

The evolving landscape in the hybrid treatment of atrial fibrillation

Citation for published version (APA):

Vroomen, M. (2019). *The evolving landscape in the hybrid treatment of atrial fibrillation*. Gildeprint Drukkerijen. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20190411mv>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2019

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20190411mv](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20190411mv)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Social relevance

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common arrhythmia worldwide. Approximately 3% of the population aged over 20 years is affected.^{1,2} The prevalence is strongly age dependent, and due to the demographics, this is estimated to at least double in the next 50 years.³ In people with risk factors for AF, such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and valvular disease, the prevalence is even higher.⁴

AF is associated with a considerable cardiovascular morbidity. With a 3- to 5-fold increased risk, stroke is the most feared complication.⁵⁻⁷ Further, patients with AF may also suffer from cognitive impairment and dementia.^{8,9} This leads to an increased risk in all-cause mortality by 1.5- to 2-fold.^{10,11} As a consequence, AF significantly contributes to an extensive worldwide socio-economic and public health burden.^{12,13}

This thesis focusses on different aspects of the invasive treatment of AF. The objective to improve outcome of ablation by implementing new ablation techniques, to reduce the invasiveness of AF ablation, and to critically evaluate existing methods and endpoints, contributes to the optimization of current treatment strategies. This eventually should lead to an improved quality of life of AF patients, and to a decrease in socio-economic burden.

Target groups

Pulmonary vein isolation is the established ablation strategy in patients with paroxysmal AF. However, it has been proven that pulmonary vein isolation is not sufficient in achieving reasonable outcome in patients with non-paroxysmal AF. This thesis focusses mainly on this challenging subgroup and attempts to identify the most successful treatment strategy in those patients. However, we also discuss relevant aspects affecting all types of AF such as improvement of ablation endpoints, improving patient selection and reducing invasiveness of the procedure. Findings in this thesis are therefore pertinent not only for all patients with AF, but also for cardiologists and cardiothoracic surgeons performing AF ablations, and even for non-ablating specialists who have to decide between referring a patient for ablation or continuing medication.

Output

For patient with difficult to treat AF, like non-paroxysmal AF or paroxysmal AF with previous failed catheter ablation, hybrid ablation could offer opportunities to restore sinus rhythm where other treatments still fail. Due to the lack of research on hybrid ablation, the most recent European and American cardiology and surgical guidelines have not yet issued recommendations for this approach. This thesis should help to further appreciate hybrid ablation in the treatment of AF, and to better define its role in upcoming guidelines. This will eventually make it more accessible for patients and medical specialists. Results may also contribute to a better patient selection, which will lead to improved outcomes by selecting the most adequate therapy strategy for specific patients.

Innovation

The recent guidelines on the management of AF appreciate the heart team as key factor in AF treatment. Hybrid ablation already adheres to this latest recommendation, since electrophysiologists and surgeons together decide on the most efficacious treatment, and even more important, work together to accomplish that goal. The know-how and available approaches of two specialties are synergized to allow to reduce the invasiveness and to select the right patients for the right treatment after considering all available treatment options. They also reflect as a team on the performed treatment, and change strategies if necessary. Further, modern devices can be implemented in this ablation strategy, either by the cardiologist or the surgeon. This all makes hybrid ablation a patient tailored approach.

References

1. Bjorck S, Palaszewski B, Friberg L, et al. Atrial fibrillation, stroke risk, and warfarin therapy revisited: a population-based study. *Stroke*. 2013;44(11):3103-8.
2. Haim M, Hoshen M, Reges O, et al. Prospective national study of the prevalence, incidence, management and outcome of a large contemporary cohort of patients with incident non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2015;4(1):e001486.
3. Krijthe BP, Kunst A, Benjamin EJ, et al. Projections on the number of individuals with atrial fibrillation in the European Union, from 2000 to 2060. *Eur Heart J*. 2013 Sep;34(35):2746-51.
4. Zoni-Berisso M, Lercari F, Carazza T, et al. Epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: European perspective. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2014;6:213-20.
5. Lloyd-Jones DM, Wang TJ, Leip EP, et al. Lifetime risk for development of atrial fibrillation: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2004;110(9):1042-6.
6. Frost L, Engholm G, Johnsen S, et al. Incident thromboembolism in the aorta and the renal, mesenteric, pelvic, and extremity arteries after discharge from the hospital with a diagnosis of atrial fibrillation. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161(2):272-6.
7. Bekwelem W, Connolly SJ, Halperin JL, et al. Extracranial Systemic Embolic Events in Patients With Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation: Incidence, Risk Factors, and Outcomes. *Circulation*. 2015;132(9):796-803.
8. Ott A, Breteler MM, de Bruyne MC, et al. Atrial fibrillation and dementia in a population-based study. The Rotterdam Study. *Stroke*. 1997;28(2):316-21.
9. Kalantarian S, Stern TA, Mansour M, et al. Cognitive impairment associated with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2013;158(5 Pt 1):338-46.
10. Benjamin EJ, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, et al. Impact of atrial fibrillation on the risk of death: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 1998;98(10):946-52.
11. Andersson T, Magnuson A, Bryngelsson IL, et al. All-cause mortality in 272,186 patients hospitalized with incident atrial fibrillation 1995-2008: a Swedish nationwide long-term case-control study. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34(14):1061-7.
12. Ball J, Carrington MJ, McMurray JJ, et al. Atrial fibrillation: profile and burden of an evolving epidemic in the 21st century. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;167:1807-1824.
13. Sheikh A, Patel NJ, Thakkar B, et al. Trends in hospitalization for atrial fibrillation: epidemiology, cost, and implications for the future. *Prog Cardiovasc*. 2015 Sep-Oct;58(2):105-16.