

# The Recognition of Qualifications in the EU

## Citation for published version (APA):

Kortese, L. S. J. (2020). *The Recognition of Qualifications in the EU: Blurring the Lines of Competences between the Internal Market and Education*. Maastricht University. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20200528lk>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2020

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20200528lk](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20200528lk)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# **The Recognition of Qualifications in the EU: Blurring the Lines of Competences between the internal Market and Education**

*Lavinia S.J. Kortese*

## **Propositions**

1. *De facto* professional recognition is incorrectly pulled into the realm of academic recognition. EU action should be undertaken on *de facto* professional recognition based on the competence of Article 53 TFEU to restore the balance in recognition competences between the internal market and education.
2. Deregulation or liberalisation of professions should be prevented as it leads to non-application of the Professional Qualifications Directive, meaning a loss of safeguards for EU citizens, while there is no way of preventing non-regulatory barriers or “implicit regulation”.
3. Convergence between the different instruments and initiatives for recognition should be enhanced to maximise their potential positive impact on mobility.
4. The European Professional Card should be made available to other professions. In future, the Card should also include a right of access to the designated profession.

5. The pluriformity of the recognition of qualifications as it stands now requires targeted action focused on particular professions or courses of study between specific Member States to solve concrete issues related to recognition.
6. Efforts should be undertaken to achieve harmonisation of courses of study and of professions. Without this harmonisation, recognition issues will never be resolved.
7. The revision of the Blue Card Directive should be adopted. The Directive as it stands now provides too much room for interpretation thus leading to disparate approaches at national level. The complementarity of the EU Blue Card to national highly skilled migrant schemes can even lead to promotion of such schemes, as they may be more beneficial than is provided for by the Directive.
8. The role of modern technologies such as blockchain for the improvement of administrative processes should be researched more extensively. Particular attention should be given to how legislation governing the use of such technology should be formulated so as to both prevent abuse and maximise positive effects.
9. Proposed legislation should be assessed for its potential impact on border regions to prevent those regions from being disadvantaged by new legislation. The European Cross-border Mechanism proposed by the European Commission should be adopted to mitigate conflicts of legislation occurring in specific cross-border projects.