

# The fuzzy core and the (, )- balanced core

## Citation for published version (APA):

Predtetchinski, A. (2004). *The fuzzy core and the (, )- balanced core*. METEOR, Maastricht University School of Business and Economics. METEOR Research Memorandum No. 025  
<https://doi.org/10.26481/umamet.2004025>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2004

## DOI:

[10.26481/umamet.2004025](https://doi.org/10.26481/umamet.2004025)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# The Fuzzy Core and the $(\Pi, \beta)$ –Balanced Core

Arkadi Predtetchinski<sup>\*†</sup>

June 22, 2004

## Abstract

This note provides a new proof of the non–emptiness of the fuzzy core in a pure exchange economy with finitely many agents. The proof is based on the concept of  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced core for games without side payments due to Bonnisseau and Iehlé (2003).

**JEL classification codes:** D51, C71.

**Keywords:** fuzzy core, payoff–dependent balancedness, exchange economies.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Helpful comments of Jean–Jacques Herings and Hans Peters are gratefully acknowledged.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Economics, Maastricht University, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, the Netherlands.  
Tel.: +31–43–3883635, fax: +31–43–3884878, e-mail: [a.predtetchinski@algec.unimaas.nl](mailto:a.predtetchinski@algec.unimaas.nl)

# 1 Introduction

The fuzzy core was introduced by Aubin (1979) as the set of allocations of a pure exchange economy that are robust to blockings by all fuzzy coalitions. To interpret a fuzzy coalition, one thinks of an economy as being comprised of several types of agents, with each type being represented by a continuum of identical individuals. The fuzzy coalition then specifies the number (the mass) of the participants of each type.

The fuzzy core is known to be non-empty under very general conditions. In particular, Florenzano (2003) establishes the non-emptiness of the fuzzy core in an infinite-dimensional production economy (see Proposition 5.2.3, page 115).

This note provides a new proof of the non-emptiness of the fuzzy core. The proof is based on the concept of the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core for games without side payments, a special case of the so-called core with additional requirements introduced recently in Bonnisseau and Iehlé (2003). A given economy gives rise to a non-transferable utility game whose  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core corresponds, in a natural way, to the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core of the original economy. The non-emptiness of the fuzzy core can therefore be deduced from the non-emptiness result for the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core provided in Bonnisseau and Iehlé (2003).

Our non-emptiness result is weaker than that provided by Proposition 5.2.3 in Florenzano (2003). The result in Florenzano (2003) applies to an economy with a non-trivial production sector, while our construction is limited to pure exchange economies. Furthermore, to transform the problem of the non-emptiness of the fuzzy core into that of the non-emptiness of the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core, we have to rely on the existence of the utility functions. This is in contrast to the result in Florenzano (2003), where the consumer preferences may lack completeness or transitivity. On the other hand, we are able to maintain quite general assumptions with respect to the commodity space of an economy. As in Florenzano (2003), the commodity space in this paper is a general (possibly infinite-dimensional) linear Hausdorff topological space.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 some notation is introduced. In Section 3 the fuzzy core and the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core are defined. In Section 4 some preliminary results are presented, including the non-emptiness result for the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core. In Section 5 the non-emptiness of the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core is demonstrated.

## 2 Notation

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then  $N$  is the set of integers  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}$  is the collection of non-empty subsets of the set  $N$ .  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the space of functions  $x : i \in N \mapsto x^i \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$  is the space of functions  $\lambda : S \in \mathcal{N} \mapsto \lambda_S \in \mathbb{R}$ . Given  $S \in \mathcal{N}$ , define the set  $\Delta_S = \{\pi_S \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \pi_S^i = 0 \text{ for all } i \in N \setminus S, \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i = 1\}$ . Given an  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/n)$ , let  $\Delta_S^\epsilon = \{\pi_S \in \Delta_S \mid \pi_S^i \geq \epsilon \text{ for all } i \in S\}$ . Let  $\beta$  denote the barycenter of  $\Delta_N$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the symbols  $\text{int}A$ ,  $\partial A$ , and  $\text{co}A$  denote, respectively, the interior, the boundary, and the convex hull of  $A$ .

### 3 Fuzzy core

We consider a pure exchange economy  $\mathcal{E}$  in which the set of agents is  $N$ , and the commodity space is  $\mathbf{C}$ . Each agent  $i \in N$  is characterized by a consumption set  $X^i \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ , initial endowment of commodities  $e^i \in \mathbf{C}$ , and a utility function  $u^i : X^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Given  $S \in \mathcal{N}$  we write  $X_S$  to denote the product  $\times_{i \in S} X^i$ .

We employ the following assumptions:

- (A1) The commodity space  $\mathbf{C}$  is a linear (over the field of real numbers) Hausdorff topological space.
- (A2) For each  $i \in N$ , the set  $X^i$  is a closed convex set containing  $e^i$ , and the set  $F(\mathcal{E}) = \{x \in X_N \mid \sum_{i \in N} x^i = \sum_{i \in N} e^i\}$  of feasible commodity allocations is a compact set.
- (A3) For each  $i \in N$  the utility function  $u^i$  is a continuous and quasi-concave function.

**Definition 1** An allocation  $x \in F(\mathcal{E})$  is an element of the fuzzy core  $C^f(\mathcal{E})$  of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$  if there exist no  $\pi \in \Delta_N$  and no  $\chi \in X_N$  such that  $\sum_{i \in N} \pi^i \chi^i = \sum_{i \in N} \pi^i e^i$  and  $u^i(\chi^i) > u^i(x^i)$  for all  $i \in N$  with  $\pi^i > 0$ .

It is instrumental to consider the following modification of the fuzzy core, called the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core. In the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core it is required that for any fuzzy coalition  $\pi$  with support  $S$  the mass  $\pi^i$  of the participants of each type  $i \in S$  be at least  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Definition 2** An allocation  $x \in F(\mathcal{E})$  is an element of the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core  $C_\epsilon^f(\mathcal{E})$  of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$  if there exist no  $S \subseteq N$ , no  $\pi \in \Delta_S^\epsilon$  and no  $\chi \in X_S$  such that  $\sum_{i \in S} \pi^i \chi^i = \sum_{i \in S} \pi^i e^i$  and  $u^i(\chi^i) > u^i(x^i)$  for all  $i \in S$ .

**Theorem 1** For each  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/n)$ , the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$  is non-empty.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given Section 5. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 2** The fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$  is non-empty.

**Proof.** To prove Theorem 2 we consider fuzzy core as a limit of the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core as  $\epsilon$  approaches zero. Observe that  $C_\epsilon^f(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq C_{\epsilon'}^f(\mathcal{E})$  whenever  $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon'$ . Moreover, the fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$  can be written as

$$C^f(\mathcal{E}) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in (0, 1/n)} C_\epsilon^f(\mathcal{E}).$$

Since the non-emptiness of the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core follows from Theorem 1, it suffices to demonstrate that  $C_\epsilon^f(\mathcal{E})$  is a compact set. We show that it is a closed subset of  $F(\mathcal{E})$ .

Let  $x$  be a point of  $F(\mathcal{E})$  not in  $C_\epsilon^f(\mathcal{E})$ . Then there exist  $S \subseteq N$ ,  $\pi \in \Delta_S^\epsilon$  and  $\chi \in X_S$  as in Definition 2. For a fixed  $\chi$ , the inequalities  $u^i(\chi^i) > u^i(x^i)$ ,  $i \in S$ , define an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $F(\mathcal{E})$  contained entirely in the complement of  $C_\epsilon^f(\mathcal{E})$ . Thus, the complement of  $C_\epsilon^f(\mathcal{E})$  in  $F(\mathcal{E})$  is an open set, as desired.  $\square$

## 4 $(\Pi, \beta)$ –Balanced core

An  $n$ –person game with non-transferable utility (hereafter referred to simply as a *game*) is a family of sets  $V = \langle V(S) \rangle_{S \in \mathcal{N}}$  satisfying the following assumptions. For all  $S \in \mathcal{N}$

- (G1)  $V(S)$  is a non-empty closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (G2)  $[v \in V(S), \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \bar{v}^i \leq v^i \text{ for all } i \in S]$  implies  $[\bar{v} \in V(S)]$ .
- (G3) There is a number  $M$  such that  $v^i \leq M$  for all  $i \in S$  and  $v \in V(S)$ .

The core of the game  $V$  is a set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$C(V) = V(N) \setminus \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{N}} \text{int}V(S).$$

Given  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\mathcal{S}(v) = \{S \in \mathcal{N} \mid v \in \partial V(S)\}$ .

The  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced core is a special case of the so-called core with additional requirements for games with non-transferable utility introduced in Bonnisseau and Iehlé (2003). The  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced core generalizes the idea of the socially stable core for socially structured games in Herings *et al* (2003).

**Definition 3** Let  $V$  be a game. For each  $S \in \mathcal{N}$  let  $\Pi_S : \partial V(S) \rightarrow \Delta_S$  be a correspondence with non-empty convex values and with a closed graph. Then the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced core of the game  $V$  is the set of points  $v$  in the core of  $V$  such that  $\beta \in \text{co} \{\Pi_S(v) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}(v)\}$ .

Thus the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced core is a selection from the core. If the correspondence  $\Pi_N$  is constant and maps each  $v$  into the one-point set  $\{\beta\}$ , then the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced core of  $V$  coincides with the core of  $V$ .

The  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balancedness condition for games without side payments belongs to the class of payoff-dependent balancedness conditions studied in Bonnisseau and Iehlé (2003). A related reference is Predtetchinski and Herings (2003), where the payoff-dependent balancedness was shown to be a necessary and sufficient condition for the non-emptiness of the core.

**Definition 4** Let  $V$  be a game. For each  $S \in \mathcal{N}$  let  $\Pi_S : \partial V(S) \rightarrow \Delta_S$  be a correspondence with non-empty convex values and with a closed graph. The game  $V$  is said to be  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced provided that the following condition is satisfied: if  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is such that  $\beta \in \text{co} \{\Pi_S(v) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}(v)\}$ , then  $v \in V(N)$ .

For the proof of Theorem 3 the reader is referred to Bonnisseau and Iehlé (2003).

**Theorem 3** *Let  $V$  be a game. For each  $S \in \mathcal{N}$  let  $\Pi_S : \partial V(S) \rightarrow \Delta_S$  be a correspondence with non-empty convex values and with a closed graph. Suppose that the game  $V$  is  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced. Then the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ –balanced core of the game  $V$  is non-empty.*

## 5 The non-emptiness of the $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core

Let an  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/n)$  and an economy  $\mathcal{E}$  be given. We construct a non-transferable utility game  $V^\epsilon$  and the correspondences  $\Pi_S$  in such a way that the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core of the game  $V^\epsilon$  corresponds, in a natural way, to the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$ . In the game  $V^\epsilon$ , the utility tuple  $v$  is considered feasible for a coalition  $S$  if it is feasible for some fuzzy coalition  $\pi_S$  with support  $S$  in the original economy  $\mathcal{E}$ . Given the vector of utilities  $v$  in  $\partial V^\epsilon(S)$ , we let  $\Pi_S(v)$  to consist of those fuzzy coalitions  $\pi_S$  that can achieve the utility of  $v$ . We then show that the the game  $V^\epsilon$  is  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced and that its  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core corresponds, under the utility functions, to the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Given  $S \in \mathcal{N}$ , let

$$Z^\epsilon(S) = \left\{ (x_S, \pi_S) \in X_S \times \Delta_S^\epsilon \mid \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i x_S^i = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i e^i \right\} \quad (1)$$

$$V^\epsilon(S) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } (x_S, \pi_S) \in Z^\epsilon(S) \text{ such that} \\ u^i(x_S^i) \geq v^i \text{ for all } i \in S \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

**Proposition 1** *For each  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/n)$ ,  $Z^\epsilon(S)$  is a non-empty and compact set.*

**Proof.** For each  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/n)$ , the set  $\Delta_S^\epsilon$  is non-empty, and  $Z^\epsilon(S)$  contains a non-empty subset  $\{e_S\} \times \Delta_S^\epsilon$ , where  $e_S^i = e^i$  for all  $i \in S$ . Define  $F_S(\mathcal{E})$  to be the set of feasible commodity allocations of the coalition  $S$ :

$$F_S(\mathcal{E}) = \left\{ x_S \in X_S \mid \sum_{i \in S} x_S^i = \sum_{i \in S} e^i \right\}.$$

First we argue that  $F_S(\mathcal{E})$  is a compact set. The set  $F_N(\mathcal{E})$  is compact by assumption **(A2)**. For a proper subset  $S$  of  $N$  the set  $F_S(\mathcal{E})$  can be considered a subset of  $F_N(\mathcal{E})$  given by

$$F_N(\mathcal{E}) \cap \{x \in X \mid x^i = e^i \text{ for all } i \in N \setminus S\}.$$

By assumption **(A2)**,  $\mathbf{C}$  is a Hausdorff space, so a one-point set  $\{e^i\}$  is closed in  $X^i$  for each  $i$ . It follows that  $F_S(\mathcal{E})$  is closed in  $F_N(\mathcal{E})$ ; therefore, it is a compact set.

To see that  $Z^\epsilon(S)$  is a compact set, let  $|S|$  be the cardinality of  $S$ ; let  $\mathbf{C}^{|S|}$  be the  $|S|$ -fold product of  $\mathbf{C}$ , and let  $\varphi$  be a continuous function from the space  $\mathbf{C}^{|S|} \times \Delta_S^\epsilon$  to itself that carries a point  $(x_S, \pi_S)$  to a point  $(\tilde{x}_S, \pi_S)$ , where

$$\tilde{x}_S^i = \frac{1}{\pi_S^i} x_S^i + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi_S^i}\right) e^i$$

for each  $i \in S$ . Then

$$Z^\epsilon(S) = (X_S \times \Delta_S^\epsilon) \cap \varphi(F_S(\mathcal{E}) \times \Delta_S^\epsilon).$$

The product of the compact sets  $F_S(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\Delta_S^\epsilon$  is a compact set, and so is its image under  $\varphi$ . Thus  $Z^\epsilon(S)$  is a closed subset of a compact set; therefore, it is a compact set.  $\square$

**Proposition 2** For each  $\epsilon \in (0, 1/n)$ , the family of sets  $V^\epsilon = \langle V^\epsilon(S) \rangle_{S \in \mathcal{N}}$  satisfies conditions **(G1)**–**(G3)**.

**Proof.** Condition **(G2)** is satisfied by definition of  $V^\epsilon(S)$ . To verify conditions **(G1)** and **(G3)**, let us write the set  $V^\epsilon(S)$  as

$$V^\epsilon(S) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^n \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } \dot{v} \in \dot{V}^\epsilon(S) \text{ such that} \\ \dot{v}^i \geq v^i \text{ for all } i \in S \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where  $\dot{V}^\epsilon(S)$  is defined by

$$\dot{V}^\epsilon(S) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^S \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } (x_S, \pi_S) \in Z^\epsilon(S) \text{ such that} \\ u^i(x_S^i) = v^i \text{ for all } i \in S \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

The set  $\dot{V}^\epsilon(S)$  is the image of the set  $Z^\epsilon(S)$  under the composition of the maps

$$X_S \times \Delta_S^\epsilon \longrightarrow X_S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^S$$

where the first map is the natural projection, and the second map is a Cartesian product of the functions  $u^i$  for  $i \in S$ . It follows that  $\dot{V}^\epsilon(S)$  is a non-empty compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^S$ ; in particular, it is bounded from above. From this one can easily see that the set  $V^\epsilon(S)$  satisfies conditions **(G1)** and **(G3)**.  $\square$

We remark that for  $\epsilon = 0$  the family of sets  $V^\epsilon$  may fail to meet conditions **(G1)** and **(G3)**, since  $Z^0(N)$  is not, generally, a compact set. It is to avoid this difficulty that the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy cores and the corresponding games  $V^\epsilon$  were introduced.

Define the correspondences  $\Pi_S : \partial V^\epsilon(S) \rightarrow \Delta_S^\epsilon$  as follows. Given  $v \in \partial V^\epsilon(S)$  let

$$\Pi_S(v) = \left\{ \pi_S \in \Delta_S^\epsilon \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{There exists } x_S \in X_S \text{ such that} \\ \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i x_S^i = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i e^i \\ u^i(x_S^i) \geq v^i \text{ for all } i \in S \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (3)$$

**Proposition 3** For each  $v \in \partial V^\epsilon(S)$  the set  $\Pi_S(v)$  is non-empty and convex. The correspondence  $\Pi_S$  has a closed graph.

**Proof.** The set  $\Pi_S(v)$  is non-empty by definition of  $V^\epsilon(S)$ . To prove that it is a convex set, let  $\pi_S, \pi'_S \in \Pi_S(v)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  be given. We must show that  $\tilde{\pi}_S = t\pi_S + (1-t)\pi'_S$  is an element  $\Pi_S(v)$ . Let  $x_S, x'_S$  be points in  $X_S$  such that  $\sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i x_S^i = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i e^i$  and  $u^i(x_S^i) \geq v^i$  for all  $i \in S$ , and similarly for  $x'_S$ . Given  $i \in S$  let  $\tilde{x}_S^i$  be defined as

$$\tilde{x}_S^i = \frac{1}{\tilde{\pi}_S^i} [t\pi_S^i x_S^i + (1-t)\pi'_S^i x'^i_S]$$

By convexity of the consumption sets one has  $\tilde{x}_S^i \in X^i$ . By quasi-concavity of the utility function,  $u^i(\tilde{x}_S^i) \geq v^i$  for all  $i \in S$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S} \tilde{\pi}_S^i \tilde{x}_S^i &= t \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i x_S^i + (1-t) \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i x_S^i \\ &= t \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i e^i + (1-t) \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i e^i \\ &= \sum_{i \in S} \tilde{\pi}_S^i e^i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\tilde{\pi}_S \in \Pi_S(v)$ , as desired.

To see that the graph  $G$  of the correspondence  $\Pi_S$  is closed, let us write it as the set

$$G = \left\{ (v, \pi_S) \in \partial V^\epsilon(S) \times \Delta_S^\epsilon \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } (\dot{v}, \pi_S) \in \dot{G} \\ \text{such that } \dot{v}^i \geq v^i \text{ for all } i \in S \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where  $\dot{G}$  is defined by

$$\dot{G} = \left\{ (v, \pi_S) \in \mathbb{R}^S \times \Delta_S^\epsilon \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } x_S \in X_S \text{ such that} \\ (x_S, \pi_S) \in Z^\epsilon(S) \\ u^i(x_S^i) = v^i \text{ for all } i \in S \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Then the set  $\dot{G}$  is the image of  $Z^\epsilon(S)$  under the continuous map  $X_S \times \Delta_S^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^S \times \Delta_S^\epsilon$ , which is a Cartesian product of the utility functions  $u^i$  for  $i \in S$  and the identity map on  $\Delta_S^\epsilon$ . It follows from Proposition 1 that  $\dot{G}$  is a compact set. From this one can easily see that the set  $G$  is closed in  $\partial V^\epsilon(S) \times \Delta_S^\epsilon$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4** *Let the game  $V^\epsilon$  and the correspondences  $\Pi_S : \partial V^\epsilon(S) \rightarrow \Delta_S^\epsilon$  be defined by Equations 1–3. Let an allocation  $x$  be an element of the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the utility tuple  $v$  is an element of the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core of the game  $V^\epsilon$ , where  $v^i = u^i(x^i)$  for all  $i \in N$ . Conversely, let the utility tuple  $v$  be an element of the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core of the game  $V^\epsilon$ . Then there exists a commodity allocation  $x$  in the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $v^i \geq u^i(x^i)$  for all  $i \in N$ .*

**Proof.** Let the commodity allocation  $x$  be in the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be given by  $v^i = u^i(x^i)$  for all  $i \in N$ . It is clear that  $v$  is in the core of the game  $V^\epsilon$ . Since we have the inclusion  $\beta \in \Pi_N(v)$ , the vector of utilities  $v$  is in the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core of  $V^\epsilon$ .

Conversely, let the vector of utilities  $v$  be in the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core of the game  $V^\epsilon$ . Then for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}(v)$ , there exists a vector  $\pi_S \in \Pi_S(v)$  and a non-negative number  $\lambda_S$  such that

$$\beta = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(v)} \lambda_S \pi_S.$$



This can be rewritten componentwise as

$$1 = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(v)} n \lambda_S \pi_S^i \text{ for all } i \in N.$$

It follows from the definition of the game  $V^\epsilon$  (Equations 1 and 2) that for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}(v)$  there exists a commodity allocation  $x_S \in X_S$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i x_S^i &= \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i e^i \\ u^i(x_S^i) &\geq v^i \text{ for all } i \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Define the commodity allocation  $\bar{x}_N \in X_N$  as follows. For each  $i \in N$  let

$$\bar{x}_N^i = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}(v) \\ S \ni i}} n \lambda_S \pi_S^i x_S^i.$$

The consumption vector  $\bar{x}_N^i$  is a weighted average of the vectors  $x_S^i$  over those coalitions  $S \in \mathcal{S}(v)$  that contain player  $i$ . By convexity of the consumption sets,  $\bar{x}_N^i$  is an element of  $X^i$ . By quasi-concavity of the utility functions,

$$u^i(\bar{x}_N^i) \geq v^i \text{ for all } i \in N.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in N} [\bar{x}_N^i - e^i] &= \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}(v) \\ S \ni i}} n \lambda_S \pi_S^i [x_S^i - e^i] \\ &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}(v)} n \lambda_S \sum_{i \in S} \pi_S^i [x_S^i - e^i] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the allocation  $\bar{x}_N$  is an element of the set  $F(\mathcal{E})$ . Since  $v$  is not an interior point of any set  $V^\epsilon(S)$ , the allocation  $\bar{x}_N$  is robust to deviations by fuzzy coalitions  $\pi_S \in \Delta_S^\epsilon$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{N}$ . Thus,  $\bar{x}_N$  is in the  $\epsilon$ -fuzzy core of the economy  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5** *The game  $V^\epsilon$  is  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced.*

We omit the proof of this theorem as it is similar to the proof of Theorem 4. Theorem 5 implies that the  $(\Pi, \beta)$ -balanced core of the game  $V^\epsilon$  is non-empty. Our argument is now complete.

## References

- [1] Aubin, J.-P. (1979): *Mathematical Methods of Game and Economic Theory*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- [2] Bonnisseau, J.-M., and V. Iehlé (2003): “Payoffs-dependent Balancedness and Cores,” Working paper.
- [3] Florenzano, M. (2003): *General Equilibrium Analysis: Existence and Optimality Properties of Equilibria*, Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston.
- [4] Herings, P.J.J., G. van der Laan and D. Talman (2003): “Socially Structured Games and Their Applications,” METEOR Research Memorandum 03/009, Universiteit Maastricht, pp. 1-24.
- [5] Kannai, Y. (1992): “The Core and Balancedness,” in *Handbook of Game Theory with Economic Applications*, Chapter 12 (eds. R.J. Aumann and S. Hart). Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- [6] Predtetchinski, A., and P.J.J. Herings (2003): “A Necessary and Sufficient Condition for Non-emptiness of the Core of a Non-transferable Utility Game,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, **116**, 84–92.