Diagnosis and Interventional Pain Treatment of Cervical Facet Joint Pain

Citation for published version (APA):

Document status and date:
Published: 01/01/2019

DOI:
10.26481/dis.20190117me

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:
• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:
repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.
Chapter 12

Valorization
In this thesis we define the clinical diagnosis of cervical facet joint pain and explore the effectiveness of RF treatment which is a minimally invasive interventional pain treatment procedure. A major conclusion of this thesis is that two interventional pain treatment strategies for cervical facet joint pain, application of a long acting local anaesthetic at the nerves that innervate the cervical facet joints and RF denervation of those nerves, show a clinical relevant improvement after 6 months in over 50% of patients (chapter 9).

RF denervation of the cervical facet joints has a longer effect than application of a local anaesthetic alone (chapter 4,10).

How should these findings be translated in terms of valorization?

Valorization is a broad concept encompassing knowledge transfer from the research sector to other sectors for personal, social and economic value.¹ The impact of chronic pain represents a huge burden to society associated with high costs; financial and in terms of degraded quality of life for the patients, their family and those immediately around them.² Therefore the impact of our findings on the personal (psychosocial) level and on the societal and economic level will be addressed.

**Patient level:**

The quality of life of patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain is notably reduced.³,⁴ More than 1/3 of patients with chronic neck pain are affected in their physical and social functioning.⁵ Neck pain existing for a period longer than 3 to 6 months is not a self-limiting disease. A majority of patients have persistent complaints.⁶,⁷ The negative impact of chronic neck pain is a burden on the patients but also on their families. Pain and pain-related symptoms can have a profound effect on spousal relationships, caring for family members (children, spouses) and intimacy. Social and recreational activities with friends can become negatively affected.² If neck pain persists despite conservative first line treatment, there are only limited medical treatment options. If patients with chronic neck pain are indeed not likely to recover, this is a signal for improvement of diagnosis and treatment to all medical disciplines.⁸ The prevalence of neck pain in the Netherlands is 14.3%⁵ Cervical facet joint pain is one of the possible contributors for neck pain. The prevalence of cervical facet joint pain in the general population is difficult to establish because it is a diagnosis mainly based on history and physical examination by a trained medical professional. For patients visiting a Pain Clinic, the cervical facet joints are considered as a frequent source of neck pain with estimated prevalence rates of 36% to 60%.⁹-¹²
We define cervical facet joint pain as a clinical diagnosis based on the history, the radiation pattern of pain (axial neck pain without neurological signs), and the sign of paraspinal pain on palpation. The usefulness of diagnostic blocks with local anaesthetics is questioned as we show that local anesthetic blocks may have a considerable long-standing effect. Paraspinal pain on pressure is considered as an important clinical sign for the diagnosis cervical facet joint pain.\textsuperscript{13, 14} However “paraspinal pain on pressure” is ill defined in the literature. We describe a standardized and reliable manual examination procedure to define paraspinal pain on pressure as part of the clinical diagnosis of cervical facet joint pain and to estimate the painful cervical segmental level to target interventional pain treatment for cervical facet joint pain. (chapter 6)

In interventional pain medicine one of the treatment options for patients with cervical facet joint pain is therapeutic cervical medial branch blocks with local anesthetics. RF treatment of the nerves that innervate the cervical facet joints is another interventional treatment modality with a probable longer effect.

High quality effectiveness studies in interventional pain medicine are important to adequately inform and to treat patients based on reliable results, to support medical practice guidelines and to support healthcare decision makers in their resource prioritization.\textsuperscript{15, 16}

Health gain after medical interventions is an important goal for patients and treating physicians and an increasingly prominent issue for health organization policies.\textsuperscript{17} According to the International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurements (ICHOM), health gain can best be measured with standardized sets for different medical conditions.\textsuperscript{18, 19} However, there is no proposed ICHOM set for neck pain. A by the author adapted set of the standardized set for low back pain is shown in figure 1. In our presented research we registered complications, duration of effect, pain medication, disability, neck pain and quality of life. Both interventional treatment strategies (injection of local anesthetic and RF) decrease neck disability and pain intensity and increase the quality of life. RF treatment decreases the use of pain medication (chapter 9). Therefore, we consider the two described interventional pain treatment strategies as a health gain for patients with cervical facet joint pain with a duration of 6 months or longer.

The Dutch Society of Anesthesiologists (NVA, Nederlandse Vereniging van Anesthesiologie) are implementing registration of Patient Reported Outcome Measures (Proms) for Pain Clinics in the Netherlands.\textsuperscript{20, 21} Quality registration systems can compare treatment results and will possibly be able in the future, to reproduce our findings in different Pain Clinic settings.\textsuperscript{22}
Fig 1. A possible set of outcome measures to determine health gain in daily practice after interventional treatment for cervical facet joint pain. Note that this is not a standardized set of the ICHOM, but a by the author adapted set from the proposed standardized set for low back pain. (see http://ichom.org).

The outcome measures as described in the RCT compared to a possible standard to measure health gain
1) potential complications are infection, dural leak, nerve or spinal cord damage, hematoma formation. In our RCT (n= 76) no complications occurred.
2) Need for pain medication measured with the Medication Quantification Scale (MQS).
3) Disability measured with the Neck Disability Index (NDI)
   - Work status: not recorded in the RCT
4) Neck pain measured with NRS scale (0-10)
5) Health-related Quality of Life measured with RAND36

Societal and Economic level

Chronic neck pain is defined as pain lasting for more than 3 months. The complaints of patients with chronic neck pain result in medical consumption, absenteeism from work and disability. Out of the 328 disease and injury conditions studied in the burden of disease study2016, neck pain was found to rank 6th in terms of years lost to disease.23

In a study on the economic burden of chronic neck pain in the Netherlands (1997) the total costs of chronic neck pain were initially estimated at USD $ 686 million and later
on (with adding lacking components like use of medication and unpaid work) at USD $1.3 billion with a mean cost per patient of USD $3,573.\textsuperscript{8,24} The share of this costs was about 1% of total health care expenditures. The majority of these costs (75-84%) are indirect costs (lost productivity due to work absenteeism or work loss). Disability compensation accounted for the largest proportion of indirect costs (57%). This shows the importance of preventing patients from becoming chronic and the need for reintegration in case of disability.

6% of patients with neck pain had work leave for more than 4 weeks and the total number of sick leave days for neck pain in the Netherlands was 1.5 million days annually.\textsuperscript{25} In 1996, 2.5% of the population in the Netherlands received disability pension in relation to the neck pain.

Limited economic evidence base is available in the literature for the two treatment modalities “Interventional Diagnostic Procedures” (e.g. facet joint blocks) and “Electrothermal and Radiofrequency Therapies” (e.g. radiofrequency denervation) for neck pain according to the Research Agenda for Health Economic Evaluation (RAHEE) project. Therefore strong conclusions about the cost-effectiveness of neck pain treatments cannot be made.\textsuperscript{26}

In the United States the cost utility analysis of therapeutic cervical medial branch blocks in the treatment of chronic neck pain, non-responsive to conservative management, demonstrated clinical effectiveness and cost utility at USD $4,261 per one year of quality-adjusted life year (QALY).\textsuperscript{27} In this cost utility analysis it was assumed that the patients had an improvement per procedure of around 16 weeks which is remarkably shorter than the duration of effect in our study (median treatment effect 42 months and 12 months for RF treatment and local anesthetic application, respectively, chapter 10).

In our RCT on the effectiveness of interventional pain treatments different outcome measures are used. One of the outcome measures was the Neck Disability Index.\textsuperscript{28,29} We found an improvement on the Neck Disability Index from baseline 20.4 to 15.7 at 6 months follow up, with a score of less than 15 defined in the literature as a “recovery” cut-off.\textsuperscript{30}

What this means in terms of return to work or changes of disability compensation was beyond the scope of our research questions and cannot be answered. However, the likelihood to return to work depends not only on worker level factors (health status, pain levels) but also on job-level factors and on workplace-level factors.\textsuperscript{31} A subgroup of patients with a positive workplace might benefit more from interventions.\textsuperscript{32} Involving workers’ health-care providers in the design of return-to-work programs that accommodate workers’ pain levels and medication use may be helpful. Returning injured or disabled workers may be taking pain medication in order to cope with job demands.\textsuperscript{33}
A cross sectional population study in the Netherlands showed that about 27.4% of subjects with neck pain (with 50% of respondents with reported contact with a health professional) used pain medication. In the Netherlands 5% of patients with chronic pain use strong opioids (strong and weak opioids 20%).

Pain medication for chronic pain, especially opioid use, has become a prominent issue in the medical literature, in the conversations of those responsible for public health, and in written and broadcast media. The harsh lessons learned from the population-based “experiment” of prescribing opioids for long-term non-cancer pain have been seen most prominently in North America where the prescribing of opioid medicines has led to an undoubted public health crises of opioid-related harms including addiction, unintentional overdose and death.

There is less liberal prescribing of opioids for chronic pain in Europe compared to North America (regional average Defined Daily Dose (DDD)/million inhabitants 8.3 and 38.9 respectively- a fourfold difference). However, the Netherlands (with DDD/million inhabitants of 14.3) are still placed number 9 in global ranking.

Therefore, a decrease of medication use after RF treatment of cervical facet joint pain as measured with the Medication Quantification Scale (MQS) is a favorable outcome.

In conclusion, interventional pain treatment is one of the treatment options for patients with chronic neck pain, refractory to first line conservative treatment and diagnosed with cervical facet joint pain. When diagnosed according to our clinical definition of cervical facet joint pain and with our described interventional pain management technique it causes health gain in a substantial amount (>50%) of patients. To be able to make strong conclusions about cost-effectiveness of our investigated pain management techniques for cervical facet joint pain, more research is necessary.
Chapter 12

References

   Thesis, 2001 Wageningen
5. Bala, M. Epidemiology of Chronic Pain in the Netherlands. (Kleijnen Systematic Reviews, 2011).


