

# Bundle Branch Block and Benefit from Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy

Citation for published version (APA):

Zusterzeel, R. (2015). *Bundle Branch Block and Benefit from Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Datawyse / Universitaire Pers Maastricht. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20150520rz>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2015

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20150520rz](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20150520rz)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

## Introduction

Through its pre-market review process, usually in the form of clinical trials, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) makes every effort to assure that high-risk medical devices are effective and associated risks have been minimized before they are approved to enter the market. However, women have historically been underrepresented in many clinical trials, as is also true for other patient subgroups. This especially pertains to clinical trials investigating certain cardiovascular devices, many of which often carry a high risk to patients and have the potential to save or sustain life.

Certain differences between women and men, including physiology and anatomy, can result in medical devices performing better or worse. When participants in clinical trials reflect a diverse, real-world population (females and males, young and old, various racial and ethnic backgrounds, and patients with differing comorbid diseases and conditions), and when subgroup data from clinical trials and other data sources are appropriately analyzed, much more information can be known about the product and more relevant clinical data can be reported. However, an information gap exists on the safety and effectiveness of medical devices when not enough women are included in clinical trials, making it difficult to detect potential sex-specific outcomes.

To ensure that regulatory decisions are based on science, it is one of FDA's missions to conduct regulatory science. Regulatory science is defined as: "developing new tools, standards, and approaches to assess the safety, efficacy, quality, and performance of all FDA-regulated products".<sup>1,2</sup> In this report, we utilize regulatory science research to evaluate cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT), a device-based therapy for patients with heart failure, as an example to describe significant differences in device safety and efficacy between women and men. Furthermore, we refer to how these sex-specific results can potentially be detected and reported.

## Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy for Heart Failure in Women

More than 800,000 patients in the U.S. develop heart failure each year.<sup>3</sup> The mortality associated with heart failure is significant making it an important public health issue. Furthermore, heart failure mortality has been shown to be higher in women than in men.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, appropriate, and perhaps sex-specific, therapy is of vital importance. CRT is such a therapy for patients with heart failure and has been shown to significantly improve heart failure symptoms, decrease hospitalizations and reduce mortality.

In clinical trials for CRT, women only represented approximately 20% of patients. The effects of CRT are therefore primarily based on the results in men, as well as the current clinical guidelines for the implantation of CRT devices.<sup>4</sup> The regulatory research studies we conducted that are described in this report were aimed at assessing potential differences in CRT efficacy between sexes. We used various data sources including analysis of CRT clinical trial data and data from patients included in a national registry for implantable defibrillators.

For the analysis of pre-market clinical trials we combined individual patient data from three CRT trials. The trials were submitted to FDA as part of pre-market approval applications (PMAs) for CRT. By performing such an individual-patient data meta-analysis the FDA found that women have a significantly lower mortality after receiving CRT than men.<sup>5</sup> Patients of both sexes with a left bundle branch block (LBBB), an electrical conduction disorder in the heart, benefited most. However, women did so at a shorter QRS

duration (time to complete electrical activation of the heart) than men. In patients with a LBBB and shorter QRS duration, women had a 76% reduction in heart failure or death while men did not derive any benefit. With LBBB and longer QRS duration, both sexes benefited equally from CRT.<sup>5</sup>

A second and third FDA analysis used data from real-world CRT recipients included in a national implantable defibrillator registry (the National Cardiovascular Data Registry [NCDR] ICD Registry operated by the American College of Cardiology).<sup>6,7</sup> In these two studies, it was shown that women had a lower mortality risk with CRT compared to men, and that this difference between sexes increased in the presence of a LBBB, similar as in the meta-analysis. However, as opposed to the meta-analysis there was no difference by QRS duration between women and men. Both sexes benefited at shorter as well as longer QRS durations.<sup>8</sup>

That women benefit more from CRT compared to men is important since women are less likely than men to receive CRT treatment. This also indicates that this device may be underused in women. One of the reasons why women have greater benefit with CRT is that they have smaller hearts and may therefore more often have a LBBB than men.<sup>8</sup> This, however, does not only pertain to CRT. There may be multiple reasons why women and men respond differently to medical device therapy, both in terms of safety and efficacy. These may be attributable to intrinsic factors (e.g. genetics, hormones, body size, sex-specific physiology), extrinsic factors (e.g. diet, sociocultural issues, environment) or even interactions between these factors.<sup>9</sup> This regulatory research highlights the importance of combining clinical trial data and using multiple data sources for the detection of potential sex- and other subgroup differences in medical device clinical studies.

This deficiency of sex-specific information creates difficulties in assessing the safety and effectiveness of devices in CRT therapy as well as interpreting data from device trials in general. The regulatory science performed by the FDA demonstrates that there can be important differences in device performance between women and men, both in pre-market trials as well as in real-world use. Therefore FDA recommends that in general, study enrollment should be based on representative proportions of women and men (consistent with, for example, disease prevalence) and that data from both pre-market and post-market medical device studies are appropriately analyzed for potential sex-specific results.

## **Developments and Recommendations to Enhance Participation of Women**

There can be multiple reasons why women may be underrepresented in clinical trials for medical devices. These may include a lack of understanding about main obstacles to female participation or about sex-differences in disease etiology and pathophysiology, fear of potential fetal consequences, avoidance of female patients due to the perception that it takes more time and money to recruit them, there may be family responsibilities limiting a woman's ability to participate, or clinical study in- and exclusion criteria may simply unintentionally exclude women.<sup>9</sup> As a result, the FDA has recently been looking at ways to encourage greater inclusion of women and other demographic subgroups in medical device clinical trials and how to appropriately analyze the clinical data for potential sex-specific results.

FDA published an Action Plan – mandated by Congress - for the implementation of Section 907 of the FDA Safety and Innovation Act (FDASIA), the section concerning the demographic subgroup data from clinical trials.<sup>10</sup> This Action Plan contains

## CHAPTER VIII – Valorization: Improving Safety/Efficacy of Medical Devices in Women

recommendations for improving the quality, transparency, and diversity of available data on women, as well as other demographic subgroup populations. It also references the regulatory science research discussed in this report as an example of how this can be achieved.<sup>10</sup> In addition, FDA published a Sex-Gender Guidance document that provides a clear framework for how to analyze and communicate data on women in medical device clinical trials.<sup>9</sup> The final guidance includes recommendations on encouraging appropriate representation by sex in clinical studies of devices and explains that data from such studies should be appropriately analyzed by sex. Both the complete Action Plan and final guidance can be accessed through the FDA website (Action Plan:

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/RegulatoryInformation/Legislation/FederalFoodDrugandCosmeticActFDCA/SignificantAmendmentsstotheFDCA/FDASIA/UCM410474.pdf> and final guidance:

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM283707.pdf>).

### Raising Awareness and Stakeholder Input

The research community needs to become more aware of the historical underrepresentation of women and other demographic subgroups in certain clinical trials where study outcomes can differ between sexes, races and ages. The regulatory research studies on CRT treatment for heart failure presented in this report can serve as an example of how such studies can be conducted and reported. Next to increasing awareness, this will hopefully also translate into the inclusion of more diverse populations in future clinical trials.

The FDA and sponsors of medical products cannot complete this effort alone. There is a need for stakeholder input to achieve the goal of including a more diverse patient population in clinical trials and appropriate analysis of data for potential sex-specific results. FDA plans to continue its interactions with all interested groups to achieve this important goal and improve the public health.

### Conclusions

There can be important differences in medical device performance between patients from different demographic subgroups (e.g. women) sometimes underrepresented in certain clinical trials, leading to an important information gap regarding the safety and efficacy of these devices. By continuous multi-stakeholder input, barriers to clinical trial enrollment for demographic subgroups can be addressed and diverse participation encouraged. The implementation of the 907 FDASIA Action Plan will provide a first step towards achieving this goal.

**Acknowledgements**

**Disclaimer:** The mention of commercial products, their sources, or their use in connection with material reported herein is not to be construed as either an actual or implied endorsement of such products by the Department of Health and Human Services.

**Disclosures:** None.

## REFERENCES

1. Hamburg MA. Advancing Regulatory Science. *Science*. 2011;331(6020):987.
2. US Food and Drug Administration. Advancing Regulatory Science. 2013; <http://www.fda.gov/ScienceResearch/SpecialTopics/RegulatoryScience/>. Accessed September 1, 2014.
3. Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Blaha MJ, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics--2014 update: a Report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2014;129(3):e28-e292.
4. Tracy CM, Epstein AE, Darbar D, Dimarco JP, Dunbar SB, Estes NA 3<sup>rd</sup>, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/HRS Focused Update of the 2008 Guidelines for Device-Based Therapy of Cardiac Rhythm Abnormalities: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Heart Rhythm*. 2012;9(10):1737-53.
5. Zusterzeel R, Selzman KA, Sanders WE, Canos DA, O'Callaghan KM, Carpenter JL, et al. Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Women: US Food and Drug Administration Meta-analysis of Patient-Level Data. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014;174(8):1340-48.
6. Zusterzeel R, Curtis JP, Canos DA, Sanders WE, Selzman KA, Pina IL, et al. Sex-Specific Mortality Risk by QRS Morphology and Duration in Patients Receiving Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy: Results from the NCDR. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64(9):887-94.
7. Zusterzeel R, Spatz E, Curtis J, et al. Women have Better Survival than Men with Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Left Bundle Branch Block: an Observational Comparative Effectiveness Study from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry. *Circulation*. 2014;130:A13355 [Abstract].
8. Strauss DG, Selvester RH, Wagner GS. Defining Left Bundle Branch Block in the Era of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107(6):927-34.
9. US Food and Drug Administration. Evaluation of Sex-Specific Data in Medical Device Clinical Studies - Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff. 2014; <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM283707.pdf>. Accessed September 1, 2014.
10. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Action Plan to Enhance the Collection and Availability of Demographic Subgroup Data. 2014; <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/RegulatoryInformation/Legislation/FederalFoodDrugandCosmeticActFDCA/SignificantAmendments/totheFDCA/FDASIA/UCM410474.pdf>. Accessed September 1, 2014.