

# Utilization of MR-venography in deep vein obstruction

Citation for published version (APA):

Arnoldussen, C. W. K. P. (2024). *Utilization of MR-venography in deep vein obstruction*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Maastricht University. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20240112ca>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2024

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20240112ca](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20240112ca)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

## CHAPTER 10: IMPACT PARAGRAPH

In this chapter, the relevance and the socio-economic, clinical and scientific impact of the research described in this thesis is discussed. The research in this thesis was performed over the course of the past decade. Our knowledge and understanding of deep venous system imaging interpretation have expanded with each year and each chapter of this thesis. After completion of the CAVA trial the full scope of our magnetic resonance venography (MRV) approach became clear, in particular how it could affect clinical management of (acute) deep vein thrombosis. At the same time it may be that, even after a decade of evolution in our views and interpretations, we have only 'scratched the surface' of imaging deep venous disease.

### **Socio-Economic impact**

As it stands, evaluation of lower extremity deep vein thrombosis with duplex ultrasound (DUS) provides a good medical imaging tool to support an optimized referral pathway. Based on current criteria and guidelines the outcome of a diagnostic DUS generally leads to correct referral for patients. We should however not underestimate the current socio-economic impact of deep vein thrombosis with its associated high morbidity and long-term complications, which not only affect patient QoL but also impose a financial burden on society. This holds true in particular for the development of post-thrombotic syndrome (PTS) after acute deep vein thrombosis. PTS is a complication that occurs in 40% to 60% of deep vein thrombosis patients when treated according to the current guidelines.<sup>1,2</sup> It has serious negative implications for the quality of life and contributes to rising healthcare costs.<sup>3,4</sup> In view of these facts, developing and implementing more advanced (non-invasive) imaging tools like MRV provides an opportunity to ensure an early and more precise diagnosis, essential for treatment success and prevention of (long-term) complications. In this thesis we have shown that, for patients with extensive iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, MRV can provide additional imaging information to help guide an optimized treatment plan, which potentially reduces therapy associated risks and costs since invasive treatment can be applied in those with potential high yield and avoided in those with expected low yield.

### **Clinical impact**

Deep venous thrombosis is a complex disease in which the main contribution of imaging is and has been the evaluation of the presence or absence of a deep vein thrombosis. Most efforts have been invested in supporting the treatment of deep vein thrombosis with anticoagulant therapies.<sup>5,6</sup>

With the publication of the first successful studies on minimally invasive, catheter-directed thrombolysis (CDT) for deep vein thrombosis between 1995 and 2000 a renewed interest in thrombolysis as treatment option for deep vein thrombosis was created.<sup>7,8</sup> The first randomized

controlled trial, the CaVent study, underlined the potential of catheter directed thrombolysis for the prevention of the post-thrombotic syndrome.<sup>9</sup> However, this trial and consequent trials did not deliver on this promise.<sup>10,11</sup> It was argued that adequate patient selection could be an important contributor to success of the intervention. Furthermore, it was argued that CDT in addition to anticoagulation was only the starting point of the treatment. Without treating any underlying obstruction, the potential benefits regarding outcome will be suboptimal. The aim of the CAVA-trial was to focus on evaluating and treating iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis. At that time, experts considered duplex ultrasound and venography the only established imaging modalities for deep vein thrombosis. We included MRV in our evaluation of patients suspected of iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis which contributed to the awareness of underlying deep venous disease (deep vein stenosis and / or chronic obstruction) as an important factor for (long-term) clinical success. More importantly we developed an imaging assessment technique that allows for virtual thrombus aging based on imaging alone, providing a prognostic tool for CDT success or failure. This technique proved to yield a clinically relevant parameter which can be used by clinicians to decide when (not) to opt for CDT, minimizing unnecessary patient exposure to the risks of CDT. Additionally virtual thrombus aging reinforced the concept of treating (iliofemoral) deep vein thrombosis as soon as possible since this ensures the shortest required thrombolysis time.

Current medical practice shows that patient selection and treatment is relying more and more on medical imaging information. Our MRV protocol will enable radiologists to better consult their clinicians in selecting patients eligible for venous interventions. Our MRV protocol can thus help the selection process and treatment of deep vein thrombosis patients and benefits patients directly.

### **Scientific impact**

Deep vein thrombosis is a relatively common disease, both spontaneous and after surgery.<sup>12</sup> Identifying deep vein thrombosis early is of great importance in improving outcomes for patients by preventing pulmonary emboli, extension of deep vein thrombosis and reduce long-term risks such as PTS.<sup>13-15</sup> In this thesis we have shown that we could improve outcomes by adding MRV to the diagnostic algorithm for patients with iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and that MRV can help guide therapy choices by interpreting imaging characteristics of thrombus. This information is useful for both clinicians and researchers as it represents 'a piece of the puzzle' for the explanation of the (lack of) long term success in treating deep vein thrombosis with CDT. Completely unraveling the pathophysiology of DVT might be out of reach, but medical imaging can contribute to the evaluation of treatment strategies for deep vein thrombosis patients. Introducing MRV as part of the routine assessment of (extensive) iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis currently has limited clinical applications. We managed to present an MRV image interpretation technique useful as a non-invasive diagnostic tool to assess virtual thrombus morphology, thereby creating the possibility of future MR imaging

research on imaging-based deep vein thrombosis assessment and treatment. As outlined in the discussion, a potential research application would be detailed analysis of MR imaging features in the acute phase coupled with histological clot analysis.

MRV has long been considered inaccessible or highly complex and reserved for academic specialty centers only. In this thesis we demonstrated the potential of performing MRV on a mainstream 1.5T MRI machine, with an acceptable exam duration, utilizing a regular gadolinium-based contrast agent. Both the reduction in acquisition time and the alternative for more complex contrast agents deemed mandatory in the past (and now virtually unobtainable) improved accessibility and utilization of MRV for deep vein thrombosis. With less requirements, more sites can participate in both research and clinical utilization of MRV.

There is a rapid expansion of new minimally invasive treatment options for thrombus removal. When to use these techniques or how pre-interventional imaging can guide (technical) treatment choices has yet to be established. The virtual thrombus aging imaging technique described in this thesis could already be used to evaluate the use of pharmaco-mechanical and strictly mechanical thrombectomy devices which have been introduced in recent years.

This thesis shows that there is potential to improve on the imaging work up in deep vein thrombosis patients by performing MRV, when considering treating these patients with minimally invasive techniques, such as catheter-directed thrombolysis and/or stent placement. Current data do not support routine implementation of catheter directed thrombolysis in clinical care. However, the expectation is that there is room for improvement and better results might be achieved by better patient selection, better device selection and more supportive treatment after initial care, so that long-term risks of minimally invasive thrombolysis and/or thrombectomy will ultimately outweigh the gains.

## References:

1. Kahn SR, Shrier I, Julian JA, et al. Determinants and time course of the post-thrombotic syndrome after acute deep venous thrombosis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2008;149:698-707.
2. Schulman S, Lindmarker P, Holmstrom M, et al. Post-thrombotic syndrome, recurrence, and death 10 years after the first episode of venous thromboembolism treated with warfarin for 6 weeks or 6 months. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2006;4:734-742.
3. Kahn SR, Shbaklo H, Lamping DL, et al. Determinants of health-related quality of life during the 2 years following deep vein thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2008;6:1105-1112.
4. Ten Cate-Hoek AJ, Toll DB, Buller HR, et al. Cost-effectiveness of ruling out deep venous thrombosis in primary care versus care as usual. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009;7:2042-2049.
5. Kahn SR, Comerota AJ, Cushman M, et al.; American Heart Association Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing. The postthrombotic syndrome: evidence-based prevention, diagnosis, and treatment strategies: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2014;130(18):1636-1661.
6. RAB: Richtlijn Antitrombotisch Beleid (Nederland).  
[https://richtlijndatabase.nl/richtlijn/antitrombotisch\\_beleid/therapie\\_vte/initi\\_le\\_behandeling\\_veneuze\\_trombo-embolie.html](https://richtlijndatabase.nl/richtlijn/antitrombotisch_beleid/therapie_vte/initi_le_behandeling_veneuze_trombo-embolie.html)
7. Bjarnason H, Kruse JR, Asinger DA, et al. Iliofemoral deep venous thrombosis: safety and efficacy outcome during 5 years of catheter-directed thrombolytic therapy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 1997; 8:405-18.
8. Mewissen MW, Seabrook GR, Meissner MH, et al. Catheter-directed thrombolysis for lower extremity deep venous thrombosis: report of a national multicenter registry. *Radiology* 1999;211:39-49.
9. Enden T, Haig Y, Kløw NE, et al.; CaVenT Study Group. Long-term outcome after additional catheter-directed thrombolysis versus standard treatment for acute iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis (the CaVenT study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012 Jan 7;379(9810):31-8.
10. Vedantham S, Goldhaber SZ, Julian JA, et al. Pharmacomechanical Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis for Deep-Vein Thrombosis *N Engl J Med.* 2017 Dec 7;377(23):2240-2252.
11. Notten P, Ten Cate-Hoek AJ, Arnoldussen CWKP, et al. Ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis versus anticoagulation for the prevention of post-thrombotic syndrome (CAVA): a single-blind, multicentre, randomised trial. *Lancet Haematol* 2020;7(01):e40-e49.
12. White RH. The epidemiology of venous thromboembolism. *Circulation.* 2003;107:I-4-I-8.
13. Barritt DW, Jordan SC, Brist MB. Anticoagulant drugs in the treatment of pulmonary embolism: a controlled trial. *Lancet.* 1960;181309- 1312.
14. Kearon C, Akl EA, Comerota AJ, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines *Chest.* 2012 Feb;141(2 Suppl):e419S-e496S.
15. Hull RD, Raskob GE, Brant RF, et al. Relation between the time to achieve the lower limit of the APTT therapeutic range and recurrent venous thromboembolism during heparin treatment for deep vein thrombosis. *Arch Intern Med.* 1997;1572562- 2568.