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Effect of Exercise Training on Long-Term Weight Maintenance in Weight-Reduced Men


This study investigated whether endurance training is effective for successful long-term weight maintenance after weight reduction. Fifteen male obese subjects (age, 37.3 ± 5.2 years; body weight [BW], 96.5 ± 13.6 kg; body mass index [BMI], 30.9 ± 2.8 kg · m⁻²) participated in a 16-month exercise-intervention study. During the first 4 months, all subjects trained three to four times weekly, consuming a very-low-energy diet (VLED) during the first 2 months. After the 4-month treatment period, seven subjects continued training for 12 months (3 to 4 times per week). The other eight subjects served as a control group not involved in a training program. The regain (increase during the intervention period as a percentage of the 4-month treatment) of BW at 16 months was 64% (±26%) for the whole group (trained v control, 52% ± 28% v 74% ± 20%, P = .09). The increase in absolute fat mass (FM) was significantly lower at 16 months for the trained group (trained v control, 4.8 ± 1.9 v 9.0 ± 3.3 kg), as was the regain of FM at 16 months (trained v control, 61% ± 24% v 92% ± 32%, P = .05). The amount of regain of the waist circumference, waist to hip ratio (WHR), and sagittal diameter were correlated with the amount of training (hours) performed weekly (Δwaist, r = −.55, P < .05; ΔWHR, r = −.50, P = .06; Δsagittal diameter, r = −.53, P < .05). Physical fitness parameters (maximal power output [Wmax] and oxygen uptake [VO₂ max]) were significantly increased in both groups at 4 months. Trained subjects maintained high levels of physical fitness at 16 months, in contrast to the control group. In conclusion, although BW regain was not significantly different between the groups, trained subjects showed less regain of FM and higher levels of physical fitness, factors related to a lower risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD), compared with the control group. Furthermore, the regain of FM, which occurred even in the exercising group with a relatively intensive training program, suggests that maintenance of fat loss is extremely difficult.

Copyright © 1999 by W.B. Saunders Company

O BESITY, defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than 30 kg · m⁻², is known to be related to an increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, and diabetes mellitus.¹² The prevalence of obesity has increased in the United States, as well as Europe.³ Weight loss intervention programs are usually successful; however, results with respect to long-term weight maintenance are disappointing.⁴⁻⁶

Obesity is caused by chronic positive energy balance, which in turn is mainly caused by a positive lipid balance.⁹ To accomplish weight reduction by a negative fat balance, obese subjects should limit fat intake and/or increase fat oxidation.¹⁰ Increased fat oxidation may be accomplished by exercise training. Bouchard et al¹¹ suggest that obese subjects should have an exercise prescription that results in long-term negative energy balance. This would be the case if endurance exercise of moderate intensity, of long duration, and preferably performed on a daily basis takes place.¹¹,¹² Another beneficial effect of this type of exercise is the reduction of risk factors for CVD known to be related to obesity.¹¹,¹³,¹⁴

Buemann and Tremblay¹⁵ reviewed the use of physical training as a nonpharmacological tool in the treatment of abdominal obesity and associated metabolic diseases.¹⁵ With endurance training, obese males show a loss of visceral fat.¹⁶ It is known that obesity, and upper-body obesity in particular, is related to increased cardiovascular risk factors like insulin resistance, hypertension, and elevated plasma low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Moreover, inactivity has been found to be correlated with an increased risk of CVD.¹⁷ The amount of physical activity is further known to be dependent on cultural differences; Kushner et al¹⁸ reported lower physical activity in black women compared with white women, which might have consequences for the prevalence of obesity and CVD in these groups. Therefore, regular endurance exercise may not only increase fat oxidation but also induce positive changes with respect to decreasing the risk factors for CVD.¹¹,¹₂,¹⁵

Based on the aforementioned poor success rates for long-term weight maintenance we hypothesized that subjects with recent weight loss are the most vulnerable to regaining weight and the best subjects for investigation of long-term intervention strategies for weight maintenance. Therefore, it is hypothesized in the present study that regular endurance exercise would be beneficial for long-term weight maintenance in weight-reduced subjects and to decrease the risk factors for CVD. Since it is known that adherence to an exercise program may be important for long-term weight maintenance,¹⁹ compliance to the study protocol is crucial in these types of studies.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

Sixteen male obese subjects recruited by advertisement in a local newspaper, participated in the study (age, 37.3 ± 5.2 years; body weight [BW], 96.5 ± 13.6 kg; BMI, 30.9 ± 2.8 kg · m⁻²). The very-low-energy diet (VLED) was started with 16 male obese subjects: 15 completed the VLED period and one was not able to adhere to the strict diet regimen and was therefore excluded from the protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject at the start of the study. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Medical Committee of Maastricht University.

Study Design

All subjects took part in an endurance training program during the first 4 months of the study, which also included a VLED period of 2
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months, to lose weight. The VLED provided 2 MJ daily and was a protein-enriched formula diet (Modifast; Novartis Nutrition, Bern, Switzerland: 44% protein, 14% fat, and 42% carbohydrate as a percentage of energy). The diet provided 55 g protein daily. The vitamins and minerals added to the diet meet the recommended daily allowance when three sachets per day were consumed as prescribed. The VLED was dissolved with water to make a milkshake or dessert. After 2 months, food intake was ad libitum, but advice was given about healthy food consumption. All subjects took part in the endurance training program during the first 4 months of the study to prevent a fast BW rebound after the VLED and to have a nonsignificantly different starting point to study weight maintenance. They ran and cycled at moderate intensity for at least 1 hour three to four times per week and were professionally coached. After 4 months, seven subjects continued the training sessions for 12 months at a triathlon club, where they were able to swim, cycle, and run in group sessions (three to four times per week) supervised by a coach (trained group). After 4 months, randomization was scheduled based on age, BMI, and weight loss. However, three subjects were not willing to continue the training program. We therefore ended up with a control group of eight subjects not involved in a training program. Physical characteristics of the trained group and control group were not significantly different at 4 months. The study design is presented in Fig 1.

Compliance to Endurance Training During the Weight-Maintenance Phase

Compliance to the training sessions and daily physical activity in general was monitored in multiple ways. For the trained group, a training diary was used for day-to-day activities and remarks (illness, injury, weather conditions, etc.). The investigator visited training sessions of the triathlon club frequently to examine compliance during the 12-month follow-up period. Compliance to the prescribed training sessions was 89% ± 26% for the trained group in the intervention period. Besides the training diaries, questionnaires were completed at the laboratory when measurements took place to check daily physical activity by all subjects.

Measurement Protocol

After an overnight fast, subjects came to the laboratory at 0, 2, 4, 10, and 16 months at 8 AM for different measurements.

Resting metabolic rate. The resting metabolic rate (RMR) was measured for 45 minutes at 8 AM. Subjects arrived at the laboratory by car or public transportation to minimize physical activity. Oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production were measured by an open-circuit ventilated-hood system (Human Biology, Maastricht, The Netherlands). Measurement of energy expenditure was based on the Weir formulas. Blood analysis. On all test days, blood samples were obtained from the subjects and blood pressure was measured. Blood plasma was mixed with EDTA to prevent clotting and immediately centrifuged. Serum was obtained by centrifugation of blood after 1 hour at room temperature. Plasma and serum samples were stored at −80°C until further analysis. Total cholesterol, LDL and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, and apolipoprotein A1 (apo A1) and apo B were determined as described by Muls et al.

Anthropometry. Subjects were weighed on a digital balance accurate to 0.1 kg (D-7470; Sauter, Ebingen, Germany). Height was obtained to the nearest 0.1 cm using a wall-mounted stadiometer (model 220; Seca, Hamburg, Germany). The BMI was calculated as BW in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Fat distribution was investigated by measuring the waist and hip circumference and calculating the waist to hip ratio (WHR) and sagittal diameter. The waist circumference was measured at the smallest circumference between the rib cage and the iliac crest with the subject standing. The hip circumference was measured at the widest circumference between the waist and the thighs. The WHR was calculated by dividing the waist circumference by the hip circumference. For determination of the sagittal diameter, the distance between the abdomen and the back, a stadiometer was used with the subject in the supine position.

The deuterium dilution technique was used for measurement of body composition. 2H2O dilution was used to measure total body water (TBW). Subjects were asked to collect a urine sample in the evening just before drinking the deuterium-enriched water solution. After ingestion of this solution, no further consumption was allowed. Ten hours after drinking the water solution, another urine sample was collected. The dilution of the deuterium isotope is a measure of the TBW of the subject. Deuterium was measured in the urine samples with an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (VG-Isogas Aqua Sira, VG Isogas, Middlewich, Cheshire, England). TBW was obtained by dividing the measured deuterium dilution space by 1.04. Fat-free mass (FFM) was calculated by dividing TBW by the hydration factor 0.73.

Physical fitness. To investigate the effect of the training program on performance capacity (maximal oxygen uptake [Vmax]) and maximal power output (Wmax), an incremental exercise test was performed on an electromagnetically braked cycle ergometer (Lode, Groningen, The Netherlands). After a warm-up period of 9 minutes (5 minutes at 40 W and 4 minutes at 80 W), the workload was increased every minute by 20 W until exhaustion. Wmax was calculated using the total time cycled in the exercise test. The highest workload completed for 1 minute (Wcompleted) and the number of seconds (X) the final increase of 20 W was maintained were added according to the following formula: X = Wmax- Wcompleted + (X/60) - 20. The criteria for maximal performance were forced ventilation, a plateau in oxygen uptake, or a respiratory exchange ratio greater than 1.1. Oxygen uptake during the test was measured continuously using a computerized open system (Oxycon Beta; Mijnhardt, Bunnik, The Netherlands).

Statistics

A two-way ANOVA with repeated measurements was used to compare variables across groups and over time. When ANOVA testing revealed significant differences over time, post hoc tests were performed with a one-way ANOVA (factor time) to examine which time points differed. Differences between groups were tested post hoc with unpaired t tests. Data are presented as the mean ± SD. Changes in the parameters measured are expressed as a percentage of the baseline value. Pearson correlations were calculated between the number of training hours and regain of BW, regain of FM, waist circumference, sagittal diameter, Wmax, and Vmax. For all statistics, the two-tailed significance level was set at P less than 0.05.

---

**Fig 1.** Study design of the long-term exercise intervention study. All subjects participated in a training program during the first 4 months (3 to 4 times weekly), the first 2 months of which they were on a VLED. After 4 months, only 1 group continued the exercise training. Measurements were made at 0, 2, 4, 10, and 16 months as indicated by arrows.
RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. No significant differences were found between the groups for physical characteristics before the study. At 4 months, with formation of the two groups, physical characteristics were even more similar. The BW at 4 months was 82.0 ± 10.8 kg for the trained subjects versus 85.6 ± 13.8 kg for the controls (P = .58). The BMI was 26.3 ± 2.2 kg · m⁻² for trained subjects versus 27.3 ± 2.2 kg · m⁻² for the controls (P = .39). The sagittal diameter was 18.1 ± 1.5 cm at 4 months for trained subjects and 18.9 ± 1.9 cm for the controls (P = .40). The body fat percentage also was not significantly different at 4 months (21.8% ± 3.8% for trained v 24.1% ± 4.2% for control, P = .31).

**BW and FM Changes**

Changes in relative BW (expressed as a percentage of the baseline value) are presented in Fig 2 for the trained and control groups. No differences were found between the two groups over the whole study period with respect to absolute or relative BW. Over time, significant changes were found in relative BW for both groups. The amount of BW lost during the 4-month treatment period was not significantly different between both groups: trained subjects lost 11.1 ± 4.5 kg and the control group lost 13.9 ± 2.8 kg (P = .17). The relative BW at 16 months (94.0% ± 3.6% for trained v 96.0% ± 3.0% for control, P = .25) was still significantly lower for both groups versus the beginning of the study (Fig 2). When the amount of BW lost during the 4-month treatment period was set at 100%, the regain of BW during the 12-month follow-up period was expressed as a percentage of the treatment loss, three subjects in the trained group regained less than 50% at 4 months while seven of eight subjects in the control group regained less than 50% of the weight lost, versus four of seven in the trained group. Seven of eight subjects in the control group regained more than 50% of the weight lost, versus four of seven in the trained group. The overall regain of BW at 16 months was 64% (±26%) for the whole group (52% ± 28% for trained v 74% ± 20% for control, r(1, 13) = -1.84, P = .09). There was no significant correlation between the training hours per week and the regain of BW at 16 months (r = .41, P = .13).

Changes in FFM (ΔFFM) and FM (ΔFM) are shown in Fig 3 for the treatment period (0 to 4 months) and the intervention period (4 to 16 months). The regain of FM during the intervention period was significantly lower for the trained group versus the control group. At 16 months, the regain of FM was 4.8 ± 1.9 kg, corresponding to a 61% ± 24% regain of FM, for trained subjects, compared with 9.0 ± 3.3 kg, corresponding to a 74% ± 32% regain of FM, for the controls (P = .01).

**Physical Fitness**

Results of the maximal performance tests are presented in Table 2. At 4 months, comparable increases in Wmax and V̇O₂max were measured for both groups. The increase in physical fitness at 4 months was significantly higher compared with baseline for both groups (34% and 41% increase for Wmax · kg⁻¹ · BW and 30% and 36% for V̇O₂max · kg⁻¹ · BW for trained v control, respectively). A significantly higher Wmax was found at 10 and 16 months for the trained group compared with the control group. V̇O₂max was also higher at 10 months (P < .05) and at 16 months (P = .07) for the trained group. Changes in Wmax and V̇O₂max are presented as a percentage of baseline and as a percentage of performance at 4 months in Fig 4. During the intervention period (4 to 16 months), the trained group maintained the increased level of physical fitness, whereas the control group showed a poorer performance at 16 months compared with 4 months. The difference in Wmax at 10 and 16 months was significant between groups.

**Cardiovascular Risk Factors**

CVD parameters such as blood pressure and blood cholesterol did not differ between the groups as a consequence of

---

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of 15 Male Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Trained (n = 7)</th>
<th>Control (n = 8)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>38.0 ± 3.9</td>
<td>36.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW (kg)</td>
<td>93.1 ± 14.5</td>
<td>99.5 ± 12.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg · m⁻²)</td>
<td>29.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>31.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal diameter (cm)</td>
<td>22.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>24.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>28.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>31.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. No statistically significant differences were found in baseline characteristics between the groups.
Table 2. Physical Fitness at Different Time Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wmax (W \cdot kg BW)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trained</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3*</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VO2max (mL \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg BW)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trained</td>
<td>32.7 ± 3</td>
<td>40.8 ± 5</td>
<td>42.6 ± 3</td>
<td>39.2 ± 4*</td>
<td>39.0 ± 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>31.3 ± 5</td>
<td>39.1 ± 4</td>
<td>42.3 ± 5</td>
<td>34.7 ± 3</td>
<td>35.3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. Absolute data for Wmax and VO2max are shown (mean ± SD).

*P < .05 between groups.

†P = .07.

exercise training. However, due to the treatment, systolic and diastolic blood pressure decreased significantly. Diastolic blood pressure decreased, on average, from 95.3 ± 10.1 mm Hg to 87.4 ± 9.3 mm Hg at 4 months. Diastolic blood pressure was still decreased at 16 months for both groups (88.2 ± 9.4 mm Hg). Systolic blood pressure was significantly decreased at 4 months (132.0 ± 12.8 vs 125.5 ± 13.7 mm Hg). At 16 months, systolic blood pressure returned to baseline values (130.1 ± 13.0 mm Hg).

Baseline cholesterol data for the two groups are shown in Table 3. Significant changes over time were found for all cholesterol fractions with the VLED program, but not as a consequence of the training intervention. Over time, cholesterol levels returned to the baseline value in both groups. Because of initial differences in cholesterol levels between the groups, interpretation of the data is difficult.

Body fat distribution (abdominal or gluteal) was investigated by examination of the waist circumference, WHR, and sagittal diameter. The amount of regain in the parameters was significantly negatively correlated with the amount of weekly training hours performed (Δwaist, r = −.57, P = .03; ΔWHR, r = −.48, P = .07; Δsagittal diameter, r = −.55, P = .03).

**RMR**

With respect to the RMR, no differences were found as a result of exercise training. Both groups showed nonsignificantly different RMRs at 16 months versus RMRs measured before the study (87 ± 7 and 88 ± 6 kJ \cdot min^{−1} \cdot FFM^{−1} for trained v 90 ± 12 and 91 ± 10 kJ \cdot min^{−1} \cdot FFM^{−1} for control at the start and end of the study, respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

**Regain of BW and FM**

The major finding of this study is that exercise training did not result in significantly better weight maintenance compared with the control conditions. However, the regain of FM was significantly lower in the trained group (exercise three to four times per week for ≥1 hour at moderate intensity). The results indicate that the smaller the regain of FM, the greater the number of training hours. This finding illustrates that exercise seems to have a weight-controlling aspect, as found in studies analyzing the success factor for long-term weight maintenance.24–26 This was also found in a long-term study by van Dale et al.27 Long-term weight maintenance was only found in a group of subjects who started to exercise after the diet intervention; no regain of FM was found in their exercise group. The study by van Dale et al.27 and the review by Stefanick28 on the weight-controlling aspect of exercise stress that exercise is effective for reducing weight regain long-term, contrary to our findings. An additional energy expenditure of 1,500 kcal/wk, equal to 3 to 4 hours of moderate-intensity exercise (cycling and
EXERCISE TRAINING AND WEIGHT MAINTENANCE

Long-term effects of exercise training seem small and are rapidly suppressed with cessation of training. The small number of subjects participating in this study can further explain the fact that the differences found were not significant. A calculation of the power at 80% (assumptions: a difference in weight regain of 20%, a standard deviation of 15%, and a dropout rate of 20%) showed that a difference in BW regain of 20% between the active and control groups could be detected. However, based on our results, a calculation afterward showed a power of only 55%.

Physical Fitness

In this study, we found an increase in Vo2max of approximately 33% for both groups after 4 months of training. The trained group maintained this level of Vo2max at 16 months, in contrast to the control group. The increase in Vo2max is comparable to the increases in oxygen uptake found by Katz et al.14 In their study, subjects were asked to cycle three times per week for 45 minutes at 70% to 80% of the heart rate reserve for 9 months. The increase in Wmax in the trained group further illustrates that endurance training resulted in better physical fitness compared with the control groups. The relatively high Wmax at 16 months for the control group indicates that changes caused by the 4-month training period were still at a higher level compared with the start of the study. It is possible that adaptation to exercise training occurred and was partially maintained during the intervention period even with no specific training program. The significantly increased Vo2max found in this study for both groups has been reported to be positively correlated with higher insulin sensitivity. This suggests again that training had beneficial health effects.13,32

Cardiovascular Risk Factors

In the present study, no differences in blood pressure, cholesterol, and cardiovascular risk factors were found as a result of the training program. Blood pressure and cholesterol data were reduced after the VLED treatment, but returned to baseline values during the long-term intervention, as found previously.33 Another important risk factor for CVD is the waist circumference (or WHR) or the sagittal diameter.34 In several studies, the relation of abdominal fat distribution to exercise was reported.10,11,15,16,35 In this study, we found negative correlations between the weekly amount of training hours and waist circumference and also for sagittal diameter, suggesting that exercise effectively prevented the regain of upper-body fat. The changes in waist circumference, WHR, and sagittal diameter were significantly higher for the control group at 16 months. In men, upper-body obesity is more common than in women, and a preferential mobilization of abdominal fat with exercise has been found.11 Björntorp35 has already stated in different studies that abdominal obesity, with hypertrophic cells, can mobilize fat easier than peripheral fat sites. β-Adrenergic stimulation that takes place during exercise increases fat mobilization in the abdomen effectively. Furthermore, reducing the filling state of fat cells in the abdomen reduces insulin resistance. Insulin resistance is another important risk factor for CVD.33,35 In this study, the results indicate a decrease in abdominal fat in the trained group and an improvement of the risk factor profile for these subjects.

Table 3. Cholesterol Data at Different Time Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Months</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.0*</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo A1 (mg·dL⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>126.8 ± 19.4</td>
<td>142.7 ± 19.0</td>
<td>131.0 ± 17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>114.6 ± 28.3</td>
<td>126.3 ± 35.2</td>
<td>119.3 ± 20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo B (mg·dL⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>104.2 ± 28.5*</td>
<td>90.0 ± 20.0*</td>
<td>100.2 ± 25.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>74.3 ± 22.8</td>
<td>66.4 ± 21.9</td>
<td>78.4 ± 20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. Results are the mean ± SD. Abbreviations: T, trained; C, control. *P < .05 between groups. †P < .1 between groups.

Although different reports have described the mechanisms for the weight-controlling aspects of exercise,12 it still remains striking that the exercise group also regained FM. It seems difficult to maintain the decreased BW achieved after the 4-month treatment. The above-mentioned studies stress the importance of a high frequency of exercise weekly and participation in group sessions (high compliance and strenuous exercise). The explanations for the increase in BW and FM in the present study after 16 months can further be explained by the fact that the exercise group was not restricted by diet. When grouped by the amount of exercise performed weekly, their 2-year regain levels correlated with higher insulin sensitivity. This suggests again that training had beneficial health effects.13,32

Cardiovascular Risk Factors

In the present study, no differences in blood pressure, cholesterol, and cardiovascular risk factors were found as a result of the training program. Blood pressure and cholesterol data were reduced after the VLED treatment, but returned to baseline values during the long-term intervention, as found previously.33 Another important risk factor for CVD is the waist circumference (or WHR) or the sagittal diameter.34 In several studies, the relation of abdominal fat distribution to exercise was reported.10,11,15,16,35 In this study, we found negative correlations between the weekly amount of training hours and waist circumference and also for sagittal diameter, suggesting that exercise effectively prevented the regain of upper-body fat. The changes in waist circumference, WHR, and sagittal diameter were significantly higher for the control group at 16 months. In men, upper-body obesity is more common than in women, and a preferential mobilization of abdominal fat with exercise has been found.11 Björntorp35 has already stated in different studies that abdominal obesity, with hypertrophic cells, can mobilize fat easier than peripheral fat sites. β-Adrenergic stimulation that takes place during exercise increases fat mobilization in the abdomen effectively. Furthermore, reducing the filling state of fat cells in the abdomen reduces insulin resistance. Insulin resistance is another important risk factor for CVD.33,35 In this study, the results indicate a decrease in abdominal fat in the trained group and an improvement of the risk factor profile for these subjects.
In this study, no changes in RMR were found between the two groups as a consequence of the exercise training. The decrease in RMR after the VLED in this study is a common phenomenon frequently reported. At the end of the study, the RMR was similar for both groups, as at the start of the study. The hypothesized effect of exercise on the RMR, ie, an increase in the RMR, as found before, could contribute to BW loss or BW maintenance. However, a decrease in RMR also has been found as a consequence of endurance training. A reduced RMR has been found to correlate with BW gain. The regain of BW and FM found in the present study could therefore be partly explained by the decreased RMR after the diet intervention. However, at the end of the study, no differences between groups and no differences with the initial RMR were found, suggesting that in the long-term other factors affected weight gain, too. Therefore, the effect of training on the RMR is still unclear.

Conclusion

In this study, we found that although the regain of BW was not significantly different in the groups, the trained group had less regain of FM. Significantly less regain of waist circumference, WHR, and sagittal diameter was found when more training hours per week were performed. Higher levels of physical fitness and less regain of FM and abdominal fat as found in the trained group are positive factors related to lower risk factors for CVD. However, the regain of FM in the trained group suggests that maintenance of fat loss is extremely difficult, even with a relatively intensive exercise program.
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