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ABSTRACT
This AMEE guide provides a framework and practical strategies for teachers, learners and institutions to promote meaningful feedback conversations that emphasise performance improvement and professional growth. Recommended strategies are based on recent feedback research and literature, which emphasise the sociocultural nature of these complex interactions. We use key concepts from three theories as the underpinnings of the recommended strategies: sociocultural, politeness and self-determination theories. We view the content and impact of feedback conversations through the perspective of learners, teachers and institutions, always focussing on learner growth. The guide emphasises the role of teachers in forming educational alliances with their learners, setting a safe learning climate, fostering self-awareness about their performance, engaging with learners in informed self-assessment and reflection, and co-creating the learning environment and learning opportunities with their learners. We highlight the role of institutions in enhancing the feedback culture by encouraging a growth mind-set and a learning goal-orientation. Practical advice is provided on techniques and strategies that can be used and applied by learners, teachers and institutions to effectively foster all these elements. Finally, we highlight throughout the critical importance of congruence between the three levels of culture: unwritten values, espoused values and day to day behaviours.

Introduction
The feedback conversation, once a static teacher to learner monologue, is shifting towards a dynamic learner-directed collaborative dialogue. In this AMEE guide, we summarise recent literature on sociocultural factors that influence the quality and impact of feedback in health professions education; propose a new model for viewing feedback exchanges through the lens of learners, teachers and institutions; and conclude with practical feedback strategies to promote a growth-enhancing feedback culture.

The purpose of this guide
The primary aim of this guide is to assist teachers and learners in engaging in meaningful and actionable feedback conversations, and institutions in establishing a growth-oriented feedback culture. Drawing upon principles from organisational culture as well as sociocultural, politeness and self-determination theories, we emphasise factors that could encourage feedback seeking, acceptance and incorporation and potentially change behaviour. Our model for designing feedback initiatives recommends an optimal balance of learner factors, and teacher and institutional factors. Central to these recommendations are trusting relationships and co-regulation of learning by teachers and learners. Finally, we argue that a growth mind-set is critical for a psychologically safe learning culture.

Practice points
- Feedback can be considered effective only when it informs learner self-assessment and has an impact on learner growth.
- Feedback conversations are complex interpersonal encounters; dynamic bidirectional conversations are more meaningful than unidirectional top down approaches.
- Credibility promotes feedback acceptance- it is influenced by relationships, educational alliances, direct performance observation and institutional culture.
- Teachers should focus on establishing a safe learning climate, forming trusting educational alliances, engaging in informed self-assessment and facilitated reflection with learners, while attending to learner self-efficacy and autonomy.
- Institutions should address sociocultural factors in feedback initiatives, foster a growth mind-set and prioritise a learning goal-orientation.
- Co-regulation of the educational environment and co-creation of learning opportunities by teachers and learners may be important in promoting growth.

Organisational culture and feedback
Since culture is central to sociocultural perspectives of feedback, we refer to the three levels of culture described by Edgar Schein, an expert on organisational culture and
behaviour: (1) the deepest level refers to implicit values and unwritten assumptions, (2) the next level refers to written expectations and mission statements and (3) the most visible level depicts day to day behaviour (Schein 2017). Applying these levels to feedback culture (Figure 1), values and assumptions refer to how things are done at the institution and how new members are acculturated, the next level refers to written expectations for feedback, and the visible level refers to feedback behaviours of teachers and learners. Ideally, the three levels would act in concert, in reality they may contradict each other.

Feedback as a sociocultural phenomenon

Sociocultural factors that influence feedback include: teacher characteristics, teacher-learner relationships, observation of performance, learner self-efficacy, autonomy, feedback seeking and acceptance, and perceived credibility of feedback data (Sargeant et al. 2007, 2008a; Delva et al. 2011; Mann et al. 2011; Sargeant et al. 2011; Eva et al. 2012; Watling et al. 2013a, 2014; Watling 2014a, 2014b, 2016; Ramani, et al. 2017a, 2017b, 2019). Self-assessment and reflection are also central to feedback seeking and acceptance (Sargeant et al. 2008b, 2009; Könings et al. 2016). In medical education, use of vague feedback language is common as faculty wish to avoid threats to friendly working relationships, learner self-esteem and autonomy (Sargeant et al. 2007, 2008a; van de Ridder et al. 2015a, 2015b; Ramani et al. 2018). It is important to discuss learner-related, teacher-related and institution related factors that could enhance perceived feedback credibility and theoretical principles applicable to fostering meaningful feedback.

Linking key theoretical principles to feedback

Three theories are particularly relevant to sociocultural aspects of feedback: Sociocultural theory, Politeness theory and Self-determination theory. Below, we discuss key principles from these theories that could intersect and help establish a feedback culture of growth (Figure 2).

**Sociocultural theory and feedback**

This theory proposes that learning occurs through interaction, negotiation, and collaboration (Lave and Wenger 1991). Since clinical learning occurs in a community where team members learn from and develop with others, institutions must prioritise teamwork skills among learner competencies. Applying this theory to feedback, institutions can establish explicit expectations for teachers and learners to: discuss learning goals, engage in reflection, calibrate gaps between observed and expected performance and exchange formative feedback to narrow the gap. Adult learners should be encouraged to lead these conversations with teachers acting as coaches. This will help learners to become valuable members in their community of practice (Lave and Wenger 1991).

**Politeness theory and feedback**

Politeness theory assumes that many conversations are potential face-threatening acts to the hearer or speaker (Brown and Levinson 1987). Face is categorised as positive (the need to project a positive image) and negative (freedom to act without imposition). In clinical training, positive face can be viewed as learner self-efficacy and negative face as learner autonomy. These traits are particularly important for advanced learners who serve at the frontline of patient care. Such learners may view constructive feedback as “negative” and a breach of the norms of expected politeness. Honest constructive feedback is essential for longitudinal growth, even if affects learners’ self-efficacy. However, clinical teachers tend to use predominantly positive language (good job, pleasure to work with) in learner evaluation narratives and feedback conversations, this tendency can be linked to politeness concepts where attention to self-esteem, self-efficacy and autonomy impedes honest constructive narratives and feedback (Ginsburg et al. 2015, 2016; Ramani et al. 2017b, Ramani et al. 2019).
Self-determination theory proposes three key needs that enhance intrinsic motivation: competence, relatedness and autonomy (Ryan and Deci 2000, 2017). Intrinsically motivated learners may be more willing to seek, accept and assimilate feedback, and therefore change behaviour. Since progressive and appropriate autonomy prepares learners for independent practice, the learning culture should actively seek to enhance intrinsic motivation (Mann 2002). Ten Cate et al. suggest three approaches to boost motivation during feedback conversations: shift the focus from the individual to the context; shift from instructional messages to self-regulation; and shift the focus from feedback provider to recipient (Ten Cate et al. 2011; Ten Cate 2013). Feedback, grounded in observed data and facilitated reflection, should occur in a context where longitudinal relationships between teachers and learners are promoted, and learners are provided increasing autonomy based on ability and task complexity (Ramani, et al. 2018, 2019).

**Balancing the self and the other: A model for growth-enhancing feedback**

Feedback credibility may require an optimal balance of factors related to ‘self’ and ‘other’. ‘Self’ refers to learner factors that stimulate feedback seeking and incorporation from multiple sources. The ‘other’ refers to teacher and institutional factors that could influence learner factors. The self and other co-exist in an institutional context which features an organisational culture as well as a learning culture.

**Self-determination theory and feedback**

Self-determination theory proposes three key needs that enhance intrinsic motivation: competence, relatedness and autonomy (Ryan and Deci 2000, 2017). Intrinsically motivated learners may be more willing to seek, accept and assimilate feedback, and therefore change behaviour. Since progressive and appropriate autonomy prepares learners for independent practice, the learning culture should actively seek to enhance intrinsic motivation (Mann 2002). Ten Cate et al. suggest three approaches to boost motivation during feedback conversations: shift the focus from the individual to the context; shift from instructional messages to self-regulation; and shift the focus from feedback provider to recipient (Ten Cate et al. 2011; Ten Cate 2013). Feedback, grounded in observed data and facilitated reflection, should occur in a context where longitudinal relationships between teachers and learners are promoted, and learners are provided increasing autonomy based on ability and task complexity (Ramani, et al. 2018, 2019).

**Self-factors and feedback (learner)**

Self-factors that could influence feedback seeking and acceptance include: mind-set, goal-orientation, self-awareness, self-efficacy, and autonomy. The intersection of these factors and feedback behaviours is depicted in Figure 3.

**Mind-set.** Carol Dweck, a leading researcher in the field of motivation and development, described two core mind-sets: a fixed mind-set and a growth mind-set (Dweck 1990, 2016). People with a fixed mind-set believe that success is a result of innate traits such as intelligence and talent, focus on showcasing their skills and perceive failure as a negative statement of their abilities. They are likely to avoid seeking or accepting constructive feedback. Those with a growth mind-set believe that abilities can be developed through hard work and ongoing learning. A growth mind-set would allow learners to seek and accept constructive feedback; disclose limitations as opportunities to expand knowledge and skills; and view failure as a learning opportunity rather than an insult to their abilities.

**Goal-orientation.** Goal-orientation is an individual’s disposition towards developing or validating one’s ability in achievement settings (VandeWalle and Cummings 1997; VandeWalle et al. 2000, 2001). Differences between individuals who exhibit helplessness and those who use coping strategies when facing difficult tasks are attributed to two types of achievement goals: (1) task involvement, where individuals seek to develop competence relative to their abilities, and (2) ego involvement, where individuals seek to develop competence relative to others (Nicholls 1979;
The Johari window features four quadrants, each indicating level of knowledge about one’s performance or behaviours by self and others.

Two types of goal orientation were proposed: learning goal-orientation and performance goal-orientation. Professionals with a learning goal-orientation may focus on creating a good impression and not accept feedback that conflict with their self-assessment. Professionals with a performance goal-orientation focus on achieving mastery in their field and are more likely to seek and accept constructive feedback.

Self-awareness. Self-awareness refers to one’s capacity for analysis and accurate appraisal of own behaviour in different situations. Levels of self-awareness range from confusion (complete unawareness of self) to meta self-awareness (aware of how one is seen through others’ eyes) (Dweck 2016). The Johari window, described by psychologists Luft and Ingham, is a two-by-two model that depicts different levels of awareness of behaviours by self and others in human interactions (Luft 1969). The window features four quadrants: open- known to self and others, blind- known to others but unknown to self, hidden- known to self but unknown to others, and unknown- unknown to self and others (Figure 4). To enhance self-awareness, learners should seek feedback to learn about behaviours that they are unaware of, disclose limitations for teachers to provide accurate feedback based on context, and engage in self-discovery through acceptance of performance data from multiple sources to bring unknown areas to light (Ramani et al. 2011).

Self-efficacy. Self-esteem (overall sense of self-worth) as well as self-efficacy (confidence in one’s ability to succeed professionally) influence how individuals think, behave and feel (Bandura 1977; Lane et al. 2004). In academic settings, previous accomplishments are a powerful source of self-efficacy and motivate professionals to overcome challenges (Bandura 1997, 1997). Applying these principles to feedback, learners with increased self-efficacy may become more self-aware, internally motivated to face challenges, overcome obstacles and succeed in their practice. Thus, they are more likely to engage in self-assessment, feedback seeking and acceptance, reflection on performance and commitment to behaviour change.

Autonomy. Human behaviours can be initiated and regulated through choice (autonomous), driven by external factors (controlled), or a combination (Deci and Ryan 1985; Ryan and Deci 2000). Autonomy, the desire to act independently, has a major impact on internal motivation, creative thinking and action (Deci and Ryan 1985; Ryan and Deci 2017). Autonomy-supportive work environments can enhance intrinsic motivation while controlling contexts could undermine motivation. Although positive feedback can affirm learners’ self-efficacy, vague positive comments alone are not autonomy-supportive since specific constructive feedback and concrete action plans for improvement are crucial for independent practice (Kusurkar et al 2011a, 2011b).

Other factors. The teacher and institution

Teacher-related factors

Addressing self-efficacy. Self-efficacy increases internal motivation and willingness to face challenges and arises from previous accomplishments (Bandura 1977; Lane et al. 2004). Teachers can help learners recall previous professional successes and navigate obstacles when faced with new tasks. Teachers need to support as well as challenge learners to help them grow (Daloz and Daloz 1999). Since unguided self-assessment is often inaccurate (Regehr and Eva 2006; Eva and Regehr 2008, 2011) and learners reject feedback that conflicts with their self-assessment (Mann et al. 2011), teachers can guide accurate calibration of learner performance through facilitated reflection and informed self-assessment using data from multiple sources (Boud 1995; Sargeant et al. 2008b, 2010). In summary, teachers can enhance learners’ self-efficacy by discussing previous successes, reinforcing behaviours that contributed to success (support), encouraging them to tackle new and complex tasks (challenge), and providing constructive feedback to assist them in succeeding at new tasks.

Providing autonomy. Autonomy exerts a strong influence on internal motivation (Deci and Flaste 1995). Ten Cate et al. proposed a model to guide teachers in balancing supervision and autonomy based on learner level and ability, and gradually moving along an autonomy spectrum from full external regulation to full self-regulation of learning (Ten Cate et al. 2004). The speed of progress along this continuum will vary based on learner capability to successfully perform different clinical tasks (Ten Cate et al. 2004).
Balancing supervision and autonomy challenges most clinical teachers, but safe patient care mandates this balance. Optimising the balance between guidance and self-regulation, termed ‘constructive friction’ between teaching and learning (Vermunt and Verloop 1999), can occur through feedback and reflection. Participatory design, where teachers and learners co-create learning environments, has a positive effect on learner attitudes, motivation and commitment (Könings et al. 2005, 2014). Teachers can encourage learners to take ownership of their learning by asking them to formulate learning goals, facilitating reflection, providing feedback and asking them initiate action plans for progression to the next level.

Enhancing self-awareness. Self-awareness, the ability to calibrate one’s behaviours accurately and objectively, helps individuals gain new skills and competencies (Benbassat and Baumal 2005). It is a dynamic process with two facets: internal (recognising own inner state), and external (recognising impact on others) (Goleman 1998). In clinical education settings, self-awareness develops with others rather than independently (Rochat et al. 2012). Awareness of one’s abilities, assumptions and reactions can help learners understand how their actions are perceived by others including patients and handle challenging clinical situations better (Novack et al. 1997, 1999). Strategies that teachers can use to develop learner self-awareness include: application of the Johari window model to feedback conversations (Luft 1969; Ramani et al. 2017a), developing an educational alliance, facilitating reflection of performance, debriefing challenging clinical situations, sharing own challenges and limitations and stimulating self-discovery (Sargeant et al. 2008a, 2008b, 2010, 2015; Sargeant 2015; Telio et al. 2015, 2016; Armson et al. 2019).

**Box 1.** Examples of teacher statements to effectively address teacher related factors:

**Addressing self-efficacy**

“We had discussed that one of your strengths has been patient communication skills and your patients have emphasised this when we have been in the room together. Would you now like to focus on enhancing your communication skills even further, building on your strong foundation?”

“I observed that measuring the venous pressure and diagnosing volume status seemed challenging to you. Do you think my observation is accurate? It is a difficult skill to master and it took me years to get comfortable at this examination. How about if I demonstrate the tricks that I have learned from my clinical teachers which you might find useful?”

**Providing autonomy**

“I like observing my learners when they interact with patients. This informs me how I can help in your own growth as a clinician. What would you like me to focus on as I observe you with this patient today?”

**Figure 5.** This is a proposed model of how a balance of self-factors and other-factors can promote behaviour change among learners. We also show self and other factors as co-existing within an institutional context composed of both an organisational culture as well as a learning culture.
In the patient room, teachers should position themselves to be unobtrusive, show respect for the learners as the primary caregivers and not take over the conversation with patients.

Enhancing self-awareness

“When you counselled the patient today, what strategies do you think were most effective? What did you find most challenging?” If learners are unaware of a behaviour, the following could be helpful: “One thing I observed was the patient was slightly confused when you were explaining about their heart attack, what investigations and treatment you had planned. All of us tend to provide patients with too much clinical information but may not stop to understand their experience. Clearly, we have good intentions, but it is well worth making sure that they know what is going on.”

Institution-related factors

Fostering growth mind-set. Of the two mind-sets described by Carol Dweck, a growth mind-set is more desirable among learners who aim to gain new knowledge, learn new skills and perform new and complex tasks (Dweck 2016). Institutions can foster a growth mind-set so that learners can cope with challenges and setbacks, seek and receive constructive feedback and treat failure as a learning opportunity. Lack of longitudinal relationships in clinical training makes it challenging to build educational alliances and exchange honest constructive feedback (Sargeant et al. 2008a, 2008b; Watling et al. 2013a; Watling 2014a; van de Ridder et al. 2015a, 2015b). Therefore, institutions should create opportunities for longitudinal teacher-learner relationships, normalise exchange of constructive feedback, and prioritise performance improvement through a growth mind-set.

Fostering learning goal-orientation. Goal orientation significantly influences intrinsic motivation among individuals (Nicholls 1979). A learning goal orientation allows learners to seek feedback and accept constructive feedback as a means of correcting deficiencies and improving future performance (Sargeant et al. 2011; van de Ridder et al. 2014). Performance goal orientation, further categorised as avoidant (avoidance of failure) and prove (proving competence), dominates in a learning environment that emphasises summative assessments and assessment ‘of’ rather than ‘for’ learning (VandeWalle and Cummings 1997). Institutions can foster a learner-centred formative assessment culture by focussing on competence and mastery of tasks rather than self-image, and through regular performance-based feedback. Programmatic assessment is a model that encourages such an approach to assessment (van der Vleuten et al. 2012). Learning environments co-created by teachers, learners and institutional leaders could promote a culture of proactive feedback seeking, acceptance of constructive feedback and bidirectional feedback (Könings et al. 2005, 2014). Co-creation is challenging to implement and requires institutional leaders to explicitly champion and model the process (Harrison et al. 2017).

Box 2. Examples of mission statements to address institution-related factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Fostering growth mind-set</strong></th>
<th><strong>Educational alliance</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All professionals, teachers, learners and leaders, have strengths and areas for improvement. Our institution focusses on continuous quality improvement at all levels. Frequent performance appraisal and reinforcing as well as constructive feedback are key for growth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fostering learning goal-orientation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Address positive and negative face</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is important that teachers and learners establish goals to target ongoing learning and professional growth. These goals should direct feedback conversations. Learning occurs when current performance is calibrated against expected performance and feedback focuses on closing the gap between the two. The purpose of assessment and feedback is to maximise each individual’s unique strengths, identify and work on areas for improvement and fulfill their potential.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Practical strategies to optimise the balance of learner, teacher and institutional feedback factors

To be considered effective, feedback should impact learner behaviour (Delva et al. 2011; Molloy and Boud 2013; Boud 2015), but sociocultural factors strongly influence perceptions of feedback credibility and acceptance (Bing-You et al. 1997; Sargeant et al. 2007, 2008a, 2011; Watling et al. 2013a; Watling 2014a, 2014b; van de Ridder et al. 2014, 2015a; Bing-You et al. 2017). Furthermore, acceptance and incorporation of feedback may lead to behaviour change, though more research is needed in this area (van de Ridder et al. 2014). Rather than focussing on teacher feedback techniques alone, feedback conversations should be viewed as an interplay between learners and teachers with the ultimate goal of learner growth (Ramani et al. 2019). Teacher and institutional factors were combined in the previous section, however, in this section teacher and learner strategies are combined to highlight teacher-learner relationships as well as co-creation of the learning environment (Figure 6).

Strategies for teachers and learners to co-create meaningful feedback

A psychologically safe space is essential for meaningful feedback conversations, where educational alliances are developed, self-esteem and autonomy are addressed, and co-creation of learning experiences occur.

Figure 6. Feedback strategies for learners, teachers and institutions that address sociocultural principles.
Educational alliances. Feedback initiatives that focus on teacher techniques have not resolved the mismatch of perceptions between teachers and learners on the adequacy and quality of feedback (Bing-You et al. 1997; Sender Liberman et al. 2005; Bing-You and Trowbridge 2009; Bing-You et al. 2017). Newer models place learners at the heart of feedback exchanges and emphasise a congenial teacher-learner educational alliance (Telio et al. 2015, 2016). Interpersonal communication theories emphasise four key elements in one-on-one communications: persons communicating, context of the communication, relationship between the persons and content of the communication (Bylund et al. 2012). Based on these elements and recent feedback literature (Sargeant et al. 2009, 2011, 2015), we recommend the following strategies for teachers and depict a sample conversation in Box 3:

- Establish rapport with learners
- Encourage learners to discuss their learning goals
- Promote self-assessment on strengths and challenges
- Facilitate self-reflection
- Provide reinforcing and constructive feedback
- Acknowledge and address emotional responses
- Guide learners in formulating action plans for improvement

Coaching, a technique used in many professions such as music, sports and management, is increasingly included in faculty development for health professions educators to help them guide their learners towards independent practice and professional growth (Gavriel 2016; Rangachari et al. 2017; Lovell 2018; Armson et al. 2019; Watling and LaDonna 2019). The R2C2 (relationships, reaction, content and coaching) model by Sargeant et al. strongly emphasises relationships and use of coaching strategies during feedback conversations (Sargeant et al. 2015, 2017, 2018).

Box 3. Example of a feedback conversation to establish an educational alliance

Teacher: We will be working together for the next week and I am interested in knowing what areas of knowledge or skills you would like to work on during this time.
Learner: I would like to improve my physical examination skills (non-specific).
Teacher: That is a good goal. Since physical examination is a vast area, why don’t we narrow your goal to a specific organ system or aspect of physical examination that you find challenging?
Learner: I have trouble with the cardiovascular examination.
Teacher: We can certainly work on that together. Are there aspects of the cardiovascular examination that you are having more difficulty with?
Learner: I find it hard to assess the volume status and also don’t know what to do next when I think I hear a cardiac murmur.
Teacher: Excellent. I can demonstrate the examination, observe you when you are performing the examination and give you feedback afterwards on things you did well and things you can improve. Does that sound good to you?
Learner: That will be very helpful to me.
Teacher: When you are with patients, there will likely be other important skills that would catch my attention. I would also provide some feedback on those. Does that sound okay to you?
Learner: Yes, it does. Thank you.

Addressing learner self-esteem and autonomy. Politeness theory, which views most interpersonal interactions as a potential threat to the ‘face’ of the speaker or the recipient, is relevant to feedback conversations (Brown and Levinson 1987). Clinical teachers tend to avoid language that could damage learner self-efficacy (positive face) and actions that threaten their autonomy (negative face), while learners reject feedback if they doubt its credibility or the information conflicts with their self-assessment (Sargeant et al. 2005, 2007, 2008a; Watling et al. 2013a; Watling 2014b). Though learners indicate that direct observation of performance enhances credibility of feedback, they also view this as a potential infringement on autonomy (Watling 2014a, Sargeant et al. 2008a, 2011; Watling 2016, LaDonna et al. 2017; Ramani et al. 2018). Premature autonomy could lead to errors in patient care, therefore teachers need to balance supervision with autonomy appropriate for a given learner. Self-efficacy (positive face) and autonomy (negative face) could be optimally addressed using the following strategies:

- Focus constructive feedback on performance improvement and professional growth
- Use reinforcement of previous successes to guide discussion of areas for improvement
- Orient feedback towards learner goals
- Emphasise that autonomy is developmental; balance of supervision and autonomy depends on learner level and abilities
- Require ongoing direct observation of learner performance, regardless of stage of training

Co-regulation of learning by teachers and learners

Advanced clinical trainees are front line patient care providers developing into independent practitioners and their relationships with patients and autonomy need to be preserved. As they gain new knowledge and skills, learners should engage in self-regulation of practice through establishment of learning goals, feedback seeking, reflection and incorporation of feedback into practice. Co-regulated learning is described as a process of interdependency between learners and their supervising faculty as they share common patient care goals (Rich 2017). A participatory design feedback loop for co-regulated learning consists of goal-directed feedback, self-assessment, reflection, and development of action plans (Ramani et al. 2019). We recommend the following strategies for co-regulation of learning and depict a sample conversation in Box 4:

- Teachers:
  - Establish a safe learning environment where learners can disclose challenges and fears
  - Normalise presence of strengths as well as deficiencies
  - Perform direct observation of learner clinical performance

- Learners:
  - Are empowered to actively engage in and initiate feedback conversations
  - Formulate performance improvement plans guided by teachers
  - Learners and teachers co-create new learning opportunities to implement action plans
Box 4. Example of a feedback conversation for co-regulation of learning

Teacher: We will be working together for the next 2 weeks and during this time we will have many brief feedback conversations. Can we start by discussing your learning goals for this rotation? This will ensure that my teaching can help you to achieve those goals, I can focus my observations on specific areas that you are interested in, and we can reflect on what went well and what could be improved. Learner: Okay. In the last rotation, I was having trouble with balancing open-ended questions with a comprehensive list of closed-ended questions during history taking. I would appreciate some guidance on this.

Teacher: This is a very good goal to improve history taking and we can certainly work together on this.

Learner: Another area I find challenging is the Neurological examination. It is so detailed, and I am unable to synthesise the findings and localise the lesion.

Teacher: That is very true. I needed to practice a lot before I became comfortable with this examination. One other thing, I believe that our feedback conversations should prioritise your goals, improve your skills and help you grow. Therefore, there are always areas that would need change and improvement. I hope you agree with this approach.

Learner: That sounds like a good approach and I agree with this plan. I want to know my strengths and where I can improve.

Teacher: I prefer to start by asking you to reflect on your strengths and areas for improvement, come up with next steps, and formulate some action plans for improvement. I will reinforce your reflections, add my insights and together we can finalise action plans. What do you think of this plan?

Learner: I have never done this before, so I will need your help in reflecting on my performance and coming up with next steps. But I think this strategy will help me to assess my own performance and become more self-directed in the future. I definitely want to engage in this type of conversation.

Teacher: This sounds like a great plan in which both of us will learn and grow together. In addition, I would like you to tell me what I am doing well as a teacher and how I can be more useful and effective to you. I am excited to work on these reflections together; soon you will become very comfortable in going to your teachers, communicating your learning goals, seeking feedback on those goals, reflecting on your performance and coming up with your own action plans to move to the next level.

Learner: I am very excited to try this approach and continue to improve. Thank you.

**Strategies for institutions to establish a culture of growth**

Educational institutions should establish explicit expectations for frequency, content and process of feedback conversations and prioritise a growth mind-set among teachers and learners.

**Establish a conducive feedback culture.** Recent feedback research indicates that institutional culture has a major impact on feedback (Watling et al. 2014; Watling 2015; Ramani et al. 2017b). The learning culture at many medical institutions worldwide does not promote longitudinal teacher-learner relationships, regular direct observation of performance and specific but non-threatening constructive feedback (Watling et al. 2013b, 2014; Watling 2014a; Ramani et al. 2017a, 2017b, 2018). Even a polite and nurturing institutional culture was not viewed as conducive to honest, meaningful feedback exchanges (Ramani et al. 2017b, 2018), thus the following strategies could be useful:

- Design assessment systems that calibrate learners against expected outcomes rather than peers
- Focus feedback conversations on narrowing gaps between observed and expected outcomes
- Create opportunities for teacher-learner longitudinal relationships with regular discussions of goals and action plans
- Establish expectations for teachers to observe snapshots of learner performance throughout their training
- Provide training in feedback seeking and acceptance

In addition, a safe and just organisational culture could enhance trusting relationships and foster lifelong learning (Frankel et al. 2006; Boysen 2013; Rider et al. 2018) through the following strategies:

- Acknowledge that all professionals have strengths and weaknesses
- Promote willingness to seek help appropriately
- Focus on humanism and accountability to patients
- Empower learners to take ownership of their professional growth
- Enable collaborative bidirectional feedback
- Focus on reflective practice

**Prioritise a growth mind-set.** Feedback seeking, acceptance and assimilation by learners are essential to promote behaviour change (Cantillon and Sargeant 2008; Sargeant et al. 2011; Watling et al. 2012; Watling 2014b; Sargeant 2015; Sargeant et al. 2015; Watling 2015). A culture of summative assessment and peer comparisons can instill a fixed mind-set among learners (Harrison et al. 2016; Watling and Ginsburg 2019). To inculcate a growth mind-set, the following institutional strategies may be helpful (Ramani et al. 2019):

- Create a culture of assessment for learning rather than assessment of limitations
- Design an assessment system which includes ongoing formative assessment and encourages informed self-assessment
- Encourage learners to take responsibility for calibrating their performance gaps and formulating improvement plans
- Emphasise mastery learning rather than exam performance
- Promote lifelong learning and critical thinking rather than just acquisition of knowledge
- Create opportunities where learners showcase learning goals rather than performance goals

**Faculty development.** Rather than training only to give feedback, faculty development initiatives should target sociocultural factors that influence this powerful social interaction. We recommend that faculty development initiatives encourage teachers to apply the following strategies:

- Establish a safe learning environment that encourages disclosure of limitations and insecurities
- Facilitate feedback-seeking through rapport building, demonstration of beneficence and engagement in learner growth
- Address learner self-efficacy and provide autonomy appropriate to learner level and ability
- Perform direct observation and accurate calibration of learner performance
• Engage in facilitated reflection and informed self-assessment (strengths and gaps) with learners using assessment data from multiple sources
• Exchange constructive feedback using specific language targeting observed behaviours without damaging self-efficacy
• Apply coaching strategies during feedback conversations
• Establish a climate of bidirectional feedback through role-modelling of feedback seeking, admission of limitations and lifelong learning by teachers

Conclusions
In this guide, we have discussed in detail some of the sociocultural factors that influence feedback conversations and learner growth, linking these to principles of organisational culture and three fundamental psychological theories. Despite efforts at many institutions to facilitate a non-threatening learning climate, the hierarchical educational culture continues to impede bidirectional feedback. It would be important for teachers and learners to actively seek, accept and incorporate reinforcing as well as constructive feedback, and engage in lifelong learning and continuous improvement. We believe co-creation of the learning culture is the right strategy to achieve meaningful feedback conversations and a growth mind-set.
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Glossary
Organisational culture: Three levels of culture have been described: (1) the deepest level refers to unwritten assumptions and implicit values of the organisation, (2) the second level refers to written expectations and mission statements and (3) the most visible level depicts day to day behaviour.

Politeness theory: This theory assumes that most conversations are potential face-threatening acts either to the hearer or speaker. Face has been categorised as positive face (the need to project a positive image to others) and negative face (freedom to act without imposition).

Mind-set: Is a concept developed by the psychologist Carol Dweck. People with a fixed mind-set believe that their success is a result of fixed traits such as innate intelligence, talent and ability. They tend to spend more time showcasing their skills and perceive failure as a negative statement of their abilities. Those with a growth mind-set believe that innate abilities can be developed through hard work, training, and ongoing learning, and view failure as an essential step on the journey to further learning.
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