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Incidence, prevalence, and trajectories of repetitive conduction patterns in human atrial fibrillation
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Aims
Repetitive conduction patterns in atrial fibrillation (AF) may reflect anatomical structures harbouring preferential conduction paths and indicate the presence of stationary sources for AF. Recently, we demonstrated a novel technique to detect repetitive patterns in high-density contact mapping of AF. As a first step towards repetitive pattern mapping to guide AF ablation, we determined the incidence, prevalence, and trajectories of repetitive conduction patterns in epicardial contact mapping of paroxysmal and persistent AF patients.

Methods and results
A 256-channel mapping array was used to record epicardial left and right AF electrograms in persistent AF (persAF, n = 9) and paroxysmal AF (pAF, n = 11) patients. Intervals containing repetitive conduction patterns were detected using recurrence plots. Activation movies, preferential conduction direction, and average activation sequence were used to characterize and classify conduction patterns. Repetitive patterns were identified in 33/40 recordings. Repetitive patterns were more prevalent in pAF compared with persAF [pAF: median 59%, interquartile range (41–72) vs. persAF: 39% (0–51), P < 0.01], larger [pAF: = 1.54 (1.15–1.96) vs. persAF: 1.16 (0.74–1.56) cm², P < 0.001], and more stable [normalized preferentiality (0–1) pAF: 0.38 (0.25–0.50) vs. persAF: 0.23 (0–0.33), P < 0.01]. Most repetitive patterns were peripheral waves (87%), often with conduction block (69%), while breakthroughs (9%) and re-entries (2%) occurred less frequently.

Conclusion
High-density epicardial contact mapping in AF patients reveals frequent repetitive conduction patterns. In persistent AF patients, repetitive patterns were less frequent, smaller, and more variable than in paroxysmal AF patients. Future research should elucidate whether these patterns can help in finding AF ablation targets.
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Introduction
Pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) is a common treatment for atrial fibrillation (AF).1,2 In patients with paroxysmal AF, PVI leads to freedom of AF in 59–89% of patients 12 months after the procedure.3 In patients with persistent AF only 59% show freedom of AF after 18 months. Atrial fibrillation recurrence after PVI can be caused by reconnection of pulmonary veins (PVs), but recurrence of AF is also possible while all PVs are still isolated, implying the existence of AF sources outside of the PVs.4 To improve the success rate of PVI, the identification of alternative ablation targets outside of the PVs has been explored. Ablation of dominant frequency sites, linear lesion sets, complex fractionated atrial electrograms (CFAE) as well as focal impulse, and rotor modulation ablation showed significant...
What’s new?

- Repetitive conduction patterns during AF were detected in high-density contact mapping in patients, using recurrence plots.
- Repetitive conduction patterns were found in a majority of patients.
- Persistent AF patients show less frequent, smaller, and more variable conduction patterns than paroxysmal AF patients.
- The majority of repetitive conduction patterns was (blocked) waves entering from outside the mapping array.
- Repetitive breakthrough and re-entry patterns were observed in a small minority of cases.
- The existence of repetitive patterns during human AF suggests the presence of stationary AF drivers. Ablation of these drivers may restore sinus rhythm.

Improvement in single studies, but in larger trials or meta-analyses their superiority as compared with PVI-only could not consistently be demonstrated. Repetitive focal sources and micro-re-entrant sources have been described as candidate sources of AF. Repetitive conduction patterns are expected to occur close to AF drivers, independent of their actual mechanism (local re-entry, ectopic firing, complex conduction with new wave generation). Detection of repetitive conduction patterns may help to localize sources of AF, and thus provide a new strategy for AF driver identification. Repetitive conduction patterns are also of interest because they might reflect anatomical structures harbouring preferential conduction paths associated with the underlying bundle structure of the atrium. Therefore, a mapping technique able to find repetitive patterns may help study structure–function relationships during fibrillatory conduction. Finally, the analysis of stable repetitive patterns may also facilitate combining recordings at different sites in the atria to reconstruct a composite activation map during AF, so far only feasible during stable activation patterns like atrial flutter or atrial tachycardia. These composite AF activation maps could help to increase the spatial coverage of existing mapping modalities.

A technique to detect repetitive patterns in high-density mapping of AF has recently been demonstrated by our group. In this study, we applied this methodology to investigate the incidence, prevalence, and trajectories of repetitive conduction patterns in epicardial mapping data of paroxysmal and persistent AF patients.

Methods

Patient selection

This study uses a retrospective dataset of 20 patients [persistent AF (persAF), n = 9 and paroxysmal AF (pAF), n = 11] that underwent bi-atrial epicardial mapping. Patients included in this study were referred for open-chest surgery: either cut-and-sew rhythm surgery, coronary bypass grafting, aortic valve surgery, or mitral valve surgery. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and informed consent was obtained from each patient before the procedure.

Epicardial mapping procedure

The heart was approached via median sternotomy. Two electrode grids were sequentially placed on the atria, one on the free wall of the right atrium (RA) and one in the oblique sinus on the posterior left atrium (LA). Both electrode grids were custom-made, single-use 256-channel grids measuring 22.5 mm × 22.5 mm with an inter-electrode distance of 1.5 mm. A reference electrode was positioned subcutaneously in the sternotomy opening. In pAF patients in sinus rhythm at the start of the procedure, AF was induced by incremental pacing (300–60 ms cycle length). Recording of unipolar electrograms was started 30 s after AF induction. For each location (RA and LA), 10 s of data was stored using a 1039 kHz sampling rate.

Electrogram processing

The automated processing and annotation of AF electrograms have been described previously. Briefly, all electrograms were high-pass filtered using a third-order Chebyshev filter with a cut-off frequency of 0.5 Hz to remove baseline drift. Ventricular waves visible in the atrial recordings were detected and removed using single beat QRST-template cancellation. Local activations, characterized by sharp deflections on the electrogram, were detected using unipolar deflection template matching and classified as local activations using a probabilistic algorithm based on the estimated distribution of the AF cycle length (AFCL).

Recurrence plot construction

The methodology used to detect intervals containing repetitive patterns is described in detail in a recent study by Zeemering et al. Unipolar electrograms were transformed to an activation phase signal by treating the local activation time as the moment of phase inversion and using linear interpolation for the time between the phase inversions. This procedure resulted in a signal that represents the phase of the activity in a local electrogram, with values between −π and π and allowed for the creation of snapshots that represented the phase of all individual electrodes at one point in time (see Figure 1A). Snapshots at different points in time were compared by computing the phase difference for every corresponding electrode between the two snapshots. The distance between two activation-phase snapshots at time points i and j was determined based on the phase angle difference at each of the N electrodes, by taking the average of the cosine of each difference, transformed back to a fraction of the activation phase duration of a single AF cycle (2π).

Formally:

δij = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{N}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos(\phi_{ik} - \phi_{jk})} / N \right) / 2π,

where \( \phi_{ik} \) denotes the activation-phase of the electrode k at time i. This definition led to distance values between 0 (two snapshots completely in phase) and 0.5 (two snapshots half an AF cycle length out of phase). We used a recurrence plot to visualize whenever a pair of snapshots exhibited an activation-phase distance below a certain distance threshold. Recurrence plots show ‘time’ on both the x- and y-axis. Snapshots with a distance smaller than a threshold are recurrences, represented by a dot (see Figure 1B and C). As an example, a snapshot at \( t = 1 \text{ s} \) that recurs at \( t = 5 \text{ s} \) is shown in the recurrence plot by a dot at position (1, 5) as well as a dot at position (5, 1), since all recurrence plots are symmetric with respect to the diagonal. The threshold for the maximum-allowed activation-phase distance between two snapshots was computed based on the maximum expected number of recurrences. The maximum expected number of recurrences was estimated as the number of recurrences that would occur if a single conduction pattern repeatedly occurred for the full length of the recording, giving rise to on average one recurrence per...
AF cycle length for each snapshot. This number therefore depended on the AF cycle length and the sampling frequency of the recording, since a higher sampling frequency results in more snapshots per time. The choice of the distance threshold enabled a sensitive detection of recurrences, which ensured that completely regular patterns with on average one recurrence per AF cycle length were detected correctly. In recordings with a lower degree of regularity, this choice of recurrence plot threshold caused false positive recurrence detections, which were removed in the next stage as explained below.

Repetitive pattern detection

A recurrence plot was generated for every recording, and used to detect the number and duration of repetitive patterns. A conduction pattern that is repetitive for a number of consecutive AF cycles has recurrences with a period of one AF cycle length. In the time interval that contains the repetitive pattern, every snapshot has recurrences with preceding or following snapshots, again with a period of one AF cycle length. This is visible in the recurrence plot as a block of stacked diagonal lines around the main diagonal with a distance of one AF cycle length between the
diagonal lines (Figure 1C). Diagonal lines may exhibit some ‘thickness’ when the distance between consecutive activation-phase snapshots still falls within the computed distance threshold, which leads to aforementioned false positive recurrences. This effect was removed by erosion: replacing consecutive horizontal or vertical recurrences by a single recurrence at the pair of snapshots with minimum distance.

The eroded recurrence plot was used to detect intervals that contained successive repetitive conduction patterns. These intervals were detected by an algorithm that traversed the main diagonal of a recurrence plot and computed the recurrence rate in square blocks of increasing duration around the diagonal at each time point. The recurrence rate is the ‘density’ of a recurrence plot and is computed as the number of detected recurrences divided by all comparisons possible. Square blocks centred on the diagonal with a minimum recurrence rate of 0.9 (corresponding to an average of 0.9 recurrences per AF cycle) were selected as recurrent intervals.

Characterization of repetitive conduction patterns

We computed the preferential (dominant) conduction direction per individual electrode of each repetitive conduction pattern (circular variance of conduction direction, defined as Pref). A Pref of 1 indicates that all conduction vectors point in the same direction, whereas a Pref of 0 implies that all conduction vectors are uniformly pointing in all directions. Within repetitive intervals, the degree of preferentiality and direction of conduction for the mapping array were visualized in preferentiality plots, where arrows indicate the preferential direction, and Pref is indicated by a colour. The preferentiality plot only shows arrows for electrodes with a Pref > 0.5, to highlight preferential conduction directions that could be assessed accurately. The size of a repetitive pattern was defined as the number of electrodes with Pref > 0.5. The preferentiality of conduction direction during a repetitive pattern was defined as the sum of Pref over all electrodes with a Pref > 0.5.

Detected repetitive patterns may vary in duration and pattern stability. To compare Pref between intervals, we defined normalized preferentiality (Prefnorm) as an overall measure of AF pattern stability. Prefnorm incorporates pattern duration, size of the high preferential region, and the sum of Pref in the high preferential region into one metric. The duration of the interval is normalized to the total recording duration, the size of the high preferential region is normalized to the total size of the mapping array, and the sum of Pref in the high preferential region is normalized to the sum of the maximum Pref value for every electrode. Prefnorm is the product of the normalized duration, normalized high preferentiality region, and normalized sum of Pref, and thus has a value between 0 (short/small area/unstable pattern) and 1 (long/large area/stable pattern; see Supplementary material online). This implies that intervals in which all electrodes in the mapping array are part of the repetitive pattern, and where the pattern continues uninterrupted for the total duration of the recording, are assigned a value of one. In contrast, the normalized preferentiality is zero either when no interval containing a repetitive pattern can be found in the recording or no electrodes with a preferentiality > 0.5 are present in the repetitive intervals.

The preferentiality plot, average activation sequence plot, and an animation of the activation pattern for each repetitive pattern were generated and visually inspected by three authors (F.R., S.Z., and U.S.). Patterns were categorized as peripheral wave without block, peripheral wave with block, breakthrough, re-entry within the mapping area, or undecided if the pattern did not fit any of the other categories. Definitions for conduction patterns are presented in Supplementary material online.

Statistics

Differences between pAF and persAF patients were analysed for the number of intervals containing repetitive patterns, the duration of these intervals, the size of the region with Pref > 0.5, the sum of Pref > 0.5, and the normalized preferentiality Prefnorm. Normality of variables was tested using the one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. To test for significant differences between AF groups, a mixed ANOVA was used, employing a significance threshold of 0.05. Multiple comparison tests were performed using Tukey’s range test, controlling for AF group and atrium. Descriptive statistics of variables with a normal distribution are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD). Descriptive statistics of other variables are indicated by median, 25th, and 75th percentile.

Results

Baseline characteristics of all patients are given in Table 1. In persAF patients, atrial size was larger, left atrial appendage flow velocity was lower, and CHA2DS2-VASc score and ACE-inhibitors use were higher.

Measurements of all 20 patients were used, resulting in 20 recordings of the LA and 20 recordings of the RA. Recurrent intervals were found in 33 out of 40 recordings (pAF: 20/22, persAF: 13/18, P = 0.12). Although the incidence of recurrent intervals did not significantly differ between the paroxysmal and persistent AF group (pAF: median = 3, inter-quartile range (2–3) vs. persAF: median = 2, (0–4), P = 0.29, Figure 2), the prevalence, defined as total repetitive pattern duration as percentage of total recording time, was higher in pAF patients (pAF: median = 59% (41–72) vs. persAF: median = 39% (0–51), P < 0.01). The relation between the number of intervals and the total duration of intervals in a recording is visualized in Figure 2, bottom right. The size of repetitive patterns was significantly larger in pAF patients [pAF: median = 1.54 (1.15–1.96) vs. persAF: median = 1.16 (0.74–1.56) cm², P < 0.001, Figure 2, top right]. Preferentiality of conduction direction during a repetitive pattern was higher in paroxysmal than in persistent AF [pAF: median sum of preferentiality over all electrodes = 114 (77–146), persAF: median sum of preferentiality over all electrodes = 82 (67–114), P < 0.01]. Overall conduction pattern stability was significantly higher in pAF patients [pAF: median = 0.38 (0.25–0.50) vs. persAF: median = 0.23 (0.03–0.33), P < 0.005, Figure 2, bottom centre].

The Prefnorm varied in the range of 0–0.927 (median: 0.303). Seven recordings showed a Prefnorm of 0, the lowest non-zero Prefnorm was 0.090. Figure 3 provides examples for left atrial recordings of a recurrence plot, preferentiality plot, and average activation sequence for a high (0.927), moderate (0.315), and low (0.104) non-zero normalized preferentiality.

Repetitive patterns were categorized based on their prevailing conduction trajectories. Classification results are shown in Figure 4. In right and left atria together, most repetitive patterns were peripheral waves (87%), often with conduction block (69%), while breakthroughs (9%), and re-entries (2%) occurred less frequently. Both paroxysmal and persistent AF showed more blocked waves than unblocked waves (pAF: 55% vs. 27%, persAF: 92% vs. 3%), but blocked waves were more common in persistent AF patients (pAF: 54%, persAF: 92%). Nine intervals showed a repeating pattern of breakthroughs and peripheral waves (pAF: 11%, persAF: 5%).
Discussion

We investigated the presence, duration, area, and the trajectories of repetitive conduction patterns in epicardial high-resolution direct contact mapping data of patients with paroxysmal and persistent AF. Repetitive conduction patterns were identified in the majority of patients, both in pAF and persAF. Not only the prevalence of repetitive patterns was higher in pAF patients compared with persAF patients but also the overall stability of AF patterns. The majority of repetitive patterns was peripheral waves, often with conduction block, while breakthroughs and re-entries occurred less frequently.

Table 1  Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Paroxysmal AF (n = 11)</th>
<th>Persistent AF (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>68.7 ± 4.2</td>
<td>69.1 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine abuse (%)</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (%)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral arterial disease (%)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MI (%)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia (%)</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR &lt; 60 mL/min (%)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.6 ± 4.4</td>
<td>26.9 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class</td>
<td>2 [1.25–2.75]</td>
<td>2 [2–3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA2DS2-VASc</td>
<td>3 [2–3]</td>
<td>3 [3–6]*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since AF diagnosis (year)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>9.6 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.2 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone AF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA diameter (mm)</td>
<td>43.5 ± 8.1</td>
<td>53.1 ± 6.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA volume (cm³)</td>
<td>79.1 ± 27.5</td>
<td>151.0 ± 55.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAA flow velocity (cm/s)</td>
<td>61.1 ± 25.9</td>
<td>37.6 ± 13.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA volume (cm³)</td>
<td>53.6 ± 26.1</td>
<td>102.8 ± 32.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD (mm)</td>
<td>52.0 ± 4.4</td>
<td>52.1 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESD (mm)</td>
<td>32.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>34.9 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>64.3 ± 9.1</td>
<td>61.4 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocker (%)</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin (%)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone/sotalol (%)</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-inhibitor/ARB (%)</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>100*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blocker (%)</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin-receptor blockers; AVS, aortic valve surgery; BMI, body mass index; CABG, coronary bypass grafting; CRP, c-reactive protein; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; LA, left atrial; LAA, left atrial appendage; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVESD, left ventricular end systolic diameter; MI, myocardial infarction; MVS, mitral valve surgery; NYHA, New York Heart Association; RA, right atrial.

*P < 0.05 vs. paroxysmal AF.

Atrial fibrillation pattern stability and atrial fibrillation complexity

Analysis of recurrent patterns through normalized preferentiality showed that persAF patients exhibit patterns that are more variable compared with pAF patients. These findings may reflect well described changes of the atrial substrate under the influence of AF, where prolonged AF leads to more complex atrial substrate with a higher degree of block and a higher degree of electrical dissociation.18 This complex substrate may cause repeating AF patterns to become less stable in time and location.
Classification of repetitive patterns

In the present study, five types of repetitive conduction patterns were used: Peripheral with block, peripheral without block, breakthrough, re-entry, and undecided. Both pAF and persAF patients showed more blocked than unblocked waves, but blocked waves were more common in persAF. Nine intervals showed a repeating pattern of breakthroughs and peripheral waves. Re-entries were only found in two intervals.

Classification of the repetitive patterns revealed that the ratio of blocked vs. unblocked peripheral waves is higher in the persistent AF group. Uninterrupted peripheral waves were mostly observed in pAF patients, but were almost absent in persAF patients. This indicates that patients with persistent AF have a more complex atrial substrate with a higher incidence of conduction block. Interestingly, nine repetitive patterns were classified as breakthroughs. Repetitive breakthroughs, visible as radial spread of conduction from one point in the mapping array, may represent rapid ectopic firing or endo-epicardial dissociation, and might be responsible for the perpetuation of AF.[9,19–22]

Peripheral waves without conduction block were found relatively more often for paroxysmal AF patients and may indicate a zone of unidirectional conduction that can be part of a macro-re-entry...
Repetitive conduction patterns in human AF

Re-entry was observed in two patterns (in two patients), a relatively small percentage of all repetitive patterns. When the total re-entry path of the pattern was visible in at least one re-entry cycle, the pattern was classified as re-entry to avoid a negative bias against re-entries. Pivoting waves (waves from one direction pivoting around a line of block and returning to the same direction without crossing the line of block), seen in eight intervals (seven patients), were not counted as re-entries. The
low incidence of repetitive re-entry is in line with an earlier analysis of our dataset where incidence of re-entry itself was low. Hansen et al. found a higher prevalence of re-entry in isolated human atria along endocardial bundles. Their measurements were however performed in the presence of compounds that shorten the AF cycle length which strongly favours re-entry.

Potential applications
For many years, attempts have been made to design a mapping approach for alternative AF ablation targets. Well-known examples are dominant frequency mapping, complex fractionated electrogram mapping, and rotor mapping. None of these techniques have so far proven to consistently increase success rates of catheter ablation in AF. The inconsistent results from ablation trials may be partly attributable to technological shortcomings of the mapping techniques used. Our earlier work has documented that fractionation indices used in commercially available mapping systems do neither correlate well among each other nor with the incidence of conduction block. Phase analysis of filtered electrograms as usually used in rotor mapping strongly overestimates rotational activity during AF. The
fundamental mechanisms driving AF are still heavily debated by key opinion leaders because of the differences in pre-processing methods, analysis, and interpretation of fibrillation electrograms.\textsuperscript{9,27} Currently proposed hypotheses are that AF is driven or sustained by focal activity emanating from varying locations throughout the atria;\textsuperscript{9,28} spiral wave rotors that may change in complexity during the remodelling process;\textsuperscript{9,30} (also suggested by studies using ECG-imaging);\textsuperscript{31} localized stable rotors [showed by using the Focal Impulse and Rotor Modulation (FIRM) approach];\textsuperscript{32,33} multiple wavelets in the three-dimensional architecture of the atrial wall.\textsuperscript{20,34}

In the context of AF ablation beyond PVI, AF sources are particularly interesting when they are stationary or preferentially occur at specific sites. In such cases, conduction close to a source should be highly repetitive and propagating away from this source independently from the actual mechanism of the source. Hence, a repetitive pattern detection technique could help identify and localize AF sources. The existence of repetitive patterns during human AF—as demonstrated by the present study—therefore supports the temporary presence of prevailing conduction paths that may be linked to temporally active, stationary drivers for AF. Ablation of these drivers could be an opportunity to restore sinus rhythm.

Another potential application of this method is the construction of composite AF conduction maps. The presence of repeating patterns allows combining atrial recordings that have been recorded sequentially into one composite activation map showing these repetitive patterns for the whole atrium. This method is commonly used to produce sinus rhythm activation maps based on several separate recordings throughout the atria. Because of the repetitive nature of activation sequences found in this study, this approach may partly be transferable to mapping of AF. The feasibility of such an approach was demonstrated in the recent RADAR trial, where activation patterns for the whole atrium were adaptively and based on the expected AF cycle length. This led to a sensitive, but not specific detection of repetitive snapshots. Consequently, not all intervals could be categorized as a conduction pattern, although this occurred in only 2 out of 96 cases.

### Conclusion

High-density epicardial contact mapping in AF patients reveals frequent repetitive conduction patterns. In persistent AF patients, repetitive patterns were less frequent, smaller, and more variable than in paroxysmal AF. The majority of repetitive conduction patterns was (blocked) peripheral waves entering from outside the mapping array. Repetitive breakthrough and re-entry patterns were observed in a small minority of cases. The existence of repetitive patterns during AF suggests the presence of stationary AF drivers. Future research should elucidate whether detection of repetitive patterns can help to locate AF drivers.
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Supplementary material is available at Europace online.
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