

# Advancing the implementation of evidence in public health systems in Europe and globally

Citation for published version (APA):

Vincenten, J. A. (2020). *Advancing the implementation of evidence in public health systems in Europe and globally: a model interlinking targets, actors, knowledge transfer, barriers and facilitators*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. ProefschriftMaken. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20200923jv>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2020

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20200923jv](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20200923jv)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Valorisation

## Chapter 7 - Valorisation

This thesis has stated the importance and essential value of public health and the critical need to provide, promote and even accelerate the implementation of what has been proven to work, such as evidence-based interventions, that are intended to protect and promote the health and wellbeing of individuals and society as a whole.[1-3] Within the discourse, current applications of the thesis results were shared through the recent events and actions to manage and reduce the impacts of COVID-19. Further, four advancing conditions were proposed that could occur in societies to support the advancement of evidence implementation in public health systems including: 1) Good governance; 2) Context setting; 3) Investment in public health; and the use of 4) Multi-sectoral approaches. The text to follow now describes the valorisation activities of the results of this thesis. These valorisation activities occurred over the course of the investigation studies of this thesis, with the intent to make the research findings available to raise awareness, enhance knowledge and encourage action to support implementation of evidence in public health systems in Europe and globally.

The valorisation chapter uses the same structure as the thesis to address the areas of four areas of focus:

- Model/framework;
- Actors involved and impacts on targets and priorities;
- Knowledge transfer; and
- Barriers and facilitators to evidence implementation.

### 7.1 Model/framework

Even though a number of models and frameworks have been created to support knowledge translation and evidence implementation, it has been shared in this thesis that many models do not reflect the complexity of addressing public health and are not practical in application.[4-7] Therefore, it was an important step to include knowledge, experience and lessons learned from evidence implementation real world cases to support the model

creation, in addition to critical literature reviewed and applied. As part of a European Union funded initiative, review and assessment of 32 evidence-based interventions across 24 European countries provided insight to key components to evidence implementation, how they interlink and the recursive process that occurs within the complexity of multiple interactions that are at play within public health to take action.[7,8] Early findings of these key components were shared with the country stakeholders as part of lessons learned within the initiative case studies workshop and final reporting to support future actions for evidence-based intervention implementation.

As the model was being developed, opportunities to share early versions were discussed during presentations at Maastricht University, MSc Governance and Leadership in European Public Health.

Revised and enhanced versions of the model evolved and continued to be presented and discussed each year during the master's course over a 3-year time period. Some students found the model helpful to their studies and two students selected this model as the foundation for their Master's degree thesis. Furthermore, the model was also used as the foundation for two World Health Organization environment and health studies, along with key technical background documents related to the study themes.[9,10] The use of the model was included and widely disseminated within the WHO reports methods sections for both studies and the results sections of the studies followed the model structure to include findings on the four areas of focus: 1) Implementation targets; 2) Actors involved; 3) Knowledge transfer; and 4) Barriers and facilitators to evidence implementation.

## **7.2 Actors involved and impacts on targets and priorities**

For many years publications have stated the importance of researchers and decision makers working together.[11-14] However, within the multifaceted complexity of public health, this thesis recommends an even broader and more diverse set of actors and stakeholders, that are actively engaged, are needed to implement evidence-based interventions, including knowledge brokers and practitioners as detailed in chapter 3. While undertaking this study a

number of opportunities occurred to attain input from and inform key actors regarding diverse views and their impact on priorities for improving chemicals management in the WHO European Region. In preparation for the Sixth Ministerial Conference on Environment and Health in Ostrava, Czech Republic, June 2017, the WHO Regional Office for Europe organised a meeting with diverse national and international experts from more than 15 countries to identify short- and medium-term actions to be implemented. This included coordinating and leading panel sessions by the thesis author to discuss roles, views, beliefs and perspectives of priority actions within chemical safety amongst the varied stakeholders and actors as a collective. In addition to semi-structured interviews that were undertaken with 18 diverse stakeholders to determine their views on priority actions for chemical safety management in the European Region, a final report of the findings were published and shared at the Ministerial Conference on Environment and Health noted above, as well as posted to the WHO website for open access viewing and continues to be accessible.[10,15]

### **7.3 Knowledge transfer**

Evidence-based interventions exist but this does not necessarily mean all interventions will be effectively put into practice as detailed in chapter 4.[16-20] When an evidence-based intervention has been validated, sharing, context adapting and advocating the uptake of this good practice are important processes that requires concerted efforts. As preparation for the publication of chapter 4 took place, critical review and listing of recommended drowning prevention good practices were published by the thesis author for the WHO European Report for Child Injury Prevention-Drowning chapter, WHO Summary of the World Report for Child Injury Prevention and the UNICEF/WHO child friendly version to the World Report on Child Injury Prevention, which have been widely shared and remain accessible on the websites of both international organizations.[21-23] As well, a review of drowning good practice policy assessments were conducted as part of the broader Child Safety Report Card assessments funded by the European Commission, with 18 countries in 2007, 24 countries in 2009 and 31 countries in 2011/12, also led by the thesis author and widely published through European and national level media, websites and project reports.[24] Furthermore, good practice implementation sessions were led by the thesis author at the 2013 World Drowning Congress

in Potsdam, Germany and input and lessons learned from these workshop sessions were incorporated into the conclusions and recommendations of chapter 4.

## **7.4 Barriers and facilitators to evidence implementation**

Barriers to evidence implementation can occur at any point in time during the knowledge transfer process.[4,25,26,27] Increased awareness of determined barriers can be gained and then anticipated in order to undertake efforts to reduce the impact of such barriers. In ideal situations these barriers can even be turned into facilitators to support evidence implementation in public health systems as further detailed in chapter 5 of this thesis. As part of this chapter investigation a total of 21 diverse representatives dealing professionally with asbestos from 18 organisations globally participated in the stakeholder analysis interview process conducted by the thesis author.[9] A further 24 country representatives from 12 WHO European countries and 20 temporary advisors from 16 institutions participated in a WHO asbestos meeting and the thesis author presented the main results of the interview questionnaire. This included the coordination and facilitation of workshop sessions to discuss key aspects of barriers and means to change these issues to solutions and facilitators for evidence implementation. A meeting report and results of the workshop sessions were provided to all meeting participants. Furthermore, the stakeholder analysis described in chapter 5 contributed in particular, to one of the commitments of the Parma Declaration to develop national programmes to eliminate asbestos-related diseases by 2015 and reconfirmed in the Ostrava Declaration 2017.[28,29] During the Sixth Ministerial Conference of Environment and Health in 2017 a workshop session was held by WHO that shared the main results detailed within chapter 5.

## **7.5 Conclusion**

This chapter describes how a variety of channels have been used to share and discuss the results of this thesis with researchers, decision makers, knowledge brokers, practitioners and the general public related to evidence implementation in public health systems. A wide variety of actions were undertaken to disseminate and advocate the key components and

factors that influence the uptake of evidence-based interventions, and how they interlink to impact effectiveness. These actions included awareness raising, knowledge enhancement and advocacy of evidence implementation through journal publications, national and global reports, ministerial declarations, national resolutions, conference presentations, website postings, and policy makers' workshop sessions. The broad dissemination and strategic communication activities described in this valorisation chapter demonstrates the level of integration the thesis results have had within key public health organizations and their networks, such as the World Health Organization. This has set the groundwork for advancing the implementation of evidence in public health systems in Europe and globally.

## References

1. United Nations [Internet]. New York, United States of America: United Nations; 2015. Transforming Our World: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. [updated 2019 May 3; cited 2020 May 24]. Available from: <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/>
2. Acheson D. Health 21: the health for all policy framework for the WHO European Region. Copenhagen: World Health Organization Europe; 1999. 224 p.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [Internet]. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2014. Introduction to Public Health, Public Health 101 Series. [updated 2018 November 15; cited 2020 May 3] Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/publichealth101/public-health.html>
4. Nilsen P. Making sense of implementation theories, models and frameworks. *Implementation Sci.* 2015;10:53. DOI: 10.1186/s13012-015-0242-0
5. Gentry S, Mildon L, Kelly MP. Why is translating research into policy so hard? How theory can help public health researchers achieve impact? *Public Health.* 2020;178:90-96. DOI:10.1016/j.puhe.2019.09.009
6. Greenhalgh T, Fahy N. Research impact in the community-based health sciences: an analysis of 162 case studies from the 2014 UK Research Excellence Framework. *BMC Med.* 2015;13:232. DOI: 10.1186/s12916-015-0467-4
7. Vincenten J, MacKay M, Schröder-Bäck P, Schloemer T M, Brand H. Factors influencing implementation of evidence-based interventions in public health systems. *Cent Euro J Public Health* 2019; 27(3):198-203. DOI: 10.21101/cejph.a5234
8. Scholtes B, Schröder-Bäck P, MacKay J M, Vincenten J, Förster K, Brand H. Facilitators and Barriers for the Adoption, Implementation and Monitoring of Child Safety Interventions: a multinational qualitative analysis. *Inj Prev.* 2017;23(3):197-204. DOI:10.1136/injuryprev-2016-042138.
9. Vincenten J, George F, Martuzzi M, Schröder-Bäck, P, Paunovic E. Barriers and facilitators to the elimination of asbestos related diseases-stakeholders' perspectives. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2017;14(10). pii: E1269. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph14101269.
10. Vincenten JA, Zastenskaya I, Jarosinska D.I. Analysis of stakeholder views on future development in chemical safety in the WHO European Region Report. Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe; 2017. 38 p.

11. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: A consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implementation Sci.* 2009;4(1):50-65. DOI: 10.1186/1748-5908-4-50
12. Greenhalgh T, Robert G, Macfarlane F, Bate P, Kyriakidou O. Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: Systematic review and recommendations. *Milbank Q.* 2004;82(4):581-629. DOI: 10.1111/j.0887-378X.2004.00325.x
13. Oliver K, Innvar S, Lorenc T, Woodman J, Thomas J. A systematic review of barriers to and facilitators of the use of evidence by policymakers. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2014;14(1):2-14. DOI: 10.1186/1472-6963-14-2
14. Lavis J, Moynihan R, Oxman A, Paulsen E. Evidence-informed health policy 4 – Case descriptions of organizations that support the use of research evidence. *Implementation Sci.* 2008;3(12): 1-9. DOI: 10.1186/1748-5908-3-56
15. Vincenten JA, Zastenskaya I, Schröder-Bäck P, Jarosinska DI. Priorities for improving chemicals management in the WHO European Region-stakeholders' views [published online ahead of print, 2020 May 8]. *Eur J Public Health.* 2020 May 8;ckaa074. DOI:10.1093/eurpub/ckaa074
16. Orton L, Lloyd-Williams F, Taylor-Robinson D, O'Flaherty M, Capewell S. The use of research evidence in public health decision making processes: Systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(7): e21704. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021704
17. Kneale D, Rojas-García A, Thomas J. Obstacles and opportunities to using research evidence in local public health decision-making in England. *Health Res Policy Sys.* 2019;17(61): DOI: 10.1186/s12961-019-0446-x
18. Liverani M, Hawkins B, Parkhurst JO. Political and institutional influences on the use of evidence in public health policy. A systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(10): e77404. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077404
19. Oliver K, Lorenc T, Innvær S. New directions in evidence-based policy research: a critical analysis of the literature. *Health Res Policy Systems.* 2014;12(7):34-45. DOI: 10.1186/1478-4505-12-34
20. Fafard P, Hoffman SJ. Rethinking translation for public health policy. *Evid Policy.* 2020;16(1):165-175. DOI: 10.1332/174426418X15212871808802
21. Sethi D, Towner E, Vincenten J, Segui-Gomez M, Racioppi F. *European Report on Child Injury Prevention.* Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2008. 98 p.
22. Vincenten J, MacKay M. *World report on child injury prevention: Summary and fact sheets.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008. 213 p.
23. Vincenten J, MacKay M. *Companion to the World Report on Child Injury Prevention 2008. Have Fun, Be Safe!* New York: UNICEF; 2008. 26 p.

24. MacKay M, Vincenten J. Child Safety Report Card 2012: Europe Summary for 31 Countries. Amsterdam: European Child Safety Alliance; 2013. 58 p.
25. Glasgow RE, Green LW, Taylor MV, Stange KC. An evidence integration triangle for aligning science with policy and practice. *Am J Prev Med.* 2012;42(6):646-654. DOI: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.02.016
26. 26. Moulin J, Sabater-Hernández D, Fernandez-Llimos F, Benrimoj S. A systematic review of implementation frameworks of innovations in healthcare and resulting generic implementation framework. *Health Res Policy Syst.* 2015;13:16. DOI: 10.1186/s12961-015-0005-z.
27. Grimshaw JM, Eccles MP, Lavis JN, Hill, SJ, Squires JE. Knowledge translation of research findings. *Implementation Sci.* 2012;7(1):50-67. DOI: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-50
28. World Health Organization. WHO Europe Parma Declaration on Environment and Health. Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe; 2010. 10 p. Report No.: EUR/55934/5.1 Rev. 2
29. World Health Organization. WHO Europe Ostrava Declaration on Environment and Health. Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe; 2017. 5 p. Report No.: EURO/Ostrava2017/6