Proceed With Caution in Generating Evidence in the "Oropharyngeal-Anorectal Chlamydia Hypothesis" in Humans

Citation for published version (APA):

Leenen, J., van Liere, G. A. F. S., Hoebe, C. J. P. A., & Dukers-Muijrers, N. H. T. M. (2019). Proceed With Caution in Generating Evidence in the "Oropharyngeal-Anorectal Chlamydia Hypothesis" in Humans. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, 46(9), E90-E90. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001033>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/09/2019

DOI:

[10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001033](https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001033)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:

Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Proceed With Caution in Generating Evidence in the “Oropharyngeal-Anorectal Chlamydia Hypothesis” in Humans

To the Editor

There is an on-going debate on whether oropharyngeal *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) infections can inoculate the human gastrointestinal tract, and subsequently lead to anorectal CT infections.^{1–3} Evidence in animals suggests that chlamydia bacteria can undergo gastrointestinal passage.^{1,2} However, no evidence exists in humans. We have read the article by Batteiger et al.⁴ with great interest and compliment the authors with their attempt to provide answers on this hypothesis in humans. The authors assume that oral sex (cunnilingus) leads to oropharyngeal CT infections, as they look into the relation between oral sex and anorectal infections, without testing for oropharyngeal CT infection. Although there have been speculations,³ it has not yet been proven that oral sex leads to oropharyngeal CT infections. The authors conclude that anorectal CT infections could result from inoculation via the GI tract after the self-report of oral sex. From an epidemiological point-of-view, the current study does not provide enough evidence to draw this conclusion.⁵

Batteiger et al.⁶ used a cross-sectional study design. This design is often chosen to report descriptives or prevalence and lacks the ability to infer causality. In their study, no statistical tests or adjustment for potential confounders were done to assess the relation between cunnilingus and anorectal CT. Additionally, the authors did not describe a control group. Among men who did not report cunnilingus or reported anal behaviors, none had anorectal CT (0%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.0–0.7). When we look at men who report cunnilingus and denied anal sex behaviors, 2 (2.4%) men had anorectal CT infection (95% CI, 0.3–8.3). The confidence intervals of both groups are large and overlap. The exact difference between these

groups (2.4%; 95% CI, –0.88 to 5.64) includes zero, and thus, there is no difference between both groups. Moreover, the power of the study is low, as the authors based their findings on a population of 84 men, of which 2 were anorectally CT positive. Because of these small sample numbers, this finding could be based on coincidence. The 2 anorectal CT infections could be acquired through other ways (e.g., underreported anorectal behavior). We compliment the authors in their efforts to maximize the accuracy of the self-reported sexual behaviors. However, as the authors describe in their discussion, when using self-reported data, it cannot be ruled out that these self-identified heterosexual men had engaged in anorectal activities, and thus, information bias could have occurred.⁷ This is not unlikely as they showed that men who identify themselves as heterosexual also report anorectal sex or sex with men.

We studied the oropharyngeal-anorectal hypotheses using a large longitudinal data set of MSM and women (n = 21,245) and found no statistically significant independent association between preceding oropharyngeal and subsequent anorectal infections (adjusting for confounders).⁸ Although cross-sectional studies do not have the optimal design to infer causality, Batteiger's study contributes to understanding the oropharyngeal-anorectal hypothesis in humans. However, in our view, the scientific evidence from Batteiger et al. is too weak to draw conclusions regarding the oropharyngeal-anorectal hypothesis in humans, and we advise caution for overinterpreting results.

Jeanine Leenen, Msc

Department of Sexual Health
Infectious Diseases and Environmental Health
South Limburg Public Health Service
(GGD Zuid Limburg)
Heerlen, the Netherlands
Department of Medical Microbiology
Care and Public Health Research
Institute (CAPHRI)
Maastricht University
Medical Centre (MUMC+)
Maastricht, the Netherlands
Jeanine.leenen@ggz.nl

**Geneviève A.F.S. van Liere
Christian J.P.A. Hoebe
Nicole H.T.M. Dukers-Muijters**

Department of Sexual Health
Infectious Diseases and Environmental Health
South Limburg Public Health Service
(GGD Zuid Limburg)
Heerlen, the Netherlands
Department of Medical Microbiology
Care and Public Health Research Institute
(CAPHRI), Maastricht University
Medical Centre (MUMC+)
Maastricht, the Netherlands

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: none declared.

REFERENCES

- Rank RG, Yeruva L. Hidden in plain sight: chlamydial gastrointestinal infection and its relevance to persistence in human genital infection. *Infect Immun* 2014; 82:1362–1371.
- Yeruva L, Spencer N, Bowlin AK, et al. Chlamydial infection of the gastrointestinal tract: a reservoir for persistent infection. *Pathog Dis* 2013; 68:88–95.
- Bavoil PM, Marques PX, Brotman R, et al. Does active oral sex contribute to female infertility? *J Infect Dis* 2017; 216:932–935.
- Batteiger TA, Jordan SJ, Toh E, et al. Detection of rectal *Chlamydia trachomatis* in heterosexual men who report cunnilingus. *Sex Transm Dis* 2019; 46:440–445.
- Hill AB. The environment and disease: association or causation? SAGE Publications, 1965.
- Levin KA. Study design III: Cross-sectional studies. *Evid Based Dent* 2006; 7:24–25.
- Groenwold RH, Drie vormen van bias. Three types of bias: distortion of research results and how that can be prevented. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2013; 157:A6497.
- Leenen J, van Liere GAFS, Hoebe CJPA, et al. A longitudinal study to investigate previous *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection as a risk factor for subsequent anorectal infection in men who have sex with men (MSM) and women visiting STI clinics in the Netherlands. *Epidemiology & Infection* 2019; 147:e214.