

# The assessment of clinical competence in high stakes examinations: are we justified in abandoning old methods in favour of the new ?

## Citation for published version (APA):

Wass, V. (2006). *The assessment of clinical competence in high stakes examinations: are we justified in abandoning old methods in favour of the new ?*.

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2006

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# Stellingen

behorende bij het proefschrift

## **The assessment of clinical competence in high stakes examinations**

Valerie J WASS

12 mei 2006

1. Provided a test is long enough to sample broadly, all assessment methods can reach the reliability required of a high stakes examination. (This dissertation)
2. When designing high stakes tests, a high number of cases is more important than a high number of judges. (This dissertation)
3. Objective Structured Clinical Examinations are not objective. (This dissertation)
4. Despite technical developments in simulation, real patients still have a role in an assessment programme. (This dissertation).
5. Objective Structured Clinical Examinations make monkeys of men.
6. Classification of learning outcomes as knowledge, skills and attitudes is a fallacy: No single facet of clinical competence is independent of knowledge.
7. Above all, assessments must assure the public that doctors are safe to practise.
8. *“A good doctor should not only have a skill. He or she should be able to demonstrate the exercise of that skill in an especially worth while way... by working towards excellence.”* Doctors in Society. Medical professionalism in a changing world. Royal College of Physicians UK Working Party 2005
9. The perception that a national finals examination threatens liberal education is unjustified.
10. *“There is no greater injustice than to treat unequal cases equally”.* Aristotle
11. *“Sun destroys the interest of what’s happening in the shade.”*  
From Whitsun Weddings by Philip Larkin