1c and eGFR to explore whether hyperglycemia and glomerular hyperfiltration [29,30], respectively, modified our results. Second, we performed several analyses to assess the robustness of our results. These are described in the Supplemental Methods, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of the study population**

Supplemental Figure 1, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898 is a flow diagram delineating the derivation of the final study populations. In total, 2095 participants were included in the analyses on flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation (retina study population) and 1508 in the analyses on heat-induced skin hyperemia (skin study population). None of the participants was on dialysis. The characteristics shown in Table 1 of included versus excluded participants because of missing data were largely comparable (data not shown).

Table 1 and Supplemental Table 1, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898 present the characteristics of the retina and skin study populations, respectively, according to albuminuria categories. The characteristics of both study populations were similar. In general, participants with higher UAE were older and more often men; more often had type 2 diabetes, hypertension and retinopathy; had a lower eGFR based on both serum creatinine and serum cystatin C (eGFRcreys) and a worse CVD risk profile; and
### TABLE 1. Characteristics of the retina study population stratified according to albuminuria categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Entire population (n = 2095)</th>
<th>Less than 5 mg/24 h (n = 1707)</th>
<th>15 to less than 30 mg/24 h (n = 216)</th>
<th>At least 30 mg/24 h (n = 172)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.7 ± 8.3</td>
<td>59.1 ± 8.2</td>
<td>61.7 ± 8.4</td>
<td>63.3 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1075 (51.3%)</td>
<td>824 (48.3%)</td>
<td>129 (59.7%)</td>
<td>122 (70.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>332 (15.8%)</td>
<td>247 (14.5%)</td>
<td>36 (16.7%)</td>
<td>49 (28.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>898 (42.9%)</td>
<td>745 (43.6%)</td>
<td>93 (43.1%)</td>
<td>60 (34.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>865 (41.3%)</td>
<td>715 (41.9%)</td>
<td>87 (40.3%)</td>
<td>63 (36.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>328 (15.7%)</td>
<td>239 (14.0%)</td>
<td>39 (18.1%)</td>
<td>50 (29.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifestyle variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoker</td>
<td>737 (35.2%)</td>
<td>630 (36.9%)</td>
<td>64 (29.6%)</td>
<td>43 (25.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker</td>
<td>1104 (52.7%)</td>
<td>881 (51.6%)</td>
<td>126 (58.3%)</td>
<td>97 (56.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>254 (12.1%)</td>
<td>196 (11.5%)</td>
<td>26 (12.0%)</td>
<td>32 (18.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>377 (18.0%)</td>
<td>294 (17.2%)</td>
<td>36 (16.7%)</td>
<td>47 (27.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (women, ≤7 glasses/week; men, ≤14 glasses/week)</td>
<td>1188 (56.7%)</td>
<td>979 (57.4%)</td>
<td>124 (57.4%)</td>
<td>85 (49.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (women &gt;7 glasses/week; men &gt;14 glasses/week)</td>
<td>530 (25.3%)</td>
<td>434 (25.4%)</td>
<td>56 (25.9%)</td>
<td>40 (23.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolic variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI categories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight (&lt;25 kg/m²)</td>
<td>759 (36.2%)</td>
<td>674 (39.5%)</td>
<td>52 (24.1%)</td>
<td>33 (19.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (25 to &lt;30 kg/m²)</td>
<td>890 (42.5%)</td>
<td>714 (41.9%)</td>
<td>104 (48.1%)</td>
<td>72 (41.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (≥30 kg/m²)</td>
<td>445 (21.3%)</td>
<td>318 (18.6%)</td>
<td>60 (27.8%)</td>
<td>67 (39.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>101.1 ± 11.8</td>
<td>99.7 ± 11.3</td>
<td>104.4 ± 10.7</td>
<td>106.8 ± 13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>89.3 ± 12.6</td>
<td>88.5 ± 12.0</td>
<td>91.8 ± 14.6</td>
<td>97.7 ± 15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>135.0 ± 18.0</td>
<td>133.3 ± 17.4</td>
<td>140.4 ± 17.7</td>
<td>144.6 ± 19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>76.4 ± 9.9</td>
<td>76.0 ± 9.8</td>
<td>77.8 ± 10.6</td>
<td>78.0 ± 9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h average ambulatory SBP (mmHg)*</td>
<td>119.1 ± 11.6</td>
<td>117.8 ± 10.9</td>
<td>123.5 ± 11.9</td>
<td>126.7 ± 13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h average ambulatory DBP (mmHg)*</td>
<td>73.5 ± 7.2</td>
<td>73.2 ± 7.0</td>
<td>75.1 ± 8.4</td>
<td>74.8 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1166 (55.7%)</td>
<td>871 (51.0%)</td>
<td>151 (69.9%)</td>
<td>144 (83.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose metabolism status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal glucose metabolism</td>
<td>1197 (57.1%)</td>
<td>1053 (61.7%)</td>
<td>91 (42.1%)</td>
<td>53 (30.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired fasting glucose</td>
<td>85 (4.1%)</td>
<td>70 (4.1%)</td>
<td>10 (4.6%)</td>
<td>5 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired glucose tolerance</td>
<td>239 (11.4%)</td>
<td>206 (12.1%)</td>
<td>20 (9.3%)</td>
<td>13 (7.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>574 (27.4%)</td>
<td>378 (22.1%)</td>
<td>95 (44.0%)</td>
<td>101 (58.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1C (%)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.22 [0.88–1.72]</td>
<td>1.18 [0.86–1.66]</td>
<td>1.31 [0.97–1.90]</td>
<td>1.49 [1.10–2.23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-to-HDL cholesterol ratio</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kidney function</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min per 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>88.3 ± 14.7</td>
<td>89.1 ± 13.8</td>
<td>87.0 ± 16.4</td>
<td>81.8 ± 18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary albumin excretion (mg/24 h)</td>
<td>6.5 [3.9–11.6]</td>
<td>5.4 [3.6–8.1]</td>
<td>19.3 [16.4–23.4]</td>
<td>62.3 [40.5–109.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary albumin excretion categories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15 mg/24 h</td>
<td>1707 (81.5%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to &lt;30 mg/24 h</td>
<td>216 (10.3%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30 mg/24 h</td>
<td>172 (8.2%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medication</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive medication (including renin-angiotensin system inhibitors)</td>
<td>806 (38.5%)</td>
<td>587 (34.4%)</td>
<td>101 (46.8%)</td>
<td>118 (68.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renin-angiotensin system inhibitor</td>
<td>604 (28.8%)</td>
<td>424 (24.8%)</td>
<td>80 (37.0%)</td>
<td>100 (58.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid-modifying medication</td>
<td>737 (35.2%)</td>
<td>541 (31.7%)</td>
<td>94 (43.5%)</td>
<td>102 (59.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microvascular measurements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy*</td>
<td>27 (1.3%)</td>
<td>16 (1.0%)</td>
<td>6 (3.0%)</td>
<td>5 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline retinal arteriolar diameter (measurement units)</td>
<td>115.5 ± 15.6</td>
<td>115.6 ± 15.7</td>
<td>115.5 ± 16.0</td>
<td>115.1 ± 14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean percentage arteriolar dilatation (%)</td>
<td>2.6 [0.8–5.0]</td>
<td>2.8 [0.9–5.2]</td>
<td>1.9 [0.6–3.8]</td>
<td>1.4 [0.4–0.4]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Entire population</th>
<th>Less than 5 mg/24 h</th>
<th>15 to less than 30 mg/24 h</th>
<th>At least 30 mg/24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 2095)</td>
<td>(n = 1707)</td>
<td>(n = 216)</td>
<td>(n = 172)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat-induced skin hyperemia (%)*</td>
<td>998.5 [588.5–1507.2]</td>
<td>1028.3 [613.5–1515.4]</td>
<td>878.4 [457.4–1502.5]</td>
<td>785.3 [468.4–1137.3]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n (%), mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range).

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c (glycated hemoglobin); HDL cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol

*Data were available in n = 2094 (BMI categories), n = 1856 (24-h average ambulatory blood pressure), n = 2093 (HbA1c), n = 2031 (retinopathy), n = 1105 (baseline skin perfusion and heat-induced skin hyperemia).

more often used antihypertensive and lipid-modifying medication.

Flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia

The Pearson correlation coefficient between retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia was 0.05 (P = 0.121).

Flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and albuminuria

Flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation was categorized into quartiles as its association with albuminuria seemed to be nonlinear. Quartile (Q) 1 consisted of the participants with the greatest flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and served as the reference category. In the entire retina study population and with UAE expressed as a continuous variable, UAE was higher in Q2 (albeit not statistically significantly), was statistically significantly higher in Q3 and then showed no further increase in Q4, after adjustment for potential confounders (Table 2, model 3a). For example, UAE was [ratio (95% confidence interval): 95% CI] 1.099 (0.987–1.224) times higher in Q2, 1.189 (1.067–1.326) times higher in Q3, and 1.168 (1.046–1.303) times higher in Q4. Results were similar whenever we replaced office SBP with 24-h average ambulatory SBP (n = 1856; Table 2, model 3b) and whenever UAE was expressed as a categorical variable (Supplemental Table 2, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898).

Analyses with interaction terms suggested that the association of flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation with albuminuria was stronger in participants with type 2 diabetes than without type 2 diabetes (P value of the interaction term (Pinteraction) <0.10 for the associations of Q3 and Q4 with UAE expressed as a continuous variable, and for the association of Q3 with UAE ≥30 mg/24 h; Table 2 and Supplemental Table 2, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898, model 3a; also illustrated in Fig. 1a). However, the stratified analyses were hampered by a loss of statistical power.

Baseline retinal arteriolar diameter was not associated with continuous or categorical UAE (Supplemental Tables 3 and 4, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898, models 1–3), regardless of type 2 diabetes (Pinteraction >0.10).

Heat-induced skin hyperemia and albuminuria

In the entire skin study population, heat-induced skin hyperemia was not associated with UAE, expressed as...
either a continuous or a categorical variable, after adjust-
ment for potential confounders (Table 3 and Supplemental
Table 5, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898, model 3a). How-
ever, analyses with interaction terms suggested statistical
interaction with type 2 diabetes ($P_{interaction} < 0.10$), to such
an extent that lower heat-induced skin hyperemia was
associated with higher UAE, expressed as a continuous
variable, in participants with type 2 diabetes but not in
those without type 2 diabetes (Table 3, models 1–3; also
illustrated in Fig. 1b). For example, after adjustment for
potential confounders and in participants with type 2
diabetes, each 100 percentage points lower heat-induced
skin hyperemia was associated with a Ratio (95% CI) 1.022
(1.010–1.035) times higher UAE (Table 3, model 3a).
Results were similar whenever we replaced office SBP with
24-h average ambulatory SBP ($n=1324$; Table 3, model 3b)
and whenever UAE was expressed as a categorical vari-
able (Supplemental Table 5, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898).
In the entire skin study population, baseline skin perfu-
sion was associated with UAE expressed as a categorical
variable, but not with UAE expressed as a continuous vari-
able (Supplemental Tables 6 and 7, http://links.lww.com/

![Flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia are associated with albuminuria. Panels a and b present the associations of flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia, respectively, with albuminuria, and the interaction with type 2 diabetes. Panels c and d present the interaction of flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia, respectively, with HbA1c. Ratios indicate the ratio of geometric mean urinary albumin excretion relative to participants in the quartile with the greatest flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia, respectively. For heat-induced skin hyperemia, the median heat-induced skin hyperemia (998%) served as the reference. All results are adjusted for the variables of model 3a (see text). Bars and dashed lines indicate 95% confidence intervals. ref, reference; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c (glycated hemoglobin); UAE, urinary albumin excretion.](image)

**FIGURE 1**

![Illustration](image)

**TABLE 3. Associations of heat-induced skin hyperemia with urinary albumin excretion expressed as a continuous variable**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Entire skin study population</th>
<th>Without type 2 diabetes</th>
<th>With type 2 diabetes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>Ratio (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.013 (1.007; 1.019) &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.001 (0.994; 1.008) 0.839</td>
<td>1.027 (1.015; 1.040) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.004 (0.998; 1.010) 0.217</td>
<td>0.997 (0.990; 1.004) 0.447</td>
<td>1.024 (1.012; 1.037) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>1.003 (0.997; 1.009) 0.264</td>
<td>0.997 (0.991; 1.004) 0.467</td>
<td>1.022 (1.010; 1.035) &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>1.002 (0.996; 1.008) 0.590</td>
<td>0.996 (0.989; 1.003) 0.287</td>
<td>1.019 (1.007; 1.031) 0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ratios represent the ratio of urinary albumin excretion per 100 percentage points lower heat-induced skin hyperemia. Model 1: unadjusted model; model 2: age, sex, glucose metabolism status (for "entire skin study population" only); model 3a: model 2 combined with waist circumference, total-to-High-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio, triglycerides, use of lipid-modifying drugs, office SBP, use of antihypertensive medication, estimated glomerular filtration rate, prevalent cardiovascular disease, smoking behavior, alcohol consumption, educational level; model 3b: as model 3a but adjusted for 24-h average ambulatory SBP instead of office SBP ($n=1324$). CI, confidence interval.
Martens et al.

HJH/A898, models 1–3). Analyses with interaction terms suggested that the association with UAE expressed as a continuous variable was stronger in participants with type 2 diabetes ($P_{interaction} = 0.10$), although this was not clear for UAE expressed as a categorical variable (Supplemental Tables 6 and 7, http://links.lww.com/HJH/A898).

**Additional analyses**

First, we explored whether hyperglycemia and glomerular hyperfiltration [29,30] could explain why the associations of flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia with albuminuria were more evident in participants with type 2 diabetes. Therefore, we additionally tested for statistical interaction between both measures of microvascular endothelial dysfunction and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c; glycated hemoglobin) as well as eGFR in model 3a. These analyses indicated that flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia were more strongly associated with albuminuria at higher HbA1c levels ($P_{interaction} < 0.10$, illustrated in Fig. 1c and d), but not at higher eGFR (data not shown). Thus, for Q2, Q3, and Q4 of flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation, the ratio of UAE relative to Q1 was 1.106 (Fig. 1c), but not at higher eGFR (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

This population-based study, in individuals without and with type 2 diabetes, on the association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with albuminuria showed that lower flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation was associated with albuminuria and that this association was stronger in individuals with type 2 diabetes. In addition, lower heat-induced skin hyperemia was associated with albuminuria in participants with type 2 diabetes but not in nondiabetic participants. These associations were independent of CVD risk factors, including 24-h average ambulatory blood pressure. To our knowledge, this is the first population-based study that provides direct support for the concept that microvascular endothelial dysfunction related to albuminuria is extensive, if not generalized, and that this association is stronger in individuals with than in those without type 2 diabetes.

These results agree with those of studies in individuals with type 1 or type 2 diabetes, which have shown associations of direct measures of microvascular endothelial dysfunction in skin with albuminuria [17–19]. The present study expands this knowledge, as it is the first to examine microvascular endothelial dysfunction in two vascular beds in a large, well characterized population-based sample of individuals with and without type 2 diabetes, and with extensive adjustment for potential confounders.

The association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with albuminuria fits the hypothesis that albuminuria is a biomarker of generalized endothelial dysfunction [6]. Endothelial dysfunction of the renal microcirculation may cause albuminuria by increasing glomerular capillary wall permeability and intraglomerular pressure [6]. The former may involve alterations in the barrier properties of the glomerular endothelium itself [6] and altered endothelial–podocyte cross-talk leading to podocyte injury [31]. However, not all studies observed an association between microvascular endothelial dysfunction and albuminuria. For example, in a study in individuals with hypertension, the endothelium-dependent vasodilatory response in the forearm bed was not associated with albuminuria [32]. This may be because of differences in participant selection (e.g. hypertension versus diabetes).

The molecular mechanisms involved in both flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia include the endothelial release of nitric oxide (NO) [22,23]. Reduced NO availability may reflect microvascular endothelial dysfunction in general, but may also play a direct role. Indeed, NO has been shown to preserve glomerular capillary wall permeability [33], and endothelial NO synthase inhibition induced podocyte injury and albuminuria in an animal model of diabetes [34]. In contrast, NO’s role in the regulation of renal hemodynamics is unlikely to explain our results, because reduced NO availability is expected to reduce rather than increase intraglomerular pressure [35]. In addition to NO, other substances secreted by the endothelium may be involved in the association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction and albuminuria.

The correlation between flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia was weak and not statistically significant. This may have several explanations. First, both methods assess different vessel types (i.e. relatively large arterioles in the retina versus small arterioles, capillaries, and venules in skin) [36]. Second, both methods assess different outcomes (i.e. a direct stimulus-induced increase in vessel diameter in the retina versus an indirect estimate of vasodilation by measuring stimulus-induced increase in perfusion in skin) [22,23]. Third, both methods use different stimuli to elicit the responses (i.e. flicker light stimulation versus thermal stimulation) [22,23].

The results of this study suggest that hyperglycemia amplifies the association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with albuminuria. This observation is in agreement with animal studies, which have shown higher UAE in NO synthase knockout models in the presence of diabetes [20,21]. In this regard, it has been suggested that hyperglycemia leads to dysregulation of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)–NO axis [37]. That is, high glucose levels may reduce NO availability and increase VEGF expression [37]. In the face of reduced NO availability, VEGF may exacerbate vascular injury through abnormal angiogenesis [37] and alteration of the endothelial glycocalyx [38]. Alternatively, (hyperglycemia-induced)
Microvascular endothelium and albuminuria

glomerular hyperfiltration [29,30] may increase permeation of albumin through the injured glomerular capillary wall. Although this hypothesis was not supported by a positive interaction of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with eGFR, the low precision of the eGFR equations at higher GFR [28] may have masked this interaction.

Impaired neural activity is an additional mechanism that can reduce both flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia [22,23] and may as well be involved in their association with albuminuria. For example, autonomic dysfunction may compromise autoregulation of intraglomerular pressure and has indeed been associated with albuminuria [39].

The association of flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation with albuminuria leveled off at lower levels. This may be explained by selective nonparticipation of individuals with higher UAE and the lowest flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation, who may suffer from the greatest burden of comorbid disease, including impaired cognitive performance and depression (i.e. incidence-prevalence bias). In addition, the 95% CIs are too wide to exclude the possibility that the true association is linear.

Baseline retinal arteriolar diameter was not associated with albuminuria, whereas lower baseline skin perfusion was associated with lower albuminuria. However, the pattern of associations of baseline skin perfusion was not consistent and not biologically plausible. Therefore, we attribute these findings to the play of chance.

From a clinical perspective, microvascular endothelial dysfunction may explain, at least in part, associations of albuminuria with retinopathy, impaired cognitive performance, depression, and heart failure [2,5,7,8]. In this regard, the endothelial dysfunction observed in the retinal microcirculation of individuals with albuminuria may be particularly relevant to its associations with impaired cognitive performance and depression as well as retinopathy, as the retinal and cerebral microcirculation show embryological, anatomical, and physiological similarities [40]. In addition, the skin microcirculation has been suggested to mirror the systemic microcirculation [41,42].

The results of this study also suggest that albuminuria may be a better biomarker of microvascular endothelial dysfunction in individuals with than in those without type 2 diabetes. Therefore, associations of albuminuria with microvascular disease may be stronger in type 2 diabetes. To the best of our knowledge, there are no published data on this issue.

An important strength of this study is the detailed characterization of its population, which allowed for adjustment for an extensive series of potential confounders. In particular, 24-h average ambulatory blood pressure may better capture the effects of blood pressure on albuminuria than office blood pressure, which reduces residual confounding. In addition, an extensive series of additional analyses verified the robustness of our results.

The present study also had some limitations. First, the cross-sectional design limited causal inferences. Second, despite adjustment for an extensive series of potential confounders, we cannot fully exclude residual confounding. Conversely, the inclusion of variables, which could also be intermediates in the association of microvascular endothelial dysfunction with albuminuria in our models may have introduced overadjustment bias [43]. For example, higher blood pressure may be both a cause and a consequence of endothelial dysfunction [44]. In addition, adjustment for CVD and retinopathy might be considered unnecessary as both may be manifestations of microvascular endothelial dysfunction [45]. Nevertheless, the regression coefficients were relatively robust to adjustment for the variables in model 3a and the variables in the additional analyses, which suggests that both residual confounding and overadjustment bias are limited. Third, data on flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation and heat-induced skin hyperemia were not available in all participants (mainly because of logistic reasons [27]), and the retina and skin study populations did not entirely overlap. However, the characteristics of included participants and participants who were excluded because of missing data were largely comparable, as were the characteristics of the participants in the retina and skin study populations. Fourth, actual glycaemia levels were not assessed at the day of microvascular endothelial function assessment. Therefore, acute effects of hyperglycemia could not be taken into account. Fifth, the absolute number of participants with albuminuria 15 to less than 30 mg/24 h and at least 30 mg/24 h in this population-based study was relatively low. This may reduce statistical power, in particular for the stratified analyses and the supplemental analyses with albuminuria expressed as a categorical variable. Sixth, the study population primarily consisted of Caucasian individuals from European descent (98.9%), which potentially limits its generalizability to other populations.

In conclusion, lower flicker light-induced retinal arteriolar dilation was independently associated with albuminuria and this association was stronger in individuals with type 2 diabetes. In addition, lower heat-induced skin hyperemia was independently associated with albuminuria in individuals with type 2 diabetes. Thus, this is the first population-based study that provides direct support for the concept that microvascular endothelial dysfunction related to albuminuria is extensive, if not generalized, and that this association is stronger in individuals with than in those without type 2 diabetes. This suggests that individuals with albuminuria may not only benefit from improving renal endothelial function and reducing albuminuria but also from improving systemic endothelial function as well.
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**Reviewers’ Summary Evaluations**

**Referee 1**

A major strength of this paper deals with the demonstration of an independent correlation between albuminuria and microvascular endothelial dysfunction, particularly evident in type 2 diabetes patients, a population with a number of potential confounders. Weaknesses are the cross-sectional design, which limits the causality of the associations, and the vascular district explored. Indeed, although the skin microcirculation is a mirror of the systemic microcirculation, the autocrine/paracrine property of the endothelium does not allow considering a vascular district surrogate of another.