

# Access to medicines: The interface between patents and human rights. Does one size fit all?

## Citation for published version (APA):

Sellin, J. A. (2014). *Access to medicines: The interface between patents and human rights. Does one size fit all?*. Intersentia. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20140619js>

## Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2014

## DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20140619js](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20140619js)

## Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.umlib.nl/taverne-license](http://www.umlib.nl/taverne-license)

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

## Stellingen behorende bij het proefschrift

Access to Medicines.  
The Interface between Patents and Human Rights.  
*Does one size fit all?*

Jennifer Anna Sellin

1. The core obligation to provide essential medicines under Article 12 ICESCR does not have a superior status under international law. Thus, the non-derogable nature of such obligations, as argued by the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, is questionable.
2. IP has a social function and is an important national policy instrument. Consequently, with respect to international standards for the protection of IP, one size does not fit all. Therefore the most valuable flexibility for (developing) states under the TRIPS Agreement is the (interpretative) leeway to organise their patent systems in a manner which suits their needs best.
3. Fundamentally, there is no genuine conflict between provisions of the TRIPS Agreement and the ICESCR. However, tension exists between the ICESCR and TRIPS due to the manner in which both are interpreted and implemented internationally and in a national context.
4. In light of the principle of systemic integration of international law, WTO dispute settlement bodies should interpret the TRIPS Agreement in light of the human right of access to essential medicines.
5. For human rights to be truly effective, they must be justiciable and enforceable. The argument that economic, social and cultural rights are completely non-justiciable and non-enforceable is outdated.
6. Intellectual property rights are not human rights.
7. TRIPS-plus free trade agreements resulting in a global development of ever-increasing standards of IP protection have, and will continue to, tip the balancing mechanism in the TRIPS Agreement and the Doha Declaration on TRIPS and Public Health in favour of stronger IPRs.
8. To interpret a rule is to assign a meaning to words contained therein. Words can mean many things to many people. So the process of eliciting the different meanings, and particularly the “correct” meaning, from a treaty is altogether a creative process leaving room for extra-legal considerations such as one’s personal and cultural background.
9. “Oh! Do not attack me with your watch. A watch is always too fast or too slow. I cannot be dictated to by a watch.” – Jane Austen.