Reply to "Intensifying Neoadjuvant Therapy for Rectal Cancers Towards Watchful Waiting," by Chakrabarti, Deep, et al.

Citation for published version (APA):

Huisman, J. F., Schoenaker, I. J. H., Brohet, R. M., Cappel, W. H. D. T. N., Beets, G. L., & van Westreenen, H. L. (2021). Reply to "Intensifying Neoadjuvant Therapy for Rectal Cancers Towards Watchful Waiting," by Chakrabarti, Deep, et al. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, 28(7), 4064-4065. <https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-020-09513-3>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/07/2021

DOI:

[10.1245/s10434-020-09513-3](https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-020-09513-3)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:

Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Reply to “Intensifying Neoadjuvant Therapy for Rectal Cancers Towards Watchful Waiting,” by Chakrabarti, Deep, et al.

J. F. Huisman, MD¹, I. J. H. Schoenaker, MANP², R. M. Brohet, PhD³, W. H. de Vos tot Nederveen Cappel, MD, PhD¹, G. L. Beets, MD, PhD^{4,5}, and H. L. van Westreenen, MD, PhD²

¹Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Isala Hospital, Zwolle, The Netherlands; ²Department of Surgery, Isala Hospital, Zwolle, The Netherlands; ³Department of Epidemiology and Statistics, Isala Hospital, Zwolle, The Netherlands; ⁴Department of Surgery, The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁵GROW School for Oncology and Developmental Biology, Maastricht University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

We thank Dr. Chakrabarti and colleagues for their interest in our manuscript and their observations. During the period of the study, from 2009 to 2018, the institutional protocol of neoadjuvant chemoradiation for locally advanced rectal cancer remained the same. The larger number of locally advanced rectal cancers in the second period was related to the centralization of colorectal cancer care in a regional collaboration.

The watch-and-wait protocol (W&W) was started in 2015 in close collaboration with the Netherlands Cancer Institute, an expert center for W&W with all patients included in a Dutch prospective registry as well as in the International Watch and Wait Database (IWWD). Patients with a near clinical complete response are included because current diagnostic tests are not sufficiently accurate to detect true responders, and it has been shown that the majority of near-complete responses evolve into a complete response by a second evaluation.¹ Our series showed no difference in local regrowth between these two groups, with 4 (36%) of 11 patients exhibiting a complete response and 9 (31%) of 29 patients exhibiting a near-complete response.

The study was underpowered to evaluate any difference in oncologic outcomes between the two groups, but none of the findings support the notion of Chakrabarti and colleagues that a total mesorectal excision (TME) would have been better for the patients with a near-complete response.

We agree that tumor response is related to the interval between neoadjuvant chemoradiation and restaging. The first response evaluation was planned 8 weeks after the neoadjuvant chemoradiation, and indeed the range of this period was 5 to 22 weeks. However, the median time to the first response evaluation for cohort B (patients with structural response evaluation following neoadjuvant chemoradiation) was 8 weeks.

The location of the tumors is presented in the baseline characteristics of the original manuscript and did not differ significantly between the two cohorts.² Therefore, the decrease in the number of patients requiring a stoma seems directly related to the W&W strategy. We agree with Chakrabarti and colleagues that chemoradiation may lead to poor functional outcome. A recent study reported major low anterior resection syndrome (LARS) for 33% of the patients after neoadjuvant chemoradiation.³

As correctly noted, the field of organ preservation is continuing to evolve, with many current studies on radiotherapy dose escalations and studies on incorporating systemic therapy. Although it currently is not clear which schedule provides the best response, one thing is certain: organ preservation is here to stay.

DISCLOSURE There are no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Hupkens BJP, Maas M, Martens MH, et al. Organ preservation in rectal cancer after chemoradiation: should we extend the observation period in patients with a clinical near-complete response? *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2018;25:197–203.
2. Huisman JF, Schoenaker IJH, Brohet RM, et al. Avoiding unnecessary major rectal cancer surgery by implementing structural restaging and a watch-and-wait strategy after neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-020-09192-0>.
3. van der Sande ME, Hupkens BJP, Berbée M, et al. Impact of radiotherapy on anorectal function in patients with rectal cancer following a watch-and-wait programme. *Radiother Oncol*. 2019;132:79–84.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.