Impact

Endometriosis is a very prevalent disorder in women of premenopausal age. Due to the associated chronic pain and infertility it often leads to a significant reduction in quality of life (1). Moreover, the economic impact is substantial, as chronic and debilitating pain from endometriosis may hinder work productivity, while infertility can cause major psychosocial, emotional and financial strain to affected women and their partners (2). As a result, national action plans have been declared with the aim to improve the quality of life for individuals living with endometriosis, including a reduction in the impact and burden of disease at individual and population levels (3).

Adenomyosis is another similar gynecological disease associated with abnormal uterine bleeding, pelvic pain and infertility. It is characterized by the presence of endometrial-like tissue in the myometrium. Like endometriosis, it can lead to a significant reduction in quality of life. Moreover, it is often coexistent with endometriosis, which makes the treatment approach even more challenging.

We believe that, concerning endometriosis and adenomyosis, our current work may have a significant impact on patients, physicians and research society, which could be divided in the three following categories.

Firstly, we confirmed the high risk of endometriosis recurrence after surgery and showed that this is independent of the endometriosis lesion subtype (superficial lesions, ovarian lesions, deep-infiltrating lesions). The median time to recurrence was 30 months after surgery. Moreover, a significant proportion of the patients presented more severe lesions at recurrence (deep infiltrating lesions), which suggests endometriosis progression over time might occur. The recurrence risk was higher in young patients and if residual endometriosis tissue was identified on the margins of resected bowel. These findings support long-term adjuvant hormonal treatments, which have the potential to reduce the recurrence risk (4-6). Therefore, we believe our work will further encourage physicians and patients to accept such long-term treatments. The benefits of a broad implementation and acceptance of this approach will be less recurrent surgeries with all the advantages related to that (lower risk of complications, lower health care costs). Our work encourages researchers to further investigate the mechanisms related to endometriosis recurrence as well as effectiveness and tolerance to specific treatments. This would aim to identify prognostic and predictive tools to allow for a personalized disease management.

Secondly, endometriosis is an estrogen-dependent disorder with a significant inflammatory nature. The inflammatory nature is one of the reasons for pelvic pain and infertility. GnRHa is a group of drugs acting in endometriosis by inducing a hypo-estrogenic state, which resembles menopause. We showed that GnRHa also mediate a significant regression of the inflammatory microenvironment of endometriotic lesions. By significantly reducing inflammatory cytokines and growth factors in the peritoneal cavity, GnRHa may contribute to pain relief in more ways than just the induction of a hypo-estrogenic state. Directly targeting some of these factors with non-hormonal treatments may achieve the same anti-inflammatory effect while avoiding the significant side effects associated with hormonal treatment (hot flushes, sleep disorders, decreased libido, mood disorders).
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The development of novel drugs for endometriosis with improved effectiveness and tolerability is urgent and the above findings may be a first step to this direction.

Finally, it has been recently shown that endometriosis and adenomyosis are associated with several pregnancy complications. We showed that the higher risk of placental disorders is independent of the mode of conception in endometriosis and that a previous excision of endometriosis neither reduces nor increases the risk of pregnancy complications. More importantly, women with previous surgery for deep-infiltrating endometriosis had a similar possibility of successful vaginal birth, if attempted, to women without endometriosis. Concerns that the surgery for deep-infiltrating endometriosis with or without bowel or vaginal involvement may predispose to failed vaginal delivery are refuted by our study. This is valuable information to both physicians and patients to decide on the delivery method. Another important finding of our studies is that adenomyosis is associated with a significantly lower clinical pregnancy rate and higher miscarriage rate after ART, especially when a short GnRH agonist or antagonist protocol is administered for ovarian stimulation. On the contrary, an ultra-long GnRHa protocol might be capable of ameliorating these risks. If this is confirmed in prospective studies, it will be a very important tool to treat the adenomyosis-associated infertility. Finally, adenomyosis is associated with a higher risk of preterm delivery, preeclampsia, caesarean section, fetal malpresentation, SGA, low birth weight, and PPH. The association could be confirmed after adjustment of these outcomes for age and mode of conception. Gynecologists should be aware of these risks to indicate proper controls enabling an early diagnosis and treatment of possible complications.
References:


