Computational analysis of β -adrenergic stimulation and its effects on cardiac ventricular electrophysiology

Citation for published version (APA):

Heijman, J. (2012). Computational analysis of β -adrenergic stimulation and its effects on cardiac ventricular electrophysiology. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Maastricht University. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20120427jh>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2012

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20120427jh](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20120427jh)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 26 Apr. 2023

COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS OF β - ADRENERGIC STIMULATION AND ITS EFFECTS ON CARDIAC VENTRICULAR ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

JORDI HEIJMAN

2012

COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS OF β - ADRENERGIC STIMULATION AND ITS EFFECTS ON CARDIAC VENTRICULAR ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Universiteit Maastricht,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus, Prof. mr. G.P.M.F. Mols,
volgens het besluit van het College van Decanen,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op
Vrijdag 27 April 2012 om 10.00 uur

door

Jordi Heijman

geboren op 8 September 1985 te Sittard

PROMOTORES

Prof. Dr. H.J.G.M. Crijns

Prof. Dr. Ir. R.L.M. Peeters

CO-PROMOTORES

Dr. P.G.A. Volders

Dr. R.L. Westra

BEOORDELINGSCOMMISSIE

Prof. Dr. H.J.J. Wellens

Voorzitter

Prof. Dr. M.R. Rosen

Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

Prof. Dr. R. Sepulchre

Université de Liège, Liège, Belgium

Prof. Dr. H.A.J. Struijker-Boudier

Prof. Dr. A.A.M. Wilde

Academisch Medisch Centrum, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Prof. Dr. L.J. de Windt

Voor mijn ouders

Contents

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	8
------------------------------	----------

CHAPTER 1	11
------------------	-----------

Introduction

CHAPTER 2	27
------------------	-----------

Properties and ionic mechanisms of action potential adaptation, restitution, and accommodation in canine epicardium

Keith F. Decker, Jordi Heijman, Jonathan R. Silva, Thomas J. Hund and Yoram Rudy

Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 2009, 296:H1017-H1026

CHAPTER 3	49
------------------	-----------

Local control of β -adrenergic stimulation: Effects on ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and Ca^{2+} -transient

Jordi Heijman, Paul G.A. Volders, Ronald L. Westra and Yoram Rudy

J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2011, 50:863-871

CHAPTER 4	103
------------------	------------

Dominant-Negative Control of cAMP-Dependent I_{Ks} Upregulation in Human Long-QT Syndrome Type 1

Jordi Heijman, Roel L.H.M.G. Späthjens, Sandrine R.M. Seyen, Viola Lentink, Helma J.H. Kuijpers, Inge R. Boulet, Leon J. de Windt, Miren David and Paul G.A. Volders

Circ. Res. 2012, 110:211-219

CHAPTER 5	133
------------------	------------

I_{Ks} restricts excessive beat-to-beat variability of repolarization during beta-adrenergic receptor stimulation

Daniel M. Johnson, Jordi Heijman, Chris E. Pollard, Jean-Pierre Valentin, Harry J.G.M. Crijns, Najah Abi-Gerges and Paul G.A. Volders

J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2010, 48:122-130

CHAPTER 6 _____ **151**

Diastolic Spontaneous Calcium Release from the Sarcoplasmic Reticulum Increases Beat-to-Beat Repolarization Variability in Calcium-Overloaded Canine Ventricular Myocytes

Jordi Heijman*, Daniel M. Johnson*, Elizabeth F. Bode, David J. Greensmith, Najah Abi-Gerges, David A. Eisner, Andrew W. Trafford and Paul G.A. Volders

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Manuscript in preparation and in part presented at Heart Rhythm Society 2011 & Cardiac EP Society 2011

CHAPTER 7 _____ **175**

Determinants of beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration in the canine ventricular myocyte – a computational analysis

Jordi Heijman, Antonio Zaza, Daniel M. Johnson, Yoram Rudy, Ralf L.M. Peeters, Paul G.A. Volders* and Ronald L. Westra*

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Manuscript in preparation and in part accepted for Frontiers in Cardiovascular Biology 2012

CHAPTER 8 _____ **201**

General discussion

SUMMARY / SAMENVATTING _____ **223**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS / DANKWOORD _____ **231**

CURRICULUM VITAE / PUBLICATIONS _____ **235**

COLOR FIGURES _____ **241**

APPENDIX _____ **257**

Model definition and equations

Abbreviations

A

AC	Adenylyl cyclase
AKAPs	A-kinase anchoring protein
AM	Atrial myocyte
AP	Action potential
APD	AP duration
ATXII	Sea anemone toxin II

B

β AR	β -adrenergic receptor
β ARS	β AR stimulation
BVR	Beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration

C

CaMKII	Ca^{2+} /Calmodulin kinase II
cAMP	3'-5' cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CaT	Ca^{2+} transient
CaT_{AMP}	CaT amplitude
CAV	Caveolar domain
CDI	Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation
CHO	Chinese hamster ovary
CICR	Ca^{2+} -induced Ca^{2+} release
CL	Cycle length
CPVT	Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia
CSQN	Calsequestrin
CTKCI	K^{+} - Cl^{-} co-transporter
CTNaCl	Na^{+} - Cl^{-} co-transporter
CV	Coefficient of variability
CYT	Cytosolic domain

D

DAD	Delayed afterdepolarization
DI	Diastolic interval

E

EAD	Early afterdepolarization
ECAV	Extracaveolar membrane domain
ECC	Excitation-contraction coupling
ECGI	Electrocardiographic imaging
EPAC	Exchange protein activated by cAMP

F

FRET	Fluorescence resonance energy transfer
------	--

G

G_i	Inhibitory G-protein
G_s	Stimulatory G-protein

H

HH	Hodgkin-Huxley
----	----------------

I

IBMX	3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine; nonspecific PDE inhibitor
I_{Cab}	Background Ca^{2+} current
I_{CaL}	L-type Ca^{2+} current
$I_{\text{Cl(Ca)}}$	Ca^{2+} -dependent Cl^{-} current
I_f	Pacemaker ("funny") current
I_{K1}	Inward rectifying K^{+} current
I_{Kp}	Plateau K^{+} current
I_{Kr}	Rapidly-activating delayed-rectifier K^{+} current
I_{Ks}	Slowly-activating delayed-rectifier K^{+} current
I_{Kur}	Ultrarapidly-activating delayed rectifier K^{+} current
I_{leak}	JSR Ca^{2+} leak flux
I_{Na}	Fast Na^{+} current
I_{Nab}	Background Na^{+} current
I_{NaCa}	Na^{+} - Ca^{2+} exchange current
I_{NaK}	Na^{+} - K^{+} pump current
I_{NaL}	Late / persistent Na^{+} current
Inh1	Inhibitor protein 1
IP3	Inositol(1,4,5)-triphosphate
I_{pCa}	Plasmalemmal Ca^{2+} pump current
IPS	Induced pluripotent stem cell
I_{rel}	JSR Ca^{2+} release flux
ISO	Isoproterenol
I_{ti}	Transient inward current
I_{To}	4 AP-sensitive transient outward K^{+} current
I_{up}	SR Ca^{2+} uptake flux by SERCA2a
I-V	Current-voltage relationship

J

JSR Junctional sarcoplasmic reticulum

L

LQT Long QT syndrome
LTV Long-term variability
LV Left ventricular

N

NCX Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchanger
NSR Network sarcoplasmic reticulum

O

OA Okadaic acid

P

PDE Phosphodiesterase
PF Purkinje fiber
PGE1 Prostaglandin receptor 1
PKA Protein kinase A
PKC Protein kinase C
PLB Phospholamban
P_o Open probability
PP1 Protein phosphatase 1
PTX Pertussis toxin

R

RV Right ventricular
RyR Ryanodine receptor

S

SAN Sino-atrial node
SCR Spontaneous Ca²⁺ release
SERCA Sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺-ATPase
SR Sarcoplasmic reticulum
SS Steady state
STV Short-term variability

T

TA Triggered activity
TdP Torsades des pointes
Tnl Troponin I

V

V_m Membrane potential
VM Ventricular myocyte
V_{max} Maximum membrane potential
VPH Virtual physiological human
VT Ventricular tachycardia

W

WT Wild type

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Sudden cardiac death.....	12
1.2 Cardiac electrophysiology and adrenergic signaling.....	13
1.3 Computational approaches to understanding cardiac electrophysiology.....	16
1.4 Aims and layout of the thesis.....	20
1.5 References.....	21

1.1 SUDDEN CARDIAC DEATH

Despite significant advances in diagnostic modalities and treatment options, cardiovascular diseases still remain the most common cause of death and morbidity in the developed world. Based on an overall cardiovascular-disease-related death rate of 262.5 per 100,000 inhabitants (USA, 2006), it can be estimated that more than 2300 people die every day from cardiovascular diseases in the United States alone.¹ In The Netherlands, 176.5 and 84.2 cardiovascular-disease-related deaths occurred per 100,000 inhabitants in 2007 among men and women, respectively,¹ making it indeed the most common cause of death.² The etiology of the diseases underlying these deaths is diverse. The incidence of sudden cardiac death remains difficult to assess and published numbers vary between 180,000 and 450,000 cases per year in the United States.³ However, even the lowest estimate indicates a sizable incidence. In the Maastricht area, the mean yearly incidence of sudden cardiac arrest in the general population (age group 20 to 75 years) was 1 in 1,000 and in approximately half of the cases sudden cardiac arrest was most likely the first manifestation of heart disease.⁴ The relatively high prevalence of sudden cardiac death and its high impact on society give rise to what Zipes and Rubart⁵ called “one of the most important—and vexing—questions in clinical cardiology today”: “Why did he die on Tuesday and not on Monday?”

In general, the genesis of arrhythmias involves at least two components: a vulnerable substrate created by the disease and a trigger. For many diseases, and in particular for congenital conditions, it can be assumed that the substrate did not change significantly between Monday and Tuesday. As such, sudden cardiac death can be considered an “electrophysiological accident”.⁶ Therefore, part of the answer to the question concerning the origin and control of the proximate precipitator to sudden cardiac death⁵ may be found in a better understanding of the “accident”-specific triggers. Signaling pathways that relay external cues to the heart play a critical role herein. Of these pathways, the autonomic nervous system has been described as a major modulator of arrhythmic events in a wide variety of cardiovascular diseases, including atrial fibrillation⁷⁻⁹, heart failure¹⁰⁻¹², myocardial infarction^{13, 14}, long-QT syndrome¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia.¹⁸⁻²⁰

In this thesis we present an integrative experimental and computational analysis of the signaling pathways involved in sympathetic stimulation of the heart and their effects on cardiac cellular electrophysiology in physiological and pathophysiological conditions. We focus on conditions in which there is a structurally normal heart. Such a primary electrophysiological abnormality accounts for approximately 3-5% of the sudden cardiac death cases⁶ and provides an important paradigm to further our understanding of arrhythmogenesis. However, the insights obtained from these studies also provide information that can be applied to the more common acquired conditions such as heart failure, myocardial ischaemia, or digitalis intoxication, in which sympathetic stimulation causes electrophysiological alterations that predispose the development of ventricular arrhythmias.²¹⁻²⁴ In the remainder of this chapter, we present a brief introduction to cardiac electrophysiology and adrenergic signaling under normal conditions, as well as an overview of computational approaches in electrocardiology.

1.2 CARDIAC ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY AND ADRENERGIC SIGNALING

The “raison d’être” of the human heart is to supply O_2 and nutrient-rich blood to the body and pump CO_2 -rich blood to the lungs. A ‘normal’ heart does so by beating more than three billion times during an average person’s lifetime. However, calling the heart simply a pump would not do justice to the incredible complexity this organ has accrued during millions of years of evolution. The pumping function of the heart is orchestrated through a large number of electrical and biochemical pathways. Under normal conditions, each beat starts from an electrical signal initiated by spontaneously-activating cells in the sinoatrial node. The electrical wave spreads across the atria and results in the pumping of blood from the atria to the ventricles. The electrical signal is delayed at the atrioventricular node to allow sufficient time for the ventricles to fill and then propagates through the rapid conduction system to facilitate synchronous activation of the ventricular muscle and pumping of blood to the lungs (from the right ventricle) and other organs (from the left ventricle).

At the level of the single ventricular myocyte, depolarization of the membrane from its resting potential of approximately -80 mV results in rapid activation of voltage-gated Na^+ channels (giving rise to the Na^+ current: I_{Na}) to depolarize the membrane (Phase 0). At positive potentials the Na^+ channels rapidly inactivate, resulting in a peak membrane potential of approximately 30 mV. In some cell types, most prominently the epicardial myocytes, this rapid depolarization is followed by a fast partial repolarization (Phase 1) due to the activation of a transient outward K^+ current (I_{to}) and a Ca^{2+} -activated Cl^- current ($I_{Cl(Ca)}$). During phases 0 and 1, L-type Ca^{2+} channels are activated, giving rise to I_{CaL} . The Ca^{2+} brought into the cell via I_{CaL} triggers release of Ca^{2+} from the intracellular stores (the sarcoplasmic reticulum; SR) through ryanodine receptors (RyRs). The combined free subcellular Ca^{2+} can bind to the cell’s contractile machinery, thereby activating cell contraction. This process is termed excitation-contraction coupling (ECC) and provides the main link between electrical and mechanical properties of the cardiac myocyte. During the plateau phase (Phase 2), there exists a delicate balance between depolarizing currents (I_{CaL} , the late component of I_{Na} and Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchange current) and repolarizing currents. A concerted effort of multiple voltage-gated K^+ channels (in particular the rapidly and slowly activating delayed rectifiers I_{Kr} and I_{Ks}), as well as the Na^+ - K^+ pump (I_{NaK}), underlie the final repolarization phase (Phase 3). The resting membrane potential (Phase 4) is maintained mainly by the inward rectifier K^+ current (I_{K1}) and I_{NaK} and is, as such, close to the K^+ reversal potential. Meanwhile, Ca^{2+} is pumped back in to the SR by SERCA2a and out of the cell by the Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchanger (and to a lesser extent the sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} -ATPase) to maintain homeostasis and achieve cell relaxation. For a more complete overview of the molecular constituents of cardiac electrophysiology and ECC, the interested reader is referred to excellent reviews on this topic²⁵⁻²⁸. The time course of membrane depolarization and repolarization is called the cardiac action potential (AP) and AP duration (APD) is the most commonly used quantification. There exist significant differences in AP morphology between different species as well as between cells from different regions of the heart.²⁹⁻³² This thesis mostly concerns the canine (left) ventricular myocyte. A prototypical example of an AP and Ca^{2+} transient (CaT) from a canine epicardial myocyte, and the main underlying currents, are shown in **Figure 1**.

Figure 1. Prototypical epicardial action potential, Ca^{2+} transient and ionic currents. Numbers indicate the four phases of the action potential. Outward currents are shaded in light grey, inward currents in dark grey. Not to scale.

Of pivotal importance in the operation of the heart is its connection to the autonomic nervous system which can tailor the cardiac performance through the activation of various signaling cascades. The autonomic nervous system is divided into two parts. Activation of the sympathetic nervous system results in a fight-or-flight response characterized by enhanced heart rate (chronotropy), force of contraction (inotropy), rate of relaxation (lusitropy) and conduction (dromotropy). These adaptations greatly increase cardiac output, allowing the body to respond swiftly and strongly to any potential threat. The sympathetic nervous system uses the neurotransmitter norepinephrine to activate signaling cascades via adrenergic receptors. In contrast, the parasympathetic nervous system balances the response to sympathetic stimulation and decreases cardiac output and rate. The parasympathetic nervous system controls the heart via muscarinic receptors and uses acetylcholine as its neurotransmitter.³³ These two pathways show complex interactions.³⁴

At the single myocyte level, the effects of sympathetic stimulation are largely mediated by the α -adrenergic- and β -adrenergic receptor (β AR) signaling pathways (**Figure 2**). Both types are part of the large family of G-protein coupled receptors.²¹ When catecholamines are released from sympathetic nerves they bind to the β AR, resulting in activation of the receptor-bound stimulatory G-proteins (Gs). Activation of Gs is achieved by dissociation of the α and $\beta\gamma$ subunits resulting from a GTP-GDP exchange on the $G_{s\alpha}$ subunit. Active $G_{s\alpha}$ can subsequently stimulate adenylyl cyclases to produce the ubiquitous second messenger 3'-5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), which can be broken down by phosphodiesterases (PDEs). The “traditional” downstream element of cAMP is protein kinase A (PKA). Activation of PKA results in the phosphorylation of many intracellular proteins (PKA substrates). Stimulation of α -adrenergic receptors activates Gq-proteins and phospholipase C, generating inositol(1,4,5)-triphosphate and diacylglycerol. These molecules in turn mobilize intracellular Ca^{2+} , leading to the activation of protein kinase C (PKC) and Ca^{2+} /Calmodulin kinase II (CaMKII).³⁵

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the β AR signaling pathway. Ligand binding (1) causes dissociation of the $G_{s\alpha}$ subunit as a result of GDP-GTP exchange (2). $G_{s\alpha}$ activates adenylyl cyclases (AC) to produce cAMP from ATP (3). Phosphodiesterases degrade cAMP (4). cAMP activates PKA (5) which causes dissociation of the catalytic subunit that can subsequently phosphorylate intracellular proteins (6). The α -adrenergic receptor pathway is shown on the right.

In the ventricular myocyte the PKA substrates include, among others, I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , I_{Na} , I_{NaK} , RyR, phospholamban (PLB) and Troponin I (TnI). Activation of PKA results in increased Ca^{2+} amplitude and rate of decay, contributing to the inotropic and lusitropic effects of sympathetic stimulation. In sinoatrial node cells, there is evidence both for direct cAMP-dependent modulation of the hyperpolarization-activated (“funny”) current (I_f) and increased Ca^{2+} handling via PKA underlying the increased chronotropy during sympathetic stimulation.³⁶ PKA-dependent increases in Ca^{2+} handling

and I_{CaL} in the atrioventricular node are also responsible for the increased dromotropic effects.³⁷ In addition to these effects of β AR stimulation via cAMP/PKA, recent reports have highlighted interactions with other signaling pathways, including the PKC and CaMKII pathways, leading to a complex 'signalome'.^{38, 39} This interaction is established through the PKA-dependent changes in Ca^{2+} cycling and heart rate as well as through a PKA-independent pathway involving the exchange protein activated by cAMP (EPAC), Phospholipase C, and PKC.³⁸ The CaMKII pathway has also been implicated in the adverse effects of long-term adrenergic stimulation in a variety of conditions.^{40, 41}

Because of its critical importance in the control of cardiac output and rate, the adrenergic signaling pathway is tightly controlled, both temporally and spatially. Several negative feedback loops exist to limit excessive cAMP levels. These temporal control mechanisms include desensitization (and subsequent internalization) of β AR due to phosphorylation by PKA- and G-protein-coupled receptor kinases, as well as stimulation of cAMP hydrolysis by the long isoforms of PDE3 and PDE4 after phosphorylation by PKA.^{21, 42} Moreover, in recent years it has become increasingly clear that cAMP signaling is highly localized. Localization is essential for different receptors that all affect cAMP to achieve a specific response. For example, it has been shown that stimulation of β 1AR results in a cell-wide response, whereas β 2AR stimulation results in localized elevation of cAMP.⁴³ Localized signaling is achieved by macromolecular signaling complexes that are tethered to specific subcellular locations.⁴⁴ One such complex is created by caveolin-3, which is involved in the formation of caveolae, small invaginations in the cell membrane. In addition, it acts as a scaffold protein that groups various channels and transporters as well as signaling molecules together.⁴⁵ In addition, A-kinase anchoring proteins bind to various PKA substrates and localize PKA, phosphatases and PDEs to the channel complex.⁴⁶ These localized signaling domains, together with cAMP-limiting actions by PDEs and G_i -proteins, allow for local elevation of cAMP and specific responses to stimulation of different receptors.⁴⁷ Although improved cAMP-imaging techniques have facilitated the analysis of localized signaling domains^{48, 49}, it remains difficult to experimentally quantify the contributions of individual signaling components to the local and global effects of adrenergic stimulation.

1.3 COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES TO UNDERSTANDING CARDIAC ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

From the aforementioned description, it will be readily apparent that the heart is a complex, nonlinear system with numerous interacting components and a high degree of synthesis and integration. Since human intuition subsides in face of these complexities, it is virtually impossible to predict the effect of perturbations to this complex system by reasoning alone. Because of this, the field cardiac (electro)physiology has a rich history of computational approaches to promote the understanding of various mechanisms, including arrhythmogenesis. The interaction between experimental and computational cardiology started in the early 1960s and thanks to the advent of more powerful computing hardware, the field has grown ever since. **Figure 3** illustrates this growth by showing the number of publications on computational electrocardiology since 1962. Recently, a USA National Institutes of Health / National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute workshop on sudden

cardiac death recommended to “establish multiscale integrative models, including molecular, cellular, organ-level, animal and computational, relevant to human electrophysiology and disease” and “enhance acceptance and use of such models to achieve improved mechanistic understanding of arrhythmogenesis as well as the effects and implications of new antiarrhythmia therapies.”⁵⁰

Figure 3. Number of articles published per 5 year period that satisfy the Pubmed query (*cardiac OR heart OR ventricle OR ventricular OR atria*) AND (*"action potential" OR electrical OR electromechanical OR electrophysiological OR electrophysiology*) AND (*computational OR mathematical OR simulation*) AND *model* (in title and/or abstract).

A brief overview of important milestones and recent research efforts in computational electrocardiology is given below.

The history of computational modeling of excitable cells based on underlying ionic mechanisms started with the seminal work by Hodgkin and Huxley (HH) on the conduction in the giant axon of the squid in 1952.⁵¹ Noble⁵² was among the first to adapt this work to the cardiac domain by adapting the HH equations to reproduce the long plateau of the action potential from the cardiac Purkinje fiber. Noble and coworkers subsequently expanded this model⁵³ to include seminal experimental discoveries by the laboratories of Carmeliet,⁵⁴ Coraboeuf,⁵⁵ Trautwein,⁵⁶ Weidmann⁵⁷ and others. This model was later adapted to the first model of a ventricular cell by Beeler and Reuter.⁵⁸ In 1985, DiFrancesco and Noble⁵⁹ created the first model that incorporated dynamic changes in intracellular ion concentrations as well as a formulation for Ca^{2+} release from the SR. These models are sometimes referred to as “dynamic” or “Phase 2” models. The DiFrancesco-Noble model and its subsequent extension with Ca^{2+} buffering by Hilgemann and Noble⁶⁰ (for an atrial action potential) provided the starting point for many subsequent simulation studies on Ca^{2+} handling in cardiac cells.

The ventricular cell model by Beeler and Reuter was expanded in two seminal studies by Luo and Rudy^{61, 62} to include many new experimental data specific for the single ventricular myocyte that had accumulated as a result of improved experimental techniques. Their model provided a very complete overview of the guinea-pig ventricular action potential and remains extensively used to date. This class of models has subsequently been extended by many research groups to describe the cardiac cellular electrophysiology of a wide variety of species (including, recently, human specific) and cell types and represents the most common type of models in computational electrocardiology⁶³⁻⁷¹ (see Winslow et al.⁷² or **Chapter 8** for a more complete list).

Several different research directions can be distinguished that have expanded on these initial results. One important direction focuses on a more detailed understanding of ion-channel function and the disturbances that occur as a result of various hereditary channelopathies. To describe these details, models that explicitly reflect a physical state (or aggregate of states) of ion channels were developed. In contrast to the HH formulations, these Markov models also made it possible to represent interdependencies between channel activation and inactivation, consistent with experimental observations.⁷³ In the early 1990s the first Markov models were developed to study gating properties of ion channels.^{74, 75} However, it was not until the late 90s when the first studies integrated Markov model representations of ion channels into a computational model of the cardiac action potential. A prime example of such a study is that by Clancy and Rudy.⁷⁶ Their models of the wild-type and Δ KPQ-mutant cardiac sodium channel illustrated how Markov models that represent individual channel states can provide mechanistic insight into the effects of genetic mutations that alter channel gating. This technique has since been applied to a wide variety of channels and diseases. Recently, Silva et al. added another level of physiological detail by proposing a model for I_{Ks} that combines molecular dynamics and electrostatics to determine a multidimensional, continuous energy landscape that can be used to determine channel transitions and single channel currents.⁷⁷ This approach provides a more mechanistic understanding of channel function than can be obtained with Markov models, where model topology has to be determined manually instead of being based on the physical channel structure. Incremental approaches that extend on Silva et al.⁷⁷ can potentially enhance the understanding of genotype/phenotype relationships in various channelopathies.

Markov models have also played an important role in so called “local control” models that include details of subcellular Ca^{2+} handling, particularly in the dyadic cleft. The dyadic cleft is a small region between the sarcolemmal invaginations called t-tubules and the opposing intracellular SR. This space is a microdomain for Ca^{2+} signaling where ECC is initiated via Ca^{2+} -induced Ca^{2+} release (CICR).⁷⁸ CICR is a highly localized process characterized by strong positive feedback. The Jafri-Rice-Winslow model was the first to include a more detailed description of CICR using Markov models for the L-type Ca^{2+} channel and RyR and a subcellular compartment representing the total cellular dyadic space.⁷⁹ In the myocyte, the dyadic cleft is a large cluster of small microdomains. These volumes are so small that only a few L-type Ca^{2+} channels interact with a few dozen RyRs and under physiological conditions only a small number of Ca^{2+} ions are present in each domain. With such small numbers involved, models using deterministic ordinary differential equations based on continuum assumptions may

not be appropriate.⁸⁰ Stochastic descriptions of CICR were first developed by Stern.⁸¹ He showed that common pool models are not able to reproduce both the high-gain and graded-release properties of CICR observed experimentally. Since then much work has been performed, particularly concerning the question what ends the positive feedback loop that controls CICR.⁸² In 2002, Greenstein and Winslow⁸³ were the first to incorporate a stochastic local control model of CICR into a detailed model of the ventricular action potential. Stochastic simulations combined with the nonlinear dynamics of the ventricular action potential have recently been described to result in dynamic temporal repolarization patterns, including the generation of arrhythmogenic early afterdepolarizations⁸⁴ and the induction of noise-induced chaos⁸⁵. Since temporal variability of repolarization has been described as a marker for proarrhythmic risk⁸⁶, future models incorporating local stochastic Ca^{2+} dynamics may also provide additional insight into the more macroscopic properties of repolarization variability.

At the other end of the spectrum, multicellular tissue or whole-heart models can provide insight into macroscopic, emergent processes such as arrhythmias, which do not exist at the level of the single cell. Multicellular models can be classified as spatially discrete or continuous. In discrete models (also called network models), individual cell models that are coupled electrically are explicitly represented. Although this approach more closely reflects the biological structure of the heart, it is computationally extremely demanding. As such, simulations using this approach are usually confined to 1-dimensional strands or small sheets of 2-dimensional tissue.^{87, 88} The first continuum based simulations were performed using a generic excitable media model by Fitzhugh and Nagumo around the same time Noble presented the first ionic cardiac cell model.⁸⁹ Detailed anatomical models that include fiber direction anisotropy were first developed at the University of Auckland, New Zealand, by Nielsen et al.⁹⁰ Later generic models have been extended to reproduce macroscopic properties of cardiac tissue and combined with the anatomical models resulted in the first macroscopic tissue models with realistic geometry.^{91, 92} More recent approaches have used detailed ionic cell models incorporated in a monodomain or bidomain framework. Bidomain models use a continuum approach to represent two interpenetrating domains representing cardiac cells and the space surrounding them and include mechanistic descriptions of the ionic currents. Computational hardware is now powerful enough to produce organ level simulations (whole ventricle, whole heart) with detailed ionic models and geometry. Such models have been employed to study many aspects of cardiac electrophysiology, including organization of ventricular fibrillation,⁹³ arrhythmogenic effects of heterogeneous restitution of repolarization⁹⁴, effects of pharmacological interventions⁹⁵, human atrial electrophysiology⁹⁶ and synchronization of single cell behavior⁹⁷.

Several models, including some of the whole-heart models described above, also include the mechanical component of the cardiac function. At the subcellular level, contraction models have been designed to reproduce key characteristics of the contractile machinery as well as heterogeneous tension development.^{98, 99} Initially, the electrophysiological and mechanical models were developed independently and only weakly coupled. However, in the heart, activation and contraction are strongly coupled (via ECC and mechanoelectrical feedback).¹⁰⁰ Kohl et al. provided one of the first computational analyses of mechanical effects on cardiac electrophysiology in a

multicellular network model of the sinoatrial node.¹⁰¹ Later, 3-dimensional models of cardiac electromechanics have been employed to study precordial thump efficacy,¹⁰² acute regional ischemia,¹⁰³ reentrant wave stability,¹⁰⁴ biventricular pacing in the failing heart¹⁰⁵ and stretch-induced initiation of atrial fibrillation,¹⁰⁶ among other things.

Finally, recent efforts have started to integrate signaling pathways in computational models of cardiac electrophysiology. These include models for the cAMP/PKA pathway, PKC pathway and CaMKII pathway, as well as models of ATP regulation. Although several studies had already simulated the electrophysiological effects of adrenergic stimulation, Saucerman and McCulloch were the first to integrate the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway in a model of the ventricular myocyte.¹⁰⁷ Recently, Iancu et al.¹⁰⁸ described a model of cAMP signaling that also takes into account localized signaling domains. However, their model did not include downstream effects of cAMP or any electrophysiological targets. In 2004, Hund and Rudy⁶⁵ were the first to integrate the CaMKII signaling pathway in a model of the canine ventricular electrophysiology. Since then, several studies have further investigated the signaling aspects^{109, 110}, as well as the effects on cardiac electrophysiology^{111, 112} of CaMKII. The highly localized effects and the large number of interacting pathways and electrophysiological substrates of these signaling pathways make it difficult to characterize individual components experimentally. The rest of this thesis focuses on the computational analysis of (sub)cellular aspects of the adrenergic signaling pathway and electrophysiological substrates.

1.4 AIMS AND LAYOUT OF THE THESIS

Several experimental studies by our group have investigated the role of adrenergic stimulation in the genesis of torsades de pointes-type arrhythmias in the dog at both the *in-vivo* and *in-vitro* level. The aim of this thesis was to develop a detailed computational model of localized adrenergic signaling in the canine ventricular myocyte and apply this model, in conjunction with further experimental studies, to investigate the effects of adrenergic stimulation on the cellular electrophysiology and Ca²⁺ handling in physiological and pathophysiological conditions. This was performed via increasing levels of complexity starting from a model of the canine epicardial electrophysiology (**Chapter 2**), to adrenergic stimulation under physiological conditions (**Chapter 3**), to the role of adrenergic stimulation in arrhythmogenesis for a specific long-QT type 1 mutation (**Chapter 4**). Subsequently, we identified the role of IKs in beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration during β AR stimulation (**Chapter 5**) and the role of abnormal Ca²⁺ handling in this process (**Chapter 6**). Finally, we analyzed the ionic contributors to beat-to-beat variability of repolarization during basal conditions and adrenergic stimulation (**Chapter 7**) and discuss the results of this thesis in a broader context (**Chapter 8**).

1.5 REFERENCES

1. Lloyd-Jones D, Adams RJ, Brown TM, Carnethon M, Dai S, De Simone G, Ferguson TB, Ford E, Furie K, Gillespie C, Go A, Greenlund K, Haase N, Hailpern S, Ho PM, Howard V, Kissela B, Kittner S, Lackland D, Lisabeth L, Marelli A, McDermott MM, Meigs J, Mozaffarian D, Mussolino M, Nichol G, Roger VL, Rosamond W, Sacco R, Sorlie P, Thom T, Wasserthiel-Smoller S, Wong ND, Wylie-Rosett J. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2010 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2010;121:e46-e215.
2. Vaartjes I, Peters RJG, van Dis SJ, Bots ML. Hart- en vaatziekten in Nederland naar geslacht. In: Vaartjes I, van Dis SJ, Peters RJG, Visseren FLJ, Bots ML, eds. *Hart- en vaatziekten in Nederland 2008, cijfers over ziekte en sterfte*. Den Haag: Nederlandse Hartstichting; 2008:9-22.
3. Kong MH, Fonarow GC, Peterson ED, Curtis AB, Hernandez AF, Sanders GD, Thomas KL, Hayes DL, Al-Khatib SM. Systematic review of the incidence of sudden cardiac death in the United States. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:794-801.
4. de Vreede-Swagemakers JJ, Gorgels AP, Dubois-Arbouw WI, van Ree JW, Daemen MJ, Houben LG, Wellens HJ. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the 1990's: a population-based study in the Maastricht area on incidence, characteristics and survival. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;30:1500-1505.
5. Zipes DP, Rubart M. Neural modulation of cardiac arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. *Heart Rhythm*. 2006;3:108-113.
6. Zipes DP, Wellens HJ. Sudden cardiac death. *Circulation*. 1998;98:2334-2351.
7. Coumel P. Autonomic influences in atrial tachyarrhythmias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 1996;7:999-1007.
8. Lu Z, Scherlag BJ, Lin J, Yu L, Guo JH, Niu G, Jackman WM, Lazzara R, Jiang H, Po SS. Autonomic mechanism for initiation of rapid firing from atria and pulmonary veins: evidence by ablation of ganglionated plexi. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2009;84:245-252.
9. Sharifov OF, Fedorov VV, Beloshapko GG, Glukhov AV, Yushmanova AV, Rosenshtraukh LV. Roles of adrenergic and cholinergic stimulation in spontaneous atrial fibrillation in dogs. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:483-490.
10. Ogawa M, Zhou S, Tan AY, Song J, Gholmieh G, Fishbein MC, Luo H, Siegel RJ, Karagueuzian HS, Chen LS, Lin SF, Chen PS. Left stellate ganglion and vagal nerve activity and cardiac arrhythmias in ambulatory dogs with pacing-induced congestive heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;50:335-343.
11. Liggett SB, Cresci S, Kelly RJ, Syed FM, Matkovich SJ, Hahn HS, Diwan A, Martini JS, Sparks L, Parekh RR, Spertus JA, Koch WJ, Kardia SL, Dorn GW, 2nd. A GRK5 polymorphism that inhibits beta-adrenergic receptor signaling is protective in heart failure. *Nat Med*. 2008;14:510-517.
12. Triposkiadis F, Karayannis G, Giamouzis G, Skoularigis J, Louridas G, Butler J. The sympathetic nervous system in heart failure physiology, pathophysiology, and clinical implications. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54:1747-1762.
13. Schwartz PJ, Vanoli E, Stramba-Badiale M, De Ferrari GM, Billman GE, Foreman RD. Autonomic mechanisms and sudden death. New insights from analysis of baroreceptor reflexes in conscious dogs with and without a myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1988;78:969-979.
14. Billman GE. Cardiac autonomic neural remodeling and susceptibility to sudden cardiac death: effect of endurance exercise training. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2009;297:H1171-1193.
15. Schwartz PJ, Priori SG, Spazzolini C, Moss AJ, Vincent GM, Napolitano C, Denjoy I, Guicheney P, Breithardt G, Keating MT, Towbin JA, Beggs AH, Brink P, Wilde AA, Toivonen L, Zareba W, Robinson JL, Timothy KW, Corfield V, Wattanasirichaigoon D, Corbett C, Haverkamp W, Schulze-Bahr E, Lehmann MH, Schwartz K, Coumel P, Bloise R. Genotype-phenotype

- correlation in the long-QT syndrome: gene-specific triggers for life-threatening arrhythmias. *Circulation*. 2001;103:89-95.
16. Schwartz PJ, Vanoli E, Crotti L, Spazzolini C, Ferrandi C, Goosen A, Hedley P, Heradien M, Bacchini S, Turco A, La Rovere MT, Bartoli A, George AL, Jr., Brink PA. Neural control of heart rate is an arrhythmia risk modifier in long QT syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;51:920-929.
 17. Takenaka K, Ai T, Shimizu W, Kobori A, Ninomiya T, Otani H, Kubota T, Takaki H, Kamakura S, Horie M. Exercise stress test amplifies genotype-phenotype correlation in the LQT1 and LQT2 forms of the long-QT syndrome. *Circulation*. 2003;107:838-844.
 18. Leenhardt A, Lucet V, Denjoy I, Grau F, Ngoc DD, Coumel P. Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia in children. A 7-year follow-up of 21 patients. *Circulation*. 1995;91:1512-1519.
 19. Rosso R, Kalman JM, Rogowski O, Diamant S, Birger A, Biner S, Belhassen B, Viskin S. Calcium channel blockers and beta-blockers versus beta-blockers alone for preventing exercise-induced arrhythmias in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*. 2007;4:1149-1154.
 20. Watanabe H, Chopra N, Laver D, Hwang HS, Davies SS, Roach DE, Duff HJ, Roden DM, Wilde AA, Knollmann BC. Flecainide prevents catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia in mice and humans. *Nat Med*. 2009;15:380-383.
 21. Madamanchi A. β -Adrenergic receptor signaling in cardiac function and heart failure. *Mcgill J Med*. 2007;10:99-104.
 22. El-Armouche A, Eschenhagen T. β -Adrenergic stimulation and myocardial function in the failing heart. *Heart Fail Rev*. 2009;14:225-241.
 23. Chen LS, Zhou S, Fishbein MC, Chen PS. New perspectives on the role of autonomic nervous system in the genesis of arrhythmias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2007;18:123-127.
 24. Roberts J, Kelliher GJ, Lathers CM. Role of adrenergic influences in digitalis-induced ventricular arrhythmia. *Life Sci*. 1976;18:665-677.
 25. Bers DM. Cardiac excitation-contraction coupling. *Nature*. 2002;415:198-205.
 26. Charpentier F, Merot J, Loussouarn G, Baro I. Delayed rectifier K^+ currents and cardiac repolarization. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2010;48:37-44.
 27. Nerbonne JM, Kass RS. Molecular physiology of cardiac repolarization. *Physiol Rev*. 2005;85:1205-1253.
 28. Roden DM, Balser JR, George AL, Jr., Anderson ME. Cardiac ion channels. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2002;64:431-475.
 29. Diego JMD, Sun ZQ, Antzelevitch C. I_{to} and action potential notch are smaller in left vs. right canine ventricular epicardium. *Am J Physiol*. 1996;271:H548-H561.
 30. Liu DW, Gintant GA, Antzelevitch C. Ionic bases for electrophysiological distinctions among epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes from the free wall of the canine left ventricle. *Circ Res*. 1993;72:671-687.
 31. Guo D, Zhou J, Zhao X, Gupta P, Kowey PR, Martin J, Wu Y, Liu T, Yan GX. L-type calcium current recovery versus ventricular repolarization: preserved membrane-stabilizing mechanism for different QT intervals across species. *Heart Rhythm*. 2008;5:271-279.
 32. Wang ZG, Pelletier LC, Talajic M, Nattel S. Effects of flecainide and quinidine on human atrial action potentials. Role of rate-dependence and comparison with guinea pig, rabbit, and dog tissues. *Circulation*. 1990;82:274-283.
 33. Kang M, Chung KY, Walker JW. G-protein coupled receptor signaling in myocardium: not for the faint of heart. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. 2007;22:174-184.
 34. Levy MN. Sympathetic-parasympathetic interactions in the heart. *Circ Res*. 1971;29:437-445.
 35. Volders PGA. Novel insights into the role of the sympathetic nervous system in cardiac arrhythmogenesis. *Heart Rhythm*. 2010;7:1900-1906.
 36. Lakatta EG, DiFrancesco D. What keeps us ticking: a funny current, a calcium clock, or both? *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2009;47:157-170.

37. Zima A, Martynyuk AE, Seubert CN, Morey TE, Sumners C, Cucchiara RF, Dennis DM. Antagonism of the positive dromotropic effect of isoproterenol by adenosine: role of nitric oxide, cGMP-dependent cAMP-phosphodiesterase and protein kinase G. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2000;32:1609-1619.
38. Grimm M, Brown JH. β -Adrenergic receptor signaling in the heart: Role of CaMKII. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2010;48:322-330.
39. Xiao RP, Zhu W, Zheng M, Cao C, Zhang Y, Lakatta EG, Han Q. Subtype-specific α 1- and β -adrenoceptor signaling in the heart. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2006;27:330-337.
40. Wang W, Zhu W, Wang S, Yang D, Crow MT, Xiao R-P, Cheng H. Sustained β 1-adrenergic stimulation modulates cardiac contractility by Ca^{2+} /calmodulin kinase signaling pathway. *Circ Res.* 2004;95:798-806.
41. Zhang R, Khoo MS, Wu Y, Yang Y, Grueter CE, Ni G, Price EE, Jr., Thiel W, Guatimosim S, Song LS, Madu EC, Shah AN, Vishnivetskaya TA, Atkinson JB, Gurevich VV, Salama G, Lederer WJ, Colbran RJ, Anderson ME. Calmodulin kinase II inhibition protects against structural heart disease. *Nat Med.* 2005;11:409-417.
42. Houslay MD, Adams DR. PDE4 cAMP phosphodiesterases: modular enzymes that orchestrate signalling cross-talk, desensitization and compartmentalization. *Biochem J.* 2003;370:1-18.
43. Nikolaev VO, Bunemann M, Schmitteckert E, Lohse MJ, Engelhardt S. Cyclic AMP imaging in adult cardiac myocytes reveals far-reaching β 1-adrenergic but locally confined β 2-adrenergic receptor-mediated signaling. *Circ Res.* 2006;99:1084-1091.
44. Steinberg SF, Brunton LL. Compartmentation of G protein-coupled signaling pathways in cardiac myocytes. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2001;41:751-773.
45. Balijepalli RC, Kamp TJ. Caveolae, ion channels and cardiac arrhythmias. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol.* 2008;98:149-160.
46. Mauban JRH, O'Donnell M, Warriar S, Manni S, Bond M. AKAP-Scaffolding Proteins and Regulation of Cardiac Physiology. *Physiology (Bethesda).* 2009;24:78-87.
47. Fischmeister R, Castro LRV, Abi-Gerges A, Rochais F, Jurevicius J, Leroy J, Vandecasteele G. Compartmentation of cyclic nucleotide signaling in the heart: the role of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases. *Circ Res.* 2006;99:816-828.
48. Mongillo M, McSorley T, Evellin S, Sood A, Lissandron V, Terrin A, Huston E, Hannawacker A, Lohse MJ, Pozzan T, Houslay MD, Zaccolo M. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer-based analysis of cAMP dynamics in live neonatal rat cardiac myocytes reveals distinct functions of compartmentalized phosphodiesterases. *Circ Res.* 2004;95:67-75.
49. Zaccolo M, Di Benedetto G, Lissandron V, Mancuso L, Terrin A, Zamparo I. Restricted diffusion of a freely diffusible second messenger: mechanisms underlying compartmentalized cAMP signalling. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2006;34:495-497.
50. Fishman GI, Chugh SS, Dimarco JP, Albert CM, Anderson ME, Bonow RO, Buxton AE, Chen PS, Estes M, Jouven X, Kwong R, Lathrop DA, Mascette AM, Nerbonne JM, O'Rourke B, Page RL, Roden DM, Rosenbaum DS, Sotoodehnia N, Trayanova NA, Zheng ZJ. Sudden cardiac death prediction and prevention: report from a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and Heart Rhythm Society Workshop. *Circulation.* 2010;122:2335-2348.
51. Hodgkin AL, Huxley AF. A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *J Physiol.* 1952;117:500-544.
52. Noble D. A modification of the Hodgkin-Huxley equations applicable to Purkinje fibre action and pace-maker potentials. *J Physiol.* 1962;160:317-352.
53. McAllister RE, Noble D, Tsien RW. Reconstruction of the electrical activity of cardiac Purkinje fibres. *J Physiol.* 1975;251:1-59.
54. Carmeliet E, Lacquet L. Duration of ventricular action potential of the frog in relation to frequency; effects of ionic variations of potassium & sodium. *Arch Int Physiol Biochim.* 1958;66:1-21.

55. Coraboeuf E, Vassort G. Effects of some inhibitors of ionic permeabilities on ventricular action potential and contraction of rat and guinea-pig hearts. *J Electrocardiol.* 1968;1:19-29.
56. Trautwein A. Membrane currents in cardiac muscle fibers. *Physiol Rev.* 1973;53:793-835.
57. Draper MH, Weidmann S. Cardiac resting and action potentials recorded with an intracellular electrode. *J Physiol.* 1951;115:74-94.
58. Beeler GW, Reuter H. Reconstruction of the action potential of ventricular myocardial fibres. *J Physiol.* 1977;268:177-210.
59. DiFrancesco D, Noble D. A model of cardiac electrical activity incorporating ionic pumps and concentration changes. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 1985;307:353-398.
60. Hilgemann DW, Noble D. Excitation-contraction coupling and extracellular calcium transients in rabbit atrium: reconstruction of basic cellular mechanisms. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 1987;230:163-205.
61. Luo CH, Rudy Y. A model of the ventricular cardiac action potential. Depolarization, repolarization, and their interaction. *Circ Res.* 1991;68:1501-1526.
62. Luo CH, Rudy Y. A dynamic model of the cardiac ventricular action potential. I. Simulations of ionic currents and concentration changes. *Circ Res.* 1994;74:1071-1096.
63. Winslow RL, Rice J, Jafri S, Marban E, O'Rourke B. Mechanisms of altered excitation-contraction coupling in canine tachycardia-induced heart failure, II: model studies. *Circ Res.* 1999;84:571-586.
64. Pandit SV, Clark RB, Giles WR, Demir SS. A mathematical model of action potential heterogeneity in adult rat left ventricular myocytes. *Biophys J.* 2001;81:3029-3051.
65. Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Rate dependence and regulation of action potential and calcium transient in a canine cardiac ventricular cell model. *Circulation.* 2004;110:3168-3174.
66. ten Tusscher KH, Noble D, Noble PJ, Panfilov AV. A model for human ventricular tissue. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2004;286:H1573-1589.
67. Bondarenko VE, Szigeti GP, Bett GC, Kim SJ, Rasmusson RL. Computer model of action potential of mouse ventricular myocytes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2004;287:H1378-1403.
68. Mahajan A, Shiferaw Y, Sato D, Baher A, Olcese R, Xie LH, Yang MJ, Chen PS, Restrepo JG, Karma A, Garfinkel A, Qu Z, Weiss JN. A rabbit ventricular action potential model replicating cardiac dynamics at rapid heart rates. *Biophys J.* 2008;94:392-410.
69. Li P, Rudy Y. A model of canine purkinje cell electrophysiology and Ca^{2+} cycling: rate dependence, triggered activity, and comparison to ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res.* 2011;109:71-79.
70. O'Hara T, Virag L, Varro A, Rudy Y. Simulation of the undiseased human cardiac ventricular action potential: model formulation and experimental validation. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2011;7:e1002061.
71. Grandi E, Pandit SV, Voigt N, Workman AJ, Dobrev D, Jalife J, Bers DM. Human atrial action potential and Ca^{2+} model: sinus rhythm and chronic atrial fibrillation. *Circ Res.* 2011;109:1055-1066.
72. Winslow RL, Cortassa S, O'Rourke B, Hashambhoy YL, Rice JJ, Greenstein JL. Integrative modeling of the cardiac ventricular myocyte. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med.* 2010.
73. Bezanilla F, Armstrong CM. Inactivation of the sodium channel. I. Sodium current experiments. *J Gen Physiol.* 1977;70:549-566.
74. Herzig S, Patil P, Neumann J, Staschen CM, Yue DT. Mechanisms of β -adrenergic stimulation of cardiac Ca^{2+} channels revealed by discrete-time Markov analysis of slow gating. *Biophys J.* 1993;65:1599-1612.
75. Balser JR, Roden DM, Bennett PB. Global parameter optimization for cardiac potassium channel gating models. *Biophys J.* 1990;57:433-444.
76. Clancy CE, Rudy Y. Linking a genetic defect to its cellular phenotype in a cardiac arrhythmia. *Nature.* 1999;400:566-569.

77. Silva JR, Pan H, Wu D, Nekouzadeh A, Decker KF, Cui J, Baker NA, Sept D, Rudy Y. A multiscale model linking ion-channel molecular dynamics and electrostatics to the cardiac action potential. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:11102-11106.
78. Ter Keurs HE, Boyden PA. Calcium and arrhythmogenesis. *Physiol Rev*. 2007;87:457-506.
79. Jafri MS, Rice JJ, Winslow RL. Cardiac Ca^{2+} dynamics: the roles of ryanodine receptor adaptation and sarcoplasmic reticulum load. *Biophys J*. 1998;74:1149-1168.
80. Winslow RL, Tanskanen A, Chen M, Greenstein JL. Multiscale modeling of calcium signaling in the cardiac dyad. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2006;1080:362-375.
81. Stern MD. Theory of excitation-contraction coupling in cardiac muscle. *Biophys J*. 1992;63:497-517.
82. Williams GS, Smith GD, Sobie EA, Jafri MS. Models of cardiac excitation-contraction coupling in ventricular myocytes. *Math Biosci*. 2010;226:1-15.
83. Greenstein JL, Winslow RL. An integrative model of the cardiac ventricular myocyte incorporating local control of Ca^{2+} release. *Biophys J*. 2002;83:2918-2945.
84. Tanskanen AJ, Greenstein JL, O'Rourke B, Winslow RL. The role of stochastic and modal gating of cardiac L-type Ca^{2+} channels on early after-depolarizations. *Biophys J*. 2005;88:85-95.
85. Sato D, Xie LH, Nguyen TP, Weiss JN, Qu Z. Irregularly appearing early afterdepolarizations in cardiac myocytes: random fluctuations or dynamical chaos? *Biophys J*. 2010;99:765-773.
86. Thomsen MB, Volders PG, Beekman JD, Matz J, Vos MA. Beat-to-Beat variability of repolarization determines proarrhythmic outcome in dogs susceptible to drug-induced torsades de pointes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:1268-1276.
87. Shaw RM, Rudy Y. The vulnerable window for unidirectional block in cardiac tissue: characterization and dependence on membrane excitability and intercellular coupling. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 1995;6:115-131.
88. Winslow RL, Varghese A, Noble D, Adlakha C, Hoythya A. Generation and propagation of ectopic beats induced by spatially localized Na-K pump inhibition in atrial network models. *Proc Biol Sci*. 1993;254:55-61.
89. Fitzhugh R. Impulses and Physiological States in Theoretical Models of Nerve Membrane. *Biophys J*. 1961;1:445-466.
90. Nielsen PM, Le Grice IJ, Smaill BH, Hunter PJ. Mathematical model of geometry and fibrous structure of the heart. *Am J Physiol*. 1991;260:H1365-1378.
91. Aliev RR, Panfilov AV. Modeling of heart excitation patterns caused by a local inhomogeneity. *J Theor Biol*. 1996;181:33-40.
92. Fenton F, Karma A. Vortex dynamics in three-dimensional continuous myocardium with fiber rotation: Filament instability and fibrillation. *Chaos*. 1998;8:20-47.
93. Ten Tusscher KH, Hren R, Panfilov AV. Organization of ventricular fibrillation in the human heart. *Circ Res*. 2007;100:e87-101.
94. Keldermann RH, ten Tusscher KH, Nash MP, Hren R, Taggart P, Panfilov AV. Effect of heterogeneous APD restitution on VF organization in a model of the human ventricles. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2008;294:H764-774.
95. Benson AP, Aslanidi OV, Zhang H, Holden AV. The canine virtual ventricular wall: a platform for dissecting pharmacological effects on propagation and arrhythmogenesis. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. 2008;96:187-208.
96. Seemann G, Hoper C, Sachse FB, Dossel O, Holden AV, Zhang H. Heterogeneous three-dimensional anatomical and electrophysiological model of human atria. *Philos Transact A Math Phys Eng Sci*. 2006;364:1465-1481.
97. Sato D, Xie LH, Sovari AA, Tran DX, Morita N, Xie F, Karagueuzian H, Garfinkel A, Weiss JN, Qu Z. Synchronization of chaotic early afterdepolarizations in the genesis of cardiac arrhythmias. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:2983-2988.

98. Rice JJ, Wang F, Bers DM, de Tombe PP. Approximate model of cooperative activation and crossbridge cycling in cardiac muscle using ordinary differential equations. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:2368-2390.
99. Campbell SG, Flaim SN, Leem CH, McCulloch AD. Mechanisms of transmurally varying myocyte electromechanics in an integrated computational model. *Philos Transact A Math Phys Eng Sci*. 2008;366:3361-3380.
100. Kohl P, Sachs F. Mechanoelectric feedback in cardiac cells. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond A*. 2001;359:1173-1185.
101. Kohl P, Varghese A, Dekanski J, Noble D, Winslow RL. Computational study of the impact of cardiac mechanosensitive fibroblasts on heart rhythm. *Exp Clin Cardiol*. 1996;1:80-86.
102. Li W, Kohl P, Trayanova N. Myocardial ischemia lowers precordial thump efficacy: an inquiry into mechanisms using three-dimensional simulations. *Heart Rhythm*. 2006;3:179-186.
103. Jie X, Gurev V, Trayanova N. Mechanisms of mechanically induced spontaneous arrhythmias in acute regional ischemia. *Circ Res*. 2010;106:185-192.
104. Keldermann RH, Nash MP, Gelderblom H, Wang VY, Panfilov AV. Electromechanical wavebreak in a model of the human left ventricle. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2010;299:H134-143.
105. Kerckhoffs RC, McCulloch AD, Omens JH, Mulligan LJ. Effects of biventricular pacing and scar size in a computational model of the failing heart with left bundle branch block. *Med Image Anal*. 2009;13:362-369.
106. Kuijpers NH, Potse M, van Dam PM, ten Eikelder HM, Verheule S, Prinzen FW, Schotten U. Mechanoelectrical coupling enhances initiation and affects perpetuation of atrial fibrillation during acute atrial dilation. *Heart Rhythm*. 2011;8:429-436.
107. Saucerman JJ, Brunton LL, Michailova AP, McCulloch AD. Modeling β -adrenergic control of cardiac myocyte contractility in silico. *J Biol Chem*. 2003;278:47997-48003.
108. Iancu RV, Jones SW, Harvey RD. Compartmentation of cAMP signaling in cardiac myocytes: a computational study. *Biophys J*. 2007;92:3317-3331.
109. Chiba H, Schneider NS, Matsuoka S, Noma A. A simulation study on the activation of cardiac CaMKII delta-isoform and its regulation by phosphatases. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:2139-2149.
110. Saucerman JJ, Bers DM. Calmodulin mediates differential sensitivity of CaMKII and calcineurin to local Ca^{2+} in cardiac myocytes. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:4597-4612.
111. Hashambhoy YL, Greenstein JL, Winslow RL. Role of CaMKII in RyR leak, EC coupling and action potential duration: a computational model. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2010;49:617-624.
112. Soltis AR, Saucerman JJ. Synergy between CaMKII Substrates and beta-Adrenergic Signaling in Regulation of Cardiac Myocyte Ca^{2+} Handling. *Biophys J*. 2010;99:2038-2047.

CHAPTER 2

PROPERTIES AND IONIC MECHANISMS OF ACTION POTENTIAL ADAPTATION, RESTITUTION, AND ACCOMMODATION IN CANINE EPICARDIUM

KEITH F. DECKER, JORDI HEIJMAN, JONATHAN R. SILVA, THOMAS J. HUND
AND YORAM RUDY.

AM. J. PHYSIOL. HEART CIRC. PHYSIOL. 2009, 296:H1017-H1026

ABSTRACT

Computational models of cardiac myocytes are important tools for understanding ionic mechanisms of arrhythmia. This work presents a new model of the canine epicardial myocyte that reproduces a wide range of experimentally observed rate-dependent behaviors in cardiac cell and tissue, including action potential (AP) duration (APD) adaptation, restitution, and accommodation. Model behavior depends on updated formulations for the 4-aminopyridine-sensitive transient outward current (I_{to1}), the slow component of the delayed rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks}), the L-type Ca^{2+} channel current ($I_{Ca,L}$), and the Na^+ - K^+ pump current (I_{NaK}) fit to data from canine ventricular myocytes. We found that I_{to1} plays a limited role in potentiating peak $I_{Ca,L}$ and sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} release for propagated APs but modulates the time course of APD restitution. I_{Ks} plays an important role in APD shortening at short diastolic intervals, despite a limited role in AP repolarization at longer cycle lengths. In addition, we found that $I_{Ca,L}$ plays a critical role in APD accommodation and rate dependence of APD restitution. Ca^{2+} entry via $I_{Ca,L}$ at fast rate drives increased Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchanger Ca^{2+} extrusion and Na^+ entry, which in turn increases Na^+ extrusion via outward I_{NaK} . APD accommodation results from this increased outward I_{NaK} . Our simulation results provide valuable insight into the mechanistic basis of rate-dependent phenomena important for determining the heart's response to rapid and irregular pacing rates (e.g., arrhythmia). Accurate simulation of rate-dependent phenomena and increased understanding of their mechanistic basis will lead to more realistic multicellular simulations of arrhythmia and identification of molecular therapeutic targets.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac arrhythmias and sudden death involve complex myocardial activation patterns, including unidirectional block, reentry, and fibrillation. To understand the relations and transitions between these patterns, the ionic determinants of the response of healthy and diseased cardiac myocytes to complex patterns of excitation must be understood. The single-cell response to such excitation patterns depends on the complex interaction between ionic currents, intracellular ion concentrations, and membrane voltage. Computational cell models provide critical tools for exploring these interactions, allowing the development and testing of hypotheses about underlying ionic mechanisms based on careful integration of available experimental data.¹ The dog is a common animal model for studying cell electrophysiology in a range of disease states. Our group and others have developed detailed mathematical models of the canine action potential (AP).²⁻⁴ Although these models have been used to study arrhythmia mechanisms after heart failure⁴ and myocardial infarction,^{5, 6} as well as ionic mechanisms of alternans,^{2, 7} they are limited in their ability to simulate important rate-dependent phenomena,⁸ including the dependence of steady-state AP duration (APD) on pacing cycle length (CL; i.e., APD adaptation), the dependence of APD on diastolic interval (DI; i.e., APD restitution), and the time course of the adjustment of APD to changes in rate (short-term memory⁹ or APD accommodation¹⁰). These limitations extend to ionic models of other species, including the human.⁸ We hypothesized that canine epicardial APD adaptation, restitution, and accommodation could be simulated and understood on the basis of available descriptions of subcellular ionic processes. We incorporated updated and validated formulations of the 4-aminopyridinesensitive transient outward current (I_{to1}), the slow component of the delayed rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks}), the L-type Ca^{2+} channel current ($I_{Ca,L}$), and the Na^+-K^+ pump current (I_{NaK}) into a previously published model of the canine epicardial myocyte.^{3, 6, 7} Model behavior was examined in single cell and multicellular (strand) simulations. Our work provides new insight into ionic mechanisms underlying important rate-dependent AP properties, including APD restitution, adaptation, and accommodation. Specifically, our studies highlight the importance of I_{to1} and I_{Ks} in APD restitution and the role of $I_{Ca,L}$ and I_{NaK} in APD accommodation and rate-dependent APD restitution.

METHODS

Model

Figure 1. Hund-Rudy dynamic model of the canine epicardial myocyte. SR, sarcoplasmic reticulum; CT_{KCl}, K^+-Cl^- cotransporter; CT_{NaCl}, Na^+-Cl^- cotransporter; I_{NaL} , slowly activating late Na^+ current; I_{Na} , Na^+ current; $I_{Na,b}$, background Na^+ current; $I_{NaCa,i}$, Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchanger (localized to myoplasm); $I_{Ca,b}$, background Ca^{2+} current; I_{pca} , sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} pump; I_{to1} , 4-aminopyridine-sensitive transient outward current; I_{Kr} , fast component of delayed rectifier K^+ current; I_{Ks} , slow component of delayed rectifier K^+ current; I_{K1} , time-dependent K^+ current; I_{NaK} , Na^+-K^+ pump current; I_{leak} , NSR leak flux; I_{diff} , ion diffusion, myoplasm-to-SR subspace; I_{tr} , Ca^{2+} transfer, NSR to JSR; I_{rel} , JSR release flux; $I_{diff,ss}$, ion diffusion, subspace-to-local I_{CaL} subspace; $I_{NaCa,ss}$, Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchanger (localized to SR subspace); $I_{Ca,L}$, L-type Ca^{2+} current; I_{to2} , Ca^{2+} -dependent transient outward Cl^- current ($I_{Cl(Ca)}$); SS(CaL), I_{CaL} subspace; SS(SR), SR subspace; PLB, phospholamban; SERCA, sarco(endo)plasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} -ATPase; CSQN, calsequestrin; CaMKII, Ca^{2+} /calmodulin-dependent kinase; NSR, network SR; JSR, junctional SR. (For additional model details, see Refs. ³ and ⁷, the appendix of this thesis, and <http://rudylab.wustl.edu/>.)

The Hund-Rudy dynamic model (**Figure 1**) of the canine epicardial myocyte^{3, 6, 7} serves as the basis for these simulations. Updates to intracellular Ca^{2+} ($[Ca^{2+}]_i$) handling in a recent study of APD and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ transient (CaT) alternans are included.⁷ Ion channel formulations, including $I_{Ca,L}$, I_{to1} , I_{Ks} , and I_{NaK} , have been updated on the basis of additional data from canine ventricular myocytes. Model parameters were fit to experimental data from the literature (**Figure 2**).

Figure 2. A and B: model $I_{Ca,L}$ current-voltage (I-V) relationship and steady-state inactivation fit to experimental data from canine epicardial myocytes.^{11, 12} C and D: model I_{to1} I-V curve and time to peak fit to data from canine epicardial myocytes.¹³ E and F: model I_{Ks} activation and accumulation fit to data from canine ventricular myocytes.^{14, 15} G and H: model I_{NaK} density and steady-state intracellular Na^+ concentration ($[Na^+]_i$) fit to data from canine ventricular myocytes.¹⁶ V_{test} , test potential; CL, cycle length.

A new Markov model of $I_{Ca,L}$ was formulated to reproduce a wide range of experimental data while maintaining computational tractability to facilitate long-term multicellular simulations. The state structure (**Figure 3C**) of the model reflects the hypothesis that Ca^{2+} binding to calmodulin removes a “brake” and speeds up $I_{Ca,L}$ voltage-dependent inactivation.^{17, 18} The model reproduces the $I_{Ca,L}$ current-voltage (I-V) relationship¹¹ (**Figure 2A**), steady-state inactivation¹² (**Figure 2B**), time constant of voltage-dependent inactivation,¹⁹ and recovery from inactivation²⁰ in canine ventricular myocytes. A model of I_{to1} was developed and fit to the I-V relationship (**Figure 2C**), time to peak (**Figure 2D**), time constant of inactivation,¹³ and slow time constant of recovery from inactivation²¹ in canine epicardial myocytes. A Markov model of I_{Ks} ²² previously developed in our laboratory was adopted and fit to data from canine ventricular myocytes. The model accurately reproduces the kinetics of I_{Ks} based on underlying voltage sensor transitions and has provided insight into the role of I_{Ks} in APD adaptation in the guinea pig and humans.²² The model fits canine ventricular data on the time course of activation¹⁵ (**Figure 2E**) and time dependence of current accumulation¹⁴ (**Figure 2F**). I_{Ks} density was scaled to fit data from canine epicardial myocytes.²³ A recently developed formulation of I_{NaK} based on data from canine ventricular myocytes¹⁶ was also incorporated into the model. Model I_{NaK} density matches epicardial myocyte data (**Figure 2G**) and results in intracellular Na^+ ($[Na^+]_i$) at rest and during pacing (CL = 0.5 s) consistent with experimental results (**Figure 2H**). See the appendix of this thesis for complete model equations and more detailed descriptions.

Notes on Experimental Data for Model Fits

In general, experimental data that were chosen for model fitting and comparison represent either the consensus of a wide range of experimental results or the most complete experimental study. Data sets chosen for reproducing I_{CaL} (I-V relationship, steady state inactivation, recovery from inactivation) and I_{to1} behavior (I-V relationship, time to peak, time constant of inactivation, recovery from inactivation) are representative of multiple available experiments in canine ventricular myocytes (**Figures 3-4**). Model formulations for I_{NaK} and I_{Ks} are based on recent experimental studies that provide the most detailed information available on these currents in canine epicardial myocytes. Details are provided in the appendix of this thesis.

Figure 3. A. Model I_{CaL} current-voltage (I-V) relationship and B. steady-state inactivation compared to multiple data sets from canine ventricular cells.^{11, 12, 19, 24-27} For color figure, see page 242.

Figure 4. A. Model I_{to1} I-V curve. B. Time to peak compared to multiple data sets from canine left ventricular epicardial cells.^{13, 21, 28-30} For color figure, see page 242.

Single-cell simulations

Steady-state results are shown after 1,800 s of pacing at a given CL with a conservative K^+ stimulus.³¹ This simulation time resulted in a beat-to-beat change in APD and maximum intracellular Na^+ ($[Na^+]_{i,max}$) and Ca^{2+} ($[Ca^{2+}]_{i,max}$) $< 0.1\%$. Restitution results were obtained for an additional beat after pacing to steady state as described. Accommodation simulations involved pacing to steady state at a given CL (CL_{S1}) followed by pacing to steady state at a different CL (CL_{S2}).

Strand simulations

A detailed description of computational methods for strand simulations is included in previous work from our laboratory.³² Briefly, propagation in a strand of serially connected HRd model cells was simulated via a finite difference approximation of the cable equation (see appendix of this thesis). No flux boundary conditions ($\delta V/\delta x = 0$) were set at the beginning and end of the strand. A 1-ms stimulus ($-200 \mu A/\mu F$) was applied to cell 1. One-dimensional simulations of propagation were performed in a strand of 96 cells following previous work from our laboratory.³² Cell 1 was directly stimulated, and simulation results are shown for a central cell (cell 48) where end effects were negligible. Simulation protocols were similar to those used for single cells (1,800 s of pacing), resulting in beat-to-beat changes in APD and $[Na^+]_{i,max}$ and $[Ca^{2+}]_{i,max} < 0.1\%$. Gap junction conductance of $2.5 \mu S$ gave a maximum upstroke velocity (dV/dt_{max})³³ and conduction velocity³⁴ consistent with experimental results in canine epicardial tissue.

RESULTS

APD rate dependence in a single cell and a multicellular strand

Figure 5 shows the rate dependence of APD in a cell and a strand during different pacing protocols. The rate dependence of simulated steady-state APs is shown in **Figure 5A, left**. Cell and strand simulations exhibit the spike-and-dome morphology characteristic of canine epicardium²¹ (**Figure 5A, right**). Steady-state APs in the strand show a reduced upstroke amplitude and velocity compared with the single cell due to electronic load during propagation. Maximum upstroke voltage (V_{max}) and dV/dt_{max} match experiments in canine ventricular epicardium at $CL = 0.8$ s:³³ experimental $V_{max} = 13.1 \pm 4.7$ mV, $dV/dt_{max} = 151.8 \pm 39.8$ V/s; model $V_{max} = 9.24$ mV, $dV/dt_{max} = 162.6$ V/s. The model reproduces the decreased rate dependence of the depth of the phase 1 notch generally observed in tissue^{21, 33, 35} (**Figure 5A**). The cause of different AP spike-and-dome morphology in different tissue experiments is unknown, but possibilities include heterogeneity in the density and recovery kinetics of I_{to1} due to age,³⁸ sex,³⁹ and precise apicobasal or transmural location.^{21, 40} We next characterized APD restitution in our single-cell and strand models. After pacing to steady state at a given CL (CL_{S1}), additional stimuli (S2) scanning the DI were applied to generate restitution curves plotting APD vs. S2 coupling interval (CL_{S2}) (**Figure 5B, left**). As the basic pacing CL (CL_{S1}) decreases, restitution curves in the single-cell and strand models shift toward shorter APD, consistent with experimental measurements^{8, 36, 41, 42} (**Figure 5B, right**). The model also reproduces tissue AP restitution kinetics as a function of DI (see below and **Figures 8-9**). **Figure 5C** (left) shows the time course of APD in a model cell and a strand after pacing to steady state at $CL_{S1} = 1$ s followed by sustained pacing at $CL_{S2} = 0.5$ s starting at time 0. APD at the new CL approaches steady state after several minutes of pacing,

a process referred to as accommodation,¹⁰ consistent with experiments in canine ventricle³⁷ (Figure 5C, right).

Figure 5. A, left: model steady-state action potentials (APs) at CL = 0.3, 0.5, 1, and 2 s in single-cell and strand simulations. V_m , membrane potential. Inset: peak upstroke voltage and rate dependence of notch depth. A, right: experimental steady-state APs in a single cell and 3 tissue preparations. Experimental data for a single cell are from Ref. 21 (cell CL = 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 2, and 8 s); experimental data for tissue are from Ref. 21 (tissue CL = 0.3, 1, 2, and 5 s), Ref. 33 (tissue CL = 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, and 2 s), and Ref. 35 (tissue CL = 0.3, 1, and 5 s). B, left: model CL_{s1} dependence of AP duration (APD) restitution in single cell and strand. Gray traces denote adaptation (S2 coupling interval = CL_{s1}). B, right: experimental results from study of CL_{s1} dependence of APD restitution in right ventricle of open-chest dogs.³⁶ C, left: model accommodation of APD after change from CL = 1 s to CL = 0.5 s in single cell and strand. C, right: APD accommodation in canine ventricular muscle fiber experiments.³⁷

Figure 6. A. isolated single-cell stimulus (black trace, I_{stim}) and strand axial current (gray trace, I_{axial}). Inset: sustained repolarizing axial current. B–E. CL_{s1} dependence of maximum upstroke velocity (dV/dt_{max}), APD, Ca^{2+} transient amplitude (CaT_{AMP}), and maximal intracellular Na^+ concentration ($[Na^+]_{i,max}$) in single-cell and strand simulations.

Previous simulation studies^{8, 43} showed that direct stimulation in single cells and electrotonic loading in tissue can lead to different AP dynamics. **Figure 6** compares cell and tissue steady-state APs and ion accumulation in the new model. A -80 pA/pF, 0.5-ms stimulus was used in single-cell simulations (**Figure 6A**). In the strand, excitatory axial current in well-coupled tissue (**Figure 6A**) consists of 1) an initial transient depolarizing current, 2) a transient repolarizing current as the cell supplies charge to depolarizing downstream cells, and 3) a small sustained repolarizing current (**Figure 6A, inset**). The biphasic axial current in the tissue reduces AP upstroke velocity relative to its value in the single cell (**Figure 6B**). In addition, steady-state tissue APD is reduced slightly (**Figure 6C**) as a result of the sustained repolarizing axial current (**Figure 6A, inset**). APD adaptation in cell and strand simulations is consistent with experimental results²¹. Cell and strand simulations show an increase in Ca^{2+} transient amplitude (CaT_{AMP} ; **Figure 6D**)²⁵ and maximum $[Na^+]_i$ ($[Na^+]_{i,max}$; **Figure 6E**)¹⁶ with pacing rate, consistent with experimental results. Differences in CaT_{AMP} and $[Na^+]_{i,max}$ in cell and strand simulations are minimal. Subsequent results are from strand simulations unless otherwise specified.

I_{to1} , peak $I_{Ca,L}$ and CaT_{AMP} in cell and strand

Figure 7. CaT_{AMP} (A), V_m (B), I_{to1} (C), $I_{Ca,L}$ (D), and I_{rel} (E) in control and after 100% I_{to1} block in single-cell (top) and strand (bottom) simulations. In B–E, $CL_{s1} = 1$ s. Arrows in B denote time and V_m at peak $I_{Ca,L}$.

Experimental⁴⁴ and computational²⁹ studies have suggested that I_{to1} , by controlling phase 1 repolarization, is an important modulator of $I_{Ca,L}$ activation and sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) Ca^{2+} release. We examined differences in the effect of I_{to1} on $I_{Ca,L}$ activation and SR Ca^{2+} release in cell and strand simulations. **Figure 7A** shows that although blockade of I_{to1} leads to a significant reduction in CaT_{Amp} in cell simulations, reduction of CaT_{Amp} after I_{to1} blockade in tissue simulations is minimal. The interplay between membrane potential (V_m), I_{to1} , $I_{Ca,L}$, and SR Ca^{2+} release in a cell and a strand is examined in **Figure 7, B–E**. We note several important differences between simulated APs in the strand and in the isolated cell. These unique features of the strand AP include 1) reduced peak upstroke voltage (**Figure 7B**), 2) reduced I_{to1} activation (**Figure 7C**), and 3) minimal dependence of peak $I_{Ca,L}$ on I_{to1} activation (**Figure 7D**). Reduced peak upstroke voltage in the strand leads to a reduction in peak I_{to1} due to the approximately linear dependence of I_{to1} activation on V_m . This reduction in I_{to1} activation leads to a reduction in phase 0 repolarization. Arrows in **Figure 7B** denote V_m at the peak of $I_{Ca,L}$ activation in a cell and a strand, respectively. In cell simulations, a large I_{to1} repolarizes V_m toward the peak of the $I_{Ca,L}$ I-V relationship (**Figure 7B**), promoting $I_{Ca,L}$ activation and SR Ca^{2+} release. When I_{to1} is blocked in the cell, $I_{Ca,L}$ activation occurs at a V_m that is far from the peak of the I-V curve (**Figure 7B**), decreasing peak $I_{Ca,L}$ and SR Ca^{2+} release. For a propagating action potential, however, increased load decreases peak upstroke voltage, so that it is near the peak of the $I_{Ca,L}$ I-V curve (**Figure 7B**, +10 mV). Maximal $I_{Ca,L}$ activation occurs shortly after the peak upstroke V_m , such that the depth of the phase 1 notch plays little role in determining V_m as $I_{Ca,L}$ is peaking. Consequently, blockade of I_{to1} in the strand has little effect on peak $I_{Ca,L}$ (**Figure 7D**) and SR Ca^{2+} release. Furthermore, reduced phase 1 repolarization due to reduced I_{to1} activation also suggests a diminished importance of I_{to1} in the strand relative to the cell.

I_{to1} and APD restitution

Figure 8. A. APD (normalized to maximum) as a function of diastolic interval (DI) during restitution at $CI_{S1} = 2$ s in control and after 100% I_{to} block in strand simulations. Results are compared with data from canine epicardial⁴⁵ and endocardial⁴⁶ tissue experiments. B–D. V_m , I_{to} , and I_{Kr} during APD restitution at $S2$ coupling intervals (CI_{S2}) of 0.3 and 2 s in control and after I_{to} block in the strand. Inset in B shows very similar AP after I_{to} block at short CI_{S2} (thick gray trace) and long CI_{S2} (thin gray trace).

Although our results suggest a limited role for I_{to1} in regulation of peak $I_{Ca,L}$ and SR Ca^{2+} release in multicellular strands, I_{to1} was found to play an important role in APD restitution. **Figure 8A** shows that the time course of APD restitution is consistent with experiments in epicardial tissue.⁴⁵ (See **Figure 9** for comparison of model restitution kinetics with experiments for a range of CL_{S1} .) In canine epicardium, APD gradually increases as DI increases beyond 0.3 s. After 100% I_{to1} block, the increase in APD with increasing DI is more abrupt, as observed in canine endocardium,⁴⁶ consistent with the absence of I_{to1} in this tissue. **Figure 8, B–D**, examines the role of I_{to1} in APD restitution time course. At short CL_{S2} , I_{to1} has not fully recovered from inactivation, resulting in minimal phase 1 repolarization (**Figure 8B**). As CL_{S2} increases, notch depth increases as I_{to1} recovers from inactivation. Since activation of the fast component of the delayed rectifier K^+ current (I_{Kr}) is slower at more negative voltages, increasing notch depth slows I_{Kr} activation (**Figure 8D**). The gradual increase in APD during restitution follows the slow time course of I_{to1} recovery and the suppression of I_{Kr} activation due to increasing notch depth. When I_{to1} is absent, increase in CL_{S2} beyond 0.3 s results in minimal phase 1 voltage change (**Figure 8B**, overlapping gray traces), constant I_{Kr} activation, and minimal APD increase (**Figure 8B inset**, gray traces). This small increase in APD at $CL_{S2} = 0.3$ s results in a restitution curve resembling that recorded in endocardium.⁴⁶

Figure 9. Model and experimental APD (normalized to maximum) as a function of diastolic interval (DI) during restitution at (left-to-right) (A) $CL_{S1} = 2$ s, (B) $CL_{S1} = 1$ s, (C) $CL_{S1} = 0.5$ s and (D) $CL_{S1} = 0.333$ s. Experimental data in Panel A are from canine left ventricle,⁴⁵ while data in Panels B–D are from canine right ventricle.³⁶ The time course of APD restitution in the model strand is consistent with experiments in canine epicardial tissue^{36, 45} for a range of CL_{S1} . Comparison at $CL_{S1} = 2$ s is to left ventricular (LV) epicardial tissue experiment,^{36, 45} while $CL_{S1} = 1$ s, 0.5 s and 0.333 s is to right ventricular (RV) epicardial tissue experiment^{36, 45} because left ventricular data at these rates are not available. Model restitution kinetics show a modest dependence on CL_{S1} , in part due to the effects of rate dependent SR Ca^{2+} loading and Ca^{2+} release on APD, but this phenomenon was not addressed in the present study. Differences in restitution kinetics between the model and the RV experiments are relatively small and may be due to differences in LV and RV I_{to1} expression and kinetics,³³ Ca^{2+} handling or other heterogeneities.

I_{Ks} and APD adaptation

Figure 10. A. percent increase in steady-state APD after 100% I_{Ks} block as a function of CL_{S1} . B–E. I_{Ks} current density and state occupancy of zone 2, zone 1, and open state at steady-state for $CL_{S1} = 0.25$, 0.5 , and 1 s.

The role of I_{Ks} in canine APD adaptation is examined in **Figure 10**. As shown in **Figure 10A**, 100% block of I_{Ks} leads to a modest prolongation of APD at steady state. Percent APD prolongation after I_{Ks} blockade decreases as CL decreases (“use-dependent” block), except at short CL, where percent prolongation begins to increase again. The biphasic effect of I_{Ks} block on APD is consistent with the rate dependence of I_{Ks} activation shown in **Figure 10B**. I_{Ks} activation is smallest at $CL_{S1} = 0.5$ s, corresponding to the smallest percent prolongation of APD after I_{Ks} block in **Figure 10A**. I_{Ks} activation at $CL_{S1} = 0.25$ and 1 s is increased relative to $CL_{S1} = 0.5$ s, leading to a relative increase in percent APD prolongation after I_{Ks} block at these rates. **Figure 10, C–E**, examines the rate dependence of I_{Ks} state occupancy, following previously published analysis in the guinea pig.²² The 17-state I_{Ks} model (**Figure 11**) can be divided into two open states, five zone 1 closed states that can activate rapidly, and 10 “deep” zone 2 closed states, which require slow transitions to zone 1 before activation is possible. At $CL_{S1} = 0.5$ and 1 s, all channels reside in zone 2 at the start of the AP (**Figure 10C**) and activate slowly upon depolarization (**Figure 10B**). Slow activation from zone 2 and small peak density in the dog dictate that I_{Ks} plays a limited role in AP repolarization at longer CL. At $CL_{S1} = 0.25$ s, the DI is insufficient for activated channels to return to zone 2. Channels activated during the previous beat remain in zone 1 (**Figure 10D**) and the open state (**Figure 10E**) as the next stimulus is applied, resulting in more rapid channel activation and an increased role for I_{Ks} in APD shortening at fast rate.

 I_{Ks} and APD restitution

We also examined the role of I_{Ks} in APD restitution (**Figure 12**). Simulation results show that the percent increase in APD after 100% I_{Ks} block is largest at the shortest CL_{S2} (**Figure 12B**). At $CL_{S2} = 0.26$ and 0.3 s, a significant fraction of channels activated during the previous AP remain in the open state (**Figure 12D**), while an additional fraction occupies zone 1 (**Figure 12F**). When a premature stimulus is applied, channels that are already open and those moving rapidly from zone 1 to the open state combine to yield prominent I_{Ks} activation (**Figure 12C**). Peak activation of I_{Ks} at $CL_{S2} = 0.26$ s is markedly increased relative to peak density during the S1 beat. This prominent I_{Ks} activation due to accumulation in the open state and zone 1 is required to achieve APD shortening at short DI consistent with experimental results.⁴⁵ APD at $CL_{S2} = 0.26$ s is increased by +7.5% after I_{Ks} block. As DI increases, channels move from zone 1 and the open state to zone 2, resulting in less prominent I_{Ks} activation for more mature stimuli and reduced (+2.5%) APD prolongation after I_{Ks} block.

Figure 11. I_{Ks} Markov state diagram.

Figure 12. A. premature APs at S2 coupling intervals (CL_{S2}) of 0.26, 0.3, and 0.4 s for $CL_{S1} = 2$ s. B. percent increase after 100% I_{Ks} block as a function of coupling interval. C–F. I_{Ks} activation and occupancy of open state, zone 2, and zone 1 for restitution protocol in A.

APD accommodation

Figure 13. A and B. accommodation of APD and $[Na^+]_{i,max}$ after a change from CL = 1 s to CL = 0.5 s in control, after $I_{Ca,L}$ block, after block of SR Ca^{2+} release (I_{rel}) and uptake (I_{up}), and with $[Na^+]_i$ clamped to its steady-state value at CL = 0.5 s. C. I_{NaK} for the 1st beat and a steady-state (SS) beat after change from CL = 1 s to CL = 0.5 s.

We sought to gain insight into the ionic mechanism of APD accommodation by examining underlying ionic currents and concentrations. The transition from steady state at CL = 1 s to steady state at CL = 0.5 s is examined in **Figure 13**. APD accommodation exhibits several properties consistent with experimental observations. Equilibration of APD after a change in CL occurs after several minutes of pacing, consistent with experiments in canine ventricular tissue.³⁷ Consistent with the effect of nisoldipine on rabbit ventricular myocytes,⁴⁷ 100% block of $I_{Ca,L}$ in the model diminishes APD accommodation. In addition, accommodation persists after 100% block of SR Ca^{2+} uptake (uptake current) and release (release current), consistent with effects of ryanodine and thapsigargin on rabbit myocytes.⁴⁷ **Figure 13B** shows changes in $[Na^+]_i$ during APD accommodation. In control and when SR release and uptake are blocked, accumulation of $[Na^+]_i$ occurs with a time course similar to that of APD shortening. When $I_{Ca,L}$ is blocked, APD shortening and $[Na^+]_i$ accumulation are diminished. When $[Na^+]_i$ is clamped to its steady-state value at CL = 0.5 s, APD accommodation is eliminated. **Figure 13C** demonstrates that, in control, $[Na^+]_i$ accumulation leads to a large increase in outward I_{NaK} from the first beat to the steady-state beat after a change in CL. After $I_{Ca,L}$ block, there is a smaller difference in I_{NaK} from the first beat to the steady-state beat. Collectively, these simulations suggest that $I_{Ca,L}$ is responsible for the increases in $[Na^+]_i$ and outward I_{NaK} that underlie APD accommodation.

Figure 14. Integrated Ca^{2+} (A) and Na^+ (C) fluxes and maximum $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ (B) calculated for each beat during transition from steady state at CL = 1 s to steady state at CL = 0.5 s. Integrated sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} influx was calculated as $I_{\text{Ca,L}} + I_{\text{Ca,b}}$ and efflux as $I_{\text{NaCa}} + I_{\text{pCa}}$. Integrated Na^+ influx was calculated as $I_{\text{NaCa}} + I_{\text{Na}} + I_{\text{NaL}} + I_{\text{Na,b}} + \text{CTNaCl}$ and Na^+ efflux as I_{NaK} .

To understand the link between $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$, $[\text{Na}^+]_i$, and accommodation, we examined the sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} and Na^+ flux ($\mu\text{M/s}$) via currents, pumps, and exchangers after a change from CL = 1 s to CL = 0.5 s. Fluxes were calculated at steady state at CL = 1 s and for each subsequent beat after a change to CL = 0.5 s. At steady-state CL = 1 s, Ca^{2+} influx (primarily $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$) and efflux (primarily I_{NaCa}) are balanced (**Figure 14A**). After a change to CL = 0.5 s, the shorter DI does not provide sufficient time for I_{NaCa} to extrude Ca^{2+} that entered via $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$. Excess Ca^{2+} influx leads to $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ accumulation after the first beat and with continued pacing at CL = 0.5 s (**Figure 14B**). $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ accumulation provides feedback (autoregulation⁴⁸) by decreasing $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ influx (through Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$) and increasing $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ efflux (through forward-mode I_{NaCa}). This feedback promotes decreased net Ca^{2+} entry per cycle, so that $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ approaches equilibrium within the 1st minute of pacing at the new CL.

Na^+ influx exceeds efflux after change from $\text{CL}_{\text{S1}} = 1$ s to $\text{CL}_{\text{S2}} = 0.5$ s (**Figure 15C**). As with Ca^{2+} , the shortened DI provides insufficient time for complete extrusion of Na^+ that entered during the AP, primarily via forward-mode I_{NaCa} and the fast Na^+ current (I_{Na}). Increased forward-mode I_{NaCa} as $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ accumulates further increases Na^+ influx. The imbalance of Na^+ influx and efflux drives $[\text{Na}^+]_i$ accumulation (**Figure 13B**) until Na^+ efflux via I_{NaK} increases to match influx after minutes of pacing (**Figure 14C**). The time course for equilibration of Na^+ influx and efflux in our simulations (on the order of minutes) agrees with the slow time course of Na^+ accumulation seen experimentally.^{16, 49} The increasingly outward I_{NaK} as Na^+ influx and efflux approach equilibrium is the primary cause of APD shortening during accommodation. This ionic mechanism by which $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$ drives $[\text{Na}^+]_i$ accumulation and I_{NaK} increase via I_{NaCa} is consistent with effects of nisoldipine and ryanodine/thapsigargin on rabbit ventricular myocytes.⁴⁷ Block of $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$ (nisoldipine) eliminates a critical driving force for $[\text{Na}^+]_i$ accumulation (greater forward-mode I_{NaCa} , which promotes Ca^{2+} extrusion and Na^+ entry). After $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$ blockade, Ca^{2+} and Na^+ influx barely exceeds Ca^{2+} and Na^+ efflux after a change to CL = 0.5 s. $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ (**Figure 14A,B**) and $[\text{Na}^+]_i$ (**Figure 13A,B**) accumulation after $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$ block are diminished, resulting in diminished APD accommodation. Block of SR Ca^{2+} release (ryanodine) and SR Ca^{2+} uptake (thapsigargin) eliminates SR Ca^{2+} cycling, but the mechanism of $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$ -

driven increase in $[Na^+]_i$ remains intact. Although block of SR function eliminates Ca^{2+} transients, intact $I_{Ca,L}$ leads to an increase in cell $[Ca^{2+}]_i$. Forward-mode I_{NaCa} must increase to extrude Ca^{2+} , and $[Na^+]_i$ accumulation and APD accommodation persist.

Dependence of APD restitution on pacing rate

Figure 15. A and B: dependence of APD restitution and $[Na^+]_{i,max}$ on pacing rate (CL_{S1}). C: dependence of I_{NaK} on pacing rate (CL_{S1}) at constant S2 coupling interval ($CL_{S2} = 2$ s).

Several experimental studies have shown that the APD restitution curve shifts to shorter APD as basic pacing CL decreases (shorter CL_{S1}).^{8, 36, 41, 42} Consistent with these experimental observations, our model reproduces the dependence of restitution on the rate of S1 pacing (**Figure 15**). These simulations also provide insight into the underlying ionic mechanism. Fast pacing (short CL_{S1} ; **Figure 15A**) is accompanied by $[Na^+]_i$ accumulation (**Figure 15B**), as described above in the case of accommodation (**Figure 13**). $[Na^+]_i$ accumulation, in turn, promotes an increase in repolarizing I_{NaK} (**Figure 15C**), which decreases APD, thereby shifting the APD restitution curve to shorter APDs.

DISCUSSION

The rate-dependent phenomena examined in this study are thought to play an important role in the dynamics of arrhythmia.⁵⁰⁻⁵² The model presented here reproduces experimentally observed APD adaptation, restitution, and accommodation in cell and tissue. Although the rate dependence of model APD, CaT, and $[Na^+]_i$ accumulation is similar in cell and strand, our simulation results show that the effects of I_{to1} block on ion accumulation differ. Other simulation results⁴³ have suggested that APD restitution kinetics and the transition to APD alternans and more complex excitation patterns in cell and tissue also differ. Taken together, these results suggest that experimental findings in isolated myocytes should be extrapolated to the multicellular tissue with caution.

Recent studies have emphasized limitations in our mechanistic understanding of the rate dependence of APD.⁸ The detailed, physiologically based mathematical descriptions of critical ionic currents, pumps, and exchangers incorporated into the model lead to novel insight into underlying ionic mechanisms. Specific mechanistic insights generated by our study include the following. 1) I_{to1}

potentiates $I_{Ca,L}$ and SR Ca^{2+} release during early AP repolarization in isolated cells, but not in multicellular tissue. 2) I_{to1} plays an important role in APD restitution because of its slow recovery kinetics. As DI increases, I_{to1} recovery and phase 1 notch depth increase, suppressing I_{Kr} activation and lengthening APD. 3) I_{Ks} plays a limited role in repolarization of paced APs but plays an important role in APD shortening for premature stimuli. 4) $I_{Ca,L}$ plays a critical role in APD accommodation and memory. As a response to Ca^{2+} entry via $I_{Ca,L}$ at fast rates, forward-mode I_{NaCa} increases, leading to increased Ca^{2+} efflux and Na^+ influx. Na^+ accumulates and repolarizing I_{NaK} increases, leading to APD shortening during accommodation. 5) $I_{Ca,L}$ -dependent increase in $[Na^+]_i$ and I_{NaK} at fast rates is responsible for the shift in APD restitution curves toward shorter APD.

Previous experiments and simulation studies have suggested that I_{to1} , by increasing phase 1 repolarization, increases peak $I_{Ca,L}$ (the trigger for SR release) and, consequently, SR Ca^{2+} release.^{29, 44} These studies showed enhanced $I_{Ca,L}$ and SR Ca^{2+} release when phase 1 repolarization increases the $I_{Ca,L}$ driving force. Although this scenario is relevant to an isolated, directly stimulated myocyte, model simulations indicate that it does not apply to the situation in vivo, where electrotonic loading from neighboring cells weakens the AP upstroke. Our simulations suggest that, for propagating APs, I_{to1} -dependent phase 1 repolarization has little effect on peak $I_{Ca,L}$ and SR Ca^{2+} release. In addition, simulations show that the weakened AP upstroke in the tissue decreases I_{to1} activation and phase 1 repolarization. I_{to1} has been accorded a role in conduction^{53, 54} and in electrophysiological remodeling in various diseased states.^{13, 55, 56} Our results suggest that predictions of the effect of I_{to1} and I_{to1} block based on single-cell simulations and experiments may not apply to the in vivo situation. In contrast, model simulations predict that I_{to1} plays a significant role in APD restitution. The interplay between I_{Kr} activation and the slow recovery kinetics of I_{to1} leads to a gradual increase in APD during restitution, whereas I_{to1} block results in a more abrupt APD increase. This result provides a mechanistic explanation for the correlation between notch depth and APD observed in canine ventricular tissue.⁴⁶ The time course of APD restitution is thought to play an important role in the stability of ventricular arrhythmias⁵¹. Our results suggest that experimentally observed heterogeneity in I_{to1} density and recovery kinetics^{57, 58} may play a role in the stability of arrhythmias in different species, regions of tissue, or pathophysiological states. Canine experiments have shown transmural heterogeneity in I_{NaK} ,⁵⁹ I_{to1} ,²¹ I_{Ks} ,²³ and I_{NaCa} .⁶⁰ The epicardial model accurately reproduces endocardial restitution kinetics after blocking only I_{to1} , suggesting that I_{to1} is the dominant determinant of heterogeneity in restitution between the two cell types.

Experimental measurements of the effect of I_{Ks} block on APD in canine ventricle have ranged from near 0¹⁴ to as much as 30%.⁶¹ Varro et al.⁶² report a modest prolongation (3–7%) and biphasic CL dependence after chromanol block, consistent with our simulation results. Although the role of I_{Ks} in repolarization remains controversial, LQT mutations linked to genes for I_{Ks} α - and β -subunits⁶³ argue for an important role in repolarization. Our simulations demonstrate that slow activation and relatively low density limit the role of I_{Ks} in AP repolarization at physiological heart rates in the absence of β -adrenergic stimulation, consistent with our previous modeling studies.³ Simulations with a detailed Markov model of I_{Ks} predict an important role for I_{Ks} in APD restitution. During deactivation, channels accumulate in closed states (zone 1), where rapid activation in response to a

premature stimulus is possible, leading to an important role for I_{Ks} in APD restitution at short DI. A role for I_{Ks} in APD shortening at fast CL_{S1} via accumulation in closed states has been shown in the guinea pig and humans²². Despite major differences between I_{Ks} density and kinetics in the guinea pig and dog, the mechanism for enhanced participation of I_{Ks} at fast CL_{S1} during adaptation and at short DI during restitution are similar in the two species. Importantly, although large density in the guinea pig ensures an important role for I_{Ks} at all rates, we find that I_{Ks} plays a major role in canine restitution at short DI, despite a limited role at longer physiological CL. Although the effect of I_{Ks} block on paced APs has been studied experimentally, no studies on the role of I_{Ks} in restitution have been reported. I_{Ks} is also likely to play an important role in repolarization in the presence of β -adrenergic stimulation¹⁵ or for abnormally prolonged APD.⁶²

Recent simulations have addressed the role of APD accommodation and short-term memory in the dynamics of arrhythmia.^{50, 52} Simulations⁵⁰ and experiments⁴⁷ have emphasized uncertainty about the ionic mechanisms underlying this phenomenon. The results presented here predict a major role for $I_{Ca,L}$ -driven $[Na^+]_i$ accumulation in this phenomenon. A role for $[Na^+]_i$ and I_{NaK} in gradual APD changes during long-term pacing has been proposed.^{41, 64, 65} Similarities between the effect of block of $I_{Ca,L}$ and SR function in simulations and experiments⁴⁷ support for this hypothesis. Although a similar time course of APD accommodation after a CL change has been observed in a range of preparations, APD accommodation in other species and preparations is often more rapid.^{9, 47, 66} This suggests that other mechanisms may play a role or, alternatively, that additional experiments are required to improve our understanding of equilibration of $[Na^+]_i$ and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ during long-term pacing. A role for $I_{Ca,L}$ in the dynamics of arrhythmia has been proposed by many investigators, usually through effects on APD restitution.^{41, 67} Our simulations suggest that the effect of $I_{Ca,L}$ on $[Na^+]_i$ and APD accommodation should also be considered. The importance of $[Na^+]_i$ in determining the rate dependence of APD also points to an important limitation of simplified computational models, where intracellular ion concentrations are often held constant.

The predictive value of multicellular simulations of arrhythmia depends on the ability of cell models to reproduce experimental observation. Despite variability across species, cell type, and preparation, APD adaptation, restitution, and accommodation are qualitatively similar in many species. Our model examines the ionic basis of these rate-dependent phenomena in the dog but may provide insight into ionic mechanisms in other species, including humans. In addition, our model will provide a valuable tool for linking initiation and maintenance of arrhythmia to underlying cellular processes and ionic currents through multicellular simulations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Gregory Faber, Thomas O'Hara, Dr. Leonid Livshitz, Namit Gaur, and Dr. Ali Nekouzadeh for helpful discussions.

GRANTS

This research was supported by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Merit Award R37-HL-33343 and Grant RO1-HL-49054 (to Y. Rudy) and by a Fondation Leducq Award to the Alliance for CaMK Signaling in Heart Disease (to Y. Rudy). Y. Rudy is the Fred Saigh Distinguished Professor at Washington University in St. Louis.

REFERENCES

1. Rudy Y, Silva JR. Computational biology in the study of cardiac ion channels and cell electrophysiology. *Q Rev Biophys*. 2006;39:57-116.
2. Fox JJ, McHarg JL, Gilmour RF, Jr. Ionic mechanism of electrical alternans. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2002;282:H516-530.
3. Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Rate dependence and regulation of action potential and calcium transient in a canine cardiac ventricular cell model. *Circulation*. 2004;110:3168-3174.
4. Winslow RL, Rice J, Jafri S, Marban E, O'Rourke B. Mechanisms of altered excitation-contraction coupling in canine tachycardia-induced heart failure, II: model studies. *Circ Res*. 1999;84:571-586.
5. Cabo C, Boyden PA. Electrical remodeling of the epicardial border zone in the canine infarcted heart: a computational analysis. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2003;284:H372-384.
6. Hund TJ, Decker KF, Kanter E, Mohler PJ, Boyden PA, Schuessler RB, Yamada KA, Rudy Y. Role of activated CaMKII in abnormal calcium homeostasis and I_{Na} remodeling after myocardial infarction: insights from mathematical modeling. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2008;45:420-428.
7. Livshitz LM, Rudy Y. Regulation of Ca^{2+} and electrical alternans in cardiac myocytes: role of CAMKII and repolarizing currents. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2007;292:H2854-2866.
8. Cherry EM, Fenton FH. A tale of two dogs: analyzing two models of canine ventricular electrophysiology. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2007;292:H43-55.
9. Franz MR, Swerdlow CD, Liem LB, Schaefer J. Cycle length dependence of human action potential duration in vivo. Effects of single extrastimuli, sudden sustained rate acceleration and deceleration, and different steady-state frequencies. *J Clin Invest*. 1988;82:972-979.
10. Watanabe MA, Koller ML. Mathematical analysis of dynamics of cardiac memory and accommodation: theory and experiment. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2002;282:H1534-1547.
11. Rubart M, Lopshire JC, Fineberg NS, Zipes DP. Changes in left ventricular repolarization and ion channel currents following a transient rate increase superimposed on bradycardia in anesthetized dogs. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2000;11:652-664.
12. Szabó G, Szentandrassy N, Bíró T, Tóth BI, Czifra G, Magyar J, Bánýász T, Varró A, Kovács L, Nánási PP. Asymmetrical distribution of ion channels in canine and human left-ventricular wall: epicardium versus midmyocardium. *Pflugers Arch*. 2005;450:307-316.
13. Lue WM, Boyden PA. Abnormal electrical properties of myocytes from chronically infarcted canine heart. Alterations in V_{max} and the transient outward current. *Circulation*. 1992;85:1175-1188.
14. Stengl M, Volders PGA, Thomsen MB, Spätjens RLHMG, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Accumulation of slowly activating delayed rectifier potassium current I_{Ks} in canine ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 2003;551:777-786.
15. Volders PGA, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Spätjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2753-2760.
16. Gao J, Wang W, Cohen IS, Mathias RT. Transmural gradients in Na/K pump activity and $[Na^+]_i$ in canine ventricle. *Biophys J*. 2005;89:1700-1709.

17. Mahajan A, Shiferaw Y, Sato D, Baher A, Olcese R, Xie LH, Yang MJ, Chen PS, Restrepo JG, Karma A, Garfinkel A, Qu Z, Weiss JN. A rabbit ventricular action potential model replicating cardiac dynamics at rapid heart rates. *Biophys J*. 2008;94:392-410.
18. Pitt GS. Calmodulin and CaMKII as molecular switches for cardiac ion channels. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;73:641-647.
19. Aggarwal R, Boyden PA. Diminished Ca^{2+} and Ba^{2+} currents in myocytes surviving in the epicardial border zone of the 5-day infarcted canine heart. *Circ Res*. 1995;77:1180-1191.
20. Tseng GN. Calcium current restitution in mammalian ventricular myocytes is modulated by intracellular calcium. *Circ Res*. 1988;63:468-482.
21. Liu DW, Gintant GA, Antzelevitch C. Ionic bases for electrophysiological distinctions among epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes from the free wall of the canine left ventricle. *Circ Res*. 1993;72:671-687.
22. Silva J, Rudy Y. Subunit interaction determines I_{Ks} participation in cardiac repolarization and repolarization reserve. *Circulation*. 2005;112:1384-1391.
23. Liu DW, Antzelevitch C. Characteristics of the delayed rectifier current (I_{Kr} and I_{Ks}) in canine ventricular epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes. A weaker I_{Ks} contributes to the longer action potential of the M cell. *Circ Res*. 1995;76:351-365.
24. Cordeiro JM, Greene L, Heilmann C, Antzelevitch D, Antzelevitch C. Transmural heterogeneity of calcium activity and mechanical function in the canine left ventricle. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2004;286:H1471-1479.
25. Sipido KR, Volders PGA, de Groot SHM, Verdonck F, Van de Werf F, Wellens HJJ, Vos MA. Enhanced Ca^{2+} release and Na/Ca exchange activity in hypertrophied canine ventricular myocytes: potential link between contractile adaptation and arrhythmogenesis. *Circulation*. 2000;102:2137-2144.
26. Magyar J, Szentandrassy N, Banyasz T, Fulop L, Varro A, Nanasi PP. Effects of thymol on calcium and potassium currents in canine and human ventricular cardiomyocytes. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2002;136:330-338.
27. Birinyi P, Acsai K, Banyasz T, Toth A, Horvath B, Virag L, Szentandrassy N, Magyar J, Varro A, Fulop F, Nanasi PP. Effects of SEA0400 and KB-R7943 on $\text{Na}^{+}/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchange current and L-type Ca^{2+} current in canine ventricular cardiomyocytes. *Naunyn Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol*. 2005;372:63-70.
28. Sun X, Wang HS. Role of the transient outward current (I_{to}) in shaping canine ventricular action potential--a dynamic clamp study. *J Physiol*. 2005;564:411-419.
29. Greenstein JL, Wu R, Po S, Tomaselli GF, Winslow RL. Role of the calcium-independent transient outward current I_{to1} in shaping action potential morphology and duration. *Circ Res*. 2000;87:1026-1033.
30. Tseng GN, Hoffman BF. Two components of transient outward current in canine ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res*. 1989;64:633-647.
31. Hund TJ, Kucera JP, Otani NF, Rudy Y. Ionic charge conservation and long-term steady state in the Luo-Rudy dynamic cell model. *Biophys J*. 2001;81:3324-3331.
32. Shaw RM, Rudy Y. Ionic mechanisms of propagation in cardiac tissue. Roles of the sodium and L-type calcium currents during reduced excitability and decreased gap junction coupling. *Circ Res*. 1997;81:727-741.
33. Diego JMD, Sun ZQ, Antzelevitch C. I_{to} and action potential notch are smaller in left vs. right canine ventricular epicardium. *Am J Physiol*. 1996;271:H548-H561.
34. Spach MS, Heidlage JF, Dolber PC, Barr RC. Electrophysiological effects of remodeling cardiac gap junctions and cell size: experimental and model studies of normal cardiac growth. *Circ Res*. 2000;86:302-311.
35. Baláti B, Varró A, Papp JG. Comparison of the cellular electrophysiological characteristics of canine left ventricular epicardium, M cells, endocardium and Purkinje fibres. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 1998;164:181-190.

36. Elzinga G, Lab MJ, Noble MI, Papadoyannis DE, Pidgeon J, Seed A, Wohlfart B. The action-potential duration and contractile response of the intact heart related to the preceding interval and the preceding beat in the dog and cat. *J Physiol*. 1981;314:481-500.
37. Saitoh H, Bailey JC, Surawicz B. Alternans of action potential duration after abrupt shortening of cycle length: differences between dog Purkinje and ventricular muscle fibers. *Circ Res*. 1988;62:1027-1040.
38. Pacioretty LM, Gilmour RF, Jr. Developmental changes of action potential configuration and I_{to} in canine epicardium. *Am J Physiol*. 1995;268:H2513-2521.
39. Xiao L, Zhang L, Han W, Wang Z, Nattel S. Sex-based transmural differences in cardiac repolarization and ionic-current properties in canine left ventricles. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2006;291:H570-580.
40. Szentadrassy N, Banyasz T, Biro T, Szabo G, Toth BI, Magyar J, Lazar J, Varro A, Kovacs L, Nanasi PP. Apico-basal inhomogeneity in distribution of ion channels in canine and human ventricular myocardium. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2005;65:851-860.
41. Boyett MR, Jewell BR. A study of the factors responsible for rate-dependent shortening of the action potential in mammalian ventricular muscle. *J Physiol*. 1978;285:359-380.
42. Han J, Moe GK. Cumulative effects of cycle length on refractory periods of cardiac tissues. *Am J Physiol*. 1969;217:106-109.
43. Qu Z. Dynamical effects of diffusive cell coupling on cardiac excitation and propagation: a simulation study. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2004;287:H2803-2812.
44. Sah R, Ramirez RJ, Backx PH. Modulation of Ca^{2+} release in cardiac myocytes by changes in repolarization rate: role of phase-1 action potential repolarization in excitation-contraction coupling. *Circ Res*. 2002;90:165-173.
45. Sicouri S, Antzelevitch C. A subpopulation of cells with unique electrophysiological properties in the deep subepicardium of the canine ventricle. The M cell. *Circ Res*. 1991;68:1729-1741.
46. Litovsky SH, Antzelevitch C. Rate dependence of action potential duration and refractoriness in canine ventricular endocardium differs from that of epicardium: role of the transient outward current. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1989;14:1053-1066.
47. Tolkacheva EG, Anumonwo JM, Jalife J. Action potential duration restitution portraits of mammalian ventricular myocytes: role of calcium current. *Biophys J*. 2006;91:2735-2745.
48. Eisner DA, Trafford AW, Díaz ME, Overend CL, O'Neill SC. The control of Ca release from the cardiac sarcoplasmic reticulum: regulation versus autoregulation. *Cardiovasc Res*. 1998;38:589-604.
49. Wang DY, Chae SW, Gong QY, Lee CO. Role of Ca^{2+} in positive force-frequency staircase in guinea pig papillary muscle. *Am J Physiol*. 1988;255:C798-807.
50. Baher A, Qu Z, Hayatdavoudi A, Lamp ST, Yang MJ, Xie F, Turner S, Garfinkel A, Weiss JN. Short-term cardiac memory and mother rotor fibrillation. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2007;292:H180-189.
51. Karma A. Electrical alternans and spiral wave breakup in cardiac tissue. *Chaos*. 1994;4:461-472.
52. Mironov S, Jalife J, Tolkacheva EG. Role of conduction velocity restitution and short-term memory in the development of action potential duration alternans in isolated rabbit hearts. *Circulation*. 2008;118:17-25.
53. Huelsing DJ, Pollard AE, Spitzer KW. Transient outward current modulates discontinuous conduction in rabbit ventricular cell pairs. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2001;49:779-789.
54. Wang YG, Wagner MB, Kumar R, Goolsby WN, Joyner RW. Fast pacing facilitates discontinuous action potential propagation between rabbit atrial cells. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2000;279:H2095-2103.
55. Käb S, Nuss HB, Chiamvimonvat N, O'Rourke B, Pak PH, Kass DA, Marban E, Tomaselli GF. Ionic mechanism of action potential prolongation in ventricular myocytes from dogs with pacing-induced heart failure. *Circ Res*. 1996;78:262-273.

56. Yu H, McKinnon D, Dixon JE, Gao J, Wymore R, Cohen IS, Danilo P, Jr., Shvilkin A, Anyukhovskiy EP, Sosunov EA, Hara M, Rosen MR. Transient outward current, I_{to1} , is altered in cardiac memory. *Circulation*. 1999;99:1898-1905.
57. Oudit GY, Kassiri Z, Sah R, Ramirez RJ, Zobel C, Backx PH. The molecular physiology of the cardiac transient outward potassium current (I_{to}) in normal and diseased myocardium. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2001;33:851-872.
58. Patel SP, Campbell DL. Transient outward potassium current, ' I_{to} ', phenotypes in the mammalian left ventricle: underlying molecular, cellular and biophysical mechanisms. *J Physiol*. 2005;569:7-39.
59. Zygmunt AC, Eddlestone GT, Thomas GP, Nesterenko VV, Antzelevitch C. Larger late sodium conductance in M cells contributes to electrical heterogeneity in canine ventricle. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2001;281:H689-697.
60. Zygmunt AC, Goodrow RJ, Antzelevitch C. I_{NaCa} contributes to electrical heterogeneity within the canine ventricle. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2000;278:H1671-1678.
61. Shimizu W, Antzelevitch C. Cellular basis for the ECG features of the LQT1 form of the long-QT syndrome: effects of beta-adrenergic agonists and antagonists and sodium channel blockers on transmural dispersion of repolarization and torsade de pointes. *Circulation*. 1998;98:2314-2322.
62. Varró A, Lathrop DA, Papp JG. Role of the delayed rectifier component I_{Ks} in cardiac repolarization. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2001;12:1204-1206.
63. Splawski I, Tristani-Firouzi M, Lehmann MH, Sanguinetti MC, Keating MT. Mutations in the hminK gene cause long QT syndrome and suppress I_{Ks} function. *Nat Genet*. 1997;17:338-340.
64. Faber GM, Rudy Y. Action potential and contractility changes in $[Na^+]_i$ overloaded cardiac myocytes: a simulation study. *Biophys J*. 2000;78:2392-2404.
65. Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Determinants of excitability in cardiac myocytes: mechanistic investigation of memory effect. *Biophys J*. 2000;79:3095-3104.
66. Williams BA, Dickenson DR, Beatch GN. Kinetics of rate-dependent shortening of action potential duration in guinea-pig ventricle; effects of I_{K1} and I_{Kr} blockade. *Br J Pharmacol*. 1999;126:1426-1436.
67. Qu Z, Weiss JN, Garfinkel A. Cardiac electrical restitution properties and stability of reentrant spiral waves: a simulation study. *Am J Physiol*. 1999;276:H269-283.

CHAPTER 3

LOCAL CONTROL OF β -ADRENERGIC STIMULATION: EFFECTS ON VENTRICULAR MYOCYTE ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY AND Ca^{2+} -TRANSIENT

JORDI HEIJMAN, PAUL G.A. VOLDERS, RONALD L. WESTRA AND YORAM RUDY.

J. MOL. CELL. CARDIOL. 2011, 50:863-871

ABSTRACT

Local signaling domains and numerous interacting molecular pathways and substrates contribute to the whole-cell response of myocytes during β -adrenergic stimulation (β ARS). We aimed to elucidate the quantitative contribution of substrates and their local signaling environments during β ARS to the canine epicardial ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and calcium transient (CaT). We present a computational compartmental model of β ARS and its electrophysiological effects. Novel aspects of the model include localized signaling domains, incorporation of β 1 and β 2 receptor isoforms, a detailed population-based approach to integrate the β AR and Ca^{2+} /Calmodulin kinase (CaMKII) signaling pathways and their effects on a wide range of substrates that affect whole-cell electrophysiology and CaT. The model identifies major roles for phosphodiesterases, adenylyl cyclases, PKA and restricted diffusion in the control of local cAMP levels and shows that activation of specific cAMP domains by different receptor isoforms allows for specific control of action potential and CaT properties. In addition, the model predicts increased CaMKII activity during β ARS due to rate-dependent accumulation and increased Ca^{2+} cycling. CaMKII inhibition, reduced compartmentation, and selective blockade β 1AR are predicted to reduce the occurrence of delayed afterdepolarizations during β ARS. Finally, the relative contribution of each PKA substrate to whole-cell electrophysiology is quantified by comparing simulations with and without phosphorylation of each target. In conclusion, this model enhances our understanding of localized β AR signaling and its whole-cell effects in ventricular myocytes by incorporating receptor isoforms, multiple pathways and a detailed representation of multiple-target phosphorylation; it provides a basis for further studies of β ARS under pathological conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Maximal β -adrenergic receptor (β AR) stimulation (β ARS) in ventricular myocytes activates the β AR / G-protein / adenylyl cyclase (AC) / cyclic AMP (cAMP) / protein kinase A (PKA) pathway that results in the phosphorylation of numerous intracellular proteins (“substrates”) including the L-type Ca^{2+} channel ($I_{\text{Ca,L}}$), slowly activating delayed rectifier K^+ channel (I_{Ks}), phospholamban (PLB) and the inhibitory troponin subunit (TnI). In addition, there are several feedback loops, such as β AR desensitization, that control the temporal response of β ARS.^{1,2} Observations in living myocytes using fluorescent techniques have demonstrated the importance of localized signaling domains in β AR cascade function. Moreover, many interdependencies were identified between PKA substrates that influence the cardiac action potential (AP) and calcium transient (CaT).^{3,4}

Localized signaling results from physical subcellular domains with restricted diffusion of the second messenger cAMP (e.g., caveolae), from specific subcellular localization of signaling molecules (e.g., cAMP and phosphodiesterases; PDEs) and from targeting of PKA to specific substrates by A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs).⁵⁻⁷ Localized signaling in subcellular domains is essential for precise specific regulation (“local control”); it allows multiple signaling cascades that affect cAMP to target specific substrates. This local organization may change in pathology such as heart failure.⁸ The relative contribution of each local signaling domain and substrate to the whole-cell electrophysiological response during β ARS is extremely difficult to determine experimentally, especially in large mammals. Moreover, an increasing number of interactions between the β AR signaling cascade and other signaling cascades, most prominently the Ca^{2+} /Calmodulin kinase (CaMKII), are discovered to play an important role in normal physiology and in pathology.⁹ Currently, our understanding of the complex interactions between signaling cascades and substrates and their effects on cellular electrophysiology is rather limited.

Computational approaches have been employed to enhance our understanding of various (patho)physiological processes.¹⁰ The computational approach is particularly useful when the system under investigation is complex, highly interactive and nonlinear, as is the case with the β AR cascade and its effects on cellular electrophysiology. Quantitative studies of cAMP signaling have been performed¹¹⁻¹³ but did not include electrophysiological effects. Several studies have modeled different aspects of the adrenergic system in the ventricular myocyte in various levels of detail.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ However, none of these studies described the effects of localized signaling domains or included all interacting PKA substrates. Here, we present the first detailed computational model of β ARS in the canine ventricular myocyte that includes both localized signaling domains and a wide range of electrophysiological substrates. We use this model to study the local effects of β ARS on subcellular targets and their consequences in terms of whole-cell electrophysiology and CaT.

METHODS

Details of the computational model (**Figure 1**) are provided in the appendix of this thesis.

Figure 1. A. Schematic of the canine ventricular cell model including Ca^{2+} handling, electrophysiology, CaMKII signaling pathway and β AR signaling domains. Substrates modulated by PKA phosphorylation are indicated. Model CaMKII targets include PLB/Serca and RyR. B-D, Detailed schematics of the interactions in the extracaveolar (ECAV; B), cytosolic (CYT; C) and caveolar (CAV; D) signaling domains. Abbreviations are defined in the appendix of this thesis. Model code is provided in the Research Section of <http://rudylab.wustl.edu>. For color figure, see page 243.

β AR signaling cascade

A recent compartmental model of cAMP signaling in ventricular myocytes¹¹ served as starting point for the β AR-signaling model of this study. Three functional compartments represent the caveolar

(CAV), extracaveolar membrane (ECAV) and cytosolic (CYT) signaling domains. Each compartment contains signaling proteins at local concentrations. We extend the cAMP signaling cascade with differential localization of β_1 AR and β_2 AR, local PKA activation (high affinity PKAI in CYT, lower affinity PKAII in CAV and ECAV), PKA-dependent feedback loops, PKA targeting to I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} and RyR by AKAPS, and eight substrates of direct PKA-dependent phosphorylation. **Figure 1 B-D** shows schematics of the compartmental signaling cascades in the model.

All biochemical reactions were modeled either as differential equations (e.g., cAMP production, PKA activation, receptor desensitization) or as algebraic equations based on steady-state assumptions for reactions occurring much faster than changes in cAMP and substrate phosphorylation. Time-dependent concentrations of the β AR agonist isoproterenol (ISO, in combination with blockade of either β_1 AR or β_2 AR in some simulations) and/or the PDE inhibitor IBMX served as inputs to the adrenergic signaling cascade.

Choice and localization of PKA substrates

The model contains eight PKA substrates which are included in specific compartments of the signaling model (**Table 1**) based on experimental evidence (in particular association with caveolin-3 for CAV substrates). It is important to note that our compartments are defined as functional entities. For example, although caveolae are small cellular invaginations of less than 100 nm in size,¹⁹ we still consider the ryanodine receptor part of this compartment because of its intimate interaction with I_{CaL} , even though it will not physically reside in this small invagination, but is localized at the opposing SR membrane surface. L-type Ca^{2+} channels are known to reside predominantly in T-tubules in the ventricular myocyte.²⁰ However, since caveolin has been implicated in T-tubular biogenesis²¹ and L-type Ca^{2+} channels have indeed been shown to colocalize with caveolin,²² we decided to include both I_{CaL} and RyR in the functional caveolar compartment.

Substrate	Compartment	Evidence
I_{CaL}	CAV	Colocalization with caveolin-3. ²²
I_{Ks}	ECAV	Based on membrane targeting with yotiao, but no K^+ channels associate with caveolin ²² . Although certain studies have found that Kv7.1 (KCNQ1, the α subunit of the I_{Ks} channel) localizes to low density membrane fractions, no co-immunoprecipitation of Kv7.1 and caveolin-3 has been shown so far. ²³
I_{Kur}	ECAV	Membrane ion channel, not found in caveolae in dog. ²⁴
I_{Na}	CAV	Colocalization with caveolin-3. ²²
$I_{Na,K}$	CAV	Predominantly located in caveolae, depending on subunit composition. ²⁵
RyR	CAV	Based on close association with I_{CaL} , and regulation of RyR by caveolin-associated eNOS. ²⁶
PLB	CYT	Influenced by cytosolic protein Inh1, ²⁷ phosphorylated by PKAI. ¹⁴
TnI	CYT	

Table 1: Localization of PKA substrates.

The following targets are not included in the current model: $I_{Cl,cAMP}$ is a cAMP activated Cl^- current that is present in guinea pig, but not canine ventricular myocytes.^{28, 29} Karle and co-workers found evidence that I_{Kr} is modulated by PKA in guinea pig ventricular myocytes³⁰ and similarly a small but significant alteration in I_{Kr} was found recently in response to IBMX and forskolin in CHO cells³¹. However, no such modulation was found in dogs.³² Because of the absence in dog, and the small effects in CHO cells, I_{Kr} modulation by PKA was not incorporated. Similarly, no consensus exists concerning the modulating effect of PKA on Na^+-Ca^{2+} exchanger $I_{Na,Ca}$.^{33, 34} Relatively little is known about the adrenergic modulation of I_{To} , however Van der Heyden et al. argue that only α -adrenergic modulation has a significant impact on I_{To} .³⁵ As a result, we do not include direct modulation of I_{To} in our model of β AR stimulation, although it should be noted that I_{To} is known to be modulated by CaMKII³⁶ which shows increased activity during β AR stimulation (see below). Finally, many disparate results exist concerning the modulation of the inward rectifier potassium current I_{K1} by PKA, which has a consensus phosphorylation site on S425.³⁷ Although recent studies suggest a role for I_{K1} mutations that alter its adrenergic responsiveness in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT)-like arrhythmias, the major differences in WT I_{K1} in the presence and absence of adrenergic stimulation occur at potentials below the normal physiological range, with no difference at -80 mV.³⁸ This is further strengthened by the unaltered resting membrane potential in canine ventricular myocytes in the presence of adrenergic stimulation.^{39, 40} As such, adrenergic stimulation of I_{K1} is not included in the model.

Definition of the level of phosphorylation of PKA substrates

The effective level of phosphorylation of a PKA substrate X , denoted by $\hat{f}_X^p$ is defined relative to the baseline phosphorylation level. That is, the level in the absence of catecholamine stimulation is defined as $\hat{f}_X^p = 0$, although in an actual myocyte there may be a certain number of phosphorylated proteins under basal conditions. Similarly, for the research described here, the phosphorylation level is defined to be 1 under a saturating concentration of isoproterenol (although this does not necessarily mean that all channels are phosphorylated). This functional definition facilitates the use of a population-based approach to link the signaling cascade and the electrophysiology. Although a cocktail of agonists may increase cAMP beyond the levels observed with maximal ISO stimulation, this will not be reflected in the electrophysiological properties of the model, because no substrate data were available under these conditions and hence no extrapolations to higher cAMP levels could be made. When these electrophysiological data become available, the population-based approach (see below) makes it possible to set a new upper limit and have a larger range of cAMP levels for which the electrophysiological properties can be simulated. It should also be noted that although this functional definition is a simplification, it does not prevent simulation of other adrenergic agonists (e.g., Forskolin or PDE inhibition with IBMX), particularly for cAMP levels within the range observed with ISO stimulation. This is consistent with the observations by Hohl and Li, who showed that various adrenergic agonists over a wide range of concentrations produced a similar range of changes in peak amplitude and decay time of the calcium transient.⁴¹

Analysis of cAMP compartmentation

Experimentally, compartmentation of cAMP is revealed by differential effects of signaling pathways that affect cAMP on phosphorylation of cytosolic versus sarcolemmal proteins,^{5, 42} and by differences in cytosolic cAMP and subsarcolemmal cAMP levels measured with fluorescent probes and cyclic nucleotide-gated channels,⁴³ respectively. This has illustrated that selective augmentation of cAMP in specific compartments occurs and is critical for specific regulation of subcellular targets. Here, we focus on the effects of β ARS. Other signaling pathways affecting cAMP (e.g., the prostaglandin receptor PGE1) are not included. Compartmentation has also been shown through differences in β AR isoform stimulation. In mammalian ventricle, three isoforms have been reported. In canine, approximately 80% are β_1 AR.⁴⁴ Functional effects of β_2 AR stimulation are highly dependent on species and experimental conditions. In rodents, β_2 ARS only affects local cAMP concentrations, whereas cAMP increases elicited by β_1 ARS diffuse throughout the cell.^{45, 46} Studies in the failing canine ventricle show a reduction in β_1 AR without changes in β_2 AR and consequently an important role of β_2 ARS.⁴⁷ Under normal physiological conditions, differential effects of β_2 ARS on whole cell behavior have been reported.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ The model includes β_1 AR and β_2 AR with cell-wide and CAV localization respectively, based on experimental data.⁸ The functional significance of β_3 AR in large mammals is incompletely understood and not included here.⁵⁰ Virtual knock-out models of selected signaling proteins were created by setting their concentration to 0. Reduced compartmentation was simulated by increasing the cAMP diffusion rates by a factor 1000.

Definition of the soluble and particulate fractions

The model contains 3 compartments that provide the localized signaling characteristics that are vital for efficient and specific signaling: CAV, ECAV, CYT. In Iancu et al. it is stated that⁵¹: "... the only information available on the subcellular location of the different PDE isoforms describes their presence in membrane (particulate) and/or cytosolic (soluble) fractions. How the membrane associated PDE activity is distributed between caveolar and extracaveolar domains is not known." In accordance with this description, we define the particulate fraction as made up of the CAV and ECAV compartments and let the soluble fraction correspond to the CYT compartment. In order to relate protein activities that are expressed as pmol / mg cell protein (e.g. cAMP from Hohl and Li⁴¹) to the intracellular concentrations used in the model, we compare the values normalized to the total cellular activity, thereby taking into account the relative contribution of the compartments based on their volume.

CaMKII signaling cascade

The baseline model⁵² (**Chapter 2**) includes phosphorylation of PLB and RyR by CaMKII. We included populations for CaMKII-dependent phosphorylation of I_{CaL} , I_{Na} and late I_{Na} ($I_{Na,L}$) based on recently published formulations⁵³, as well as CaMKII-dependent changes in I_{To} and I_{K1} (see Appendix of this thesis). CaMKII is activated by localized Ca^{2+} in the Ca^{2+} subspace, consistent with previous modeling studies, but no further localization is included. Additional crosstalk between β ARS and CaMKII occurs via PKA-dependent activation of Inhibitor 1 and subsequent deactivation of PP1 that controls CaMKII deactivation, consistent with experimental observations.⁹

Changes to electrophysiological substrates after phosphorylation

Phosphorylation effects were incorporated by including populations of phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated channels with distinct properties for the eight substrates affected by β ARS. Properties of non-phosphorylated (baseline) substrates were based on the recently published model of the canine epicardial ventricular myocyte⁵² (**Chapter 2**) and properties of phosphorylated channels were determined from experimental data in the presence of a saturating dose of ISO. At each time instant, the current/flux for a given substrate is determined for both (phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated) populations. The fraction of phosphorylated channels, which is determined by the β AR cascade, is then used to interpolate between these values to determine the net current/flux. We assume that phosphorylation by PKA and CaMKII is independent and have integrated the β AR and CaMKII cascades using four populations for these targets (PKA phosphorylated, CaMKII phosphorylated, PKA and CaMKII phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated).

The population-based approach is based on the assumption that phosphorylation is a binary process that is independent of channel gating. It captures the distinct conformations of phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated channels as they coexist in the ventricular myocyte. Most previous modeling approaches employed only one homogeneous population and shifted model parameters (such as the $V_{1/2}$ of activation) based on phosphorylation levels.^{14, 17} Although both approaches coincide at phosphorylation levels of 0% and 100%, they are different for other levels (**Figure 2**).

Figure 2. I_{CaL} traces at various levels of phosphorylation elicited during a 300 ms depolarizing pulse to -20 mV using the population based approach (Pop) with the specified fraction (f_{ICaL}) of channels phosphorylated (solid lines), or using a shift of parameters in a single homogeneous population (Par; dashed lines). Results are identical only at 0% and 100% phosphorylation, but differ for intermediate phosphorylation levels. f_{ICaL} indicates the fraction of phosphorylated L-type Ca^{2+} channels.

Parameter estimation

Parameters for the model were obtained either directly from the cited literature source (possibly after conversion of units), or derived using minimization of the squared difference between experimental data and model output. Initial exploration of the parameter space was performed using evolutionary algorithms. Bounds on parameters were determined based on physiological considerations (e.g., requirement of positive concentrations and rate constants), or centered around values used in existing models. Highest scoring parameter sets were further optimized using the Nelder-Meade simplex algorithm. All optimizations were performed in Matlab (Mathworks[®], Natick, MA). In some optimizations of electrophysiological substrates the Matlab optimizations were connected to the custom C++ software to generate model output.

A number of reparametrizations were performed to facilitate the optimization process by reducing the number of ill-constrained parameters. Let $[P]^{CAV}$, $[P]^{ECAV}$, $[P]^{CYT}$ denote the 3 parameters describing the concentrations of protein P in the caveolar, extracaveolar and cytosolic compartments respectively. Furthermore, let $[P]^{CELL}$, f_P^{CAV} , f_P^{ECAV} , represent the total cellular concentration of P, the fraction of P located in the caveolar compartment and the fraction of P located in the extracaveolar compartment respectively. The following transformations are applied:

$$[P]^{CAV} = f_P^{CAV} \cdot [P]^{CELL} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^{CAV}}$$

$$[P]^{ECAV} = f_P^{ECAV} \cdot [P]^{CELL} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^{ECAV}}$$

$$[P]^{CYT} = (1 - f_P^{CAV} - f_P^{ECAV}) \cdot [P]^{CELL} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^{CYT}}$$

Here V^x represents the volume of compartment x. This has as an advantage that the value of $[P]^{CELL}$ can be much better constrained based on the available literature (using 1 pmol / mg cell protein = 1.084 $\mu\text{mol/L}^2$ whenever necessary). In a similar fashion we use the forward rate constant k_f and the equilibrium constant K_e to define a general reversible reaction. The backward rate constant is then given by $k_b = k_f \cdot K_e$. The equilibrium constant is better constrained based on available experimental data.

Using these parametrizations, a parameter estimation procedure was followed, designed to minimize parameter uncertainties (**Figure 3**). After fixing the parameters that were directly available from literature (e.g., β AR affinities for ISO), parameters were first estimated for direct steps in the adrenergic cascade (e.g., adenylyl cyclase activity as a function of G-protein activation). These estimations produce, in general, a well defined, unique set of parameters within the physiological range. Subsequently more global data (e.g., adenylyl cyclase activity as function of isoproterenol) that cover multiple steps of the cascade were used to determine missing parameter values. Finally, the parameters of the PKA substrates were fit based on the intracellular cAMP concentrations resulting from the previously estimated parameters and the substrate isoproterenol dose-response and time-dependence of phosphorylation curves. The combined global exploration and subsequent

local optimization of promising parameter sets should reduce the risks of getting trapped in a local minimum. For the electrophysiological properties of the phosphorylated population, parameter values were either set directly based on literature (e.g., K_m , half-maximal activation voltage, etc.) or resulted from a Nelder-Mead simplex optimization to the experimental data starting from the properties of the non-phosphorylated population.

Figure 3. Simplified signaling schematic indicating parameter estimation procedure. Parameters that were directly available in the experimental literature or characterized carefully based on experimental data in previous modeling studies were fixed (Step 0). Subsequently, data representing direct steps in the cascade were used whenever possible to determine missing parameter values (Steps 1a-c, each of which could be performed independently due to the direct relation between quantities). Thereafter, more global aspects (e.g., the relationship between ISO and cAMP levels) were used simultaneously to constrain remaining parameters (Step 2a-c). Finally, the substrate parameters were inferred using the experimental ISO concentration response curve for each substrate and the PKA activity obtained in steps 1 and 2. In **Figures 7-24** the relation between

experimental and simulation data is presented and the experimental source reference and species information are indicated. All signaling validation was performed in the absence of electrophysiological changes (all state variables for the electrophysiological model were fixed).

Parameter sensitivity analysis

For the parameters in the β AR signaling cascade, a one-factor-at-a-time sensitivity analysis was performed to investigate the individual contribution of each parameter. Each parameter X_i was altered to 99% and 101% of its original value and the changes in output measures were evaluated:

$$\Delta f_i^{(k)} = f^{(k)} \left(\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_{i-1} \\ 1.01 \cdot X_i \\ X_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} \right) - f^{(k)} \left(\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_{i-1} \\ 0.99 \cdot X_i \\ X_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Because of the modular structure of the β AR signaling cascade, properties of the endpoints of the cascade that connect with the electrophysiological module were chosen as output measures. The ISO affinity of each substrate was determined for each parameter set using a fit of the Hill equation to steady-state phosphorylation levels over a wide range of ISO concentrations. The other two output measures that were determined are the time to half maximal activation of a substrate and the amount of desensitization (difference between maximum and steady-state levels) in the presence of an intermediate dose of ISO (20 nmol/L) for each substrate. The 10 parameters with the highest impact (based on the mean $\Delta f_i^{(k)}$ over all eight substrates) and the 10 with the lowest impact are shown in **Figures 4-6**.

Figure 4. The 10 parameters with the smallest (A) and largest (B) impact on the mean change in ISO affinity over all substrates. Note different impact scale in (B) for IK_s . For color figure, see page 244.

Figure 5. The 10 parameters with the smallest (A) and largest (B) impact on the mean change in time to half maximal activation in the presence of 20 nmol/L ISO over all substrates. Note different impact scale in (B) for PLB. For color figure, see page 245.

Figure 6. The 10 parameters with the smallest (A) and largest (B) impact on the mean change in the amount of desensitization (difference between peak phosphorylation level and level after 30 min) in the presence of 20 nmol/L ISO over all substrates. *For color figure, see page 246.*

The sensitivity analysis is based on a 2% change in parameter values (+1% and -1% from the values reported here) using a one-factor-at-a-time approach. Simulations with +5% and -5% indicated a similar ordering of parameters with respect to their effect on the three output measures: ISO affinity, time to half maximal phosphorylation (in the presence of 20 nmol/L ISO) and amount of desensitization. These three outputs were determined for all eight substrates.

From the sensitivity analysis it is clear that the extracaveolar compartment and in particular the affinity and desensitization of I_{Ks} are the most sensitive to parameter changes. This may be explained by the high basal cAMP levels in the ECAV (10 $\mu\text{mol/L}$, within the micromolar range reported by Iancu et al.¹¹), which result in small dynamic range of PKA activity. With respect to the phosphorylation time-course, PLB is the most sensitive substrate. PLB is also the substrate with the fastest kinetics, based on experimental data (**Figure 16**), which may partly explain this sensitivity. Additionally, it becomes clear that parameters that affect whole cell properties (and hence multiple substrates) have a large impact on these output measures. Such parameters include cell volume, whole cell PKA levels and distribution of PKA. Consistent with experimental observations,^{5, 43} these simulations also further emphasize a major role for PDEs and their specific subcellular localization in controlling substrate phosphorylation levels, as evident from the large impact of parameters such as $f_{\text{PART,PDE}}$.

The sensitivity analysis also indicates aspects of the model that could be simplified. In particular, the dynamics of cAMP/PKA binding as well as PKI-dependent inhibition of PKA appear to have little impact on phosphorylation. Indeed, the reaction rates for these interactions are significantly faster than cAMP production or substrate phosphorylation. This indicates that the cAMP/PKA pathway could also be modeled as a set of algebraic equations. Although this would significantly reduce the number of states (by 15 / 57 states in the signaling module), the reduction in the number of parameters would be modest (by 6 parameters). Finally, the parameters representing the affinities between substrates and AKAPs and between AKAPs and signaling molecules are determined to have a low impact. Experimentally, AKAPs are considered critical elements in the localization of PKA close to specific substrates.⁷ Their small effect in these simulations can be explained by the fact that the binding affinities involved are very high. Thus, a small change in affinity does not significantly affect the distribution of bound/free substrates.

The parameter sensitivity analysis described here provides insight into the contribution of individual parameters. It is possible that alteration of a subset of parameters is able to compensate for changes in a different parameter. This would provide further possibilities to simplify the model. However, such a combinatorial examination was found to be too demanding in terms of computation time and data storage.

Validation

The model is validated (**Figures 7-24**) with a wide range of experimental data at the levels of the signaling cascade, individual electrophysiological components and whole-cell response.

Figure 7. Comparison between adenylyl cyclase (AC) properties of model (lines) and experimental data (symbols). A. G_s -dependence of AC 5/6 in experiments and model. B. Inactivation of AC6 by G_i at various levels of G_s -stimulation in experiments⁵⁴ and model. No error bars provided in experimental source. C. Simulated and measured whole-cell AC activity: (i) at peak (red), (ii) after 5 min (green) and (iii) at steady-state (white symbols; black line) in canine ventricular myocytes^{55, 56} (circles, triangles) and Chinese hamster fibroblasts with human $\beta 1$ ARs expressed (squares; no error bars provided in experimental source)⁵⁷. For color figure, see page 247.

Figure 8. Comparison between cAMP properties of model (lines) and experimental data (symbols). A. Simulated and measured⁴¹ cAMP levels in whole-cell (filled) and particulate fraction (defined as CAV and ECAV compartments in the model; open) of canine ventricular myocytes as function of $[ISO]$. B. Same as A in the presence of 10 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ of the PDE inhibitor IBMX. Values in A and B are normalized to the maximal whole-cell cAMP level in the absence of IBMX. C. Temporal response of whole cell cAMP levels during stimulation with ISO in the model (line), canine⁴¹ (circles) and rat⁵⁸ (squares) ventricular myocytes.

Figure 9. Comparison between effects elicited by $\beta 1$ AR or $\beta 2$ AR stimulation in model (white bars and lines) and experiments (shaded bars, symbols). A. Fractional increase in whole-cell cAMP compared to baseline elicited by 100 nmol/L ISO (left), ISO + $\beta 2$ AR antagonist (ICI; middle) and ISO + $\beta 1$ AR antagonist (CGP; right) in model and canine ventricular myocytes⁴⁹. B. FRET changes of the HCN cAMP sensor in T-tubule or cell-membrane crest in response to local stimulation of $\beta 1$ AR (ISO + ICI) or $\beta 2$ AR (ISO + CGP) in rat ventricular myocytes⁸ compared to cAMP changes after stimulation of CAV domain only (corresponding to T-tubule; e.g., containing I_{CaL} channels) or ECAV domain only (corresponding to cell crest) of model. Values are normalized to ECAV response with $\beta 1$ AR stimulation. $\beta 1$ AR stimulation produces a cell wide signal, whereas $\beta 2$ AR response is local. Magnitude differs because of species differences and nonlinearity of the sensor. C. Time course of PLB phosphorylation during $\beta 2$ AR stimulation (with zinterol) in rat ventricular myocytes in the absence (white) or presence (red) of pertussis toxin (PTX)⁵⁹ compared to $\beta 2$ AR stimulation in the model (0.1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO + CGP). Treatment with PTX is simulated by setting the concentration of G_i to 0.0. Both in model and experiments, stimulation of $\beta 2$ AR does not result in phosphorylation of cytosolic proteins unless PTX is added.

Figure 10. Validation of PDE properties. A. Relative PDE activity under basal conditions in model (white bars) and adult rat ventricular myocytes⁶⁰ (grey bars) in the presence of 1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ of cAMP as assessed by specific inhibition of each isoform. Quantitative differences between contribution of PDE3 and PDE4 can be explained by species differences.⁶¹ B. Relative whole-cell PDE activity under baseline conditions or in the presence of ISO in model (white) and adult rat ventricular myocytes⁴³ (blue) showing increased PDE activity resulting from PKA-dependent phosphorylation.

Figure 11. Comparison between PKA properties of model (lines) and experimental data (symbols). A. Simulated and measured⁶² cAMP dependence of activation of PKA type I (filled symbols) and II (open symbols). B. cAMP dependence of high (red) and low (black) concentrations of PKA type II holoenzyme. C. Effect of PKI on PKAII activity in the presence of high concentration of holoenzyme. No error bars provided in experimental sources.⁶³

Figure 12. Validation of protein phosphatase inhibitor 1 (Inh1) properties. A. Relative Inh1 activity (compared to total Inh1 concentration) at baseline, during stimulation with 10 nmol/L ISO and during stimulation with 1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO in model (white bars) and experiments in guinea-pig ventricular preparations (grey bars)⁶⁴. B. Relative reduction in PP1 by Inh1 in the presence of 1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO. Y-axis shows fractional reduction relative to value in absence of Inh1 in model (white bar) and mouse ventricle (grey bar)⁶⁵.

Figure 13. Relative phosphorylation level of CAV substrates as function of ISO in model (lines) and experiments (symbols). A. I_{CaL} phosphorylation compared to relative increase in peak I-V during voltage-clamp experiments in canine-ventricular myocytes.⁶⁶ B. RyR phosphorylation compared to intensity obtained with a Ser 2030 phosphospecific RyR antibody in rat cardiac myocytes.⁶⁷ C. I_{Na} phosphorylation compared to relative increase in peak I_{Na} during voltage-clamp to 0 mV in rat papillary muscle.⁶⁸ D. I_{NaK} phosphorylation dose-response compared to relative increase in pump current (DHO sensitive at -60 mV) in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes.⁶⁹

Figure 14. Relative phosphorylation level of ECAV substrates as function of ISO in model (lines) and experiments (symbols). A. I_{Ks} phosphorylation in model and relative I_{Ks} (HMR1556 sensitive) increase in canine ventricular myocytes during 3 s. depolarizing pulses to + 50 mV⁷⁰. B. I_{Kur} phosphorylation compared to relative change in I_{Kur} (separated from I_{To} by an inactivating prepulse) during 140 ms depolarizing pulses to + 50 mV in canine atrial myocytes.⁷¹

Figure 15. Relative phosphorylation level of CYT substrates as function of ISO in model (lines) and experiments (symbols). A. PLB phosphorylation compared to relative intensity obtained with a Ser 16 phosphospecific PLB antibody in rat heart preparations after treatment with various doses of ISO.⁷² B. TnI phosphorylation compared to radioblots of ^{32}P incorporation in TnI in rat cardiac myocytes (no error bars provided in experimental source).⁷³

Figure 16. Time-dependence of substrate phosphorylation. A. Phosphorylated fraction of I_{CaL} channels in unpaced model ($\hat{f}_{\text{CaL}}^{\text{P}}$; line) compared to relative increase in peak I_{CaL} during repetitive voltage-clamp commands to 0 mV, elicited every 60 seconds in canine ventricular myocytes in the presence of 0.5 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO.⁴⁸ B. Fraction of phosphorylated PLB ($f_{\text{up}}^{\text{PKA}}$) in unpaced model (line) compared to relative ^{32}P incorporation in PLB in unpaced mouse ventricular myocytes treated with 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO.⁷⁴ C. Same as (B) for TnI. The time-instant $t = 0$ indicates the start of βAR stimulation. All other substrates (I_{Na} , I_{NaK} , I_{Ks} , I_{Kur} , RyR) for which no rate of phosphorylation was available, were given the same phosphorylation time-course as I_{CaL} . Validation of CaMKII-dependent phosphorylation time-courses can be found in **Figure 24**.

Figure 17. Simulated characteristics of PKA phosphorylated (red), CaMKII phosphorylated (orange) and non-phosphorylated (black) populations of I_{CaL} . Experimental data are shown as symbols, model output as lines. A. Peak I-V relationship.⁷⁵ B. Voltage dependence of steady-state I_{CaL} inactivation.⁷⁶ C. Fold increase in peak I_{CaL} I-V with ISO or maximal CaMKII activation (left) and shift in peak I-V and midpoint of inactivation ($V_{1/2}$ Inact; right) during stimulation with ISO in model (white) and experiments^{48, 77, 78} (blue). D. Recovery from inactivation. Inset shows time-constants under baseline, ISO or CaMKII conditions based on a mono-exponential fit in model (white bars) and canine epicardial myocytes (blue bar).⁷⁹ E. Time course of I_{CaL} inactivation during a 200 ms depolarizing pulse to 0 mV. Inset shows the relative change in time constant of inactivation with 1.0 μ mol/L ISO or maximal CaMKII activation. CaMKII-dependent effects are consistent with experiments in rabbit ventricular myocytes.⁷⁸ For color figure, see page 247.

Figure 18. Simulated characteristics of phosphorylated (filled symbols) and non-phosphorylated (open symbols) populations of I_{Ks} and I_{Kur} . Experimental data are shown as symbols, model output as

lines. A. I_{Ks} tail-current amplitude after 300-ms depolarizing pulses in model and canine ventricular myocytes.⁸⁰ B. Same as A, after 3000-ms depolarizing pulses. C. I_{Kur} I-V in canine ventricular myocytes (in response to 100 nmol/L ISO)⁸¹ and model.

Figure 19. Simulated characteristics of phosphorylated (filled symbols) and non-phosphorylated (open symbols) populations of I_{Na} . Experimental data are shown as symbols, model output as lines. A. I_{Na} peak I-V relationship in model and canine ventricular myocytes.⁸² B. Steady-state I_{Na} inactivation.

Figure 20. Characteristics of I_{To} recovery from inactivation at baseline (black), with maximal CaMKII activity (orange) or in the presence of 1.0 μ mol/L ISO (red; identical to baseline). A. Simulated (line) time course of I_{To} recovery from inactivation (assessed using a double-pulse protocol to +40 mV for 200 ms from a holding potential of -80 mV) compared to experimental data (white circles) from canine ventricular myocytes under baseline conditions.⁸³ B. Comparison of model fast and slow recovery time constants (based on a biexponential fit to the data in A). C. Validation of the effect of CaMKII on I_{To} recovery from inactivation in model (white) compared to data in rabbit ventricular myocytes acutely overexpressing CaMKII (blue).⁸⁴ For color figure see page 248.

Figure 21. Effects of ISO on RyR, PLB, TnI and I_{NaK} . A. Simulated (white bars) relative change in total ($\bar{J}_{\text{Release}}$; left) and maximum SR- Ca^{2+} -release flux (Max. Release; right) for phosphorylated RyRs compared to baseline (no βARS) under conditions of identical SR- Ca^{2+} loading and I_{CaL} trigger strength. Corresponding experimental data⁸⁵ (from rabbit ventricular myocytes) are shown in grey bars. B. Relative change in PLB Ca^{2+} affinity (left), troponin Ca^{2+} affinity (middle) and I_{NaK} intracellular Na^{+} affinity (right) in the presence of ISO (compared to baseline without ISO). Simulation shown in white bars; experimental data in grey bars.⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸

Figure 22. Concentration-dependent effects of ISO on APD (A; CL = 1000 ms) and CaT amplitude (B; CL = 2000 ms) in canine ventricular myocytes (symbols^{39, 89}) and model (lines). C. Time course of APD changes during application of 100 nmol/L ISO in 4 canine ventricular myocytes (symbols) and model (line). APDs were normalized between 1 (baseline) and 0 (maximal response to ISO) to account for baseline cell to cell differences (see also **Figure 26**). CL = 1000 ms. For experimental protocol, see Johnson et al.⁹⁰ For color figure see page 248.

Figure 23. A. CaT recorded in canine ventricular myocytes in the absence of adrenergic stimulation at CL of 4000 ms, 2000 ms, 1000 ms and 500 ms. Reproduced from Ref.⁹¹, with permission. B. CaT in the model under similar conditions shows a comparable increase with rate in CaT amplitude and rate of decay. C. CaT in the model during maximal adrenergic stimulation shows increased CaT amplitude and rate of decay at all CLs. D. Quantification of the effect of ISO on CaT amplitude and rate of decay expressed as the ratio of CaT amplitude (Amp; left bars) and time-constant (of a mono-exponential fit; τ , right bars) in the presence and absence of 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO at all (same) CLs. Experimental data^{89, 92, 93} are shown in grey, model data in white.

Figure 24. Validation of CaMKII signaling and phosphorylation. A. Time course of CaMK phosphorylation of PLB at Thr 17 during pacing at 2 Hz under baseline conditions. Model data (solid black line) were determined based on data from experiments in rat ventricular myocytes using a Thr 17 phosphospecific antibody after 2 Hz pacing at room temperature (symbols).⁹⁴ Time constant of phosphorylation was subsequently adjusted by a factor of 2.0 to perform simulations at 37°C (dashed lines). All data were normalized to the maximum PLB phosphorylation at room temperature. B. Similar to panel A for deactivation of PLB Thr 17 phosphorylation at 0.2 Hz after pacing for 5 min at 2 Hz. C. Similar to panel A for CaMKII phosphorylation of RyR during pacing at 2 Hz, compared to experimental data obtained with a Ser 2815 phosphospecific RyR antibody. D. CaMKII dependent phosphorylation of PLB at steady state under various conditions in model (bars) compared to experimental Western blots with an antibody against phosphorylated PLB at Thr 17.⁹⁴ The model shows strong CaMKII-dependent PLB phosphorylation during pacing (2 Hz) in the presence of high [Ca²⁺]_o or ISO, or with phosphatase inhibition (with okadaic acid, OA, simulated as 80% reduction in

whole cell PP1); consistent with experimental observations. E. Western blots showing CaMKII autophosphorylation at Thr 287 that occurs only in the presence of OA and pacing.⁹⁴ The model shows qualitatively similar behavior of CaMKtrap, although lower levels of autonomous CaMKII activity can also be observed with ISO or high $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ during pacing, or with OA in the absence of pacing.

Simulation protocols

The model consists of a coupled system of 134 ordinary differential equations governed by the equations described in the appendix of this thesis. Numerical integration was performed in custom C++ software using a forward Euler method with variable time step. The Matlab (The Mathworks®, Natick, MA) ODE15s steady-state solution and our custom C++ forward Euler method produced identical action potentials and calcium transients.

The model was paced for 2000 seconds at any given setting (cycle length (CL) and ISO concentration) with a conservative K^+ stimulus⁹⁵ to achieve a pseudo steady-state in which APD (determined at 95% of repolarization) and maximum intracellular ion concentrations change less than 0.05% on a beat-to-beat basis. Restitution data were obtained for one additional beat after pacing to steady-state for a range of S2 coupling intervals. Temporal effects of β AR stimulation were determined by pacing to steady-state in the absence of ISO, followed by pacing in the presence of a given ISO concentration. ISO levels ranged between 0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (no β AR stimulation) and 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (maximum β AR stimulation), with 0.02 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ producing approximately half-maximal effects.

RESULTS

Whole-cell effects of β ARS on APD and CaT

Figure 25. Effect of β ARS on whole-cell AP and CaT. Baseline shown in solid lines, maximal β ARS in dashed lines. A. Steady-state AP at CL = 1000. B. Simulated calcium transient at CL = 1000 ms in the presence of β ARS shows increased amplitude and rate of relaxation compared to baseline. C. Steady-state APD as a function of pacing CL. D. Steady-state CaT amplitude rate-dependence.

Subcellular alterations of various substrates in response to β ARS combine at the cellular level to change the AP morphology and CaT. **Figure 25A** shows that β ARS results in shortening of APD and increase in AP plateau amplitude, consistent with experimental data.⁸⁰ Experimentally, there is considerable cell-to-cell variation in the magnitude of the response (**Figure 26**) and model output is within this range. At CL = 1000 ms, CaT amplitude is significantly enhanced and CaT decay is faster, as observed experimentally (**Figure 23**; **Figure 25B**). We analyzed the rate-dependence of these APD

and CaT changes by pacing the model to steady-state in absence or presence of a saturating dose of ISO at various CL. APD shortening and increased CaT amplitude are observed at all CL (**Figure 25C,D**). Changes in CaT amplitude and Ca^{2+} loading are most pronounced at fast rates.

Figure 26. Examples of action potentials recorded in the absence (Base) or presence (ISO) of β -adrenergic stimulation with ISO in 5 different canine ventricular myocytes at CL = 1000 ms. Stimulation with ISO increases plateau amplitude and shortens APD but there is considerable cell-to-cell variation in the magnitude of the response. For experimental protocol, see Johnson et al.⁹⁰

Contribution of individual PKA substrates to whole-cell AP and CaT changes in response to β ARS

Figure 27. Summary of contributions of individual substrates to APD and CaT changes in the presence of ISO (complete data are provided in **Figure 28**). A. Steady-state APD at CL = 350 ms (left) and CL = 2000 ms (right) at baseline (no ISO), in the presence of maximal β ARS and during maximal β ARS when PKA-dependent phosphorylation of a specific substrate is disabled (e.g., indicated by ICal P for inhibition of I_{CaL} phosphorylation). CaMKII phosphorylation remained intact. The horizontal dashed-dotted line indicates the reference value during maximal β ARS with all substrates phosphorylated. Inset shows the % increase in APD when I_{Kur} phosphorylation is disabled (versus when it is present) in the presence or absence of I_{Ks} . B. Similar to (A) for CaT amplitude. C. Similar to (A) for the time-constant of CaT decay based on a monoexponential fit.

The relative contribution of a substrate was analyzed by pacing the model to steady-state in the absence of β ARS, in the presence of 1.0 μ mol/L ISO and with 1.0 μ mol/L ISO but with the phosphorylation of the substrate of interest disabled. **Figure 27** summarizes the effects of phosphorylation of each substrate on APD (**Figure 27A**), CaT amplitude (**Figure 27B**) and CaT rate of

decay (**Figure 27C**) at CL = 350 ms (left) and CL = 2000 ms (right). AP and CaT traces underlying these data can be found in **Figure 28**.

Figure 28. Contribution of individual substrates to APD and CaT changes in response to β AR stimulation at CL = 350 ms. Steady-state AP and CaT are shown in the absence of β AR stimulation (black solid line), in the presence of a saturating dose of ISO (gray dashed line), or in the presence of β AR stimulation without PKA-dependent phosphorylation (red dashed-dotted line) of I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , I_{Kur} , or I_{NaK} (top two rows; left to right) or without PKA-dependent phosphorylation of I_{Na} , PLB, RyR or TnI (bottom two rows; left to right). For color figure, see page 249.

I_{Ks} has only a small impact on steady-state APD under baseline conditions⁵² (**Chapter 2**) but plays a major role in APD shortening during β ARS, consistent with experimental observations.⁸⁰ Previous studies have identified kinetic channel states (termed available reserve) that modulate the rate-dependent contribution of I_{Ks} to repolarization^{96, 97} and their alteration by β ARS.^{98, 99} Consistent with these studies, the model predicts an increased available reserve during β ARS in the canine ventricular myocyte (**Figure 29**). I_{Ks} channel gating is characterized by two major conformational changes of the voltage-sensors of each of the four channel subunits, followed by a final voltage-

independent cooperative step to open the channel.^{97, 100} This structural information is reflected in the 17-state Markov model described by Silva and Rudy.⁹⁷ Because the second voltage-sensor transition is significantly faster than the first, two zones of closed-states are distinguished. "Zone 2" of deep closed states consists of all states in which at least one voltage-sensor still needs to make a slow transition, whereas "Zone 1" is the available reserve which contains those states that only require the second, faster transitions. In the presence of β ARS, there is significant increase of I_{Ks} of a premature beat elicited after a short diastolic interval compared to longer diastolic intervals or to baseline conditions in the absence of β ARS (**Figure 29D**). The mechanisms underlying this larger I_{Ks} at the level of channel gating kinetics are analyzed by plotting the (fractional) zone occupancy of all I_{Ks} channels at a diastolic interval of 25 ms (left) and 125 ms (right) under baseline conditions (solid lines) and in the presence of β ARS (dashed-dotted lines; **Figure 29E**). Decreased deactivation rates of the phosphorylated I_{Ks} channel due to β ARS result in a larger fraction of channels in Zone 1 (greater available reserve) from which they can open quickly to generate a large I_{Ks} current during the AP repolarization phase. The increased I_{Ks} offsets the increased I_{CaL} resulting from reduced Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation due to the reduced CaT at short diastolic intervals (**Figure 29C**) as a result of incomplete JSR refilling. This ensures appropriate APD shortening at short diastolic intervals in the presence of adrenergic stimulation. These simulations of I_{Ks} gating during β ARS are consistent with results reported previously^{98, 99} and show that similar mechanisms apply in the canine ventricular myocyte.

Figure 29. Kinetic changes of I_{Ks} due to β ARS result in altered gating modes and increased available reserve for channel opening. A. APD restitution as function of diastolic interval after pacing to steady-state at CL = 2000 ms in the absence (solid black) or presence (dash-dotted red) of 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO. B. AP of premature beat at diastolic intervals of 25 ms (left) or 125 ms (right). C. CaT corresponding to these APs. D. Corresponding I_{Ks} . E. Corresponding zone occupancies of I_{Ks} channels during the AP. Zone 2 (red) represents the deep closed states, Zone 1 (green) represents the closed states adjacent to the open state, termed “available reserve”. Open state occupancy is shown in blue on a separate y-axis. Data in the absence of ISO are shown in solid lines, data in the presence of ISO in dashed-dotted lines. *For color figure, see page 250.*

Consistent with simpler models¹⁶ and experimental evidence^{40, 98}, the model predicts a major role for I_{CaL} in the increased AP plateau potentials and increased inotropy observed during β ARS. PLB phosphorylation is primarily responsible for the increased rate of CaT decay, particularly at slow rates. At fast rates, CaMKII phosphorylation (which is not inhibited in **Figure 27**) reduces the impact of PKA-dependent phosphorylation. β ARS increases Ca^{2+} leak from the SR.¹⁰¹ Consistent with this observation, disabling RyR phosphorylation increases CaT, particularly at long CL.

The model provides new insights into the contribution of other substrates. Inhibition of I_{Kur} was recently predicted to have a significant APD-prolonging effect in canine ventricular myocytes⁸¹ and, as such, augmentation of I_{Kur} by phosphorylation is expected to result in APD shortening. Interestingly, we do not find any effect on APD and only a modest effect on AP plateau amplitude when comparing normal β ARS to β ARS in the absence of I_{Kur} phosphorylation (**Figures 27-28**). However, in the absence of I_{Ks} , inhibition of I_{Kur} phosphorylation results in a significant additional prolongation of APD (2.7% increase compared to I_{Ks} block alone versus < 0.1% increase in the presence of I_{Ks} , **Figure 27A, inset**), as expected based on the dependence of APD prolongation on initial APD.¹⁰² As such, I_{Kur} phosphorylation may still have important effects in pathological conditions and provide “repolarization reserve” when I_{Ks} is compromised by disease or drugs.

Figure 27B indicates that phosphorylation of I_{NaK} (through the accessory protein phospholemman) reduces cardiac inotropy (i.e., inhibition of I_{NaK} phosphorylation increases CaT amplitude). This was previously shown in mouse ventricular myocytes by Despa et al.¹⁰³ and we show that similar mechanisms apply in the dog, despite significant differences in Ca^{2+} handling between these species. The increase in CaT results from increased steady-state intracellular Na^+ levels that reduce Ca^{2+} extrusion via Na^+-Ca^{2+} exchange. Similar Na^+ accumulation resulting from β ARS in the absence of I_{NaK} phosphorylation was previously shown in a model of the guinea-pig ventricular myocyte, although CaT amplitude was not quantified.¹⁷ Interestingly, despite a reduction in repolarizing I_{NaK} when phosphorylation is inhibited, the increased CaT is accompanied by a shortening of APD (**Figure 27A**). This occurs via reduced forward mode I_{NaCa} and enhanced Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of I_{CaL} . When Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation was disabled for the final beat after pacing to steady state, APD shortening in the absence of I_{NaK} phosphorylation was reduced from 7.7 ms to 2.4 ms (**Figure 30**). Similarly, inhibition of I_{NaCa} reduced APD shortening to 2.5 ms. When both CDI and I_{NaCa} were disabled, APD in the absence of I_{NaK} was prolonged compared to the presence of I_{NaK} phosphorylation (by 1 ms, not shown). Thus, it appears that both CDI and I_{NaCa} contribute to the APD shortening observed in the absence of I_{NaK} phosphorylation. I_{NaCa} and/or CDI were only disabled for a single beat to prevent secondary changes in Ca^{2+} loading or $[Na^+]_i$.

Figure 30. Effect of inhibition of I_{NaK} phosphorylation in the presence of β ARS (left), β ARS with I_{NaCa} inhibition (middle) or β ARS without Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation (CDI) of I_{CaL} (right). Results are shown at steady state during pacing at CL = 2000 ms in the presence (thin black lines) or absence (thick grey lines) of I_{NaK} phosphorylation. A. Membrane potential. B. C_{ai} (solid lines) and intracellular Na^{+} (dashed lines). C. I_{CaL} . D. I_{NaK} . E. I_{NaCa} (solid lines) and SR Ca^{2+} release (J_{rel} ; dashed lines). CDI was only blocked for the last beat shown to prevent secondary changes in Ca^{2+} loading. I_{NaK} P. denotes I_{NaK} phosphorylation.

Localized cAMP signaling

Figure 31. Compartmentation of β ARS in the control model with normal compartments (left) or in simulations with reduced compartmentation (right). A. Time dependent changes in cAMP levels in CAV (solid line), ECAV (dashed) and CYT (dash-dotted) during stimulation with 100 nmol/L ISO (top) or in the presence of β_2 AR stimulation only (100 nmol/L ISO and 100% block of β_1 AR; bottom). B. Differential effects of combined (β_1 AR + β_2 AR) stimulation (solid) or β_2 ARS only (dashed) on APD (top) and CaT amplitude (bottom) at a cycle length of 1000 ms. C. Steady-state I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} and PLB phosphorylation levels during the simulations in (B).

Experimentally, it is established that cAMP levels are localized in subdomains. The control model with normal compartmentation shows distinct cAMP concentrations in CAV, ECAV and CYT domains at baseline, as well as in response to β ARS (**Figure 31A; top-left panel**). The relative distribution of cAMP at baseline (micromolar range for ECAV, high nanomolar range for CAV and CYT) is consistent with that reported by Iancu et al.¹¹ Activation of different signaling pathways can elicit specific

subcellular cAMP responses. For example, β_2 AR are predominantly located in caveolae⁸ and simulated β_2 ARS elevates CAV cAMP with only modest effects on cAMP in ECAV and CYT domains (**Figure 31A; bottom-left panel**). In contrast, when cAMP diffusion barriers are reduced (e.g., simulating application of M β C, a cholesterol depleting agent that, among other things, disrupts local cAMP domains), cAMP concentrations become uniform throughout the cell and β ARS results in cell-wide increase in cAMP independent of the activated receptor isoform, consistent with experiment⁸ (**Figure 31A; right panels**). In the control (compartmental) model (left panels), in contrast to APD shortening with whole-cell β ARS, selective stimulation of β_2 AR *prolongs* APD (**Figure 32B; top-left panel**). However, increases in CaT are present for both types of β ARS (bottom-left panel). When compartmentation is reduced (right), the subsarcolemmal cAMP levels in CAV and ECAV are too small to allow regulation of APD or CaT, which show a similar time-dependent change, independent of the receptor isoform that is stimulated (**Figure 31B; right panels**). The mechanism underlying the distinct responses to whole cell ($\beta_1 + \beta_2$) β ARS and β_2 ARS-only in the compartmental model is shown in **Figure 31C**. Whole cell β ARS phosphorylates substrates throughout the cell, including I_{Ks} , which is responsible for APD shortening (**Figure 27**). In contrast, β_2 ARS does not phosphorylate I_{Ks} or PLB (**Figure 31C; left panel**). When compartmentation is reduced, the differential control of phosphorylation by the two isoforms is lost (**Figure 31C; right panel**). Since M β C application alters the distribution of other signaling molecules in addition to cAMP diffusion, it is difficult to relate the predicted functional responses to experimental measurements in the presence of M β C.⁴⁶

Figure 32. Contribution of β AR signaling components to cAMP compartmentation. A. Effect of virtual knock-outs of PDE3, PDE4, AC5/6, AC4/7 or PKA on steady-state cAMP concentration in CAV, ECAV and CYT domains in the absence of β ARS. B. Identical to A, in the presence of 1.0 μ mol/L ISO. Note

separate y-scale for cAMP in ECAV and different y-scales in (A) and (B). C. Mechanisms behind prominent cAMP increase resulting from virtual PKA knock-out in the presence of β ARS. Difference in β AR availability (left), PDE3/4 Activity (at 1.0 μ mol/L cAMP; middle) or Gi activity (right) in the presence and absence of PKA during stimulation with 1.0 μ mol/L ISO for each compartment.

To identify the main signaling components involved in establishing local cAMP levels, virtual knock-outs of these components were simulated in the absence and presence of β ARS (**Figure 32**). Specific sub-cellular distributions of PDEs, ACs and PKA cause inter-domain differences in local cAMP concentrations. In the absence of β ARS, PDE3 (and to a lesser extent PDE4) and AC5/6 predominantly determine cAMP in CYT and CAV, while AC4/7 determine cAMP in ECAV. As expected, cAMP levels increase in all compartments upon β ARS in control conditions and in all virtual knock-outs. In general, the localized differences occurring in knock-outs remain present during β ARS (**Figure 32B**). Several negative feedback loops exist in the β AR signaling cascade. In these loops PKA activated by cAMP modifies upstream elements in the cascade to limit excessive cAMP production. The model predicts that PKA-dependent feedback loops have important effects on steady-state cAMP levels and that this occurs in a localized manner (right-most bar graphs). There is a prominent increase in cAMP in the absence of PKA during β ARS (**Figure 32B; far-right**). This increase occurs via several feedback loops (**Figure 32C**). Without PKA there is increased availability of β AR (**Figure 32C; left panel**), due to reduced β AR desensitization. Moreover, there is reduction in cAMP hydrolyzing activity of PDE3 and PDE4 (**Figure 32C; middle panel**). PDE3 and PDE4 can be phosphorylated by PKA, thereby increasing their activity. In the PKA knock-out model this negative-feedback on cAMP is removed, resulting in increased cAMP levels. Finally, there is no activation of Gi (due to β 2AR phosphorylation) in the absence of PKA, reducing inhibition of AC5/6.

Downstream effects of β ARS on CaMKII

Figure 33. A. Rate-dependence of steady-state CaMKII activity averaged per beat at baseline (solid black) and in the presence of 10 nmol/L ISO (dash-dotted, grey), 1.0 μ mol/L ISO (dashed, grey) or 1.0 μ mol/L ISO with virtual knock-out of Inh1 (dash-double dot, black). B. Steady-state AP and subsarcolemmal Ca^{2+} transient in the dyadic cleft at baseline (solid black) and during maximal β ARS (dashed grey) at CL = 1000 ms. Horizontal line in magnified inset indicates the half maximal Ca^{2+} level for CaMKII activation. C. Accumulation of CaMKII activity during the first 25 seconds of pacing in the absence and presence of β ARS at CL = 350 ms and CL = 1000 ms. Black lines indicate the average CaMKII per beat. Right part shows corresponding steady state unaveraged CaMKII traces. D. Phosphorylation of PLB by PKA at Ser 16 (left) and CaMKII at Thr 17 (right) at rest and during pacing in the absence or presence of adrenergic stimulation in the model (white bars) and in rat ventricular myocytes¹⁰⁴ (shaded bars).

In addition to cAMP compartmentation, Ca^{2+} signaling is also highly localized. High subsarcolemmal Ca^{2+} concentrations in dyadic clefts (Ca_{ss}^{2+}) activate the CaMKII signaling pathway which phosphorylates several substrates that are also targeted by PKA. CaMKII activity in the model is rate-dependent, consistent with experimental observations^{105, 106}. ISO increases CaMKII activity in a concentration-dependent manner, particularly at fast rates (**Figure 33A**). Increased CaMKII is caused

by a larger peak Ca_{ss}^{2+} transient and a larger duration with Ca_{ss}^{2+} levels close to CaMKII affinity (**Figure 33B**). In addition, there is an important role for reduction in PP1 via PKA-dependent activation of Inh1, since virtual knock-out of Inh1 significantly reduces CaMKII activity during maximal β ARS (**Figure 33A**; dash-double-dotted line), consistent with the effect of PP1 inhibition by okadaic acid in rat ventricular myocytes.⁹⁴ The rate-dependent increase in CaMKII is more pronounced than the increase due to enhanced Ca^{2+} cycling with β ARS (e.g., CaMKII activity at CL = 350 ms without β ARS is 0.15, compared to 0.06 at CL = 1500 ms with β ARS). Therefore, the slow rate of CaMKII deactivation (time constant of deactivation is approximately 1500 ms¹⁰⁵), which results in accumulation of active CaMKII at fast rates (**Figure 33C**), has a larger impact on steady-state CaMKII activity than enhanced activation due to β ARS-dependent increases in Ca^{2+} cycling. PLB is phosphorylated by PKA on Ser16 and by CaMKII on Thr17. Hagemann et al.¹⁰⁴ analyzed the rate- and adrenergic-stimulation dependent modulation of PLB phosphorylation in rat ventricular myocytes. The simulation results in **Figure 33D** show an important role of pacing rate for CaMKII-dependent phosphorylation of PLB, whereas PKA-dependent phosphorylation is rate-independent, in agreement with Hagemann's experimental observations. Knock-out of Inh1 increases PP1 activity and reduces both Ser16 and Thr17 PLB phosphorylation.

Calcium overload and delayed afterdepolarizations

For the final set of simulations, the model was extended with a formulation for store-overload induced SR Ca^{2+} release. After cessation of pacing at CL = 500 ms in the presence of 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO, the model shows four Ca^{2+} after- transients and corresponding delayed afterdepolarizations (DADs; **Figure 34A**). DAD characteristics are consistent with those measured in canine ventricular myocytes³⁹ (**Figure 34B**). The effects of β AR isoforms, compartmental signaling and CaMKII on DADs are shown in **Figure 34C** for various pacing CLs. At faster CL, Ca^{2+} load increases, more DADs are generated, their average amplitude increases (**Figure 34C**) and coupling interval decreases (not shown). Inhibition of β 1AR and, to a lesser extent, reduced compartmentation (via increased cAMP diffusion) reduce the number of DADs, in line with the lower CaT amplitudes observed in **Figure 31**, although DADs were still observed at the fastest rates. Virtual knock-out of CaMKII, on the other hand, prevented DADs even at the fastest rate (3 Hz) investigated. Although all conditions reduce the incidence of DADs, this occurs via different mechanisms. β 1AR blockade predominantly affects PKA-dependent phosphorylation of PLB, reduced compartmentation modestly reduces PKA-dependent phosphorylation of I_{CaL} and RyR, and CaMKII inhibition abolishes CaMKII-dependent phosphorylation of all substrates without altering the PKA-dependent phosphorylation (**Figure 34D**). β 1AR block or CaMKII inhibition strongly reduce the maximum JSR Ca^{2+} levels (**Figure 34E**). This reduction in JSR Ca^{2+} explains the low incidence of DADs in these conditions since the threshold for spontaneous release is reached less often. To investigate which substrate has the largest impact on JSR Ca^{2+} levels and the incidence of DADs, we selectively inhibited either the PKA- or CaMKII-dependent phosphorylation of these 3 targets individually. The model predicts that PKA-dependent phosphorylation of I_{CaL} (in line with the strong reduction in CaT amplitude shown in **Figure 27**), and both PKA- and CaMKII-dependent modulation of SR Ca^{2+} uptake (via SERCA2a & PLB phosphorylation) have the largest impact (not shown). Consistent with previous modeling studies¹⁰⁷, when an effect of RyR phosphorylation (by CaMKII and/or PKA) to lower the threshold for spontaneous Ca^{2+} release is included, this target also has a significant impact, enhancing DAD

incidence (not shown). However, the functional consequences of RyR phosphorylation by both kinases are still actively debated in the literature.¹⁰⁸

Figure 34. A. DADs (top, indicated with #) and Ca^{2+} after- transients (bottom) after cessation of pacing (CL = 500 ms; last paced beat shown) in the presence of 1.0 μ mol/L ISO. B. Comparison of DAD properties from A to experimental data from canine ventricular myocytes.³⁹ DAD amplitude (left), DAD coupling interval (middle) and number of DADs (right). C. Modulation of number of DADs (left) and DAD amplitude (right) at different CLs by 1.0 μ mol/L ISO (solid line), ISO + β 1AR blockade (dashed), ISO with reduced compartmentation (dash-dotted), or ISO + CaMKII inhibition (dash-double dot). D. Phosphorylation of I_{CaL} , SERCa2a & PLB ("SR Upt."), and RyR by PKA (white bars) or CaMKII (shaded bars) relative to 1.0 μ mol/L ISO at CL= 500 ms, for the conditions in C. E. Maximum

JSR Ca^{2+} levels during $\beta_1\text{AR}$ blockade, reduced compartmentation, or CaMKII inhibition, relative to 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO at CL= 500 ms. K.O.= knock out.

DISCUSSION

This study investigates the interplay between compartmentalization of the β -adrenergic signaling cascade and the different isoforms of the βAR in determining responses of whole-cell electrophysiology and calcium transient to βARS . The main novel mechanistic insights include: (i) compartmentation of cAMP is mainly controlled by PDEs, AC and PKA and allows for selective effects of $\beta_1\text{ARS}$ and $\beta_2\text{ARS}$ (see below); (ii) I_{NaK} modulation by phospholemman phosphorylation alters $[\text{Na}^+]_i$ and consequently $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ to limit inotropy in large mammals; this alters APD via I_{NaCa} and Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of I_{CaL} ; (iii) I_{Kur} phosphorylation enhances repolarization reserve when I_{Ks} is reduced, but does not affect APD for control I_{Ks} ; (iv) inhibition of CaMKII, blockade of $\beta_1\text{AR}$ and reduced compartmentation reduce the incidence of DADs during βARS at fast rates.

Substrates experience local signaling environments depending on their subcellular localization. The model identifies restricted diffusion and localized expression of PDEs, AC and PKA as the main elements controlling cAMP compartmentation. Knock-out of PKA has a particularly strong effect on cAMP during βARS via disruption of 3 different negative-feedback loops (βAR desensitization, PDE stimulation and Gi activation via $\beta_2\text{AR}$ phosphorylation). Increased cAMP after PKA inhibition was also reported by Rochais et al. in rat ventricular myocytes,¹⁰⁹ although their increase is less pronounced. Differences between specific PKA knock-out and (possibly incomplete) PKA inhibition with H89 may explain this difference. Interestingly, H89 has also been shown to block βAR in human airway cells,¹¹⁰ which would disable the disruption of one of the negative feedback loops.

Activation of specific cAMP domains by localized G-protein-coupled receptors can modulate whole-cell responses such as APD and CaT selectively. For example, activation of $\beta_2\text{AR}$ alone does not phosphorylate I_{Ks} or PLB and prolongs APD, but has a modest positive inotropic effect. However, $\beta_1\text{AR}$ stimulation has a cell-wide response resulting in decreased APD and increased CaT amplitude. This specific control is lost when compartmentation is removed. Knowing which signaling components are affected by which receptors, and which cAMP pools and downstream effectors they control, is a critical first step to develop pharmaceutical compounds that act locally, thereby ensuring their specificity and avoiding arrhythmogenic side-effects.

We integrated the novel compartmental cAMP/PKA pathway with the CaMKII pathway present in the baseline model^{52, 105} (**Chapter 2**) via a physiological, population based approach. Soltis and Saucerman¹⁰⁷ recently described a computational model that includes both CaMKII and PKA pathways and identified a synergistic effect of these pathways on CaT amplitude. Consistent with their data, we find that βARS enhances CaMKII activity and phosphorylation of CaMKII substrates.

This enhanced activity is due to enhanced Ca^{2+} cycling. In addition, we show that this effect is dose-dependent and more pronounced at fast rates. Enhanced CaMKII activity with increasing pacing rate (due to the accumulation of CaMKII activity) is larger than that due to β ARS at a given rate, consistent with the concept that CaMKII is predominantly a frequency sensor.¹⁰⁶ Because β ARS has important chronotropic effects in-vivo, a synergistic increase in CaMKII activity via both mechanisms is predicted. Experimental techniques for real-time monitoring of CaMKII activity in specific myocyte compartments are needed to fully characterize the β ARS-CaMKII interactions and their mechanisms. Other interactions, such as those via cAMP-dependent activation of EPAC which has downstream effects on CaMKII, may be important. Recently, Mangmool et al. showed that this interaction is β_1 AR-specific and involves translocation of EPAC and CaMKII to the receptor complex via β -arrestin.¹¹¹ When more quantitative aspects of EPAC-dependent CaMKII activation become available, the compartmental model presented here will be able to include these localized and isoform specific interactions.

Comparison to existing models

Many simulation studies have included functional effects of β ARS on cardiac electrophysiology (see **Table 2** for model comparisons). Most studies included only functional effects on substrates via a shift in parameters for a single pathway. Saucerman et al.¹⁴ were the first to integrate a global model of the cAMP signaling cascade into a model of ventricular cell electrophysiology. Recently, these authors expanded their model¹⁰⁷ by combining the effects of CaMKII and cAMP/PKA on electrophysiological targets. Iancu et al.¹¹ were the first to develop a model which included localized aspects of cAMP signaling but not electrophysiological effects. These pioneering studies formed the basis for the model presented here, whose main novel characteristics include: (i) compartmental model of β ARS and its effects on electrophysiology and CaT in canine ventricular myocyte; (ii) incorporation of both β_1 AR and β_2 AR isoforms to study physiological effects of compartmentation; (iii) a detailed population-based approach to integrate the cAMP/PKA and CaMKII pathways and their effects on a wide range of substrates.

Beta-adrenergic signaling / stimulation										CaMKII signaling / stimulation				Other	
Study	Electr. Substrates	Model Approach	Signaling	Local	Feedback	Isoforms	Electr. Substrates	Model Approach	Signaling	Local	Model Type	Species			
Zeng & Rudy, Biophys. J. 1995 ¹⁵	I _{CaL} , I _{Kr} , SERCa2A/P LB, I _{NaK} , I _{Na}	Param-shift	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca ²⁺	Guinea -Pig			
Saucerman et al. J. Biol. Chem. 2003 ¹⁴	I _{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB	Param-shift	Dynamic cAMP / PKA	Global	βAR-desens. Inhibitor-1	PKA (I/II)	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca ²⁺	Rat			
Greenstein et al. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 2004 ¹¹²	I _{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB, I _{Kr} , I _{Ks}	Population-based	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Stoch. Local Control Ca ²⁺	Dog			
Hund & Rudy, Circulation 2004 ¹¹³	-	-	-	-	-	-	I _{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB, RyR	Param-shift	Dynamic, Ca ²⁺ act.	Sub-space act.	Common Pool Ca ²⁺	Dog			
Saucerman et al. Circ. Res. 2004 ¹¹⁴	I _{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB, RyR, TnI, I _{Ks}	Param-shift	Dynamic cAMP / PKA	AKAPs	βAR-desens. Inhibitor-1	PDE (3/4), PKA (I/II)	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca ²⁺	Rabbit			
Terrenoire et al. Circ. Res. 2005 ¹¹⁵	I _{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB, I _{Ks}	Population-based	Dynamic cAMP / PKA	Global	βAR-desens. Inhibitor-1	PKA (I/II)	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca ²⁺	Guinea -Pig			
Saucerman et al. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 2006 ¹¹⁶	I _{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB	Param-shift	Dynamic cAMP / PKA	Compartmental (uniform)	βAR-desens. Inhibitor-1	-	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca ²⁺	Neonatal Rat			
Faber & Rudy, Cardiovasc. Res. 2007 ¹⁶	I _{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB, I _{Ks} , I _{NaK} , I _{K1}	Param-shift	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca ²⁺	Guinea -Pig			

Beta-adrenergic signaling / stimulation													CaMKII signaling / stimulation				Other	
Study	Electr. Substrates	Model Approach	Signaling	Local	Feedback	Isoforms	Electr. Substrates	Model Approach	Signaling	Local	Signaling	Local	Model Type	Species				
Grandi et al. Biophys. J. 2007 ¹¹⁷	-	-	-	-	-	-	I_{CaL} , I_{Na} , I_{To}	Param-shift	None, CaMKII overexpression	-	None, CaMKII overexpression	-	Common Pool Ca^{2+}	Rabbit				
Iancu et al. Biophys. J. 2007 ⁵¹	-	-	Dynamic cAMP / PKA	Compartmental (heterogeneous)	None	PDE (2/3/4), AC (4&7/5&6), Gs/Gi	-	-	-	-	-	-	Signaling Only	Guinea-Pig				
Iyer et al. Circ. Res. 2007 ¹⁸	I_{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB, RyR, I_{Ks} , I_{NaCa}	Param-shift	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca^{2+}	Human				
Kuzumoto et al. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 2008 ¹⁷	I_{CaL} , SERCa2A/P LB, I_{Ks} , I_{NaK} , I_{pCa} , I_{CFTR}	Param-shift	Dynamic cAMP / PKA	AKAPs	β AR-desens. PDE stim.	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca^{2+}	Guinea-Pig				
Chiba et al. Biophys. J. 2008 ¹¹⁸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Dynamic, Ca^{2+} act., PP1 regulation	-	Signaling Only	-				
Hund et al. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2008 ⁵³	-	-	-	-	-	-	I_{CaL} , SERCa2A/PLB, RyR, J_{leak} , I_{Na} , I_{NaL}	Param-shift	Dynamic, Ca^{2+} act.	Subspace act.	Dynamic, Ca^{2+} act.	Subspace act.	Common Pool Ca^{2+}	Dog				
Iancu et al. Am. J. Physiol. 2008 ¹¹	-	-	Dynamic cAMP / PKA	Compartmental (heterogeneous)	-	PDE (2/3/4), AC (4&7/5&6), Gs/Gi	-	-	-	-	-	-	Signaling Only	Guinea-Pig				
Saucerman and Bers, J. Biol. Chem. 2008 ¹¹⁹	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Dynamic, Ca^{2+} act. (incl. Calmodulin), PP1 regulation	Subspace act.	Common Pool, no effect of CaMKII on substrates	Rabbit				

Beta-adrenergic signaling / stimulation										CaMKII signaling / stimulation				Other	
Study	Electr. Substrates	Model Approach	Signaling	Local	Feedback	Isoforms	Electr. Substrates	Model Approach	Signaling	Local	Model Type	Species			
Violin et al. J. Biol. Chem. 2008 ¹²	-	-	Dynami c cAMP / PKA	Global	βAR-desens. PDE stim.	β2AR specific	I_{CaL} , I_{Na} , I_{To}	-	-	-	Signaling Only	HEK293 -Cells			
Xin et al. J. Gen. Physiol. 2008 ¹³	-	-	Dynami c cAMP / PKA	Global	βAR-desens. PDE stim.	β2AR, PDE4 specifi	-	-	-	-	Signaling Only	HEK293 -Cells			
Ahrens-Nicklas et al. Cardiovasc. Res. 2009 ¹²⁰	I_{CaL} , I_{Kr} , I_{Ks}	Param-shift	Global	-	βAR-desens. Inhibitor-1	PKA (I/II)	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca^{2+} , multicell	Guinea -Pig			
Christensen et al. PLOS Comput. Biol. 2009 ¹²¹	-	-	-	-	-	-	I_{CaL} , SERCa2A/PL B, RyR, J_{leak} , I_{Na} , I_{NaL}	Param-shift	Dynami c, Ca^{2+} and oxidative act.	Sub-space act.	Common Pool Ca^{2+} , multicell	Dog			
Gaur et al. Circ. Res. 2009 ¹²²	I_{CaL} , SERCa2A/PL B, I_{Ks} , I_{K1}	Param-shift	None	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Common Pool Ca^{2+}	Guinea -Pig			
Hashambho y et al. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2010 ¹²³	-	-	-	-	-	-	I_{CaL} , SERCa2A/PL B, RyR	Populat ion-based	Dynami c, Ca^{2+} act.	Sub-space act.	Stoch. Local Control Ca^{2+}	Dog			
Soltis & Saucerman, Biophys. J. 2010 ¹⁰⁷	I_{CaL} , SERCa2a/PL B, RyR, I_{Ks} , TnI , I_{CFTR}	Param-shift	Dynami c cAMP / PKA	AKAPs	βAR-desens. Inhibitor-1	PDE (3/4), PKA (I/II)	I_{CaL} , SERCa2a/PL B, RyR, I_{Na} , I_{To}	Param-shift	Dynami c, Ca^{2+} act., PP1 regulation	Sub-space act.	Common Pool Ca^{2+}	Rabbit			
Present study; Heijman et al.	I_{CaL} , SERCa2A/PL B, RyR, I_{Ks} , I_{Kur} , I_{NaK} , I_{Na} , TnI	Populat ion-based	Dynami c cAMP / PKA	Compartmental (heterogeneous), AKAPs	βAR-desens. PDE stim. Inhibitor-1	βAR (β1/β2), PDE (2/3/4), AC (4&7/5&6), PKA (I/II), Gs/Gi	I_{CaL} , SERCa2A/PL B, RyR, I_{Na} , I_{NaL} , I_{To} , I_{K1}	Populat ion-based	Dynami c, Ca^{2+} act., PP1 regulation	Sub-space act.	Common Pool Ca^{2+}	Dog			

Table 2. Comparison of current study to existing models.

Limitations

Due to the inherent complexity of the simulated system, the resulting model is complex and involves many parameters. This is because it is based on the actual mechanistic interactions between many proteins for both the signaling pathway and the electrophysiological model. Parameter sensitivity analysis (**Figures 4-6**) provides insight into the contributions and relative importance of individual parameters. To facilitate application of this model, model code, including validation protocols, is available online in the Research Section of <http://rudylab.wustl.edu>. In addition, the model can be decomposed into two simpler modules (signaling and electrophysiology) that are connected through the phosphorylation levels. Thus, in investigations involving only electrophysiological measures, the phosphorylation levels can simply be fixed and only the electrophysiological module can be used. Similarly, other investigations may only require the signaling pathway.

Not all parameters are currently available from experimental data. In addition, certain characteristics of the β AR signaling cascade are only available for rodents or are determined in biochemical reaction systems. However, major elements of the cascade (β AR density, AC activity, cAMP levels, I_{CaL} and I_{Ks} ISO concentration-dependence) and most electrophysiological properties of PKA substrates are available from canine ventricular myocytes. Nonetheless, a model based entirely on canine-specific experimental data is currently not feasible. Importantly, all parameters have a physiological interpretation and can in principle be determined experimentally. The parameter estimation procedure was designed such that parameters were determined from data reflecting direct steps in the β AR cascade whenever possible, in order to minimize uncertainties.

The exact effect of phosphorylation is still debated for some substrates, particularly the RyR.¹²⁴ Because the substrate data are based on whole-cell properties in the presence of maximal ISO stimulation, we do not distinguish between specific phosphorylation sites per substrate. For example, the I_{CaL} channel has multiple subunits with various PKA phosphorylation sites. The model reproduces the net effect on I_{CaL} of all phosphorylation sites combined. However, we do distinguish between the phosphorylation mechanisms (i.e., CaMKII versus PKA) using separate populations. Once electrophysiological and signaling data become available for individual phosphorylation sites, the population-based approach introduced here can be readily extended to incorporate this level of detail.

Long pacing sequences must be simulated to capture the phosphorylation time course, which means that it is computationally prohibitive to simulate microscopic Ca^{2+} events (e.g., Ca^{2+} sparks). As such, the model is limited to reproducing macroscopic Ca^{2+} properties. Similarly, the model employs a macroscopic, threshold-based SR store-overload induced Ca^{2+} -release mechanism.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Leonid Livshitz, Dr. Ali Nekouzadeh, Dr. Keith Decker, Namit Gaur, Tom O'Hara and Jiajing Xu, Washington University in St. Louis, and Daniel M. Johnson, Maastricht University, The Netherlands for helpful discussions.

GRANTS

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health-National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (Grants R01-HL049054-18 and R01-HL01033343-26) and Fondation Leducq Award to the Alliance for Calmodulin Kinase Signaling in Heart Disease (Grant 08CVD01) to Y. Rudy. This material is also based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CBET-0929633 to Y. Rudy. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation (NSF). Support by the Hein J.J. Wellens Foundation to J Heijman is acknowledged. P.G.A. Volders is supported by The Netherlands Heart Foundation (NHS 2007T51); and The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (Grant ZonMw Vidi 91710365). Y. Rudy is the Fred Saigh Distinguished Professor at Washington University in St. Louis and the Distinguished Hein J.J. Wellens Visiting Professor 2008-2009 at Maastricht University.

REFERENCES

1. Rapundalo ST. Cardiac protein phosphorylation: functional and pathophysiological correlates. *Cardiovasc Res*. 1998;38:559-588.
2. Bers DM. *Excitation-contraction coupling and cardiac contractile force*. 2nd ed. ed. Dordrecht ; London: Kluwer Academic; 2001.
3. Scott JD, Pawson T. Cell signaling in space and time: where proteins come together and when they're apart. *Science*. 2009;326:1220-1224.
4. Kang M, Chung KY, Walker JW. G-protein coupled receptor signaling in myocardium: not for the faint of heart. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. 2007;22:174-184.
5. Steinberg SF, Brunton LL. Compartmentation of G protein-coupled signaling pathways in cardiac myocytes. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2001;41:751-773.
6. Vandecasteele G, Rochais F, Abi-Gerges A, Fischmeister R. Functional localization of cAMP signalling in cardiac myocytes. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2006;34:484-488.
7. Mauban JRH, O'Donnell M, Warriar S, Manni S, Bond M. AKAP-Scaffolding Proteins and Regulation of Cardiac Physiology. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. 2009;24:78-87.
8. Nikolaev VO, Moshkov A, Lyon AR, Miragoli M, Novak P, Paur H, Lohse MJ, Korchev YE, Harding SE, Gorelik J. β 2-adrenergic receptor redistribution in heart failure changes cAMP compartmentation. *Science*. 2010;327:1653-1657.
9. Grimm M, Brown JH. β -Adrenergic receptor signaling in the heart: Role of CaMKII. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2010;48:322-330.
10. Rudy Y, Silva JR. Computational biology in the study of cardiac ion channels and cell electrophysiology. *Q Rev Biophys*. 2006;39:57-116.
11. Iancu RV, Ramamurthy G, Warriar S, Nikolaev VO, Lohse MJ, Jones SW, Harvey RD. Cytoplasmic cAMP concentrations in intact cardiac myocytes. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*. 2008;295:C414-C422.
12. Violin JD, DiPilato LM, Yildirim N, Elston TC, Zhang J, Lefkowitz RJ. β 2-adrenergic receptor signaling and desensitization elucidated by quantitative modeling of real time cAMP dynamics. *J Biol Chem*. 2008;283:2949-2961.

13. Xin W, Tran TM, Richter W, Clark RB, Rich TC. Roles of GRK and PDE4 activities in the regulation of β_2 adrenergic signaling. *J Gen Physiol*. 2008;131:349-364.
14. Saucerman JJ, Brunton LL, Michailova AP, McCulloch AD. Modeling β -adrenergic control of cardiac myocyte contractility in silico. *J Biol Chem*. 2003;278:47997-48003.
15. Zeng J, Rudy Y. Early afterdepolarizations in cardiac myocytes: mechanism and rate dependence. *Biophys J*. 1995;68:949-964.
16. Faber GM, Rudy Y. Calsequestrin mutation and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: a simulation study of cellular mechanism. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;75:79-88.
17. Kuzumoto M, Takeuchi A, Nakai H, Oka C, Noma A, Matsuoka S. Simulation analysis of intracellular Na^+ and Cl^- homeostasis during β_1 -adrenergic stimulation of cardiac myocyte. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. 2008;96:171-186.
18. Iyer V, Hajjar RJ, Armondas AA. Mechanisms of abnormal calcium homeostasis in mutations responsible for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Res*. 2007;100:e22-e31.
19. Razani B, Woodman SE, Lisanti MP. Caveolae: from cell biology to animal physiology. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2002;54:431-467.
20. Gao T, Puri TS, Gerhardstein BL, Chien AJ, Green RD, Hosey MM. Identification and subcellular localization of the subunits of L-type calcium channels and adenylyl cyclase in cardiac myocytes. *J Biol Chem*. 1997;272:19401-19407.
21. Parton RG, Way M, Zorzi N, Stang E. Caveolin-3 associates with developing T-tubules during muscle differentiation. *J Cell Biol*. 1997;136:137-154.
22. Shibata EF, Brown TLY, Washburn ZW, Bai J, Revak TJ, Butters CA. Autonomic regulation of voltage-gated cardiac ion channels. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2006;17 Suppl 1:S34-S42.
23. Balijepalli RC, Kamp TJ. Caveolae, ion channels and cardiac arrhythmias. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. 2008;98:149-160.
24. Eldstrom J, Van Wagoner DR, Moore ED, Fedida D. Localization of Kv1.5 channels in rat and canine myocyte sarcolemma. *FEBS Lett*. 2006;580:6039-6046.
25. Liu L, Askari A. Beta-subunit of cardiac Na^+ - K^+ -ATPase dictates the concentration of the functional enzyme in caveolae. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*. 2006;291:C569-578.
26. Lim G, Venetucci L, Eisner DA, Casadei B. Does nitric oxide modulate cardiac ryanodine receptor function? Implications for excitation-contraction coupling. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2008;77:256-264.
27. Herzig S, Neumann J. Effects of serine/threonine protein phosphatases on ion channels in excitable membranes. *Physiol Rev*. 2000;80:173-210.
28. Hiraoka M, Kawano S, Hirano Y, Furukawa T. Role of cardiac chloride currents in changes in action potential characteristics and arrhythmias. *Cardiovasc Res*. 1998;40:23-33.
29. Sorota S, Siegal MS, Hoffman BF. The isoproterenol-induced chloride current and cardiac resting potential. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 1991;23:1191-1198.
30. Karle CA, Zitron E, Zhang W, Kathöfer S, Schoels W, Kiehn J. Rapid component $\text{I}(\text{Kr})$ of the guinea-pig cardiac delayed rectifier K^+ current is inhibited by beta(1)-adrenoreceptor activation, via cAMP/protein kinase A-dependent pathways. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2002;53:355-362.
31. Zankov DP, Yoshida H, Tsuji K, Toyoda F, Ding WG, Matsuura H, Horie M. Adrenergic regulation of the rapid component of delayed rectifier K^+ current: implications for arrhythmogenesis in LQT2 patients. *Heart Rhythm*. 2009;6:1038-1046.
32. Obreztschikova MN, Sosunov EA, Anyukhovskiy EP, Moïse NS, Robinson RB, Rosen MR. Heterogeneous ventricular repolarization provides a substrate for arrhythmias in a German shepherd model of spontaneous arrhythmic death. *Circulation*. 2003;108:1389-1394.
33. Ginsburg KS, Bers DM. Isoproterenol does not enhance Ca-dependent Na/Ca exchange current in intact rabbit ventricular myocytes. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2005;39:972-981.

34. Zhang YH, Hancox JC. Regulation of cardiac Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchanger activity by protein kinase phosphorylation--still a paradox? *Cell Calcium*. 2009;45:1-10.
35. van der Heyden MA, Wijnhoven TJ, Ophhof T. Molecular aspects of adrenergic modulation of the transient outward current. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2006;71:430-442.
36. Li J, Marionneau C, Zhang R, Shah V, Hell JW, Nerbonne JM, Anderson ME. Calmodulin kinase II inhibition shortens action potential duration by upregulation of K⁺ currents. *Circ Res*. 2006;99:1092-1099.
37. Lopatin AN, Nichols CG. Inward rectifiers in the heart: an update on I_{K1}. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2001;33:625-638.
38. Vega AL, Tester DJ, Ackerman MJ, Makielski JC. Protein kinase A-dependent biophysical phenotype for V227F-KCNJ2 mutation in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2009;2:540-547.
39. Priori SG, Corr PB. Mechanisms underlying early and delayed afterdepolarizations induced by catecholamines. *Am J Physiol*. 1990;258:H1796-H1805.
40. Volders PGA, Kulcsár A, Vos MA, Sipido KR, Wellens HJ, Lazzara R, Szabo B. Similarities between early and delayed afterdepolarizations induced by isoproterenol in canine ventricular myocytes. *Cardiovasc Res*. 1997;34:348-359.
41. Hohl CM, Li QA. Compartmentation of cAMP in adult canine ventricular myocytes. Relation to single-cell free Ca²⁺ transients. *Circ Res*. 1991;69:1369-1379.
42. Warriar S, Ramamurthy G, Eckert RL, Nikolaev VO, Lohse MJ, Harvey RD. cAMP microdomains and L-type Ca²⁺ channel regulation in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 2007;580:765-776.
43. Leroy J, Abi-Gerges A, Nikolaev VO, Richter W, Lechêne P, Mazet J-L, Conti M, Fischmeister R, Vandecasteele G. Spatiotemporal dynamics of β -adrenergic cAMP signals and L-type Ca²⁺ channel regulation in adult rat ventricular myocytes: role of phosphodiesterases. *Circ Res*. 2008;102:1091-1100.
44. Madamanchi A. β -Adrenergic receptor signaling in cardiac function and heart failure. *Mcgill J Med*. 2007;10:99-104.
45. Nikolaev VO, Bunemann M, Schmitteckert E, Lohse MJ, Engelhardt S. Cyclic AMP imaging in adult cardiac myocytes reveals far-reaching β 1-adrenergic but locally confined β 2-adrenergic receptor-mediated signaling. *Circ Res*. 2006;99:1084-1091.
46. Calaghan S, Kozera L, White E. Compartmentalisation of cAMP-dependent signalling by caveolae in the adult cardiac myocyte. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2008;45:88-92.
47. He JQ, Balijepalli RC, Haworth RA, Kamp TJ. Crosstalk of β -adrenergic receptor subtypes through Gi blunts beta-adrenergic stimulation of L-type Ca²⁺ channels in canine heart failure. *Circ Res*. 2005;97:566-573.
48. Nagykaldi Z, Kem D, Lazzara R, Szabo B. Canine ventricular myocyte β 2-adrenoceptors are not functionally coupled to L-type calcium current. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 1999;10:1240-1251.
49. Altschuld RA, Starling RC, Hamlin RL, Billman GE, Hensley J, Castillo L, Fertel RH, Hohl CM, Robitaille PM, Jones LR, et al. Response of failing canine and human heart cells to β 2-adrenergic stimulation. *Circulation*. 1995;92:1612-1618.
50. Rozec B, Gauthier C. β 3-adrenoceptors in the cardiovascular system: putative roles in human pathologies. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2006;111:652-673.
51. Iancu RV, Jones SW, Harvey RD. Compartmentation of cAMP signaling in cardiac myocytes: a computational study. *Biophys J*. 2007;92:3317-3331.
52. Decker KF, Heijman J, Silva JR, Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Properties and ionic mechanisms of action potential adaptation, restitution, and accommodation in canine epicardium. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2009;296:H1017-1026.

53. Hund TJ, Decker KF, Kanter E, Mohler PJ, Boyden PA, Schuessler RB, Yamada KA, Rudy Y. Role of activated CaMKII in abnormal calcium homeostasis and I_{Na} remodeling after myocardial infarction: insights from mathematical modeling. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2008;45:420-428.
54. Chen-Goodspeed M, Lukan AN, Dessauer CW. Modeling of $G_{\alpha}(s)$ and $G_{\alpha}(i)$ regulation of human type V and VI adenylyl cyclase. *J Biol Chem.* 2005;280:1808-1816.
55. Steinberg SF, Zhang H, Pak E, Pagnotta G, Boyden PA. Characteristics of the β -adrenergic receptor complex in the epicardial border zone of the 5-day infarcted canine heart. *Circulation.* 1995;91:2824-2833.
56. Yu X, Zhang M, Kyker K, Patterson E, Benovic JL, Kem DC. Ischemic inactivation of G protein-coupled receptor kinase and altered desensitization of canine cardiac β -adrenergic receptors. *Circulation.* 2000;102:2535-2540.
57. Freedman NJ, Liggett SB, Drachman DE, Pei G, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ. Phosphorylation and desensitization of the human β_1 -adrenergic receptor. Involvement of G protein-coupled receptor kinases and cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *J Biol Chem.* 1995;270:17953-17961.
58. Vila Petroff MG, Egan JM, Wang X, Sollott SJ. Glucagon-like peptide-1 increases cAMP but fails to augment contraction in adult rat cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res.* 2001;89:445-452.
59. Kuschel M, Zhou YY, Cheng H, Zhang SJ, Chen Y, Lakatta EG, Xiao RP. $G(i)$ protein-mediated functional compartmentalization of cardiac β_2 -adrenergic signaling. *J Biol Chem.* 1999;274:22048-22052.
60. Rochais F, Abi-Gerges A, Horner K, Lefebvre F, Cooper DM, Conti M, Fischmeister R, Vandecasteele G. A specific pattern of phosphodiesterases controls the cAMP signals generated by different G_s -coupled receptors in adult rat ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res.* 2006;98:1081-1088.
61. Osadchii OE. Myocardial phosphodiesterases and regulation of cardiac contractility in health and cardiac disease. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* 2007;21:171-194.
62. Dostmann WR, Taylor SS. Identifying the molecular switches that determine whether (Rp)-cAMPS functions as an antagonist or an agonist in the activation of cAMP-dependent protein kinase I. *Biochemistry.* 1991;30:8710-8716.
63. Beavo JA, Bechtel PJ, Krebs EG. Activation of protein kinase by physiological concentrations of cyclic AMP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1974;71:3580-3583.
64. Neumann J, Gupta RC, Schmitz W, Scholz H, Nairn AC, Watanabe AM. Evidence for isoproterenol-induced phosphorylation of phosphatase inhibitor-1 in the intact heart. *Circ Res.* 1991;69:1450-1457.
65. Carr AN, Schmidt AG, Suzuki Y, del Monte F, Sato Y, Lanner C, Breeden K, Jing SL, Allen PB, Greengard P, Yatani A, Hoit BD, Grupp IL, Hajjar RJ, DePaoli-Roach AA, Kranias EG. Type 1 phosphatase, a negative regulator of cardiac function. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2002;22:4124-4135.
66. Yatani A, Shen YT, Yan L, Chen W, Kim SJ, Sano K, Irie K, Vatner SF, Vatner DE. Down regulation of the L-type Ca^{2+} channel, GRK2, and phosphorylated phospholamban: protective mechanisms for the denervated failing heart. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2006;40:619--628.
67. Xiao B, Zhong G, Obayashi M, Yang D, Chen K, Walsh MP, Shimoni Y, Cheng H, Keurs HT, Chen SRW. Ser-2030, but not Ser-2808, is the major phosphorylation site in cardiac ryanodine receptors responding to protein kinase A activation upon beta-adrenergic stimulation in normal and failing hearts. *Biochem J.* 2006;396:7--16.
68. Kirstein M, Eickhorn R, Kochsiek K, Langenfeld H. Dose-dependent alteration of rat cardiac sodium current by isoproterenol: results from direct measurements on multicellular preparations. *Pflugers Arch.* 1996;431:395-401.
69. Gao J, Mathias RT, Cohen IS, Baldo GJ. Isoprenaline, Ca^{2+} and the Na^+-K^+ pump in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol.* 1992;449:689-704.
70. Stengl M, Ramakers C, Donker DW, Nabar A, Rybin AV, Spatjens RLHMG, van der Nagel T, Wodzig WKWH, Sipido KR, Antoons G, Moorman AFM, Vos MA, Volders PGA. Temporal

- patterns of electrical remodeling in canine ventricular hypertrophy: focus on I_{Ks} downregulation and blunted beta-adrenergic activation. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2006;72:90-100.
71. Yue L, Feng J, Wang Z, Nattel S. Adrenergic control of the ultrarapid delayed rectifier current in canine atrial myocytes. *J Physiol.* 1999;516 (Pt 2):385-398.
 72. Kuschel M, Karczewski P, Hempel P, Schlegel WP, Krause EG, Bartel S. Ser16 prevails over Thr17 phospholamban phosphorylation in the β -adrenergic regulation of cardiac relaxation. *Am J Physiol.* 1999;276:H1625-H1633.
 73. Sulakhe PV, Vo XT. Regulation of phospholamban and troponin-I phosphorylation in the intact rat cardiomyocytes by adrenergic and cholinergic stimuli: roles of cyclic nucleotides, calcium, protein kinases and phosphatases and depolarization. *Mol Cell Biochem.* 1995;149-150:103--126.
 74. Li L, Desantiago J, Chu G, Kranias EG, Bers DM. Phosphorylation of phospholamban and troponin I in beta-adrenergic-induced acceleration of cardiac relaxation. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2000;278:H769-779.
 75. Rubart M, Lopshire JC, Fineberg NS, Zipes DP. Changes in left ventricular repolarization and ion channel currents following a transient rate increase superimposed on bradycardia in anesthetized dogs. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2000;11:652-664.
 76. Szabó G, Szentandrassy N, Bíró T, Tóth BI, Czifra G, Magyar J, Bányász T, Varró A, Kovács L, Nánási PP. Asymmetrical distribution of ion channels in canine and human left-ventricular wall: epicardium versus midmyocardium. *Pflugers Arch.* 2005;450:307-316.
 77. Antoons G, Volders PGA, Stankovicova T, Bito V, Stengl M, Vos MA, Sipido KR. Window Ca^{2+} current and its modulation by Ca^{2+} release in hypertrophied cardiac myocytes from dogs with chronic atrioventricular block. *J Physiol.* 2007;579:147-160.
 78. Kohlhaas M, Zhang T, Seidler T, Zibrova D, Dybkova N, Steen A, Wagner S, Chen L, Brown JH, Bers DM, Maier LS. Increased sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium leak but unaltered contractility by acute CaMKII overexpression in isolated rabbit cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res.* 2006;98:235-244.
 79. Xiao L, Zhang L, Han W, Wang Z, Nattel S. Sex-based transmural differences in cardiac repolarization and ionic-current properties in canine left ventricles. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2006;291:H570-580.
 80. Volders PGA, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Spätjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation.* 2003;107:2753-2760.
 81. Sridhar A, da Cunha DN, Lacombe VA, Zhou Q, Fox JJ, Hamlin RL, Carnes CA. The plateau outward current in canine ventricle, sensitive to 4-aminopyridine, is a constitutive contributor to ventricular repolarization. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2007;152:870-879.
 82. Baba S, Dun W, Boyden PA. Can PKA activators rescue Na^+ channel function in epicardial border zone cells that survive in the infarcted canine heart? *Cardiovasc Res.* 2004;64:260-267.
 83. Liu DW, Gintant GA, Antzelevitch C. Ionic bases for electrophysiological distinctions among epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes from the free wall of the canine left ventricle. *Circ Res.* 1993;72:671-687.
 84. Wagner S, Hacker E, Grandi E, Weber SL, Dybkova N, Sossalla S, Sowa T, Fabritz L, Kirchhof P, Bers DM, Maier LS. Ca/calmodulin kinase II differentially modulates potassium currents. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2009;2:285-294.
 85. Ginsburg KS, Bers DM. Modulation of excitation-contraction coupling by isoproterenol in cardiomyocytes with controlled SR Ca^{2+} load and Ca^{2+} current trigger. *J Physiol.* 2004;556:463-480.
 86. Odermatt A, Kurzydowski K, MacLennan DH. The V_{max} of the Ca^{2+} -ATPase of cardiac sarcoplasmic reticulum (SERCA2a) is not altered by Ca^{2+} /calmodulin-dependent

- phosphorylation or by interaction with phospholamban. *J Biol Chem.* 1996;271:14206-14213.
87. Robertson SP, Johnson JD, Holroyde MJ, Kranias EG, Potter JD, Solaro RJ. The effect of troponin I phosphorylation on the Ca^{2+} -binding properties of the Ca^{2+} -regulatory site of bovine cardiac troponin. *J Biol Chem.* 1982;257:260-263.
88. Despa S, Bossuyt J, Han F, Ginsburg KS, Jia L-G, Kutchai H, Tucker AL, Bers DM. Phospholemman-phosphorylation mediates the β -adrenergic effects on Na/K pump function in cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res.* 2005;97:252-259.
89. Yamada KA, Corr PB. Effects of β -adrenergic receptor activation on intracellular calcium and membrane potential in adult cardiac myocytes. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 1992;3:209-224.
90. Johnson DM, Heijman J, Pollard CE, Valentin JP, Crijns HJ, Abi-Gerges N, Volders PGA. I_{Ks} restricts excessive beat-to-beat variability of repolarization during beta-adrenergic receptor stimulation. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2010;48:122-130.
91. Sipido KR, Volders PGA, de Groot SHM, Verdonck F, Van de Werf F, Wellens HJJ, Vos MA. Enhanced Ca^{2+} release and Na/Ca exchange activity in hypertrophied canine ventricular myocytes: potential link between contractile adaptation and arrhythmogenesis. *Circulation.* 2000;102:2137-2144.
92. Waggoner JR, Ginsburg KS, Mitton B, Haghighi K, Robbins J, Bers DM, Kranias EG. Phospholamban overexpression in rabbit ventricular myocytes does not alter sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca transport. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2009;296:H698--H703.
93. Chakir K, Daya SK, Aiba T, Tunin RS, Dimaano VL, Abraham TP, Jaques-Robinson KM, Lai EW, Pacak K, Zhu WZ, Xiao RP, Tomaselli GF, Kass DA. Mechanisms of enhanced β -adrenergic reserve from cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Circulation.* 2009;119:1231-1240.
94. Huke S, Bers DM. Temporal dissociation of frequency-dependent acceleration of relaxation and protein phosphorylation by CaMKII. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2007;42:590-599.
95. Hund TJ, Kucera JP, Otani NF, Rudy Y. Ionic charge conservation and long-term steady state in the Luo-Rudy dynamic cell model. *Biophys J.* 2001;81:3324-3331.
96. Rocchetti M, Besana A, Gurrola GB, Possani LD, Zaza A. Rate dependency of delayed rectifier currents during the guinea-pig ventricular action potential. *J Physiol.* 2001;534:721-732.
97. Silva J, Rudy Y. Subunit interaction determines I_{Ks} participation in cardiac repolarization and repolarization reserve. *Circulation.* 2005;112:1384-1391.
98. Rocchetti M, Freli V, Perego V, Altomare C, Mostacciuolo G, Zaza A. Rate dependency of β -adrenergic modulation of repolarizing currents in the guinea-pig ventricle. *J Physiol.* 2006;574:183-193.
99. Severi S, Corsi C, Rocchetti M, Zaza A. Mechanisms of beta-adrenergic modulation of I_{Ks} in the guinea-pig ventricle: insights from experimental and model-based analysis. *Biophys J.* 2009;96:3862-3872.
100. Silva JR, Pan H, Wu D, Nekouzadeh A, Decker KF, Cui J, Baker NA, Sept D, Rudy Y. A multiscale model linking ion-channel molecular dynamics and electrostatics to the cardiac action potential. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009;106:11102-11106.
101. Curran J, Hinton MJ, Ríos E, Bers DM, Shannon TR. β -Adrenergic enhancement of sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium leak in cardiac myocytes is mediated by calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase. *Circ Res.* 2007;100:391-398.
102. Banyasz T, Horvath B, Virag L, Barandi L, Szentandrassy N, Harmati G, Magyar J, Marangoni S, Zaza A, Varro A, Nanasi PP. Reverse rate dependency is an intrinsic property of canine cardiac preparations. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2009;84:237-244.
103. Despa S, Tucker AL, Bers DM. Phospholemman-mediated activation of Na/K-ATPase limits $[\text{Na}]_i$ and inotropic state during β -adrenergic stimulation in mouse ventricular myocytes. *Circulation.* 2008;117:1849-1855.

104. Hagemann D, Kuschel M, Kuramochi T, Zhu W, Cheng H, Xiao RP. Frequency-encoding Thr17 phospholamban phosphorylation is independent of Ser16 phosphorylation in cardiac myocytes. *J Biol Chem*. 2000;275:22532-22536.
105. Livshitz LM, Rudy Y. Regulation of Ca^{2+} and electrical alternans in cardiac myocytes: role of CaMKII and repolarizing currents. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2007;292:H2854-2866.
106. De Koninck P, Schulman H. Sensitivity of CaM kinase II to the frequency of Ca^{2+} oscillations. *Science*. 1998;279:227-230.
107. Soltis AR, Saucerman JJ. Synergy between CaMKII Substrates and beta-Adrenergic Signaling in Regulation of Cardiac Myocyte Ca^{2+} Handling. *Biophys J*. 2010;99:2038-2047.
108. Kashimura T, Briston SJ, Trafford AW, Napolitano C, Priori SG, Eisner DA, Venetucci LA. In the RyR2(R4496C) mouse model of CPVT, beta-adrenergic stimulation induces Ca waves by increasing SR Ca content and not by decreasing the threshold for Ca waves. *Circ Res*. 2010;107:1483-1489.
109. Rochais F, Vandecasteele G, Lefebvre F, Lugnier C, Lum H, Mazet J-L, Cooper DMF, Fischmeister R. Negative feedback exerted by cAMP-dependent protein kinase and cAMP phosphodiesterase on subsarcolemmal cAMP signals in intact cardiac myocytes: an in vivo study using adenovirus-mediated expression of CNG channels. *J Biol Chem*. 2004;279:52095-52105.
110. Murray AJ. Pharmacological PKA inhibition: all may not be what it seems. *Sci Signal*. 2008;1:re4.
111. Mangmool S, Shukla AK, Rockman HA. beta-Arrestin-dependent activation of Ca^{2+} /calmodulin kinase II after β_1 -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *J Cell Biol*. 2010;189:573-587.
112. Greenstein JL, Tanskanen AJ, Winslow RL. Modeling the actions of β -adrenergic signaling on excitation--contraction coupling processes. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2004;1015:16-27.
113. Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Rate dependence and regulation of action potential and calcium transient in a canine cardiac ventricular cell model. *Circulation*. 2004;110:3168-3174.
114. Saucerman JJ, Healy SN, Belik ME, Puglisi JL, McCulloch AD. Proarrhythmic consequences of a KCNQ1 AKAP-binding domain mutation: computational models of whole cells and heterogeneous tissue. *Circ Res*. 2004;95:1216-1224.
115. Terrenoire C, Clancy CE, Cormier JW, Sampson KJ, Kass RS. Autonomic control of cardiac action potentials: role of potassium channel kinetics in response to sympathetic stimulation. *Circ Res*. 2005;96:e25-e34.
116. Saucerman JJ, McCulloch AD. Cardiac beta-adrenergic signaling: from subcellular microdomains to heart failure. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2006;1080:348-361.
117. Grandi E, Puglisi JL, Wagner S, Maier LS, Severi S, Bers DM. Simulation of Ca-calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II on rabbit ventricular myocyte ion currents and action potentials. *Biophys J*. 2007;93:3835-3847.
118. Chiba H, Schneider NS, Matsuoka S, Noma A. A simulation study on the activation of cardiac CaMKII delta-isoform and its regulation by phosphatases. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:2139-2149.
119. Saucerman JJ, Bers DM. Calmodulin mediates differential sensitivity of CaMKII and calcineurin to local Ca^{2+} in cardiac myocytes. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:4597-4612.
120. Ahrens-Nicklas RC, Clancy CE, Christini DJ. Re-evaluating the efficacy of beta-adrenergic agonists and antagonists in long QT-3 syndrome through computational modelling. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2009;82:439-447.
121. Christensen MD, Dun W, Boyden PA, Anderson ME, Mohler PJ, Hund TJ. Oxidized calmodulin kinase II regulates conduction following myocardial infarction: a computational analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2009;5:e1000583.
122. Gaur N, Rudy Y, Hool L. Contributions of ion channel currents to ventricular action potential changes and induction of early afterdepolarizations during acute hypoxia. *Circ Res*. 2009;105:1196-1203.

- 123.** Hashambhoy YL, Greenstein JL, Winslow RL. Role of CaMKII in RyR leak, EC coupling and action potential duration: a computational model. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2010;49:617-624.
- 124.** Bridge JHB, Savio-Galimberti E. What are the consequences of phosphorylation and hyperphosphorylation of ryanodine receptors in normal and failing heart? *Circ Res.* 2008;102:995-997.

CHAPTER 4

DOMINANT-NEGATIVE CONTROL OF cAMP-DEPENDENT I_{Ks} UPREGULATION IN HUMAN LONG-QT SYNDROME TYPE 1

JORDI HEIJMAN, ROEL L.H.M.G. SPÄTJENS, SANDRINE R.M. SEYEN, VIOLA LENTINK, HELMA J.H. KUIJPERS, INGE R. BOULET, LEON J. DE WINDT, MIREN DAVID AND PAUL G.A. VOLDERS.

CIRC. RES. 2012, 110:211-219.

ABSTRACT

The mutation A341V in the S6 transmembrane segment of *KCNQ1*, the α -subunit of the slowly-activating delayed-rectifier K^+ (I_{Ks}) channel, predisposes to a severe long-QT1 syndrome with sympathetic-triggered ventricular tachyarrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Several genetic risk-modifiers have been identified in A341V patients, but the molecular mechanisms underlying the pronounced repolarization phenotype, particularly during β -adrenergic receptor stimulation, remain unclear. We aimed to elucidate these mechanisms and provide new insights into control of cAMP-dependent modulation of I_{Ks} . We characterized the effects of A341V on the I_{Ks} macromolecular channel complex in transfected Chinese-hamster ovary (CHO) cells and found a dominant-negative suppression of cAMP-dependent Yotiao-mediated I_{Ks} upregulation on top of a dominant-negative reduction in basal current. Phosphomimetic substitution of the N-terminal position S27 with aspartic acid rescued this loss of upregulation. Western blot analysis showed reduced phosphorylation of *KCNQ1* at S27, even for heterozygous A341V, suggesting that phosphorylation defects in some (mutant) *KCNQ1* subunits can completely suppress I_{Ks} upregulation. Functional analyses of heterozygous *KCNQ1* WT : G589D and heterozygous *KCNQ1* WT : S27A, a phosphorylation-inert substitution, also showed such suppression. Immunoprecipitation of Yotiao with *KCNQ1*-A341V (in the presence of *KCNE1*) was not different from WT. Our results indicate the involvement of the *KCNQ1*-S6 region at/or around A341 in cAMP-dependent stimulation of I_{Ks} , a process which is under strong dominant-negative control, suggesting that tetrameric *KCNQ1* phosphorylation is required. Specific long-QT1 mutations, including heterozygous A341V, disable this regulation.

INTRODUCTION

The slowly-activating delayed-rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks}) contributes importantly to cardiac repolarization. In large mammals, including humans, it has small amplitude under basal isolated-myocyte conditions, but forms a sizable repolarization reserve that is recruited when the action-potential duration (APD) prolongs, and during β -adrenergic receptor (β AR) stimulation.^{1, 2}

I_{Ks} is carried by a macromolecular channel complex consisting of a homotetramer of pore-forming α -subunits encoded by *KCNQ1* (Kv7.1), *KCNE1* β -subunits^{3, 4} and the regulatory A-kinase anchoring protein Yotiao, which binds to the *KCNQ1* C-terminus.⁵ There are also multiple interactions with other proteins. During β AR stimulation, when cAMP levels rise, phosphorylation of *KCNQ1* at N-terminal position S27 is controlled by protein kinase A (PKA) and protein phosphatase 1 that are localized to the complex by Yotiao, thereby providing local control of I_{Ks} enhancement.⁵ Anchored PKA also phosphorylates Yotiao itself, thereby further enhancing I_{Ks} .⁶ An intact C-terminus of *KCNE1* is critical for the PKA-dependent upregulation of I_{Ks} .⁷

Congenital defects (long-QT syndrome types 1 and 5; LQT1, LQT5; Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome), pharmacological inhibition⁸ and acquired loss of I_{Ks} ⁹ can all result in QT-interval prolongation and enhanced susceptibility to ventricular tachyarrhythmias, notably torsades de pointes. These arrhythmias occur predominantly during conditions of exercise and/or sympathetic stress.¹⁰ In 2005, the loss-of-function mutation A341V in *KCNQ1* (S6 transmembrane segment) was reported in a large South-African founder population.¹¹ This hot-spot LQT1 mutation, found globally, results in an unusually severe clinical phenotype compared to other LQT1 mutations, irrespective of their amino-acid location (transmembrane versus N/C terminal) or dominant-negative characteristics.¹² Brisk variations in autonomic response increase the arrhythmic risk in A341V LQT1 patients.¹³ Also, polymorphisms in α - and β -adrenergic receptors (enhancing the autonomic response) are found more often in symptomatic than non-symptomatic *KCNQ1*-A341V carriers.¹³

In this study, we investigated the molecular control of I_{Ks} during β AR stimulation, hypothesizing that A341V provides a useful case to advance understanding of the I_{Ks} macromolecular signaling complex, and to obtain novel insights into the determinants of phenotypic severity by A341V and other LQT1 mutations.

METHODS

Molecular Biology

Wild-type (WT) human *KCNQ1* (also known as KvLQT1 or Kv7.1) in a pIRES2-GFP vector and human *KCNE1* (minK, Isk) in a pBK-CMV vector, as well as human *KCNH2* were kindly provided by Prof. Dirk J. Snyders, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium. Yotiao was subcloned in a pGW1 vector (a gift

from Prof. Robert S. Kass, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA). KCNH2 was subcloned in a pcDNA3 vector.

The *KCNQ1* mutations A341V, K557E and G589D were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using the QuickChange® II XL system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Oligonucleotide design and reaction conditions (extension time of 2 minutes/kb) were according to the manufacturer's instructions (Stratagene). All constructs were completely sequenced (BigDye® Terminator Ready kit v1.1, Applied Biosystems) and analyzed on an ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer. Plasmid DNA for mammalian expression was grown in DH5α cells (Invitrogen Co, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and then isolated from the bacterial cells using a miniprep spin kit (Qiagen). Similar procedures were used to combine the phosphomimetic substitutions S27D and S27A in WT *KCNQ1* or A341V-*KCNQ1*.

The *KCNQ1*-myc construct was generated by inserting the c-myc epitope (N-EQKLISEEDL-C) in the S1-S2 external loop of *KCNQ1* after nucleotide position 438 (between glutamate 146 and glutamine 147). Two initial PCR reactions were performed to generate c-myc containing *KCNQ1* products (PCR 1 and 2 in **Table 1**). Once c-myc-epitope-containing *KCNQ1* complementary cDNA strands were synthesized, the conditions were set for further PCR amplification: 95 °C for 2 min, 1 min at the corresponding annealing temperature (see Table 1), and 70 °C for 1 and 2 min, respectively. These settings were applied for 25 cycles. The amplified PCR products were subsequently hybridized using a fusion PCR (PCR 3 in **Table 1**). After fusion-PCR product purification, *KCNQ1*-myc construct was subcloned into PGEMT-easy cloning vector (Promega Corp. Madison, WI, USA) using Spe I and BamH1 restriction sites for ligation and was sequenced as described above. For expression in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO-K1) cells (CHO cells), the *KCNQ1*-myc construct was subcloned into a pBK-CMV vector. In all PCR reactions, KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase Kit (Novagen, EMD Biosciences, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Restriction enzymes were from Fermentas (Fermentas GmbH, Germany).

PCR	Primer	Sequence	Length (bp)	Annealing (°C)
PCR 1	hKCNQ1_mycF	F: 5'- GAGCAGAAGCTGATCTCAGAGGAGGA CCTGggaggaCAGTATGCCGCCCTGGCC-3'	534	57 °C
PCR 2	hKCNQ1_mycR	R: 5'- CAGGTCCTCCTCTGAGATCAGCTTCT GCTCtctccCTCGATGGTGGACAGCACGC-3'	1852	67 °C
PCR3	hKCNQ1 Nterm_Fspe1 hKCNQ1_CtermR_BamH1	F: 5'-actagtAACCGTCAGATCCGCTAG-3' R: 5'- ggatccCCAGACAGCATGGTCCAT-3'	2386	72 °C

Table 1. PCR settings for the generation of *KCNQ1*-myc construct. All PCRs were performed in the *KCNQ1* gene with accession number NM_000218.

Cell Culture and Transfection for Electrophysiology Experiments

CHO cells were cultured at 37 °C/5% CO₂ in Ham's F-12 medium (Gibco, Invitrogen, Co.) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. For patch-clamp recordings in WT or homozygous mutant experiments *KCNQ1* (0.5 µg; WT or mutant of interest) and *KCNE1* (1 µg) cDNA were transiently co-transfected in CHO cells using Fugene 6 (Roche Diagnostics Nederland B.V., Almere, The Netherlands). In coexpression studies (examining heterozygous conditions) 0.25 µg WT *KCNQ1* and 0.25 µg mutant *KCNQ1* were co-transfected with 1.0 µg *KCNE1*. In addition, Yotiao (2 µg) was co-transfected in all patch-clamp experiments in which WT or mutant I_{Ks} was studied, unless specified otherwise. In experiments assessing the α - α -subunit interactions between *KCNQ1* and *KCNH2*, 0.5 µg WT or A341V *KCNQ1* was co-transfected with 0.5 µg *KCNH2*. Cells were used for analysis 24 hrs after transfection.

Electrophysiology

For electrophysiological studies, CHO cells were harvested from the 35-mm culture dish by brief trypsinization, washed twice with culture medium (Ham's F-12 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin) and placed in a perfusion chamber on an inverted microscope that was continuously perfused with external Tyrode solution containing (in mmol/L): NaCl 132, KCl 4.8, MgCl₂ 1.2, CaCl₂ 2, HEPES 10, and glucose 5 (pH = 7.4 with NaOH). Pipettes were filled with pipette solution containing (in mmol/L): K-aspartate 110, ATP-K₂ 5, MgCl₂ 1, CaCl₂ 1, EGTA 11, HEPES 10 (pH = 7.3 with KOH). In some experiments, 0.2 µmol/L okadaic acid (OA; EMD Biosciences, La Jolla, CA, USA) and 200 µmol/L cAMP (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie B.V. Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands), indicated as +cAMP/OA throughout, were added to the pipette solution to activate PKA and thereby mimic stimulation of β -adrenergic receptors. In *KCNQ1* (WT or A341V) / *KCNH2* co-transfections HMR1556 (500 nmol/L in DMSO; a kind gift of Dr. H. Gögelein, Sanofi-Aventis, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) was used to selectively inhibit the current carried by *KCNQ1*. Experiments were performed by the whole-cell patch-clamp technique at room temperature (23±1 °C) using an Axopatch 200B amplifier and pCLAMP software (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA, USA). Patch pipettes were pulled using a DMZ-Universal-Puller (Zeitz-Instruments Vertriebs GmbH, Martinsried, Germany), using 2 mm borosilicate glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments Germany GmbH, Berlin, Germany). Pipette resistance ranged between 1.5 and 3 M Ω . Access resistance was accepted when below 8 M Ω and was electronically compensated at 60-80% series resistance. Currents were sampled at 2 kHz after low-pass filtering at 1 kHz through a Digidata 1322A data acquisition system (Axon Instruments). Current densities (pA/pF) were obtained after normalization to cell capacitance determined with Clampex 9.

For WT and mutant I_{Ks} studies, the following voltage-clamp protocols were used: (i) a cAMP/OA-wash-in protocol started after membrane rupture and correction for cell capacitance and consisted of 20 pulses (given at 15-second intervals for a total of 5 minutes) to +60 mV for 2 seconds (from a holding potential of -70 mV) followed by 2 seconds at a holding potential of -40 mV to measure tail currents during wash in of cAMP and OA; (ii) an activation protocol consisting of a 5-s depolarizing pulse (between -50 mV and +90 mV, 10 mV increments) to determine peak currents and activation kinetics followed by 6 s at -40 mV to measure tail currents, and (iii) a deactivation protocol of 4 s at +

60 mV followed by repolarization to a potential between -120 mV and -30 mV (in steps of 10 mV). For *KCNH2*/HERG studies, 1-s depolarizing pulses from -50 mV to +50 mV were used, followed by a 2-s step to -50 mV.

Phosphorylation Assays, Protein Extracts, Immunoprecipitation and Western Blots

Phosphorylation of *KCNQ1* channels in CHO cells was induced by incubating cells at 37 °C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere with 300 µmol/L CPT-cAMP (Biaffin GmbH & Co, Kassel, Germany) plus 0.2 µmol/L OA (EMD Biosciences, La Jolla, CA, USA), or with vehicle solution, diluted in F12 medium without serum and without antibiotics during 10 min. For total protein extraction, cells were washed twice in chilled phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 10 min to separate cells from PBS. The pellet was then lysed on ice with HES buffer (20 mmol/L HEPES pH 7.4, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 255 mmol/L sucrose), supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets (Roche Diagnostics Nederland B.V., Almere, The Netherlands) and lysates were homogenized by repeated passing (10 times) through a 25G (0.45 x 16 mm) needle.

Total protein extracts (20 µg) were resuspended in SDS 4× (40% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 8% SDS, 0.04% bromophenol blue, 240 mmol/L Tris/HCl pH 6.8) and heated at 55 °C during 5 min. Samples were then centrifuged during 5 min at 13,000 rpm at room temperature and 20 µl of the protein extract was loaded and run in SDS-PAGE (6% acrylamide/bisacrylamide) with Mini-Protean Tetra-Cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Amersham, GE Healthcare, Fairfield, CT, USA) using Mini Trans-Blot cell (Bio-Rad).

For co-immunoprecipitation studies, CHO cells were transfected with *KCNQ1*-WT or *KCNQ1*-A341V (in pBK vector) + *KCNE1* + Yotiao. CHO cells were lysed in 150 mmol/L NaCl, 20 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.5), 5 mmol/L EDTA and 1% Triton X-100 supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets (Roche Diagnostics Nederland B.V., Almere, The Netherlands). Cell extracts were incubated for 30 min at 4 °C under agitation. The cell lysates were centrifuged for 15 min at 13,000 rpm and supernatants were separated. Protein G-sepharose beads (40 µl; Santa Cruz Biotech., CA, USA) were washed 3 times with 500 µl IPB buffer (150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% NP40, 10 mmol/L HEPES, 10% glycerol; pH 7.8), centrifuged for 1 min at 5000 rpm and subsequently incubated with 2 µg of anti-KCNQ1 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotech. CA, USA) under rotation for 2 h at 4 °C. After incubation, the beads were centrifuged (5000 rpm for 1 min), washed 3 times with 500 µl IPB and incubated overnight with 300 µg of protein extract under orbital shaking. After centrifugation (5000 rpm for 1 min) and washing with 500 µl IPB at 4 °C (3 times), the beads were resuspended in 30 µl SDS 1x, denaturated at 55 °C for 5 min and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. 20 µl of the immunocomplex was then loaded and run in SDS-PAGE (7% acrylamide/bisacrylamide) with Mini-Protean Tetra-Cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Amersham, GE Healthcare, Fairfield, CT, USA) using Mini Trans-Blot cell (Bio-Rad).

Mouse monoclonal anti-KCNQ1 (1:1000, Neuromab, UCDavis, Ca, USA) and rabbit polyclonal anti-KCNQ1-S27-phospho (1:500, kindly provided by Prof. Robert S. Kass, University of Columbia, NY, USA) were used to test KCNQ1-channel phosphorylation at Serine 27. Anti-KCNQ1 (Neuromab) and anti-Yotiao (Santa Cruz Biotech. CA, USA) were used for co-immunoprecipitation studies. Secondary antibodies were horseradish peroxidase linked rabbit anti-mouse (1:2500; DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) or goat anti-rabbit (1:2500; Santa Cruz Biotech., CA, USA). Proteins were detected using ECL-Plus Western blotting reagent (Amersham, GE Healthcare, Fairfield, CT, USA). The Yotiao band at 230 kD and the KCNQ1-monomer band of approximately 70 kD were quantified using Image J (<http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij>; developed by Dr. Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD), in both S27-phospho and total KCNQ1 blots and the fraction of Yotiao interaction or phosphorylated KCNQ1 was quantified.

Confocal Imaging

CHO cells seeded in sterilized coverslips were cultured in Ham's F12 medium containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin antibiotics until they reached 60% confluency. Cells were then transiently transfected with KCNQ1-GFP, KCNQ1-A341V-GFP or, KCNQ1-myc + A341V-GFP + KCNE1 + Yotiao cDNAs using Lipofectamine LLTX and PLUS Reagent (Invitrogen Co.). 48 Hours after transfection, cells were incubated *in vivo*, under 5% CO₂ atmosphere and at 37 °C during 1 hour with 1:500 mouse monoclonal anti-myc antibody (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were washed 3 times with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min. Coverslips were further incubated with Texas Red conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (1:80, ITK Southern Biotech, Uithoorn, The Netherlands) during 45 min. Stainings with a secondary antibody were performed at room temperature and cells were washed 3 times in PBS after all of the steps. No permeabilization was applied in the immunostainings. Finally, coverslips were mounted in 75% glycerol, 0.02 mol/L TRIS-HCl (pH = 8.0), 0.8% NaN₃, 2% 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO; pH = 8.0).

Confocal analysis was performed at least 24 hours after the stainings, and the immunostained samples were visualized using a Leica TCS-SPE (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) confocal microscope and further analyzed by Image J. Transfection efficiency was determined by counting the fraction of cells that showed both GFP signal and DAPI staining. Determination of cells showing membrane GFP signal was performed independently by multiple investigators who were blinded with respect to transfection type (WT versus A341V). For cells showing clear membrane expression, fluorescence intensity at the membrane was subsequently determined at 3 separate membrane sites per cell for identical settings of the microscope using ImageJ.

Computational Modeling

Figure 1. Computational modeling approach. A.a. Schematic overview of the structure of a single cell model, including all ion channels, pumps, exchangers, Ca²⁺-handling proteins, Ca²⁺/Calmodulin-dependent kinase and compartmental β AR signaling pathway, as published recently (**Chapter 3**).¹⁴ A.b. Structure of the 17-state Markov model that was fitted to WT I_{Ks} , heterozygous or homozygous mutant channel characteristics in the absence and presence of β AR stimulation. *For color figure, see page 251.*

Parameters of the 17-state I_{Ks} Markov model proposed by Silva and Rudy¹⁵ (**Figure 1A.b**) were fitted to the normalized I-V relationship, time constants of activation and time constants of deactivation obtained in CHO cells expressing WT or mutant I_{Ks} channels in the absence or presence of cAMP/OA (**Figure 2**). Quantitative values of time constants of activation and deactivation of WT unstimulated I_{Ks} were scaled to those obtained in canine ventricular myocytes^{16, 17} at 37 °C (at +20 mV and -80 mV, respectively) to compensate for differences due to temperature and effects of the expression system. We determined one correction factor for the activation time-constant and one for deactivation for WT I_{Ks} in our CHO cells at room temperature at these holding potentials to obtain similar half-maximal activation and deactivation times. All WT time constants were then scaled by these values, to maintain the voltage dependence of activation and deactivation measured in CHO cells. The adjusted time constants were used as input in the parameter fitting procedure. The time constants in the presence of adrenergic stimulation and/or A341V mutation were subsequently scaled to produce a similar relative difference as observed in the heterologous expression systems (e.g., the time constant of activation at +20 mV is 2.2 fold slower in A341V compared to WT). Similarly, baseline current amplitude of WT unstimulated I_{Ks} was fit to that in canine epicardial myocytes.¹⁸ The approximately 60% increase in current amplitude observed with cAMP/OA stimulation in CHO cells was scaled to resemble the approximately 200% increase in I_{Ks} observed in ventricular myocytes during β -adrenergic stimulation¹⁷ and the relative difference in tail current amplitude between WT and A341V observed in CHO cells was preserved. I_{Ks} model parameters are given in the appendix of this thesis. The adjustments were necessary to apply the results obtained in the heterologous expression system in the model. The phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} models were incorporated into a recently developed model of the canine epicardial myocyte incorporating detailed localized effects of β -adrenergic stimulation¹⁴ (**Figure 1A.a; Chapter 3**). This model uses a population-based approach to distinguish between phosphorylated and non-

phosphorylated channels. The net current is the sum of the currents produced by the channels in each population. The WT I_{Ks} profile during the cardiac action potential in the presence or absence of β -adrenergic stimulation was similar to that of the original model, based entirely on canine data (not shown).

Figure 2. Validation of I_{Ks} model properties. A. Relative I_{Ks} -tail amplitude in WT (squares), heterozygous A341V (diamonds) and homozygous A341V (circles) conditions in the absence (left) and presence (right) of cAMP stimulation in experiments (symbols) and model (lines). B. Same as panel A for time constants of activation based on a mono-exponential fit. C. Same as panel A for time constants of deactivation. Time constants were scaled to match values found in canine ventricular myocytes at 37 °C. Insets show voltage-clamp protocols used.

The complete model consists of a coupled system of ordinary differential equations. Numerical integration was performed in custom C++ software using a forward Euler method with adaptive time step. The Matlab (The Mathworks®, Natick, MA) ODE15s steady-state solution and C++ forward Euler method produced identical action potentials and calcium transients.

The model was paced for 2000 seconds at any given setting (cycle length and isoproterenol concentration) with a conservative K^+ stimulus¹⁹ to achieve a pseudo steady-state in which action-potential duration (determined at 95% of repolarization) and maximum intracellular ion concentrations change less than 0.05% on a beat-to-beat basis. Isoproterenol levels ranged between 0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (no β -adrenergic stimulation) and 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (maximum stimulation). Simulations were performed using WT and mutant I_{Ks} formulation in the absence and presence of adrenergic stimulation.

Data Analysis

The voltage dependence of activation (evaluated from normalized tail-current amplitudes) was fitted with a Boltzmann equation, G/G_{max} (or I/I_{max}) = $1/(1 + \exp((V-V_{1/2})/k))$ to determine the membrane potential for half-maximal activation ($V_{1/2}$) and the slope factor (k). The time courses of activation and deactivation were fitted with a single exponential function $I/I_{\text{max}} = A \times (1 - \exp(-t/\tau)) + C$ where A is amplitude and τ is time constant. Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM. Unpaired Student's t -test or 1-way ANOVA with Tukey's Post Hoc test were used to assess differences between groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

***KCNQ1-A341V* Results in a Dominant-Negative Suppression of cAMP-Dependent Upregulation of I_{Ks} on Top of a Dominant-Negative Reduction in Basal Current**

The *KCNQ1-A341* residue is located in the middle of the S6-transmembrane domain, just before the PAG 'hinge' motif²⁰ and is extremely well conserved among the families of voltage-gated K^+ channels (**Figure 3**).

A.

	Pore	S6 region	Cytoplasmic	...
	320	330	340	350
Kv7.1 (KCNQ1): Homo sapiens	I	G Y G D K V P Q T W V G K T I A S C F S V F A I S F F A L P A G I L G S G F A L K V Q Q K Q R		
Kv7.1 (KCNQ1): Xenopus laevis	I	G Y G D K V P Q T W I G K T I A S C F S V F A I S F F A L P A G I L G S G F A L K V Q Q K Q R		
Kv7.1 (KCNQ1): Squalus acanthias	I	G Y G D K V P Q T W I G R T I A S C F S V F A I S F F A L P A G I L G S G F A L K V Q Q K Q R		
Kv7.2 (KCNQ2): Homo sapiens	I	G Y G D K Y P Q T W N G R L L A A T F T L I G V S F F A L P A G I L G S G F A L K V Q E Q H R		
Kv7.3 (KCNQ3): Homo sapiens	I	G Y G D K T P K T W E G R L I A A T F S L I G V S F F A L P A G I L G S G L A L K V Q E Q H R		
Kv1.1 (KCNA1): Homo sapiens	V	G Y G D M Y P V T I G G K I V G S L C A I A G V L T I A L P V P V I V S N F N Y F Y H R E T E		
Kv2.1 (KCNB1): Homo sapiens	V	G Y G D I Y P K T L L G K I V G G L C C I A G V L V I A L P I P I I V N N F S E F Y K E Q K R		
Kv3.1 (KCNK1): Homo sapiens	L	G Y G D M Y P Q T W S G M L V G A L C A L A G V L T I A M P V P V I V N N F G M Y S L A M A		
Kv4.1 (KCNB2): Homo sapiens	L	G Y G D M V P S T I A G K I F G S I C S L S G V L V I A L P V P V I V S N F S R I Y H Q N Q R		
Kv5.1 (KCNF1): Homo sapiens	V	G Y G D I Y P K T T L G K L N A A I S F L C G V I A I A L P I H P I I N N F V R Y Y N K Q R V		
Kv6.1 (KCNK1): Homo sapiens	V	G Y G D M V P R S T P G Q V V A L S S I L S G I L L M A F P V T S I F H T F S R S Y L E L K Q		
Kv8.1 (KCNV1): Homo sapiens	V	G Y G D I R P D T T T G K I V A F M C I L S G I L V L A L P I A I I N D R F S A C Y F T L K L		
Kv9.1 (KCNK1): Homo sapiens	V	G Y G D V V P V T V A G K L A A S G C I L G G I L V V A L P I T I I F N K F S H F Y R R Q K A		

Selectivity
Filter'Hinge'
Motif**B.**

Figure 3. Location and conservation of A341 in KCNQ1. A. Multiple sequence alignment of the KCNQ1-S6 region with other members of the Kv7.x family and other voltage-gated potassium channels. Conserved residues are bold and highlighted in blue, partially conserved residues are highlighted in green and red. The conserved K⁺ selectivity filter and the PAG (in Kv7.x) or PXP (in other Kv channels) hinge motifs are indicated below the alignment. A341 is indicated in red and is extremely conserved among all Kv channels. B. Location of A341 in the closed-state tetrameric KCNQ1 homology model described by Kang et al.²¹ Left panel shows top view from the extracellular side. Residues F340, A341 and A344 are shown as 'ball-and-stick' models. Black arrow indicates the viewing direction for the right panel. Right panel shows front view of two KCNQ1-subunits (only S5, pore and S6 region are shown). Residues F340 – A344 are shown. Distances between residue A341 of the right subunit and its two nearest residues are indicated with dashed lines. *For color figure, see page 251.*

Figure 4. Dominant-negative suppression of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} stimulation on top of dominant-negative suppression of basal current by the *KCNQ1* mutation A341V. **A.** Averaged current traces of WT (left), A341V_{Het} (middle) or A341V_{Hom} (right) channels during a 5-s depolarizing pulse to +60 mV in the absence (-) or presence (+) of intrapipette cAMP/OA. Inset shows voltage-clamp protocol. WT I_{Ks} , but not A341V, is upregulated in response to stimulation with cAMP/OA. **B.** Tail I-V relationship after 5-s depolarizing pulses from -50 mV to +90 mV in the absence (open symbols) or presence (filled symbols) of stimulation with cAMP/OA for the conditions in panel A. **C.** Normalized tail currents for WT (circles), A341V_{Het} (squares) and A341V_{Hom} (triangles) with (open) or without (filled symbols) cAMP/OA. **D.** Membrane potential resulting in half-maximal activation based on data in panel C. cAMP responsiveness of WT I_{Ks} is characterized by a significant leftward shift in half-maximal activation (*, $P < 0.05$) that is not present in A341V.

Consistent with previous results,¹¹ we found that the *KCNQ1*-A341V mutation resulted in a significant reduction of I_{Ks} . The I_{Ks} -tail amplitude after 5-s depolarizing pulses at +60 mV was reduced by 96% and 46% in homozygous (A341V_{Hom}) and heterozygous (A341V_{Het}) conditions, respectively (**Figure 4A,B**). A significant rightward shift in channel activation was also observed (**Figure 4C,D**). In addition, there was a significant slowing of activation kinetics (time constants at +60 mV were

1306±156 ms, 1947±265 ms and 7303±1486 ms in WT, A341V_{Het} and A341V_{Hom}, respectively) as well as faster deactivation (time constants at -80 mV: 501±61 ms, 205±14 ms and 144±24 ms; $P<0.05$ vs. WT for both A341V_{Het} and A341V_{Hom}). Together, these altered kinetics contributed to the dominant-negative reduction in repolarizing current for depolarizations of physiological duration in A341V_{Het} (74% reduction in peak I_{Ks} after 300-ms depolarizing pulses, not shown). No differences were found in the number of cells showing membrane expression or the fluorescence intensity at the membrane between WT and A341V_{Hom} (**Figure 5**), indicating that the observed reduction in I_{Ks} is unlikely to be due to reduced expression. We also found no differences in the effect of WT and A341V_{Hom} on *KCHN2* current, which has been reported for other trafficking-deficient *KCNQ1* mutations (**Figure 6**).

Figure 5. Membrane expression of KCNQ1-WT and KCNQ1-A341V. A. Confocal image of a representative CHO cell expressing KCNQ1-WT-GFP (left panel) or KCNQ1-A341V-GFP (right panel). The white scale bar at the bottom of the right panel indicates 10 μm . Bottom panels show relative fluorescence intensity along the cross sections indicated with white lines. Both signals show increased intensities at the cell boundaries, indicating membrane expression. B. Average data from confocal images of KCNQ1-WT or KCNQ1-A341V cells for transfection efficiency (left panel, determined as percentage of cells showing GFP signal compared to the number showing DAPI

staining), percentage of cells with clear membrane signal (middle panel), and fluorescence intensity at the membrane for cells with clear membrane signal. Numbers in bars indicate the number of cells analyzed. There is no difference in transfection efficiency, the number of cells showing a clear membrane signal, or the fluorescence intensity at the membrane between WT and A341V.

To study the effect of simulated β AR (as part of sympathetic) stimulation on WT and A341V I_{Ks} channels, transfected CHO cells were stimulated with cAMP/OA in the pipette solution.⁵ WT I_{Ks} was significantly upregulated in the presence of cAMP/OA (**Figure 4A**, left panel; 63% increase in tail-current amplitude). In contrast, both A341V_{Het} and A341V_{Hom} channels showed a negligible increase in current amplitude (**Figure 4A,B**). The leftward shift in I-V relationship that occurred during cAMP-dependent stimulation for WT I_{Ks} channels was also absent (**Figure 4C,D**). This cAMP desensitization, even in A341V_{Het}, suggested that I_{Ks} modulation is under dominant-negative control, whereby presence of one defect subunit may be sufficient to abolish I_{Ks} upregulation.

Figure 6. A341V phenotype is not mediated by effects on KCNH2. A. Averaged current traces during depolarization to +60 mV in CHO cells transfected with KCNH2 alone (solid black line), KCNH2 + KCNQ1-WT (dashed grey line) or KCNH2 + KCNQ1-A341V (solid grey line) before application of HMR1556 (left) or after application of HMR1556 (right; giving the HMR-resistant KCNH2 current).

Inset on the right shows voltage-clamp protocol used. B. Tail I-V relationships before (left) or after (right) application of HMR1556 for the conditions in panel (A). KCNH2-only current is indicated with triangles, KCNH2+KCNQ1-WT with circles and KCNH2+KCNQ1-A341V with squares. Cotransfection of KCNQ1-WT increased the KCNH2 tail current, consistent with previous results.²² A similar increase in KCNH2 could be observed with KCNQ1-A341V.

Effect of Combined Dominant-Negative A341V Effects on Ventricular Repolarization

Patients carrying the A341V mutation can show pronounced QTc prolongation (see **Figure 7**; adapted from Shimizu²³), and QTc is longer among symptomatic than among asymptomatic carriers.¹¹ Moreover, QTc duration can prolong during exercise, despite an increase in heart rate (**Figure 7**, right panel²³).

Figure 7. Effect of A341V on ventricular repolarization in the absence or presence of increased sympathetic tone. A. Body-surface ECGs (lead V5) from a 12-year old male A341V carrier at rest (left) and during exercise (right); adapted from Shimizu²³ with permission. QTc and cTpeak-end are increased during exercise. B. Steady-state action potential (top) and I_{Ks} (bottom) in the absence (left) or presence (right) of β AR stimulation (β ARS) in a computational model of the canine ventricular myocyte electrophysiology,¹⁴ simulating WT (solid line) or A341V_{Het} (dashed line) conditions at CL = 1000 ms. Note different scale for I_{Ks} in the presence of β AR stimulation. C. APD rate dependence

with or without β AR stimulation. APD is significantly prolonged in A341V_{Het} conditions during β AR stimulation compared to WT.

We examined the effect of A341V on ventricular repolarization using a computational model of β AR stimulation in the canine ventricular myocyte (**Figure 1; Chapter 3**).¹⁴ The A341V mutation caused a major reduction in I_{Ks} amplitude during the action potential, even in heterozygous conditions (**Figure 7B**, bottom panels). Under basal conditions I_{Ks} plays a minor role in ventricular repolarization and, consistently, loss of I_{Ks} by A341V resulted only in minimal APD prolongation (**Figure 7B**, top left; Δ APD = 2.6 ms). In contrast, during β AR stimulation APD was significantly prolonged in A341V_{Het} versus WT (**Figure 7B**, top right; Δ APD = 23 ms) at all pacing cycle lengths (CL; **Figure 7C**). Thus, these modeling studies confirmed that the biophysical alterations to I_{Ks} by A341V in heterozygous conditions result in a pronounced cellular-action-potential phenotype, predominantly during β AR stimulation, in line with the ECG changes observed in A341V carriers.

Mechanisms Underlying the Suppressed cAMP Responsiveness of A341V I_{Ks}

The A341V mutation could disrupt the β AR modulation of I_{Ks} through various mechanisms, including: (i) disruption of the conformational changes occurring *after* phosphorylation of *KCNQ1-S27*; (ii) disruption of *KCNQ1* interaction with Yotiao thereby reducing local PKA availability; or (iii) disruption of phosphorylation of S27, even in the presence of Yotiao (e.g., by a defective transfer of PKA from C-terminal Yotiao to N-terminal S27).

Figure 8. The phosphomimetic substitution S27D ‘rescues’ cAMP-dependent upregulation of the A341V mutation. **A.** Averaged current traces of WT (left), A341V_{Het} (middle) or A341V_{Hom} (right) channels cotransfected with *KCNE1* and Yotiao in the absence or presence of stimulation with intrapipette cAMP/OA, or in the presence of the phosphomimetic substitution S27D. Voltage-clamp protocol as in **Figure 4**. **B.** Tail I-V relationship for the conditions in panel A. Note different y-scales (indicated with arrows). **C.** Membrane potential resulting in half-maximal activation. cAMP responsiveness of WT I_{Ks} is characterized by a significant leftward shift in half-maximal activation (*, $P < 0.05$) that is not present in A341V_{Het} or A341V_{Hom}. In contrast, the S27D substitution results in significant shifts for all conditions.

Mechanism (i) was tested using the phosphomimetic substitution *KCNQ1*-S27D. Kurokawa et al.²⁴ have previously shown that the S27D substitution reproduces most of the effects of β AR stimulation

on I_{K_S} . Indeed, in WT conditions, S27D led to an upregulation of I_{K_S} -tail amplitudes (**Figure 8A,B**, left panels) and a significant leftward-shift of the half-maximal activation potential, similar to that observed after the application of cAMP/OA (**Figure 8C**). In contrast to cAMP/OA, the S27D substitution resulted in a significant upregulation of A341V in both heterozygous and homozygous conditions (**Figure 8A,B** middle and right panels), as well as a significant leftward shift in half-maximal activation potential (**Figure 8C**). These data refuted hypothesized mechanism (i) to explain the disrupted cAMP responsiveness of A341V.

Figure 9. *KCNQ1*/Yotiao interaction remains intact in the presence of the A341V mutation. **A.** Representative Western blots of Yotiao and KCNQ1 in lysates (top) of non-transfected CHO cells, CHO cells transfected with *KCNQ1*-WT + *KCNE1* + Yotiao (WT) or *KCNQ1*-A341V + *KCNE1* + Yotiao (A341V). Bottom blots are probed with anti-Yotiao and anti-KCNQ1 antibodies after precipitation of the immunocomplex from lysates using an anti-KCNQ1 antibody in the same conditions. **B.** Quantification of KCNQ1/Yotiao interaction in WT and A341V_{Hom}. Intensity of Yotiao signal from immunocomplexes was corrected for KCNQ1-signal intensity in all conditions and results were normalized to *KCNQ1*-WT + *KCNE1* + Yotiao. **C.** I-V relationship for A341V_{Hom} currents in the absence of cAMP/OA, presence of cAMP/OA, presence of the S27D phosphomimetic substitution, or S27D without co-transfection of Yotiao (empty vector). Voltage-clamp protocol is identical to **Figure 4**. Increase in tail-current amplitude by the S27D substitution occurs only in the presence of Yotiao.

Yotiao serves a dual function: not only is it required for phosphorylation of S27 by PKA, it also actively participates in the conformational changes of the channel complex after phosphorylation of S27.²⁴ We investigated the interaction between KCNQ1 and Yotiao via co-immunoprecipitation experiments in CHO cells expressing *KCNQ1*-WT or *KCNQ1*-A341V + *KCNE1* + Yotiao. Whole-cell lysates or immunocomplexes precipitated from lysates using an anti-KCNQ1 antibody were probed with anti-KCNQ1 and anti-Yotiao antibodies (**Figure 9A**). Yotiao could be detected in both WT and A341V immunocomplexes, but not in negative controls (non-transfected CHO cells or cells transfected with *KCNQ1*-WT + *KCNE1* but without Yotiao). The intensity of the Yotiao signal from immunocomplexes was corrected by the intensity of the KCNQ1 signal and results were normalized to WT conditions. In the presence of A341V, *KCNQ1*/Yotiao interaction was not statistically different from WT (0.92 ± 0.10 of WT; **Figure 9B**). Moreover, whereas A341V-mutant I_{Ks} was markedly increased by the S27D substitution when Yotiao was co-transfected, there was no such increase in the absence of the anchoring protein (**Figure 9C**). We found no difference between basal WT I_{Ks} in the presence or absence of Yotiao (not shown), indicating that the observed increase in *KCNQ1*-A341V-S27D was not due to enhanced expression and suggesting that Yotiao can indeed modulate I_{Ks} in the presence of A341V-S27D. This indicated that hypothesized mechanism (ii) cannot explain the dominant-negative reduction in cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation by the A341V mutation.

To test hypothesis (iii), cells transfected with *KCNQ1*-WT+*KCNE1*+Yotiao or *KCNQ1*-A341V+*KCNE1*+Yotiao were incubated with either 300 μ mol/L membrane-permeable cAMP and 0.2 μ mol/L OA for 10 min, or with external solution. Lysates were run on SDS-PAGE, transferred onto PVDF membranes, and probed with anti-phospho-S27 *KCNQ1* and anti-KCNQ1 antibodies. Non-transfected CHO cells were used as negative controls. A significant increase in the fraction of phosphorylated *KCNQ1* was observed in response to stimulation with cAMP/OA in *KCNQ1*-WT (**Figure 10A**). Although increased phosphorylation was also observed in A341V-expressing cells, the fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels was significantly lower than that in WT cells (by 25%), both for A341V_{Hom} and A341V_{Het} (**Figure 10A,B**). Thus, defective phosphorylation of *KCNQ1*-A341V is responsible, at least partly, for the loss of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation.

Figure 10. The A341V mutation results in reduced phosphorylation of S27 during cAMP-dependent stimulation. A. Representative Western blot of lysates of non-transfected CHO cells, CHO cells transfected with *KCNQ1*-WT + *KCNE1* + Yotiao (WT) or *KCNQ1*-A341V + *KCNE1* + Yotiao (A341V_{Hom}), incubated in the absence or presence of 8-CPT-cAMP and OA, and probed with anti-phospho-*KCNQ1*-S27 (top blot) or anti-*KCNQ1* (bottom blot) antibodies. The lower two blots show similar results for WT and heterozygous co-transfection of WT and A341V. B. Quantification of fractions of *KCNQ1*-P_{S27} (relative to total *KCNQ1*) for WT, A341V_{Hom} (5 blots from 4 samples) or A341V_{Het} (9 blots from 3 different samples) in the absence or presence of stimulation with 8-CPT-cAMP/OA. Results are normalized to *KCNQ1*-WT + 8-CPT-cAMP/OA. There is a significant reduction of *KCNQ1* phosphorylation at S27 in the presence of A341V.

Dominant-negative suppression of cAMP/PKA-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation in LQT1

The reduction in *KCNQ1*-A341V phosphorylation is similar to that observed by Chen et al.²⁵ for the Yotiao mutation S1570L. They also show a complete loss of I_{Ks} enhancement (in this case due to reduced *KCNQ1*-Yotiao interaction). Combined, our data and those by Chen et al.²⁵ suggest that cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation is under strong dominant-negative control of *KCNQ1*-S27 phosphorylation, which is further supported by the functional finding of a striking loss of cAMP-dependent upregulation of *heterozygous* *KCNQ1*-A341V.

Figure 11. Localization of *KCNQ1*-WT and *KCNQ1*-A341V after co-transfection of both subunits with *KCNE1* and Yotiao. A c-Myc epitope was inserted in the extracellular loop between transmembrane segments 1 and 2 of *KCNQ1*-WT and the *KCNQ1*-A341V was tagged with GFP at the C-terminus. Top-left panel shows a confocal image of *KCNQ1*-WT obtained with an anti-c-Myc antibody in a representative CHO cell. Top-right panel shows GFP signal in the same cell. When the two signals are overlayed (bottom-left panel), a clear colocalization of WT and A341V *KCNQ1* subunits can be observed at the cell membrane (yellow), confirming “heterozygous” channel expression. The bottom-right panel shows the relative signal-intensity profiles of *KCNQ1*-WT and *KCNQ1*-A341V along the cross-sections at the light-green line. Both signals show increased activity at the cell boundaries. The lower-left white scale-bar indicates 10 μm . *For color figure, see page 252.*

To further investigate the disruption of upregulation in heterozygous conditions, we first confirmed that *KCNQ1*-WT and *KCNQ1*-A341V are both expressed in the membrane by immunolocalization. Coexpression of *KCNQ1*-WT-c-Myc and *KCNQ1*-A341V-GFP constructs allowed simultaneous detection of WT (using an anti c-Myc antibody) and A341V subunits. **Figure 11** shows individual signals of *KCNQ1*-WT (top-left panel) and *KCNQ1*-A341V (top-right panel). The overlay of these signals (**Figure 11, bottom-left panel**) indicates strong colocalization (yellow color) of WT and A341V subunits in the cell membrane. This is confirmed by the cross-section profile of both signal intensities (**Figure 11, bottom-right panel**), which shows enhanced intensity at the membrane. Correlation between c-Myc and GFP signal intensity profiles was 0.81 ± 0.01 in 50 cells of 4 transfections.

We next compared the loss of cAMP-dependent upregulation by *KCNQ1*-A341V with the LQT1 mutations *KCNQ1*-A344V,²⁶ *KCNQ1*-G589D²⁷ and *KCNQ1*-K557E. Suppression of I_{Ks} upregulation has previously been reported for the *KCNQ1*-G589D (*KCNQ1*-Fin) mutation, which disrupts the binding of Yotiao to the *KCNQ1* C-terminus.⁵ We have recently reported on the LQT1 mutation K557E found in a Dutch family with a relatively mild clinical phenotype in which cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} enhancement is intact.²⁸ Responsiveness to cAMP was quantified by an increased tail-current amplitude over the entire population of cells studied (**Figure 12A**) as well as an increase in current amplitude for each individual cell during a 5-min cAMP/OA wash-in protocol (**Figure 12B,C**). WT, heterozygous and homozygous K557E, and heterozygous and homozygous A344V all showed a pronounced responsiveness to cAMP. In contrast, A341V and G589D I_{Ks} were unresponsive to stimulation, even when co-expressed with WT I_{Ks} (**Figure 12**). These results demonstrate that the loss of upregulation observed by A341V does not apply to the very similar mutation A344V (same amino-acid substitution, only three residues apart and, based on the *KCNQ1* homology model, in close proximity to A341; **Figure 3**). Nonetheless, dominant-negative suppression of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation is not exclusive for A341V, as indicated by our heterozygous G589D data.

Figure 12. Comparison of cAMP-dependent upregulation of WT and A341V-, A344V-, K557E- or G589D-mutated I_{Ks} . **A.** Tail-current amplitudes after 5-s depolarizing pulses to +60 mV (voltage-clamp protocol identical to **Figure 1**) in the absence or presence of cAMP/OA for WT current and heterozygous or homozygous expression of mutant I_{Ks} . Numbers in/above bars indicate number of cells for each condition. **B.** Time course of I_{Ks} augmentation during application of cAMP/OA in WT, K557E_{Het}, A341V_{Het} and G589D_{Het}. Data are normalized to tail-current amplitude after 1 min for each condition. Inset: voltage-clamp protocol. **C.** I_{Ks} increase in WT or heterozygous K557E, A341V or G589D conditions after 5 min of cAMP/OA, relative to 1 min (vertical dashed lines in panel B). K557E and A344V are significantly upregulated by cAMP/OA. In contrast, A341V and G589D which disrupt β AR sensitivity in homozygous conditions, have dominant-negative loss of cAMP-dependent upregulation. In the absence of cAMP/OA, I_{Ks} was stable and no significant difference in current amplitude between 5 min and 1 min was found (left-most bar).

To determine if the dominant-negative suppression of cAMP-dependent upregulation by A341V and G589D could be due to their common effects on *KCNQ1-S27*, and to investigate if alterations in *KCNQ1-S27* alone can exert dominant-negative control of cAMP/PKA-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation, independent of other known pathogenic mutations, we analyzed a 1:1 co-expression of WT *KCNQ1* with *KCNQ1-S27A* (together with *KCNE1* and Yotiao). This heterozygous S27A condition will disable the PKA phosphorylation site in approximately half of the *KCNQ1* subunits. In contrast to the

pronounced increase observed with cAMP/OA treatment in WT I_{Ks} , there was no significant increase in I_{Ks} -tail current amplitude in heterozygous *KCNQ1-S27A* cells stimulated with cAMP/OA (**Figure 13**). Although there was still a small (but non-significant) increase in I_{Ks} in heterozygous S27A, this increase was also present in cells with homozygous S27A expression after treatment with cAMP/OA (19% increase in tail current after 5-s depolarizing pulses to +90 mV in both cases, not shown) and no significant differences were found between heterozygous S27A in the absence or presence of cAMP (**Figure 13**).

Figure 13. Loss of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation with heterozygous *KCNQ1-S27A*. Left, tail I-V relationship (voltage-clamp protocol as in **Figure 1**). Right, averaged current traces at +60 mV for WT I_{Ks} or heterozygous expression of *KCNQ1-S27A* ($n=9$ and $n=11$) in the absence or presence of cAMP/OA, after co-transfection of *KCNE1* and Yotiao. Heterozygous *KCNQ1-S27A* results in a dominant-negative reduction of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation that is indistinguishable from homozygous *KCNQ1-S27A* (not shown).

DISCUSSION

In the present study we provide novel mechanistic insights into cAMP-dependent upregulation of I_{Ks} and its alteration by the S6 transmembrane LQT1 mutation A341V. We show that A341V confers a dominant-negative suppression of current upregulation. This loss of cAMP sensitivity is not due to a restriction of the conformational changes that occur *after* S27 phosphorylation, but instead is due to the inability of PKA to phosphorylate *KCNQ1-S27* despite the presence of Yotiao. The combined dominant-negative reduction in basal current and loss of cAMP sensitivity result in a pronounced prolongation of repolarization during β AR stimulation in a computational model. Moreover, we show that dominant-negative suppression of upregulation is shared by other LQT1 mutations that show loss of β AR modulation in homozygous conditions (e.g., *KCNQ1-G589D*⁵) and by heterozygous substitutions at S27. Our results suggest that tetrameric phosphorylation of *KCNQ1* is required. This

finding has important implications for the clinical severity of *KCNQ1* mutations similar to A341V, because genetically heterozygous carriers will exhibit a 'homozygous phenotype' with respect to β AR modulation. The present data stress the importance of investigating molecular signaling besides basal function of I_{Ks} in the human congenital LQT syndrome.

Requirement of a Macromolecular Signaling Complex for I_{Ks} Modulation

Specific macromolecular signaling is required for the modulation of voltage-gated ion channels.²⁹ Modulation of I_{Ks} by PKA requires a complex comprising *KCNE1*,⁷ Yotiao,⁵ and β -tubulin,³⁰ besides the pore-forming α -subunit *KCNQ1*. In pathological conditions, the composition of the macromolecular complex is even further complicated by the fact that most LQT1 mutations (including A341V) are inherited in an autosomal-dominant mode. In these conditions, variable mixtures of normal and mutant *KCNQ1*-subunits interact in the membrane-expressed I_{Ks} channels.

KCNE1 and β -tubulin appear to operate downstream of channel phosphorylation in mediating the conformational changes that increase I_{Ks} , since no difference in *KCNQ1* phosphorylation was found after disruption of *KCNE1*³¹ or microtubular interaction,³⁰ despite altered I_{Ks} regulation. Interactions between *KCNQ1* and *KCNE1* have been reported for the extracellular, transmembrane and intracellular parts of the subunits.^{32, 33} Panaghie et al.³² have shown that *KCNE1* interacts with the *KCNQ1* pore region through residues F339 and F340. Based on a homology model of the structure of *KCNQ1*, Smith et al.³⁴ determined that A341V does not face the lipid bilayer but instead interacts with S6-residues of other subunits (**Figure 3**). This makes it unlikely that A341 interacts directly with *KCNE1*. However, the A341V mutation may induce conformational changes in the *KCNQ1*-S6 segment that indirectly alter *KCNQ1*/*KCNE1* interactions. Our S27D data show a clear upregulation in the presence of A341V, indicating that the interaction between *KCNQ1* and *KCNE1* is preserved, at least partly. In agreement, a recent study showed that *KCNQ1*-A341V in the absence of *KCNE1* or in the presence of the mutant *KCNE1*-T58A results in non-functional channels.³⁵ However, the exact role of *KCNE1* in influencing A341V-mutated I_{Ks} and its upregulation requires further study.

Our S27D data also indicate that the Yotiao contribution downstream of phosphorylation is intact. Previously, Kurokawa et al.²⁴ showed that S27D is unable to upregulate WT I_{Ks} when Yotiao is not included. Here we confirm these results for A341V-mutant I_{Ks} .

Analysis of *KCNQ1* with a phospho-sensitive antibody indicated that, despite the presence of Yotiao, phosphorylation of *KCNQ1* is reduced in the presence of A341V. The exact molecular mechanism by which this occurs is currently unclear but may involve a reduced functional interaction between the *KCNQ1* C-terminal-coupled Yotiao and the N-terminal phosphorylation site S27. Interestingly, however, the A341V mutation is located in the S6 transmembrane spanning domain of *KCNQ1*, a region that has not previously been reported to play a role in cAMP-dependent modulation of I_{Ks} . However, the intact upregulation in the presence of the very similar mutation A344V suggests that

the role of S6 in cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation involves specific residues. The fact that A341 is an extremely conserved residue located just prior to the S6 'hinge domain'²⁰ (**Figure 3**), indicates that even small perturbations of the amino-acid sequence at this position may cause pronounced alterations of channel structure.

Marx et al.⁵ have shown that the *KCNQ1*-G589D mutation in homozygous conditions results in loss of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation due to disruption of the *KCNQ1*-Yotiao interaction. Here we report that this abolishment of upregulation occurs also in heterozygous conditions. This illustrates that at least two *KCNQ1* mutations (G589D and A341V), in different parts of the channel, show such dominant-negative suppression.

Marx et al.⁵ and Yang et al.³⁶ have demonstrated that the substitution *KCNQ1*-S27A disables the N-terminal phosphorylation site and reduces cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation. Yang et al.³⁶ also observed a contribution of the 'atypical' PKA sites S468/T470, whereas Marx et al.⁵ demonstrate a *complete* loss of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation in the presence of S27A, consistent with our data. These differences may be related to the absence of Yotiao co-transfection in the experiments of Yang et al., but further studies are clearly required to explore this. We found that the phosphomimetic substitutions *KCNQ1*-S468D/T470D were unable to upregulate I_{Ks} (not shown), further indicating that S27 is the main phosphorylation site involved in cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation. Importantly, we show here that the lack of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation was also observed upon *heterozygous* *KCNQ1*-S27A substitution (a condition in which half of the N-terminal phosphorylation sites are disabled). In heterozygous conditions, a binomial distribution of subunit composition is expected in which 93.75% of the channels will have at least one mutation in the *KCNQ1* tetramer. The complete lack of upregulation in this case indicates that PKA-dependent channel upregulation is under dominant-negative control by alterations at S27 in which a single defective subunit is sufficient to abolish upregulation and suggests a requirement for tetrameric phosphorylation or a strong cooperation between the four subunits in cAMP-dependent channel modulation.

Mechanisms Underlying Phenotypic Severity of A341V and Role of Genetic Risk Modifiers

Schwartz et al.¹³ found that increased autonomic sensitivity, e.g., resulting from polymorphisms in adrenergic receptors, was an arrhythmia-risk modifier in A341V mutations. This observation is in line with our computational simulations that indicate the most pronounced APD prolongation during high levels of β AR stimulation. The data presented here readily explain why genetic variants of adrenergic receptors that boost sympathetic responsiveness of the heart are risk modifiers in A341V carriers due to the combined loss of basal I_{Ks} and its cAMP-dependent upregulation.

Both A341V and G589D show complete loss of cAMP-dependent upregulation in heterozygous conditions but have different clinical severities. Although there are important differences in peak basal currents at physiological potentials for 300-ms depolarizations (1.03 ± 0.27 pA/pF vs 3.07 ± 0.30 pA/pF at 20 mV for A341V_{Het} and G589D_{Het}, respectively; $P < 0.05$), which may contribute to their phenotypic differences, it is clear that ionic mechanisms within I_{Ks} itself do not provide the complete picture of repolarization instability in vivo. Variants in NOS1AP have been shown to affect QT duration in the normal population³⁷ and have been reported as risk modifiers in A341V carriers. Chang et al. have shown that NOS1AP overexpression affects repolarization through I_{Kr} and I_{CaL} . Previously, we have shown that the effect of reduced I_{Ks} is larger when repolarization is impaired by pharmacological blockade of I_{Kr} .¹⁷ Thus, NOS1AP variants likely function next to *KCNQ1* mutations, affecting among other things I_{Kr} . The important role of I_{Ks} as a repolarization reserve^{15, 17} may then partly explain their role as risk modifiers in A341V carriers.³⁸

Conclusions

Loss of cAMP-dependent upregulation of I_{Ks} , even under heterozygous conditions, on top of a dominant-negative reduction in basal current, is a biophysical characteristic of *KCNQ1*-A341V that may contribute to its phenotypic severity in patients. This loss of upregulation is related to reduced phosphorylation of *KCNQ1* at S27. Moreover, we show in general that cAMP/PKA-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation is under strong dominant-negative control of *KCNQ1* phosphorylation at S27. These data have important implications for future studies of LQT1 mutations, because they highlight the importance of studying the effects of heterozygous expression of mutations, similar to their occurrence in most patients, and they indicate that baseline I-V characteristics alone are insufficient to determine the electrophysiological consequences of LQT1 mutations. Finally, our data point to an as yet unknown involvement of the S6 region of *KCNQ1* at and/or around A341 in mediating β AR modulation of I_{Ks} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the members of the Volders lab for helpful discussions. The advice by Prof. Robert S. Kass and Drs. Lei Chen and Cecile Terrenoire, Columbia University, NY on the stimulation with cAMP/OA, cpt-cAMP and their gift of the *KCNQ1*-S27 phospho-specific antibody are gratefully acknowledged. Human *KCNQ1*, *KCNE1* and *KCNH2* constructs were a kind gift from Prof. Dirk J. Snyders, University of Antwerp, Belgium. The authors thank Prof. Yoram Rudy, Washington University in St. Louis, MO for ongoing collaboration and use of his computational resources.

FUNDING SOURCES

P.G.A.V. is supported by The Netherlands Heart Foundation (NHS2010B216) and a Vidi grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (ZonMw 91710365). M.D. is the recipient of a Research Grant of the European Society of Cardiology (2010).

REFERENCES

1. Volders PG, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Spätjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2753-2760.
2. Jost N, Virág L, Bitay M, Takács J, Lengyel C, Biliczki P, Nagy Z, Bogáts G, Lathrop DA, Papp JG, Varró A. Restricting excessive cardiac action potential and QT prolongation: a vital role for I_{Ks} in human ventricular muscle. *Circulation*. 2005;112:1392-1399.
3. Sanguinetti MC, Curran ME, Zou A, Shen J, Spector PS, Atkinson DL, Keating MT. Coassembly of K_v LQT1 and minK (IsK) proteins to form cardiac I_{Ks} potassium channel. *Nature*. 1996;384:80-83.
4. Nakajo K, Ulbrich MH, Kubo Y, Isacoff EY. Stoichiometry of the KCNQ1 - KCNE1 ion channel complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107:18862-18867.
5. Marx SO, Kurokawa J, Reiken S, Motoike H, D'Armiento J, Marks AR, Kass RS. Requirement of a macromolecular signaling complex for β adrenergic receptor modulation of the KCNQ1-KCNE1 potassium channel. *Science*. 2002;295:496-499.
6. Chen L, Kurokawa J, Kass RS. Phosphorylation of the A-kinase-anchoring protein Yotiao contributes to protein kinase A regulation of a heart potassium channel. *J Biol Chem*. 2005;280:31347-31352.
7. Kurokawa J, Bankston J, Kaihara A, Chen L, Furukawa T, Kass R. KCNE variants reveal a critical role of the β subunit carboxyl terminus in PKA-dependent regulation of the I_{Ks} potassium channel. *Channels (Austin)*. 2009;3:16-24.
8. Gallacher DJ, Van de Water A, van der Linde H, Hermans AN, Lu HR, Towart R, Volders PGA. In vivo mechanisms precipitating torsades de pointes in a canine model of drug-induced long-QT1 syndrome. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;76:247-256.
9. Volders PGA, Sipido KR, Vos MA, Spätjens RLHMG, Leunissen JDM, Carmeliet E, Wellens HJJ. Downregulation of delayed rectifier K^+ currents in dogs with chronic complete atrioventricular block and acquired torsades de pointes. *Circulation*. 1999;100:2455-2461.
10. Schwartz PJ, Priori SG, Spazzolini C, Moss AJ, Vincent GM, Napolitano C, Denjoy I, Guicheney P, Breithardt G, Keating MT, Towbin JA, Beggs AH, Brink P, Wilde AA, Toivonen L, Zareba W, Robinson JL, Timothy KW, Corfield V, Wattanasirichaigoon D, Corbett C, Haverkamp W, Schulze-Bahr E, Lehmann MH, Schwartz K, Coumel P, Bloise R. Genotype-phenotype correlation in the long-QT syndrome: gene-specific triggers for life-threatening arrhythmias. *Circulation*. 2001;103:89-95.
11. Brink PA, Crotti L, Corfield V, Goosen A, Durrheim G, Hedley P, Heradien M, Geldenhuys G, Vanoli E, Bacchini S, Spazzolini C, Lundquist AL, Roden DM, George AL, Jr., Schwartz PJ. Phenotypic variability and unusual clinical severity of congenital long-QT syndrome in a founder population. *Circulation*. 2005;112:2602-2610.
12. Crotti L, Spazzolini C, Schwartz PJ, Shimizu W, Denjoy I, Schulze-Bahr E, Zaklyazminskaya EV, Swan H, Ackerman MJ, Moss AJ, Wilde AA, Horie M, Brink PA, Insolia R, De Ferrari GM, Crimi G. The common long-QT syndrome mutation *KCNQ1/A341V* causes unusually severe clinical manifestations in patients with different ethnic backgrounds: toward a mutation-specific risk stratification. *Circulation*. 2007;116:2366-2375.
13. Schwartz PJ, Vanoli E, Crotti L, Spazzolini C, Ferrandi C, Goosen A, Hedley P, Heradien M, Bacchini S, Turco A, La Rovere MT, Bartoli A, George AL, Jr., Brink PA. Neural control of heart rate is an arrhythmia risk modifier in long QT syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;51:920-929.
14. Heijman J, Volders PGA, Westra RL, Rudy Y. Local control of β -adrenergic stimulation: Effects on ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and Ca^{2+} -transient. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2011;50:863-871.
15. Silva J, Rudy Y. Subunit interaction determines I_{Ks} participation in cardiac repolarization and repolarization reserve. *Circulation*. 2005;112:1384-1391.

16. Stengl M, Volders PGA, Thomsen MB, Spätjens RLHMG, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Accumulation of slowly activating delayed rectifier potassium current I_{Ks} in canine ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 2003;551:777-786.
17. Volders PGA, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Spätjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2753-2760.
18. Liu DW, Antzelevitch C. Characteristics of the delayed rectifier current (I_{Kr} and I_{Ks}) in canine ventricular epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes. A weaker I_{Ks} contributes to the longer action potential of the M cell. *Circ Res*. 1995;76:351-365.
19. Hund TJ, Kucera JP, Otani NF, Rudy Y. Ionic charge conservation and long-term steady state in the Luo-Rudy dynamic cell model. *Biophys J*. 2001;81:3324-3331.
20. Boulet IR, Labro AJ, Raes AL, Snyders DJ. Role of the S6 C-terminus in KCNQ1 channel gating. *J Physiol*. 2007;585:325-337.
21. Kang C, Tian C, Sonnichsen FD, Smith JA, Meiler J, George AL, Jr., Vanoye CG, Kim HJ, Sanders CR. Structure of KCNE1 and implications for how it modulates the KCNQ1 potassium channel. *Biochemistry*. 2008;47:7999-8006.
22. Biliczki P, Girmatsion Z, Brandes RP, Harenkamp S, Pitard B, Charpentier F, Hébert TE, Hohnloser SH, Baró I, Nattel S, Ehrlich JR. Trafficking-deficient long QT syndrome mutation KCNQ1-T587M confers severe clinical phenotype by impairment of KCNH2 membrane localization: evidence for clinically significant I_{Kr} - I_{Ks} α -subunit interaction. *Heart Rhythm*. 2009;6:1792-1801.
23. Shimizu W. The long QT syndrome: therapeutic implications of a genetic diagnosis. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2005;67:347-356.
24. Kurokawa J, Motoike HK, Rao J, Kass RS. Regulatory actions of the A-kinase anchoring protein Yotiao on a heart potassium channel downstream of PKA phosphorylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101:16374-16378.
25. Chen L, Marquardt ML, Tester DJ, Sampson KJ, Ackerman MJ, Kass RS. Mutation of an A-kinase-anchoring protein causes long-QT syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007;104:20990-20995.
26. Choi G, Kopplin LJ, Tester DJ, Will ML, Haglund CM, Ackerman MJ. Spectrum and frequency of cardiac channel defects in swimming-triggered arrhythmia syndromes. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2119-2124.
27. Piippo K, Swan H, Pasternack M, Chapman H, Paavonen K, Viitasalo M, Toivonen L, Kontula K. A founder mutation of the potassium channel KCNQ1 in long QT syndrome: implications for estimation of disease prevalence and molecular diagnostics. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001;37:562-568.
28. Boulet IR, Bebarova M, Spätjens RL, Geelen JL, Jongbloed RJ, Arens YH, Geraedts JP, Crijns HJ, Volders PG. LQT1 mutation K557E: clinical and biophysical characteristics including cAMP-mediated regulation (Abstract). *Heart Rhythm*. 2009;6:S449.
29. Dai S, Hall DD, Hell JW. Supramolecular assemblies and localized regulation of voltage-gated ion channels. *Physiol Rev*. 2009;89:411-452.
30. Nicolas CS, Park KH, El Harchi A, Camonis J, Kass RS, Escande D, Mérot J, Loussouarn G, Le Bouffant F, Baró I. I_{Ks} response to protein kinase A-dependent KCNQ1 phosphorylation requires direct interaction with microtubules. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2008;79:427-435.
31. Kurokawa J, Chen L, Kass RS. Requirement of subunit expression for cAMP-mediated regulation of a heart potassium channel. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100:2122-2127.
32. Panaghie G, Tai KK, Abbott GW. Interaction of KCNE subunits with the KCNQ1 K^+ channel pore. *J Physiol*. 2006;570:455-467.
33. Haitin Y, Wiener R, Shaham D, Peretz A, Cohen EB, Shamgar L, Pongs O, Hirsch JA, Attali B. Intracellular domains interactions and gated motions of I_{Ks} potassium channel subunits. *EMBO J*. 2009;28:1994-2005.

34. Smith JA, Vanoye CG, George AL, Jr., Meiler J, Sanders CR. Structural models for the KCNQ1 voltage-gated potassium channel. *Biochemistry*. 2007;46:14141-14152.
35. Mikuni I, Torres CG, Bienengraeber MW, Kwok WM. Partial restoration of the long QT syndrome associated KCNQ1 A341V mutant by the KCNE1 beta-subunit. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2011;In Press.
36. Yang T, Kanki H, Roden DM. Phosphorylation of the I_{Ks} channel complex inhibits drug block: novel mechanism underlying variable antiarrhythmic drug actions. *Circulation*. 2003;108:132-134.
37. Arking DE, Pfeufer A, Post W, Kao WH, Newton-Cheh C, Ikeda M, West K, Kashuk C, Akyol M, Perz S, Jalilzadeh S, Illig T, Gieger C, Guo CY, Larson MG, Wichmann HE, Marbán E, O'Donnell CJ, Hirschhorn JN, Kääb S, Spooner PM, Meitinger T, Chakravarti A. A common genetic variant in the *NOS1* regulator *NOS1AP* modulates cardiac repolarization. *Nat Genet*. 2006;38:644-651.
38. Crotti L, Monti MC, Insolia R, Peljto A, Goosen A, Brink PA, Greenberg DA, Schwartz PJ, George AL, Jr. *NOS1AP* is a genetic modifier of the long-QT syndrome. *Circulation*. 2009;120:1657-1663.

CHAPTER 5

I_{KS} RESTRICTS EXCESSIVE BEAT-TO-BEAT VARIABILITY OF REPOLARIZATION DURING BETA-ADRENERGIC RECEPTOR STIMULATION

DANIEL M. JOHNSON, JORDI HEIJMAN, CHRIS E. POLLARD, JEAN-PIERRE
VALENTIN, HARRY J.G.M. CRIJNS, NAJAH ABI-GERGES AND PAUL G.A.
VOLDERS

J. MOL. CELL. CARDIOL. 2010 48:122-130

ABSTRACT

In vivo studies have suggested that increased beat-to-beat variability of ventricular repolarization duration (BVR) is a better predictor of drug-induced torsades de pointes than repolarization prolongation alone. Cellular BVR and its dynamics before proarrhythmic events are poorly understood. We investigated differential responses of BVR in single myocytes during I_{Ks} blockade versus I_{Kr} blockade and late- I_{Na} augmentation, under the influence of β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. Transmembrane action potentials were recorded from isolated canine left-ventricular midmyocytes at various pacing rates. I_{Ks} was blocked by HMR1556, I_{Kr} by dofetilide. Late I_{Na} was augmented by sea anemone toxin-II. Isoproterenol was added for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. BAPTA-AM buffered intracellular Ca^{2+} . SEA0400 partially inhibited the Na^+-Ca^{2+} exchanger. BVR was quantified as variability of action-potential duration at 90% repolarization: $\Sigma(|APD90; i+1 - APD90; i|)/[nbeats \times \sqrt{2}]$ for 30 consecutive action potentials. Baseline BVR was significantly increased by I_{Kr} blockade and late- I_{Na} augmentation, especially at slow pacing rates. β -adrenergic stimulation restabilized these BVR changes. In contrast, I_{Ks} blockade caused very little change in repolarization when compared to baseline conditions, but predisposed the myocyte to increased BVR during β -adrenergic stimulation, especially at fast rates. BAPTA-AM and SEA0400 reduced this excessive BVR and eliminated early afterdepolarizations. In conclusion, β -adrenergic receptor stimulation exaggerates BVR during I_{Ks} blockade, indicating a BVR-stabilizing role of β -adrenergic-sensitive I_{Ks} . Loss of I_{Ks} plus overriding of Ca^{2+} -dependent membrane currents, including inward Na^+-Ca^{2+} exchange current, conspire to proarrhythmic BVR under these conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Beat-to-beat variability of ventricular repolarization duration (BVR) occurs as an apparently random alteration of the repolarization duration (measured from transmembrane or monophasic action potentials (APs), local activation-recovery intervals or QT intervals) in consecutive heart beats at stable rates. Proarrhythmic conditions caused by I_{Kr} blockade,¹⁻³ I_{Kr} -plus- I_{Ks} blockade³ and late- I_{Na} augmentation⁴ are characterized by significant increases of BVR. When increased, BVR is a better predictor of drug-induced torsades de pointes (TdP) than repolarization prolongation alone, at least in canine and rabbit models,¹⁻³ and in selected human patients.⁵

In the case of selective I_{Ks} inhibition in vivo, BVR remains unchanged despite mild QT prolongation.^{3, 6} However, upon the addition of intense β -adrenergic receptor stimulation (β AS) pronounced repolarization instability occurs, as evident from amplified BVR, and this precedes the triggering of early afterdepolarizations (EADs), ventricular extrasystoles and TdP.⁶ Various antiarrhythmic interventions (e.g., intravenous KCl administration, $I_{K,ATP}$ activation, steady-state ventricular pacing) that prevent TdP are characterized by stabilization of BVR when often the QT interval is still prolonged.⁷ Collectively, these data indicate that a prominent rise of BVR heralds torsadogenic instability of the heart, whereas its decline is an expression of restabilization of electrical activity.

Cellular studies have revealed that the mechanisms underlying BVR reside, at least partly, in the cardiac myocyte. Under baseline conditions at fixed-rate pacing, isolated ventricular myocytes express temporal (i.e., beat-to-beat) variability of the action-potential duration (APD).^{1, 8} A direct relationship exists between rate-dependent APD and BVR, even during random changes in pacing rate.⁹ Pharmacological interventions to influence ion channels that operate during the AP plateau can markedly alter baseline BVR. I_{Kr} blockade^{1, 8, 10} not only increases the cellular APD, but also BVR, while promoting the generation of EADs. Similar effects were noted for late- I_{Na} augmentation with sea anemone toxin-II (ATXII).¹¹ Conversely, the blockade of late- I_{Na} with tetrodotoxin⁸ or ranolazine^{11, 12} largely suppresses these proarrhythmic repolarization changes. Finally, intracellular Ca^{2+} chelation with EGTA reduces BVR in myocytes.⁸

In contrast, little is known about the contribution of the K^+ current I_{Ks} to BVR stability in cardiac myocytes. I_{Ks} function is prominent during β AS when it promotes AP shortening by increased protein-kinase-A-dependent activation, and during I_{Kr} block when it limits AP prolongation by time-dependent activation,^{10, 13, 14} thus providing critical “repolarization reserve” when other repolarizing currents are impaired.^{15, 16} BVR exaggerates significantly when I_{Ks} is inhibited after AP preprolongation with the I_{Kr} blocker almokalant.¹⁰

In this study we investigated the properties of BVR in single canine left-ventricular (LV) myocytes during superfusion with standard buffer solution containing physiological concentrations of ions (baseline) and the differential responses of BVR during selective I_{Ks} blockade versus I_{Kr} blockade and

late- I_{Na} augmentation, in the absence or presence of βAS . Our findings indicate a protective role of I_{Ks} in preventing excessive BVR and EAD generation during βAS , and a mechanistic contribution of inward Na^+-Ca^{2+} exchange to these proarrhythmic sequelae.

METHODS

This investigation conformed with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). Animal handling was in accordance with the European Directive for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals Used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes (86/609/EU).

Cell-isolation procedure

Twenty-three adult female beagle dogs weighing 12.8 ± 0.3 kg (range: 10.5–15.0 kg; 9–31 months of age) were used for the myocyte isolations. Anesthesia was induced with 45 mg/kg pentobarbital. Once full anesthesia was reached, the chest was opened via a left thoracotomy and the heart was excised and placed in an O_2 -gassed Ca^{2+} -free standard buffer solution at approximately 4 °C. The cell isolation procedure was the same as previously described.¹⁷ Briefly, the left anterior descending coronary artery was cannulated and perfused. After ~20 min of collagenase perfusion and subsequent washout of the enzyme, the epicardial surface layer was removed from the LV wedge until a depth of ≥ 3 mm was reached. Softened tissue samples were collected from the midmyocardial layer underneath while contamination with the endocardium was avoided. Samples were gently agitated, filtered and washed. LV midmyocytes were stored at room temperature in standard buffer solution and only quiescent rod-shaped cells with clear cross-striations were used for the experiments.

Cellular recordings

Transmembrane APs were recorded at 37 °C bath temperature using high-resistance (30–60 M Ω) glass microelectrodes filled with 3 mol/L KCl. Intracellular pacing was done at various cycle lengths (CLs) and only cells that showed a spike-and-dome AP morphology were accepted for the experiments. Myocyte contractions were recorded with a video edge motion detector (Crescent Electronics, Sandy, UT, USA).

Solutions and agents

The standard buffer solution used for the experiments was composed of (in mmol/L): NaCl 145, KCl 4.0, $CaCl_2$ 1.8, $MgCl_2$ 1.0, glucose 11 and HEPES 10, pH 7.4 with NaOH at 37 °C. HMR1556 ((3R,4S)-(+)-N-[3-hydroxy-2,2-di methyl-6-(4,4,4-tri fluo robutoxy)chroman-4-yl]-N-methyl methane sulfon amide) was used to block I_{Ks} . At 500 nmol/L it blocks the current completely,¹⁰ while exerting minimal, if any, effects on I_{Kr} and other ion currents.^{6, 18, 19} I_{Kr} was selectively blocked by 1 μ mol/L dofetilide (Apin Chemicals, Abingdon, United Kingdom). Late I_{Na} was augmented by 20 nmol/L ATXII (Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). Intracellular Ca^{2+} was buffered with 5 μ mol/L BAPTA-

AM (Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands). The $\text{Na}^+/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchanger was inhibited by 300 nmol/L or 1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ SEA0400. At these concentrations SEA0400 has little effect on I_{CaL} , the cytosolic Ca^{2+} transient or contraction of canine ventricular myocytes, whereas it blocks $\text{Na}^+/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchange by 28-80% (reverse mode & forward mode) depending on cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration.^{20, 21} HMR1556, dofetilide, ATXII, BAPTA-AM and SEA0400 were initially dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide and then diluted so that the concentration of the solvent was $\sim 0.1\%$ in the superfusate, a concentration that has no measurable effects on AP or ionic currents. βAS was applied as 100 nmol/L isoproterenol. This agent was originally dissolved in distilled water containing 30 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ascorbic acid and then stored in the dark at 4 °C until use.

Data analysis and statistics

BVR was quantified as variability of APD at 90% repolarization (APD_{90}): $\Sigma(|\text{APD}_{90; i+1} - \text{APD}_{90; i}|)/[n\text{beats} \times \sqrt{2}]$ for 30 (or a minimum of 10) consecutive APs.¹ In addition, we calculated the coefficient of variability (CV) of APD_{90} as the percentage of standard deviation/mean APD_{90} .⁸ Quantitative data are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM. Intergroup comparisons were made with Student's *t* test for unpaired and paired data groups, after testing for the normality of distribution. Differences were considered statistically significant if $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of BVR in canine LV myocytes

Figure 1. Baseline characteristics of BVR in canine LV myocytes. A. Histogram of APD_{90} from 300 consecutive cycles in a representative cell with Gaussian fit (solid line) to the histogram data. Bins of 2 ms width. B. Single-cell (30 APs) and average APD_{90} as a function of pacing CL (Top panel). Bottom panel illustrates the CL dependence of both BVR and CV. Average parameters are shown $\pm$ SEM. C. Representative AP and contraction recordings from the same cell paced at 350 ms and 4000 ms CL. D. Poincaré plots of APD_{90} from the cell shown in C at the CL of 350 ms (left) and 4000 ms (right). Of note is the repetitive behavior seen at 350 ms, whereas at 4000 ms the recordings are more chaotic. APD represents APD_{90} . CV, coefficient of variability. CL, cycle length. A.U., arbitrary units.

Figure 1A shows a histogram of 300 consecutive APD_{90} s in a representative contracting myocyte during pacing at a CL of 1000 ms. APD_{90} was normally distributed about a mean of 276 ms with a SEM of 0.5 ms. Similar results were obtained from 13 cells. A correlation coefficient of 0.90 was calculated between the histogram and a normal Gaussian fit. This indicated that APD_{90} variability within the canine myocyte occurs randomly, which is consistent with previous data from guinea pig.⁸ In 33 cells, pacing rate was varied between CLs of 350 and 4000 ms. APD_{90} increased on slowing of the pacing rate, as expected.²² Single representative and pooled APD_{90} data are shown in **Figure 1B**.

BVR averaged 5.9 ± 0.5 ms at CL 1000 ms (**Figure 1B**). Significant increases were calculated at both the fastest and slowest pacing rates; at CL 350 ms BVR was 10.3 ± 2.3 ms and at CL 4000 ms 11.3 ± 1.3 ms (both $P < 0.05$ vs. CL 1000 ms). Similar patterns were found for CV. At CL 1000 ms CV averaged $2.6 \pm 0.2\%$, increasing to $4.7 \pm 0.8\%$ and $4.4 \pm 0.5\%$ at the same extreme rates (both $P < 0.05$ vs. CL 1000 ms; **Figure 1B**). Thus, at (very) fast pacing, rate-dependent adaptation of APD_{90} (further decrease) was clearly discordant with that of both BVR and CV (increases). Beat-to-beat alternans of both APD_{90} and contraction amplitude explained this discordance, as demonstrated in **Figure 1C**, left panel. APD_{90} alternans occurred as a very ordered process (interchanging between two values), whereas BVR was apparent as a more random APD_{90} behavior, generally at slower rates (**Figure 1C**, right panel; same cell). This is further exemplified in the Poincaré plots of **Figure 1D**. These data suggest distinct mechanisms for BVR and APD_{90} alternans.

Both BVR and CV expressed similar patterns of rate-dependent repolarization variability. As BVR incorporates the beat-to-beat consecutiveness of APD_{90} in its formulation whereas CV does not, we used BVR in the remaining analyses.

Influences of hyper- and hypokalemia on BVR

Figure 2. Response of APD and BVR to changes in $[K^+]_o$. A. APs recorded from 30 consecutive cycles in a single canine LV myocyte paced at a cycle length of 2000 ms under various $[K^+]_o$. BVR and APD values and $[K^+]_o$ are indicated above. B. Poincaré plots of APD₉₀ of each of these traces are shown. An increase in BVR is seen during a state of hypokalemia, and a decrease in a state of hyperkalemia.

Next, we examined the influences of hyper- and hypokalemia. Representative results are shown in **Figure 2**. Myocytes ($n=4$) were constantly paced at CL 2000 ms. For hyperkalemia, $[K^+]_o$ was raised to 7.0 mmol/L in the superfusate. Along with APD₉₀ shortening (-15% from 264 ± 35 ms), BVR decreased from 5.3 ± 1.2 ms (normokalemia) to 3.0 ± 0.3 ms ($P < 0.05$). For hypokalemia, $[K^+]_o$ was lowered to 2.5 mmol/L. Now, APD₉₀ prolonged ($+26\%$) and BVR increased to 9.0 ± 0.8 ms ($P < 0.05$). No EADs were observed. Resting membrane potentials varied with the $[K^+]_o$ changes, as expected (**Figure 2A**).

BVR instability and EAD generation during I_{Kr} blockade or late- I_{Na} augmentation, and rescue by β AS

Figure 3. Increases in repolarization instability caused by both I_{Kr} blockade and late- I_{Na} augmentation and rescue by β AS. Representative examples showing the effects of dofetilide 1 μ mol/L (panel A) or ATX II 20 nmol/L (panel B) on BVR at 1000 ms CL and rescue by β AS. AP recordings showing the minimum, maximum and median beats are shown for each condition and the APD_{90} and BVR are indicated (left). Poincaré plots for each condition are also shown. Group data from all cells is shown on the right. Data is shown as mean $\pm$ SEM. * $P < 0.05$ versus baseline; # $P < 0.05$ versus drug challenge.

I_{Kr} blockade with dofetilide (1 μ mol/L) and late- I_{Na} augmentation with ATXII (20 nmol/L) increased APD_{90} and BVR at pacing CLs of 500, 1000 and 2000 ms, but most significantly at the slower rate. This is shown in **Figure 3**. In the case of dofetilide and for CL 1000 ms, BVR changed from 5.6 ± 0.5 ms at baseline to 16.1 ± 2.9 ms ($P < 0.05$; 19 cells; $APD_{90} + 37\%$ from 297 ± 15 ms), as calculated from APD_{90} s before the first occurrence of EADs (if present; see below). Similarly, in the case of ATXII, BVR increased from 4.4 ± 0.5 ms to 15.5 ± 1.8 ms ($P < 0.05$; 12 cells; $APD_{90} + 47\%$ from 255 ± 17 ms). APD_{90} histograms remained normally distributed during both dofetilide and ATXII (data not shown). During these drug challenges, the addition of β AS (isoproterenol; 100 nmol/L) drastically reduced APD_{90} and BVR at all cycle lengths, even to values below baseline (**Figure 3**). EADs were also abolished. For dofetilide at CL 1000 ms, BVR decreased from 16.1 ± 2.9 ms to 3.5 ± 0.7 ms ($P < 0.05$; $APD_{90} - 102\%$ to 201 ± 23 ms). For ATXII at the same CL, BVR was reduced from 15.5 ± 1.8 ms to 2.8 ± 0.3 ms ($P < 0.05$; $APD_{90} - 40\%$ to 180 ± 10 ms). In some cells, delayed afterdepolarizations (DADs) appeared during β AS and drug challenge, however these did not reach the threshold for triggering APs.

BVR instability and EAD generation by β AS during I_{Ks} blockade

Figure 4. β AS unmasks repolarization instability caused by I_{Ks} blockade. Representative traces showing the minimum, maximum and median AP under baseline conditions, I_{Ks} blockade and I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS during pacing at 1000 ms. Poincaré plots, APD₉₀ and BVR values for the particular cell are also shown. Group data from all cells are shown on the right showing mean $\pm$ SEM. * P <0.05 versus baseline; # P <0.05 versus drug challenge.

I_{Ks} blockade with HMR1556 (500 nmol/L) did not affect APD₉₀ at pacing CLs of 500, 1000 and 2000 ms, in line with previous results.^{10, 23} For example, at CL 1000 ms APD₉₀ was 249 $\pm$ 8 ms at baseline compared to 250 $\pm$ 8 ms under HMR1556. Likewise, BVR remained unaltered at CLs of 500 and 1000 ms. However at CL 2000 ms, it increased moderately but significantly (**Figure 4**, bar graph; $n=16$). APD₉₀ histograms remained normally distributed during HMR1556. No EADs or DADs were observed during HMR1556 only.

The addition of β AS during I_{Ks} blockade changed this picture drastically: BVR increased at all CLs, but most apparently at CL 500 ms and *during* the window of DAD occurrence (**Figures 4 and 5**). DADs were generated in all cells ($n=10$), and EADs in most of them. At pacing CL 1000 ms, BVR increased to 5.7 $\pm$ 0.3 ms during HMR1556 plus isoproterenol *before* the occurrence of DADs from a value of 4.2 $\pm$ 0.2 under HMR1556 alone. During the subsequent phase with DADs, BVR increased further to 14.8 $\pm$ 1.5 ms (P <0.05). APD₉₀ also showed a significant increase at all CLs. For example at CL 1000 ms, APD₉₀ averaged 291 $\pm$ 15 ms (+17% versus baseline) during HMR1556 plus isoproterenol in the phase with DADs. Obviously, EADs exaggerated BVR and APD₉₀ even further (**Figure 5**). BVR and APD₉₀ changes, and the generation of DADs and EADs, were completely reversible upon washout of isoproterenol.

Figure 5. Time course of increased repolarization instability during I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS. Upper panel shows consecutively paced APs at 1000 ms CL, together with Poincaré plots during initial perfusion of HMR1556. Addition of β AS increases APD and BVR before DADs are seen (2nd panel from above). The occurrence of DADs (3rd panel) increases APD and BVR further, and DAD-triggered APs and EADs are subsequently observed (4th panel). Arrows indicate timings of paced beats, and * indicate EADs. Low amplitude EADs are also generated under these conditions.

Differential magnitudes of BVR in cells with or without EAD generation, and rate dependence of EADs under different conditions

Figure 6. Magnitude of BVR in cells with or without EADs, and rate dependence of EADs under various conditions. Magnitude of BVR in cells with or without EADs, and rate dependence of EADs under various conditions. A. Bar graph showing BVR values in cells that show EADs compared to those that did not during various drug treatments. Insets show examples of EADs caused by I_{Kr} block and late- I_{Na} augmentation together with the preceding beat and the baseline AP. Mean data shown $\pm$ SEM; BL represents baseline. * $P < 0.05$ versus BVR in cells with no EADs. B. Bar graph showing the rate dependence of EADs. Number of EADs per 10 beats are plotted at various CLs during all 3 treatment groups. Mean data shown $\pm$ SEM.

During I_{Kr} blockade and late- I_{Na} augmentation, BVR increases (most pronounced at slow rates) were significantly larger in myocytes in which EADs ensued, even though BVR was calculated before the first EAD occurred, ($n=8/19$ for dofetilide and $n=5/12$ for ATXII) versus those cells in which no EADs were seen ($n=11/19$ and $n=7/12$, respectively). This is shown in **Figure 6A** (for CL 2000 ms). In contrast, EADs generated during I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS were clearly fast-rate dependent (**Figure 6B**). Under these conditions, BVR was not statistically different for myocytes with ensuing EADs ($n=5/10$) versus those without ($n=5/10$). β A-stimulated EADs were always preceded by DADs and were always accompanied by early aftercontractions (**Figures 7 and 8**, middle panels). Early aftercontractions usually took off before EAD upstrokes (inset, **Figure 7**, middle panel) and, in fact, occurred often without concrete EADs. Collectively, these data indicated that EADs and DADs during I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS are generated as the consequence of cellular Ca^{2+} overload and spontaneous Ca^{2+} release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum, and they suggested a mechanistic contribution of inward Na^+-Ca^{2+} exchange.^{24, 25}

Influences of BAPTA-AM and SEA0400 on BVR instability and EAD generation during I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS

Figure 7. Ca^{2+} chelator BAPTA-AM rescues from excessive BVR during I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS. Representative traces showing 30 consecutive AP and contraction recordings overlapped from the same cell under I_{Ks} blockade (left panel), plus β AS (middle panel) and together with 5 μ mol/L BAPTA-AM (right panel) while being paced at 1000 ms. Inset shows an example EAD caused by I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS. EADs are eliminated during intracellular calcium buffering despite APD lengthening. Dashed line indicates the initiation of the early aftercontraction which preceded the EAD upstroke. Poincaré plots, APD_{90} and BVR values for this particular cell are also shown.

As a final series of experiments, we examined the effects of the intracellular Ca^{2+} chelator BAPTA-AM (5 μ mol/L) and the Na^+-Ca^{2+} exchange blocker SEA0400 (300 nmol/L or 1 μ mol/L) on BVR instability and EAD generation by β AS during I_{Ks} blockade. Data were obtained in 6 cells. As shown in **Figure 7**, BAPTA-AM significantly reduced excessive BVR and eliminated all EADs (and DADs). At pacing CL 1000 ms, BVR changed from 12.9 ± 1.6 ms during HMR1556 plus isoproterenol (before first EADs) to 4.2 ± 0.1 ms ($P < 0.05$) during additional BAPTA-AM, even despite extra AP prolongation ($APD_{90} + 56\%$). The latter is likely caused by a reduction in I_{CaL} inactivation²⁶ and an I_{Kr} blocking side-effect of BAPTA-AM.²⁷ BVR restabilization was maximal when all (after)contractile activity was inhibited by the Ca^{2+} buffer. **Figure 8** illustrates the effects of SEA0400 (300 nmol/L) at CL 500 ms. BVR decreased significantly from 12.2 ± 1.5 ms during HMR1556 plus β AS to 2.4 ± 0.2 ms ($P < 0.05$) during additional SEA0400. Effects were less pronounced at CLs 1000 and 2000 ms. All EADs were eliminated upon the addition of SEA0400. BVR effects were quantitatively similar for 300 nmol/L and 1 μ mol/L SEA0400, as were reductions of APD_{90} and contraction amplitude. At CL 500 ms, APD_{90} decreased from 246 ± 16 ms during HMR1556 plus β AS to 201 ± 13 ms ($P < 0.05$) during additional SEA0400, while contraction amplitudes were decreased by $52 \pm 5\%$.

Figure 8. Na^+Ca^{2+} exchange inhibitor SEA0400 rescues from excessive BVR during I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS. Representative traces showing 30 consecutive AP and contraction recordings from the same cell under I_{Ks} blockade (left panel) plus β AS (middle panel) plus 300 nmol/L SEA0400 (right panel) at CL 500 ms. EADs are seen under I_{Ks} blockade plus β AS which are eliminated during application of SEA0400. Poincaré plots, APD_{90} and BVR values for this particular cell are also shown.

DISCUSSION

The mechanisms underlying BVR at the single-cell level are poorly understood. In the present study, we used canine ventricular myocytes to investigate BVR responses at baseline and during various proarrhythmic challenges. Our data indicate that I_{Ks} stabilizes BVR at slow pacing rates under baseline conditions, despite the absence of changes in APD_{90} . β AS of I_{Ks} rescues from excessive repolarization instability and EAD generation during I_{Kr} block and late- I_{Na} augmentation. Furthermore, our results support the notion that β AS during drug-induced I_{Ks} block promotes altered Ca^{2+} handling and enhanced activation of Ca^{2+} -dependent membrane currents, including inward Na^+Ca^{2+} exchange, thus exaggerating BVR. Although single myocyte BVR is not the sole contributor to in-vivo QT variability, our novel insights are crucial for the understanding of BVR at the whole organ level such as seen after I_{Kr} blockade and β AS.⁶

Distinction between BVR and repolarization alternans

At baseline, BVR is mainly dependent on APD which in turn is reliant on cycle length. At very fast rates, however, APD_{90} and BVR become discordant as the result of beat-to-beat repolarization alternans (**Figure 1**). The latter is an ordered process, characterized by interchanging between two

APDs and concomitant contraction amplitudes. Although repolarization alternans is considered arrhythmogenic, EADs are not normally seen under these conditions, at least not in our experiments. Repolarization and contraction alternans arise from beat-to-beat alteration in cellular Ca^{2+} cycling.²⁸ BVR, on the other hand, is characterized by an apparently random behavior of APD, generally at intermediate and slow rates. Even during pronounced BVR instability, e.g., by hypokalemia, I_{Kr} blockade and late- I_{Na} augmentation, contraction amplitudes remain relatively stable (contraction data not shown). Our data thus indicate that in the majority of cases BVR and APD_{90} show parallel changes. However, in specific conditions they can deviate, for example during I_{Ks} blockade plus βAS , and during I_{Ks} blockade plus βAS and BAPTA-AM. This suggests that BVR is not necessarily dependent on APD_{90} at all times, which has major mechanistic implications.

Cellular BVR likely results from the stochastic activities of transmembrane ion transporters, including ion channels, during the AP plateau phase. During this phase, around the 0 mV level, the membrane resistance is very high,⁸ meaning that minute changes of the ion fluxes across the membrane can significantly affect the membrane potential which in turn will alter the repolarization duration.²⁹ Interventions that reduce net outward current will cause a prolongation of this vulnerable phase, meaning that there is an extended period at which the voltage is around the 0-mV level. Stochastic behavior of the ion channels may then increase BVR. It is also possible that this stochastic behavior results indirectly from subsarcolemmal Ca^{2+} fluctuations that lead to modification of Ca^{2+} -dependent ion currents. Modeling data has shown that during isoproterenol stochastic I_{CaL} gating in mode 2 results in APD variability as well as EAD generation,³⁰ and this could contribute to the BVR seen in this study.

Cellular BVR during βAS

In the present study, we have shown that BVR is drastically increased under conditions of I_{Kr} blockade or late I_{Na} augmentation in isolated canine LV midmyocytes. The addition of βAS , however, causes a major reduction in BVR and eliminates EAD activity (**Figure 3**). As I_{Ks} is the main βA -sensitive outward current in the canine ventricular AP, this current appears responsible for the restabilization of repolarization. If true, βAS would provoke BVR instability during I_{Ks} blockade, as I_{Ks} could no longer compensate for the increased I_{CaL} caused by βAS , which leads to increased cellular Ca^{2+} load and APD_{90} . This was indeed the case: BVR increased significantly, despite only moderate increases in APD_{90} (**Figure 4**). In this phase, βAS also evoked DADs and EADs (**Figure 5**). BVR increased after the first generation of DADs (even before EADs occurred; **Figure 5**), which supports the concept that dynamic subsarcolemmal Ca^{2+} fluxes during cellular Ca^{2+} overload and diastolic spontaneous Ca^{2+} release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum influence the subsequent (paced) APs and BVR via mechanisms yet to be elucidated. Our finding that BVR and EAD generation by I_{Ks} blockade and βAS were most pronounced at fast pacing rates (as opposed to I_{Kr} blockade and late- I_{Na} augmentation; **Figure 6**) fits with this concept. Additional support comes from the result that intracellular Ca^{2+} chelation with BAPTA-AM reduces beat-to-beat repolarization instability (**Figure 7**). Moreover, in preliminary experiments in which we used ryanodine (1 $\mu mol/L$) during I_{Ks} blockade and βAS (data not shown), blockade of sarcoplasmic-reticulum Ca^{2+} release reduced excessive BVR (while inhibiting

the generation of afterdepolarizations), again supporting that Ca^{2+} -dependent membrane currents contribute to BVR.

Our results on afterdepolarization occurrence are partially in agreement with data from the canine LV-wedge preparation.³¹ Burashnikov and Antzelevitch³¹ reported that under combined I_{Ks} blockade and βAS , DADs were induced in LV epi-, mid- and endocardium. Transmural dispersion of repolarization was accentuated. However, no EAD activity was seen. This apparent discrepancy with our observations in isolated myocytes could be explained by the difference in cell-to-cell electrotonic coupling. The latter, which acts in the LV wedge preparation but not in the isolated cell, has been shown to reduce BVR.⁸

The $\text{Na}^+ - \text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchange inhibitor SEA0400 attenuates excessive BVR and EADs during I_{Ks} blockade plus βAS

Various groups have used the $\text{Na}^+ - \text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchange inhibitor SEA0400 in attempts to prevent TdP under conditions of I_{Kr} block^{32, 33} and I_{Na} augmentation³² with mixed results. Milberg et al.³² showed that the application of SEA0400 (1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) in the presence of sotalol or veratridine resulted in a significant decrease of monophasic APD and an attenuation of EADs in paced Langendorff-perfused rabbit hearts with complete atrioventricular block, supporting the hypothesis that the $\text{Na}^+ - \text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchanger is an important mediator of EADs.²⁵ However in the study of Farkas et al.³³ SEA0400 (1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) did not suppress dofetilide-induced TdP nor decrease QT variability in unpaced Langendorff-perfused rabbit hearts with complete atrioventricular block. The major difference between these two studies was that in the study of Milberg et al.³² hearts were paced at constant cycle lengths, whereas in the alternate study³³ hearts were allowed to beat at their intrinsic ventricular rate. Upon administering SEA0400 in addition to dofetilide, the rate instability increased, and this additional factor could have increased the susceptibility to TdP, at variance with the results of Milberg et al.³²

To the best of our knowledge this report is the first to describe the use of $\text{Na}^+ - \text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchange inhibition in the presence of I_{Ks} block combined with βAS (as such mimicking long-QT syndrome type 1 (LQT1)). In line with Milberg et al.,³² the application of SEA0400 resulted in a significant decrease of transmembrane APD and an attenuation of EADs (**Figure 8**). Moreover, SEA0400 rescued from excessive BVR, suggesting a repolarization-destabilizing role of inward $\text{Na}^+ - \text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchange under conditions of I_{Ks} blockade combined with βAS .²⁴

Clinical perspectives

In LQT1 patients, sympathetic stimulation and epinephrine challenges prolong the QT interval,³⁴ increase beat-to-beat QT variability³⁵ and promote EAD generation.³⁴ Our present cellular results may thus have important clinical implications, as they support the use of Ca^{2+} antagonists,³⁶ βA -receptor blockers^{34, 36} and even $\text{I}_{\text{K,ATP}}$ openers³⁴ to restrain BVR and reduce the risk of TdP in these patients. Indeed, βA -receptor blockade, the cornerstone of pharmacological treatment in LQT1,

decreases epinephrine-prolonged monophasic APD and transmural dispersion of repolarization in LQT1.³⁴ Likewise, β A-receptor blockade can decrease transmural dispersion of repolarization in LQT1 patients, but causes it to increase in LQT2 patients.³⁷ Whether the Na^+Ca^{2+} exchanger is a suitable target for antiarrhythmic treatment in LQT1 patients is currently under debate.

Conclusions

The repolarizing potassium current I_{Ks} stabilizes BVR in single canine LV myocytes. During conditions of I_{Kr} blockade and late- I_{Na} augmentation, β AS of I_{Ks} rescues from excessive BVR, AP prolongation and EAD generation. However, during I_{Ks} blockade β AS provokes excessive BVR, while promoting the generation of DADs and EADs. The loss of I_{Ks} plus overriding of Ca^{2+} -dependent inward currents, including inward Na^+Ca^{2+} exchange current, likely conspire to cellular proarrhythmia under these conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr. Heinz Gogelein, Sanofi-Aventis Germany GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany for supplying HMR1556, and Dr. Andras Varro, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary for supplying SEA0400. Technical support by Roel L.H.M.G. Spatjens, BSc, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht, The Netherlands and Ann J. Woods, Safety Pharmacology, SAUK, AstraZeneca R&D, UK is greatly appreciated.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

P.G.A.V. is supported by a Vidi grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (ZonMw 91710365). D.M.J. was financially supported by AstraZeneca Ltd, UK.

REFERENCES

1. Thomsen MB, Verduyn SC, Stengl M, Beekman JD, de Pater G, van Opstal J, Volders PGA, Vos MA. Increased short-term variability of repolarization predicts *d*-sotalol-induced torsades de pointes in dogs. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2453-2459.
2. Schneider J, Hauser R, Andreas JO, Linz K, Jahnel U. Differential effects of human ether-a-go-go-related gene (HERG) blocking agents on QT duration variability in conscious dogs. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2005;512:53-60.
3. Lengyel C, Varro A, Tábori K, Papp JG, Baczk I. Combined pharmacological block of I_{Kr} and I_{Ks} increases short-term QT interval variability and provokes torsades de pointes. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2007;151:941-951.
4. Wu L, Shryock JC, Song Y, Belardinelli L. An increase in late sodium current potentiates the proarrhythmic activities of low-risk QT-prolonging drugs in female rabbit hearts. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2006;316:718-726.

5. Hinterseer M, Thomsen MB, Beckmann BM, Pfeufer A, Schimpf R, Wichmann HE, Steinbeck G, Vos MA, Kaab S. Beat-to-beat variability of QT intervals is increased in patients with drug-induced long-QT syndrome: a case control pilot study. *Eur Heart J*. 2008;29:185-190.
6. Gallacher DJ, Van de Water A, van der Linde H, Hermans AN, Lu HR, Towart R, Volders PGA. In vivo mechanisms precipitating torsades de pointes in a canine model of drug-induced long-QT1 syndrome. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;76:247-256.
7. Thomsen MB, Volders PG, Beekman JD, Matz J, Vos MA. Beat-to-Beat variability of repolarization determines proarrhythmic outcome in dogs susceptible to drug-induced torsades de pointes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:1268-1276.
8. Zaniboni M, Pollard AE, Yang L, Spitzer KW. Beat-to-beat repolarization variability in ventricular myocytes and its suppression by electrical coupling. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2000;278:H677-687.
9. Zaniboni M, Cacciani F, Salvarani N. Temporal variability of repolarization in rat ventricular myocytes paced with time-varying frequencies. *Exp Physiol*. 2007;92:859-869.
10. Volders PGA, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Spätjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2753-2760.
11. Song Y, Shryock JC, Wu L, Belardinelli L. Antagonism by ranolazine of the pro-arrhythmic effects of increasing late I_{Na} in guinea pig ventricular myocytes. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 2004;44:192-199.
12. Undrovinas AI, Belardinelli L, Undrovinas NA, Sabbah HN. Ranolazine improves abnormal repolarization and contraction in left ventricular myocytes of dogs with heart failure by inhibiting late sodium current. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2006;17 Suppl 1:S169-S177.
13. Varró A, Baláti B, Jost N, Takács J, Virág L, Lathrop DA, Csaba L, Tálosi L, Papp JG. The role of the delayed rectifier component I_{Ks} in dog ventricular muscle and Purkinje fibre repolarization. *J Physiol*. 2000;523 Pt 1:67-81.
14. Jost N, Virág L, Bitay M, Takács J, Lengyel C, Biliczki P, Nagy Z, Bogáts G, Lathrop DA, Papp JG, Varró A. Restricting excessive cardiac action potential and QT prolongation: a vital role for I_{Ks} in human ventricular muscle. *Circulation*. 2005;112:1392-1399.
15. Carmeliet E. Repolarization Reserve in Cardiac Cells. *J Med Biol Eng*. 2006;26:97-105.
16. Roden DM. Taking the "idio" out of "idiosyncratic": predicting torsades de pointes. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1998;21:1029-1034.
17. Volders PGA, Sipido KR, Carmeliet E, Spätjens RLHMG, Wellens HJ, Vos MA. Repolarizing K^+ currents I_{TO1} and I_{Ks} are larger in right than left canine ventricular midmyocardium. *Circulation*. 1999;99:206-210.
18. Gögelein H, Bruggemann A, Gerlach U, Brendel J, Busch AE. Inhibition of I_{Ks} channels by HMR 1556. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol*. 2000;362:480-488.
19. Thomas GP, Gerlach U, Antzelevitch C. HMR 1556, a potent and selective blocker of slowly activating delayed rectifier potassium current. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 2003;41:140-147.
20. Birinyi P, Acsai K, Banyasz T, Toth A, Horvath B, Virag L, Szentandrassy N, Magyar J, Varro A, Fulop F, Nanasi PP. Effects of SEA0400 and KB-R7943 on Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchange current and L-type Ca^{2+} current in canine ventricular cardiomyocytes. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol*. 2005;372:63-70.
21. Birinyi P, Toth A, Jona I, Acsai K, Almasy J, Nagy N, Prorok J, Gherasim I, Papp Z, Hertelendi Z, Szentandrassy N, Banyasz T, Fulop F, Papp JG, Varro A, Nanasi PP, Magyar J. The Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchange blocker SEA0400 fails to enhance cytosolic Ca^{2+} transient and contractility in canine ventricular cardiomyocytes. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2008;78:476-484.
22. Volders PG, Sipido KR, Vos MA, Kulcsar A, Verduyn SC, Wellens HJ. Cellular basis of biventricular hypertrophy and arrhythmogenesis in dogs with chronic complete atrioventricular block and acquired torsade de pointes. *Circulation*. 1998;98:1136-1147.

23. Stengl M, Volders PGA, Thomsen MB, Spätjens RLHMG, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Accumulation of slowly activating delayed rectifier potassium current I_{Ks} in canine ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol.* 2003;551:777-786.
24. Volders PGA, Kulcsár A, Vos MA, Sipido KR, Wellens HJ, Lazzara R, Szabo B. Similarities between early and delayed afterdepolarizations induced by isoproterenol in canine ventricular myocytes. *Cardiovasc Res.* 1997;34:348-359.
25. Volders PG, Vos MA, Szabo B, Sipido KR, de Groot SH, Gorgels AP, Wellens HJ, Lazzara R. Progress in the understanding of cardiac early afterdepolarizations and torsades de pointes: time to revise current concepts. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2000;46:376--392.
26. Linz KW, Meyer R. Control of L-type calcium current during the action potential of guinea-pig ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol.* 1998;513 (Pt 2):425-442.
27. Tang Q, Jin MW, Xiang JZ, Dong MQ, Sun HY, Lau CP, Li GR. The membrane permeable calcium chelator BAPTA-AM directly blocks human ether a-go-go-related gene potassium channels stably expressed in HEK 293 cells. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2007;74:1596-1607.
28. Wan X, Laurita KR, Pruvot EJ, Rosenbaum DS. Molecular correlates of repolarization alternans in cardiac myocytes. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2005;39:419-428.
29. Kass RS. Genetically induced reduction in small currents has major impact. *Circulation.* 1997;96:1720-1721.
30. Tanskanen AJ, Greenstein JL, O'Rourke B, Winslow RL. The role of stochastic and modal gating of cardiac L-type Ca^{2+} channels on early after-depolarizations. *Biophys J.* 2005;88:85-95.
31. Burashnikov A, Antzelevitch C. Block of I_{Ks} does not induce early afterdepolarization activity but promotes β -adrenergic agonist-induced delayed afterdepolarization activity. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2000;11:458-465.
32. Milberg P, Pott C, Fink M, Frommeyer G, Matsuda T, Baba A, Osada N, Breithardt G, Noble D, Eckardt L. Inhibition of the Na^{+}/Ca^{2+} exchanger suppresses torsades de pointes in an intact heart model of long QT syndrome-2 and long QT syndrome-3. *Heart Rhythm.* 2008;5:1444-1452.
33. Farkas AS, Makra P, Csik N, Orosz S, Shattock MJ, Fulop F, Forster T, Csanady M, Papp JG, Varro A, Farkas A. The role of the Na^{+}/Ca^{2+} exchanger, I_{Na} and I_{CaL} in the genesis of dofetilide-induced torsades de pointes in isolated, AV-blocked rabbit hearts. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2009;156:920-932.
34. Shimizu W, Kurita T, Matsuo K, Suyama K, Aihara N, Kamakura S, Towbin JA, Shimomura K. Improvement of repolarization abnormalities by a K^{+} channel opener in the LQT1 form of congenital long-QT syndrome. *Circulation.* 1998;97:1581-1588.
35. Satomi K, Shimizu W, Takaki H, Suyama K, Kurita T, Aihara N, Kamakura S. Response of beat-by-beat QT variability to sympathetic stimulation in the LQT1 form of congenital long QT syndrome. *Heart Rhythm.* 2005;2:149-154.
36. Shimizu W, Ohe T, Kurita T, Kawade M, Arakaki Y, Aihara N, Kamakura S, Kamiya T, Shimomura K. Effects of verapamil and propranolol on early afterdepolarizations and ventricular arrhythmias induced by epinephrine in congenital long QT syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1995;26:1299-1309.
37. Khositseth A, Nemec J, Hejlik J, Shen WK, Ackerman MJ. Effect of phenylephrine provocation on dispersion of repolarization in congenital long QT syndrome. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* 2003;8:208-214.

CHAPTER 6

DIASTOLIC SPONTANEOUS CALCIUM RELEASE FROM THE SARCOPLASMIC RETICULUM INCREASES BEAT-TO-BEAT REPOLARIZATION VARIABILITY IN CALCIUM-OVERLOADED CANINE VENTRICULAR MYOCYTES

JORDI HEIJMAN*, DANIEL M. JOHNSON*, ELIZABETH F. BODE, DAVID J. GREENSMITH, NAJAH ABI-GERGES, DAVID A. EISNER, ANDREW W. TRAFFORD AND PAUL G.A. VOLDERS

* THESE AUTHORS CONTRIBUTED EQUALLY TO THIS WORK

MANUSCRIPT IN PREPARATION AND IN PART PRESENTED AT MEETINGS OF THE HEART RHYTHM SOCIETY 2011 AND THE CARDIAC ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY SOCIETY 2011.

ABSTRACT

Spontaneous Ca²⁺ release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SCR) can cause delayed afterdepolarizations (DADs) and triggered activity, contributing to arrhythmogenesis during Ca²⁺ overload. Excessive beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration (BVR) is a proarrhythmic marker. Previous research has shown that BVR is increased during Ca²⁺ overload in isolated myocytes. We aimed to determine ionic mechanisms controlling BVR during abnormal Ca²⁺ handling. Membrane potentials and cell shortening or Ca²⁺ transients were recorded from isolated canine left-ventricular myocytes in the presence of the β -adrenergic agonist isoproterenol to induce Ca²⁺ overload. Action-potential (AP) durations following DADs were significantly prolonged. Addition of I_{Ks} blockade led to further AP prolongation after SCR and this strongly correlated with exaggerated BVR. Suppressing SCR via inhibition of ryanodine receptors, CaMKII inhibition, or by using Mg²⁺ or flecainide eliminated DADs and decreased BVR, which was independent of effects on AP duration. Caffeine led to a similar decrease in BVR, due to the occurrence of SCR before every AP. Computational analyses and voltage-clamp experiments measuring I_{CaL} with and without prior SCR demonstrated that I_{CaL} was increased during Ca²⁺-induced Ca²⁺ release after SCR, contributing to AP prolongation. SCR promotes BVR by interspersed prolongation of myocyte AP duration, which is exacerbated during I_{Ks} blockade. Attenuation of Ca²⁺-induced Ca²⁺ release by SCR underlies AP prolongation via increased I_{CaL}. These data provide insight into arrhythmogenic mechanisms during Ca²⁺ overload besides triggered activity, as well as illustrating the importance of I_{Ks} function in preventing excessive BVR.

INTRODUCTION

Enhanced cellular Ca^{2+} load, for example during β -adrenergic receptor (βAR) stimulation, results in augmentation of Ca^{2+} release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR), larger Ca^{2+} transients (CaT), and enhanced contractile force.¹ Under certain conditions Ca^{2+} load is increased beyond a certain threshold, leading to spontaneous Ca^{2+} release (SCR) from the SR during diastole.² In turn, this diastolic leak causes a transient inward current (I_{ti}), causing delayed afterdepolarizations (DADs), mainly due to activation of the electrogenic Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchanger (NCX), with the Ca^{2+} -activated Cl^- current (I_{ClCa}) contributing in some species.^{3, 4} Previous work has also shown that both DADs and early afterdepolarizations (EADs) may share a common mechanism, at least during βAR stimulation and Ca^{2+} overload, namely SCR-induced currents.⁵ Both types of afterdepolarizations have been incriminated in the formation of ventricular tachycardia (VT), via triggered activity (TA) and/or by increasing dispersion of repolarization.⁶

Beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration (BVR) occurs as an apparently random alteration in repolarization duration, and can be observed at all levels of cardiac repolarization, from the action potential (AP) of the single cardiac myocyte to the QT interval on the body surface.⁷⁻⁹ Exaggerated BVR has been reported to be a more reliable indicator of arrhythmogenic risk than repolarization prolongation *per se*, at least in several experimental VT models¹⁰⁻¹² and in selected human subjects, for example in patients with acquired or inherited long QT syndrome, or nonischemic heart failure.^{8,}

13

Mechanisms underlying BVR at the single-cell level remain poorly understood. Pharmacological interventions influencing ion channels that operate during the AP plateau can markedly alter BVR.^{7, 14} Recently, we have shown that during Ca^{2+} overload in myocytes subjected to blockade of the slowly-activating delayed-rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks}) in combination with βAR stimulation, BVR is significantly enhanced, even before the occurrence of EADs and TA.¹⁴

In the present study, we investigated the relationship between SCR and BVR using a combined experimental and computational approach in canine ventricular myocytes subject to βAR stimulation. We show that SCRs not only lead to I_{ti} and DAD formation, but also to a prolonged duration of the following AP via increased L-type Ca^{2+} current (I_{CaL}), which in turn leads to increased BVR when analyzing multiple consecutive APs. Pharmacological interventions that inhibit SCR (either with reduced or preserved systolic contraction) prevent this SCR-associated AP prolongation and reduce BVR.

METHODS

This investigation conformed with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). Animal handling

was in accordance with the European Directive for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals Used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes (86/609/EU).

Cell-isolation procedure

Adult female beagle dogs were used for the myocyte isolations. Anesthesia was induced with 45 mg/kg pentobarbital. Once full anesthesia was reached, the chest was opened via a left thoracotomy and the heart was excised and placed in an O₂-gassed Ca²⁺-free standard buffer solution at approximately 4 °C. The cell-isolation procedure was the same as previously described.¹⁵ Briefly the left anterior descending coronary artery was cannulated and perfused. After ~20 min of collagenase perfusion and subsequent washout of the enzyme, the epicardial surface layer was removed from the LV wedge until a depth of ≥3 mm was reached. Softened tissue samples were collected from the midmyocardial layer underneath while contamination with the endocardium was avoided. Samples were gently agitated, filtered and washed. LV midmyocytes were stored at room temperature in standard buffer solution (vide infra) and only quiescent rod-shaped cells with clear cross-striations were used for the experiments. Cells were used within 48 h of isolation.

Sharp-electrode action potential recordings

Transmembrane action potentials (APs) were recorded at 37 °C using high-resistance (30–60 MΩ) glass microelectrodes filled with 3 M KCl with a microelectrode amplifier (Axoclamp-2B, Axon Instruments, Inc). Intracellular pacing was done at various cycle lengths (CLs; 500 ms – 2000 ms). Only cells showing a stable spike-and-dome AP morphology and resting membrane potential were accepted for the experiments. Myocyte contractions were recorded with a video edge motion detector (Crescent Electronics, Sandy, UT, USA).

Ca²⁺ measurements

Isolated myocytes were loaded with the acetoxymethyl ester of indicator Fluo-3 or Fura-2 (Molecular Probes; 5 μmol/L, 5 min loading and 30 min de-esterification). Electrophysiological control for current clamp and voltage clamp experiments with simultaneous [Ca²⁺]_i measurements was achieved using the perforated patch clamp technique with amphotericin-B (240 μg/ml). The switch-clamp facility (frequency 1–3 kHz and gain 1–3) of the Axoclamp-2B voltage clamp amplifier (Axon Instruments, CA, USA) was used to overcome the access resistance of the perforated patch. All experiments were performed at 37°C.

Drugs and experimental solutions

The standard buffer solution used for the experiments was composed of (in mmol/L): NaCl 145, KCl 4.0, CaCl₂ 1.8, MgCl₂ 1.0, glucose 11 and HEPES 10, pH 7.4 with NaOH at 37 °C. In a subset of experiments, extracellular [Mg²⁺] was increased to 5 mmol/L by the addition of 4 mmol/L MgSO₄. In other experiments, extracellular [Ca²⁺] was increased to 3.6 mmol/L to further increase cellular Ca²⁺ loading.

500 nmol/L HMR1556 ((3R,4S)-(+)-N-[3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-6-(4,4,4-trifluorobutoxy)chroman-4-yl]-N-methylmethanesulfonamide; a gift from Dr. H. Gögelein, Sanofi-Aventis Germany GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany) was used to selectively and completely block I_{Ks} .¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) Ca^{2+} release through the ryanodine receptor (RyR) was inhibited with 1 μ mol/L ryanodine (RBI, Natick, MA, USA) or stabilized using 5 μ mol/L tetracaine (Sigma-Aldrich Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). Caffeine (Sigma-Aldrich Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands; maximally 500 μ mol/L) was used to increase RyR open probability. Ca^{2+} /Calmodulin-dependent kinase (CaMKII) activity was modulated using the CaMKII inhibitor KN93 (Sigma-Aldrich Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands; 5 μ mol/L) and the calmodulin inhibitor W7 (Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK; 1 μ mol/L). The class-1C antiarrhythmic agent flecainide (MedaPharma, Amstelveen, The Netherlands) was employed in a subset of experiments. At 6 μ mol/L, flecainide results in a 40% decrease in RyR activity¹⁹ as well as affecting multiple other targets (40% inhibition of I_{To} ²⁰, significant inhibition of (late) I_{Na} ²¹ and ~50% inhibition of HERG K^+ channels²²).

For perforated patch experiments the patch pipette solution contained (in mmol/L): KCH_3O_3S 125, KCl 20, NaCl 10, HEPES 10, $MgCl_2$ 5, K_2EGTA 0.1, titrated to 7.2 with KOH with patch pipette resistance being 2-3 M Ω . In voltage clamp experiments, K^+ currents were blocked by addition of 500 nmol/L HMR1556, 5 mmol/L 4-aminopyridine and 100 nmol/L $BaCl_2$ to the standard buffer solution. Extracellular Ca^{2+} was raised (to 3.6 or 5.0 mmol/L) to further enhance cellular Ca^{2+} loading.

HMR1556, ryanodine, tetracaine, caffeine, W7 and KN93 were initially dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and then diluted so that the concentration of the solvent was maximally 0.1% in the superfusate, a concentration that has no measurable effects on AP or ionic currents.

β AS was applied as 100 nmol/L isoproterenol in all experiments. This agent was originally dissolved in distilled water containing 30 μ mol/L ascorbic acid and then stored in the dark at 4 °C until use.

Computational Analysis

A recent model of the canine ventricular myocyte electrophysiology including β AR stimulation²³ (**Chapter 3**) was extended with a method to induce diastolic SR Ca^{2+} release in a controlled fashion, similar to a recent approach by Xie et al.²⁴ Both timing (start, duration) and amplitude of diastolic SR Ca^{2+} release could be controlled.

Figure 1. Schematic overview of the computational model of the canine ventricular myocyte. Adapted from Heijman et al.²³ (**Chapter 3**). The model was divided into two identical domains to simulate the local origin of SCR. Only components on the left side of the model are labeled for clarity, identical components are located on the right side. The domains are coupled via diffusion of Ca²⁺ ($I_{diff,cyt}$ and $I_{diff,nsr}$). All other abbreviations are as previously described.²³ For color figure, see page 253.

In particular, the model was divided into two identical domains (**Figure 1**) coupled by Ca²⁺ diffusion between cytosol and network SR to simulate the local origin of SCR. Diffusion time constants were based on the local control model by Restrepo et al.²⁵ and diffusion was significantly slower in SR compared to cytosol, consistent with experimental observations²⁶. The late component of I_{Na} (I_{NaL}) was increased in the model to simulate the midmyocardial origin of the myocytes used in our experiments, consistent with experimental data from Zygmunt et al.²⁷ Model APD rate dependence at baseline, in the presence of ISO and in the presence of ISO+HMR1556 (simulated as 100% inhibition of I_{Ks}) was consistent with experimental data (**Figure 2A**). Parameters of the L-type Ca²⁺ current were adjusted based on the experiments in the presence of ryanodine to obtain quantitative agreement on the amount of APD prolongation in the absence of SR Ca²⁺ release.

Figure 2. Validation of model properties. A. Steady-state APD-rate dependence under baseline conditions (left panel), in the presence of ISO (middle panel) or in the presence of ISO + HMR1556 (right panel) for APs without prior DAD. Average APD for each cell is shown in blue. Average APD over all myocytes is indicated with symbols. Model APD is shown in black. Model APD-rate dependence falls within experimental range and is close to the experimental average for all conditions and CLs. B. Average APD difference between APs preceded by a DAD and those without prior DAD in the presence of ISO or ISO + HMR1556. Average APD difference for each cell is shown in grey. Average group data is indicated with symbols. Model APD prolongation after SCR is indicated with grey bars. Model APD prolongation is consistent with experimental observations.

To initiate SCR, steady-state RyR activation was set to a constant value in one of the two domains for the interval of diastolic SR Ca²⁺ release such that the desired reduction in local JSR Ca²⁺ was achieved. When steady-state RyR activation was set to 0.375 (SCR_{level} = 0.375) from $t = 925$ ms until $t = 950$ ms (at CL = 1000 ms), the SCR-induced prolongation of APD was consistent with experimental observations (**Figure 2B**).

The model was paced to steady state (2000 seconds of pacing) in the presence of β AR stimulation with or without I_{Ks} inhibition, to mimic experimental conditions. The effect of diastolic SR Ca²⁺ release was examined by determining APD of a single beat at steady-state as a function of the timing and amplitude of the preceding diastolic Ca²⁺ release. In some simulations other currents / fluxes (I_{CaL} , I_{NaCa} , $I_{Cl(Ca)}$, J_{rel}) were blocked for the duration of this final beat.

Data Analysis and Statistical Comparisons

APD was quantified at 90% repolarization. BVR was quantified as variability of APD using the formula $\Sigma(|APD_{i+1} - APD_i|)/[n_{beats} \times \sqrt{2}]$ for 30 consecutive APs in the absence of TA.¹⁰ Detection of EADs, DADs and determination of APD, BVR and DAD parameters was done in a semi-automated fashion using a custom Matlab (The Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) script. Briefly, Axon (Axon Instruments, CA, USA) data files were loaded into Matlab. AP upstroke was detected based on peaks in the numerically calculated dV/dt , and APD_{90} was determined. Subsequently, the diastolic interval between APD_{90} of one beat and the point of maximum dV/dt of the next beat was processed for all beats. The signal was low-pass filtered at 30 Hz to reduce noise. All peaks in the filtered signal were determined and resting membrane potential was defined as the average value between the smallest (most negative) local maximum and local minimum. The amplitude of all other peaks was determined relative to this resting membrane potential. DADs were identified as a local maximum in the filtered signal of more than 2 mV in amplitude and manually confirmed by the investigators. EADs were detected as positive local maxima in the low-pass filtered dV/dt signal preceded and followed by negative local minima. Detection of EADs was manually validated.

Where applicable, data were reported as mean $\pm$ standard error of the mean (SEM) of n experiments, and significance tested with either a t-test or 1 way ANOVA. Differences were considered statistically significant if $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

DADs Prolong Subsequent APs and Increase BVR

Figure 3. Occurrence of DADs in canine ventricular myocytes is associated with prolongation of subsequent APs and increased BVR. **A.** APD₉₀ for 75 consecutive beats at 2000-ms CL at baseline (left panel: I), during wash-in of ISO (middle panel: II) and in the presence of HMR1556 and ISO (right panel: III). APDs preceded by a DAD are indicated with filled symbols, APDs without DADs depicted by open symbols. Dashed rectangle indicates a set of 5 beats for which cell shortening and membrane potential are depicted below. Insets show diastolic potentials at an expanded scale. Beats without prior SCR are indicated in black, beats with prior SCR in red. **B.** Membrane potential (top) and [Ca²⁺]_i for four consecutive beats. APD₉₀s are indicated below each AP. SCR is indicated with arrows. *For color figure, see page 253.*

Under baseline conditions, a small beat-to-beat APD variability was observed (**Figure 3A, left panel**). β AR stimulation with isoproterenol (ISO) shortened APD and enhanced contraction (**Figure 3A, middle panel**). In the majority of cells, 100 nmol/L ISO caused DADs during pacing at 1000 ms CL. APs following a DAD were prolonged, leading to increased BVR due to interspersed occurrence of normal and prolonged APs (**Figure 3A, middle panel**). Pharmacological inhibition of I_{Ks} by HMR1556

(500 nmol/L) in the presence of ISO further prolonged APD and increased BVR (**Figure 3A, right panel**). In separate experiments, Ca²⁺ transients were recorded together with APs after HMR1556 and ISO. APs following SCR were prolonged and had a reduced systolic Ca²⁺-transient amplitude compared to those where no diastolic release preceded the AP, indicating reduced SR Ca²⁺ load at the start of the AP (**Figure 3B**), consistent with the reduced cell shortening following an aftercontraction (**Figure 3A**).

Figure 4. A. Group data for APD₉₀ under baseline conditions, in the presence of ISO, or in the presence of ISO + HMR1556 at CLs of 500, 1000 and 2000 ms. DADs cause a significant prolongation during ISO and ISO + HMR1556 treatment. APD₉₀ differences are indicated above each pair of bars. *; $P < 0.05$. B. BVR values for the conditions in panel A. C. Cell shortening (top trace) and membrane potential (bottom trace) under baseline conditions with DAD-like electrical pulses (in the absence of SCR) applied every 4th beat (top panel) or with DADs after ISO + HMR1556 in the same cell (bottom panel). APD₉₀ is indicated below each beat. D. Subthreshold diastolic pulses do not alter APD₉₀ (left panel) nor BVR (right panel).

A significant APD prolongation after the occurrence of DADs was observed at all CLs in the presence of ISO and ISO + HMR1556 (**Figure 4A**). APD prolongation was significantly more pronounced in the presence of ISO + HMR1556 compared to ISO alone at all CLs (e.g., 41±6 ms vs. 15±4 ms at CL = 1000

ms, $P < 0.05$, **Figure 4A**). Moreover, a larger APD difference between beats with and without prior DAD was strongly associated with a larger BVR (**Figure 4B**). As such, HMR1556 was added in all subsequent experiments in the presence of ISO to maximize effects on APD, in effect creating a pharmacological cellular model of drug-induced long-QT1 (LQT1) syndrome, as previously described.¹⁴

To determine whether DAD-like membrane-potential changes alone (i.e., without underlying alterations in intracellular Ca^{2+}), influenced the subsequent AP, small diastolic voltages (25 mV amplitude, 150 ms duration) were injected under baseline conditions (**Figure 4C top panel**). There was no significant difference between APDs in the absence or presence of these diastolic pulses (**Figure 4D**), independent of the timing of the pulse (not shown). In contrast, when these cells were stimulated with HMR1556 + ISO, DADs occurred and subsequent APD was significantly prolonged (**Figure 4C bottom panel**), indicating that alterations in the subsequent APD were influenced by DAD-related events other than membrane-potential alterations per se.

Coupling Between the Occurrence of DADs and EADs During Ca^{2+} Overload

Figure 5. Relationship between diastolic SCR and EADs during Ca^{2+} overload. A. Top panel: Cell shortening (top trace) and membrane potential (bottom trace) for 5 consecutive APs followed by an AP with EAD (indicated with *) in a representative cell at 500-ms CL in the presence of HMR1556 + ISO. APD₉₀ is given below each beat and DADs are indicated with arrows. Vertical line indicates

timing of start of aftercontraction. Bottom panel indicates the percentages of APs that showed a DAD in the 5 beats preceding every EAD. Horizontal dashed line indicates overall DAD occurrence at 500-ms CL in the presence of HMR1556 + ISO, independent of EADs. The beat before an EAD has a DAD 95% of the time. *: $P < 0.05$ compared to overall DAD occurrence. B. Similar to panel A (top) for 2 beats in the presence of ISO only. A similar contraction pattern can be observed but APD prolongation is insufficient to promote EAD formation.

In addition to DADs, EADs were observed during Ca²⁺ overload. EADs occurred predominantly at 500 ms CL and exclusively in the presence of HMR1556 + ISO. Consistent with previous findings, we observed early aftercontractions preceding EAD upstrokes (**Figure 5A**), suggesting a primary mechanistic role of SCR for EAD formation under these specific conditions.⁵ We quantified the occurrence of DADs in the beats preceding an EAD and found that DADs were significantly more likely to occur in the 2 beats directly preceding an EAD (53% and 95% of APs) compared to the average DAD occurrence for all beats (34%; **Figure 5A bottom panel**). When APD was not sufficiently prolonged, as was often the case under conditions of ISO alone, a short-coupled DAD could be observed, but no EAD (**Figure 5B**).

Blockade of (Spontaneous) SR Ca²⁺ Release Reduces BVR

Figure 6. Inhibition of (diastolic) SCR abolishes DADs and reduces BVR. Cell shortening (top panels; arbitrary units) and membrane potential (bottom panels) for 5 representative beats during treatment with ISO + HMR1556 (A), or ISO + HMR1556 + ryanodine (1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$) (B). APD_{90} is indicated below each beat and mean APD_{90} and BVR are shown for 30 beats in the Poincaré plot on the right. DADs are indicated with arrows. Panels C and D show similar data for treatment with tetracaine (5 $\mu\text{mol/L}$). ISO + HMR1556 significantly enhances BVR and DAD occurrence. Ryanodine and tetracaine abolish DADs and reduce BVR.

Ca^{2+} buffering with BAPTA-AM has previously been shown to eliminate DADs and BVR during conditions of Ca^{2+} overload.¹⁴ We sought to further investigate how eliminating SCR, as opposed to buffering all cellular Ca^{2+} , would affect APD and BVR. Blockade of the ryanodine receptor (RyR) with ryanodine eliminated DADs and led to a drastic reduction in BVR, despite leading to an increased APD (**Figure 6A,B**). Results were similar at all tested CLs. APD prolongation under these conditions was not associated with any arrhythmogenic events. A drastic reduction in systolic contraction was also seen, as expected, indicating that blockade of RyRs was achieved effectively.

Tetracaine reduces the open probability (P_o) of RyR leading to a decrease in the frequency of SCR in unstimulated rat ventricular myocytes²⁸ and an abolishment of ISO-induced SCR in stimulated voltage-clamped rat ventricular myocytes²⁹. Similar to ryanodine, tetracaine led to an elimination of DADs in our experiments, and a reduction in BVR at all CLs. However, unlike ryanodine, cell shortening remained present, indicating that systolic Ca^{2+} release was still intact (**Figure 6C,D**).

Figure 7. Interventions that abolish or homogenize SCR lower BVR. A. APD₉₀. B. DAD occurrence. C. BVR at CL = 1000 ms at baseline, in the presence of ISO, HMR1556 + ISO, or HMR1556 + ISO combined with interventions to modulate SCR. Interventions are grouped by type (RyR modulation, grey; Calmodulin/CaMKII modulation, black; or agents also used clinically; striped). All interventions except caffeine result in a significant lowering of DAD incidence and a concomitant reduction of BVR, with increased (ryanodine), decreased (tetracaine, flecainide (6 μ mol/L)), or unaltered (W7 (1 μ mol/L), KN93 (5 μ mol/L), [Mg⁺] (5 mmol/L)) APD₉₀. Caffeine (maximally 500 μ mol/L) regularizes SCR occurrence, increasing DAD occurrence but lowering BVR without changing APD₉₀. *; $P < 0.05$ versus HMR1556 + ISO.

To determine the effects of increasing the P_o of RyRs, as opposed to decreasing it, we used low concentrations of caffeine (maximally 500 $\mu\text{mol/L}$).³⁰ Under these conditions the percentage of APs showing DADs was increased at all CLs. Interestingly, this led to a significant *decrease* in BVR compared to HMR1556 and ISO alone, since DADs occurred before every AP (**Figure 7**, right-most grey bars).

Several studies have shown that Ca^{2+} /CaMKII is an important signaling molecule affecting Ca^{2+} -induced Ca^{2+} release (CICR) and that its inhibition can be antiarrhythmic.³¹ Curran et al.³² demonstrated that SR Ca^{2+} leak during βAR stimulation is mediated by CaMKII. In our experiments, inhibition of calmodulin using W7 reduced DAD incidence in all cells (from 44% to 8% at 1000 ms CL, $P < 0.05$) and completely abolished them in 3/7 cells. The decrease in DAD occurrence was paralleled by a decrease in BVR. Average APD was unaltered by W7, and systolic contractions remained intact. Application of the CaMKII inhibitor KN93 led to similar results (**Figure 7**, black bars).

Mg^{2+} has been shown suppress both EADs and DADs in different models^{33, 34} and is used clinically as a first-line agent against torsades de pointes. Increasing extracellular $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]$ from 1 mmol/l to 5 mmol/l abolished DADs induced after HMR1556 and ISO in 4/7 cells. In the remaining 3 cells, DAD incidence was significantly reduced. A concomitant reduction in BVR was seen. APDs were not significantly altered, and although systolic contractions were slightly reduced compared to beats that did not show SCR events before Mg^{2+} , they remained stable, indicating that the SR content remained constant (not shown). Similar results (albeit with reduced APD) were obtained with the class-IC antiarrhythmic agent flecainide (**Figure 7**, striped bars), which has recently been shown to be effective in preventing catecholaminergic polymorphic VT due to its effects on RyR and Na^+ channels.³⁵

Increases in [Ca²⁺]_o Can Reinstate SCR Only When (Residual) RyR Function Is Available

Figure 8. Effect of increased [Ca²⁺]_o on APD₉₀ (top panels), DAD occurrence (middle panels), and BVR (bottom panels) under baseline conditions, HMR1556 + ISO, HMR1556 + ISO + Intervention or HMR1556 + ISO + Intervention + 3.6 mmol/L [Ca²⁺]_o. A. Ryanodine. B. Tetracaine. C. W7. * indicates $P < 0.05$. Only significance of intervention versus intervention in the presence of high [Ca²⁺]_o is indicated for clarity reasons. Inset in lower-right panel shows APD₉₀ in the presence of HMR1556 + ISO + W7 + increased [Ca²⁺]_o for beats in the absence or presence of a preceding DAD.

We hypothesized that increases in [Ca²⁺]_o after interventions that reduced DAD occurrence would lead to a recurrence of SCR, DADs and increase BVR. Therefore, we raised the [Ca²⁺]_o from 1.8 mmol/L to 3.6 mmol/L after application of ryanodine, tetracaine, or W7. This led to a reinduction of DADs after tetracaine or W7, and a significant decrease of APD (Figure 8A-C). However, raising [Ca²⁺]_o after ryanodine did not lead to DADs, nor did it alter BVR, indicating that RyR function is required for SCR and without this, BVR cannot be altered under conditions of Ca²⁺ overload. Interestingly, BVR was not significantly reincreased after W7 and high [Ca²⁺]_o, whereas after tetracaine and high [Ca²⁺]_o it was, despite DADs being reinstated under both conditions (Figure 8B,C). In the presence of W7, APs following SCR were no longer prolonged (Figure 8C, inset). These data

suggest that calmodulin inhibition has a direct effect on the coupling between SCR and APD, possibly via I_{CaL} .

Reduced Ca^{2+} -Dependent Inactivation of I_{CaL} Underlies APD Prolongation After SCR

Figure 9. Computational model of the canine ventricular myocyte implicates reduced SR Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of I_{CaL} to cause APD prolongation. A. AP, intracellular Ca^{2+} , I_{CaL} and I_{Ks} (top to bottom) with (dashed lined) or without (solid lines) prior SR Ca^{2+} release for a single beat after pacing to steady state in the presence of ISO at CL of 1000 ms. The DAD resulting from the SCR is indicated by an arrow. Inset in 3rd panel shows I_{CaL} during AP plateau on an expanded scale. B. Similar to panel A in the presence of ISO and complete inhibition of I_{Ks} . C. After pacing to steady state in the presence of ISO, SCR was triggered (shaded bars) or not (white bars) and individual currents / fluxes were blocked for one final beat. APD_{90} differences are indicated above each bar. Only inhibition of SR Ca^{2+} release (RyR) or I_{CaL} CDI was sufficient to completely abolish the APD prolongation after SCR.

We employed a computational model of the canine ventricular myocyte to investigate in more detail the ionic basis of the coupling between SCR and APD prolongation. After pacing to steady state, a partial release of SR Ca²⁺ was induced during diastole. After this release, APD was prolonged, consistent with the experimental observations (**Figure 9A**; CL = 1000 ms in the presence of ISO). The prolonged APD was associated with a decreased CaT, an increase in the sustained component of I_{CaL} and increased I_{Ks}. The increase in I_{Ks} was due to the increased plateau potential resulting from the larger I_{CaL} and counteracted the repolarization delay. As such, APD prolongation was significantly larger when I_{Ks} was inhibited (**Figure 9B**). An increase in plateau V_m was also observed in experimental recordings (maximum amplitude of plateau is 114±1 mV without prior DAD and 118±1 mV following DADs at 1000 ms CL in the presence of HMR1556 + ISO, *P*<0.05).

Several currents underlying the ventricular AP are modified by intracellular Ca²⁺. To determine the relative contribution of each component, we used the computational model to selectively inhibit each current for one final beat at steady state following either a normal diastole or SCR. The main currents activated by SCR in the dog are I_{NaCa} and I_{ClCa}.^{3, 36} However, inhibition of these currents only had a minor impact on the APD differences in the presence and absence of SCR (**Figure 9C**). In contrast, inhibition of I_{CaL} or RyR was able to significantly reduce the DAD-provoked APD prolongation. More specifically, when only Ca²⁺-dependent inactivation (CDI) of I_{CaL} was inhibited, APD after SCR was no longer prolonged compared to APD without prior SCR (**Figure 9C**). Further investigation showed any differences in APD in these conditions were due to ‘priming’ of CDI due to a transition of L-type Ca²⁺ channels to the Ca²⁺-dependent tier of the Markov model induced by the Ca²⁺ that was released during the SCR, prior to activation of inhibition.

Combined, our data implicate reduced SR Ca²⁺-release-dependent inactivation of I_{CaL} as the mechanism underlying APD prolongation after SCR. This prediction was confirmed under voltage-clamp conditions in native canine ventricular myocytes when the extracellular solution was modified to isolate I_{CaL} (**Figure 10**). During a voltage step to +10 mV from a holding potential of -80 mV a significant increase in the integral of I_{CaL} was observed after SCR: ∫I_{CaL} = 27.9±3.5 nC vs 33.0±3.5 nC in the absence or presence of SCR, respectively (*P*<0.05).

Figure 10. I_{CaL} inactivation is slowed after SCR. A. Voltage-clamp protocol (top panel), intracellular Ca^{2+} (middle panel) and membrane current (bottom panel) in a representative canine ventricular myocyte in the presence of HMR1556 + ISO + increased $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ (3.6 or 5.0 mmol/L) in the absence (black) or presence of prior SCR (red). Right inset shows membrane current at an expanded scale. 4-AP (5.0 mmol/L) and BaCl_2 (0.1 mmol/L) were used to isolate I_{CaL} . Left inset shows model results under similar conditions. B. Quantification of average membrane current integral (shaded area in right inset of panel A) in 6 canine ventricular myocytes in the absence or presence of SCR. Prior SCR significantly increases total inward current (*: $P < 0.05$). For color figure, see page 254.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we elucidated the relationship between SCR, AP prolongation and BVR in canine left-ventricular midmyocytes. We demonstrated that during conditions of ISO-induced Ca^{2+} overload, SCR occurs over a wide range of pacing CLs and that APD following SCR is significantly prolonged. Reduced CICR-dependent inactivation of I_{CaL} after SCR underlies this AP prolongation. The increase in BVR observed during Ca^{2+} overload was strongly dependent on the degree of APD prolongation after

SCR. Previous studies³⁷⁻³⁹ have shown that large CaTs result in abbreviation of APD, whereas a small CaT after SR Ca²⁺ depletion corresponds to prolonged APD. In agreement, we find substantial APD prolongation after application of ryanodine due to reduced CDI of I_{CaL} . Moreover, our data indicate that I_{CaL} CDI is sensitive to (partial) SR Ca²⁺ unloading by SCR. These changes in CDI are sufficient to modulate APD on a beat-to-beat basis over a wide range of CLs. Interestingly, Spencer and Sham reported the opposite effect in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes: APD was shortened by inhibition of CICR with ryanodine.⁴⁰ These authors attributed this to a reduced forward-mode NCX activity. Reduced CaT amplitude after SCR can also reduce forward-mode NCX. Thus, the net effect of SCR on APD will depend on the balance between reduced NCX and increased I_{CaL} . This may explain why caffeine, which induces SCR on almost every beat, only results in a mild (non-significant) APD prolongation.

The Importance of I_{Ks} Blockade

We have previously shown that during β AR stimulation and I_{Ks} blockade (via KCNQ1 inhibition;⁴¹ mimicking LQT1), BVR is significantly increased and that this is, at least partly, dependent on $[Ca^{2+}]_i$.¹⁴ Here we extend this by determining an important role for CDI of I_{CaL} , at least in the presence of SCR. During β AR stimulation, the role of I_{Ks} becomes more prominent, and hence inhibition of I_{Ks} leads to APD prolongation.¹⁴ Barandi et al.⁴² have shown that the degree of APD prolongation induced by pharmacological block of repolarizing currents or augmentation of depolarizing currents, depends on baseline APD. Consistent with these results, we find a more pronounced APD prolongation after SCR at slow CL and in the presence of I_{Ks} blockade. In our experiments, APD prolongation after SCR is particularly pronounced because the increase in I_{CaL} also elevates the plateau potential which increases I_{Ks} activation and offsets the prolongation induced by I_{CaL} (**Figure 9A**, bottom panel). This compensating mechanism is absent when I_{Ks} is inhibited. Thus, I_{Ks} inhibition exacerbates the effect of SCR on APD prolongation.

Arrhythmogenesis During Conditions of Ca²⁺ Overload

It has long been established that SCR-induced triggered activity is a major arrhythmogenic mechanism during Ca²⁺ overload and its occurrence is increased in various pathological conditions.⁶
⁴³ Here, we show that as diastolic SCR prolongs the subsequent APD, even SCR below the threshold for triggered activity has important cellular electrophysiological effects that may be proarrhythmic. Regional prolongation of APD after SCR in the intact heart may cause increased spatial dispersion of repolarization between regions with the highest Ca²⁺ load (generating SCR) and regions with lower Ca²⁺ loading. Regional heterogeneities in ion-channel expression or intercellular conduction may further amplify this dispersion. Combined, these mechanisms can promote functional reentry. Stabilization of Ca²⁺ handling may therefore not only reduce the incidence of arrhythmogenic triggers but also prevent their reentrant perpetuation. We have also shown that Ca²⁺ overload by the combination of ISO and fast pacing can give rise to diastolic SCR which prolongs APD sufficiently such that the next SCR occurs before the end of repolarization, generating an EAD. The common dependence of DADs and EADs on SCR in the presence of ISO has previously been described.^{5, 44} Our results provide novel mechanistic insights on the coupling between SCR, APD prolongation and EAD

occurrence and show that diastolic SCR is a central element in both triggered activity and repolarization instability.

APD prolongation after SCR results from increased I_{CaL} , which enhances Ca^{2+} loading via increased sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} influx. Enhanced loading along with reduced Ca^{2+} efflux serve to restore and fine-tune SR Ca^{2+} content to maintain CICR efficacy, as has previously been described in rat ventricular myocytes after SR depletion.^{37, 39} However, during Ca^{2+} overload these mechanisms will readjust, promoting Ca^{2+} extrusion via NCX, which facilitates the occurrence of afterdepolarizations. Consistent with this reasoning, we found that the probability of observing a DAD was not significantly altered by the presence of a DAD on the previous beat. This strongly implies that, under our experimental conditions, the reduction in myocyte Ca^{2+} load during a DAD is overcome by the increased sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} influx during the following prolonged AP. Thus, APD prolongation after SCR contributes to the vicious cycle of Ca^{2+} overload.

We investigated the utility of several interventions to restabilize Ca^{2+} handling and repolarization variability during Ca^{2+} overload. Inhibition of SCR by ryanodine and tetracaine has previously been described,^{29, 44} and the results presented here agree with those data. We extend these observations by showing a concomitant decrease in BVR. Both ryanodine and tetracaine are useful for mechanistic studies but due to their deleterious effects *in vivo* cannot be used as therapeutic agents. In contrast, both magnesium and flecainide are commonly used antiarrhythmic agents. Here we show that both these agents can stabilize Ca^{2+} handling in the overloaded single myocyte, and that this leads to a reduction in BVR and arrhythmogenic events. Similarly, we confirm the usefulness of modulating Calmodulin/CaMKII during Ca^{2+} overload as an antiarrhythmic strategy.³¹ CaMKII phosphorylation has been shown to induce a different gating mode (mode 2) of the I_{CaL} channel, thereby reducing inactivation, favoring Ca^{2+} entry and the occurrence of EADs and DADs.⁴⁵ In this regard, the development of selective CaMKII modulators suitable for antiarrhythmic interventions in humans is awaited.

Conclusions

We have shown that reduced CICR-dependent inactivation of I_{CaL} after SCR underlies APD prolongation and contributes to increased BVR during ISO-induced Ca^{2+} overload. The degree of APD prolongation is exacerbated by inhibition of I_{Ks} . Pharmacological interventions that regularize SCR or inhibit SCR with or without preserved systolic contractions reduce BVR. Our data provide novel insights into arrhythmogenic mechanisms during Ca^{2+} overload and suggest novel antiarrhythmic strategies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Drs. Chris Pollard and Jean-Pierre Valentin, Department of Safety Pharmacology, Safety Assessment UK, AstraZeneca R&D, Alderley Park, UK, for active collaboration and for

providing cardiac myocytes. Ongoing collaborations with Dr. Yoram Rudy, Washington University in St. Louis, MO and use of his computational resources are gratefully acknowledged.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

P.G.A.V. is supported by a Vidi grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (ZonMw 91710365). D.A.E. and A.W.T. are supported by the British Heart Foundation. D.M.J. was financially supported by AstraZeneca Ltd, UK.

REFERENCES

1. Bers DM. Cardiac excitation-contraction coupling. *Nature*. 2002;415:198-205.
2. Stern MD, Capogrossi MC, Lakatta EG. Spontaneous calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum in myocardial cells: mechanisms and consequences. *Cell Calcium*. 1988;9:247-256.
3. Zygmunt AC, Goodrow RJ, Weigel CM. I_{NaCa} and $I_{Cl(Ca)}$ contribute to isoproterenol-induced delayed afterdepolarizations in midmyocardial cells. *Am J Physiol*. 1998;275:H1979-H1992.
4. Bers DM. Calcium cycling and signaling in cardiac myocytes. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2008;70:23-49.
5. Volders PGA, Kulcsár A, Vos MA, Sipido KR, Wellens HJ, Lazzara R, Szabo B. Similarities between early and delayed afterdepolarizations induced by isoproterenol in canine ventricular myocytes. *Cardiovasc Res*. 1997;34:348-359.
6. Ter Keurs HE, Boyden PA. Calcium and arrhythmogenesis. *Physiol Rev*. 2007;87:457-506.
7. Zaniboni M, Pollard AE, Yang L, Spitzer KW. Beat-to-beat repolarization variability in ventricular myocytes and its suppression by electrical coupling. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2000;278:H677-687.
8. Hinterseer M, Beckmann BM, Thomsen MB, Pfeufer A, Ulbrich M, Sinner MF, Perz S, Wichmann HE, Lengyel C, Schimpf R, Maier SK, Várro A, Vos MA, Steinbeck G, Käb S. Usefulness of short-term variability of QT intervals as a predictor for electrical remodeling and proarrhythmia in patients with nonischemic heart failure. *Am J Cardiol*. 2010;106:216-220.
9. Tereshchenko LG, Han L, Cheng A, Marine JE, Spragg DD, Sinha S, Dalal D, Calkins H, Tomaselli GF, Berger RD. Beat-to-beat three-dimensional ECG variability predicts ventricular arrhythmia in ICD recipients. *Heart Rhythm*. 2010;7:1606-1613.
10. Thomsen MB, Verduyn SC, Stengl M, Beekman JD, de Pater G, van Opstal J, Volders PGA, Vos MA. Increased short-term variability of repolarization predicts *d*-sotalol-induced torsades de pointes in dogs. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2453-2459.
11. Gallacher DJ, Van de Water A, van der Linde H, Hermans AN, Lu HR, Towart R, Volders PGA. In vivo mechanisms precipitating torsades de pointes in a canine model of drug-induced long-QT1 syndrome. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;76:247-256.
12. Jacobson I, Carlsson L, Duker G. Beat-by-beat QT interval variability, but not QT prolongation per se, predicts drug-induced torsades de pointes in the anaesthetised methoxamine-sensitized rabbit. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods*. 2011;63:40-46.
13. Hinterseer M, Beckmann BM, Thomsen MB, Pfeufer A, Dalla Pozza R, Loeff M, Netz H, Steinbeck G, Vos MA, Käb S. Relation of increased short-term variability of QT interval to congenital long-QT syndrome. *Am J Cardiol*. 2009;103:1244-1248.
14. Johnson DM, Heijman J, Pollard CE, Valentin JP, Crijns HJ, Abi-Gerges N, Volders PGA. I_{Ks} restricts excessive beat-to-beat variability of repolarization during beta-adrenergic receptor stimulation. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2010;48:122-130.

15. Volders PGA, Sipido KR, Carmeliet E, Spätjens RLHMG, Wellens HJ, Vos MA. Repolarizing K⁺ currents I_{TO1} and I_{Ks} are larger in right than left canine ventricular midmyocardium. *Circulation*. 1999;99:206-210.
16. Volders PGA, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Spätjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β-adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2753-2760.
17. Gögelein H, Bruggemann A, Gerlach U, Brendel J, Busch AE. Inhibition of I_{Ks} channels by HMR 1556. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol*. 2000;362:480-488.
18. Thomas GP, Gerlach U, Antzelevitch C. HMR 1556, a potent and selective blocker of slowly activating delayed rectifier potassium current. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 2003;41:140-147.
19. Hwang HS, Hasdemir C, Laver D, Mehra D, Turhan K, Faggioni M, Yin H, Knollmann BC. Inhibition of cardiac Ca²⁺ release channels (RyR2) determines efficacy of class I antiarrhythmic drugs in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2011;4:128-135.
20. Rosati B, Pan Z, Lypen S, Wang HS, Cohen I, Dixon JE, McKinnon D. Regulation of KCHIP2 potassium channel β subunit gene expression underlies the gradient of transient outward current in canine and human ventricle. *J Physiol*. 2001;533:119-125.
21. Wang GK, Russell C, Wang SY. State-dependent block of wild-type and inactivation-deficient Na⁺ channels by flecainide. *J Gen Physiol*. 2003;122:365-374.
22. Paul AA, Witchel HJ, Hancox JC. Inhibition of the current of heterologously expressed HERG potassium channels by flecainide and comparison with quinidine, propafenone and lignocaine. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2002;136:717-729.
23. Heijman J, Volders PGA, Westra RL, Rudy Y. Local control of β-adrenergic stimulation: Effects on ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and Ca²⁺-transient. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2011;50:863-871.
24. Xie Y, Sato D, Garfinkel A, Qu Z, Weiss JN. So little source, so much sink: requirements for afterdepolarizations to propagate in tissue. *Biophys J*. 2010;99:1408-1415.
25. Restrepo JG, Weiss JN, Karma A. Calsequestrin-mediated mechanism for cellular calcium transient alternans. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:3767-3789.
26. Swietach P, Spitzer KW, Vaughan-Jones RD. Modeling calcium waves in cardiac myocytes: importance of calcium diffusion. *Front Biosci*. 2010;15:661-680.
27. Zygmunt AC, Eddlestone GT, Thomas GP, Nesterenko VV, Antzelevitch C. Larger late sodium conductance in M cells contributes to electrical heterogeneity in canine ventricle. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2001;281:H689-697.
28. Overend CL, Eisner DA, O'Neill SC. The effect of tetracaine on spontaneous Ca²⁺ release and sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium content in rat ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 1997;502 (Pt 3):471-479.
29. Venetucci LA, Trafford AW, Diaz ME, O'Neill SC, Eisner DA. Reducing ryanodine receptor open probability as a means to abolish spontaneous Ca²⁺ release and increase Ca²⁺ transient amplitude in adult ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res*. 2006;98:1299-1305.
30. Trafford AW, Sibbring GC, Diaz ME, Eisner DA. The effects of low concentrations of caffeine on spontaneous Ca release in isolated rat ventricular myocytes. *Cell Calcium*. 2000;28:269-276.
31. Anderson ME. Multiple downstream proarrhythmic targets for calmodulin kinase II: moving beyond an ion channel-centric focus. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;73:657-666.
32. Curran J, Hinton MJ, Ríos E, Bers DM, Shannon TR. β-Adrenergic enhancement of sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium leak in cardiac myocytes is mediated by calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase. *Circ Res*. 2007;100:391-398.
33. Kaseda S, Gilmour RF, Jr., Zipes DP. Depressant effect of magnesium on early afterdepolarizations and triggered activity induced by cesium, quinidine, and 4-aminopyridine in canine cardiac Purkinje fibers. *Am Heart J*. 1989;118:458-466.

34. Aomine M, Tatsukawa Y, Yamato T, Yamasaki S. Antiarrhythmic effects of magnesium on rat papillary muscle and guinea pig ventricular myocytes. *Gen Pharmacol*. 1999;32:107-114.
35. Watanabe H, Chopra N, Laver D, Hwang HS, Davies SS, Roach DE, Duff HJ, Roden DM, Wilde AA, Knollmann BC. Flecainide prevents catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia in mice and humans. *Nat Med*. 2009;15:380-383.
36. Trafford AW, Diaz ME, Eisner DA. Ca-activated chloride current and Na-Ca exchange have different timecourses during sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca release in ferret ventricular myocytes. *Pflugers Arch*. 1998;435:743-745.
37. Trafford AW, Diaz ME, Negretti N, Eisner DA. Enhanced Ca²⁺ current and decreased Ca²⁺ efflux restore sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ content after depletion. *Circ Res*. 1997;81:477-484.
38. Papp Z, Peineau N, Szigeti G, Argibay J, Kovacs L. Calcium-dependent modulation of the plateau phase of action potential in isolated ventricular cells of rabbit heart. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 1999;167:119-129.
39. Takamatsu H, Nagao T, Ichijo H, Adachi-Akahane S. L-type Ca²⁺ channels serve as a sensor of the SR Ca²⁺ for tuning the efficacy of Ca²⁺-induced Ca²⁺ release in rat ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 2003;552:415-424.
40. Spencer CI, Sham JS. Effects of Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchange induced by SR Ca²⁺ release on action potentials and afterdepolarizations in guinea pig ventricular myocytes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2003;285:H2552-2562.
41. Lerche C, Seeböhm G, Wagner CI, Scherer CR, Dehmelt L, Abitbol I, Gerlach U, Brendel J, Attali B, Busch AE. Molecular impact of MinK on the enantiospecific block of I(Ks) by chromanols. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2000;131:1503-1506.
42. Barandi L, Virag L, Jost N, Horvath Z, Koncz I, Papp R, Harmati G, Horvath B, Szentandrassy N, Banyasz T, Magyar J, Zaza A, Varro A, Nanasi PP. Reverse rate-dependent changes are determined by baseline action potential duration in mammalian and human ventricular preparations. *Basic Res Cardiol*. 2010;105:315-323.
43. Sipido KR, Volders PGA, de Groot SHM, Verdonck F, Van de Werf F, Wellens HJJ, Vos MA. Enhanced Ca²⁺ release and Na/Ca exchange activity in hypertrophied canine ventricular myocytes: potential link between contractile adaptation and arrhythmogenesis. *Circulation*. 2000;102:2137-2144.
44. Priori SG, Corr PB. Mechanisms underlying early and delayed afterdepolarizations induced by catecholamines. *Am J Physiol*. 1990;258:H1796-H1805.
45. Hashambhoy YL, Winslow RL, Greenstein JL. CaMKII-induced shift in modal gating explains L-type Ca²⁺ current facilitation: a modeling study. *Biophys J*. 2009;96:1770-1785.

CHAPTER 7

DETERMINANTS OF BEAT-TO-BEAT VARIABILITY OF REPOLARIZATION DURATION IN THE CANINE VENTRICULAR MYOCYTE – A COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS

JORDI HEIJMAN, ANTONIO ZAZA, DANIEL M. JOHNSON, YORAM RUDY, RALF L.M. PEETERS, PAUL G.A. VOLDERS* AND RONALD L. WESTRA*

* THESE AUTHORS CONTRIBUTED EQUALLY TO THIS WORK

MANUSCRIPT IN PREPARATION AND IN PART ACCEPTED FOR FRONTIERS IN
CARDIOVASCULAR BIOLOGY 2012

ABSTRACT

Beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration (BVR) is an intrinsic characteristic of cardiac function. When increased by repolarization-undermining influences, it is a better marker of proarrhythmia than repolarization prolongation alone. The ionic mechanisms underlying baseline BVR in physiological conditions, the rate dependence of BVR, and the factors contributing to increased BVR in pathological conditions, all remain incompletely understood. Here, we employed computer modeling to provide novel insights into the subcellular mechanisms of BVR under physiological conditions and during simulated drug-induced repolarization prolongation, mimicking long-QT syndromes type 1, 2 and 3. We developed stochastic implementations of 13 major ionic currents and fluxes in a model of the canine ventricular myocyte electrophysiology. Combined stochastic gating of all targets resulted in short- and long-term BVR consistent with experimental data from isolated canine ventricular myocytes. The model indicated that the magnitude of stochastic fluctuations is rate dependent due to the rate dependence of action-potential (AP) duration (APD). Both this active process and the intrinsic nonlinear relation between membrane current and APD contribute to rate dependence of BVR. We identified a major role for stochastic gating of the (persistent) Na^+ current (I_{Na}) and rapidly-activating delayed-rectifier K^+ current (I_{Kr}) under physiological conditions. Consistent with experimental results, inhibition of I_{Kr} or augmentation of I_{Na} significantly increased BVR whereas subsequent β -adrenergic receptor stimulation reduced it, similar to findings in isolated myocytes. In contrast, β -adrenergic stimulation increased BVR in simulated long-QT syndrome type 1. In addition to stochastic channel gating, APD, AP morphology and beat-to-beat variations in Ca^{2+} were found to modulate single-cell BVR. Cell-to-cell coupling was able to decrease BVR and this was more pronounced when a cell with increased BVR was coupled to a cell with normal BVR. In conclusion, our results provide new insights into the ionic mechanisms underlying BVR and suggest that BVR reflects multiple potentially proarrhythmic parameters, including increased ion channel stochasticity, APD, AP morphology and abnormal Ca^{2+} handling.

INTRODUCTION

Beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration (BVR) is an intrinsic characteristic of cardiac function that can be observed at various levels of integration, from temporal variations in action potential (AP) duration (APD) of the single cardiac myocyte to instability of the QT interval on the body surface.¹⁻³ When increased by repolarization-undermining influences, it is a better marker of proarrhythmia than repolarization prolongation *per se* in various experimental models of torsades-de-pointes ventricular tachycardia⁴⁻⁶ and in human cardiac pathology.^{2, 7} Recently, we described an important role for (abnormal) Ca^{2+} handling and the slowly-activating delayed-rectifier rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks}) in the increased BVR observed during β -adrenergic stimulation in single canine ventricular myocytes.⁸ However, the exact mechanisms underlying BVR and its rate dependence under physiological conditions, as well as the various factors contributing to exaggerated BVR in pathological conditions, remain incompletely understood.

Computational models of cardiac myocyte electrophysiology have a rich history, dating back more than 50 years.⁹ Recent models have provided detailed descriptions of various cardiac cell types in different species. They have created insight into the role of the different ion channels in rate-dependent alterations in repolarization,¹⁰⁻¹⁴ have helped to elucidate arrhythmogenic mechanisms in various pathological conditions,¹⁵⁻¹⁹ and have facilitated analysis of the integration of regulatory pathways and electrophysiological responses.²⁰⁻²³ However, to date most computational models are deterministic and have an APD that converges to a fixed steady state or a limit cycle (e.g., APD alternans) for a given pacing cycle length (CL). As such, these models are unsuitable for the study of BVR.

Tanskanen et al.²⁴ were among the first to investigate the effect of stochastic properties of local control Ca^{2+} models on ventricular repolarization. They showed that a variable occurrence of arrhythmogenic early afterdepolarizations (EADs) could be explained by the stochastic gating of the L-type Ca^{2+} channel. In contrast, Sato et al.²⁵ described temporal repolarization variability due to the chaotic occurrence of EADs in a deterministic model of the H_2O_2 -treated rabbit ventricular myocyte. These authors provided strong evidence that noise-induced transitions between states were insufficient to account for the large APD fluctuations observed under their experimental conditions.²⁶ However, none of these studies addressed BVR under physiological or pathological conditions in the absence of EADs.

Recently, the role of stochastic ion-channel gating in repolarization variability under physiological conditions has been described by Lemay et al.²⁷ and Pueyo et al.²⁸ These studies provide an important basis for modeling cardiac repolarization variability. Here we significantly extended these studies by developing a stochastic version of our recently published model of the canine ventricular myocyte including adrenergic stimulation.²² Stochastic formulations of 13 major ion channels and active ion-transporters were included, and APD dynamics were compared to results obtained in isolated canine ventricular myocytes. We employed the model to obtain novel insights into the

quantitative contribution of individual electrophysiological processes to cellular BVR under physiological conditions and the factors contributing to increased BVR during pathological conditions.

METHODS

Adaptations to the HRd β AR model

Markov model formulation of the rapidly-activating delayed-rectifier K⁺ current (I_{Kr})

The original Hodgkin-Huxley based formulation of I_{Kr} was replaced with a 10-state Markov model based on the model structure described by Silva and Rudy²⁹ extended with state-dependent block of I_{Kr} channels by dofetilide (**Figure 1A**), based on the approach by Brennan et al.³⁰ Model parameters were adjusted to reproduce I_{Kr} peak and tail I-V relationships, time constants of activation and time constants of deactivation measured in canine ventricular myocytes³¹ (**Figure 1B-D**). Channels can enter the blocked mode via open and inactivated states, based on a V_m -dependent modulation of the dofetilide EC_{50} .³² The model reproduces dofetilide dose-response relationship measured in rabbit ventricular myocytes,³³ use-dependence of relative inhibition as determined in AT-1 cells,³² and V_m -dependence of dofetilide EC_{50} ³² (**Figure 1E-G**).

Figure 1. Structure and validation of I_{Kr} Markov model properties. A. Model structure. B. Tail I-V relationship in model and canine ventricular myocytes.³¹ C. Time constant of activation based on a single-exponential fit in model and canine ventricular myocytes.³¹ D. Time constant of deactivation in model and canine ventricular myocytes.³¹ E. Dose-response curve of I_{Kr} block by dofetilide (experimental data from rabbit ventricular myocytes³³). F. Use-dependent block of I_{Kr} by dofetilide in

AT-1 cells³² and model. G. Vm dependence of dofetilide concentration required for half-maximal I_{Kr} inhibition (relative to -30 mV) in model and AT-1 cells.³²

Markov model formulation of the Na^+ current (I_{Na})

The Markov model structure of Clancy and Rudy¹⁶ was employed for both normal inactivation and slow inactivation populations (**Figure 2**). Model parameters were adjusted to reproduce characteristics of I_{Na} and I_{NaL} from the original Hodgkin-Huxley-based formulation, including PKA- and CaMKII-dependent alterations.²² Model I_{Na} peak I-V relationship and steady-state inactivation are consistent with those measured in isolated canine ventricular myocytes.³⁴

Figure 2. Structure and validation of I_{Na} Markov model properties. A. Model schematic of fast and late (persistent) I_{Na} components. B. Peak I-V relationship (left panel) and steady-state inactivation (right panel) in model (lines) and canine ventricular myocytes (symbols) at baseline or in the presence of β -adrenergic stimulation.

Markov model formulation of sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) Ca^{2+} release

Ca^{2+} -induced Ca^{2+} release (CICR) in the original HRd β AR model depends directly on the L-type Ca^{2+} current (I_{CaL}) based on the formulation by Livshitz et al.³⁵ It is difficult to create a stochastic version of this formulation since it does not exhibit the strong positive feedback characteristic for CICR (where the Ca^{2+} released by some ryanodine receptors (RyRs) may activate other RyRs in the same

microdomain), which likely affects its stochastic properties. As such, the original phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated CICR formulations were replaced by two 4-state Markov Models proposed by Restrepo et al. in their local control model of Ca^{2+} handling in the rabbit ventricular myocyte.³⁶ Model parameters were adjusted to reproduce rate-dependent Ca^{2+} transient (CaT) properties (amplitude, time to peak, and time constant of decay) of the original HRd β AR model in the absence or presence of β -adrenergic stimulation (β ARS).

Additional alterations in model parameters

Parameters of the non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated I_{CaL} formulations were adjusted slightly compared to Heijman et al.²² to reduce the sensitivity of the model for the generation of EADs in response to I_{Kr} blockade (requiring 80% I_{Kr} reduction to generate EADs compared to 25% in the absence of these changes) and to match the amount of APD prolongation after I_{Ks} blockade in the presence of adrenergic stimulation, while maintaining peak I-V and inactivation characteristics similar to experimental recordings.

Stochastic formulations of model components

Random number generation

The Mersenne-Twister random number generator³⁷ was used in all stochastic simulations to generate random variables from uniform and normal distributions. Binomial random variables $B(n, p)$ were generated using the Inverse Function Method or approximated from a normal distribution $N(n \cdot p, n \cdot p \cdot (1 - p))$ when $\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{p}} - \sqrt{\frac{p}{1-p}} \right) \right| < 0.3$.

Langevin-term-based stochastic simulations

In a subset of experiments, stochastic ion-current behavior was simulated using stochastic differential equations through the addition of a Langevin term to all differential equations controlling the gating of that current:³⁸

$$\frac{dX_i}{dt} = f(X) + \alpha \cdot \sqrt{X_i} \cdot N(0,1)$$

The noise amplitude parameter α was scaled to obtain similar APD variability as that observed with stochastic channel gating.

Stochastic formulations of Markov models

Stochastic simulations of Markov models of ion currents were performed as previously described for local control models.^{24, 36, 39} Briefly, for a given state i in the Markov model with a state occupancy of n_i channels at time t , the probability for any channel to move to state j in the time interval Δt is

given by $r_{i,j} \cdot \Delta t$, where $r_{i,j}$ is the transition rate (in ms^{-1}) of the deterministic ODE model. Thus, the number of channels leaving state i in the interval Δt can be obtained from a multinomial distribution $M(n_i, r_{i,1} \cdot \Delta t, \dots, r_{i,i-1} \cdot \Delta t, 1 - \Delta t \cdot \sum r_{i,j}, r_{i,i+1} \cdot \Delta t, r_{i,k} \cdot \Delta t)$. For sufficiently small Δt , the transition probabilities are small and can be assumed to be independent. In this case, the number of channels leaving state i can be approximated based on k independent binomial distributions $B(n_i, r_{i,j} \cdot \Delta t)$, significantly reducing the computational complexity.³⁶ The number of channels in state i is then updated at every time step by:

$$n_i(t + \Delta t) = n_i(t) + \sum_{j \neq i} B(n_j(t), r_{j,i}(t) \cdot \Delta t) - \sum_{j \neq i} B(n_i(t), r_{i,j}(t) \cdot \Delta t)$$

This approach was employed for the Markov models of I_{CaL} , I_{Kr} , I_{Ks} , I_{Na} and RyR. In the case of simulations involving β -adrenergic stimulation, both the non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated channel populations²² were simulated using this stochastic formulation.

Stochastic formulations of Hodgkin-Huxley-based models and instantaneous currents

Hodgkin-Huxley-based models are a subset of the class of Markov models with only independent transitions.⁴⁰ As such, the methodology for stochastic simulation of Markov models was also applied to the Markov representation of the equivalent Hodgkin-Huxley model for I_{To} and $I_{\text{Cl(Ca)}}$. For ‘instantaneous’ currents that are defined by an algebraic equation in the deterministic model, a simple 2-state (Open and Closed) model was used. The steady state distribution between the two states was defined by the original algebraic equation and a global time constant of 0.1 ms was used to determine the forward and backward rates between the two states. This approach was employed for stochastic simulations of I_{K1} and I_{Kur} .

Stochastic formulations of pumps and exchangers

In contrast to channels, where gating is a stochastic process but the flux of ions through an open channel is instantaneous, ion transport through pumps and exchangers is an active process. A single iteration of the pump or exchanger transports a given number of ions across the electrochemical gradient. As such, there is not a single ‘open state’ that defines the flux of ions but instead there is a state transition (or combination of transitions) that determines the flux of ions. Because of this, the throughput of a single pump is much lower than that of a channel. However, the expression of pumps is much higher than that of channels,⁴¹ thereby ensuring that an ionic balance can be maintained.

The SR and sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} -ATPases (underlying I_{up} and I_{pCa} , respectively) were simulated using the two-state, four-transition model structure of Tran et al.⁴² Parameters of the models were adjusted to reproduce the Ca^{2+} dependence of the deterministic formulations. We assumed that the state distribution changes more rapidly than the changes in ionic concentrations on both sides of the pump, resulting in a quasi-equilibrium situation in which individual rates are decoupled. The number

of ions transported via each transition was derived from a binomial distribution and the net ion flux (or current) in the interval Δt was determined.

Smith and Crampin have previously described a detailed model of the $\text{Na}^+\text{-K}^+\text{-ATPase}$ based on a consecutive (“ping-pong”) model for Na^+ and K^+ binding.⁴³ In their model, ‘slippage’ of ions is negligible due to a tight coupling between conformational changes of the pump and ion binding, thereby ensuring a strict $3 \text{ Na}^+ : 2 \text{ K}^+$ stoichiometry. Based on these assumptions and since both pumps belong to the family of P-type cation transporters,⁴³ we employed a similar 2-state model structure as that used for SERCA. We set the ‘backward’ (counter-clockwise) rates to zero to ensure the strict stoichiometry. Forward rates were fitted to reproduce Na^+ , K^+ and V_m dependence of the original I_{NaK} formulation for both the non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated populations.

Number of channels / transporters

The appropriate number of channels, pumps or exchangers of each type was estimated based on the whole-cell conductance in the model and experimentally-determined single-channel conductance (**Table 1**). It should be noted that $\bar{N}_x$ represents the maximum number of effective channels that can open (i.e., the number of channels open when open probability equals 1.0). There may be additional channels in a myocyte that do not open. In particular, if the deterministic formulation of a current x is adjusted such that the open probability is halved and the whole-cell conductance is doubled (giving the same net current), then $\bar{N}_x$ as given below would be increased by a factor of 2.0. However, because open probability is reduced, the number of channels that participate during the AP would not change.

Current	# Channels	Source
I_{CaL}	$\bar{N}_{\text{CaL}} = 110,000$	Based on a single-channel conductance of 3.0 pS (at 2.0 mmol/L extracellular Ca^{2+} in rat ventricular myocytes. ⁴⁴ Consistent with ~80,000 channels as in Restrepo et al. ³⁶
$I_{\text{Cl(Ca)}}$	$\bar{N}_{\text{Cl(Ca)}} = 47,500$	Based on a single-channel conductance of 0.91 pS (at 150 mmol/L extracellular Cl^- and 5.0 mmol/L intracellular Cl^- in canine ventricular myocytes. ⁴⁵
I_{K1}	$\bar{N}_{\text{K1}} = 15,500$	A single-channel conductance of approximately 30 pS has been reported at high (150 mmol/L) $[\text{K}^+]_o$ in a number of species, including canine ventricular myocytes. ⁴⁶ Based on a square-root dependence of channel conductance on $[\text{K}^+]_o$, a single-channel conductance of approximately 5.0 pS is obtained at physiological $[\text{K}^+]_o$.
I_{Kr}	$\bar{N}_{\text{Kr}} = 2,200$	Using a single-channel conductance of 2.25 pS as observed in mouse ⁴⁷ and rabbit ⁴⁸ ventricular myocytes, after a similar

correction as applied for I_{K1} .		
I_{Ks}	$\bar{N}_{Ks} = 10,500$	Based on a single-channel conductance of 4.50 pS obtained in <i>Xenopus</i> oocytes expressing KCNQ1 and KCNE1. ⁴⁹ There are, to the best of our knowledge, no data available from cardiac myocytes. Estimates in heterologous expression systems range from 0.58 pS ⁵⁰ to 16 pS. ⁵¹
I_{Kur}	$\bar{N}_{Kur} = 100$	Yue et al. determined a single-channel conductance of 20.3 pS in canine atrial myocytes at 5.4 mmol/L $[K^+]_o$. ⁵² The low number of channels is consistent with the presence, but very low expression of an I_{Kur} -like channel in canine ventricle. ⁵³
I_{Na}	$\bar{N}_{Na} = 160,000$	Using a single-channel conductance of 20.1 pS as observed in canine, rabbit and guinea-pig ventricular myocytes. ⁵⁴
I_{NaCa}	$\bar{N}_{NaCa} = 2,000,000$	Based on a density of 200-400 exchangers per μm^2 . ⁵⁵
I_{NaK}	$\bar{N}_{NaK} = 10,000,000$	Pump-density estimates based on ouabain-sensitive transient currents range between 1000 and 3200 exchangers per μm^2 in ventricular myocytes. ⁵⁶ We employ the ratio of approximately 1 NaCa : 5 NaK that follows from these density estimates.
I_{pCa}	$\bar{N}_{pCa} = 2,000,000$	Assumed to be similar to $\bar{N}_{NaCa}$.
I_{To}	$\bar{N}_{To} = 5,900$	Based on a single-channel conductance of 13.0 pS as measured by Fedida and Giles in rabbit ventricular myocytes. ⁵⁷
I_{rel}	$\bar{N}_{RyR} = 1,100,000$	RyR density is 10 fold larger than $\bar{N}_{CaL}$, consistent with previously published local control models. ^{36, 39}
I_{up}	$\bar{N}_{SERCa} = 2,200,000$	Assumed to be of similar magnitude as $\bar{N}_{RyR}$.

Table 1. Number of channels/transporters simulated in the stochastic model.

Experimental recordings in isolated canine ventricular myocytes

This investigation conformed with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). Animal handling was in accordance with the European Directive for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals Used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes (86/609/EU).

Transmembrane APs were recorded from isolated left-ventricular canine midmyocardial myocytes as previously described.^{8, 58} Briefly, the left anterior descending coronary artery was cannulated and perfused. After 20 min of collagenase perfusion and subsequent washout of the enzyme, the

epicardial surface layer was removed from the LV wedge until a depth of ≥ 3 mm was reached. Softened tissue samples were collected from the midmyocardial layer underneath while contamination with the endocardium was avoided. Samples were gently agitated, filtered and washed. Cells were stored at room temperature in standard buffer solution. Only quiescent rod-shaped cells with clear cross-striations were used for the experiments within 48 h of isolation. APs were recorded at 37 °C using high-resistance (30–60 M Ω) glass microelectrodes filled with 3 M KCl with a microelectrode amplifier (Axoclamp-2B, Axon Instruments, Inc). Intracellular pacing was applied at various cycle lengths (CLs; 500 ms – 2000 ms). Only cells showing a stable spike-and-dome AP morphology and resting membrane potential were accepted for the experiments.

RESULTS

Stochastic channel gating contributes to BVR

AP recordings from isolated canine ventricular myocytes showed beat-to-beat variability in APD (**Figure 3A, top panel**) consistent with previous reports.^{1, 8} In contrast, under physiological conditions, APD in the deterministic model converged to a steady-state without APD variability (**Figure 3A, second panel**). In previous research, stochastic processes were simulated using either stochastic differential equations,^{28, 38} or by simulating stochastic state-transitions in the Markov models of various ion channels.^{24, 36, 39} Application of both methodologies to the Markov model of I_{Kr} resulted in BVR. However, these two approaches showed different temporal dynamics (**Figure 3B**). Poincaré plots of APD_{i+1} versus APD_i have a circular shape under these conditions in experimental recordings and simulations with stochastic channel gating, indicating similar magnitudes of short-term (STV) and long-term variability (LTV; average distance perpendicular to and along the line of identity, respectively; **Figure 3B, inset**). In contrast, BVR in simulations employing stochastic differential equations of gating variables (based on a Langevin term) was predominantly long-term, resulting in a STV to LTV ratio that was markedly different from experimental recordings (**Figure 3C**). As such, stochastic differential equations do not appear to be suitable to model BVR. All subsequent results are from simulations employing stochastic channel gating.

Figure 3. Stochastic channel gating contributes to BVR in a computational model of the canine ventricular myocyte. A. 5 consecutive APs in a representative canine ventricular midmyocardial cell, the deterministic computational model, the model with Langevin I_{Kr} formulation, or the model with a stochastic Markov formulation of I_{Kr} (top to bottom) at 1000-ms pacing CL. APD (ms) is indicated below each beat. B. Poincaré plot of 45 consecutive APDs for the conditions in (A). The white circle in each panel indicates the steady-state APD of the deterministic model. C. Relative amount of STV versus LTV in experiments, with Langevin I_{Kr} or with stochastic Markov formulation of I_{Kr} . Langevin and stochastic Markov formulations resulted in different compositions of BVR.

Ionic contributors to BVR in physiological conditions

Figure 4. Contribution of channel density and conductance of individual stochastic ion currents to BVR, and rate dependence. A. STV magnitude induced by stochastic channel gating of individual currents in an otherwise deterministic model at CL of 500 ms, 1000 ms or 2000 ms. Top panel shows 5-fold reduction in channel density (with 5-fold increase in single-channel conductance), middle panel shows channel density based on estimates from experimental data (**Table 1**) and bottom panel shows 5-fold increase in channel density with reduced single-channel conductance. B. Rate dependence of average APD (left), STV (middle) and LTV (right) in experiments and model with stochastic gating of all 13 targets combined at 100% channel density.

To obtain insight in the direct contribution of the stochastic gating of ion currents/fluxes to whole-cell BVR, we performed simulations with stochastic formulations of each individual channel/pump/transporter in an otherwise deterministic model at cycle lengths (CLs) of 500, 1000 and 2000 ms (**Figure 4A**). The number of channels underlying each current was estimated based on experimentally obtained single-channel conductance and whole-cell conductance in the model (**Table 1**). To investigate the sensitivity of this parameter, we simulated normal channel density as well as a 5-fold increase or decrease in channel density (offset by a reciprocal change in single-channel conductance to maintain the same total current). A lower channel density (with larger single-channel conductance) resulted in a larger STV for all stochastic simulations. Interestingly,

however, a large difference between the impacts of individual ion currents could be observed with the largest contribution by I_{Na} and I_{Kr} to STV under these conditions (**Figure 4A**). I_{Ks} also had a substantial impact on BVR, despite its small effect on APD under basal, isolated-myocyte conditions,⁵⁹ consistent with results by Pueyo et al.²⁸ In contrast, pumps and exchangers, which have relatively low individual throughput but high expression density,⁴¹ contributed little to BVR. In general, BVR increased with increasing CL. When all 13 stochastic targets were combined, STV was larger than that obtained with any individual stochastic formulation but the results were not additive, indicating that certain stochastic fluctuations cancel each-other out. The stochastic model showed APD and BVR rate dependence similar to that observed in canine ventricular myocytes (**Figure 4B**), indicating that stochastic channel gating (particularly of I_{Na} and I_{Kr} channels) is a major contributor to the 'baseline' BVR observed in physiological conditions.

In addition to a direct impact on V_m fluctuations, stochastic channel gating may modulate STV indirectly (e.g., via fluctuations in intracellular ion concentrations which affect other currents). To quantify the *overall* contribution of individual ion currents to BVR in the fully stochastic model, we employed the linear regression method recently proposed by Sarkar and Sobie.⁶⁰ We simulated 300 parameter sets in which the current density of each of the currents was scaled based on a Gaussian distribution with mean 1.0 and standard deviation 0.3. For each parameter set, mean APD, STV and LTV were determined at steady-state during pacing at 1000-ms CL (**Figure 5**). The contribution of each current was determined by performing a linear regression on the parameter settings and output measures (**Figure 5A**). Consistent with the results based on the direct stochastic impact shown in **Figure 4**, the linear regression analysis identified major roles for I_{Na} and I_{Kr} in modulating both APD and STV (**Figure 5B**). In addition, this approach also identified a substantial impact of I_{NaK} , I_{NaCa} and SERCA on STV. Because the stochastic gating of these currents/fluxes did not result in significant BVR when simulated in an otherwise deterministic model, it follows that (cell-to-cell) variations in the maximal conductance of these targets affect STV via other parameters (e.g., APD, intracellular ion concentrations, etc.), which remains to be confirmed experimentally.

Figure 5. Contribution of currents to BVR determined via linear regression. A. Relative changes in the maximal conductance of the 13 currents/fluxes (lanes correspond to the column-pairs in panel B) for 100 (out of 300) trials (left panel) and corresponding changes in outputs (APD, STV and LTV) during steady-state pacing at CL = 1000 ms (right panel). Middle panel shows the coefficients that indicate the contribution of each current to every output measure as determined via linear regression. B. Bar plot of the magnitude of the coefficients from panel A regarding their impact on APD (white bars) or STV (shaded bars). I_{Kr} and I_{Na} have a large impact on both APD and BVR, consistent with the results from **Figure 4**. In addition, I_{NaK} and Ca^{2+} uptake (SERCA) also affect STV. LTV showed similar pattern as STV and was not shown for the sake of clarity. *For color figure, see page 255.*

Zaniboni et al.¹ have previously shown that the electrical coupling of two myocytes reduced their temporal variability, which was confirmed in the modeling study by Lemay et al.²⁷ When identical cells were coupled, the overall temporal variability (coefficient of variance: $100\% \times \text{std}(\text{APD}) / \text{mean}(\text{APD})$) in the model was reduced from 2.02% to 1.57%, quantitatively similar to that observed by Zaniboni et al. in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes ($2.3 \pm 1.2\%$ in uncoupled cells vs. $1.5 \pm 0.6\%$ in

cell-pairs). We observed a slight reduction in BVR (of 0.7 ms) in cell-pairs compared to uncoupled cells when two identical cells were coupled (**Figure 6A**). Gap junction conductance did not influence BVR over the range of values that would result in successful propagation in a 1-dimensional strand.⁶¹ Interestingly, Zaniboni et al. also reported that there was an asymmetrical redistribution of APD when a cell with a long APD was coupled to a cell with short APD, whereby the long APD shortened more than the short APD prolonged.¹ We hypothesized that this asymmetrical response may also apply to BVR and prolonged APD in one of the two cells through the injection of a constant, deterministic current for the duration of the APD (**Figure 6B**). BVR was larger in the prolonged cell, thereby increasing the average BVR. When the two cells were coupled, spatial APD dispersion was lost. Although BVR remained larger than that of the regular cell-pair, the decrease in average BVR compared to the uncoupled situation was more pronounced (1.0 ms; **Figure 6B, inset**). These data suggest that cell-to-cell coupling not only reduces spatial dispersion of repolarization but may also limit excessive BVR of vulnerable regions. As such, conditions in which coupling is reduced (e.g., in ischemia) may lead to increased BVR.

Figure 6. Effect of cell-to-cell coupling on BVR. A. APD (top panel) and STV (bottom panel) of two identical cells for various degrees of electrical coupling. Normal coupling (left vertical dashed line) and critical coupling for successful conduction in a one-dimensional strand of virtual myocytes (right vertical dashed line) are indicated. B. Similar to panel A for two cells of which one is prolonged via current injection. Cell-to-cell coupling causes a mild decrease in average STV that is more pronounced in the case of an asymmetrical cell pair.

BVR rate dependence

Recent research has shown that APD reverse rate dependence is an intrinsic property of canine cardiac preparations.⁶² We hypothesized that the reverse rate dependence of BVR (**Figure 4B**) could be due to at least two components: (i) rate-dependent changes in the magnitude of stochastic channel gating (“active component”) and/or (ii) dependence on APD and the intrinsic reverse rate-dependence of APD (“intrinsic component”).⁶² To investigate the contribution of these components, membrane potential and net membrane current (V_m and I_m) were recorded for 30 beats at steady state and the standard deviation over these 30 beats was determined at each time point. The magnitude of I_m variation was CL dependent (**Figure 7A,B**), suggesting a role for the active component. Moreover, when the $\text{Std}(I_m)$ - V_m relationship at 1000-ms CL was used to generate a stochastic I_m in the deterministic model paced at various CL, BVR rate dependence was blunted (**Figure 7C**) but not eliminated. The remaining BVR rate dependence reflects the intrinsic component (i.e., even with the same amount of ‘noise’ BVR is larger at long CL). BVR increased when APD was prolonged through injection of a (deterministic) current in either the stochastic model (**Figure 7D**) or the deterministic model with fixed I_m stochastics (not shown). Interestingly however, there were no clear differences in BVR between CL of 500, 1000 or 2000 ms for any given APD (**Figure 7D**). This indicated that although both CL dependence of stochastic properties and intrinsic components contribute to reverse rate dependence of BVR, the former was also strongly dependent on the intrinsically longer APD at slow CL.

Figure 7. Role of APD and stochastic gating in BVR reverse rate dependence. A. Magnitude of channel gating stochastics (assessed by $\text{Std}(I_m)$ for 50 beats) over time for CL of 350-4000 ms in the fully stochastic model under baseline conditions. B. Rate dependence of total magnitude of I_m fluctuations (given by area under $\text{Std}(I_m)$ curve). C. STV rate dependence in the fully stochastic model during fixed-CL pacing (solid line) or fixed-DI pacing (dash-dotted line), or in the deterministic model during fixed-CL pacing with a CL-independent stochastic term added to I_m (dashed line). CL-independent stochastic behavior results in a blunted STV rate dependence. D. STV vs. APD relationship at CLs of 500 ms (dashed line), 1000 ms (dash-dotted line) or 2000 ms (solid line). APD was varied through injection of a deterministic stimulus current between -0.1 and 0.1 pA/pF for the duration of the AP.

In the chaotic models of Sato et al. beat-to-beat APD differences occur through a steep APD/diastolic interval (DI) relationship.²⁵ To assess the importance of restitution for BVR in the absence of EADs, we analyzed data from the stochastic model using fixed diastolic interval pacing. An identical BVR/CL dependence (determined via $\text{CL} = \text{mean APD} + \text{DI}$) was obtained with fixed CL and fixed DI pacing (**Figure 7C**), indicating that under these physiological conditions variations in DI do not contribute to BVR rate dependence. In agreement with this conclusion, we found only a weak correlation between the DI and APD of the following beat in canine ventricular myocytes and simulations (correlation coefficients of 0.39 ± 0.06 and 0.15 at 1000-ms CL, respectively; data not shown).

Mechanisms contributing to exaggerated BVR in pathological conditions

We previously reported that BVR is increased in pharmacological models of long-QT syndrome (LQT) type 2 (using the I_{Kr} -blocking drug dofetilide) and LQT3 (increased persistent I_{Na} due to ATXII) and that this BVR could be reduced by β -adrenergic stimulation (β ARS). In contrast, in a pharmacological LQT1 model, β ARS + I_{Ks} inhibition (using HMR1556) increased BVR, whereas HMR1556 alone had no effect on BVR.⁸ The model was able to reproduce these experimental findings (**Figure 8A,B**), indicating that it can be employed to study the factors contributing to exaggerated BVR in LQT1-3.

The contribution of both average APD and CL-dependent changes in I_m stochastics to BVR rate dependence (**Figure 7**) suggest that the increased BVR observed in pharmacological models of long-QT syndrome (**Figure 8**) could be due to APD prolongation and/or alterations in ion-channel gating. Similarly, the rescue of BVR by β ARS in the LQT2 and LQT3 models could be due solely to a reduction in APD or could be due to other factors. To investigate the effect of APD prolongation on BVR, we employed a deterministic current injection to reduce average APD back to baseline levels. When APD was reduced, BVR also reduced to control values (STV equaled 3.5 ± 0.4 , 7.7 ± 1.5 and 3.9 ± 0.6 in control, LQT2, and LQT2 with reduced APD, respectively; **Figure 9A**). In contrast, removing the stochastic gating of I_{Kr} did not significantly alter BVR (8.1 ± 1.5 ms).

Figure 8. BVR in simulated LQT syndromes type 1-3 in the absence or presence of β ARS. **A.** Overlay of 30 consecutive APs in the absence ($-\beta$ ARS) or presence ($+\beta$ ARS) of β -adrenergic receptor stimulation in control conditions (top-left panel) or simulated LQT1 (top-right panel), LQT2 (bottom-left panel), or LQT3 (bottom-right panel). Shortest and longest APs are shown in black, intermediate APs in grey. A Poincaré plot of the 30 APDs is shown below. **B.** Quantification of BVR in LQT1-3 at CL of 500, 1000 or 2000 ms in the absence or presence of β ARS. HMR indicates simulation of the I_{Ks} blocker HMR1556 (simulated LQT1), Dof simulation of the I_{Kr} blocking drug dofetilide (LQT2) and ATXII indicates simulations with enhanced persistent I_{Na} (LQT3). β ARS reduces BVR significantly in LQT2 and LQT3, but not in LQT1, consistent with experimental results.⁸

When STV was plotted against average APD for individual canine ventricular myocytes or individual model cells generated based on a Gaussian distribution of conductances (similar to the approach for **Figure 5A**), an exponential relationship was obtained. There was no difference in the parameters for the STV vs. APD relationship between experiments and model or between control and LQT2 conditions (**Figure 9B,C**). These data indicate that the model is able to quantitatively reproduce experimental BVR characteristics covering a range of cell-to-cell differences. Moreover, these data suggest that the APD prolongation is the main determinant for the increased BVR in LQT2.

Figure 9. Role of APD in the observed increase in BVR under simulated LQT2 conditions. A. Overlay of 30 consecutive APs under control conditions, simulated LQT2, simulated LQT2 with deterministic I_{Kr} or simulated LQT2 with reduced APD due to injection of a deterministic stimulus current. Shortest and longest APs are shown in black, intermediate APs in grey. APD, STV and Poincaré plots are shown below each overlay. B. STV vs. APD relationship under control conditions (left panel) or LQT2 conditions (right panel) in individual canine ventricular myocytes (filled symbols) or individual model cells (open symbols; based on whole-cell conductances drawn from a Normal distribution, as in **Figure 5A**). Data were fit with a monoexponential function (lines). C. Parameters of the monoexponential fits of panel B under control and LQT2 conditions in experiments (grey bars) and model (white bars). The model shows a quantitatively similar STV vs. APD relationship as experiments and this relationship is not different between control and LQT2 conditions.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we developed a novel model of the canine ventricular myocyte electrophysiology including stochastic gating of all major ion currents and SR Ca^{2+} handling processes. The model showed APD and BVR rate dependence consistent with experimental data from canine ventricular myocytes. Using this model, we obtained the following novel insights into the ionic contributors to BVR: i) stochastic channel gating (mainly of I_{Na} and I_{Kr}) strongly contributes to baseline BVR; ii) BVR is more pronounced in cells with a strong plateau than in cells with triangular AP morphology; iii) BVR

is reduced by cell-to-cell coupling, particularly in the case when one of the two cells has an increased BVR; iv) the rate dependence of BVR is due to active components and intrinsic components, but not dependent on variations in DI; and v) APD prolongation strongly increases BVR but is not the sole determinant of exaggerated BVR in drug-related /-induced conditions.

Relation to existing computational models

Despite the experimental evidence of an important role for BVR as an indicator of proarrhythmic risk,^{2, 63} few computational models to date have incorporated temporal variability of repolarization. Wilders and Jongsma were among the first to examine stochastic channel gating in a computational cardiac cell model for their investigation of beating-rate variability in sinoatrial node cells.⁶⁴ Subsequently, Tanskanen et al. employed a local control model of the canine ventricular myocyte to investigate the role of stochastic gating of L-type Ca^{2+} channels in EAD formation.²⁴ These authors also provided a mathematical analysis suggesting/indicating that increased voltage noise skewed the distribution of APD towards longer APDs, enhancing the susceptibility to EADs.⁶⁵ In contrast, Sato et al. have shown that the EADs observed in their model of the H_2O_2 -treated rabbit ventricular myocyte were chaotic and not due to stochastic fluctuations.²⁶ However, stochastic channel gating resulted in an increased variety in temporal dynamics of the chaotic model.²⁵ Pueyo et al. also found that stochastic channel gating favored the occurrence of alternans and EAD generation during I_{Kr} blockade.²⁸ However, both Sato et al and Pueyo et al. only considered stochastic gating of I_{Ks} . Lemay et al. adapted the Luo-Rudy dynamic model of the guinea-pig ventricular myocyte to investigate the role of stochastic gating and protein turnover of a selected number of currents on APD variability and intercellular conduction delays under physiological conditions.²⁷

The results presented here provide a significant extension of these previously developed models by considering both stochastic gating of all major ion currents (except background currents) and Ca^{2+} handling processes. Moreover, we show that the stochastic model is quantitatively consistent with experimental measures of BVR in isolated canine ventricular myocytes and identify contributors to BVR in physiological and pathological conditions.

BVR as a proarrhythmic marker

Beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration has been proposed as a more reliable proarrhythmic marker than prolongation of repolarization *per se*, at least for specific pathological conditions.²⁻⁴ However, its exact determinants remain incompletely understood. Our data indicate that BVR, determined largely by stochastic channel gating during baseline conditions, is modulated by a number of factors that may play a role in arrhythmogenesis.

We find that AP morphology and duration (**Figure 6**) affect BVR. Increased APD and a pronounced AP plateau result in a larger window in which depolarizing and repolarizing currents are in balance, creating a vulnerable window in which relatively small fluctuations could induce an EAD which may

lead to triggered activity and/or regional dispersion of repolarization. Both Pueyo et al.²⁸ and Tanskanen et al.²⁴ have shown that stochastic fluctuations in channel gating (of I_{Ks} and I_{CaL} , respectively) can indeed facilitate the development of EADs (however, see Sato et al.²⁶). These data suggest that BVR reflects the robustness of repolarization and, when exaggerated, the risk of EAD development.

In addition to EADs induced by reactivation of I_{CaL} during a prolonged AP plateau, abnormal Ca^{2+} handling has been shown to be able to induce early- and delayed afterdepolarizations.⁶⁶ As such, the consideration of both stochastic Ca^{2+} handling and ion-channel gating in the model presented here is important. Previous experimental data from our group have shown that buffering of intracellular Ca^{2+} (using BAPTA) can suppress BVR during β ARS and I_{Ks} blockade in single ventricular myocytes.⁸ Furthermore, in a pharmacological LQT2 model in intact rabbit hearts, abnormal Ca^{2+} handling also preceded fluctuations in membrane potential.⁶⁷ We found no direct contribution of individual Ca^{2+} -handling proteins to BVR under baseline conditions (**Figure 4**). However, alterations in Ca^{2+} homeostasis can have a significant impact on BVR, as is evident from the large impact of SERCA on STV observed in our regression analysis (**Figure 5**). Moreover, the strong positive feedback characteristics of Ca^{2+} -induced Ca^{2+} release may amplify stochastic fluctuations within a subsarcolemmal microdomain and modulate BVR. Thus, BVR also reflects the stability of the intracellular Ca^{2+} -handling system and Ca^{2+} -sensitive currents.

Dispersion of repolarization has been shown to be arrhythmogenic in a variety of conditions.⁶⁸ Cell-to-cell coupling is able to suppress both temporal and spatial dispersion of repolarization (**Figure 6**), suggesting that BVR can indicate the degree of (un)coupling of the myocardium.

Combined, these data suggest that BVR reflects both the intrinsic temporal variability (stochastic channel gating and Ca^{2+} handling) as well as the sensitivity of the electrical system to these fluctuations (modulated by APD, AP morphology and cell-to-cell coupling). Our results highlight an important role for abnormal Ca^{2+} handling in BVR, consistent with experimental recordings, due to the presence of microdomains. Future experimental and computational studies should investigate the impact of Ca^{2+} on BVR at the subcellular level, providing a more extensive validation of local Ca^{2+} release and Ca^{2+} wave properties.

Limitations and future directions

Stochastic formulations of all 13 targets were based on the well-validated characteristics of the deterministic model^{12, 22} using the methodology employed in local control models.^{24, 36, 39} This approach allows tracking of single-channel behavior, however a formal validation of single-channel characteristics based on dwell times, open probability distributions, etc. is beyond the scope of this study. Furthermore, since single-channel recordings are often performed in non-physiological

solutions, it would be unclear whether any deviations in single-channel behavior observed in the model under these conditions would affect the stochastic properties relevant for BVR.

We estimated the effective number of channels in the model based on experimentally obtained single-channel conductance. For several targets, the single-channel conductance or expression density is not well constrained. For example, to the best of our knowledge, there are no data on I_{Ks} single-channel conductance from native tissue, and experimental data from heterologous expression systems show considerable variability (**Table 1**). We performed simulations over a range of channel densities to investigate the impact of this parameter (**Figure 4**). Because single-channel conductance has a large impact on BVR (**Figure 4**), the contribution of these targets may therefore be under- or overestimated.

In addition to single channel gating and Ca^{2+} , other factors may modulate BVR. These factors include signaling pathways, changes in cell volume and pH, etc. and are beyond the scope of the current investigation. Moreover, most of these factors will change on a time scale of minutes, whereas BVR reflects the changes in repolarization duration in the order of seconds. Thus, although these factors can affect BVR, they are likely to do so via changes in repolarization duration, Ca^{2+} handling, or stochastic channel gating that have been investigated here.

Finally, although the results presented here suggest that BVR reflects a combination of potentially proarrhythmic signals at the (sub)cellular level, its role as a marker for arrhythmogenesis can only be thoroughly investigated in a large multicellular model. The complexity of the cell model makes this computationally prohibitive for the current implementation. Our cell-pair simulations show that cell-to-cell coupling will reduce but not eliminate BVR. Future studies should investigate the synchronization of variability during arrhythmogenesis in a multi-scale model.

Conclusions

We presented a novel stochastic model of the canine ventricular myocyte electrophysiology showing APD and BVR rate dependences consistent with experimental data from isolated canine ventricular myocytes under physiological conditions and in pharmacological models of LQT1-3. The model provides new insights into the (sub)cellular determinants of BVR and suggests modulating roles for several processes, including APD, AP morphology, Ca^{2+} handling, and cell-to-cell coupling. It provides a framework to further our understanding of the potential role that BVR can play as a proarrhythmic marker.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank members of the Volders team for helpful discussions.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

P.G.A.V. is supported by a Vidi grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (ZonMw 91710365). D.M.J. was financially supported by AstraZeneca Ltd, UK. Y.R. is supported by the National Institutes of Health-National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (Grants R01-HL049054-18 and R01-HLR01033343-26) and the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CBET-0929633. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation (NSF).

REFERENCES

1. Zaniboni M, Pollard AE, Yang L, Spitzer KW. Beat-to-beat repolarization variability in ventricular myocytes and its suppression by electrical coupling. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2000;278:H677-687.
2. Hinterseer M, Beckmann BM, Thomsen MB, Pfeufer A, Ulbrich M, Sinner MF, Perz S, Wichmann HE, Lengyel C, Schimpf R, Maier SK, Várro A, Vos MA, Steinbeck G, Kääb S. Usefulness of short-term variability of QT intervals as a predictor for electrical remodeling and proarrhythmia in patients with nonischemic heart failure. *Am J Cardiol*. 2010;106:216-220.
3. Tereshchenko LG, Han L, Cheng A, Marine JE, Spragg DD, Sinha S, Dalal D, Calkins H, Tomaselli GF, Berger RD. Beat-to-beat three-dimensional ECG variability predicts ventricular arrhythmia in ICD recipients. *Heart Rhythm*. 2010;7:1606-1613.
4. Thomsen MB, Verduyn SC, Stengl M, Beekman JD, de Pater G, van Opstal J, Volders PGA, Vos MA. Increased short-term variability of repolarization predicts *d*-sotalol-induced torsades de pointes in dogs. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2453-2459.
5. Gallacher DJ, Van de Water A, van der Linde H, Hermans AN, Lu HR, Towart R, Volders PGA. In vivo mechanisms precipitating torsades de pointes in a canine model of drug-induced long-QT1 syndrome. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;76:247-256.
6. Jacobson I, Carlsson L, Duker G. Beat-by-beat QT interval variability, but not QT prolongation per se, predicts drug-induced torsades de pointes in the anaesthetised methoxamine-sensitized rabbit. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods*. 2011;63:40-46.
7. Hinterseer M, Beckmann BM, Thomsen MB, Pfeufer A, Dalla Pozza R, Loeff M, Netz H, Steinbeck G, Vos MA, Kääb S. Relation of increased short-term variability of QT interval to congenital long-QT syndrome. *Am J Cardiol*. 2009;103:1244-1248.
8. Johnson DM, Heijman J, Pollard CE, Valentin JP, Crijns HJ, Abi-Gerges N, Volders PGA. I_{Ks} restricts excessive beat-to-beat variability of repolarization during beta-adrenergic receptor stimulation. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2010;48:122-130.
9. Noble D, Rudy Y. Models of cardiac ventricular action potentials: iterative interaction between experiment and simulation. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond A*. 2001;359:1127-1142.
10. Courtemanche M, Ramirez RJ, Nattel S. Ionic mechanisms underlying human atrial action potential properties: insights from a mathematical model. *Am J Physiol*. 1998;275:H301-321.
11. Mahajan A, Shiferaw Y, Sato D, Baher A, Olcese R, Xie LH, Yang MJ, Chen PS, Restrepo JG, Karma A, Garfinkel A, Qu Z, Weiss JN. A rabbit ventricular action potential model replicating cardiac dynamics at rapid heart rates. *Biophys J*. 2008;94:392-410.
12. Decker KF, Heijman J, Silva JR, Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Properties and ionic mechanisms of action potential adaptation, restitution, and accommodation in canine epicardium. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2009;296:H1017-1026.

13. O'Hara T, Virag L, Varro A, Rudy Y. Simulation of the undiseased human cardiac ventricular action potential: model formulation and experimental validation. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2011;7:e1002061.
14. Grandi E, Pandit SV, Voigt N, Workman AJ, Dobrev D, Jalife J, Bers DM. Human atrial action potential and Ca^{2+} model: sinus rhythm and chronic atrial fibrillation. *Circ Res*. 2011;109:1055-1066.
15. Winslow RL, Rice J, Jafri S, Marban E, O'Rourke B. Mechanisms of altered excitation-contraction coupling in canine tachycardia-induced heart failure, II: model studies. *Circ Res*. 1999;84:571-586.
16. Clancy CE, Rudy Y. Na^+ channel mutation that causes both Brugada and long-QT syndrome phenotypes: a simulation study of mechanism. *Circulation*. 2002;105:1208-1213.
17. Pandit SV, Giles WR, Demir SS. A mathematical model of the electrophysiological alterations in rat ventricular myocytes in type-I diabetes. *Biophys J*. 2003;84:832-841.
18. Kneller J, Kalifa J, Zou R, Zaitsev AV, Warren M, Berenfeld O, Vigmond EJ, Leon LJ, Nattel S, Jalife J. Mechanisms of atrial fibrillation termination by pure sodium channel blockade in an ionically-realistic mathematical model. *Circ Res*. 2005;96:e35-47.
19. Wolf RM, Mitchell CC, Christensen MD, Mohler PJ, Hund TJ. Defining new insight into atypical arrhythmia: a computational model of ankyrin-B syndrome. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2010;299:H1505-1514.
20. Saucerman JJ, Brunton LL, Michailova AP, McCulloch AD. Modeling β -adrenergic control of cardiac myocyte contractility in silico. *J Biol Chem*. 2003;278:47997-48003.
21. Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Rate dependence and regulation of action potential and calcium transient in a canine cardiac ventricular cell model. *Circulation*. 2004;110:3168-3174.
22. Heijman J, Volders PGA, Westra RL, Rudy Y. Local control of β -adrenergic stimulation: Effects on ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and Ca^{2+} -transient. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2011;50:863-871.
23. Tao T, Paterson DJ, Smith NP. A model of cellular cardiac-neural coupling that captures the sympathetic control of sinoatrial node excitability in normotensive and hypertensive rats. *Biophys J*. 2011;101:594-602.
24. Tanskanen AJ, Greenstein JL, O'Rourke B, Winslow RL. The role of stochastic and modal gating of cardiac L-type Ca^{2+} channels on early after-depolarizations. *Biophys J*. 2005;88:85-95.
25. Sato D, Xie LH, Sovari AA, Tran DX, Morita N, Xie F, Karagueuzian H, Garfinkel A, Weiss JN, Qu Z. Synchronization of chaotic early afterdepolarizations in the genesis of cardiac arrhythmias. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:2983-2988.
26. Sato D, Xie LH, Nguyen TP, Weiss JN, Qu Z. Irregularly appearing early afterdepolarizations in cardiac myocytes: random fluctuations or dynamical chaos? *Biophys J*. 2010;99:765-773.
27. Lemay M, de Lange E, Kucera JP. Effects of stochastic channel gating and distribution on the cardiac action potential. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. 2011;281:84-96.
28. Pueyo E, Corrias A, Virag L, Jost N, Szél T, Varro A, Szentandrassy N, Nanasi PP, Burrage K, Rodriguez B. A multiscale investigation of repolarization variability and its role in cardiac arrhythmogenesis. *Biophys J*. 2011;101:1-11.
29. Silva J, Rudy Y. Subunit interaction determines I_{Ks} participation in cardiac repolarization and repolarization reserve. *Circulation*. 2005;112:1384-1391.
30. Brennan T, Fink M, Rodriguez B. Multiscale modelling of drug-induced effects on cardiac electrophysiological activity. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2009;36:62-77.
31. Liu DW, Antzelevitch C. Characteristics of the delayed rectifier current (I_{Kr} and I_{Ks}) in canine ventricular epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes. A weaker I_{Ks} contributes to the longer action potential of the M cell. *Circ Res*. 1995;76:351-365.

32. Yang T, Snyders DJ, Roden DM. Ibutilide, a methanesulfonanilide antiarrhythmic, is a potent blocker of the rapidly activating delayed rectifier K⁺ current (I_{Kr}) in AT-1 cells. Concentration-, time-, voltage-, and use-dependent effects. *Circulation*. 1995;91:1799-1806.
33. Jurkiewicz NK, Sanguinetti MC. Rate-dependent prolongation of cardiac action potentials by a methanesulfonanilide class III antiarrhythmic agent. Specific block of rapidly activating delayed rectifier K⁺ current by dofetilide. *Circ Res*. 1993;72:75-83.
34. Baba S, Dun W, Boyden PA. Can PKA activators rescue Na⁺ channel function in epicardial border zone cells that survive in the infarcted canine heart? *Cardiovasc Res*. 2004;64:260-267.
35. Livshitz LM, Rudy Y. Regulation of Ca²⁺ and electrical alternans in cardiac myocytes: role of CAMKII and repolarizing currents. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2007;292:H2854-2866.
36. Restrepo JG, Weiss JN, Karma A. Calsequestrin-mediated mechanism for cellular calcium transient alternans. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:3767-3789.
37. Matsumoto M, Nishimura T. Mersenne twister: a 623-dimensionally equidistributed uniform pseudo-random number generator. *ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul*. 1998;8:3-30.
38. Rao CV, Wolf DM, Arkin AP. Control, exploitation and tolerance of intracellular noise. *Nature*. 2002;420:231-237.
39. Gaur N, Rudy Y. Multiscale modeling of calcium cycling in cardiac ventricular myocyte: macroscopic consequences of microscopic dyadic function. *Biophysical journal*. 2011;100:2904-2912.
40. Rudy Y, Silva JR. Computational biology in the study of cardiac ion channels and cell electrophysiology. *Q Rev Biophys*. 2006;39:57-116.
41. Hille B. *Ion channels of excitable membranes*. 3rd ed. Sunderland, Mass.: Sinauer; 2001.
42. Tran K, Smith NP, Loisel DS, Crampin EJ. A thermodynamic model of the cardiac sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic Ca²⁺ (SERCA) pump. *Biophysical Journal*. 2009;96:2029-2042.
43. Smith NP, Crampin EJ. Development of models of active ion transport for whole-cell modelling: cardiac sodium-potassium pump as a case study. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. 2004;85:387-405.
44. Guia A, Stern MD, Lakatta EG, Josephson IR. Ion concentration-dependence of rat cardiac unitary L-type calcium channel conductance. *Biophys J*. 2001;80:2742-2750.
45. Collier ML, Levesque PC, Kenyon JL, Hume JR. Unitary Cl⁻ channels activated by cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ in canine ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res*. 1996;78:936-944.
46. Kääh S, Nuss HB, Chiamvimonvat N, O'Rourke B, Pak PH, Kass DA, Marban E, Tomaselli GF. Ionic mechanism of action potential prolongation in ventricular myocytes from dogs with pacing-induced heart failure. *Circ Res*. 1996;78:262-273.
47. Liu GX, Zhou J, Nattel S, Koren G. Single-channel recordings of a rapid delayed rectifier current in adult mouse ventricular myocytes: basic properties and effects of divalent cations. *The Journal of physiology*. 2004;556:401-413.
48. Veldkamp MW, van Ginneken AC, Bouman LN. Single delayed rectifier channels in the membrane of rabbit ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res*. 1993;72:865-878.
49. Yang Y, Sigworth FJ. Single-channel properties of I_{Ks} potassium channels. *J Gen Physiol*. 1998;112:665-678.
50. Romey G, Attali B, Chouabe C, Abitbol I, Guillemare E, Barhanin J, Lazdunski M. Molecular mechanism and functional significance of the MinK control of the KvLQT1 channel activity. *J Biol Chem*. 1997;272:16713-16716.
51. Sesti F, Goldstein SA. Single-channel characteristics of wild-type I_{Ks} channels and channels formed with two minK mutants that cause long QT syndrome. *J Gen Physiol*. 1998;112:651-663.
52. Yue L, Feng J, Li GR, Nattel S. Characterization of an ultrarapid delayed rectifier potassium channel involved in canine atrial repolarization. *J Physiol*. 1996;496:647-662.

53. Sridhar A, da Cunha DN, Lacombe VA, Zhou Q, Fox JJ, Hamlin RL, Carnes CA. The plateau outward current in canine ventricle, sensitive to 4-aminopyridine, is a constitutive contributor to ventricular repolarization. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2007;152:870-879.
54. Ono K, Fozzard HA, Hanck DA. Mechanism of cAMP-dependent modulation of cardiac sodium channel current kinetics. *Circ Res*. 1993;72:807-815.
55. Blaustein MP, Lederer WJ. Sodium/calcium exchange: its physiological implications. *Physiol Rev*. 1999;79:763-854.
56. Dobretsov M, Hastings SL, Stimers JR. Na⁺-K⁺ pump cycle during β -adrenergic stimulation of adult rat cardiac myocytes. *J Physiol*. 1998;507:527-539.
57. Fedida D, Giles WR. Regional variations in action potentials and transient outward current in myocytes isolated from rabbit left ventricle. *J Physiol*. 1991;442:191-209.
58. Volders PGA, Sipido KR, Carmeliet E, Spätjens RLHMG, Wellens HJ, Vos MA. Repolarizing K⁺ currents I_{TO1} and I_{Ks} are larger in right than left canine ventricular midmyocardium. *Circulation*. 1999;99:206-210.
59. Volders PGA, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Spätjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2753-2760.
60. Sarkar AX, Sobie EA. Quantification of repolarization reserve to understand interpatient variability in the response to proarrhythmic drugs: A computational analysis. *Heart Rhythm*. 2011;8:1749-1755.
61. Shaw RM, Rudy Y. Ionic mechanisms of propagation in cardiac tissue. Roles of the sodium and L-type calcium currents during reduced excitability and decreased gap junction coupling. *Circ Res*. 1997;81:727-741.
62. Banyasz T, Horvath B, Virag L, Barandi L, Szentandrassy N, Harmati G, Magyar J, Marangoni S, Zaza A, Varro A, Nanasi PP. Reverse rate dependency is an intrinsic property of canine cardiac preparations. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2009;84:237-244.
63. Thomsen MB, Volders PG, Beekman JD, Matz J, Vos MA. Beat-to-Beat variability of repolarization determines proarrhythmic outcome in dogs susceptible to drug-induced torsades de pointes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48:1268-1276.
64. Wilders R, Jongsma HJ. Beating irregularity of single pacemaker cells isolated from the rabbit sinoatrial node. *Biophys J*. 1993;65:2601-2613.
65. Tanskanen AJ, Alvarez LH. Voltage noise influences action potential duration in cardiac myocytes. *Math Biosci*. 2007;208:125-146.
66. Volders PGA, Kulcsár A, Vos MA, Sipido KR, Wellens HJ, Lazzara R, Szabo B. Similarities between early and delayed afterdepolarizations induced by isoproterenol in canine ventricular myocytes. *Cardiovasc Res*. 1997;34:348-359.
67. Nemec J, Kim JJ, Gabris B, Salama G. Calcium oscillations and T-wave lability precede ventricular arrhythmias in acquired long QT type 2. *Heart Rhythm*. 2010;7:1686-1694.
68. Antzelevitch C. Role of spatial dispersion of repolarization in inherited and acquired sudden cardiac death syndromes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2007;293:H2024-H2038.

CHAPTER 8

GENERAL DISCUSSION

8.1 Insights into the role of β -adrenergic receptor stimulation in arrhythmogenesis.....	202
8.2 Comparison of existing cell models	205
8.3 Applications of computational models of cardiac electrophysiology.....	209
8.3.1 Cellular applications	209
8.3.2 Clinical applications.....	211
8.4 Systems biology.....	212
8.4.1 Perspectives of computational electrophysiology.....	213
8.5 References.....	215

8.1 INSIGHTS INTO THE ROLE OF β -ADRENERGIC RECEPTOR STIMULATION IN ARRHYTHMOGENESIS

In the studies that led to this thesis we have developed a detailed computational model of the canine ventricular myocyte electrophysiology (**Chapter 2**) and have integrated it with a system of localized β -adrenergic receptor stimulation (β ARS) and CaMKII signaling (**Chapter 3**) to obtain a better quantitative understanding of the complex local processes linking β ARS and arrhythmogenesis (**Figure 1**). We have focused predominantly on the effects of β ARS on ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and the proarrhythmic marker beat-to-beat variability of repolarization (BVR; **Figure 1, grey boxes**).

The sympathetic nervous system plays a crucial role in the modulation of cardiac output. Sympathetic stimulation results in an increase in chronotropy, inotropy, lusitropy and dromotropy as a result of changes in cellular electrophysiology at various levels of the heart. In a number of pathological conditions sympathetic stimulation has been associated with an increased arrhythmogenic risk.¹ The clinical importance of the connection between the autonomic nervous system and the heart is further supported by the fact that baroreflex sensitivity testing,² exercise testing³ and epinephrine challenges⁴ are used for diagnostic stratification in clinical settings. At the therapeutic side, β -adrenergic receptor blockade is the mainstay of pharmacological treatment in various pathological conditions, and left or bilateral cardiac sympathetic denervation has proven valuable in the management of patients with intractable ventricular tachycardia (VT) in long-QT syndrome,⁵ catecholaminergic polymorphic VT,⁶ and electrical storm by other causes.^{7,8}

In animal models and experimental preparations, numerous groups have identified electrophysiological changes in response to β ARS (as part of sympathetic stimulation) that contribute to arrhythmogenesis in the predisposed heart.⁹⁻¹³ These studies have highlighted a complex network of interacting components linking β ARS and arrhythmogenesis at various levels of electrophysiological integration (**Figure 1**).¹⁴

Figure 1. The effect of β ARS on cardiac cellular electrophysiology and arrhythmogenesis. Vertical direction represents increasing level of electrophysiological integration from subcellular, macromolecular complexes to microdomains, cellular components and integrated tissue / organ. Grey boxes reflect focal points of the work presented in this thesis. Numbers 1-6 indicate interactions for which significant new insights were obtained (see text).

We have shown in **Chapter 3** that localized cAMP signaling is critical for a precise control of the phosphorylation status of individual protein kinase A-substrates (**#1 in Figure 1**). Moreover, localized β ARS augmented Ca^{2+} /Calmodulin kinase (CaMKII)-dependent signaling via $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, inhibitor protein 1 and heart rate. These pathways had synergistic effects on cellular Ca^{2+} handling and spontaneous Ca^{2+} release (SCR) indicating that the link between β ARS and arrhythmogenesis is, at least partly, mediated by CaMKII (**#2 in Figure 1**).¹⁵⁻¹⁷

Advanced experimental techniques have facilitated the characterization of β AR signaling at the subcellular level, as well as its electrophysiological effects.^{18, 19} These studies have shown that localization of both signaling molecules and ions ensures precise control of substrate

phosphorylation. Fluorescent indicators of cyclic AMP (cAMP) targeted to specific subcellular domains have shown that there is restricted diffusion of this second messenger which is controlled by (caveolar) microdomains incorporating different receptors, adenylyl cyclases, phosphodiesterases, etc.²⁰⁻²³ Disruption of these microdomains, e.g., by the cholesterol depleting agent methyl- β -cyclodextrin, results in altered electrophysiological responses to β ARS compared to control conditions.²⁴ Importantly, a similar disruption of local signaling environments was observed in pathological conditions. Nikolaev et al. have shown that a redistribution of β 2-adrenergic receptors occurs during heart failure²⁵ and Kass and coworkers have shown that mutations in KCNQ1²⁶ or the A-kinase anchoring protein Yotiao²⁷ can disrupt the macromolecular signaling complex of the slowly-activating delayed-rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks}). In all these conditions there is an important role for β ARS in arrhythmogenesis.

In **Chapter 3**, we also quantified the contribution of individual electrophysiological PKA substrates to the β ARS-dependent alterations in action potential (AP) and Ca^{2+} transient (**#3 in Figure 1**). We showed, consistent with previous experimental work,²⁸ that I_{Ks} has only a minor impact on cardiac repolarization under basal, isolated-myocyte conditions. However, during β ARS I_{Ks} played a major role in the shortening of AP duration (APD). Consistent with these observations, we determined that the combination of reduced basal I_{Ks} and loss of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation due to the long-QT syndrome type-1 mutation KCNQ1-A341V results in a pronounced AP phenotype only during β ARS (**Chapter 4**). The A341V data further underscored the importance of a correctly functioning local macromolecular complex in mediating the coupling between the β ARS signaling pathway and the electrophysiological response. They provided novel evidence that the S6 transmembrane segment of KCNQ1 at and/or around residue A341 plays an important role in the β ARS-dependent modulation of I_{Ks} (**#4 in Figure 1**). Interestingly, a complete loss of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation was also observed in heterozygous A341V conditions. Moreover, this effect was not unique for A341V, since the mutation G589D in the KCNQ1 C-terminus also resulted in a loss of cAMP-dependent upregulation in heterozygous conditions. This observation has important implications for the arrhythmogenic risk of mutation carriers because even a heterozygous genotype will result in a homozygous phenotype with respect to cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation. Consistent with this important role of I_{Ks} during β ARS, we found that an intact I_{Ks} is required to prevent excessive BVR during β ARS in isolated canine ventricular myocytes (**Chapter 5**).

In addition to a direct effect on APD, a decreased function of I_{Ks} also reduces the heart's capabilities to withstand additional challenges on repolarization. This ability has previously been termed "repolarization reserve" and several studies have shown that I_{Ks} provides an important repolarization reserve in ventricular myocytes.²⁸⁻³⁰ This reserve is recruited when the balance between inward and outward currents is shifted, for example during pharmacological inhibition of the rapidly-activating delayed-rectifier K^+ current (I_{Kr}).²⁸ It has long been established that β ARS can cause Ca^{2+} overload, SCR and triggered activity (TA). However, in most experimental studies SCR is investigated using a rapid-pacing protocol followed by a pause. Consequently, the effect of SCR on the following beat has received little attention. In **Chapter 6**, we provided novel evidence that SCR during regular pacing causes interspersed APD prolongation due to a reduced Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of the L-type

Ca^{2+} current (I_{CaL}) during the following AP (**#5 in Figure 1**). The role of I_{Ks} in repolarization reserve was evident from the fact that the SCR-induced APD prolongation was significantly more pronounced during pharmacological I_{Ks} blockade.

Several studies have shown that BVR of QT duration is a more reliable proarrhythmic marker than QT prolongation per se, at least under specific experimental conditions,³¹ or in selected human subjects.^{32, 33} The exact ionic mechanisms contributing to BVR under physiological and pathological conditions remain incompletely understood, but cellular BVR of APD likely contributes significantly to temporal variability of QT duration. Our results (**Chapter 7**) suggest that cellular BVR reflects multiple factors that can contribute to arrhythmogenesis, including AP morphology, APD, Ca^{2+} handling, stochastic ion-channel gating, and cell-to-cell coupling (**#6 in Figure 1**). This indicates that BVR may be a potentially useful proarrhythmic marker but that additional data is required to identify the underlying (cellular) pathology and, consequently, optimal pharmacological treatment.

In summary, in this thesis we have provided data that indicate that βARS affects both repolarization and Ca^{2+} handling via localized effects on subcellular targets. In the (severely) predisposed heart, these characteristics may increase dispersion of repolarization and triggered activity, resulting in an increased susceptibility to ventricular tachyarrhythmias. The βARS -dependent I_{Ks} upregulation plays a major role in both temporal and spatial dispersion of repolarization during βARS , highlighting a key role for I_{Ks} in the link between βARS and arrhythmogenesis.

8.2 COMPARISON OF EXISTING CELL MODELS

In recent years, numerous computational models of cardiac cellular electrophysiology have been developed. Although the models of the late 1990s and early 2000s were predominantly deterministic common-pool models, most of the recently developed models display mounting complexity, focusing on local stochastic aspects of cardiac electrophysiology and/or its regulation by signaling cascades. An overview of cellular models including their stochastic, βARS and CaMKII properties is provided in **Table 1**.

Model ^{Reference}	Species	Cell-type	Model Type	Stochastic Targets	CaMKII Targets	βARS Targets
Noble 1962 ³⁴	Generic	PF	Constant Concentr.	-	-	-
McAllister et al. 1975 ³⁵	Generic	PF	Constant Concentr.	-	-	-
Beeler & Reuter 1977 ³⁶	Generic	VM	Constant Concentr.	-	-	-
Kass & Wieggers 1982 ³⁷	Calf	PF	Constant Concentr.	-	-	I_{Sir} , I_{x} (by noradrenaline)
Rasmusson et al. 1990 ^{38, 39}	Bullfrog	AM / SAN	Constant Concentr.	-	-	-

Model ^{Reference}	Species	Cell-type	Model Type	Stochastic Targets	CaMKII Targets	βARS Targets
Liu et al. ⁴⁰	Rabbit	AVN	Constant Concentr.	-	-	-
Luo & Rudy 1994 ^{41, 42}	Guinea-Pig	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Zeng & Rudy 1995 ⁴³	Guinea-Pig	VM	Common Pool	-	-	I_{CaL} , I_{K1} , I_{Na} , I_{NaK} , PLB
Courtemanche et al. 1998 ⁴⁴	Human	AM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Nygren et al. 1998 ⁴⁵	Human	AM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Winslow et al. 1999 ⁴⁶	Canine	VM (Mid)	Common Pool	-	-	-
Pandit et al. 2001 ⁴⁷	Rat	VM (Transmural)	Common Pool	-	-	-
Puglisi et al. 2001 ⁴⁸	Rabbit	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Greenstein et al. 2002 ⁴⁹	Canine	VM (Mid)	Local Control	I_{CaL} , RyR	-	-
Oehmen et al. 2002 ⁵⁰	Rabbit	SAN	Common Pool	-	-	-
Saucerman et al. 2003 ⁵¹	Rat	VM	Common Pool	-	-	<u>Global Signaling</u> I_{CaL} , RyR, PLB
Ten Tusscher et al. 2004 ⁵²	Human	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Bondarenko et al. 2004 ⁵³	Mouse	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Hund & Rudy 2004 ⁵⁴	Canine	VM (Epi)	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , RyR, PLB	-
Saucerman et al. 2004 ⁵⁵	Rabbit	VM (Multi-scale)	Common Pool	-	-	<u>Global signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , TnI, RyR, PLB
Shannon et al. 2004 ⁵⁶	Rabbit	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Tanskanen et al. 2005 ⁵⁷	Canine	VM (Mid)	Local Control	I_{CaL} , RyR	-	I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , I_{Krr} , PLB
Terrenoire et al. 2005 ⁵⁸	Guinea-Pig	VM	Common Pool	-	-	<u>Global signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , PLB
Saucerman et al. 2006 ⁵⁹	Rat	VM	Common Pool	-	-	<u>Local signaling</u>
Flaim et al. 2006 ⁶⁰	Canine	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Iyer et al. 2007 ⁶¹	Human	VM	Common Pool	-	-	I_{CaL} , PLB
Iancu et al. 2007 ⁶²	-	-	-	-	-	<u>Local signaling</u>
Faber et al. 2007 ⁶³	Guinea-Pig	VM	Common Pool	-	-	I_{CaL} , I_{K1} , I_{Ks} , I_{NaK} , PLB
Grandi et al. 2007 ⁶⁴	Rabbit	VM	Common Pool	-	I_{CaL} , I_{Na} , I_{To}	-
Fink et al. 2008 ⁶⁵	Human	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Kuzumoto et al. 2008 ⁶⁶	Guinea-Pig	VM	Common Pool	-	-	<u>Global signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{CTFR} , I_{Ks} , I_{NaK} , I_{pCa} , TnI, PLB
Mahajan et al. 2008 ⁶⁷	Rabbit	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-

Model ^{Reference}	Species	Cell-type	Model Type	Stochastic Targets	CaMKII Targets	BARs Targets
Violin et al. 2008 ⁶⁸	-	-	-	-	-	<u>Global signaling</u>
Xin et al. 2008 ⁶⁹	-	-	-	-	-	<u>Global signaling</u>
Hund et al. 2008 ⁷⁰	Canine	VM (Epi)	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{Na} , I_{NaL} , RyR, PLB	-
Restrepo et al. 2008 ⁷¹	Rabbit	VM	Local Control	I_{CaL} , RyR	-	-
Saucerman & Bers 2008 ⁷²	Rabbit	VM	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> (incl. calcineurin) I_{CaL} , RyR	-
Sato et al. 2009 ⁷³	Rabbit	VM (Multi-scale)	Common Pool	I_{Ks} (Langevin)	-	-
Maleckar et al. 2009 ⁷⁴	Human	AM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Decker et al. 2009 ⁷⁵	Canine	VM (Epi)	Common Pool	-	RyR, PLB	-
Ahrens-Nicklas et al. 2009 ⁷⁶	Guinea-Pig	VM (Transmural)	Common Pool	-	-	<u>Global signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{Ks}
Koivumaki et al. 2009 ⁷⁷	Mouse	VM	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> (incl. calcineurin) I_{CaL} , RyR, PLB	-
Christensen et al. 2009 ⁷⁸	Canine	VM (Epi)	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> (incl. oxidation) I_{CaL} , I_{Na} , I_{NaL} , RyR, PLB	-
Wolf et al. 2010 ⁷⁹	Mouse	VM	Common Pool	-	-	I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , PLB
Grandi et al. 2010 ⁸⁰	Human	VM	Common Pool	-	-	-
Sampson et al. 2010 ⁸¹	Canine	PF	Common Pool	-	-	-
Hashambhoy et al. 2010 ⁸²	Canine	VM (Mid)	Local Control	I_{CaL} , RyR	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , RyR, PLB	-
Soltis & Saucerman 2010 ¹⁵	Rabbit	VM	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{Na} , I_{NaL} , I_{To} , RyR, PLB	<u>Global signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{CFTR} , I_{Ks} , TnI, RyR, PLB
Koivumaki et al. 2011 ⁸³	Human	AM	Peripheral vs. Central	-	-	-
Heijman et al. 2011 ¹⁶	Canine	VM (Epi)	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{K1} , I_{Na} , I_{NaL} , I_{To} , RyR, PLB	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , I_{Kur} , I_{Na} , I_{NaK} , TnI, RyR, PLB
Li & Rudy 2011 ⁸⁴	Canine	PF	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , RyR, PLB	-
Gaur & Rudy 2011 ⁸⁵	Guinea-Pig	VM	Local Control	I_{CaL} , RyR	-	-
O'Hara et al. 2011 ⁸⁶	Human	VM (Transmural)	Common Pool	-	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{Na} , I_{NaL} , I_{To} , RyR, PLB	-
Lemay et al. 2011 ⁸⁷	Guinea-Pig	VM	Common Pool	I_{CaL} , I_{K1} , I_{Kr} , I_{Ks} , I_{Na}	-	-
Tao et al. 2011 ⁸⁸	Rat	SAN + Cardiac Neuron	Common Pool	-	-	<u>Global signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_f , I_{Ks} , I_{St} , RyR, PLB

Model ^{Reference}	Species	Cell-type	Model Type	Stochastic Targets	CaMKII Targets	β ARS Targets
Grandi et al. 2011 ⁸⁹	Human	AM	Common Pool	-	-	I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , I_{Kur} , I_{NaK} , TnI, RyR, PLB
Pueyo et al. 2011 ⁹⁰	Guinea Pig & Human	VM	Common Pool	I_{Ks} (Langevin)	-	-
Heijman et al. 2012	Canine	VM (Epi)	Common Pool	I_{CaL} , $I_{Cl(Ca)}$, I_{K1} , I_{Kr} , I_{Ks} , I_{Kur} , I_{Na} , I_{NaCa} , I_{NaK} , I_{pCa} , I_{To} , RyR, SERCA	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{K1} , I_{Na} , I_{NaL} , I_{To} , RyR, PLB	<u>Local signaling</u> I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , I_{Kur} , I_{Na} , I_{NaK} , TnI, RyR, PLB

Table 1. Comparison of stochastic and signaling properties of existing computational myocyte models. Models are listed in chronological order. PF: Purkinje-fiber cell, VM: ventricular myocyte, SAN: sino-atrial node cell, AM: atrial myocyte, AVN: atrioventricular nodal cell.

The model developed in **Chapter 7** of this thesis (last row of **Table 1**) represents the state-of-the-art in cardiac myocyte modeling, integrating both the β ARS and CaMKII signaling cascades in a compartmental model with stochastic gating of 13 major ion channels and Ca^{2+} handling proteins. The model provides an integration of several important modeling contributions by other groups, including: i) the first integration of β ARS signaling and electrophysiology by Saucerman et al.^{51, 55} ii) the first model of localized β ARS signaling by Iancu et al.^{62, 91} iii) the first integration of CaMKII signaling and electrophysiology by Hund & Rudy⁵⁴ and iv) several stochastic properties.^{57, 71, 85, 87}

Figure 2 also highlights this integration and presents a comparison of a selection of cellular computational models of cardiac electrophysiology over time, from 1993-2012. The complexity of each model was determined in four categories: i) electrophysiological complexity (quantified by the number of state variables); ii) complexity of the stochastic components; iii) complexity of the CaMKII components and iv) complexity of the β ARS components. For categories ii-iv, the complexity of model i is determined by $(N_i^x + 1) \cdot \alpha^x$, where N_i^x represents the number of electrophysiological targets affected by category x and α^x is a scaling factor that is 1.0 in the absence of an underlying signaling cascade and 3.0 otherwise. For example, for the Heijman et al. 2011 model (**Chapter 3**) the CaMKII complexity equals 24 (7 targets, with cascade, **Table 1**) and the stochastic complexity 1 (no targets, no local control). To compare the individual categories, each complexity was normalized between 0 (for the lowest value among all models) and 1 (for the highest value). The overall complexity (**Figure 2**) represents the average normalized model complexity over the four categories. It can be appreciated that in recent years computational cell models of cardiac electrophysiology have become increasingly complex and now provide the ability to not only investigate purely electrical phenomena but also electromechanical (not shown) and electrophysiological modulation by signaling pathways.

Figure 2. Comparison of cellular computational models of electrophysiology with a focus on the period 2000–2011. Models are identified based on the name of the first author and year of publication. Horizontal axis represents time on a logarithmic scale. Vertical axis represents average model complexity. Colored circles identify models containing an integrated β ARS cascade (blue), CaMKII-signaling cascade (orange) and stochastic electrophysiology (green). Models developed in this thesis (indicated with Decker09, Heijman11 and Heijman12 for **Chapters 2, 3 and 7**, respectively) are underlined. *For color figure, see page 256.*

8.3 APPLICATIONS OF COMPUTATIONAL MODELS OF CARDIAC ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

8.3.1 Cellular applications

In this thesis, we have shown that cellular computational models of cardiac electrophysiology are powerful tools to extrapolate experimental results (**Chapter 4**), enabling to make predictions about the effect of mutations in the integrated environment of the cardiac myocyte in which multiple ion currents interact to shape the AP. Until recently, studies of the arrhythmogenic effects of genetic alterations *in-situ* were primarily performed in transgenic mouse models. Although these models have provided seminal insights into different pathologies, their application to electrophysiological conditions requires particular care due to the large differences of cardiac electrophysiology between mice and humans.^{92, 93} Recent developments in research on induced pluripotent stem cells (IPS cells)

have resulted in alternative electrophysiological preparations based on IPS-derived cardiomyocytes that can be used for patient-specific investigations of arrhythmogenesis.⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶ However, these preparations are methodologically complex, expensive and time-consuming. More importantly, there are still considerable difficulties with IPS-derived cells, including atypical maturation, lack of specific control of cell type (atrial versus ventricular versus nodal) and abnormal electrophysiological activity (e.g., significant spontaneous/pacemaker activity).⁹⁷ These aspects may improve over time when more advanced methods become available. Computational models remain of paramount importance for the extrapolation of altered biophysical properties of an ion channel to changes at the level of the cardiac action potential and/or tissue. These insights are important to determine the consequences of ion-channel mutations under dynamic conditions and can help to explain pleiotropic effects of single mutations. For instance, Clancy and Rudy were among the first to employ Markov models of the cardiac Na^+ channel to study the 1795insD mutation in SCN5A.⁹⁸ They found that heart rate determined whether this mutation exhibited Brugada syndrome or long-QT syndrome type-3 characteristics, extending on earlier experimental observations.^{99, 100} Moreover, these authors as well as several other groups have shown that computational models have utility in the field of electropharmacology. Models can be used to predict the optimal characteristics of pharmacological agents for specific conditions (e.g., predominant open versus inactivated state block of an ion channel¹⁰¹) as well as assist in the drug-discovery process.¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁵

Given the limitation that human cardiac preparations are only rarely available for experiments, computer models allow for a detailed quantitative investigation of generic, human electrophysiological mechanisms by manipulation of individual model components. This ability can help to direct further experimental investigations, thereby allowing for a more efficient use of experimental resources and is facilitated by the recent human-specific models of the cardiac myocyte developed by several groups.^{80, 83, 86, 89} We have employed our computational model for this purpose to study the mechanisms involved in APD prolongation after SCR (**Chapter 6**). The model corroborated preliminary experimental data and specifically identified reduced Ca^{2+} -induced- Ca^{2+} -release-dependent inactivation of the L-type Ca^{2+} current as the APD prolonging mechanism. This finding was subsequently confirmed in isolated ventricular myocytes under voltage-clamp conditions. This example demonstrates that computational modeling studies can lead the way in experimental design as well as help to identify arrhythmogenic mechanisms.

In addition to extrapolation and integration of experimental data, computational models can quantify the contribution of individual electrophysiological components to whole-cell properties, as was done in **Chapter 3** for the determinants of localized cAMP signaling, and in **Chapter 7** for the ionic contributors to BVR. Such an assessment can be performed by the selective inhibition of specific components, creating ‘virtual knock-out’ models. An alternative method was recently proposed by Sobie and coworkers based on multiple regression.¹⁰⁶ In their approach, multiple parameter sets (effectively representing individual cells) were simulated and the output variables of interest were determined. Thereafter, a regression procedure was used to determine the impact of each parameter on the output variables. Such a modeling approach provides an overall picture of

the determinants of a given process that is impossible to obtain experimentally since not all parameters can be quantified.

8.3.2 Clinical applications

Despite the important role that computational models have played in basic cardiovascular sciences, their direct clinical application is still in its infancy. Indirectly, together with extensive additional experimental research, computational models can influence clinical practice by suggesting novel therapeutic targets or biomarkers.¹⁰³ For example, our computational (**Chapter 3**) and cellular experimental (**Chapter 6**) results, together with data from other groups¹⁰⁷ suggest that targeting CaMKII could be a promising pathway to reduce Ca^{2+} -dependent arrhythmogenesis, when the right pharmacological compound becomes available.¹⁰⁸

An extremely interesting direct application of computational models in clinical practice would entail (arrhythmogenic) risk stratification of patients based on genotypic and phenotypic information. The major challenge is that cardiac pathologies are multifactorial and occur at all levels of electrophysiological integrity. Most computational models to date are concerned with cellular electrophysiology and as such cannot be applied directly to study arrhythmogenesis in patients, which is only visible at the level of the intact organ. However, (sub)cellular properties will likely determine the vulnerability of the organ to develop and sustain such arrhythmias. These properties can be evaluated in computational models and could in theory be used for risk-stratification purposes. However, recent research has indicated that even channelopathies which were originally considered monogenic diseases are not truly monogenic.¹⁰⁹ Research in large founder populations has highlighted a remarkable diversity of clinical phenotypes, despite identical disease-causing mutations.¹¹⁰ These results have prompted the investigation of modifier genes that help to explain this phenotypic diversity.¹¹¹⁻¹¹³ Often, these modifiers are common variants in non-protein coding regions of a gene, making it extremely difficult to include them in computational models. However, in order to accurately predict proarrhythmic risk in an individual patient these risk modifiers will have to be taken into account.

Investigating arrhythmogenesis using computational models is even more complex for acquired diseases, in which the underlying pathology is diverse, and multiple intrinsic and extrinsic processes affect the cellular electrophysiology. In several acquired conditions including heart failure, digitalis intoxication and myocardial ischemia, the adrenergic signaling pathway and/or its effects on ventricular repolarization are affected, resulting in an increased proarrhythmic risk during sympathetic stimulation.¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶ In the general population, these pathological conditions are significantly more common than inherited. Cellular models of the electrophysiological effects of several acquired conditions, including heart failure,⁴⁶ hypertension,¹¹⁷ and of cells surviving the epicardial borderzone after myocardial infarction,¹¹⁸ have been described. However, to the best of our knowledge, none of these models are human-specific or have incorporated the effects of sympathetic stimulation. To study sympathetic-triggered arrhythmias in the human heart, these models will have to be significantly extended with the functional and structural alterations that

occur under these conditions, including elements such as desensitization of the adrenergic signaling cascade,¹¹⁴ regional cardiac hyperinnervation,¹¹⁹ and arrhythmogenic ion-channel remodeling.¹²⁰

Thus, although there certainly is a clear short-term clinical relevance of computational models via the facilitation of drug development and improved understanding of arrhythmogenesis, the integration of computational models into daily clinical practice to support diagnostic and therapeutic decisions (i.e., “personalized medicine”) will still require a substantial concerted effort of multidisciplinary research teams.

8.4 SYSTEMS BIOLOGY

We consider the work presented in this thesis an example of a systems-biology approach to understand arrhythmogenesis at the level of the single cardiac myocyte. Kohl et al.¹²¹ stated that systems biology “necessarily involves the combined application of ‘reductionist’ and ‘integrationist’ research techniques, to allow identification and detailed characterization of the parts, investigation of their interaction with one another and with their wider environment, and elucidation of how parts and interactions give rise to maintenance of the entity” (**Figure 3**). Another major characteristic of systems biology according to Kohl et al. is that “it makes extensive use of mathematical modeling in order to represent and understand complex interactions of parts and biological entities.”¹²¹

Figure 3. “A system as an ‘entity that maintains its existence through the mutual interaction of its parts’ (von Bertalanffy, 1968). Systems research must combine the (i) identification and (ii) detailed characterisation of parts (orange boxes, as opposed to ‘look-alikes’, pale blue box, which need to be identified and excluded), with the exploration of their interactions (iii) with each other (orange arrows), and (iv) with the environment (pale blue dashed arrows affecting parts either directly, or indirectly through modulation of internal interactions), to develop a (v) systemic understanding (an important, but often overlooked, aspect is that the system itself not only enables, but also restricts, the type and extent of functions and interactions that may occur; dark-blue box). Systems research

therefore requires a combination of reductionist and integrative tools and techniques.” Reproduced from Kohl and Noble.¹²² *For color figure, see page 256.*

Although several definitions of systems biology exist, we believe that the above definition exemplifies an optimal approach to improve understanding and treatment of cardiovascular disease. In this thesis, we employed a detailed computational model of the canine ventricular myocyte to identify the electrophysiological and signaling components operating during β ARS, their interaction, and their contribution to the whole-cell response during β ARS. This approach could be considered the starting point of a “middle-out” approach, in which comprehensive cellular models are extended towards the extremes of the spatial scales: from gene to organism.¹²¹

8.4.1 Perspectives of computational electrophysiology

Results such as those presented in this thesis have indicated that local subcellular processes are critical for the correct functioning of the heart, particularly during β ARS. Recent studies have started to combine cellular electrophysiology and molecular dynamics.¹²³ In the future, such models will provide an important mechanistic link between genotypes and phenotypes. For example, the mechanisms underlying the loss of KCNQ1 phosphorylation in the presence of the S6 mutation KCNQ1-A341V (**Chapter 4**) are currently unclear, but may involve a defective transfer of PKA from the C-terminally-bound Yotiao to the N-terminal phosphorylation site. Combined molecular and cellular computational models will provide information about the structural alterations due to A341V that could contribute to these biophysical alterations. In addition, some ion-channel mutations, particularly in the Na^+ channel, have been related to macroscopic structural alterations including dilated cardiomyopathy.^{124, 125} The mechanisms relating these electrical and structural abnormalities are currently incompletely understood. Future computational modeling studies may help to investigate the abnormalities in Na^+ homeostasis as well as the downstream signaling effects caused by these mutations.

Similarly, there are many branches of the subcellular signaling pathways that require further integration in the computational cell models. Of particular interest in this respect are the interaction between β ARS and CaMKII via the exchange protein activated by cAMP (EPAC)^{17, 126} and the interaction between cAMP and cyclic GMP (cGMP).¹²⁷ Both EPAC and cGMP have downstream effects on protein kinase C which, like PKA, can phosphorylate many electrophysiological targets. The complex interplay between these networks will require novel computational approaches capable of simulating localized interactions within the cardiac myocyte to identify their role in arrhythmogenesis.

On the other hand, in order to apply these models to clinical problems, whole-heart strongly-coupled electromechanical simulations taking into account spatial heterogeneities in electrophysiology and signaling are required. Until now, the majority of computational models were

concerned with the cellular level of cardiac electrophysiology. As computational power has increased, recent studies have expanded to multicellular simulations and several groups have described whole-heart models of cardiac electrophysiology.¹²⁸⁻¹³¹

One of the major challenges in biomedical engineering in general and computational cardiac electrophysiology in particular, will be to bridge these microscopic and macroscopic worlds. In order to do this, both technical and theoretical advances will have to be made. Recently, several groups have reported on the use of graphics processing unit-based computations,¹³² or large multi-processor systems¹³³ to facilitate multi-scale simulations. In addition, more efficient computational techniques to solve the large systems of (partial) differential equations are being developed. Several simulation packages for cardiac electrophysiology are available. However, the example given by Plank et al.¹³⁴ in which, using the CARP simulation package, 6.4 hours of CPU time were required to simulate 200-ms of electrical activity on a 862,515 node rabbit ventricular mesh using a simple ionic model, indicates that significant further improvements in simulation efficiency are required before detailed models can be employed at the whole-heart level.

The Virtual Physiological Human (VPH) Initiative has as one of its goals to “understand human physiology quantitatively, as a dynamic system, and at all relevant levels between genes and the organism”¹²² and, as such, incorporates the connection of microscopic and macroscopic research domains. Recently, well-validated models of the human ventricular^{80, 86} and atrial^{83, 89} myocyte electrophysiology have been published. Although several structural properties of the human heart can be obtained using non-invasive imaging modalities (e.g., magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography scanning), local endocardial activation maps can only be obtained semi-invasively using catheter-based mapping techniques. Obtaining direct measurements of epicardial activation would require open-chest surgery and, consequently, relatively unphysiological conditions. Thus, the validation of whole heart-models will also present a major challenge for future research, particularly in the case of human-specific computational models. One promising approach could be to employ non-invasive reconstructions of epicardial electrical activity based on geometrical information and body-surface maps to validate whole-heart models. This technique, termed electrocardiographic imaging (ECGI), has been used successfully to characterize physiological and abnormal electrical activity in patients¹³⁵⁻¹³⁷ and has as a major advantage that it can provide a continuous reconstruction of electrical activity throughout the entire heart that could be superimposed on a whole-heart model employing the same geometrical information.

A comprehensive, systems-biology approach to human cardiac electrophysiology will provide a wealth of information that can be employed to improve the risk stratification and (pharmacological) treatment of patients at risk for sudden arrhythmic death and less malignant arrhythmias. Detailed cell models such as those developed in this thesis provide an important starting point for this approach.

8.5 REFERENCES

1. Taggart P, Boyett MR, Logantha S, Lambiase PD. Anger, emotion, and arrhythmias: from brain to heart. *Front Physiol.* 2011;2:67.
2. La Rovere MT, Pinna GD, Hohnloser SH, Marcus FI, Mortara A, Nohara R, Bigger JT, Jr., Camm AJ, Schwartz PJ. Baroreflex sensitivity and heart rate variability in the identification of patients at risk for life-threatening arrhythmias: implications for clinical trials. *Circulation.* 2001;103:2072-2077.
3. Morise AP. Exercise testing in nonatherosclerotic heart disease: hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, valvular heart disease, and arrhythmias. *Circulation.* 2011;123:216-225.
4. Vyas H, Hejlik J, Ackerman MJ. Epinephrine QT stress testing in the evaluation of congenital long-QT syndrome: diagnostic accuracy of the paradoxical QT response. *Circulation.* 2006;113:1385-1392.
5. Schwartz PJ, Priori SG, Cerrone M, Spazzolini C, Odero A, Napolitano C, Bloise R, De Ferrari GM, Klersy C, Moss AJ, Zareba W, Robinson JL, Hall WJ, Brink PA, Toivonen L, Epstein AE, Li C, Hu D. Left cardiac sympathetic denervation in the management of high-risk patients affected by the long-QT syndrome. *Circulation.* 2004;109:1826-1833.
6. Wilde AA, Bhuiyan ZA, Crotti L, Facchini M, De Ferrari GM, Paul T, Ferrandi C, Koolbergen DR, Odero A, Schwartz PJ. Left cardiac sympathetic denervation for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:2024-2029.
7. Bourke T, Vaseghi M, Michowitz Y, Sankhla V, Shah M, Swapna N, Boyle NG, Mahajan A, Narasimhan C, Lokhandwala Y, Shivkumar K. Neuraxial modulation for refractory ventricular arrhythmias: value of thoracic epidural anesthesia and surgical left cardiac sympathetic denervation. *Circulation.* 2010;121:2255-2262.
8. Ajjola OA, Lellouche N, Bourke T, Tung R, Ahn S, Mahajan A, Shivkumar K. Bilateral cardiac sympathetic denervation for the management of electrical storm. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;59:91-92.
9. Priori SG, Mantica M, Schwartz PJ. Delayed afterdepolarizations elicited in vivo by left stellate ganglion stimulation. *Circulation.* 1988;78:178-185.
10. Tanabe Y, Inagaki M, Kurita T, Nagaya N, Taguchi A, Suyama K, Aihara N, Kamakura S, Sunagawa K, Nakamura K, Ohe T, Towbin JA, Priori SG, Shimizu W. Sympathetic stimulation produces a greater increase in both transmural and spatial dispersion of repolarization in LQT1 than LQT2 forms of congenital long QT syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2001;37:911-919.
11. Gallacher DJ, Van de Water A, van der Linde H, Hermans AN, Lu HR, Towart R, Volders PGA. In vivo mechanisms precipitating torsades de pointes in a canine model of drug-induced long-QT1 syndrome. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2007;76:247-256.
12. Hoeker GS, Katra RP, Wilson LD, Plummer BN, Laurita KR. Spontaneous calcium release in tissue from the failing canine heart. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2009;297:H1235-1242.
13. Kashimura T, Briston SJ, Trafford AW, Napolitano C, Priori SG, Eisner DA, Venetucci LA. In the RyR2(R4496C) mouse model of CPVT, beta-adrenergic stimulation induces Ca waves by increasing SR Ca content and not by decreasing the threshold for Ca waves. *Circ Res.* 2010;107:1483-1489.
14. Volders PGA. Novel insights into the role of the sympathetic nervous system in cardiac arrhythmogenesis. *Heart Rhythm.* 2010;7:1900-1906.
15. Soltis AR, Saucerman JJ. Synergy between CaMKII Substrates and beta-Adrenergic Signaling in Regulation of Cardiac Myocyte Ca²⁺ Handling. *Biophys J.* 2010;99:2038-2047.
16. Heijman J, Volders PGA, Westra RL, Rudy Y. Local control of β -adrenergic stimulation: Effects on ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and Ca²⁺-transient. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2011;50:863-871.
17. Grimm M, Brown JH. β -Adrenergic receptor signaling in the heart: Role of CaMKII. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2010;48:322-330.

18. Steinberg SF, Brunton LL. Compartmentation of G protein-coupled signaling pathways in cardiac myocytes. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2001;41:751-773.
19. Zaccolo M. Spatial control of cAMP signalling in health and disease. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2011;11:649-655.
20. Fischmeister R, Castro LRV, Abi-Gerges A, Rochais F, Jurevicius J, Leroy J, Vandecasteele G. Compartmentation of cyclic nucleotide signaling in the heart: the role of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases. *Circ Res.* 2006;99:816-828.
21. Nikolaev VO, Bunemann M, Schmitteckert E, Lohse MJ, Engelhardt S. Cyclic AMP imaging in adult cardiac myocytes reveals far-reaching β 1-adrenergic but locally confined β 2-adrenergic receptor-mediated signaling. *Circ Res.* 2006;99:1084-1091.
22. Vandecasteele G, Rochais F, Abi-Gerges A, Fischmeister R. Functional localization of cAMP signalling in cardiac myocytes. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2006;34:484-488.
23. Zaccolo M, Di Benedetto G, Lissandron V, Mancuso L, Terrin A, Zamparo I. Restricted diffusion of a freely diffusible second messenger: mechanisms underlying compartmentalized cAMP signalling. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2006;34:495-497.
24. Agarwal SR, MacDougall DA, Tyser R, Pugh SD, Calaghan SC, Harvey RD. Effects of cholesterol depletion on compartmentalized cAMP responses in adult cardiac myocytes. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2011;50:500-509.
25. Nikolaev VO, Moshkov A, Lyon AR, Miragoli M, Novak P, Paur H, Lohse MJ, Korchev YE, Harding SE, Gorelik J. β 2-adrenergic receptor redistribution in heart failure changes cAMP compartmentation. *Science.* 2010;327:1653-1657.
26. Marx SO, Kurokawa J, Reiken S, Motoike H, D'Armiento J, Marks AR, Kass RS. Requirement of a macromolecular signaling complex for β adrenergic receptor modulation of the KCNQ1-KCNE1 potassium channel. *Science.* 2002;295:496-499.
27. Chen L, Marquardt ML, Tester DJ, Sampson KJ, Ackerman MJ, Kass RS. Mutation of an A-kinase-anchoring protein causes long-QT syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2007;104:20990-20995.
28. Volders PGA, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Späthjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation.* 2003;107:2753-2760.
29. Jost N, Virág L, Bitay M, Takács J, Lengyel C, Biliczki P, Nagy Z, Bogáts G, Lathrop DA, Papp JG, Varró A. Restricting excessive cardiac action potential and QT prolongation: a vital role for I_{Ks} in human ventricular muscle. *Circulation.* 2005;112:1392-1399.
30. Carmeliet E. Repolarization Reserve in Cardiac Cells. *J Med Biol Eng.* 2006;26:97-105.
31. Thomsen MB, Verduyn SC, Stengl M, Beekman JD, de Pater G, van Opstal J, Volders PGA, Vos MA. Increased short-term variability of repolarization predicts *d*-sotalol-induced torsades de pointes in dogs. *Circulation.* 2004;110:2453-2459.
32. Hinterseer M, Thomsen MB, Beckmann BM, Pfeufer A, Schimpf R, Wichmann HE, Steinbeck G, Vos MA, Kaab S. Beat-to-beat variability of QT intervals is increased in patients with drug-induced long-QT syndrome: a case control pilot study. *Eur Heart J.* 2008;29:185-190.
33. Hinterseer M, Beckmann BM, Thomsen MB, Pfeufer A, Ulbrich M, Sinner MF, Perz S, Wichmann HE, Lengyel C, Schimpf R, Maier SK, Várro A, Vos MA, Steinbeck G, Käb S. Usefulness of short-term variability of QT intervals as a predictor for electrical remodeling and proarrhythmia in patients with nonischemic heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* 2010;106:216-220.
34. Noble D. A modification of the Hodgkin-Huxley equations applicable to Purkinje fibre action and pace-maker potentials. *J Physiol.* 1962;160:317-352.
35. McAllister RE, Noble D, Tsien RW. Reconstruction of the electrical activity of cardiac Purkinje fibres. *J Physiol.* 1975;251:1-59.
36. Beeler GW, Reuter H. Reconstruction of the action potential of ventricular myocardial fibres. *J Physiol.* 1977;268:177-210.

37. Kass RS, Wieggers SE. The ionic basis of concentration-related effects of noradrenaline on the action potential of calf cardiac purkinje fibres. *J Physiol.* 1982;322:541-558.
38. Rasmusson RL, Clark JW, Giles WR, Robinson K, Clark RB, Shibata EF, Campbell DL. A mathematical model of electrophysiological activity in a bullfrog atrial cell. *Am J Physiol.* 1990;259:H370-389.
39. Rasmusson RL, Clark JW, Giles WR, Shibata EF, Campbell DL. A mathematical model of a bullfrog cardiac pacemaker cell. *Am J Physiol.* 1990;259:H352-369.
40. Liu Y, Zeng W, Delmar M, Jalife J. Ionic mechanisms of electronic inhibition and concealed conduction in rabbit atrioventricular nodal myocytes. *Circulation.* 1993;88:1634-1646.
41. Luo CH, Rudy Y. A dynamic model of the cardiac ventricular action potential. I. Simulations of ionic currents and concentration changes. *Circ Res.* 1994;74:1071-1096.
42. Luo CH, Rudy Y. A dynamic model of the cardiac ventricular action potential. II. Afterdepolarizations, triggered activity, and potentiation. *Circ Res.* 1994;74:1097-1113.
43. Zeng J, Rudy Y. Early afterdepolarizations in cardiac myocytes: mechanism and rate dependence. *Biophys J.* 1995;68:949-964.
44. Courtemanche M, Ramirez RJ, Nattel S. Ionic mechanisms underlying human atrial action potential properties: insights from a mathematical model. *Am J Physiol.* 1998;275:H301-321.
45. Nygren A, Fiset C, Firek L, Clark JW, Lindblad DS, Clark RB, Giles WR. Mathematical model of an adult human atrial cell: the role of K^+ currents in repolarization. *Circ Res.* 1998;82:63-81.
46. Winslow RL, Rice J, Jafri S, Marban E, O'Rourke B. Mechanisms of altered excitation-contraction coupling in canine tachycardia-induced heart failure, II: model studies. *Circ Res.* 1999;84:571-586.
47. Pandit SV, Clark RB, Giles WR, Demir SS. A mathematical model of action potential heterogeneity in adult rat left ventricular myocytes. *Biophys J.* 2001;81:3029-3051.
48. Puglisi JL, Bers DM. LabHEART: an interactive computer model of rabbit ventricular myocyte ion channels and Ca transport. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol.* 2001;281:C2049-2060.
49. Greenstein JL, Winslow RL. An integrative model of the cardiac ventricular myocyte incorporating local control of Ca^{2+} release. *Biophys J.* 2002;83:2918-2945.
50. Oehmen CS, Giles WR, Demir SS. Mathematical model of the rapidly activating delayed rectifier potassium current I_{Kr} in rabbit sinoatrial node. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2002;13:1131-1140.
51. Saucerman JJ, Brunton LL, Michailova AP, McCulloch AD. Modeling β -adrenergic control of cardiac myocyte contractility in silico. *J Biol Chem.* 2003;278:47997-48003.
52. ten Tusscher KH, Noble D, Noble PJ, Panfilov AV. A model for human ventricular tissue. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2004;286:H1573-1589.
53. Bondarenko VE, Szigeti GP, Bett GC, Kim SJ, Rasmusson RL. Computer model of action potential of mouse ventricular myocytes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2004;287:H1378-1403.
54. Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Rate dependence and regulation of action potential and calcium transient in a canine cardiac ventricular cell model. *Circulation.* 2004;110:3168-3174.
55. Saucerman JJ, Healy SN, Belik ME, Puglisi JL, McCulloch AD. Proarrhythmic consequences of a KCNQ1 AKAP-binding domain mutation: computational models of whole cells and heterogeneous tissue. *Circ Res.* 2004;95:1216-1224.
56. Shannon TR, Wang F, Puglisi J, Weber C, Bers DM. A mathematical treatment of integrated Ca dynamics within the ventricular myocyte. *Biophys J.* 2004;87:3351-3371.
57. Tanskanen AJ, Greenstein JL, O'Rourke B, Winslow RL. The role of stochastic and modal gating of cardiac L-type Ca^{2+} channels on early after-depolarizations. *Biophys J.* 2005;88:85-95.
58. Terrenoire C, Clancy CE, Cormier JW, Sampson KJ, Kass RS. Autonomic control of cardiac action potentials: role of potassium channel kinetics in response to sympathetic stimulation. *Circ Res.* 2005;96:e25--e34.

59. Saucerman JJ, Zhang J, Martin JC, Peng LX, Stenbit AE, Tsien RY, McCulloch AD. Systems analysis of PKA-mediated phosphorylation gradients in live cardiac myocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103:12923-12928.
60. Flaim SN, Giles WR, McCulloch AD. Contributions of sustained I_{Na} and I_{Kv43} to transmural heterogeneity of early repolarization and arrhythmogenesis in canine left ventricular myocytes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2006;291:H2617-H2629.
61. Iyer V, Hajjar RJ, Armoundas AA. Mechanisms of abnormal calcium homeostasis in mutations responsible for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Res*. 2007;100:e22-e31.
62. Iancu RV, Jones SW, Harvey RD. Compartmentation of cAMP signaling in cardiac myocytes: a computational study. *Biophys J*. 2007;92:3317-3331.
63. Faber GM, Rudy Y. Calsequestrin mutation and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: a simulation study of cellular mechanism. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;75:79-88.
64. Grandi E, Puglisi JL, Wagner S, Maier LS, Severi S, Bers DM. Simulation of Ca-calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II on rabbit ventricular myocyte ion currents and action potentials. *Biophys J*. 2007;93:3835-3847.
65. Fink M, Noble D, Virag L, Varro A, Giles WR. Contributions of HERG K^+ current to repolarization of the human ventricular action potential. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. 2008;96:357-376.
66. Kuzumoto M, Takeuchi A, Nakai H, Oka C, Noma A, Matsuoka S. Simulation analysis of intracellular Na^+ and Cl^- homeostasis during β 1-adrenergic stimulation of cardiac myocyte. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. 2008;96:171-186.
67. Mahajan A, Shiferaw Y, Sato D, Baher A, Olcese R, Xie LH, Yang MJ, Chen PS, Restrepo JG, Karma A, Garfinkel A, Qu Z, Weiss JN. A rabbit ventricular action potential model replicating cardiac dynamics at rapid heart rates. *Biophys J*. 2008;94:392-410.
68. Violin JD, DiPilato LM, Yildirim N, Elston TC, Zhang J, Lefkowitz RJ. β 2-adrenergic receptor signaling and desensitization elucidated by quantitative modeling of real time cAMP dynamics. *J Biol Chem*. 2008;283:2949-2961.
69. Xin W, Tran TM, Richter W, Clark RB, Rich TC. Roles of GRK and PDE4 activities in the regulation of β 2 adrenergic signaling. *J Gen Physiol*. 2008;131:349-364.
70. Hund TJ, Decker KF, Kanter E, Mohler PJ, Boyden PA, Schuessler RB, Yamada KA, Rudy Y. Role of activated CaMKII in abnormal calcium homeostasis and I_{Na} remodeling after myocardial infarction: insights from mathematical modeling. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2008;45:420-428.
71. Restrepo JG, Weiss JN, Karma A. Calsequestrin-mediated mechanism for cellular calcium transient alternans. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:3767-3789.
72. Saucerman JJ, Bers DM. Calmodulin mediates differential sensitivity of CaMKII and calcineurin to local Ca^{2+} in cardiac myocytes. *Biophys J*. 2008;95:4597-4612.
73. Sato D, Xie LH, Sovari AA, Tran DX, Morita N, Xie F, Karagueuzian H, Garfinkel A, Weiss JN, Qu Z. Synchronization of chaotic early afterdepolarizations in the genesis of cardiac arrhythmias. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:2983-2988.
74. Maleckar MM, Greenstein JL, Giles WR, Trayanova NA. K^+ current changes account for the rate dependence of the action potential in the human atrial myocyte. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2009;297:H1398-1410.
75. Decker KF, Heijman J, Silva JR, Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Properties and ionic mechanisms of action potential adaptation, restitution, and accommodation in canine epicardium. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2009;296:H1017-1026.
76. Ahrens-Nicklas RC, Clancy CE, Christini DJ. Re-evaluating the efficacy of beta-adrenergic agonists and antagonists in long QT-3 syndrome through computational modelling. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2009;82:439-447.

77. Koivumaki JT, Korhonen T, Takalo J, Weckstrom M, Tavi P. Regulation of excitation-contraction coupling in mouse cardiac myocytes: integrative analysis with mathematical modelling. *BMC Physiol.* 2009;9:16.
78. Christensen MD, Dun W, Boyden PA, Anderson ME, Mohler PJ, Hund TJ. Oxidized calmodulin kinase II regulates conduction following myocardial infarction: a computational analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2009;5:e1000583.
79. Wolf RM, Mitchell CC, Christensen MD, Mohler PJ, Hund TJ. Defining new insight into atypical arrhythmia: a computational model of ankyrin-B syndrome. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2010;299:H1505-1514.
80. Grandi E, Pasqualini FS, Bers DM. A novel computational model of the human ventricular action potential and Ca transient. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2010;48:112-121.
81. Sampson KJ, Iyer V, Marks AR, Kass RS. A computational model of Purkinje fibre single cell electrophysiology: implications for the long QT syndrome. *J Physiol.* 2010;588:2643-2655.
82. Hashambhoy YL, Greenstein JL, Winslow RL. Role of CaMKII in RyR leak, EC coupling and action potential duration: a computational model. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2010;49:617-624.
83. Koivumaki JT, Korhonen T, Tavi P. Impact of sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium release on calcium dynamics and action potential morphology in human atrial myocytes: a computational study. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2011;7:e1001067.
84. Li P, Rudy Y. A model of canine purkinje cell electrophysiology and Ca^{2+} cycling: rate dependence, triggered activity, and comparison to ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res.* 2011;109:71-79.
85. Gaur N, Rudy Y. Multiscale modeling of calcium cycling in cardiac ventricular myocyte: macroscopic consequences of microscopic dyadic function. *Biophysical journal.* 2011;100:2904-2912.
86. O'Hara T, Virag L, Varro A, Rudy Y. Simulation of the undiseased human cardiac ventricular action potential: model formulation and experimental validation. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2011;7:e1002061.
87. Lemay M, de Lange E, Kucera JP. Effects of stochastic channel gating and distribution on the cardiac action potential. *Journal of Theoretical Biology.* 2011;281:84-96.
88. Tao T, Paterson DJ, Smith NP. A model of cellular cardiac-neural coupling that captures the sympathetic control of sinoatrial node excitability in normotensive and hypertensive rats. *Biophys J.* 2011;101:594-602.
89. Grandi E, Pandit SV, Voigt N, Workman AJ, Dobrev D, Jalife J, Bers DM. Human atrial action potential and Ca^{2+} model: sinus rhythm and chronic atrial fibrillation. *Circ Res.* 2011;109:1055-1066.
90. Pueyo E, Corrias A, Virag L, Jost N, Szél T, Varro A, Szentandrassy N, Nanasi PP, Burrage K, Rodriguez B. A multiscale investigation of repolarization variability and its role in cardiac arrhythmogenesis. *Biophys J.* 2011;101:1-11.
91. Iancu RV, Ramamurthy G, Warriar S, Nikolaev VO, Lohse MJ, Jones SW, Harvey RD. Cytoplasmic cAMP concentrations in intact cardiac myocytes. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol.* 2008;295:C414-C422.
92. Rudy Y, Ackerman MJ, Bers DM, Clancy CE, Houser SR, London B, McCulloch AD, Przywara DA, Rasmusson RL, Solaro RJ, Trayanova NA, Wagoner DRV, Varro A, Weiss JN, Lathrop DA. Systems approach to understanding electromechanical activity in the human heart: a national heart, lung, and blood institute workshop summary. *Circulation.* 2008;118:1202-1211.
93. London B. Cardiac arrhythmias: from (transgenic) mice to men. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2001;12:1089-1091.
94. Moretti A, Bellin M, Welling A, Jung CB, Lam JT, Bott-Flugel L, Dorn T, Goedel A, Hohnke C, Hofmann F, Seyfarth M, Sinnecker D, Schomig A, Laugwitz KL. Patient-specific induced pluripotent stem-cell models for long-QT syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363:1397-1409.

95. Yazawa M, Hsueh B, Jia X, Pasca AM, Bernstein JA, Hallmayer J, Dolmetsch RE. Using induced pluripotent stem cells to investigate cardiac phenotypes in Timothy syndrome. *Nature*. 2011;471:230-234.
96. Itzhaki I, Maizels L, Huber I, Zwi-Dantsis L, Caspi O, Winterstern A, Feldman O, Gepstein A, Arbel G, Hammerman H, Boulos M, Gepstein L. Modelling the long QT syndrome with induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature*. 2011;471:225-229.
97. Priori SG. Induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes and long QT syndrome: is personalized medicine ready for prime time? *Circ Res*. 2011;109:822-824.
98. Clancy CE, Rudy Y. Na⁺ channel mutation that causes both Brugada and long-QT syndrome phenotypes: a simulation study of mechanism. *Circulation*. 2002;105:1208-1213.
99. Bezzina C, Veldkamp MW, van Den Berg MP, Postma AV, Rook MB, Viersma JW, van Langen IM, Tan-Sindhunata G, Bink-Boelkens MT, van Der Hout AH, Mannens MM, Wilde AA. A single Na⁺ channel mutation causing both long-QT and Brugada syndromes. *Circ Res*. 1999;85:1206-1213.
100. Veldkamp MW, Viswanathan PC, Bezzina C, Baartscheer A, Wilde AA, Balser JR. Two distinct congenital arrhythmias evoked by a multidysfunctional Na⁺ channel. *Circ Res*. 2000;86:E91-97.
101. Clancy CE, Zhu ZI, Rudy Y. Pharmacogenetics and anti-arrhythmic drug therapy: a theoretical investigation. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2007;292:H66-75.
102. Brennan T, Fink M, Rodriguez B. Multiscale modelling of drug-induced effects on cardiac electrophysiological activity. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2009;36:62-77.
103. Rodriguez B, Burrage K, Gavaghan D, Grau V, Kohl P, Noble D. The systems biology approach to drug development: application to toxicity assessment of cardiac drugs. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;88:130-134.
104. Mirams GR, Cui Y, Sher A, Fink M, Cooper J, Heath BM, McMahon NC, Gavaghan DJ, Noble D. Simulation of multiple ion channel block provides improved early prediction of compounds' clinical torsadogenic risk. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2011;91:53-61.
105. Davies MR, Mistry HB, Hussein L, Pollard CE, Valentin JP, Swinton J, Abi-Gerges N. An in silico canine cardiac midmyocardial action potential duration model as a tool for early drug safety assessment. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2012;In Press.
106. Sarkar AX, Sobie EA. Quantification of repolarization reserve to understand interpatient variability in the response to proarrhythmic drugs: A computational analysis. *Heart Rhythm*. 2011;8:1749-1755.
107. Liu N, Ruan Y, Denegri M, Bachetti T, Li Y, Colombi B, Napolitano C, Coetzee WA, Priori SG. Calmodulin kinase II inhibition prevents arrhythmias in RyR2(R4496C+/-) mice with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2011;50:214-222.
108. Nattel S, Carlsson L. Innovative approaches to anti-arrhythmic drug therapy. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2006;5:1034-1049.
109. Kääb S, Schulze-Bahr E. Susceptibility genes and modifiers for cardiac arrhythmias. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2005;67:397-413.
110. Brink PA, Schwartz PJ. Of founder populations, long QT syndrome, and destiny. *Heart Rhythm*. 2009;6:S25-33.
111. Schwartz PJ, Vanoli E, Crotti L, Spazzolini C, Ferrandi C, Goosen A, Hedley P, Heradien M, Bacchini S, Turco A, La Rovere MT, Bartoli A, George AL, Jr., Brink PA. Neural control of heart rate is an arrhythmia risk modifier in long QT syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;51:920-929.
112. Crotti L, Monti MC, Insolia R, Peljto A, Goosen A, Brink PA, Greenberg DA, Schwartz PJ, George AL, Jr. *NOS1AP* is a genetic modifier of the long-QT syndrome. *Circulation*. 2009;120:1657-1663.
113. Tomas M, Napolitano C, De Giuli L, Bloise R, Subirana I, Malovini A, Bellazzi R, Arking DE, Marban E, Chakravarti A, Spooner PM, Priori SG. Polymorphisms in the *NOS1AP* gene

- modulate QT interval duration and risk of arrhythmias in the long QT syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;55:2745-2752.
114. El-Armouche A, Eschenhagen T. β -Adrenergic stimulation and myocardial function in the failing heart. *Heart Fail Rev*. 2009;14:225-241.
 115. Roberts J, Kelliher GJ, Lathers CM. Role of adrenergic influences in digitalis-induced ventricular arrhythmia. *Life Sci*. 1976;18:665-677.
 116. Schwartz PJ, Billman GE, Stone HL. Autonomic mechanisms in ventricular fibrillation induced by myocardial ischemia during exercise in dogs with healed myocardial infarction. An experimental preparation for sudden cardiac death. *Circulation*. 1984;69:790-800.
 117. Padmala S, Demir SS. Computational model of the ventricular action potential in adult spontaneously hypertensive rats. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2003;14:990-995.
 118. Decker KF, Rudy Y. Ionic mechanisms of electrophysiological heterogeneity and conduction block in the infarct border zone. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2010;299:H1588-1597.
 119. Chen PS, Chen LS, Cao JM, Sharifi B, Karagueuzian HS, Fishbein MC. Sympathetic nerve sprouting, electrical remodeling and the mechanisms of sudden cardiac death. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2001;50:409-416.
 120. Nattel S, Maguy A, Le Bouter S, Yeh YH. Arrhythmogenic ion-channel remodeling in the heart: heart failure, myocardial infarction, and atrial fibrillation. *Physiol Rev*. 2007;87:425-456.
 121. Kohl P, Crampin EJ, Quinn TA, Noble D. Systems biology: an approach. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;88:25-33.
 122. Kohl P, Noble D. Systems biology and the virtual physiological human. *Mol Syst Biol*. 2009;5.
 123. Silva JR, Pan H, Wu D, Nekouzadeh A, Decker KF, Cui J, Baker NA, Sept D, Rudy Y. A multiscale model linking ion-channel molecular dynamics and electrostatics to the cardiac action potential. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:11102-11106.
 124. McNair WP, Ku L, Taylor MR, Fain PR, Dao D, Wolfel E, Mestroni L. SCN5A mutation associated with dilated cardiomyopathy, conduction disorder, and arrhythmia. *Circulation*. 2004;110:2163-2167.
 125. Ge J, Sun A, Paajanen V, Wang S, Su C, Yang Z, Li Y, Jia J, Wang K, Zou Y, Gao L, Fan Z. Molecular and clinical characterization of a novel SCN5A mutation associated with atrioventricular block and dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2008;1:83-92.
 126. Mangmool S, Shukla AK, Rockman HA. β -Arrestin-dependent activation of Ca^{2+} /calmodulin kinase II after β_1 -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *J Cell Biol*. 2010;189:573-587.
 127. Stangherlin A, Gesellchen F, Zoccarato A, Terrin A, Fields LA, Berrera M, Surdo NC, Craig MA, Smith G, Hamilton G, Zaccolo M. cGMP signals modulate cAMP levels in a compartment-specific manner to regulate catecholamine-dependent signaling in cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res*. 2011;108:929-939.
 128. Li W, Kohl P, Trayanova N. Myocardial ischemia lowers precordial thump efficacy: an inquiry into mechanisms using three-dimensional simulations. *Heart Rhythm*. 2006;3:179-186.
 129. Keldermann RH, ten Tusscher KH, Nash MP, Hren R, Taggart P, Panfilov AV. Effect of heterogeneous APD restitution on VF organization in a model of the human ventricles. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2008;294:H764-774.
 130. Clayton RH, Bernus O, Cherry EM, Dierckx H, Fenton FH, Mirabella L, Panfilov AV, Sachse FB, Seemann G, Zhang H. Models of cardiac tissue electrophysiology: progress, challenges and open questions. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. 2011;104:22-48.
 131. Trayanova NA. Whole-heart modeling: applications to cardiac electrophysiology and electromechanics. *Circulation research*. 2011;108:113-128.
 132. Sato D, Xie Y, Weiss JN, Qu Z, Garfinkel A, Sanderson AR. Acceleration of cardiac tissue simulation with graphic processing units. *Med Biol Eng Comput*. 2009;47:1011-1015.

- 133.** Bordas R, Carpentieri B, Fotia G, Maggio F, Nobes R, Pitt-Francis J, Southern J. Simulation of cardiac electrophysiology on next-generation high-performance computers. *Philos Transact A Math Phys Eng Sci.* 2009;367:1951-1969.
- 134.** Plank G, Liebmann M, dos Santos RW, Vigmond EJ, Haase G. Algebraic multigrid preconditioner for the cardiac bidomain model. *Ieee T Bio-Med Eng.* 2007;54:585-596.
- 135.** Cuculich PS, Zhang J, Wang Y, Desouza KA, Vijayakumar R, Woodard PK, Rudy Y. The electrophysiological cardiac ventricular substrate in patients after myocardial infarction: noninvasive characterization with electrocardiographic imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;58:1893-1902.
- 136.** Wang Y, Cuculich PS, Zhang J, Desouza KA, Vijayakumar R, Chen J, Faddis MN, Lindsay BD, Smith TW, Rudy Y. Noninvasive electroanatomic mapping of human ventricular arrhythmias with electrocardiographic imaging. *Sci Transl Med.* 2011;3:98ra84.
- 137.** Wang Y, Rudy Y. Electrocardiographic imaging of normal human atrial repolarization. *Heart Rhythm.* 2009;6:582-583.

SUMMARY

SAMENVATTING

SUMMARY

The pump function of the heart is driven by a complex sequence of electrophysiological signals. Originating from the sinus node, electrical activation spreads rapidly through the atria. It is delayed in the atrioventricular node to allow time for the ventricles to fill with blood, after which the impulse travels through the specialized conduction system, initiating synchronized activation (and subsequent contraction) of the ventricular muscle. To meet varying demands, cardiac output is dynamically modulated by the autonomic nervous system via its effects on the electrophysiology of individual cardiac myocytes, including those of the impulse and conduction system. Sympathetic stimulation occurs during exercise or as part of the fight-or-flight response, and results in an increase in force of contraction, heart rate, rate of relaxation, and conduction velocity (called positive inotropy, chronotropy, lusitropy and dromotropy, respectively). In contrast, parasympathetic stimulation results in a decrease in these parameters. In a number of cardiovascular diseases, an increased sympathetic tone has been associated with the development of potentially lethal ventricular tachyarrhythmias.

At the level of the single ventricular myocyte, activation of β -adrenergic receptors by norepinephrine released from cardiac sympathetic nerve endings activates an intracellular pathway resulting in modulation of a number of ion channels and Ca^{2+} handling proteins. These changes form the basis of the altered electrical and contractile function during sympathetic stimulation.

Computational modeling has proven useful in enhancing our understanding of various components of normal cardiac electrophysiology but also arrhythmogenic mechanisms in a variety of pathological conditions. However, integrated models of sympathetic stimulation and cardiac electrophysiology have only recently become available. In this thesis we employ a combined computational and experimental approach to investigate β -adrenergic stimulation and its effects on ventricular electrophysiology in physiological and pathological conditions.

Chapter 1 represents a general introduction to this thesis and provides a *brief summary of cardiac cellular electrophysiology and its modulation by adrenergic stimulation*. The single cardiac myocyte is a complex entity in which numerous processes interact to modulate the action potential and Ca^{2+} transient. Computational models provide the opportunity to integrate a wide range of experimental data and make testable predictions about the effect of various interventions in such complex systems. They have been applied successfully since the early 1960s and their use has expanded rapidly in the past decade. We provide a *historical overview of computational modeling of cardiac electrophysiology and highlight recent developments*.

In **Chapter 2**, an *improved computational model of the canine epicardial electrophysiology* is presented. The model, an extension of the Hund-Rudy dynamic model (2004), is *able to reproduce a wide range of experimentally observed rate-dependent behaviors* in the single cardiac myocyte and

in tissue, including action potential (AP) duration (APD) adaptation, restitution, and accommodation. Our simulations provide valuable insights into the mechanistic basis of rate-dependent phenomena important for determining the heart's response to rapid and irregular rates, such as those occurring during arrhythmias.

The electrophysiological model of the canine epicardial muscle cell provides the basis for **Chapter 3**, in which the model is extended with a *framework to simulate localized β -adrenergic stimulation and its electrophysiological effects* through a population-based modeling approach of non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated substrates. We show that localization of cyclic AMP is critical for a specific response of different substrates to stimulate different β -adrenergic-receptor isoforms. In addition, we determine the contribution of the different substrates to β -adrenergic-stimulation-dependent changes in AP and Ca^{2+} transient in physiological conditions.

The β -adrenergic stimulation model is employed in **Chapter 4** to predict the AP changes by *the long-QT syndrome type 1 mutation KCNQ1-A341V*. We provide evidence that this mutation *causes a dominant-negative reduction in cAMP-dependent upregulation of the slowly-activating delayed-rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks}) on top of a dominant-negative reduction in baseline I_{Ks}* . Combined, these alterations result in pronounced APD prolongation in the presence of β -adrenergic stimulation. The loss of cAMP-dependent I_{Ks} upregulation in the presence of heterozygous A341V is due to reduced phosphorylation of residue S27 and is also present in heterozygous KCNQ1-G589D and KCNQ1-S27A. These data provide mechanistic insights into cAMP-dependent regulation of I_{Ks} and its role in arrhythmogenesis in long-QT syndrome type 1, because heterozygous carriers will exhibit a homozygous phenotype with respect to I_{Ks} upregulation.

The link between I_{Ks} and beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration in isolated canine ventricular myocytes is made in **Chapter 5**. We show that β -adrenergic stimulation is able to rescue the increased variability of repolarization which occurs during blockade of the rapidly-activating delayed-rectifier K^+ current (I_{Kr}) or during augmentation of the late component of the Na^+ current (I_{NaL}). In contrast, during I_{Ks} blockade, beat-to-beat variability of repolarization is only increased during β -adrenergic stimulation. The increased variability is sensitive to intracellular Ca^{2+} and, at least partly, mediated by the Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchanger.

In **Chapter 6**, we identify *pronounced APD prolongation following spontaneous Ca^{2+} release during Ca^{2+} overload induced by β -adrenergic stimulation*. This prolongation is exacerbated by pharmacological inhibition of I_{Ks} , resulting in a pharmacological model of long-QT syndrome type 1. The interspersed occurrence of APD prolongation explains the large beat-to-beat variability of repolarization observed under these conditions. A combined computational and experimental analysis identifies reduced Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of the L-type Ca^{2+} channel after spontaneous Ca^{2+} release as a major contributor to the APD prolongation.

In **Chapter 7**, the β -adrenergic stimulation model is extended with detailed stochastic formulations of ion-channel gating to further investigate subcellular mechanisms of beat-to-beat variability of repolarization in physiological and pathological conditions. In addition to stochastic channel gating, we identify APD, AP morphology and beat-to-beat variations in Ca^{2+} as major modulators of single-cell APD variability. Cell-to-cell coupling is able to reduce variability. This model provides a state-of-the-art tool to investigate cellular electrophysiology, and particularly beat-to-beat variability of repolarization, in a wide variety of conditions.

Finally, **Chapter 8** contains a *general discussion in which the results of these studies are discussed in a broader context*. We provide potential applications of detailed cellular models of cardiac electrophysiology such as those developed for this thesis. The relevance of these models for clinical settings and limitations in their applicability are discussed, and we provide our definition of the term “systems biology” and its potential for personalized medicine.

SAMENVATTING

De pompfunctie van het hart is het resultaat van een complex samenspel van elektrofysiologische signalen. De elektrische activatiegolf verspreidt zich vanuit de sinusknop snel over de boezems om vervolgens te worden vertraagd in de atrioventriculaire knop zodat de kamers van het hart tijd hebben om te vullen met bloed. Hierna reist de elektrische impuls door het geleidingssysteem en zorgt voor een synchrone activatie (en de daaropvolgende contractie) van het spierweefsel in de hartkamers. Om aan wisselende energiebehoeften te kunnen voldoen, wordt het hart-minuut-volume gereguleerd door het onwillekeurige zenuwstelsel via de elektrofysiologische eigenschappen van individuele spiercellen, waaronder die van het impuls- en geleidingssysteem. Stimulatie van het sympathisch zenuwstelsel, bijvoorbeeld tijdens inspanning of als onderdeel van een ‘vecht-of-vlucht’ reactie, resulteert in een toename in contractiekracht, hartfrequentie, relaxatie- en geleidingssnelheid (respectievelijk inotropie, chronotropie, lusitropie en dromotropie genaamd). Stimulatie van het parasympathisch zenuwstelsel daarentegen resulteert in een afname van deze eigenschappen. In een aantal hart- en vaatziekten is er een verband aangetoond tussen een toename in sympathische activatie en het ontstaan van potentieel dodelijke kamerritmestoornissen.

Op het niveau van de individuele hartspiercel leidt sympathische stimulatie tot activatie van β -adrenerge receptoren via norepinefrine dat vrijkomt uit cardiale sympathische zenuwuiteinden. Stimulatie van β -adrenerge receptoren activeert een intracellulaire cascade die resulteert in veranderingen in de verschillende ionkanalen en eiwitten die de Ca^{2+} -huishouding beïnvloeden. Deze veranderingen vormen de basis voor de veranderde elektrische activiteit en contractie tijdens sympathische stimulatie.

Computationale modellen hebben sterk bijgedragen aan ons begrip van de verschillende componenten die in de elektrofysiologie een rol spelen, waaronder ook het ontstaan van ritmestoornissen onder pathologische condities. Computermodellen die in staat zijn om sympathische stimulatie en cardiale elektrofysiologie te integreren zijn echter pas zeer recentelijk ontwikkeld. In dit proefschrift beschrijven we computationele en experimentele technieken om de effecten te bestuderen van β -adrenerge stimulatie op de elektrofysiologische eigenschappen van het hart onder normale en pathologische omstandigheden.

Hoofdstuk 1 is de introductie tot dit proefschrift en geeft een *korte samenvatting van de cellulaire elektrofysiologie van het hart en de veranderingen ten gevolge van adrenerge stimulatie*. De individuele hartspiercel is een zeer complex systeem. De bij activatie optredende actiepotentiaal en Ca^{2+} golf worden beïnvloed door meerdere processen. Computermodellen stellen ons in staat om een grote verscheidenheid aan experimentele gegevens te integreren en verifieerbare voorspellingen te doen over het effect van veranderingen in een dergelijk complex systeem. Dit soort modellen wordt al succesvol toegepast sinds de jaren ‘60 en in het afgelopen decennium is het gebruik ervan sterk toegenomen. We geven een *historisch overzicht van computermodellen van de elektrofysiologie van het hart en beschrijven de recente ontwikkelingen in dit vakgebied*.

In **Hoofdstuk 2** wordt een *nieuw model van de elektrofysiologie van de epicardiale spiercel van het hondenhart gepresenteerd*. Dit model, een uitbreiding van het Hund-Rudy model (2004), is *in staat om een grote verscheidenheid aan experimentele frequentie-afhankelijke eigenschappen van individuele hartspiercellen na te bootsen*. Hieronder vallen adaptatie, restitutie en accommodatie van de cardiale actiepotentiaal. De simulaties in dit hoofdstuk bieden nieuwe inzichten in de mechanismen die belangrijk zijn voor de respons van het hart tijdens snelle en onregelmatige hartritmestoornissen.

Met het model van de epicardiale spiercel als basis wordt in **Hoofdstuk 3** een *framework gepresenteerd om lokale β -adrenerge stimulatie en de gevolgen daarvan voor de cellulaire elektrofysiologie te simuleren*. Lokaal cyclisch AMP blijkt essentieel te zijn voor een gerichte beïnvloeding van individuele eiwitten tijdens stimulatie van verschillende types adrenerge receptoren. Daarnaast wordt in dit hoofdstuk de bijdrage bepaald van ieder ionkanaal en eiwit van de Ca^{2+} -huishouding aan de veranderingen in actiepotentiaal en Ca^{2+} golf tijdens β -adrenerge stimulatie.

Het β -adrenerge model wordt in **Hoofdstuk 4** gebruikt om voorspellingen te doen over de actiepotentiaal-veranderingen in patiënten met het *lange-QT syndroom type 1 door de genmutatie KCNQ1-A341V*. We laten zien dat deze mutatie *zorgt voor een dominant-negatief effect op zowel de basale stroom van het zogenaamde ‘slowly-activating delayed-rectifier’ kaliumkanaal I_{Ks} als op de cAMP-afhankelijke toename in I_{Ks}* . Samen zorgen deze veranderingen voor een sterke verlenging van de actiepotentiaal tijdens β -adrenerge stimulatie. Het verlies van de cAMP-afhankelijke I_{Ks} -toename in heterozygote A341V condities komt door een verminderde fosforylatie van aminozuur S27 en komt ook voor in de heterozygote mutaties KCNQ1-G589D en KNQ1-S27A. Deze data geven nieuwe inzichten in de cAMP-afhankelijke regulatie van I_{Ks} en de rol hiervan bij de ontwikkeling van ritmestoornissen.

De invloed van I_{Ks} op de slag-op-slag veranderingen in repolarisatie in individuele kamerspiercellen van het hondenhart wordt onderzocht in **Hoofdstuk 5**. We laten zien dat adrenerge stimulatie in staat is om de toegenomen slag-op-slag variabiliteit in repolarisatie door inhibitie van de zogenaamde I_{Kr} -stroom of door toename in de late component van de Na^+ -stroom te verminderen. De slag-op-slag variabiliteit in repolarisatie neemt daarentegen toe tijdens adrenerge stimulatie indien I_{Ks} geïnhibeerd is. I_{Ks} -inhibitie zonder adrenerge stimulatie heeft geen effect op de repolarisatievariabiliteit. Deze kan worden gereduceerd door manipulatie van het intracellulaire Ca^{2+} of de Na^+ - Ca^{2+} uitwisselaar.

In **Hoofdstuk 6** worden de potentiële mechanismen waardoor β -adrenerge stimulatie ritmestoornissen opwekt verder onderzocht. *Tijdens cellulaire Ca^{2+} -overlading ten gevolge van β -*

adrenerge stimulatie ontstaat het spontane vrijkomen van Ca^{2+} -ionen uit het sarcoplasmatisch reticulum dat resulteert in een sterke verlenging van de daaropvolgende actiepotentiaal. Deze verlenging neemt toe tijdens farmacologische inhibitie van I_{Ks} . De onregelmatige verlenging van de actiepotentiaal verklaart de grote slag-op-slag variabiliteit van repolarisatie die onder deze omstandigheden kan worden waargenomen. Een combinatie van computationele en experimentele analyses toont aan dat een verminderde Ca^{2+} -afhankelijke inactivatie van het L-type Ca^{2+} kanaal in belangrijke mate bijdraagt aan de actiepotentiaal-verlenging.

In **Hoofdstuk 7** wordt het β -adrenerge model verder uitgebreid met een gedetailleerde stochastische beschrijving van de kinetiek van ionkanalen om de subcellulaire mechanismen van slag-op-slag variabiliteit in repolarisatie in fysiologische en pathologische condities verder te onderzoeken. Naast stochastische aspecten van ionkanalen, vinden wij belangrijke bijdragen van de actiepotentiaal-morfologie en -duur, alsmede van veranderingen in intracellulair Ca^{2+} . Elektrische koppeling tussen twee cellen is in staat om deze repolarisatievariabiliteit te verminderen. Ons model biedt een state-of-the-art mogelijkheid om de cellulaire elektrofysiologie, en in het bijzonder de slag-op-slag variabiliteit in repolarisatie, te bestuderen onder verschillende omstandigheden.

Tot slot bevat **Hoofdstuk 8** een algemene discussie waarin de resultaten van de verschillende studies van dit proefschrift in een bredere context worden geplaatst. We beschrijven toekomstige mogelijkheden van de gedetailleerde cellulaire computermodellen zoals wij die ontworpen hebben. De relevantie van deze modellen voor de kliniek, en hun voornaamste beperkingen, worden besproken en we geven onze definitie van de term “systeem-biologie” en haar potentiële rol in persoonspecifieke geneeskunde.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

DANKWOORD

It is with great pleasure that I can finally start to write the most important chapter of this thesis (or at least the one that is in general the most widely read). Being a PhD student is somewhat like finding your way through a large maze: it is virtually impossible to find the exit without extensive help from others. Some draw a map, others give directions, some provide nourishment along the way, while others contribute to the entertainment when you are forced to camp at yet another dead-end. Each is vital to complete the process. When you have spent 4.5 years in 3 labs at 2 universities, it is impossible to thank everyone appropriately without doubling the size of this (already somewhat lengthy) thesis. Therefore, I would hereby like to thank **everyone** who has helped me to make this happen and stress that their contributions have not been forgotten even if they are not explicitly named in this chapter. However, some people should be thanked individually.

First and foremost, I would like to thank **Dr. Paul Volders** and **Dr. Ronald Westra** for their guidance. Ronald, no matter how busy you were, your enthusiasm for our project never lessened. You have always been able to provide a fresh perspective to our discussions, often aided by one of your favorite (Einstein) quotes. Many thanks for your support from my Bachelor thesis in 2006 until my PhD defense in 2012! Paul, during the first half of our collaboration (which also started with my Bachelor thesis in 2006), almost every meeting with you resulted in a headache. Your scientific standards and vision are admirable (and, as a PhD student, sometimes daunting) and your knowledge of the scientific literature is beyond comparison. Despite your busy clinical schedule I do not think that we ever had a scientific meeting that lasted less than 1.5 – 2.0 hours. I have learned a tremendous amount from your example and hope that we can continue to work together. Maybe one day I can then teach you the ‘Track Changes’ option in Word, as an alternative to your trademarked yellow highlighting. A special word of thanks should also go to my third scientific mentor: **Prof. Yoram Rudy** at Washington University in St. Louis. Dr. Rudy, your guidance, both scientifically and non-scientifically, has been extremely important. Your in-depth knowledge of the wide variety of cardiac electrophysiological topics that are being studied by the members of your team is amazing. I will never forget my time in St. Louis and hope that I can soon come back to visit you and Hadas (including a drink at Dressels, of course).

I would also like to thank my promotores **Prof. Harry Crijns** and **Prof. Ralf Peeters** for their support, particularly during the final stages of my PhD, when the mountain of forms and letters seemed insurmountable. Even more important, of course, were your scientific comments during our progress meetings, which forced me to keep an eye on the big picture and helped to keep the project on track.

I am grateful and honored that **Prof. Hein Wellens**, **Prof. Harry Struijker-Boudier** and **Prof. Leon de Windt** agreed to be part of the thesis-assessment committee. A particular word of thanks should also go to the external members of the thesis-assessment committee: **Prof. Mike Rosen**, **Prof. Rodolphe Sepulchre** and **Prof. Arthur Wilde** for their critical reading of this thesis and their willingness to be present at the defense in Maastricht.

Science in general and computational modeling in particular are multidisciplinary exercises and discussions with several collaborators have contributed substantially to this thesis. I would like to thank in particular **Dr. Najah Abi-Gerges**, **Prof. David Eisner**, **Prof. Andrew Trafford** and **Prof. Antonio Zaza** for their involvement in various chapters of this thesis.

My colleagues at the Department of Cardiology have had a large impact on my time in Maastricht, both scientifically and socially. I would like to thank **Lucien Beckers**; **Inge Boulet**; **Rachel ter Bekke** (I am looking forward to seeing what your next award will be. Your work is very impressive!); **Michael Clerx** (good luck with your own research in the wonderful DKE-Cardio joint venture!); **Matthijs Cluitmans** (thanks for being a great office-buddy and friend, I admire your combination of clinical and mathematical interests); **Miren David** (I am looking forward to seeing your future work, unraveling the mysteries of I_{Ks} . Thanks for the patch-clamp lessons. Please come to Heidelberg for some more slacklining); **Dan Johnson** (thanks for the excellent discussions and cooperation in our joint projects and good luck finishing the last bits of your thesis!); **Monique de Jong** (thanks for all the cups of coffee, the early morning chats and many laughs); **Helma Kuijpers**; **Viola Lentink** (I hope this thesis finds its way to Peru!); **Annerie Moers** (thanks for everything!); **Sandrine Seyen** (all the best for you and the baby!); and **Roel Späthjens** (Roel, the lab would not run without you, whether it is administrative, experimental, or in the design of figures and posters, your hands are on everything that is published from our team. Thanks for all your help!). All the other colleagues at level 3 of UNS50 have, of course, also contributed to a pleasant working experience.

Likewise, I would like to thank my colleagues from the Rudy lab at Washington University in St. Louis for the warm welcome and wonderful time. In particular **Tom O'Hara** deserves a massive 'thank you' for helping me to get settled in St. Louis, letting me stay in his apartment and being a great friend. Tom, I am looking forward to your wedding with **Iunia Dadarlat** this summer! Many thanks also to **Keith Decker** and **Jon Silva** for the interesting discussions in our joint project. The members of the 'cell group', **Namit Gaur**, **Pan Li**, **Leonid Livshitz**, **Ashwin Mohan**, **Ali Nekouzadeh**, **Smiruthi Ramasubramanian** and **Jiajing Xu** have provided critical feedback on my work during many lab meetings, for which I am thankful. Thanks also to the members of the '**ECGI group**' for many interesting scientific and non-scientific discussions. I am proud and grateful that I have had the opportunity to be part of such a high quality team. **Maya Bera** and **Kimberly Smith** have helped me with numerous organizational and technical aspects that were required for my stay at Wash. U. and my contact with the lab after my return to The Netherlands.

The support from **everyone at the Department of Knowledge Engineering**, from my very first day as an undergraduate student to the completion of my thesis, has been much appreciated. The members of the Biomathematics and Bioinformatics group in particular have helped through many discussions: **Ivo Bleylevens** (also as an office-buddy), **Pietro Bonnizi**, **Pieter Collins**, **Martin Hoffmann**, **Stephan Janssen** (good luck finishing your work!) and **Joël Karel**.

My new **colleagues in Mannheim** have provided a warm welcome in the new lab. Many thanks in particular to **Prof. Dobromir Dobrev** for giving me the opportunity to work in his high-quality lab and **Dr. Niels Voigt** for the interesting collaborations during the first few months.

Many hours were spent at work, particularly in the last stages of my PhD. Fortunately, it is not the quantity but the quality of time spent with friends that matters. Therefore, I would like to thank the people who, at various times and places, have provided the more than necessary distraction from work. In Maastricht I would like to thank the old 'Heugem crew': **Tyas Prevoo & Tuulia Dau** (also for all the discgolf and slackline sessions), **Mark Jansen & Ellen Derks** (I will always remember our awesome trip to NYC), and **Tom Starmans & Philippa Gaijkema**, as well as **Roel, Rik & Marianne, Frans** and **Sander & Anne** (I am already looking forward to our next pre-Christmas dinner). A special word of thanks goes to Tyas and **Paul Smeets**: I had a great time in our 'palace' at the stationsstraat. Tyas, we should plan some more sports sessions, both virtual and in real life. Paul, I really enjoyed all our discussion about science and everyday life and am looking forward to your defense in June. My fellow PhDacademy Improv participants, in particular **Burcu, Jan, Jasper, Jessie, Nevena, Nordin** and **Yeliz** provided many hilarious moments of distraction. **Eva Greiner** has been a true friend since our first day as undergrads. Eva, good luck with your new job and please come visit us in Heidelberg. Finally, I would like to thank the people in St. Louis for making me feel at home and providing plenty of distraction besides work, in particular **Karthik Divariam, Owen & Lina Gentry** (I am looking forward to meeting Edwin!), **Dan Keesing** (we should plan another climbing/hiking trip, this time without insane traffic jams or millions of ticks), **Rob & Kate Sauers, Akansha Saxena, Diana Wong** and **Dick Wu**.

I am grateful that Matthijs Cluitmans and Paul Smeets agreed to stand beside me as paranimfs during the defense.

As is the custom, those who have been the most important are mentioned last. Dear **Claire**, after all these months in which we lived in different countries, the thesis is finally done and we can start our life together. There are no words that can accurately describe how important you are to me. Thank you for all your support. I am looking forward to our future together.

Mijn familie, in het bijzonder mijn ouders **Huub en Marianne**, zus **Sasja** en **Oma** hebben een onmisbare rol gespeeld in de totstandkoming van dit werk. Hun steun en liefde heeft mij gebracht waar ik nu ben en daarvoor kan ik hen niet genoeg bedanken. Huub, ik blijf een kind van mijn vader en prijs me zeer gelukkig dat ik mijn vader tevens mijn beste vriend kan noemen. Bedankt voor alles!

CURRICULUM VITAE

PUBLICATIONS

CURRICULUM VITAE

Jordi Heijman

1985	Born in Sittard, The Netherlands on September 08
1997-2003	VWO, Trevianum Scholengroep, Sittard The Netherlands (Graduated <i>Cum Laude</i>)
2003-2006	Bachelor of Science in Knowledge Engineering / Computer Science, Maastricht University, The Netherlands (Graduated <i>Summa Cum Laude</i>)
2006-2007	Master of Science in Operations Research, Maastricht University, The Netherlands (Graduated <i>Summa Cum Laude</i>)
2007-2011	PhD, Depts. of Cardiology and Knowledge Engineering, Maastricht University, The Netherlands

Jordi Heijman was born on September 08, 1985 in Sittard, The Netherlands. In 2003, he started his Bachelor of Science degree in Knowledge Engineering at Maastricht University. During his studies he was an active member of the public-relations committee and participated in the FastTrac technical entrepreneurship program at Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA (July-August 2005). He graduated in 2006 summa cum laude. His Master of Science degree in Operations Research was also obtained summa cum laude from Maastricht University in 2007. For his master thesis “A parallel approach to polynomial optimization” he received the 2007 student prize for the Faculty of Humanities and Sciences. He started his PhD research in September 2007 on a joint project between the Departments of Cardiology and Knowledge Engineering at the same university. From May 2008 until September 2009 he was a visiting researcher at the Cardiac Bioelectricity and Arrhythmia Center at Washington University in St. Louis, MO, USA under the guidance of Prof. Yoram Rudy on a grant from the Hein Wellens Foundation. As of January 2012, he has started as a postdoctoral researcher in the Division of Experimental Cardiology, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University in the team of Prof. Dobromir Dobrev.

PUBLICATIONS

Journal articles

7. **J. Heijman**, A. Zaza, D.M. Johnson, Y. Rudy, R.L.M. Peeters, P.G.A. Volders[#] and R.L. Westra[#]. "Computational Analysis of Beat-to-Beat Variability of Repolarization Duration in the Canine Ventricular Myocyte" (*Manuscript in preparation*)
6. R.L.H.M.G. Spätjens, M. Bébarová, I.R. Boulet, V. Lentink, H.J.H. Kuijpers, R. Jongbloed, Y.H.J.M. Arens, **J. Heijman** and P.G.A. Volders. "Preserved cAMP-Dependent Upregulation Despite Dominant-Negative Suppression of I_{Ks} by the KCNQ1 Mutation K557E" (*Manuscript in preparation*)
5. D.M. Johnson[#], **J. Heijman**[#], E.F. Bode, D.J. Greensmith, N. Abi-Gerges, D.A. Eisner, A.W. Trafford and P.G.A. Volders. "Diastolic Spontaneous Calcium Release from the Sarcoplasmic Reticulum Increases Beat-to-Beat Repolarization Variability in Calcium-Overloaded Canine Ventricular Myocytes" (*Manuscript under revision*)
4. **J. Heijman**, R.L.H.M.G. Spatjens, S.R.M. Seyen, V. Lentink, H.J.H. Kuijpers, I.R. Boulet, L.J. de Windt, M. David and P.G.A. Volders, "Dominant-Negative Control of cAMP-Dependent I_{Ks} Upregulation in Human Long-QT Syndrome Type 1" *Circ. Res.* 2012. 110. 211-219.
3. **J. Heijman**, P.G.A. Volders, R.L. Westra and Y. Rudy. "Local control of β -adrenergic stimulation: Effects on ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and Ca^{2+} transient", *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 2011. 50. 863-871.
2. D.M. Johnson, **J. Heijman**, C.E. Pollard, J-P Valentin, H.J.G.M. Crijns, N. Abi-Gerges and P.G.A. Volders, " I_{Ks} restricts excessive beat-to-beat variability of repolarization during beta-adrenergic receptor stimulation", *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 2010. 48. 122-130.
1. K. F. Decker, **J. Heijman**, J. R. Silva, T. J. Hund and Y. Rudy, "Properties and Ionic Mechanisms of Action Potential Adaptation, Restitution and Accommodation in Canine Epicardium", *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2009. 296. H1017-H1026.

[#]: These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstracts

14. **J. Heijman**, A. Zaza, D.M. Johnson, Y. Rudy, R.L.M. Peeters, P.G.A. Volders[#], R.L. Westra[#], "Stochastic ion-channel gating contributes to beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration and its rate dependence", *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2012. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Biology, Mar. 30 – 31 2012, London, UK.
13. D.M. Johnson[#], **J. Heijman**[#], E.F. Bode, D.J. Greensmith, N. Abi-Gerges, D.A. Eisner, A.W. Trafford and P.G.A. Volders, "Increased I_{CaL} Underlies Ventricular Action-Potential Prolongation After Spontaneous Ca^{2+} Release From The Sarcoplasmic Reticulum", *Heart Rhythm.* 2011. 8(11). 1823. Cardiac EP Society Meeting, Nov. 12 2011, Orlando FL, USA.

12. **J. Heijman**, R.L.H.M.G. Spatjens, S.R.M. Seyen, V. Lentink, H.J.H. Kuijpers, I.R. Boulet, L.J. de Windt, M. David and P.G.A. Volders, "Dominant-Negative Control of cAMP-Dependent I_{Ks} Upregulation in Human Long-QT Syndrome Type 1", *Circulation*. 2011. 124. A10189. AHA Scientific Sessions, Nov. 12-16 2011, Orlando, FL, USA.
11. M. David, R.L.H.M.G. Spätjens, S.R.M. Seyen, **J. Heijman**, V. Lentink, H.J.H. Kuijpers and P.G.A. Volders, "Mutation-specific differences on the I_{Ks} macromolecular complex by the long-QT1 mutations A341V and A341E", 35th Annual meeting of the European Working Group on Cardiac Cellular Electrophysiology, Sept. 17 - 19 2011, Oslo, Norway.
10. D.M. Johnson, **J. Heijman** and P.G.A. Volders, "Diastolic spontaneous calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum provokes beat-to-beat repolarization instability in calcium-overloaded myocytes", *Heart Rhythm*. 2011. 8(5). S324. HRS Scientific Sessions, May 4–7 2011, San Francisco, CA, USA
9. R.L.H.M.G. Spatjens, **J. Heijman**, V. Lentink and P.G.A. Volders, "The Phosphomimetic Mutation S27D Rescues Suppressed Adrenergic Responsiveness in the Long-QT1 Hot-Spot Mutation A341V", *Heart Rhythm*. 2011. 8(5). S180. HRS Scientific Sessions, May 4–7 2011, San Francisco, CA, USA
8. **J. Heijman**, Y. Rudy, R.L. Westra and P.G.A. Volders, "Computational analysis of the downstream effects of β -adrenergic stimulation on CaMKII in the canine ventricular myocyte", *Cardiovasc. Res*. 2010. 87(Suppl 1). S47. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Biology, July 16–19 2010, Berlin, Germany
7. **J. Heijman**, R.L.H.M.G. Spatjens, V. Lentink and P.G.A. Volders, "Dominant-Negative Suppression of cAMP-Mediated I_{Ks} Upregulation by the Long-QT1 Hot-Spot Mutation A341V", *Heart Rhythm*. 2010. 7(5). S89. HRS Scientific Sessions, May 12–15 2010, Denver, CO, USA
6. **J. Heijman**, P.G.A. Volders, R.L. Westra and Y. Rudy, "A computational model of β -adrenergic signaling in cardiac myocytes: local control and rate-dependent action-potential effects", *Heart Rhythm*. 2009. 6(5). S97. HRS Scientific Sessions, May 11 – 14 2009, Boston, MA, USA
5. **J. Heijman**, J. R. Silva, D.M. Johnson, P.G.A. Volders, R.L.M. Peeters and R. L. Westra, "Analyzing the effects of pharmacological interventions on cardiac repolarization using Markov models for ion channels", Dutch Institute of Systems and Control Summerschool 2008, June 17 – 20 2008, Woudschoten, The Netherlands.
4. D.M. Johnson, **J. Heijman**, C.E. Pollard, J.P. Valentin, N. Abi-Gerges and P.G.A. Volders, "Differential Responses of Beat-to-Beat Variability of Repolarization to β -Adrenergic Receptor Stimulation in Canine Ventricular Myocytes: I_{Ks} Block Versus I_{Kr} Block and Late I_{Na} Augmentation", *Heart Rhythm*. 2008. 5(5). S211, HRS Scientific Sessions, May 14 – 17 2008, San Francisco, CA, USA.
3. **J. Heijman**, D.M. Johnson, P.G.A. Volders, R.L.M. Peeters and R.L. Westra, "Dynamics of cardiac cells: model vs. myocyte", 27th Beneluxmeeting on Systems and Control, Mar. 18 - 20 2008, Heeze, The Netherlands.

2. D.M. Johnson, **J.Heijman**, C.E. Pollard, J.P. Valentin, N. Abi-Gerges and P.G.A. Volders, “Beat-to-Beat Variability of Repolarization in Canine Ventricular Cell Models of LQT1, LQT2 and LQT3 Syndromes”, 31st Annual meeting of the European Working Group on Cardiac Cellular Electrophysiology, Sept. 7 - 8 2007, Manchester, GB.
1. **J. Heijman**, D.M. Johnson, R.L. Westra and P.G.A. Volders, “Beat-to-beat variability of repolarization duration: intrinsic to native myocytes, but does it feature in ventricular cell models?”, *Biophys. J.* 2007. 51st Biophysical Society Meeting, Mar. 3 - 7 2007, Baltimore, MD, USA.

#: These authors contributed equally to this work.

COLOR FIGURES

Chapter 2 - Figure 3 (Page 32). A. Model I_{CaL} current-voltage (I-V) relationship and B. steady-state inactivation compared to multiple data sets from canine ventricular cells.^{11, 12, 19, 24-27} C. I_{CaL} Markov model structure.

Chapter 2 - Figure 4 (Page 32). A. Model I_{to1} I-V curve. B. Time to peak compared to multiple data sets from canine left ventricular epicardial cells.^{13, 21, 28-30}

Chapter 3 - Figure 1 (Page 52). A. Schematic of the canine ventricular cell model including Ca^{2+} handling, electrophysiology, CaMKII signaling pathway and β AR signaling domains. Substrates modulated by PKA phosphorylation are indicated in red. Model CaMKII targets include PLB/Seraca and RyR. B-D, Detailed schematics of the interactions in the extracaveolar (ECAV; B), cytosolic (CYT; C) and caveolar (CAV; D) signaling domains. Abbreviations are defined in the appendix of this thesis. Model code is provided in the Research Section of <http://rudylab.wustl.edu>.

Chapter 3 - Figure 4 (Page 60). The 10 parameters with the smallest (A) and largest (B) impact on the mean change in ISO affinity over all substrates. Note different impact scale in (B) for I_{Ks} .

Chapter 3 - Figure 5 (Page 61). The 10 parameters with the smallest (A) and largest (B) impact on the mean change in time to half maximal activation in the presence of 20 nmol/L ISO over all substrates. Note different impact scale in (B) for PLB.

Chapter 3 - Figure 6 (Page 62). The 10 parameters with the smallest (A) and largest (B) impact on the mean change in the amount of desensitization (difference between peak phosphorylation level and level after 30 min) in the presence of 20 nmol/L ISO over all substrates.

Chapter 3 - Figure 7 (Page 64). Comparison between adenylyl cyclase (AC) properties of model (lines) and experimental data (symbols). A. G_s -dependence of AC 5/6 in experiments and model. B. Inactivation of AC6 by G_i at various levels of G_s -stimulation in experiments⁵⁴ and model. No error bars provided in experimental source. C. Simulated and measured whole-cell AC activity: (i) at peak (red), (ii) after 5 min (green) and (iii) at steady-state (white symbols; black line) in canine ventricular myocytes^{55, 56} (circles, triangles) and Chinese hamster fibroblasts with human $\beta 1ARs$ expressed (squares; no error bars provided in experimental source)⁵⁷.

Chapter 3 - Figure 17 (Page 69). Simulated characteristics of PKA phosphorylated (red), CaMKII phosphorylated (orange) and non-phosphorylated (black) populations of I_{CaL} . Experimental data are shown as symbols, model output as lines. A. Peak I-V relationship.⁷⁵ B. Voltage dependence of steady-state I_{CaL} inactivation.⁷⁶ C. Fold increase in peak I_{CaL} I-V with ISO or maximal CaMKII activation (left) and shift in peak I-V and midpoint of inactivation ($V_{1/2}$ Inact; right) during stimulation with ISO in model (white) and experiments^{48, 77, 78} (blue). D. Recovery from inactivation. Inset shows time-

constants under baseline, ISO or CaMKII conditions based on a mono-exponential fit in model (white bars) and canine epicardial myocytes (blue bar).⁷⁹ E. Time course of I_{CaL} inactivation during a 200 ms depolarizing pulse to 0 mV. Inset shows the relative change in time constant of inactivation with 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO or maximal CaMKII activation. CaMKII-dependent effects are consistent with experiments in rabbit ventricular myocytes.⁷⁸

Chapter 3 - Figure 20 (Page 70). Characteristics of I_{To} recovery from inactivation at baseline (black), with maximal CaMKII activity (orange) or in the presence of 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO (red; identical to baseline). A. Simulated (line) time course of I_{To} recovery from inactivation (assessed using a double-pulse protocol to +40 mV for 200 ms from a holding potential of -80 mV) compared to experimental data (white circles) from canine ventricular myocytes under baseline conditions.⁸³ B. Comparison of model fast and slow recovery time constants (based on a biexponential fit to the data in A). C. Validation of the effect of CaMKII on I_{To} recovery from inactivation in model (white) compared to data in rabbit ventricular myocytes acutely overexpressing CaMKII (blue).⁸⁴

Chapter 3 - Figure 22 (Page 71). Concentration-dependent effects of ISO on APD (A; CL = 1000 ms) and CaT amplitude (B; CL = 2000 ms) in canine ventricular myocytes (symbols^{39, 89}) and model (lines). C. Time course of APD changes during application of 100 nmol/L ISO in 4 canine ventricular myocytes (symbols) and model (line). APDs were normalized between 1 (baseline) and 0 (maximal response to ISO) to account for baseline cell to cell differences (see also **Figure 26**). CL = 1000 ms. For experimental protocol, see Johnson et al.⁹⁰

Chapter 3 - Figure 28 (Page 78). Contribution of individual substrates to APD and CaT changes in response to β AR stimulation at CL = 350 ms. Steady-state AP and CaT are shown in the absence of β AR stimulation (black solid line), in the presence of a saturating dose of ISO (gray dashed line), or in the presence of β AR stimulation without PKA-dependent phosphorylation (red dashed-dotted line) of I_{CaL} , I_{Ks} , I_{Kur} , or I_{NaK} (top two rows; left to right) or without PKA-dependent phosphorylation of I_{Na} , PLB, RyR or TnI (bottom two rows; left to right).

Chapter 3 - Figure 29 (Page 80). Kinetic changes of I_{Ks} due to β ARS result in altered gating modes and increased available reserve for channel opening. A. APD restitution as function of diastolic interval after pacing to steady-state at CL = 2000 ms in the absence (solid black) or presence (dash-dotted red) of 1.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ISO. B. AP of premature beat at diastolic intervals of 25 ms (left) or 125 ms (right). C. CaT corresponding to these APs. D. Corresponding I_{Ks} . E. Corresponding zone occupancies of I_{Ks} channels during the AP. Zone 2 (red) represents the deep closed states, Zone 1 (green) represents the closed states adjacent to the open state, termed “available reserve”. Open state occupancy is shown in blue on a separate y-axis. Data in the absence of ISO are shown in solid lines, data in the presence of ISO in dashed-dotted lines.

Chapter 4 - Figure 1 (Page 110). Computational modeling approach. A.a. Schematic overview of the structure of a single cell model, including all ion channels, pumps, exchangers, Ca^{2+} -handling proteins, Ca^{2+} /Calmodulin-dependent kinase and compartmental βAR signaling pathway, as published recently (**Chapter 3**).¹⁴ A.b. Structure of the 17-state Markov model that was fitted to WT I_{Ks} , heterozygous or homozygous mutant channel characteristics in the absence and presence of βAR stimulation.

Chapter 4 - Figure 3 (Page 113). Location and conservation of A341 in KCNQ1. A. Multiple sequence alignment of the KCNQ1-S6 region with other members of the Kv7.x family and other voltage-gated potassium channels. Conserved residues are bold and highlighted in blue, partially conserved residues are highlighted in green and red. The conserved K⁺ selectivity filter and the PAG (in Kv7.x) or

PXP (in other Kv channels) hinge motifs are indicated below the alignment. A341 is indicated in red and is extremely conserved among all Kv channels. B. Location of A341 in the closed-state tetrameric KCNQ1 homology model described by Kang et al.²¹ Left panel shows top view from the extracellular side. Residues F340, A341 and A344 are shown as 'ball-and-stick' models. Black arrow indicates the viewing direction for the right panel. Right panel shows front view of two KCNQ1-subunits (only S5, pore and S6 region are shown). Residues F340 – A344 are shown. Distances between residue A341 of the right subunit and its two nearest residues are indicated with dashed lines.

Chapter 4 - Figure 11 (Page 122). Localization of *KCNQ1*-WT and *KCNQ1*-A341V after co-transfection of both subunits with *KCNE1* and Yotiao. A c-Myc epitope was inserted in the extracellular loop between transmembrane segments 1 and 2 of *KCNQ1*-WT and the *KCNQ1*-A341V was tagged with GFP at the C-terminus. Top-left panel shows a confocal image of *KCNQ1*-WT obtained with an anti-c-Myc antibody in a representative CHO cell. Top-right panel shows GFP signal in the same cell. When the two signals are overlayed (bottom-left panel), a clear colocalization of WT and A341V *KCNQ1* subunits can be observed at the cell membrane (yellow), confirming “heterozygous” channel expression. The bottom-right panel shows the relative signal-intensity profiles of *KCNQ1*-WT and *KCNQ1*-A341V along the cross-sections at the light-green line. Both signals show increased activity at the cell boundaries. The lower-left white scale-bar indicates 10 μm.

Chapter 6 - Figure 1 (Page 156). Schematic overview of the computational model of the canine ventricular myocyte. Adapted from Heijman et al.²³ (**Chapter 3**). The model was divided into two identical domains to simulate the local origin of SCR. Only components on the left side of the model are labeled for clarity, identical components are located on the right side. The domains are coupled via diffusion of Ca^{2+} ($I_{\text{diff,cyt}}$ and $I_{\text{diff,nsr}}$). All other abbreviations are as previously described.²³

Chapter 6 - Figure 3 (Page 159). Occurrence of DADs in canine ventricular myocytes is associated with prolongation of subsequent APs and increased BVR. **A.** APD₉₀ for 75 consecutive beats at 2000-ms CL at baseline (left panel: I), during wash-in of ISO (middle panel: II) and in the presence of HMR1556 and ISO (right panel: III). APDs preceded by a DAD are indicated with filled symbols, APDs without DADs depicted by open symbols. Dashed rectangle indicates a set of 5 beats for which cell shortening and membrane potential are depicted below. Insets show diastolic potentials at an expanded scale. Beats without prior SCR are indicated in black, beats with prior SCR in red. **B.** Membrane potential (top) and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ for four consecutive beats. APD_{90s} are indicated below each AP. SCR is indicated with arrows.

Chapter 6 - Figure 10 (Page 169). I_{CaL} inactivation is slowed after SCR. **A.** Voltage-clamp protocol (top panel), intracellular Ca^{2+} (middle panel) and membrane current (bottom panel) in a representative canine ventricular myocyte in the presence of HMR1556 + ISO + increased $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ (3.6 or 5.0 mmol/L) in the absence (black) or presence of prior SCR (red). Right inset shows membrane current at an expanded scale. 4-AP (5.0 mmol/L) and $BaCl_2$ (0.1 mmol/L) were used to isolate I_{CaL} . Left inset shows model results under similar conditions. **B.** Quantification of average membrane current integral

(shaded area in right inset of panel A) in 6 canine ventricular myocytes in the absence or presence of SCR. Prior SCR significantly increases total inward current (*: $P < 0.05$).

Chapter 7 - Figure 5 (Page 188). Contribution of currents to BVR determined via linear regression. A. Relative changes in the maximal conductance of the 13 currents/fluxes (lanes correspond to the column-pairs in panel B) for 100 (out of 300) trials (left panel) and corresponding changes in outputs (APD, STV and LTV) during steady-state pacing at CL = 1000 ms (right panel). Middle panel shows the coefficients that indicate the contribution of each current to every output measure as determined via linear regression. B. Bar plot of the magnitude of the coefficients from panel A regarding their impact on APD (white bars) or STV (shaded bars). I_{Kr} and I_{Na} have a large impact on both APD and BVR, consistent with the results from **Figure 4**. In addition, I_{NaK} and Ca^{2+} uptake (SERCA) also affect STV. LTV showed similar pattern as STV and was not shown for the sake of clarity.

Chapter 8 - Figure 2 (Page 209). Comparison of cellular computational models of electrophysiology with a focus on the period 2000-2011. Models are identified based on the name of the first author and year of publication. Horizontal axis represents time on a logarithmic scale. Vertical axis represents average model complexity. Colored circles identify models containing an integrated β ARS cascade (blue), CaMKII-signaling cascade (orange) and stochastic electrophysiology (green). Models developed in this thesis (indicated with Decker09, Heijman11 and Heijman12 for **Chapters 2, 3 and 7**, respectively) are underlined.

Chapter 8 - Figure 3 (Page 212). “A system as an ‘entity that maintains its existence through the mutual interaction of its parts’ (von Bertalanffy, 1968). Systems research must combine the (i) identification and (ii) detailed characterisation of parts (orange boxes, as opposed to ‘look-alikes’, pale blue box, which need to be identified and excluded), with the exploration of their interactions (iii) with each other (orange arrows), and (iv) with the environment (pale blue dashed arrows affecting parts either directly, or indirectly through modulation of internal interactions), to develop a (v) systemic understanding (an important, but often overlooked, aspect is that the system itself not only enables, but also restricts, the type and extent of functions and interactions that may occur; dark-blue box). Systems research therefore requires a combination of reductionist and integrative tools and techniques.” Reproduced from Kohl and Noble.¹²²

APPENDIX

MODEL DEFINITION AND EQUATIONS

CONTENTS

1. Electrophysiological models	261
1.1 General constants, concentrations and volumes	261
1.2 Initial state vector	262
1.3 Intracellular concentrations: Ca^{2+}	265
1.3.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	265
1.3.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 3,4,5,7).....	267
1.3.3 HRd β ARS SCR version (Chapter 6)	268
1.4 Intracellular concentrations: Cl^-	268
1.4.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7).....	268
1.5 Intracellular concentrations: K^+	268
1.5.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7).....	268
1.6 Intracellular concentrations: Na^+	269
1.6.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7).....	269
1.7 Co-transporters (CT_{NaCl} and CT_{KCl})	269
1.7.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7).....	269
1.8 Background Ca^{2+} current ($I_{\text{Ca,b}}$)	269
1.8.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7).....	269
1.9 L-type Ca^{2+} current (I_{CaL})	269
1.9.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	269
1.9.2 HRd β ARS version (Chapters 3-5)	271
1.9.3 HRd β ARS SCR version (Chapter 6)	275
1.9.4 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)	276
1.10 Sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} pump (I_{pCa})	276
1.10.1 HRd2009 and HRd β ARS version (Chapters 2-6).....	276
1.10.2 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)	276
1.11 Background Cl^- current ($I_{\text{Cl,b}}$)	277
1.11.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7).....	277
1.12 Ca^{2+} -dependent Cl^- current ($I_{\text{Cl(Ca)}} / I_{\text{To,2}}$)	277
1.12.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7).....	277
1.13 Diffusion between subcellular compartments ($I_{\text{diff,Ca}}$, $I_{\text{diff,Cl}}$, $I_{\text{diff,Na}}$, I_{tr})	278
1.13.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	278
1.13.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARS stoch version (Chapters 3,4,5,7)	278
1.13.3 HRd β ARS SCR version (Chapter 6)	279
1.14 Inward rectifier K^+ current (I_{K1})	279
1.14.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	279
1.14.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 3-7)	279

1.15 Rapidly activating delayed rectifier K^+ current (I_{Kr}).....	280
1.15.1 HRd2009 and HRd β ARS version (Chapters 2-6).....	280
1.15.2 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)	280
1.16 Slowly activating delayed rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks})	282
1.16.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	282
1.16.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapters 3,5-7)	284
1.16.3 HRd β ARS A341V version (Chapter 4).....	288
1.17 Ultrarapid plateau K^+ current (I_{Kur} / I_{Kp}).....	291
1.17.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	291
1.17.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapters 3-7).....	291
1.18 Na^+ current (I_{Na}).....	291
1.18.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	291
1.18.2 HRd β ARS version (Chapters 3-6)	292
1.18.3 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)	296
1.19 Background Na^+ current (I_{Nab})	299
1.19.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7).....	299
1.20 Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchange current (I_{NaCa})	299
1.20.1 HRd2009 and HRd β ARS version (Chapters 2-6).....	299
1.21 Na^+ - K^+ pump current (I_{NaK})	300
1.21.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	300
1.21.2 HRd β ARS version (Chapters 3-6)	300
1.21.3 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)	302
1.22 Late component of Na^+ current (I_{NaL}).....	302
1.22.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	302
1.22.2 HRd β ARS version (Chapters 3-5)	303
1.22.3 HRd β ARS SCR version (Chapter 6)	303
1.22.4 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)	304
1.23 Transient outward K^+ current (I_{To})	304
1.23.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	304
1.23.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 3-7)	305
1.24 SR Ca^{2+} release (I_{Rel}).....	306
1.24.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	306
1.24.2 HRd β ARS version (Chapters 3-5)	307
1.24.3 HRd β ARS SCR version (Chapter 6)	309
1.24.4 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)	310
1.25 SR Ca^{2+} uptake (I_{up}).....	312
1.25.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	312
1.25.2 HRd β ARS version (Chapters 3-6)	312

1.25.3 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)	313
1.26 Membrane potential.....	315
2. β -adrenergic signaling model	315
2.1 General constants	315
2.2 State vector	316
2.3 Adrenergic receptor and G protein activation.....	318
2.4 Adenylyl Cyclase.....	324
2.5 Phosphodiesterases	326
2.6 PKA	329
2.7 cAMP	332
2.8 Protein phosphatase inhibitor	332
2.9 Substrate phosphorylation	333
3. CaMKII models	338
3.1.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2).....	338
3.1.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapters 3-7)	339
4. References	341

1. ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL MODELS

1.1 General constants, concentrations and volumes

Symbol	Description	Value
F	Faraday constant	96487 C/mol
R	Gas constant	8314 J/kmol/K
T	Temperature	310 °K
C_m	Specific membrane capacitance	1 $\mu\text{F} / \text{cm}^2$
z_{Ca}	Valence of Ca^{2+} ion	2
z_{Cl}	Valence of Cl^- ion	-1
z_{K}	Valence of K^+ ion	1
z_{Na}	Valence of Na^+ ion	1
N_A	Avogrado's number	6.02214E+23

Table 1: General constants

Symbol	Description	Value
l	Cell length	0.010 cm
r	Cell radius	0.0011 cm
A_{geo}	Geometric membrane area ($2 \cdot \pi \cdot r^2 + 2 \cdot \pi \cdot r \cdot l$)	$0.767 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{cm}^2$
R_{CG}	Capacitive to geometric ratio	2
A_{cap}	Capacitive membrane area ($R_{\text{CG}} \cdot A_{\text{geo}}$)	$1.534 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{cm}^2$
V_{cell}	Cell volume ($\pi \cdot r^2 \cdot l$)	$3.801 \cdot 10^{-5} \mu\text{L}$
V_{myo}	Myoplasmic volume ($0.68 \cdot V_{\text{cell}}$)	$2.584 \cdot 10^{-5} \mu\text{L}$
V_{mito}	Mitochondrial volume ($0.238 \cdot V_{\text{cell}}$)	$9.047 \cdot 10^{-6} \mu\text{L}$
V_{JSR}	Junctional SR volume ($0.0048 \cdot V_{\text{cell}}$)	$1.820 \cdot 10^{-7} \mu\text{L}$
V_{NSR}	Network SR volume ($0.0552 \cdot V_{\text{cell}}$)	$2.098 \cdot 10^{-6} \mu\text{L}$
$V_{\text{SS,SR}}$	SR subspace volume ($0.02 \cdot V_{\text{cell}}$)	$7.600 \cdot 10^{-7} \mu\text{L}$
$V_{\text{SS,CaL}}$	L-type Ca^{2+} channel subspace volume ($0.002 \cdot V_{\text{cell}}$)	$7.600 \cdot 10^{-8} \mu\text{L}$

Table 2: Geometric properties

Symbol	Description	Value
$[Ca^{2+}]_o$	Extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration	1.800 mmol/L
$[Cl^-]_o$	Extracellular Cl^- concentration	100.0 mmol/L
$[K^+]_o$	Extracellular K^+ concentration	5.400 mmol/L
$[Na^+]_o$	Extracellular Na^+ concentration	140.0 mmol/L

Table 3: Composition of the extracellular solution

The reversal potential for the different currents is determined by their permeability to the ionic species, the (varying) intracellular and (constant) extracellular concentrations:

$$E_{Cl} = -\frac{R \cdot T}{F} \cdot \log\left(\frac{[Cl^-]_o}{[Cl^-]_i}\right)$$

$$P_{Na/K} = 0.01833$$

$$E_{Ks} = \frac{R \cdot T}{F} \cdot \log\left(\frac{[K^+]_o + P_{Na/K} \cdot [Na^+]_o}{[K^+]_i + P_{Na/K} \cdot [Na^+]_i}\right)$$

$$E_K = \frac{R \cdot T}{F} \cdot \log\left(\frac{[K^+]_o}{[K^+]_i}\right)$$

$$E_{Na} = \frac{R \cdot T}{F} \cdot \log\left(\frac{[Na^+]_o}{[Na^+]_i}\right)$$

1.2 Initial state vector

#	Variable	Description	Initial	Applies to
1	V_m	Membrane potential (mV)	-8.7491E01	Ch. 1-6
2	$[Ca^{2+}]_{i,tot}$	Ca^{2+} concentration in myoplasm (mmol/L)	1.3394E-02	
3	$[Ca^{2+}]_{ss}$	Ca^{2+} concentration in restricted subspace (mmol/L)	2.3413E-02	
4	$[Ca^{2+}]_{ss,CaL}$	Ca^{2+} concentration in subspace surrounding L-type Ca^{2+} channel (mmol/L)	2.3413E-02	
5	$[Ca^{2+}]_{JSR}$	Ca^{2+} concentration in junctional sarcoplasmic reticulum (mmol/L)	6.8659E+00	
6	$[Ca^{2+}]_{NSR}$	Ca^{2+} concentration in network sarcoplasmic reticulum (mmol/L)	1.1910E+00	
7	$CaMK_{trap}$	Fraction of CaMKII that has trapped calmodulin	1.7546E-03	
8	$[Na^+]_i$	Na^+ concentration in myoplasm (mmol/L)	6.8909E+00	
9	$[Na^+]_{ss}$	Na^+ concentration in restricted subspace (mmol/L)	6.8909E+00	
10	$[K^+]_i$	K^+ concentration in myoplasm (mmol/L)	1.4562E+02	

11	$[Cl^-]_i$	Cl^- concentration in myoplasm (mmol/L)	2.0273E+01
12	$[Cl^-]_{ss}$	Cl^- concentration in restricted subspace (mmol/L)	2.0273E+01
13	I_{Rel}^{NP}	Ca^{2+} release flux from JSR through non-phosphorylated ryanodine receptors	3.6675E-09
14	m^{NP}	I_{Na} activation gate for non-phosphorylated channels	6.8172E-03
15	h^{NP}	I_{Na} fast inactivation gate for non-phosphorylated channels	9.0163E-01
16	j^{NP}	I_{Na} slow inactivation gate for non-phosphorylated channels	9.9709E-01
17	m_L	$I_{Na,L}$ activation gate	7.0530E-04
18	h_L	$I_{Na,L}$ inactivation gate	3.6003E-01
19	$I_{To,a}$	Activation gate of the 4-AP sensitive transient outward K^+ current	1.7687E-05
20	$I_{To,if}$	Fast inactivation gate of the 4-AP sensitive transient outward K^+ current	9.9798E-01
21	$I_{To,is}$	Slow inactivation gate of the 4-AP sensitive transient outward K^+ current	9.8747E-01
22	X_r	I_{Kr} activation gate	1.2306E-08
23	i_2	$I_{To,2}$ inactivation gate	9.9604E-01
24	C_{CaL}^{NP}	Fraction of NP I_{CaL} channels in closed, non inactivated, non Ca^{2+} gated mode	1.0000
25	O_{CaL}^{NP}	Fraction of NP I_{CaL} channels in open, non inactivated, non Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
26	C_{CaL}^{NP}	Fraction of NP I_{CaL} channels in closed, non inactivated, Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
27	O_{CaL}^{NP}	Fraction of NP I_{CaL} channels in open, non inactivated, Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
28	CI_{CaL}^{NP}	Fraction of NP I_{CaL} channels in closed, inactivated, non Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
29	OI_{CaL}^{NP}	Fraction of NP I_{CaL} channels in open, inactivated, non Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
30	CI_{CaL}^{NP}	Fraction of NP I_{CaL} channels in closed, inactivated, Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
31	OI_{CaL}^{NP}	Fraction of NP I_{CaL} channels in open, inactivated, Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
32	$C1_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 1	9.1141E-01
33	$C2_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 2	8.4395E-02
34	$C3_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 3	2.9306E-03
35	$C4_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 4	4.5228E-05
36	$C5_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 5	2.6175E-07

37	$C6_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 6	1.1424E-03
38	$C7_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 7	7.9337E-05
39	$C8_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 8	1.8366E-06
40	$C9_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 9	1.4172E-08
41	$C10_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 10	5.3696E-07
42	$C11_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 11	2.4861E-08
43	$C12_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 12	2.8776E-10
44	$C13_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 13	1.1217E-10
45	$C14_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 14	2.5967E-12
46	$C15_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 15	8.7874E-15
47	$O1_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in open state 1	9.3722E-16
48	$O2_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of non-phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in open state 2	1.6595E-17
49	C_{CaL}^P	Fraction of P I_{CaL} channels in closed, non inactivated, non Ca^{2+} gated mode	1.0000
50	O_{CaL}^P	Fraction of P I_{CaL} channels in open, non inactivated, non Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
51	Cs_{CaL}^P	Fraction of P I_{CaL} channels in closed, non inactivated, Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
52	Os_{CaL}^P	Fraction of P I_{CaL} channels in open, non inactivated, Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
53	Cl_{CaL}^P	Fraction of P I_{CaL} channels in closed, inactivated, non Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
54	Ol_{CaL}^P	Fraction of P I_{CaL} channels in open, inactivated, non Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
55	CIs_{CaL}^P	Fraction of P I_{CaL} channels in closed, inactivated, Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
56	OIs_{CaL}^P	Fraction of P I_{CaL} channels in open, inactivated, Ca^{2+} gated mode	0.0000
57	$C1_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 1	9.5624E-01
58	$C2_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 2	4.2127E-02
59	$C3_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 3	6.9597E-04
60	$C4_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 4	5.1101E-06
61	$C5_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 5	1.4070E-08

62	$C6_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 6	9.0269E-04
63	$C7_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 7	2.9826E-05
64	$C8_{Ks}^{NP}$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 8	3.2850E-07
65	$C9_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 9	1.2060E-09
66	$C10_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 10	3.1956E-07
67	$C11_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 11	7.0390E-09
68	$C12_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 12	3.8763E-11
69	$C13_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 13	5.0277E-11
70	$C14_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 14	5.5374E-13
71	$C15_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in closed state 15	2.9664E-15
72	$O1_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in open state 1	1.1201E-15
73	$O2_{Ks}^P$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels in open state 2	1.6613E-18
74	$m^{P,PKA}$	I_{Na} activation gate for PKA phosphorylated channels	1.2360E-03
75	$h^{P,PKA}$	I_{Na} fast inactivation gate for PKA phosphorylated channels	7.9472E-01
76	$j^{P,PKA}$	I_{Na} slow inactivation gate for PKA phosphorylated channels	9.9123E-01
77	$m^{P,CaMKII}$	I_{Na} activation gate for CaMKII phosphorylated channels	6.8127E-04
78	$h^{P,CaMKII}$	I_{Na} fast inactivation gate for CaMKII phosphorylated channels	8.3805E-01
79	$j^{P,CaMKII}$	I_{Na} slow inactivation gate for CaMKII phosphorylated channels	9.9281E-01
80	I_{Rel}^P	Ca^{2+} release flux from JSR through phosphorylated ryanodine receptors	7.3074E-09
81	$I_{To,if}^{P,CaMKII}$	Fast inactivation gate of the 4-AP sensitive transient outward K^+ current for CaMKII phosphorylated channels	9.9798E-01
82	$I_{To,is}^{P,CaMKII}$	Slow inactivation gate of the 4-AP sensitive transient outward K^+ current for CaMKII phosphorylated channels	9.8747E-01

1.3 Intracellular concentrations: Ca^{2+}

1.3.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

$$\frac{d[Ca^{2+}]_{i,tot}}{dt} = - \left(\frac{(I_{Ca,b} + I_{pCa} - 2 \cdot I_{NaCa,i}) \cdot A_{Cap} \cdot C_m}{z_{Ca} \cdot F \cdot V_{myo}} + I_{up} \cdot \frac{V_{NSR}}{V_{myo}} - I_{Diff,Ca} \cdot \frac{V_{SS,SR}}{V_{myo}} \right)$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b = [\overline{\text{cmdn}}] + [\overline{\text{trpn}}] - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i,\text{tot}} + K_{m,\text{trpn}} + K_{m,\text{cmdn}}$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^c = K_{m,\text{trpn}} \cdot K_{m,\text{cmdn}} - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i,\text{tot}} \cdot (K_{m,\text{trpn}} + K_{m,\text{cmdn}}) + [\overline{\text{cmdn}}] \cdot K_{m,\text{trpn}} + [\overline{\text{trpn}}] \cdot K_{m,\text{cmdn}}$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^d = -K_{m,\text{trpn}} \cdot K_{m,\text{cmdn}} \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i,\text{tot}}$$

$$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i = -\frac{\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \sqrt{(\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b)^2 - 3 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^c} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{9 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^c - 2 \cdot (\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b)^3 - 27 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^d}{2 \cdot ((\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b)^2 - 3 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^c)^{1.5}}\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{d[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss,tot}}}{dt} = \frac{2 \cdot I_{\text{NaCa,ss}} \cdot A_{\text{Cap}} \cdot C_m}{z_{\text{Ca}} \cdot F \cdot V_{\text{SS,SR}}} + I_{\text{Rel}} \cdot \frac{V_{\text{JSR}}}{V_{\text{SS,SR}}} - I_{\text{Diff,Ca}} - I_{\text{Diff,SS}}$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^b = [\overline{\text{BSL}}] + [\overline{\text{BSR}}] - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss,tot}} + K_{m,\text{BSL}} + K_{m,\text{BSR}}$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^c = K_{m,\text{BSL}} \cdot K_{m,\text{BSR}} - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss,tot}} \cdot (K_{m,\text{BSL}} + K_{m,\text{BSR}}) + [\overline{\text{BSL}}] \cdot K_{m,\text{BSR}} + [\overline{\text{BSR}}] \cdot K_{m,\text{BSL}}$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^d = -K_{m,\text{BSL}} \cdot K_{m,\text{BSR}} \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss,tot}}$$

$$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss}} = -\frac{\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^b}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \sqrt{(\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^b)^2 - 3 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^c} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{9 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^b \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^c - 2 \cdot (\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^b)^3 - 27 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^d}{2 \cdot ((\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^b)^2 - 3 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ss}}^c)^{1.5}}\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{d[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ssCaL,tot}}}{dt} = \frac{-I_{\text{CaL}} \cdot A_{\text{Cap}} \cdot C_m}{z_{\text{Ca}} \cdot F \cdot V_{\text{SS,CaL}}} + I_{\text{Diff,SS}} \cdot \frac{V_{\text{SS,SR}}}{V_{\text{SS,CaL}}}$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^b = [\overline{\text{BSL}}] + [\overline{\text{BSR}}] - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ssCaL,tot}} + K_{m,\text{BSL}} + K_{m,\text{BSR}}$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^c = K_{m,\text{BSL}} \cdot K_{m,\text{BSR}} - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ssCaL,tot}} \cdot (K_{m,\text{BSL}} + K_{m,\text{BSR}}) + [\overline{\text{BSL}}] \cdot K_{m,\text{BSR}} + [\overline{\text{BSR}}] \cdot K_{m,\text{BSL}}$$

$$\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^d = -K_{m,\text{BSL}} \cdot K_{m,\text{BSR}} \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ssCaL,tot}}$$

$$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ssCaL}} = -\frac{\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^b}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \sqrt{(\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^b)^2 - 3 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^c} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{9 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^b \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^c - 2 \cdot (\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^b)^3 - 27 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^d}{2 \cdot ((\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^b)^2 - 3 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca,ssCaL}}^c)^{1.5}}\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{d[Ca^{2+}]_{JSR,tot}}{dt} = I_{tr} - I_{Rel}$$

$$Buff_{Ca,JSR}^b = [\overline{CSQN}] - [Ca^{2+}]_{JSR,tot} + K_{m,CSQN}$$

$$Buff_{Ca,JSR}^c = K_{m,CSQN} \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_{JSR,tot}$$

$$[Ca^{2+}]_{JSR} = -\frac{Buff_{Ca,JSR}^b}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{(Buff_{Ca,JSR}^b)^2 + 4 \cdot Buff_{Ca,JSR}^c}$$

$$\frac{d[Ca^{2+}]_{NSR}}{dt} = I_{up} - I_{tr} \cdot \frac{V_{JSR}}{V_{NSR}}$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$[\overline{cmdn}]$	0.05 mmol/L	Maximum Calmodulin concentration	
$[\overline{trpn}]$	0.07 mmol/L	Maximum Troponin concentration	
$K_{m,cmdn}$	0.00238 mmol/L	Affinity of Calmodulin for Ca^{2+}	
$K_{m,trpn}$	0.00050 mmol/L	Affinity of Troponin for Ca^{2+}	
$[\overline{BSL}]$	1.124 mmol/L	Anionic Ca^{2+} binding sites on sarcolemma	
$[\overline{BSR}]$	0.047 mmol/L	Anionic Ca^{2+} binding sites on SR	
$K_{m,BSL}$	0.0087 mmol/L	Affinity of anionic Ca^{2+} binding sites on sarcolemma	
$K_{m,BSR}$	0.00087 mmol/L	Affinity of anionic Ca^{2+} binding sites on SR	
$[\overline{CSQN}]$	10.0 mmol/L	Maximum Calsequestrin concentration	
$K_{m,CSQN}$	0.800 mmol/L	Affinity of Calsequestrin for Ca^{2+}	

1.3.2 HRdβARS and HRdβARSStoch version (Chapters 3,4,5,7)

In addition to PLB phosphorylation, the lusitropic effect of βAR stimulation is also mediated through phosphorylation of the inhibitory subunit of troponin (TnI), although the relative contribution of each process is still unclear.^{1, 2} The phosphorylation of TnI results in a 50% increase in K_m , thereby decreasing myofilament sensitivity. Because our model does not contain a mechanical component, TnI phosphorylation only affects Ca^{2+} handling because of this altered affinity and its effect on the Ca^{2+} buffering property of troponin. The other equations regarding Ca^{2+} buffering and concentrations are identical to those in section 1.3.1.

$$K_{m,trpn}^{NP} = 0.0005 \frac{\text{mmol}}{L}, \quad K_{m,trpn}^P = 1.5 \cdot 0.0005 \frac{\text{mmol}}{L}, \quad K_{m,cmdn} = 0.00238 \frac{\text{mmol}}{L}$$

$$\hat{f}_{TnI}^P = \frac{f_{TnI}^P - 0.6735}{0.9992 - 0.6735}$$

$$K_{m,trpn} = (1 - \hat{f}_{TnI}^P) \cdot K_{m,trpn}^{NP} + \hat{f}_{TnI}^P \cdot K_{m,trpn}^P$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b &= [\overline{\text{cmdn}}] + [\overline{\text{trpn}}] - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i,\text{tot}} + K_{m,\text{trpn}} + K_{m,\text{cmdn}} \\
 \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^c &= K_{m,\text{trpn}} \cdot K_{m,\text{cmdn}} - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i,\text{tot}} \cdot (K_{m,\text{trpn}} + K_{m,\text{cmdn}}) + [\overline{\text{cmdn}}] \cdot K_{m,\text{trpn}} + [\overline{\text{trpn}}] \\
 &\quad \cdot K_{m,\text{cmdn}} \\
 \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^d &= -K_{m,\text{trpn}} \cdot K_{m,\text{cmdn}} \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i,\text{tot}} \\
 [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i &= -\frac{\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \sqrt{(\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b)^2 - 3 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^c} \\
 &\quad \cdot \cos\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{9 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^c - 2 \cdot (\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b)^3 - 27 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^d}{2 \cdot ((\text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^b)^2 - 3 \cdot \text{Buff}_{\text{Ca},i}^c)^{1.5}}\right)\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

1.3.3 HRdβARS SCR version (Chapter 6)

The HRdβARS equations (section 1.3.2) were extended to simulate two identical Ca^{2+} domains with Ca^{2+} diffusion between NSR and cytosolic domains. The superscript symbol x is used to designate one of the two identical Ca^{2+} domains. Whole-cell concentrations / currents are defined as the average of both domains and are indicated without superscript x.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\text{Diff,cyt}}^x &= \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i^y - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i^x}{\tau_{\text{Diff,cyt}}}, \quad \tau_{\text{Diff,cyt}} = 1.00 \text{ ms}, \quad y: \text{other } \text{Ca}^{2+} \text{ domain} \\
 I_{\text{Diff,nsr}}^x &= \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{NSR}}^y - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{NSR}}^x}{\tau_{\text{Diff,nsr}}}, \quad \tau_{\text{Diff,nsr}} = 25.0 \text{ ms}, \quad y: \text{other } \text{Ca}^{2+} \text{ domain} \\
 \frac{d[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i,\text{tot}}^x}{dt} &= -\left(\frac{(I_{\text{Ca},b}^x + I_{\text{pCa}}^x - 2 \cdot I_{\text{NaCa},i}^x) \cdot A_{\text{cap}} \cdot C_m}{z_{\text{Ca}} \cdot F \cdot V_{\text{myo}}} + I_{\text{up}}^x \cdot \frac{V_{\text{NSR}}}{V_{\text{myo}}} - I_{\text{Diff,Ca}}^x \cdot \frac{V_{\text{SS,SR}}}{V_{\text{myo}}} - I_{\text{Diff,cyt}}^x\right) \\
 \frac{d[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{NSR}}^x}{dt} &= I_{\text{up}}^x - I_{\text{tr}}^x \cdot \frac{V_{\text{JSR}}}{V_{\text{NSR}}} + I_{\text{Diff,nsr}}^x
 \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Intracellular concentrations: Cl^-

1.4.1 HRd2009, HRdβARS and HRdβARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d[\text{Cl}^-]_i}{dt} &= -\left(\frac{I_{\text{Cl},b} \cdot A_{\text{cap}} \cdot C_m}{z_{\text{Cl}} \cdot F \cdot V_{\text{myo}}} - CT_{\text{NaCl}} - CT_{\text{KCl}} - I_{\text{Diff,Cl}} \cdot \frac{V_{\text{SS,SR}}}{V_{\text{myo}}}\right) \\
 \frac{d[\text{Cl}^-]_{\text{ss}}}{dt} &= -\left(\frac{I_{\text{Cl(Ca)}} \cdot A_{\text{cap}} \cdot C_m}{z_{\text{Cl}} \cdot F \cdot V_{\text{SS,SR}}} + I_{\text{Diff,Cl}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

1.5 Intracellular concentrations: K^+

1.5.1 HRd2009, HRdβARS and HRdβARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7)

$$\frac{d[\text{K}^+]_i}{dt} = -\left(\frac{(I_{\text{K1}} + I_{\text{Kr}} + I_{\text{Ks}} + I_{\text{Kur}} + I_{\text{To}} + I_{\text{stim}} - 2 \cdot I_{\text{NaK}}) \cdot A_{\text{cap}} \cdot C_m}{z_{\text{K}} \cdot F \cdot V_{\text{myo}}} - CT_{\text{KCl}}\right)$$

1.6 Intracellular concentrations: Na^+

1.6.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7)

$$\frac{d[\text{Na}^+]_i}{dt} = - \left(\frac{(I_{\text{Na}} + I_{\text{Na,b}} + I_{\text{NaL}} + 3 \cdot I_{\text{NaK}} + 3 \cdot I_{\text{NaCa,i}}) \cdot A_{\text{Cap}} \cdot C_m}{z_{\text{Na}} \cdot F \cdot V_{\text{myo}}} - CT_{\text{NaCl}} - I_{\text{Diff,Na}} \cdot \frac{V_{\text{SS,SR}}}{V_{\text{myo}}} \right)$$

$$\frac{d[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{ss}}}{dt} = - \left(\frac{3 \cdot I_{\text{NaCa,ss}} \cdot A_{\text{Cap}} \cdot C_m}{z_{\text{Na}} \cdot F \cdot V_{\text{SS,SR}}} + I_{\text{Diff,Na}} \right)$$

1.7 Co-transporters (CT_{NaCl} and CT_{KCl})

1.7.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7)

Background Na^+ current ($I_{\text{Na,b}}$) and co-transporter fluxes were required to maintain a resting $[\text{Na}^+]_i$ concentration consistent with measurements in canine epicardial myocytes.³ K^+ - Cl^- (CT_{KCl}) and Na^+ - Cl^- (CT_{NaCl}) cotransporter formulations were based on those in the original HRd model,⁴ with modified density.

$$\overline{CT}_{\text{NaCl}} = 2.461 \cdot 10^{-5} \frac{\text{mmol}}{\text{L} \cdot \text{ms}}, \quad \overline{CT}_{\text{KCl}} = 1.770 \cdot 10^{-5} \frac{\text{mmol}}{\text{L} \cdot \text{ms}}$$

$$CT_{\text{NaCl}} = \overline{CT}_{\text{NaCl}} \cdot \frac{(E_{\text{Na}} - E_{\text{Cl}})^4}{(E_{\text{Na}} - E_{\text{Cl}})^4 + 87.83^4}$$

$$CT_{\text{KCl}} = \overline{CT}_{\text{KCl}} \cdot \frac{E_{\text{K}} - E_{\text{Cl}}}{E_{\text{K}} - E_{\text{Cl}} + 87.83}$$

1.8 Background Ca^{2+} current ($I_{\text{Ca,b}}$)

1.8.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7)

The formulation for the background calcium current is equivalent to that in the original HRd model.⁴

$$P_{\text{Ca,b}} = 1.9950 \cdot 10^{-7} \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{s}}, \quad \gamma_{\text{Ca,i}} = 1.0, \quad \gamma_{\text{Ca,o}} = 0.341$$

$$I_{\text{Ca,b}} = P_{\text{Ca,b}} \cdot (z_{\text{Ca}})^2 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F^2}{R \cdot T} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{\text{Ca,i}} \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \cdot \exp\left(z_{\text{Ca}} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - \gamma_{\text{Ca,o}} \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o}{\exp\left(z_{\text{Ca}} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - 1}$$

1.9 L-type Ca^{2+} current (I_{CaL})

1.9.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

A Markov model of I_{CaL} was developed and fit to data from canine left ventricular epicardial myocytes wherever possible. The structure of the I_{CaL} Markov model is shown in **Figure 3C** of **Chapter 2**. Activation is voltage dependent (α and β transitions). In Ca^{2+} free conditions, inactivation

and recovery from inactivation are voltage dependent (x and y transitions), with constants fit to data on inactivation⁵ and recovery from inactivation⁶ using Ba^{2+} in canine ventricular myocytes. Elevation of Ca^{2+} in a local subspace ($[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}$) moves channels from the Ca^{2+} -free gating tier to an upper tier (θ and δ transitions) characterized by accelerated inactivation (x^* and y^* transitions). This structure reflects the hypothesis that Ca^{2+} binding to calmodulin removes a “brake” and speeds up I_{CaL} voltage dependent inactivation.^{7,8} $[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}$ elevation depends on I_{CaL} Ca^{2+} entry and diffusion of Ca^{2+} ($I_{diff,SS}$) after SR Ca^{2+} -induced Ca^{2+} release. This phenomenological approach allows reproduction of slowed I_{CaL} Ca^{2+} dependent inactivation when SR function is blocked or intracellular Ca^{2+} buffering is high. Model I_{CaL} I-V curve is compared to several experimental data sets from canine ventricular cells^{5, 9-13} (**Figure 3A** of **Chapter 2**. The Rubart I-V curve data¹¹ was chosen for fitting because it falls in the middle range of data recorded at body temperature. Model I_{CaL} steady state inactivation is compared to multiple experimental data sets in **Figure 3B** of **Chapter 2**. The Szabo steady state inactivation data¹³ chosen for model fitting has a slope and $V_{1/2}$ consistent with multiple experiments from canine ventricle at body temperature.^{10, 11, 13, 14} I_{CaL} steady state inactivation data with lesser degrees of inactivation at steady state¹¹ resulted in model APD outside the range observed in canine experiments.

$$ACT_{\tau} = 0.59 + 0.8 \cdot \frac{\exp(0.052 \cdot (V_m + 13))}{1 + \exp(0.132 \cdot (V_m + 13))}$$

$$ACT_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 13.56}{9.45}\right)}$$

$$\alpha_{Ca,L} = \frac{ACT_{\infty}}{ACT_{\tau}}, \quad \beta_{Ca,L} = \frac{1 - ACT_{\infty}}{ACT_{\tau}}$$

$$I_{V,\tau} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{24.828 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349))} + \frac{1}{30.553 \cdot (1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807))}}$$

$$I_{V,\infty} = \frac{1}{1.25} \cdot \left(0.25 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 17.5)/3)}\right)$$

$$x_{Ca,L} = \frac{I_{V,\infty}}{I_{V,\tau}}, \quad y_{Ca,L}^{NP} = \frac{1 - I_{V,\infty}}{I_{V,\tau}}$$

$$I_{SCa} = 25 - \frac{17.5}{1 + \left(\frac{3.000 \cdot 10^{-3}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}}\right)^4}$$

$$I_{SV,\tau} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{24.828 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349))} + \frac{1}{I_{SCa} \cdot (1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807))}}$$

$$I_{SV,\infty} = \frac{1}{1.0001} \cdot \left(0.0001 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 17.5)/3)}\right)$$

$$x_{SCa,L} = \frac{I_{SV,\infty}}{I_{SV,\tau}}, \quad y_{SCa,L} = \frac{1 - I_{SV,\infty}}{I_{SV,\tau}}$$

$$\delta_{Ca,L} = \frac{3}{1 + \left(\frac{3.000 \cdot 10^{-3}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}} \right)^4}, \quad \theta_{Ca,L} = 1$$

$$\theta_{I_{Ca,L}} = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}, \quad \delta I_{Ca,L} = \theta_{I_{Ca,L}} \cdot \frac{x_{Ca,L} \cdot y_{S_{Ca,L}} \cdot \delta_{Ca,L}}{y_{Ca,L} \cdot x_{S_{Ca,L}} \cdot \theta_{Ca,L}}$$

$$\frac{dC_{CaL}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L} + \delta_{Ca,L} + y_{Ca,L}) \cdot C_{CaL} + \beta_{Ca,L} \cdot O_{CaL} + \theta_{Ca,L} \cdot C_{S_{CaL}} + x_{Ca,L} \cdot CI_{CaL}$$

$$\frac{dO_{CaL}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ca,L} + \delta_{Ca,L} + y_{Ca,L}) \cdot O_{CaL} + \alpha_{Ca,L} \cdot C_{CaL} + \theta_{Ca,L} \cdot O_{S_{CaL}} + x_{Ca,L} \cdot OI_{CaL}$$

$$\frac{dC_{S_{CaL}}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L} + \theta_{Ca,L} + y_{S_{Ca,L}}) \cdot C_{S_{CaL}} + \delta_{Ca,L} \cdot C_{CaL} + \beta_{Ca,L} \cdot O_{S_{CaL}} + x_{S_{Ca,L}} \cdot CIs_{CaL}$$

$$\frac{dO_{S_{CaL}}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ca,L} + \theta_{Ca,L} + y_{S_{Ca,L}}) \cdot O_{S_{CaL}} + \delta_{Ca,L} \cdot O_{CaL} + \alpha_{Ca,L} \cdot C_{S_{CaL}} + x_{S_{Ca,L}} \cdot OIs_{CaL}$$

$$\frac{dCI_{CaL}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L} + \delta I_{Ca,L} + x_{Ca,L}) \cdot CI_{CaL} + y_{Ca,L} \cdot C_{CaL} + \theta I_{Ca,L} \cdot CIs_{CaL} + \beta_{Ca,L} \cdot OI_{CaL}$$

$$\frac{dOI_{CaL}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ca,L} + \delta I_{Ca,L} + x_{Ca,L}) \cdot OI_{CaL} + y_{Ca,L} \cdot O_{CaL} + \theta I_{Ca,L} \cdot OIs_{CaL} + \alpha_{Ca,L} \cdot CI_{CaL}$$

$$\frac{dCIs_{CaL}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L} + \theta I_{Ca,L} + x_{S_{Ca,L}}) \cdot CIs_{CaL} + \delta I_{Ca,L} \cdot CI_{CaL} + y_{S_{Ca,L}} \cdot C_{S_{CaL}} + \beta_{Ca,L} \cdot OIs_{CaL}$$

$$\frac{dOIs_{CaL}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ca,L} + \theta I_{Ca,L} + x_{S_{Ca,L}}) \cdot OIs_{CaL} + \delta I_{Ca,L} \cdot OI_{CaL} + y_{S_{Ca,L}} \cdot O_{S_{CaL}} + \alpha_{Ca,L} \cdot CIs_{CaL}$$

$$P_{Ca,L} = 1.5552 \cdot 10^{-4} \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{s}}, \quad \gamma_{Ca,i} = 1.0, \quad \gamma_{Ca,o} = 0.341$$

$$\bar{I}_{Ca,L} = P_{Ca,L} \cdot (z_{Ca})^2 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F^2}{R \cdot T} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{Ca,i} \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL} \cdot \exp\left(z_{Ca} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - \gamma_{Ca,o} \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_o}{\exp\left(z_{Ca} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - 1}$$

$$I_{Ca,L} = \bar{I}_{Ca,L} \cdot (O_{CaL} + O_{S_{CaL}})$$

1.9.2 HRdβARS version (Chapters 3-5)

The cardiac L-type Ca^{2+} channel is modulated through multiple pathways including phosphorylation by PKA. It plays a major role in the inotropic effect of β AR stimulation. Both the α and β subunits of the channel contain potential phosphorylation sites but the exact role of each subunit in mediating the PKA-dependent effects remains an area of active investigation.^{15, 16} At the level of the channel, phosphorylation results in a mode of gating characterized by more frequent, longer lasting channel openings.^{17, 18} The macroscopic effect in the (canine) ventricular myocyte is a 3-4 fold increase in peak $I_{Ca,L}$, a 10-15 mV leftward shift in the activation I-V relationship and a 10 mV leftward shift in the inactivation I-V relationship.^{19, 20} These characteristics are all reproduced by the phosphorylated version of the eight state Markov model that was adapted based on the baseline model.²¹

Furthermore, it has been shown that β AR stimulation shifted the inactivation of L-type Ca^{2+} channels from largely voltage-dependent to mainly Ca^{2+} -dependent.²² This is incorporated in our model by increasing the rate from the lower to the upper, Ca^{2+} controlled tier such that, upon activation, more channels reside in this upper tier of the Markov model during β AR stimulation compared to baseline conditions. Namiki et al. performed a detailed analysis of the time-course of recovery from inactivation of I_{CaL} in adult and newborn rabbit ventricular myocytes.²³ They concluded that, in the presence of Ca^{2+} buffers EGTA or BAPTA, Forskolin did not alter I_{CaL} recovery from inactivation in adult myocytes, although with the perforated patch technique differences were seen. These differences are likely due to altered $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ and/or CaMKII (see below), and not due to direct PKA dependent phosphorylation (since they were not present with EGTA or BAPTA). As such, I_{CaL} recovery from inactivation is identical in non-phosphorylated and PKA-phosphorylated populations.

The I_{CaL} formulation for both populations was extended to include CaMKII-dependent alterations in channel kinetics based on a previous computational model.²⁴ Parameters were chosen to obtain a 30% increase in peak I_{CaL} and slowed Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation during maximal CaMKII activation under baseline conditions, consistent with experimental observations in rabbit ventricular myocytes.²⁵ In addition, CaMKII-phosphorylated I_{CaL} channels were modeled with accelerated recovery from inactivation, consistent with several experimental studies that showed slowed recovery of inactivation with pharmacological²⁶ or genetic^{27, 28} CaMKII inhibition. The time constant of diffusion between the subspace (SS) and L-type Ca^{2+} channel subspace (SS_{CaL}) was increased to have a larger contribution of SR- Ca^{2+} release to Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of I_{CaL} , consistent with experimental results in rabbit ventricular myocytes.⁷ Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of I_{CaL} also plays a major role in APD responses during altered Ca^{2+} -cycling. For example, Grandi et al. show that Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation is critical for the APD shortening observed when extracellular Ca^{2+} is increased.²⁹ Papp et al. show that an increased CaT (as a result of caffeine-induced Ca^{2+} release from the SR) corresponds to a reduced APD, whereas the subsequent APD in the presence of a small CaT is prolonged.³⁰ The model presented here is able to qualitatively reproduce these experimental observations. Baseline I_{CaL} parameters were adjusted such that rate-dependent APD and CaT properties and I_{CaL} I-V characteristics validated in the baseline model²¹ remain intact.

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$\text{ACT}_\tau = 0.59 + 0.8 \cdot \frac{\exp(0.052 \cdot (V_m + 13))}{1 + \exp(0.132 \cdot (V_m + 13))}$$

$$\text{ACT}_\infty^{\text{NP}} = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 13.56}{9.45}\right)\right) \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 25}{5}\right)\right)}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Ca,L}}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\text{ACT}_\infty^{\text{NP}}}{\text{ACT}_\tau}, \quad \beta_{\text{Ca,L}}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{1 - \text{ACT}_\infty^{\text{NP}}}{\text{ACT}_\tau}$$

$$I_{V,\tau}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{70.0 \cdot \left(1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349)\right)} + \frac{1}{26.553 \cdot \left(1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807)\right)}}$$

$$I_{V,\infty}^{NP} = \frac{1}{1.2474} \cdot \left(0.2472 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 17.5)/3)} \right)$$

$$x_{Ca,L}^{NP} = \frac{I_{V,\infty}^{NP}}{I_{V,\tau}^{NP}}, \quad y_{Ca,L}^{NP} = \frac{1 - I_{V,\infty}^{NP}}{I_{V,\tau}^{NP}}$$

$$\Delta\tau_{Ca,CaMK} = 5.0 \cdot f_{ICaL}^{P,CaMKII}$$

$$Is_{Ca}^{NP} = 13.825 - \frac{6.3836 - \Delta\tau_{Ca,CaMK}}{1 + \left(\frac{1.100 \cdot 10^{-3}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}} \right)^4} - \frac{3.3696}{1 + \left(\frac{1.200 \cdot 10^{-2}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}} \right)^{10}}$$

$$Is_{V,\tau}^{NP} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{70.0 \cdot \left(1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349) \right)} + \frac{1}{Is_{Ca}^{NP} \cdot \left(1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807) \right)}}$$

$$Is_{V,\infty}^{NP} = \frac{1}{1.001} \cdot \left(0.001 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 17.5)/3)} \right)$$

$$xs_{Ca,L}^{NP} = \frac{Is_{V,\infty}^{NP}}{Is_{V,\tau}^{NP}}, \quad ys_{Ca,L}^{NP} = \frac{1 - Is_{V,\infty}^{NP}}{Is_{V,\tau}^{NP}}$$

$$\delta_{Ca,L}^{NP} = \frac{14.9186}{1 + \left(\frac{1.100 \cdot 10^{-3}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}} \right)^4}, \quad \theta_{Ca,L} = 1$$

$$\theta_{I_{Ca,L}} = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}, \quad \delta I_{Ca,L}^{NP} = \theta_{I_{Ca,L}} \cdot \frac{x_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot ys_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot \delta_{Ca,L}^{NP}}{y_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot xs_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot \theta_{Ca,L}}$$

$$\frac{dC_{CaL}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L}^{NP} + \delta_{Ca,L}^{NP} + y_{Ca,L}^{NP}) \cdot C_{CaL}^{NP} + \beta_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot O_{CaL}^{NP} + \theta_{Ca,L} \cdot Cs_{CaL}^{NP} + x_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot CI_{CaL}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dO_{CaL}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ca,L}^{NP} + \delta_{Ca,L}^{NP} + y_{Ca,L}^{NP}) \cdot O_{CaL}^{NP} + \alpha_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot C_{CaL}^{NP} + \theta_{Ca,L} \cdot Os_{CaL}^{NP} + x_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot OI_{CaL}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dCs_{CaL}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L}^{NP} + \theta_{Ca,L} + ys_{Ca,L}^{NP}) \cdot Cs_{CaL}^{NP} + \delta_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot C_{CaL}^{NP} + \beta_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot Os_{CaL}^{NP} + xs_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot Cls_{CaL}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dOs_{CaL}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ca,L}^{NP} + \theta_{Ca,L} + ys_{Ca,L}^{NP}) \cdot Os_{CaL}^{NP} + \delta_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot O_{CaL}^{NP} + \alpha_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot Cs_{CaL}^{NP} + xs_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot OIs_{CaL}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dCI_{CaL}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L}^{NP} + \delta I_{Ca,L}^{NP} + x_{Ca,L}^{NP}) \cdot CI_{CaL}^{NP} + y_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot C_{CaL}^{NP} + \theta_{I_{Ca,L}} \cdot Cls_{CaL}^{NP} + \beta_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot OI_{CaL}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dOI_{CaL}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ca,L}^{NP} + \delta I_{Ca,L}^{NP} + x_{Ca,L}^{NP}) \cdot OI_{CaL}^{NP} + y_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot O_{CaL}^{NP} + \theta_{I_{Ca,L}} \cdot OIs_{CaL}^{NP} + \alpha_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot CI_{CaL}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dCls_{CaL}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L}^{NP} + \theta_{I_{Ca,L}} + xs_{Ca,L}^{NP}) \cdot Cls_{CaL}^{NP} + \delta I_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot CI_{CaL}^{NP} + ys_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot Cs_{CaL}^{NP} + \beta_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot OIs_{CaL}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dOIs_{CaL}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ca,L}^{NP} + \theta_{I_{Ca,L}} + xs_{Ca,L}^{NP}) \cdot OIs_{CaL}^{NP} + \delta I_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot OI_{CaL}^{NP} + ys_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot Os_{CaL}^{NP} + \alpha_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot Cls_{CaL}^{NP}$$

$$P_{Ca,L/NP} = 1.5552 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot (1 + 0.4 \cdot f_{ICaL}^{P,CaMKII}) \frac{cm}{s}, \quad \gamma_{Ca,i} = 1.0, \quad \gamma_{Ca,o} = 0.341$$

$$\bar{I}_{Ca,L}^{NP} = P_{Ca,L/NP} \cdot (z_{Ca})^2 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F^2}{R \cdot T} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{Ca,i} \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL} \cdot \exp\left(z_{Ca} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - \gamma_{Ca,o} \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_o}{\exp\left(z_{Ca} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - 1}$$

$$I_{Ca,L}^{NP} = \bar{I}_{Ca,L}^{NP} \cdot (O_{CaL}^{NP} + O_{S_{CaL}}^{NP})$$

Phosphorylated Channels:

$$ACT_{\infty}^P = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - -4.798}{7.5699}\right)\right) \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 25}{5}\right)\right)}$$

$$\alpha_{Ca,L}^P = \frac{ACT_{\infty}^P}{ACT_{\tau}^P}, \quad \beta_{Ca,L}^P = \frac{1 - ACT_{\infty}^P}{ACT_{\tau}^P}$$

$$I_{V,\tau}^P = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{70.0 \cdot \left(1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349)\right)} + \frac{1}{30 \cdot \left(1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807)\right)}}$$

$$I_{V,\infty}^P = \frac{1}{1.100} \cdot \left(0.100 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 29.979)/3.1775)}\right)$$

$$x_{Ca,L}^P = \frac{I_{V,\infty}^P}{I_{V,\tau}^P}, \quad y_{Ca,L}^P = \frac{1 - I_{V,\infty}^P}{I_{V,\tau}^P}$$

$$\Delta\tau_{Ca,CaMK} = 0.1 \cdot f_{ICaL}^{P,CaMKII}$$

$$Is_{Ca}^P = 32.5 - \frac{18.0 - \Delta\tau_{Ca,CaMK}}{1 + \left(\frac{0.002}{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}}\right)^4} - \frac{10.0}{1 + \left(\frac{0.010}{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}}\right)^{10}}$$

$$Is_{V,\tau}^P = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{70.0 \cdot \left(1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349)\right)} + \frac{1}{Is_{Ca}^P \cdot \left(1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807)\right)}}$$

$$Is_{V,\infty}^P = \frac{1}{1.0001} \cdot \left(0.0001 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 29.979)/3.1775)}\right)$$

$$xs_{Ca,L}^P = \frac{Is_{V,\infty}^P}{Is_{V,\tau}^P}, \quad ys_{Ca,L}^P = \frac{1 - Is_{V,\infty}^P}{Is_{V,\tau}^P}$$

$$\delta_{Ca,L}^P = \frac{6.000}{1 + \left(\frac{0.002}{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}}\right)^4}$$

$$\delta I_{Ca,L}^P = \theta I_{Ca,L} \cdot \frac{x_{Ca,L}^P \cdot ys_{Ca,L}^P \cdot \delta_{Ca,L}^P}{y_{Ca,L}^P \cdot xs_{Ca,L}^P \cdot \theta_{Ca,L}}$$

$$\frac{dC_{CaL}^P}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ca,L}^P + \delta_{Ca,L}^P + y_{Ca,L}^P) \cdot C_{CaL}^P + \beta_{Ca,L}^P \cdot O_{CaL}^P + \theta_{Ca,L} \cdot Cs_{CaL}^P + x_{Ca,L}^P \cdot Cl_{CaL}^P$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dO_{CaL}^P}{dt} &= -(\beta_{CaL}^P + \delta_{CaL}^P + y_{CaL}^P) \cdot O_{CaL}^P + \alpha_{CaL}^P \cdot C_{CaL}^P + \theta_{CaL} \cdot Os_{CaL}^P + x_{CaL}^P \cdot OI_{CaL}^P \\
\frac{dCs_{CaL}^P}{dt} &= -(\alpha_{CaL}^P + \theta_{CaL} + y_{CaL}^P) \cdot Cs_{CaL}^P + \delta_{CaL}^P \cdot C_{CaL}^P + \beta_{CaL}^P \cdot Os_{CaL}^P + xs_{CaL}^P \cdot Cls_{CaL}^P \\
\frac{dOs_{CaL}^P}{dt} &= -(\beta_{CaL}^P + \theta_{CaL} + y_{CaL}^P) \cdot Os_{CaL}^P + \delta_{CaL}^P \cdot O_{CaL}^P + \alpha_{CaL}^P \cdot Cs_{CaL}^P + xs_{CaL}^P \cdot OIs_{CaL}^P \\
\frac{dCl_{CaL}^P}{dt} &= -(\alpha_{CaL}^P + \delta_{CaL}^P + x_{CaL}^P) \cdot Cl_{CaL}^P + y_{CaL}^P \cdot C_{CaL}^P + \theta_{CaL} \cdot Cls_{CaL}^P + \beta_{CaL}^P \cdot OI_{CaL}^P \\
\frac{dOI_{CaL}^P}{dt} &= -(\beta_{CaL}^P + \delta_{CaL}^P + x_{CaL}^P) \cdot OI_{CaL}^P + y_{CaL}^P \cdot O_{CaL}^P + \theta_{CaL} \cdot OIs_{CaL}^P + \alpha_{CaL}^P \cdot Cl_{CaL}^P \\
\frac{dCls_{CaL}^P}{dt} &= -(\alpha_{CaL}^P + \theta_{CaL} + xs_{CaL}^P) \cdot Cls_{CaL}^P + \delta_{CaL}^P \cdot Cl_{CaL}^P + y_{CaL}^P \cdot Cs_{CaL}^P + \beta_{CaL}^P \cdot OIs_{CaL}^P \\
\frac{dOIs_{CaL}^P}{dt} &= -(\beta_{CaL}^P + \theta_{CaL} + xs_{CaL}^P) \cdot OIs_{CaL}^P + \delta_{CaL}^P \cdot OI_{CaL}^P + y_{CaL}^P \cdot Os_{CaL}^P + \alpha_{CaL}^P \cdot Cls_{CaL}^P
\end{aligned}$$

$$P_{CaL/P} = 2.579 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot (1 + 0.1 \cdot f_{ICaL}^{P, CaMKII}) \frac{cm}{s}$$

$$\bar{I}_{CaL}^P = P_{CaL/P} \cdot (z_{Ca})^2 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F^2}{R \cdot T} \cdot \frac{\gamma_{Ca,i} \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_{ss,CaL} \cdot \exp\left(z_{Ca} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - \gamma_{Ca,o} \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_o}{\exp\left(z_{Ca} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - 1}$$

$$I_{CaL}^P = \bar{I}_{CaL}^P \cdot (O_{CaL}^P + Os_{CaL}^P)$$

Combined current:

$$\hat{f}_{CaL}^P = \frac{f_{ICaL}^P - \left(0.0269 + \frac{[ICaL]_{ARN}}{[ICaL]_{tot}}\right)}{0.9273 - \left(0.0269 + \frac{[ICaL]_{ARN}}{[ICaL]_{tot}}\right)}$$

$$I_{CaL} = \hat{f}_{CaL}^P \cdot I_{CaL}^P + (1 - \hat{f}_{CaL}^P) \cdot I_{CaL}^{NP}$$

1.9.3 HRdβARS SCR version (Chapter 6)

The model structure and majority of parameters are identical to those in section 1.9.2. Parameters that control V_m and Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation are as follows:

$$I_{V,\tau}^P = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{70.0 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349))} + \frac{1}{75 \cdot (1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807))}}$$

$$I_{V,\infty}^P = \frac{1}{1.02} \cdot \left(0.02 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 29.979)/3.1775)}\right)$$

$$Is_{V,\infty}^P = \frac{1}{1.0004} \cdot \left(0.0004 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 29.979)/3.1775)}\right)$$

1.9.4 HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)

The model structure and majority of parameters are identical to those in section 1.9.2. Parameters that control V_m and Ca^{2+} -dependent inactivation of the non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated I_{CaL} formulations were adjusted slightly to reduce the sensitivity of the model for the generation of early afterdepolarizations (EADs) in response to I_{Kr} blockade (requiring 80% I_{Kr} reduction to generate EADs compared to 25% in the absence of these changes) and to match the amount of action potential duration (APD) prolongation after I_{Ks} blockade in the presence of adrenergic stimulation, while maintaining peak I-V and inactivation characteristics similar to experimental recordings.

$$I_{V,\tau}^{NP} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{70.0 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349))} + \frac{1}{26.553 \cdot (1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807))}}$$

$$I_{V,\infty}^{NP} = \frac{1}{1.2474} \cdot \left(0.2474 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 19.0)/2.5)} \right)$$

$$I_{S_{V,\infty}}^{NP} = \frac{1}{1.04} \cdot \left(0.04 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 19.0)/2.5)} \right)$$

$$I_{V,\tau}^P = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{70.0 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 49.10)/10.349))} + \frac{1}{75 \cdot (1 + \exp(-(V_m + 0.213)/10.807))}}$$

$$I_{V,\infty}^P = \frac{1}{1.02} \cdot \left(0.02 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 29.979)/3.1775)} \right)$$

$$I_{S_{V,\infty}}^P = \frac{1}{1.0007} \cdot \left(0.0007 + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 29.979)/3.1775)} \right)$$

1.10 Sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} pump (I_{pCa})

1.10.1 HRd2009 and HRdβARS version (Chapters 2-6)

The formulation for the sarcolemmal calcium pump is equivalent to that in the original HRd model.⁴

$$\bar{G}_{pCa} = 0.0575 \text{ mS}/\mu\text{F}, \quad K_{m,pCa} = 5.00 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/L}$$

$$I_{pCa} = \bar{G}_{pCa} \cdot \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_i}{K_{m,pCa} + [Ca^{2+}]_i}$$

1.10.2 HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)

The SR and sarcolemmal Ca^{2+} -ATPases (underlying I_{up} and I_{pCa} , respectively) were simulated using the two-state, four-transition model structure of Tran et al.³¹ Parameters of the models were adjusted to reproduce the Ca^{2+} dependence of the deterministic formulations (section 1.10.1). We assumed that the state distribution changes more rapidly than the changes in ionic concentrations on both sides of the pump, resulting in a quasi-equilibrium situation in which individual rates are decoupled. The

number of ions transported via each transition was derived from a Binomial distribution and the net ion flux (or current) in the interval Δt was determined.

$$\bar{N}_{pCa} = 2.00 \cdot 10^6 \text{ pumps}$$

$$k_{pCa,1+} = 5.2272 \cdot 10^3 \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_i \cdot \frac{1.00 \cdot 10^5}{\bar{N}_{pCa}}, \quad k_{pCa,1-} = 1.7362 \cdot \frac{1.00 \cdot 10^5}{\bar{N}_{pCa}}$$

$$k_{pCa,2-} = 0.2503 \cdot \frac{1.00 \cdot 10^5}{\bar{N}_{pCa}}$$

$$n_{pCa} = \frac{k_{pCa,2-}}{k_{pCa,1+} + k_{pCa,1-} + k_{pCa,2-}} \cdot \bar{N}_{pCa}$$

$$I_{pCa} = \frac{B(n_{pCa}, k_{pCa,1+} \cdot \Delta t) \cdot z_{Ca} \cdot 10^9 \cdot F}{\Delta t \cdot N_A \cdot C_m \cdot A_{cap}}$$

1.11 Background Cl^- current ($I_{Cl,b}$)

1.11.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7)

The formulation for the background chloride current is equivalent to that in the original HRd model.⁴

$$G_{Cl,b} = 2.2500 \text{ pA/pF}$$

$$I_{Cl,b} = G_{Cl,b} \cdot (V_m - E_{Cl})$$

1.12 Ca^{2+} -dependent Cl^- current ($I_{Cl(Ca)} / I_{To,2}$)

1.12.1 HRd2009, HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7)

A formulation for $I_{Cl(Ca)}/I_{To,2}$ was developed and fit to data from canine epicardial myocytes.³² The formulation reflects the following properties of $I_{Cl(Ca)}$: i) Cl^- carrying current ii) activation by SR $[Ca^{2+}]$ release and iii) current voltage relationship³².

$$k_{Ca,Cl} = 0.4, \quad \tau_{Cl(Ca)} = 8 \text{ ms}, \quad P_{Cl} = 9.0 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ cm/s}$$

$$K_{Cl(Ca)} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{J_{Rel}}{k_{Ca,Cl}}\right)^2}$$

$$\alpha_{Cl(Ca)} = \frac{0.025}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 58}{5.0}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{Cl(Ca)} = \frac{1}{5 \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 19}{-9.0}\right)\right)}$$

$$i_{Cl(Ca),\infty} = \frac{\alpha_{Ca,Cl}}{\alpha_{Ca,Cl} + \beta_{Ca,Cl}}$$

$$\frac{di_{Cl(Ca)}}{dt} = \frac{i_{Cl(Ca),\infty} - i_{Cl(Ca)}}{\tau_{Cl(Ca)}}$$

$$\bar{I}_{Cl(Ca)} = P_{Cl} \cdot (z_{Cl})^2 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F^2}{R \cdot T} \cdot \frac{[Cl^-]_i - [Cl^-]_o \cdot \exp\left(-z_{Cl} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-z_{Cl} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$I_{Cl(Ca)} = \bar{I}_{Cl(Ca)} \cdot i_{Cl(Ca)} \cdot K_{Cl(Ca)}$$

1.13 Diffusion between subcellular compartments ($I_{diff,Ca}$, $I_{diff,Cl}$, $I_{diff,Na}$, I_{tr})

1.13.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

L-type Ca^{2+} channels are located in a local subspace (SS,CaL). Ryanodine receptors, $I_{Cl(Ca)}$ channels and 20% of Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchangers are located in a Ca^{2+} release subspace (SS,SR). Ca^{2+} diffusion occurs between SS,CaL and SS,SR ($I_{diff,SS}$) and diffusion of Ca^{2+} , Na^+ and Cl^- occur between the SS,SR and the bulk myoplasm ($I_{diff,Ca}$, $I_{diff,Na}$ and $I_{diff,Cl}$). The time constant of NSR/JSR Ca^{2+} transfer (I_{tr}) was adjusted to 25 ms.

$$I_{tr} = \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{NSR} - [Ca^{2+}]_{JSR}}{\tau_{tr}}, \quad \tau_{tr} = 25 \text{ ms}$$

$$I_{Diff,SS} = \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,SR} - [Ca^{2+}]_{SS,CaL}}{\tau_{Diff,SS}}, \quad \tau_{Diff,SS} = 2.0 \text{ ms}$$

$$I_{Diff,Ca} = \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{SS,SR} - [Ca^{2+}]_i}{\tau_{Diff}}, \quad \tau_{Diff} = 0.2 \text{ ms}$$

$$I_{Diff,Na} = \frac{[Na^+]_{SS,SR} - [Na^+]_i}{\tau_{Diff}}$$

$$I_{Diff,Cl} = \frac{[Cl^-]_{SS,SR} - [Cl^-]_i}{\tau_{Diff}}$$

1.13.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARS stoch version (Chapters 3,4,5,7)

Model structure in the HRd β ARS models is identical to that of the HRd2009 model. The time constant of recovery for the SR Ca^{2+} system, represented by τ_{tr} which is independent of RyR phosphorylation, is increased to 75 ms, closer to the value in the original HRd model⁴ in order to prevent premature secondary Ca^{2+} induced Ca^{2+} release events due to the high SR Ca^{2+} load and large I_{CaL} during β -adrenergic stimulation which would result in nonmonotonic decay of the Ca^{2+} transient, which is not observed experimentally. The time constant of Ca^{2+} diffusion is also adjusted.

$$\tau_{Diff,SS} = 0.02 \text{ ms}$$

$$\tau_{tr} = 75 \text{ ms}$$

1.13.3 HRd β ARS SCR version (Chapter 6)

The time constant of Ca^{2+} transfer from NSR to JSR was further slowed to 100 ms to match experimental data on the amount of APD prolongation after spontaneous Ca^{2+} release (**Chapter 5**).

$$\tau_{\text{Diff,SS}} = 0.02 \text{ ms}$$

$$\tau_{\text{tr}} = 100 \text{ ms}$$

1.14 Inward rectifier K^+ current (I_{K1})

1.14.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

The formulation for the inward rectifier potassium current is equivalent to that in the original HRd model.⁴

$$\alpha_{K1} = \frac{1.02}{1 + \exp(2.385 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot (V_m - E_K - 59.22))}$$

$$\beta_{K1} = \frac{0.4912 \cdot \exp(8.032 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot (V_m - E_K + 5.476)) + \exp(6.175 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot (V_m - E_K - 5.943 \cdot 10^2))}{1 + \exp(-0.5143 \cdot (V_m - E_K + 4.753))}$$

$$K_1 = \frac{\alpha_{K1}}{\alpha_{K1} + \beta_{K1}}$$

$$\bar{G}_{K1} = 0.500 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{[\text{K}^+]_o}{5.400}}$$

$$I_{K1} = \bar{G}_{K1} \cdot K_1 \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

1.14.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARSStoch version (Chapters 3-7)

Wagner et al recently described complex regulation of I_{K1} by CaMKII.³³ CaMKII overexpression (either transgenic or acutely with adenovirus) resulted in 30% decrease in I_{K1} channel protein. However, I_{K1} current was increased in rabbit ventricular myocytes acutely overexpressing CaMKII, and this effect was abolished by CaMKII inhibition with AIP. Thus, it is likely that CaMKII phosphorylation increases I_{K1} and that this effect can offset the reduction in channel protein expression resulting from long term CaMKII activity. Based on this mechanism, we included a 20% upregulation of I_{K1} in CaMKII phosphorylated channels.

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$\alpha_{K1} = \frac{1.02}{1 + \exp(0.2385 \cdot (V_m - E_K - 59.215))}$$

$$\beta_{K1} = \frac{0.49124 \cdot \exp(0.08032 \cdot (V_m - E_K + 5.476)) + \exp(0.06175 \cdot (V_m - E_K - 594.31))}{1 + \exp(-0.5143 \cdot (V_m - E_K + 4.753))}$$

$$\bar{G}_{K1} = 0.500 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{[K^+]_o}{5.400}}$$

$$I_{K1}^{NP} = \bar{G}_{K1} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{K1}}{\alpha_{K1} + \beta_{K1}} \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

CaMKII phosphorylated Channels:

$$I_{K1}^{CaMKII} = 1.200 \cdot \bar{G}_{K1} \cdot \frac{\alpha_{K1}}{\alpha_{K1} + \beta_{K1}} \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

Combined I_{K1} current:

$$I_{K1} = (1 - f_{K1}^{P,CaMKII}) \cdot I_{K1}^{NP} + f_{IK1}^{P,CaMKII} \cdot I_{K1}^{P,CaMKII}$$

1.15 Rapidly activating delayed rectifier K^+ current (I_{Kr})

1.15.1 HRd2009 and HRd β ARS version (Chapters 2-6)

The formulation for the rapid component of the delayed rectifier potassium current is equivalent to that in the original HRd model.⁴

$$\tau_{Xr} = \frac{1}{\frac{6.000 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot (V_m - 1.738)}{1 - \exp(-0.136 \cdot (V_m - 1.738))} + \frac{3.000 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot (V_m + 38.36)}{\exp(0.152 \cdot (V_m + 38.36)) - 1}}$$

$$X_{r,\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(V_m + 10.08)/4.250)}$$

$$R_{Kr} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 10.00)/15.40)}$$

$$\frac{dX_r}{dt} = \frac{X_{r,\infty} - X_r}{\tau_{Xr}}$$

$$\bar{G}_{Kr} = 1.385 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{[K^+]_o}{5.400}}$$

$$I_{Kr} = \bar{G}_{Kr} \cdot X_r \cdot R_{Kr} \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

1.15.2 HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)

The original Hodgkin-Huxley based formulation of I_{Kr} was replaced with a 10-state Markov model based on the model structure described by Silva and Rudy³⁴ extended with state-dependent block of I_{Kr} channels by dofetilide (**Figure 1A of Chapter 7**). Model parameters were adjusted to reproduce I_{Kr} peak and tail I-V relationships, time constants of activation and time constants of deactivation measured in canine ventricular myocytes³⁵ (**Figure 1B-D of Chapter 7**). Channels can enter the

blocked mode via open and inactivated states, based on a V_m -dependent modulation of the dofetilide EC50.³⁶ The model reproduces dofetilide dose-response relationship measured in rabbit ventricular myocytes,³⁷ use-dependence of relative inhibition as determined in AT-1 cells³⁶ and V_m -dependence of dofetilide EC50³⁶ (**Figure 1E-G of Chapter 7**).

$$\alpha_{Kr,2} = 4.8712 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \exp\left(2.5082 \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\beta_{Kr,2} = 5.0582 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(0.8035 \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\alpha_{Kr,1} = 3.1224, \beta_{Kr,1} = 2.3685$$

$$\alpha_{Kr} = 1.1070 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(1.5816 \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\beta_{Kr} = 3.7892 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \exp\left(1.1872 \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\alpha_{Kr,i} = 4.8457 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(0.7296 \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) \cdot \frac{4.5}{[K^+]_o}$$

$$\beta_{Kr,i} = 1.2120 \cdot \exp\left(0.5816 \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{4.5}{[K^+]_o}\right)^{0.3}$$

$$\mu_{Kr} = \frac{\alpha_{Kr,i} \cdot \beta_{Kr,2}}{\beta_{Kr,i}}$$

$$k_{Dof,on} = 5.2353 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot [Dof], \quad k_{Dof,off} = 3.2172 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$k_{Dof,on,i} = 2.7898 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot [Dof], \quad k_{Dof,off,i} = 8.7055 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\alpha_{Kr,i,Dof} = \frac{k_{Dof,off,i} \cdot \alpha_{Kr,i} \cdot k_{Dof,on}}{k_{Dof,off} \cdot k_{Dof,on,i}}$$

$$\mu_{Kr,Dof} = \frac{\alpha_{Kr,i,Dof} \cdot \beta_{Kr,2}}{\beta_{Kr,i}}$$

$$\frac{dC3_{Kr}}{dt} = \beta_{Kr} \cdot C2_{Kr} - \alpha_{Kr} \cdot C3_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{dC2_{Kr}}{dt} = \beta_{Kr,1} \cdot C1_{Kr} + \alpha_{Kr} \cdot C3_{Kr} - (\alpha_{Kr,1} + \beta_{Kr}) \cdot C2_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{dC1_{Kr}}{dt} = \alpha_{Kr,1} \cdot C2_{Kr} + \beta_{Kr,2} \cdot O_{Kr} + \mu_{Kr} \cdot In_{Kr} - (2 \cdot \alpha_{Kr,2} + \beta_{Kr,1}) \cdot C1_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{dO_{Kr}}{dt} = \alpha_{Kr,i} \cdot In_{Kr} + \alpha_{Kr,2} \cdot C1_{Kr} + k_{Dof,off} \cdot B_{Kr} - (\beta_{Kr,i} + \beta_{Kr,2} + k_{Dof,on}) \cdot O_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{dIn}{dt} = \alpha_{Kr,2} \cdot C1_{Kr} + \beta_{Kr,i} \cdot O_{Kr} + k_{Dof,off,i} \cdot InB_{Kr} - (\mu_{Kr} + \alpha_{Kr,i} + k_{Dof,on,i}) \cdot In_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{dC3B_{Kr}}{dt} = \beta_{Kr} \cdot C2B_{Kr} - \alpha_{Kr} \cdot C3B_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{dC2B_{Kr}}{dt} = \beta_{Kr,1} \cdot C1B_{Kr} + \alpha_{Kr} \cdot C3B_{Kr} - (\alpha_{Kr,1} + \beta_{Kr}) \cdot C2B_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{dC1B_{Kr}}{dt} = \alpha_{Kr,1} \cdot C2B_{Kr} + \beta_{Kr,2} \cdot B_{Kr} + \mu_{Kr,Dof} \cdot \ln B_{Kr} - (2 \cdot \alpha_{Kr,2} + \beta_{Kr,1}) \cdot C1B_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{dB_{Kr}}{dt} = \alpha_{Kr,i,Dof} \cdot \ln B_{Kr} + \alpha_{Kr,2} \cdot C1B_{Kr} + k_{Dof,on} \cdot O_{Kr} - (\beta_{Kr,i} + \beta_{Kr,2} + k_{Dof,off}) \cdot B_{Kr}$$

$$\frac{d\ln B}{dt} = \alpha_{Kr,2} \cdot C1B_{Kr} + \beta_{Kr,i} \cdot B_{Kr} + k_{Dof,on,i} \cdot \ln K_{Kr} - (\mu_{Kr,Dof} + \alpha_{Kr,i,Dof} + k_{Dof,off,i}) \cdot \ln B_{Kr}$$

$$G_{Kr} = 5.2530 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot ([K^+]_o)^{0.59}$$

$$I_{Kr} = G_{Kr} \cdot O_{Kr} \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

1.16 Slowly activating delayed rectifier K⁺ current (I_{Ks})

1.16.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

The Hodgkin-Huxley based formulation for I_{Ks} used in a previous model of the canine epicardial myocyte⁴ was replaced with the 17 state Markov model proposed by Silva and Rudy³⁴. The structure of the I_{Ks} model is shown in **Figure 11** of **Chapter 2**. The original Silva-Rudy model accurately reproduces the kinetics of I_{Ks} in guinea pig and human based on underlying voltage sensor transitions and has provided insight into the role of I_{Ks} in APD adaptation in these species.³⁴ Transition rate parameters were adjusted to fit the following data from canine epicardial and midmyocardial myocytes: i) tail current amplitudes at holding potentials from -20 to +70 mV after 300 ms and 3000 ms of activation³⁸; ii) tail currents at holding potentials from -10 to 60 mV after 5000 ms of activation^{35, 39}; iii) activation half-time on depolarization to +20 mV^{35, 39}; iv) deactivation half-times for tail currents to -25, -50 and -80 mV^{35, 39} and v) accumulation characteristics for inter-pulse intervals between 20 and 150 ms after 200 ms depolarizing steps³⁹. Current density was scaled to that of canine epicardial myocytes.^{35, 39}

$$\alpha_{Ks} = 1.4864 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp(2.9877 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\beta_{Ks} = 8.3986 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp(-5.5461 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\gamma_{Ks} = 1.4601 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp(2.4465 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\delta_{Ks} = 3.1173 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \exp(-4.2625 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\eta_{Ks} = 7.7320 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp(-6.4726 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\theta_{Ks} = 8.9538 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$\omega_{Ks} = 7.9405 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp(-8.0174 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\psi_{Ks} = 5.8638 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp(2.8206 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\frac{dC1_{Ks}}{dt} = -(4 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}) \cdot C1_{Ks} + \beta_{Ks} \cdot C2_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dC2_{Ks}}{dt} = -(3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} + \beta_{Ks} + \gamma_{Ks}) \cdot C2_{Ks} + 4 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C1_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks} \cdot C3_{Ks} + \delta_{Ks} \cdot C6_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dC3_{Ks}}{dt} = -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}) \cdot C3_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C2_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks} \cdot C4_{Ks} + \delta_{Ks} \cdot C7_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dC4_{Ks}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}) \cdot C4_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C3_{Ks} + 4 \cdot \beta_{Ks} \cdot C5_{Ks} + \delta_{Ks} \cdot C8_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC5_{Ks}}{dt} = -(4 \cdot \beta_{Ks} + 4 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}) \cdot C5_{Ks} + \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C4_{Ks} + \delta_{Ks} \cdot C9_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dC6_{Ks}}{dt} = -(3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} + \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C6_{Ks} + \beta_{Ks} \cdot C7_{Ks} + \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C2_{Ks}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC7_{Ks}}{dt} = & -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} + \beta_{Ks} + \gamma_{Ks} + \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C7_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C6_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks} \cdot C8_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C3_{Ks} + 2 \\ & \cdot \delta_{Ks} \cdot C10_{Ks} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC8_{Ks}}{dt} = & -(\alpha_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} + \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C8_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C7_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks} \cdot C9_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C4_{Ks} \\ & + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks} \cdot C11_{Ks} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dC9_{Ks}}{dt} = -(3 \cdot \beta_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} + \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C9_{Ks} + \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C8_{Ks} + 4 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C5_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks} \cdot C12_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dC10_{Ks}}{dt} = -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C10_{Ks} + \beta_{Ks} \cdot C11_{Ks} + \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C7_{Ks}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC11_{Ks}}{dt} = & -(\alpha_{Ks} + \beta_{Ks} + \gamma_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C11_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C10_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks} \cdot C12_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} \\ & \cdot C8_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks} \cdot C13_{Ks} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dC12_{Ks}}{dt} = -(2 \cdot \beta_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C12_{Ks} + \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C11_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C9_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks} \cdot C14_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dC13_{Ks}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C13_{Ks} + \beta_{Ks} \cdot C14_{Ks} + \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C11_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dC14_{Ks}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ks} + \gamma_{Ks} + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}) \cdot C14_{Ks} + \alpha_{Ks} \cdot C13_{Ks} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C12_{Ks} + 4 \cdot \delta_{Ks} \cdot C15_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dC15_{Ks}}{dt} = -(4 \cdot \delta_{Ks} + \theta_{Ks}) \cdot C15_{Ks} + \gamma_{Ks} \cdot C14_{Ks} + \eta_{Ks} \cdot O1_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dO1_{Ks}}{dt} = -(\eta_{Ks} + \psi_{Ks}) \cdot O1_{Ks} + \theta_{Ks} \cdot C15_{Ks} + \omega_{Ks} \cdot O2_{Ks}$$

$$\frac{dO2_{Ks}}{dt} = -(\omega_{Ks}) \cdot O2_{Ks} + \psi_{Ks} \cdot O1_{Ks}$$

$$\bar{G}_{Ks} = 0.19561 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.6}{1 + \left(3.8 \cdot \frac{10^{-5}}{[Ca^{2+}]_i} \right)^{1.4}} \right)$$

$$I_{Ks} = \bar{G}_{Ks} \cdot (O1_{Ks} + O2_{Ks}) \cdot (V_m - E_{Ks})$$

1.16.2 HRdβARS and HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapters 3,5-7)

I_{Ks} kinetics, current amplitude and adrenergic modulation are species and age dependent.⁴⁰ In large mammals (dog, human), I_{Ks} is small under baseline conditions with slow activation kinetics and fast deactivation kinetics.³⁹ Phosphorylation by PKA significantly increases I_{Ks} in most environments, including guinea pig ventricular myocytes,⁴¹ human I_{Ks} expressed in HEK cells⁴² and (adult) canine ventricular myocytes³⁸. In the dog a 3-fold increase in current amplitude, left-ward shift in tail current amplitude I-V curve, increased activation kinetics and decreased deactivation kinetics are observed in the presence of isoproterenol.^{38, 39} The 17-state Markov model (**Figure 11 of Chapter 2**), which represent 2 transitions of the voltage sensor for each of the 4 subunits³⁴ is used here. Both its baseline and βAR versions reproduce experimental data from canine ventricular myocytes in the absence or presence of isoproterenol.^{38, 39} The increased I_{Ks} observed during adrenergic stimulation can result from increased channel density, increased single channel conductance and/or increased channel open probability. Recently, Severi et al. used the same Markov model structure to simulate I_{Ks} and its modulation by adrenergic stimulation in guinea pig ventricular myocytes.⁴¹ They showed that an increase in total conductance is not required to simulate all I_{Ks} properties during adrenergic stimulation. Similarly, we are able to reproduce both baseline and adrenergic stimulated characteristics of canine I_{Ks} without altering the total conductance.

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{7.3990 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 3.1196 \cdot 10^{-2}}{8.0019 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{5.6992 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m - 4.1520 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1.3489} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\gamma_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{3.8839 \cdot 10^{-1}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 1.5019 \cdot 10^{-1}}{6.0693 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\delta_{Ks}^{NP} = 9.0654 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(-1.1157 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\eta_{Ks}^{NP} = 3.1124 \cdot 10^{-3} + \frac{2.8330 \cdot 10^{-2} - 3.1124 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 5.1660 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1.5522} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\theta_{Ks}^{NP} = 2.7304 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$\omega_{Ks}^{NP} = 4.4198 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(-1.2022 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\psi_{Ks}^{NP} = 4.0173 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp(2.0873 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\frac{dC1_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(4 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C1_{Ks}^{NP} + \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C2_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC2_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + \gamma_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C2_{Ks}^{NP} + 4 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C1_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C3_{Ks}^{NP} + \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C6_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC3_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C3_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C2_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C4_{Ks}^{NP} + \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C7_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC4_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C4_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C3_{Ks}^{NP} + 4 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C5_{Ks}^{NP} + \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C8_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC5_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(4 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + 4 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C5_{Ks}^{NP} + \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C4_{Ks}^{NP} + \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C9_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC6_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C6_{Ks}^{NP} + \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C7_{Ks}^{NP} + \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C2_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC7_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = & -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} + \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C7_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C6_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C8_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C3_{Ks}^{NP} \\ & + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C10_{Ks}^{NP} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC8_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = & -(\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} + \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C8_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C7_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C9_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \\ & \cdot C4_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C11_{Ks}^{NP} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dC9_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(3 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} + \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C9_{Ks}^{NP} + \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C8_{Ks}^{NP} + 4 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C5_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C12_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC10_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C10_{Ks}^{NP} + \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C11_{Ks}^{NP} + \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C7_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC11_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = & -(\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C11_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C10_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C12_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \\ & \cdot C8_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C13_{Ks}^{NP} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC12_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = & -(2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C12_{Ks}^{NP} + \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C11_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C9_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \\ & \cdot C14_{Ks}^{NP} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dC13_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C13_{Ks}^{NP} + \beta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C14_{Ks}^{NP} + \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C11_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC14_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\beta_{Ks}^{NP} + \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C14_{Ks}^{NP} + \alpha_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C13_{Ks}^{NP} + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C12_{Ks}^{NP} + 4 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C15_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dC15_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(4 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^{NP} + \theta_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot C15_{Ks}^{NP} + \gamma_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C14_{Ks}^{NP} + \eta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot O1_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dO1_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\eta_{Ks}^{NP} + \psi_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot O1_{Ks}^{NP} + \theta_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot C15_{Ks}^{NP} + \omega_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot O2_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dO2_{Ks}^{NP}}{dt} = -(\omega_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot O2_{Ks}^{NP} + \psi_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot O1_{Ks}^{NP}$$

$$\bar{G}_{Ks}^{NP} = 0.19561 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.6}{1 + \left(3.8 \cdot \frac{10^{-5}}{[Ca^{2+}]_i} \right)^{1.4}} \right)$$

$$P_{Na/K} = 0.01833$$

$$E_{Ks} = \frac{R \cdot T}{F} \cdot \log \left(\frac{[K^+]_o + P_{Na/K} \cdot [Na^+]_o}{[K^+]_i + P_{Na/K} \cdot [Na^+]_i} \right)$$

$$I_{Ks}^{NP} = \bar{G}_{Ks}^{NP} \cdot (O1_{Ks}^{NP} + O2_{Ks}^{NP}) \cdot (V_m - E_{Ks})$$

Phosphorylated Channels:

$$\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{9.9415 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 4.4809 \cdot 10^{-2}}{5.8172 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{3.3201 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m - 9.4217 \cdot 10^{-2}}{0.9536} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\gamma_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{5.6356 \cdot 10^{-1}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 1.7986 \cdot 10^{-1}}{5.8381 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\delta_{Ks}^{NP} = 6.5700 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(-1.1899 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\eta_{Ks}^{NP} = 3.8525 \cdot 10^{-4} + \frac{1.2406 \cdot 10^{-2} - 3.8525 \cdot 10^{-4}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 6.4118 \cdot 10^{-2}}{7.7992 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\theta_{Ks}^{NP} = 4.6171 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$\omega_{Ks}^{NP} = 2.3730 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(-1.9742 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\psi_{Ks}^{NP} = 2.2652 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(2.4689 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\frac{dC1_{Ks}^P}{dt} = -(4 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P) \cdot C1_{Ks}^P + \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C2_{Ks}^P$$

$$\frac{dC2_{Ks}^P}{dt} = -(3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P + \beta_{Ks}^P + \gamma_{Ks}^P) \cdot C2_{Ks}^P + 4 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C1_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C3_{Ks}^P + \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C6_{Ks}^P$$

$$\frac{dC3_{Ks}^P}{dt} = -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P) \cdot C3_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C2_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C4_{Ks}^P + \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C7_{Ks}^P$$

$$\frac{dC4_{Ks}^P}{dt} = -(1 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P) \cdot C4_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C3_{Ks}^P + 4 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C5_{Ks}^P + \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C8_{Ks}^P$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dC5_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(4 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P + 4 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P) \cdot C5_{Ks}^P + \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C4_{Ks}^P + \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C9_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC6_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P + \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C6_{Ks}^P + \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C7_{Ks}^P + \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C2_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC7_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P + \beta_{Ks}^P + \gamma_{Ks}^P + \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C7_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C6_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C8_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C3_{Ks}^P + 2 \\
&\quad \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C10_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC8_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(\alpha_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P + \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C8_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C7_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C9_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C4_{Ks}^P \\
&\quad + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C11_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC9_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(3 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P + \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C9_{Ks}^P + \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C8_{Ks}^P + 4 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C5_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C12_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC10_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C10_{Ks}^P + \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C11_{Ks}^P + \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C7_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC11_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(\alpha_{Ks}^P + \beta_{Ks}^P + \gamma_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C11_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C10_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C12_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P \\
&\quad \cdot C8_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C13_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC12_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(2 \cdot \beta_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C12_{Ks}^P + \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C11_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C9_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C14_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC13_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(\alpha_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C13_{Ks}^P + \beta_{Ks}^P \cdot C14_{Ks}^P + \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C11_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC14_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(\beta_{Ks}^P + \gamma_{Ks}^P + 3 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C14_{Ks}^P + \alpha_{Ks}^P \cdot C13_{Ks}^P + 2 \cdot \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C12_{Ks}^P + 4 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P \cdot C15_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dC15_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(4 \cdot \delta_{Ks}^P + \theta_{Ks}^P) \cdot C15_{Ks}^P + \gamma_{Ks}^P \cdot C14_{Ks}^P + \eta_{Ks}^P \cdot O1_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dO1_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(\eta_{Ks}^P + \psi_{Ks}^P) \cdot O1_{Ks}^P + \theta_{Ks}^P \cdot C15_{Ks}^P + \omega_{Ks}^P \cdot O2_{Ks}^P \\
\frac{dO2_{Ks}^P}{dt} &= -(\omega_{Ks}^P) \cdot O2_{Ks}^P + \psi_{Ks}^P \cdot O1_{Ks}^P
\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{G}_{Ks}^P = 0.19561 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.6}{1 + \left(3.8 \cdot \frac{10^{-5}}{[Ca^{2+}]_i} \right)^{1.4}} \right)$$

$$I_{Ks}^P = \bar{G}_{Ks}^P \cdot (O1_{Ks}^P + O2_{Ks}^P) \cdot (V_m - E_{Ks})$$

Combined current:

$$\hat{f}_{Ks}^P = \frac{f_{IKs}^P - \left(0.0306 + \frac{[IKs]_{ARN}}{[IKs]_{tot}} \right)}{0.7850 - \left(0.0306 + \frac{[IKs]_{ARN}}{[IKs]_{tot}} \right)}$$

$$I_{Ks} = \hat{f}_{Ks}^P \cdot I_{Ks}^P + (1 - \hat{f}_{Ks}^P) \cdot I_{Ks}^{NP}$$

1.16.3 HRdβARS A341V version (Chapter 4)

Model structure, differential equations and calculation of I_{Ks} are identical to that in section 1.16.2. Model parameters were adjusted based on data obtained in CHO cells expressing WT or mutant I_{Ks} channels in the absence or presence of cAMP/OA (**Chapter 4**):

WT I_{Ks} parameters:

$$\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{8.7313 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 3.3032 \cdot 10^1}{1.1946} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{1.1068 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m - 1.9519 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1.4447} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\gamma_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{1.5226 \cdot 10^{-1}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 5.3866 \cdot 10^{-2}}{5.3191 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\delta_{Ks}^{NP} = 1.0372 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(4.7872 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\eta_{Ks}^{NP} = 1.5447 \cdot 10^{-2} + \frac{6.9977 \cdot 10^{-2} - 1.5447 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 1.0318 \cdot 10^2}{5.2369 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\theta_{Ks}^{NP} = 1.7695 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$\omega_{Ks}^{NP} = 5.6517 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(-1.5643 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\psi_{Ks}^{NP} = 2.8148 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp\left(1.9762 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\alpha_{Ks}^P = \frac{6.9994 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 5.6717 \cdot 10^{-1}}{5.7717 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{Ks}^P = \frac{1.3731 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m - 3.8608 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1.7486} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\gamma_{Ks}^P = \frac{1.6490 \cdot 10^{-1}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 2.0329 \cdot 10^{-2}}{4.8136 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\delta_{Ks}^P = 4.7241 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \exp\left(1.6283 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\eta_{Ks}^P = 9.6896 \cdot 10^{-3} + \frac{1.4242 \cdot 10^{-1} - 9.6896 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 1.1338 \cdot 10^2}{5.5965 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\theta_{Ks}^P = 3.2528 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$\omega_{Ks}^P = 2.3813 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \exp\left(-1.9602 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\psi_{Ks}^P = 4.4670 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp(8.9068 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T})$$

A341V_{Het}-I_{Ks} parameters:

$$\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{7.8749 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp(-\frac{V_m - 5.1876 \cdot 10^1}{1.0212} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})}$$

$$\beta_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{4.5532 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp(\frac{V_m - 1.4922 \cdot 10^{-2}}{4.2919} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})}$$

$$\gamma_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{1.0993 \cdot 10^{-1}}{1 + \exp(-\frac{V_m + 1.1599 \cdot 10^{-3}}{6.2763 \cdot 10^{-1}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})}$$

$$\delta_{Ks}^{NP} = 2.6854 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp(2.3346 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\eta_{Ks}^{NP} = 9.0527 \cdot 10^{-3} + \frac{6.5743 \cdot 10^{-2} - 9.0527 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp(\frac{V_m + 3.0409 \cdot 10^1}{0.7658} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})}$$

$$\theta_{Ks}^{NP} = 1.2691 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$\omega_{Ks}^{NP} = 5.6517 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp(-1.5643 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\psi_{Ks}^{NP} = 2.8148 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp(1.9762 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\alpha_{Ks}^P = \frac{1.1355 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1 + \exp(-\frac{V_m - 6.8892 \cdot 10^1}{1.4039} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})}$$

$$\beta_{Ks}^P = \frac{3.8524 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp(\frac{V_m - 1.0399 \cdot 10^{-2}}{3.3478} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})}$$

$$\gamma_{Ks}^P = \frac{1.2805 \cdot 10^{-1}}{1 + \exp(-\frac{V_m + 1.1741 \cdot 10^{-3}}{0.4837} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})}$$

$$\delta_{Ks}^P = 2.1670 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp(2.4782 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\eta_{Ks}^P = 5.8191 \cdot 10^{-3} + \frac{4.4396 \cdot 10^{-2} - 5.8191 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp(\frac{V_m + 4.2618 \cdot 10^1}{1.1263} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T})}$$

$$\theta_{Ks}^P = 6.2849 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\omega_{Ks}^P = 5.6517 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp(-1.5643 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T})$$

$$\psi_{Ks}^P = 2.8148 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp(1.9762 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T})$$

A341V_{Hom}-I_{Ks} parameters:

$$\alpha_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{6.4929 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 8.4272 \cdot 10^1}{1.1093} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{2.6793 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m - 1.4346 \cdot 10^{-2}}{3.1158} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\gamma_{Ks}^{NP} = \frac{6.6132 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 1.5849 \cdot 10^{-3}}{0.2105} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\delta_{Ks}^{NP} = 3.0593 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(3.8659 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\eta_{Ks}^{NP} = 7.7273 \cdot 10^{-3} + \frac{8.7107 \cdot 10^{-2} - 7.7273 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 1.3602 \cdot 10^1}{0.8158} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\theta_{Ks}^{NP} = 3.3856 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\omega_{Ks}^{NP} = 5.6517 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(-1.5643 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\psi_{Ks}^{NP} = 2.8148 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp\left(1.9762 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\alpha_{Ks}^P = \frac{7.2469 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 8.0876 \cdot 10^1}{0.9005} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{Ks}^P = \frac{3.3092 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m - 1.4431 \cdot 10^{-2}}{3.4604} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\gamma_{Ks}^P = \frac{6.6278 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 1.4175 \cdot 10^{-3}}{0.1937} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\delta_{Ks}^P = 3.0039 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(3.6233 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\eta_{Ks}^P = 7.7647 \cdot 10^{-3} + \frac{7.7303 \cdot 10^{-2} - 7.7647 \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 1.3807 \cdot 10^1}{0.9041} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$\theta_{Ks}^P = 3.4785 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\omega_{Ks}^P = 5.6517 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(-1.5643 \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\psi_{Ks}^P = 2.8148 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp\left(1.9762 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \frac{V_m \cdot F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$\text{And } \bar{G}_{Ks} = 0.6603 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.6}{1 + \left(3.8 \cdot \frac{10^{-5}}{[Ca^{2+}]_i}\right)^{1.4}}\right) \text{ for all conditions.}$$

1.17 Ultrarapid plateau K⁺ current (I_{Kur} / I_{Kp})

1.17.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

The formulation for the plateau potassium current is equivalent to that in the original HRd model.⁴

$$\bar{G}_{Kur} = 2.760 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$I_{Kur} = \bar{G}_{Kur} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \exp((7.488 - V_m)/5.980)} \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

1.17.2 HRd β ARS and HRd β ARS Stoch version (Chapters 3-7)

In many species and cell types, there exists an ultrarapid delayed rectifier potassium current activating at plateau membrane potentials (I_{Kur}).⁴³ Although I_{Kur} was originally thought to be functionally absent in ventricular myocytes from larger mammals including the dog, recent reports describe a plateau activated potassium current sensitive to micromolar concentrations of 4-aminopyridine.^{44, 45} This current is increased in the presence of isoproterenol. In addition, the non-stimulated I-V relationship of this current is consistent with the plateau potassium current that is present in the baseline model.²¹ Therefore, adrenergic stimulation-dependent changes in I-V of I_{Kur} are included in the model and replace the original formulation of I_{Kp} .

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$G_{Kur}^{NP} = 3.48 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$I_{Kur}^{NP} = G_{Kur}^{NP} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \exp((15.0 - V_m)/17)} \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

Phosphorylated Channels:

$$G_{Kur}^P = 3.62 \cdot 3.48 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$I_{Kur}^P = G_{Kur}^P \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \exp((36.0 - V_m)/17)} \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

Combined I_{Kur} current:

$$\hat{f}_{Kur}^P = \frac{f_{IKur}^P - 0.3937}{0.5894 - 0.3937}$$

$$I_{Kur} = \hat{f}_{Kur}^P \cdot I_{Kur}^P + (1 - \hat{f}_{Kur}^P) \cdot I_{Kur}^{NP}$$

1.18 Na⁺ current (I_{Na})

1.18.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

Fast Na⁺ current density (I_{Na}) was adjusted to achieve conduction velocity,⁴⁶ maximum upstroke velocity⁴⁷ and maximum upstroke voltage⁴⁷ consistent with data in canine epicardial tissue. Na⁺

current recovery from inactivation was adjusted to fit data on recovery of upstroke velocity in canine epicardial myocytes⁴⁸:

$$\alpha_m = \frac{0.32 \cdot (V_m + 47.13)}{1 - \exp(-0.1 \cdot (V_m + 47.13))}$$

$$\beta_m = 0.08 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{11}\right)$$

If $V_m > -40.0$ mV

$$\alpha_h = 0.0, \quad \alpha_j = 0.0$$

$$\beta_h = \frac{1}{0.13 \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 10.66}{-11.1}\right)\right)}$$

$$\beta_j = \frac{0.3 \cdot \exp(-2.535 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot V_m)}{1 + \exp(-0.1 \cdot (V_m + 32))}$$

Else

$$\alpha_h = 0.135 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{80 + V_m}{-6.8}\right)$$

$$\alpha_j = \frac{\left(-1.2714 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \exp(0.2444 \cdot V_m) - 6.948 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp(-0.04391 \cdot V_m)\right) \cdot (V_m + 37.78)}{1 + \exp(0.311 \cdot (V_m + 79.23))}$$

$$\beta_h = 3.56 \cdot \exp(0.079 \cdot V_m) + 3.1 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \exp(0.35 \cdot V_m)$$

$$\beta_j = \frac{0.1212 \cdot \exp(-0.01052 \cdot V_m)}{1 + \exp(-0.1378 \cdot (V_m + 40.14))}$$

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \alpha_m \cdot (1 - m) - \beta_m \cdot m$$

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \alpha_h \cdot (1 - h) - \beta_h \cdot h$$

$$\frac{dj}{dt} = \alpha_j \cdot (1 - j) - \beta_j \cdot j$$

$$\bar{G}_{Na} = 9.075 \frac{mS}{\mu F}$$

$$I_{Na} = \bar{G}_{Na} \cdot m^3 \cdot h \cdot j \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

1.18.2 HRdβARS version (Chapters 3-6)

βAR stimulation alters I_{Na} through both increase channel trafficking as well as phosphorylation of a number of consensus phosphorylation sites. This results in increased current amplitude and a hyperpolarizing shift of the activation and inactivation I-V relationships.^{49, 50} The model reproduces the 3.7 mV and 4.9 mV shifts in respectively the activation and inactivation curves measured in 5

mmol/L extracellular Na^+ in canine ventricular myocytes in the presence of a PKA activator 'cocktail' (8-cpt-cAMP, IBMX and forskolin).⁵⁰ A similar modulation of I_{Na} by CaMKII has been reported in rabbit myocytes overexpressing CaMKII δ ⁵¹ and was incorporated in a previous model²⁴. We incorporate four populations of I_{Na} (non-phosphorylated, phosphorylated by PKA, phosphorylated by CaMKII or phosphorylated by both kinases).

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$\alpha_m^{\text{NP}} = \frac{0.32 \cdot (V_m + 58.5029)}{1 - \exp(-0.1 \cdot (V_m + 58.5029))}$$

$$\beta_m^{\text{NP}} = 0.08 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 13.7299}{11}\right)$$

If $V_m > -40.0$ mV

$$\alpha_h^{\text{NP}} = 0.0, \quad \alpha_j^{\text{NP}} = 0.0$$

$$\beta_h^{\text{NP}} = \frac{1}{0.13 \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 27.4034}{-11.1}\right)\right)}$$

$$\beta_j^{\text{NP}} = \frac{0.3 \cdot \exp(-2.535 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot V_m)}{1 + \exp(-0.1 \cdot (V_m + 32))}$$

Else

$$\alpha_h^{\text{NP}} = 0.135 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{87 + V_m}{-6.8}\right)$$

$$\alpha_j^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\left(-1.2714 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \exp(0.2444 \cdot V_m) - 6.948 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp(-0.04391 \cdot V_m)\right) \cdot (V_m + 37.78)}{1 + \exp(0.311 \cdot (V_m + 79.23))}$$

$$\beta_h^{\text{NP}} = 3.56 \cdot \exp(0.079 \cdot (V_m + 7)) + 3.1 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \exp(0.35 \cdot (V_m + 7))$$

$$\beta_j^{\text{NP}} = \frac{0.1212 \cdot \exp(-0.01052 \cdot V_m)}{1 + \exp(-0.1378 \cdot (V_m + 40.14))}$$

$$\frac{dm^{\text{NP}}}{dt} = \alpha_m^{\text{NP}} \cdot (1 - m^{\text{NP}}) - \beta_m^{\text{NP}} \cdot m^{\text{NP}}$$

$$\frac{dh^{\text{NP}}}{dt} = \alpha_h^{\text{NP}} \cdot (1 - h^{\text{NP}}) - \beta_h^{\text{NP}} \cdot h^{\text{NP}}$$

$$\frac{dj^{\text{NP}}}{dt} = \alpha_j^{\text{NP}} \cdot (1 - j^{\text{NP}}) - \beta_j^{\text{NP}} \cdot j^{\text{NP}}$$

$$\bar{G}_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NP}} = 19.5112 \frac{\text{mS}}{\mu\text{F}}$$

$$I_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NP}} = \bar{G}_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NP}} \cdot (m^{\text{NP}})^3 \cdot h^{\text{NP}} \cdot j^{\text{NP}} \cdot (V_m - E_{\text{Na}})$$

PKA Phosphorylated Channels:

$$\Delta V_{Na,Act} = 3.7 \text{ mV}, \quad \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA} = 4.9 \text{ mV}$$

$$\alpha_m^{P,PKA} = \frac{0.32 \cdot (V_m + 58.5029 + \Delta V_{Na,Act})}{1 - \exp(-0.1 \cdot (V_m + 58.5029 + \Delta V_{Na,Act}))}$$

$$\beta_m^{P,PKA} = 0.08 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 13.7299 + \Delta V_{Na,Act}}{11}\right)$$

If $V_m > -40.0 \text{ mV}$

$$\alpha_h^{P,PKA} = 0.0, \quad \alpha_j^{P,PKA} = 0.0$$

$$\beta_h^{P,PKA} = \frac{1}{0.13 \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA} + 27.4034}{-11.1}\right)\right)}$$

$$\beta_j^{P,PKA} = \frac{0.3 \cdot \exp(-2.535 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA}))}{1 + \exp(-0.1 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA} + 32))}$$

Else

$$\alpha_h^{P,PKA} = 0.135 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{87 + V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA}}{-6.8}\right)$$

$$\alpha_j^{P,PKA} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(0.311 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA} + 79.23))} \cdot \left(-1.2714 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \exp(0.2444 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA})) - 6.948 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \exp(-0.04391 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA}))\right) \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA} + 37.78)$$

$$\beta_h^{P,PKA} = 3.56 \cdot \exp(0.079 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA} + 7)) + 3.1 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \exp(0.35 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA} + 7))$$

$$\beta_j^{P,PKA} = \frac{0.1212 \cdot \exp(-0.01052 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA}))}{1 + \exp(-0.1378 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{PKA} + 40.14))}$$

$$\frac{dm^{P,PKA}}{dt} = \alpha_m^{P,PKA} \cdot (1 - m^{P,PKA}) - \beta_m^{P,PKA} \cdot m^{P,PKA}$$

$$\frac{dh^{P,PKA}}{dt} = \alpha_h^{P,PKA} \cdot (1 - h^{P,PKA}) - \beta_h^{P,PKA} \cdot h^{P,PKA}$$

$$\frac{dj^{P,PKA}}{dt} = \alpha_j^{P,PKA} \cdot (1 - j^{P,PKA}) - \beta_j^{P,PKA} \cdot j^{P,PKA}$$

$$\bar{G}_{Na}^P = 1.25 \cdot 19.5112 \frac{mS}{\mu F}$$

$$I_{Na}^{P,PKA} = \bar{G}_{Na}^P \cdot (m^{P,PKA})^3 \cdot h^{P,PKA} \cdot j^{P,PKA} \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

CaMKII Phosphorylated Channels:

$$\Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} = 3.25 \text{ mV}$$

$$\alpha_m^{P,CaMK} = \alpha_m^{NP}, \quad \beta_m^{P,CaMK} = \beta_m^{NP}$$

$$\text{If } V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} > -40.0 \text{ mV}$$

$$\alpha_h^{P,CaMK} = 0.0, \quad \alpha_j^{P,CaMK} = 0.0$$

$$\beta_h^{P,CaMK} = \frac{1}{0.13 \cdot \left(1 + \exp \left(\frac{V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} + 27.4034}{-11.1} \right) \right)}$$

$$\beta_j^{P,CaMK} = \frac{0.3 \cdot \exp \left(-2.535 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK}) \right)}{1 + \exp \left(-0.1 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} + 32) \right)}$$

Else

$$\alpha_h^{P,CaMK} = 0.135 \cdot \exp \left(\frac{87 + V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK}}{-6.8} \right)$$

$$\alpha_j^{P,CaMK} = 0.82 \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left(0.311 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} + 79.23) \right)} \cdot \left(-1.2714 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \exp \left(0.2444 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK}) \right) - 6.948 \cdot 10^{-5} \right) \cdot \exp \left(-0.04391 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK}) \right) \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} + 37.78)$$

$$\beta_h^{P,CaMK} = 3.56 \cdot \exp \left(0.079 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} + 7) \right) + 3.1 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \exp \left(0.35 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} + 7) \right)$$

$$\beta_j^{P,CaMK} = \frac{0.1212 \cdot \exp \left(-0.01052 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK}) \right)}{1 + \exp \left(-0.1378 \cdot (V_m + \Delta V_{Na,Inact}^{CaMK} + 40.14) \right)}$$

$$\frac{dm^{P,CaMKII}}{dt} = \alpha_m^{P,CaMK} \cdot (1 - m^{P,CaMK}) - \beta_m^{P,CaMK} \cdot m^{P,CaMK}$$

$$\frac{dh^{P,CaMKII}}{dt} = \alpha_h^{P,CaMK} \cdot (1 - h^{P,CaMK}) - \beta_h^{P,CaMK} \cdot h^{P,CaMK}$$

$$\frac{dj^{P,CaMKII}}{dt} = \alpha_j^{P,CaMK} \cdot (1 - j^{P,CaMK}) - \beta_j^{P,CaMK} \cdot j^{P,CaMK}$$

$$I_{Na}^{P,CaMK} = \bar{G}_{Na}^{NP} \cdot (m^{P,CaMK})^3 \cdot h^{P,CaMK} \cdot j^{P,CaMK} \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

PKA and CaMKII Phosphorylated Channels:

$$\bar{G}_{Na}^P = 19.5112 \frac{mS}{\mu F}$$

$$I_{Na}^{P,Both} = \bar{G}_{Na}^P \cdot (m^{P,PKA})^3 \cdot h^{P,CaMK} \cdot j^{P,CaMK} \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

Combined I_{Na} current:

$$\hat{f}_{INa}^{PKA} = \frac{f_{INa}^P - 0.2395}{0.9501 - 0.2395}$$

$$f_{INa}^{Both} = \hat{f}_{INa}^{PKA} \cdot f_{INa}^{P,CaMKII}$$

$$f_{INa}^{PKA,only} = \hat{f}_{INa}^{PKA} - f_{INa}^{Both}$$

$$f_{INa}^{CaMKII,only} = f_{INa}^{P,CaMKII} - f_{INa}^{Both}$$

$$I_{Na} = \left(1 - f_{INa}^{PKA,only} - f_{INa}^{CaMKII,only} - f_{INa}^{Both}\right) \cdot I_{Na}^{NP} + f_{INa}^{PKA,only} \cdot I_{Na}^{P,PKA} + f_{INa}^{CaMKII,only} \cdot I_{Na}^{P,CaMK} + f_{INa}^{Both} \cdot I_{Na}^{P,Both}$$

1.18.3 HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)

The Markov model structure of Clancy and Rudy⁵² was employed for both normal inactivation and slow inactivation populations (**Figure 2 of Chapter 7**). Model parameters were adjusted to reproduce characteristics of I_{Na} and I_{NaL} from the original Hodgkin-Huxley-based formulation, including PKA- and CaMKII-dependent alterations (section 1.18.2). Model I_{Na} peak I-V relationship and steady-state inactivation are consistent with those measured in isolated canine ventricular myocytes.⁵⁰

$$\alpha_{Na,11}^{NP} = \frac{4.5170}{6.6161 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{18.033}\right) + 2.1276 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{167.77}\right)}$$

$$\alpha_{Na,12}^{NP} = \frac{4.5170}{6.6161 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{24.237}\right) + 1.7127 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{167.77}\right)}$$

$$\alpha_{Na,13}^{NP} = \frac{4.5170}{6.6161 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{13.038}\right) + 2.7731 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{167.77}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{Na,11}^{NP} = 1.7687 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{15.905}\right)$$

$$\beta_{Na,12}^{NP} = 2.2240 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 6.1967}{15.905}\right)$$

$$\beta_{Na,13}^{NP} = 2.9500 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 10.891}{15.905}\right)$$

$$\alpha_{Na,2}^{NP} = 6.3736 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 3.3271 \cdot f_{INa}^{P,CaMKII}}{109.64}\right), \quad \alpha_{Na,21}^{NP} = \alpha_{Na,2}^{NP} \cdot 1.8366 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\beta_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{NP}} = 8.0991 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 3.3271 \cdot f_{\text{INa}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}}{5.6611}\right), \quad \beta_{\text{Na,il}}^{\text{NP}} = \beta_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{NP}} \cdot 1.1014 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na},4}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\beta_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{NP}}}{9.2183}, \quad \alpha_{\text{Na,4l}}^{\text{NP}} = \alpha_{\text{Na},4}^{\text{NP}} \cdot 1.1014 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{NP}} = 3.1367 \cdot 10^{-2} + 1.6356 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot (V_m + 3.3271 \cdot f_{\text{INa}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}),$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na,il}}^{\text{NP}} = \alpha_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{NP}} \cdot 1.1014 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$\beta_{\text{Na},2}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{Na},2}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \beta_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{NP}}}{\beta_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{NP}}}, \quad \beta_{\text{Na,2l}}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{Na,2l}}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \beta_{\text{Na,il}}^{\text{NP}}}{\beta_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{Na,il}}^{\text{NP}}}$$

$$\gamma_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NP}} = 1.3053 \cdot 10^{-7} + 2.4015 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot f_{\text{INa}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}} + \frac{2.90 \cdot 10^{-7}}{1 + \left(\frac{4.0}{[\text{ATXII}]}\right)^2}$$

$$\delta_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NP}} = 4.8365 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$f_{\text{Na,slow}}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\gamma_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NP}}}{\gamma_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NP}} + \delta_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NP}}}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na},11}^{\text{P}} = \frac{8.3241}{6.6161 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{18.033}\right) + 2.1276 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{167.77}\right)}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na},12}^{\text{P}} = \frac{8.3241}{6.6161 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{24.237}\right) + 1.7127 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{167.77}\right)}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{P}} = \frac{8.3241}{6.6161 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{13.038}\right) + 2.7731 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{167.77}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{\text{Na},11}^{\text{P}} = 1.0336 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{15.905}\right)$$

$$\beta_{\text{Na},12}^{\text{P}} = 2.2972 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 6.1967}{15.905}\right)$$

$$\beta_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{P}} = 2.6387 \cdot 10^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m - 10.891}{15.905}\right)$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na},2}^{\text{P}} = 5.3095 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 0.5625 \cdot f_{\text{INa}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}}{109.64}\right), \quad \alpha_{\text{Na,2l}}^{\text{P}} = \alpha_{\text{Na},2}^{\text{P}} \cdot 1.4827 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\beta_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{P}} = 2.9162 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m + 0.5625 \cdot f_{\text{INa}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}}{5.6779}\right), \quad \beta_{\text{Na,il}}^{\text{NP}} = \beta_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{NP}} \cdot 2.6707 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na},4}^{\text{P}} = \frac{\beta_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{P}}}{6.0179}, \quad \alpha_{\text{Na,4l}}^{\text{P}} = \alpha_{\text{Na},4}^{\text{P}} \cdot 2.6707 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{P}} = 3.1367 \cdot 10^{-2} + 7.9063 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot (V_m + 0.5625 \cdot f_{\text{INa}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}),$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Na,il}}^{\text{P}} = \alpha_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{P}} \cdot 2.6707 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$\beta_{\text{Na},2}^{\text{P}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{P}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{Na},2}^{\text{P}} \cdot \beta_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{P}}}{\beta_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{P}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{Na},i}^{\text{P}}}, \quad \beta_{\text{Na,2l}}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{P}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{Na,2l}}^{\text{P}} \cdot \beta_{\text{Na,il}}^{\text{P}}}{\beta_{\text{Na},13}^{\text{P}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{Na,il}}^{\text{P}}}$$

$$\gamma_{Na}^P = 1.4099 \cdot 10^{-7} + 2.1616 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot f_{INa}^{P,CaMKII} + \frac{2.90 \cdot 10^{-7}}{1 + \left(\frac{4.0}{[ATXII]}\right)^2}$$

$$\delta_{Na}^P = 4.6975 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$f_{Na,slow}^P = \frac{\gamma_{Na}^P}{\gamma_{Na}^P + \delta_{Na}^P}$$

For $x \in \{NP, P\}$:

$$\frac{dLC3_{Na}^x}{dt} = \beta_{Na,11}^x \cdot LC2_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,il}^x \cdot LIC3_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,11}^x + \alpha_{Na,il}^x) \cdot LC3_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dLC2_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,11}^x \cdot LC3_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,12}^x \cdot LC1_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,il}^x \cdot LIC2_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,12}^x + \beta_{Na,11}^x + \alpha_{Na,il}^x) \cdot LC2_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dLC1_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,12}^x \cdot LC2_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,13}^x \cdot LO_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,il}^x \cdot LIC1_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,13}^x + \beta_{Na,12}^x + \alpha_{Na,il}^x) \cdot LC1_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dLO_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,13}^x \cdot LC1_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,2l}^x \cdot LIF_{Na}^x - (\beta_{Na,13}^x + \alpha_{Na,2l}^x) \cdot LO_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dUC3_{Na}^x}{dt} = \beta_{Na,11}^x \cdot LC2_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,i}^x \cdot LIC3_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,11}^x + \alpha_{Na,i}^x) \cdot UC3_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dUC2_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,11}^x \cdot LC3_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,12}^x \cdot LC1_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,i}^x \cdot LIC2_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,12}^x + \beta_{Na,11}^x + \alpha_{Na,i}^x) \cdot UC2_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dUC1_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,12}^x \cdot LC2_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,13}^x \cdot LO_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,i}^x \cdot LIF_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,13}^x + \beta_{Na,12}^x + \alpha_{Na,i}^x) \cdot UC1_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dUO_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,13}^x \cdot LC1_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,2}^x \cdot LIF_{Na}^x - (\beta_{Na,13}^x + \alpha_{Na,2}^x) \cdot UO_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dLIC3_{Na}^x}{dt} = \beta_{Na,11}^x \cdot LIC2_{Na}^x + \alpha_{Na,il}^x \cdot LC3_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,11}^x + \beta_{Na,il}^x) \cdot LIC3_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dLIC2_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,11}^x \cdot LIC3_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,12}^x \cdot LIF_{Na}^x + \alpha_{Na,il}^x \cdot LC2_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,12}^x + \beta_{Na,11}^x + \beta_{Na,il}^x) \cdot LIC2_{Na}^x$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dLIF_{Na}^x}{dt} = & \alpha_{Na,12}^x \cdot LIC2_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,il}^x \cdot LIM_{Na}^x + \alpha_{Na,il}^x \cdot LC1_{Na}^x + \alpha_{Na,2}^x \cdot LO_{Na}^x \\ & - (\alpha_{Na,4l}^x + \beta_{Na,12}^x + \beta_{Na,2l}^x + \beta_{Na,il}^x) \cdot LIF_{Na}^x \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dLIM_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,4l}^x \cdot LIF_{Na}^x - \beta_{Na,il}^x \cdot LIM_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dUIC3_{Na}^x}{dt} = \beta_{Na,11}^x \cdot UIC2_{Na}^x + \alpha_{Na,i}^x \cdot UC3_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,11}^x + \beta_{Na,i}^x) \cdot UIC3_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dUIC2_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,11}^x \cdot UIC3_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,12}^x \cdot UIF_{Na}^x + \alpha_{Na,i}^x \cdot UC2_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,12}^x + \beta_{Na,11}^x + \beta_{Na,i}^x) \cdot UIC2_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dU_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,12}^x \cdot UIC2_{Na}^x + \beta_{Na,i}^x \cdot UIM_{Na}^x + \alpha_{Na,i}^x \cdot UC1_{Na}^x + \alpha_{Na,2}^x \cdot UO_{Na}^x - (\alpha_{Na,4}^x + \beta_{Na,12}^x + \beta_{Na,2}^x + \beta_{Na,i}^x) \cdot U_{Na}^x$$

$$\frac{dUIM_{Na}^x}{dt} = \alpha_{Na,4}^x \cdot U_{Na}^x - \beta_{Na,i}^x \cdot UIM_{Na}^x$$

$$I_{Na}^{NP} = 11.188 \cdot \left((1 - f_{Na,slow}^{NP}) \cdot UO_{Na}^{NP} + f_{Na,slow}^{NP} \cdot LO_{Na}^{NP} \right) \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

$$I_{Na}^P = 11.188 \cdot \left((1 - f_{Na,slow}^P) \cdot UO_{Na}^P + f_{Na,slow}^P \cdot LO_{Na}^P \right) \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

$$I_{Na} = (1 - \hat{f}_{INa}^{PKA}) \cdot I_{Na}^{NP} + \hat{f}_{INa}^{PKA} \cdot I_{Na}^P$$

1.19 Background Na⁺ current (I_{Nab})

1.19.1 HRd2009, HRdβARS and HRdβARSStoch version (Chapters 2-7)

Background Na⁺ current (I_{Nab}) and co-transporter fluxes were required to maintain a resting $[Na^+]_i$ concentration consistent with measurements in canine epicardial myocytes.³ Na⁺ permeability for the background Na⁺ current was given by the constant field equation, following the formulation used by Gao et al.³:

$$P_{Nab} = 0.32 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ cm/s}$$

$$I_{Nab} = P_{Nab} \cdot \frac{F^2 \cdot V_m}{R \cdot T} \cdot \frac{[Na^+]_i \cdot \exp\left(V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - [Na^+]_o}{\exp\left(V_m \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - 1}$$

1.20 Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchange current (I_{NaCa})

1.20.1 HRd2009 and HRdβARS version (Chapters 2-6)

The Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchanger formulation was adopted from Bers and Weber.⁵³ 20% of exchangers were located in the restricted space, consistent with experimental results and previous work from our lab.⁵⁴

$$v_{max,NaCa} = 4.50 \text{ pA/pF}, \quad \eta = 0.27, \quad K_{mCa,act} = 1.24 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/L}$$

$$K_{mCa,o} = 1.30 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{mCa,i} = 3.60 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{mNa,o} = 87.5 \text{ mmol/L}$$

$$K_{mNa,i} = 12.3 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad k_{sat} = 0.32$$

$$A_{NaCa,ss} = K_{mCa,o} \cdot [Na^+]_{ss}^3 + K_{mNa,o}^3 \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_{ss} + K_{mNa,i}^3 \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_o \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{ss}}{K_{mCa,i}} \right) + K_{mCa,i} \cdot [Na^+]_o^3 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[Na^+]_{ss}^3}{K_{mNa,i}^3} \right) + [Na^+]_{ss}^3 \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_o + [Na^+]_o^3 \cdot [Ca^{2+}]_{ss}$$

$$D_{\text{NaCa,ss}} = [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{ss}}^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{o}} \cdot \exp\left(\eta \cdot V_{\text{m}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{o}}^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss}} \cdot \exp\left((\eta - 1) \cdot V_{\text{m}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$I_{\text{NaCa,ss}} = \frac{0.2 \cdot v_{\text{max,NaCa}} \cdot D_{\text{NaCa,ss}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{K_{\text{mCa,act}}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss}}}\right)^2\right) \cdot \left(1 + k_{\text{sat}} \cdot \exp\left((\eta - 1) \cdot V_{\text{m}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)\right)} \cdot A_{\text{NaCa,ss}}$$

$$A_{\text{NaCa,i}} = K_{\text{mCa,o}} \cdot [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{i}}^3 + K_{\text{mNa,o}}^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}} + K_{\text{mNa,i}}^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{o}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}}}{K_{\text{mCa,i}}}\right) + K_{\text{mCa,i}} \cdot [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{o}}^3 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{i}}^3}{K_{\text{mNa,i}}^3}\right) + [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{i}}^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{o}} + [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{o}}^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}}$$

$$D_{\text{NaCa,i}} = [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{i}}^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{o}} \cdot \exp\left(\eta \cdot V_{\text{m}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right) - [\text{Na}^+]_{\text{o}}^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}} \cdot \exp\left((\eta - 1) \cdot V_{\text{m}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)$$

$$I_{\text{NaCa,i}} = \frac{0.8 \cdot v_{\text{max,NaCa}} \cdot D_{\text{NaCa,i}}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{K_{\text{mCa,act}}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}}}\right)^2\right) \cdot \left(1 + k_{\text{sat}} \cdot \exp\left((\eta - 1) \cdot V_{\text{m}} \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)\right)} \cdot A_{\text{NaCa,i}}$$

1.21 Na⁺-K⁺ pump current (I_{NaK})

1.21.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

A recently developed I_{NaK} formulation based on data from canine left ventricular epicardial myocytes^{3,55} was incorporated into the model. Pump density was adjusted to 37°C using Q₁₀ = 2.1.⁵⁶

$$K_{\text{NaK,Ko}} = 1.5 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{\text{NaK,Nai}} = 2.6 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad \bar{I}_{\text{NaK}} = 1.4 \text{ mS/}\mu\text{F}$$

$$\varphi_{\text{NaK}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-(V_{\text{m}} + 92) \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$P_{\text{K}}^{\text{NaK}} = \frac{[\text{K}^+]_{\text{o}}}{[\text{K}^+]_{\text{o}} + K_{\text{NaK,Ko}}^{\text{NP}}}$$

$$P_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NaK}} = \left(\frac{[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{i}}}{[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{i}} + K_{\text{NaK,Nai}}^{\text{NP}}}\right)^3$$

$$I_{\text{NaK}} = \bar{I}_{\text{NaK}} \cdot \varphi_{\text{NaK}} \cdot P_{\text{K}}^{\text{NaK}} \cdot P_{\text{Na}}^{\text{NaK}}$$

1.21.2 HRdβARS version (Chapters 3-6)

Complex results regarding the regulation of the Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase current (I_{NaK}) by PKA-dependent phosphorylation have been reported in various species including rat,⁵⁷ guinea pig⁵⁸ and dog,⁵⁹ showing either an increase or decrease in pump current depending on intracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations. More recently, it has been shown that the PKA dependent stimulation of I_{NaK} is isoform specific and mediated by the associated protein phospholemman (PLM).^{60, 61} PLM has long

been recognized as a major PKA and PKC substrate in the heart and is proposed to have a similar role as PLB for SERCA (i.e., inhibition relieved by phosphorylation). In the model we assume that the PKA-dependent increase in I_{NaK} results from an increased Na^+ affinity mediated through PLM phosphorylation. The 30% increase in Na^+ affinity (as found in mouse ventricular myocytes⁶¹) results in a 17%-33% increase in pump current for intracellular Na^+ levels in the physiological range, consistent with the increase in I_{NaK} measured experimentally.⁶⁰⁻⁶² We assume that under normal physiological conditions the Ca^{2+} concentrations are such that only stimulation of I_{NaK} occurs and hence do not incorporate the Ca^{2+} dependence explicitly in our model. Other groups have reported that PLM also affects Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchange,⁶³ but disparate results exist.⁶⁴ Because we do not incorporate direct effects of β AR stimulation on Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchange,⁶⁵ we also do not consider interactions between PLM and Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchanger.

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$K_{NaK,Ko}^{NP} = 1.5 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{NaK,Nai}^{NP} = 2.6 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad \bar{I}_{NaK}^{NP} = 1.4 \text{ mS}/\mu\text{F}$$

$$\varphi_{NaK}^{NP} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(- (V_m + 92) \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$P_K^{NaK,NP} = \frac{[K^+]_o}{[K^+]_o + K_{NaK,Ko}^{NP}}$$

$$P_{Na}^{NaK,NP} = \left(\frac{[Na^+]_i}{[Na^+]_i + K_{NaK,Nai}^{NP}} \right)^3$$

$$I_{NaK}^{NP} = \bar{I}_{NaK}^{NP} \cdot \varphi_{NaK}^{NP} \cdot P_K^{NaK,NP} \cdot P_{Na}^{NaK,NP}$$

Phosphorylated Channels:

$$K_{NaK,Ko}^P = 1.5 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{NaK,Nai}^P = 1.846 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad \bar{I}_{NaK}^P = 1.4 \text{ mS}/\mu\text{F}$$

$$\varphi_{NaK}^P = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(- (V_m + 92) \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)}$$

$$P_K^{NaK,P} = \frac{[K^+]_o}{[K^+]_o + K_{NaK,Ko}^P}$$

$$P_{Na}^{NaK,P} = \left(\frac{[Na^+]_i}{[Na^+]_i + K_{NaK,Nai}^P} \right)^3$$

$$I_{NaK}^P = \bar{I}_{NaK}^P \cdot \varphi_{NaK}^P \cdot P_K^{NaK,P} \cdot P_{Na}^{NaK,P}$$

Combined current:

$$\hat{I}_{NaK}^P = \frac{f_{INaK}^P - 0.1263}{0.9980 - 0.1263}$$

$$I_{NaK} = \hat{I}_{NaK}^P \cdot I_{NaK}^P + (1 - \hat{I}_{NaK}^P) \cdot I_{NaK}^{NP}$$

1.21.3 HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)

Smith and Crampin have previously described a detailed model of the Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase based on a consecutive (“ping-pong”) model for Na⁺ and K⁺ binding.⁶⁶ In their model, ‘slippage’ of ions is negligible due to a tight coupling between conformational changes of the pump and ion binding, thereby ensuring a strict 3 Na⁺ : 2 K⁺ stoichiometry. Based on these assumptions and since both pumps belong to the family of P-type cation transporters,⁶⁶ we employed a similar two-state model structure as that used for SERCA. We set the ‘backward’ (counter-clockwise) rates to zero to ensure the strict stoichiometry. Forward rates were fitted to reproduce Na⁺, K⁺ and V_m dependence of the original I_{NaK} formulation for both the non-phosphorylated and phosphorylated populations.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\text{NaK}} &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-(\text{V}_m + 92) \cdot \frac{F}{R \cdot T}\right)} \\ k_{\text{NaK},1+}^{\text{NP}} &= 1.1893 \cdot \varphi_{\text{NaK}} \cdot \left(\frac{[\text{Na}^+]_i}{11.5767 + [\text{Na}^+]_i}\right)^{1.5298} \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{NaK}}}, \quad k_{\text{NaK},1-}^{\text{NP}} = 0 \\ k_{\text{NaK},2+}^{\text{NP}} &= 1.6425 \cdot \varphi_{\text{NaK}} \cdot \left(\frac{[\text{K}^+]_o}{2.3694 + [\text{K}^+]_o}\right)^{1.5298} \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{NaK}}}, \quad k_{\text{NaK},2-}^{\text{NP}} = 0 \\ n_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{NP}} &= \frac{k_{\text{NaK},2+}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{NaK},2-}^{\text{NP}}}{k_{\text{NaK},1+}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{NaK},1-}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{NaK},2+}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{NaK},2-}^{\text{NP}}} \cdot (1 - f_{\text{INaK}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{NaK}} \\ F_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{NP}} &= 3 \cdot B(n_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{NP}}, k_{\text{NaK},1+}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \Delta t) - 2 \cdot B((1 - f_{\text{INaK}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{NaK}} - n_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{NP}}, k_{\text{NaK},2+}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \Delta t) \\ k_{\text{NaK},1+}^{\text{P}} &= 1.1112 \cdot \varphi_{\text{NaK}} \cdot \left(\frac{[\text{Na}^+]_i}{7.6962 + [\text{Na}^+]_i}\right)^{1.5206} \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{NaK}}}, \quad k_{\text{NaK},1-}^{\text{P}} = 0 \\ k_{\text{NaK},2+}^{\text{P}} &= 1.7324 \cdot \varphi_{\text{NaK}} \cdot \left(\frac{[\text{K}^+]_o}{2.3694 + [\text{K}^+]_o}\right)^{1.5206} \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{NaK}}}, \quad k_{\text{NaK},2-}^{\text{P}} = 0 \\ n_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{P}} &= \frac{k_{\text{NaK},2+}^{\text{P}} + k_{\text{NaK},2-}^{\text{P}}}{k_{\text{NaK},1+}^{\text{P}} + k_{\text{NaK},1-}^{\text{P}} + k_{\text{NaK},2+}^{\text{P}} + k_{\text{NaK},2-}^{\text{P}}} \cdot f_{\text{INaK}}^{\text{P}} \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{NaK}} \\ F_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{P}} &= 3 \cdot B(n_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{P}}, k_{\text{NaK},1+}^{\text{P}} \cdot \Delta t) - 2 \cdot B(f_{\text{INaK}}^{\text{P}} \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{NaK}} - n_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{P}}, k_{\text{NaK},2+}^{\text{P}} \cdot \Delta t) \\ I_{\text{NaK}} &= \frac{(F_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{NP}} + F_{\text{NaK}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot 10^9 \cdot F}{\Delta t \cdot N_A \cdot C_m \cdot A_{\text{cap}}} \end{aligned}$$

1.22 Late component of Na⁺ current (I_{NaL})

1.22.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

The formulation for the late Na⁺ current is equivalent to that in the original HRd model.⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{mL}} &= \frac{0.32 \cdot (\text{V}_m + 47.13)}{1 - \exp(-0.1 \cdot (\text{V}_m + 47.13))} \\ \beta_{\text{mL}} &= 0.08 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\text{V}_m}{11}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$h_{L,\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 91}{6.1}\right)}$$

$$\tau_{hL} = 600 \text{ ms}$$

$$\frac{dm_L}{dt} = \alpha_{mL} \cdot (1 - m_L) - \beta_{mL} \cdot m_L$$

$$\frac{dh_L}{dt} = \frac{h_{L,\infty} - h_L}{\tau_{hL}}$$

$$I_{NaL} = 6.500 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot (m_L)^3 \cdot h_L \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

1.22.2 HRdβARS version (Chapters 3-5)

A small number of Na^+ channels fail to inactivate rapidly and enter a “burst-mode” which in the model is represented by the late Na^+ current (I_{NaL}). It has been shown that PKA does not affect the sustained component of wild-type I_{Na} channels⁶⁷ and hence I_{NaL} is not modulated by PKA in our model. CaMKII, on the other hand, increases the fraction of channels gating in this burst mode,²⁴ which is represented by an increase in maximal conductance in the model.

$$\alpha_{mL} = \frac{0.32 \cdot (V_m + 47.13)}{1 - \exp(-0.1 \cdot (V_m + 47.13))}$$

$$\beta_{mL} = 0.08 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{V_m}{11}\right)$$

$$h_{L,\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 91}{6.1}\right)}$$

$$\tau_{hL} = 600 \text{ ms}$$

$$\frac{dm_L}{dt} = \alpha_{mL} \cdot (1 - m_L) - \beta_{mL} \cdot m_L$$

$$\frac{dh_L}{dt} = \frac{h_{L,\infty} - h_L}{\tau_{hL}}$$

$$I_{NaL}^{NP} = 6.500 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot (m_L)^3 \cdot h_L \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

$$I_{NaL}^{P,CaMK} = 1.600 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot (m_L)^3 \cdot h_L \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

$$I_{NaL} = (1 - f_{Na}^{P,CaMKII}) \cdot I_{NaL}^{NP} + f_{Na}^{P,CaMKII} \cdot I_{NaL}^{P,CaMK}$$

1.22.3 HRdβARS SCR version (Chapter 6)

The maximal conductance of I_{NaL} was increased to represent the midmyocardial origin of the myocytes⁶⁸ and to match experimental APD rate dependence (**Figure 2 in Chapter 6**).

$$I_{NaL}^{NP} = 1.6 \cdot 6.500 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot (m_L)^3 \cdot h_L \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

$$I_{NaL}^{P,CaMK} = 1.6 \cdot 1.600 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot (m_L)^3 \cdot h_L \cdot (V_m - E_{Na})$$

1.22.4 HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)

In the stochastic model, the sustained (or late) component of I_{Na} , including its CaMKII-dependent regulation, is included in the Markov model of the Na^+ channel. As such the I_{NaL} current is set to zero.

$$I_{NaL} = 0$$

1.23 Transient outward K^+ current (I_{To})

1.23.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

Model I_{To} is derived from a previous formulation.⁶⁹ Modifications were made to better fit experimental measurements from the canine (**Figure 5 of Chapter 2**). Model I_{To} density is consistent with multiple experiments from canine left ventricular epicardial cells.^{48, 70, 71} Activation and inactivation kinetics were adjusted to reproduce experimentally measured time to peak^{48, 72, 73} and onset of inactivation^{48, 71, 72}. Model time to peak falls in the middle range of published data from canine epicardial cells and is a significant improvement on other published Hodgkin- Huxley formulations^{71, 74}, in which time to peak is much faster (<1 ms) than that observed experimentally. A slow inactivation gate was included to reproduce the slow recovery from inactivation observed in canine⁷⁰.

$$R_{To} = \exp\left(\frac{V_m}{550}\right), \quad \bar{G}_{To} = 0.4975 \text{ mS}/\mu\text{F}$$

$$\tau_{To,a} = \left(\frac{1}{1.2089 \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m - 18.4099}{-29.3814}\right)\right)} + \frac{3.5}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 100}{29.3814}\right)} \right)^{-1}$$

$$T_{o,a,\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 9.437)/-7.133)}$$

$$\alpha_{To,if} = \frac{0.025}{1 + \exp((V_m + 58)/5)}$$

$$\beta_{To,if} = \frac{1}{9.7953 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 19)/-9))}$$

$$\alpha_{To,is} = \frac{1}{250 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 60)/5))}$$

$$\beta_{To,is} = \beta_{To,if}$$

$$\frac{dT_{Oa}}{dt} = \frac{T_{Oa,\infty} - T_{Oa}}{\tau_{T_{Oa},a}}$$

$$\frac{dT_{Oif}}{dt} = \alpha_{T_{Oif}} \cdot (1 - T_{Oif}) - \beta_{T_{Oif}} \cdot T_{Oif}$$

$$\frac{dT_{Ois}}{dt} = \alpha_{T_{Ois}} \cdot (1 - T_{Ois}) - \beta_{T_{Ois}} \cdot T_{Ois}$$

$$I_{T_o} = \bar{G}_{T_o} \cdot T_{Oa}^3 \cdot T_{Oif} \cdot T_{Ois} \cdot R_{T_o} \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

1.23.2 HRdβARS and HRdβARSStoch version (Chapters 3-7)

Currently there is no clear evidence for PKA-dependent modulation of the 4-AP sensitive transient outward K⁺ current (I_{T_o} ; see ⁷⁵ for a recent review). Recently, however, Wagner et al. found that both chronic (transgenic) and acute (adenovirus-mediated) CaMKII overexpression altered I_{T_o} .³³ Both acute and chronic CaMKII overexpression increased the slow component of I_{T_o} via increased Kv1.4 expression, and chronic (but not acute) CaMKII overexpression caused downregulation of Kv4.2 and KChIP2. However, these changes were not sensitive to CaMKII-inhibition by AIP, implicating mechanisms other than direct phosphorylation of channel subunits. In contrast, both acute and chronic CaMKII overexpression accelerated I_{T_o} recovery from inactivation and this effect was reversible by AIP. Consistent with these data,³³ we increased the fast and slow rates of I_{T_o} recovery from inactivation by 1.9 and 2.9 fold, respectively for CaMKII-phosphorylated channels. The Hodgkin-Huxley formulation with two independent inactivation gates was adapted to a combined biexponential formulation to match the biexponential time course of recovery from inactivation observed in canine ventricular myocytes.⁷⁰

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$R_{T_o} = \exp\left(\frac{V_m}{550}\right), \quad \bar{G}_{T_o} = 0.4975 \text{ mS}/\mu\text{F}$$

$$\tau_{T_{Oa},a} = \left(\frac{1}{1.2089 \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m - 18.4099}{-29.3814}\right)\right)} + \frac{3.5}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_m + 100}{29.3814}\right)} \right)^{-1}$$

$$T_{Oa,\infty} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_m + 9.437)/-7.133)}$$

$$\alpha_{T_{Oif}} = \frac{0.02144}{1 + \exp((V_m + 58)/5)}$$

$$\beta_{T_{Oif}} = \frac{1}{0.5 \cdot 9.7953 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 19)/-9))}$$

$$\alpha_{T_{Ois}} = \frac{0.56034}{250 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 60)/5))}$$

$$\beta_{T_{Ois}} = \beta_{T_{Oif}}$$

$$\frac{dT_{O_a}}{dt} = \frac{T_{O_{a,\infty}} - T_{O_a}}{\tau_{T_{O_a}}}$$

$$\frac{dT_{O_{if}}}{dt} = \alpha_{T_{O_{if}}} \cdot (1 - T_{O_{if}}) - \beta_{T_{O_{if}}} \cdot T_{O_{if}}$$

$$\frac{dT_{O_{is}}}{dt} = \alpha_{T_{O_{is}}} \cdot (1 - T_{O_{is}}) - \beta_{T_{O_{is}}} \cdot T_{O_{is}}$$

$$I_{T_O}^{NP} = \bar{G}_{T_O} \cdot T_{O_a}^3 \cdot (0.7356 \cdot T_{O_{if}} + 0.2644 \cdot T_{O_{is}}) \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

CaMKII phosphorylated Channels:

$$\alpha_{T_{O_{if}}}^{P, CaMK} = \frac{0.04796}{1 + \exp((V_m + 58)/5)}$$

$$\beta_{T_{O_{if}}}^{P, CaMK} = \beta_{T_{O_{if}}}$$

$$\alpha_{T_{O_{is}}}^{P, CaMK} = \frac{2.4600}{250 \cdot (1 + \exp((V_m + 60)/5))}$$

$$\beta_{T_{O_{is}}}^{P, CaMK} = \beta_{T_{O_{if}}}^{P, CaMK}$$

$$\frac{dT_{O_{if}}^{P, CaMKII}}{dt} = \alpha_{T_{O_{if}}}^{P, CaMK} \cdot (1 - T_{O_{if}}^{P, CaMKII}) - \beta_{T_{O_{if}}}^{P, CaMK} \cdot T_{O_{if}}^{P, CaMKII}$$

$$\frac{dT_{O_{is}}^{P, CaMKII}}{dt} = \alpha_{T_{O_{is}}}^{P, CaMK} \cdot (1 - T_{O_{is}}^{P, CaMKII}) - \beta_{T_{O_{is}}}^{P, CaMK} \cdot T_{O_{is}}^{P, CaMKII}$$

$$I_{T_O}^{P, CaMKII} = \bar{G}_{T_O} \cdot T_{O_a}^3 \cdot (0.7356 \cdot T_{O_{if}}^{P, CaMKII} + 0.2644 \cdot T_{O_{is}}^{P, CaMKII}) \cdot (V_m - E_K)$$

Combined I_{T_O} current:

$$I_{T_O} = (1 - f_{I_{T_O}}^{P, CaMKII}) \cdot I_{T_O}^{NP} + f_{I_{T_O}}^{P, CaMKII} \cdot I_{T_O}^{P, CaMKII}$$

1.24 SR Ca^{2+} release (I_{Rel})

1.24.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

Model SR release formulation is equivalent to that in Livshitz et al.⁷⁶

$$\Delta\beta_0 = 1.000 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_\beta = 0.2800 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad h_\beta = 10$$

$$\kappa = 0.1125 \text{ pA/pF}, \quad K_{Rel, \infty} = 1.000 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad h_{Rel} = 8$$

$$K_{Rel, \tau} = 0.0123 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad \beta_0 = 4.7500 \text{ ms}$$

$$\Delta\beta_{\tau, CaMK} = \frac{\Delta\beta_0}{1 + \left(\frac{K_\beta}{CaMK_{active}} \right)^{h_\beta}}$$

$$\beta_\tau = \beta_0 \cdot (1 + \Delta\beta_{\tau, CaMK})$$

$$\alpha_{Rel} = \kappa \cdot \beta_\tau$$

$$I_{\text{Rel},\tau} = \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{1 + \frac{K_{\text{Rel},\tau}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}}$$

$$I_{\text{Rel},\infty} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{Rel}} \cdot I_{\text{Ca,L}}}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{\text{Rel},\infty}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}} \right)^{h_R}}$$

$$\frac{dI_{\text{Rel}}}{dt} = - \frac{I_{\text{Rel},\infty} + I_{\text{Rel}}}{I_{\text{Rel},\tau}}$$

1.24.2 HRd β ARS version (Chapters 3-5)

The exact mechanisms and functional effects of ryanodine receptor (RyR) phosphorylation are still actively debated.⁷⁷ Marks and colleagues showed increased RyR open probability after PKA-dependent phosphorylation of Ser 2809 (Ser 2808 in mice) and dissociation of the regulatory protein FKBP12.6.⁷⁸ Furthermore, they argued that the RyR may become hyperphosphorylated during heart failure, leading to increased sensitivity to Ca^{2+} -induced RyR activation. Other groups have questioned these results, showing no differences in adrenergic response of S2808A knock-in mice⁷⁹ and no differences between RyR phosphorylation levels in normal and failing canine hearts.⁸⁰ The mechanisms of RyR phosphorylation are further complicated by the fact that there are multiple consensus phosphorylation sites for multiple kinases. Xiao and others provided evidence that not S2809 but S2030 is the major phosphorylation site activated by β AR stimulation and that phosphorylation increased the sensitivity of the RyR to luminal Ca^{2+} .⁸¹ Other confounding effects include the effects of adrenergic stimulation on PLB and $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$, which change the trigger strength and Ca^{2+} load that affect RyR properties indirectly. Ginsburg and Bers analyzed the effects of isoproterenol on SR- Ca^{2+} release in rabbit and mouse ventricular myocytes with controlled Ca^{2+} load and trigger strength and found no difference in the total amount of Ca^{2+} released compared to control conditions. However, this amount of Ca^{2+} was released faster during isoproterenol.⁸² Similar findings were reported recently by Ogrodnik and Niggli in guinea pig ventricular myocytes.⁸³

Because the model does not distinguish individual phosphorylation sites on a substrate, we are only interested in the overall functional response of RyRs to β AR stimulation. Here, we adopt the results from Ginsburg and Bers.⁸² The phosphorylated channels release approximately the same total amount of Ca^{2+} when the $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$ trigger and Ca^{2+} load are matched to baseline conditions (same initial Ca^{2+} concentration in the JSR and $I_{\text{Ca,L}}$ clamped) but the maximum release is approximately 1.8 fold higher in phosphorylated channels than in non-phosphorylated channels. In addition, the RyR can be phosphorylated by CaMKII. Formulations for the changes caused by CaMKII phosphorylation were included in our baseline model and remained unchanged.^{21, 76}

Besides effects on the triggered, Ca^{2+} induced Ca^{2+} release, β AR stimulation also increases the leak of Ca^{2+} from the SR.^{83, 84} This effect is independent of cAMP but sensitive to CaMKII inhibition and

results in a much steeper dependence of leak amplitude on SR Ca^{2+} load.⁸⁴ We incorporate an explicit JSR Ca^{2+} leak formulation with phosphorylation as a direct function of ISO, because of the cAMP independence and as yet unclear mechanism resulting in cAMP-independent increases in CaMKII phosphorylation of RyR.

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$\Delta\beta_0^{\text{NP}} = 2.0 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad \beta_0 = 3.167 \text{ ms}, \quad \kappa = 0.1125 \text{ } \mu\text{A/uF}$$

$$K_{\text{Rel},\tau} = 0.0123 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{\text{Rel},\infty} = 1.0 \text{ mmol/L}, \quad h_R = 8.0$$

$$\Delta\beta_{\tau,\text{CaMK}} = \Delta\beta_0^{\text{NP}} \cdot f_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}$$

$$\beta_{\tau} = \beta_0 \cdot (1 + \Delta\beta_{\tau,\text{CaMK}})$$

$$\alpha_{\text{Rel}} = \kappa \cdot \beta_{\tau}$$

$$I_{\text{Rel},\tau}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{1 + \frac{K_{\text{Rel},\tau}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}}$$

$$I_{\text{Rel},\infty}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{Rel}} \cdot I_{\text{Ca,L}}}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{\text{Rel},\infty}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}} \right)^{h_R}}$$

$$\frac{dI_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{NP}}}{dt} = - \frac{I_{\text{Rel},\infty}^{\text{NP}} + I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{NP}}}{I_{\text{Rel},\tau}^{\text{NP}}}$$

$$I_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{NP}} = 1.75 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}{20}\right) \cdot ([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}} - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{SS}})$$

Phosphorylated Channels:

$$\Delta\beta_0^{\text{P}} = 0.0 \text{ mmol/L}$$

$$\Delta\beta_{\tau,\text{CaMK}} = \Delta\beta_0^{\text{P}} \cdot f_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}$$

$$\beta_{\tau} = \beta_0 \cdot (1 + \Delta\beta_{\tau,\text{CaMK}})$$

$$I_{\text{Rel},\tau}^{\text{P}} = 0.4 \cdot \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{1 + \frac{K_{\text{Rel},\tau}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}}$$

$$I_{\text{Rel},\infty}^{\text{P}} = 1.8 \cdot \frac{\alpha_{\text{Rel}} \cdot I_{\text{Ca,L}}}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{\text{Rel},\infty}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}} \right)^{h_R}}$$

$$\frac{dI_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{P}}}{dt} = - \frac{I_{\text{Rel},\infty}^{\text{P}} + I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{P}}}{I_{\text{Rel},\tau}^{\text{P}}}$$

$$I_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}} = 5.0 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}{1.1}\right) \cdot ([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}} - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{SS}})$$

Combined current:

$$\hat{f}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}} = \frac{f_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}} - \left(0.0329 + \frac{[\text{RyR}]_{\text{ARN}}}{[\text{RyR}]_{\text{tot}}}\right)}{0.9586 - \left(0.0329 + \frac{[\text{RyR}]_{\text{ARN}}}{[\text{RyR}]_{\text{tot}}}\right)}$$

$$\frac{d\hat{f}_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}}}{dt} = \frac{\left(\frac{[\text{ISO}]}{[\text{ISO}] + 0.05} - \hat{f}_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}}\right)}{\tau_{\text{RyR,leak}}}, \quad \tau_{\text{RyR,leak}} = 100 \text{ s}$$

$$I_{\text{Rel}} = (1 - \hat{f}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{NP}} + \hat{f}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}} \cdot I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{P}} + (1 - \hat{f}_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot I_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{NP}} + \hat{f}_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}} \cdot I_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}}$$

Store-overload induced Ca^{2+} release:

$$\alpha_{\text{SOICR}} = \frac{0.0078}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}} - 2.3814}{1.0 \cdot 10^{-4}}\right)}$$

$$\beta_{\text{SOICR}} = 7.7525 \cdot 10^{-4} + \frac{7.6084 \cdot 10^{-2}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}} - 0.7410}{1.0 \cdot 10^{-4}}\right)}$$

$$\frac{d\text{Switch}_{\text{On}}}{dt} = \alpha_{\text{SOICR}} \cdot (1 - \text{Switch}_{\text{On}}) - \beta_{\text{SOICR}} \cdot \text{Switch}_{\text{On}}$$

$$I_{\text{RelSpon},\infty} = \frac{G_{\text{RelSpon}}}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\text{Switch}_{\text{On}} - 0.75}{0.0001}\right)}, \quad G_{\text{RelSpon}} = 0.6655$$

$$\tau_{\text{RelSpon}} = \frac{7.0153}{1 + \frac{K_{\text{Rel},\tau}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}}$$

$$\frac{dI_{\text{Rel,SOICR}}}{dt} = \frac{I_{\text{RelSpon},\infty} - I_{\text{Rel,SOICR}}}{\tau_{\text{RelSpon}}}$$

$$I_{\text{Rel}} = (1 - \hat{f}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{NP}} + \hat{f}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}} \cdot I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{P}} + (1 - \hat{f}_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot I_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{NP}} + \hat{f}_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}} \cdot I_{\text{RyR,leak}}^{\text{P}} + I_{\text{Rel,SOICR}}$$

1.24.3 HRd β ARS SCR version (Chapter 6)

To initiate diastolic Ca^{2+} release, steady-state RyR activation was set to a constant value in one of the two domains (indicated with superscript x) for the interval of diastolic SR Ca^{2+} release. In addition, the steepness of the dependence on SR Ca^{2+} load and I_{CaL} trigger strength were adjusted to reduce the incidence of secondary activation of RyR during repolarization.

$$h_{\text{R}} = 10$$

$$I_{\text{Rel},\infty}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{Rel}}}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{\text{Rel},\infty}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}^x} \right)^{h_R}} \cdot \frac{I_{\text{ICaL}}^x}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{(I_{\text{ICaL}}^x + 1.5)}{0.0001}\right)} - \text{SCR}_{\text{level}}$$

$$I_{\text{Rel},\infty}^{\text{P}} = 1.9925 \cdot \frac{\alpha_{\text{Rel}}}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{\text{Rel},\infty}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}^x} \right)^{h_R}} \cdot \frac{I_{\text{ICaL}}^x}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{(I_{\text{ICaL}}^x + 1.5)}{0.0001}\right)} - \text{SCR}_{\text{level}}$$

1.24.4 HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)

The original phosphorylated and non-phosphorylation CICR formulations were replaced by two 4-state Markov Models proposed by Restrepo et al. in their local control model of Ca^{2+} handling in the rabbit ventricular myocyte.⁸⁵ Model parameters were adjusted to reproduce rate-dependent Ca^{2+} transient (CaT) properties (amplitude, time to peak, and time constant of decay) of the original HRdβAR model in the absence or presence of β -adrenergic stimulation (βARS).

Nonphosphorylated Channels:

$$\hat{M}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}{0.4163} \right)^{15.24}}$$

$$\text{Ac}_{\text{RyR},\infty}^{\text{NP}} = 3.9665 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \left(\exp\left(\frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}{2.4898}\right) - 1 \right) + \frac{0.032}{1 + \left(\frac{1.1960 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot (1 + 2.0 \cdot f_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}})}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss,CaL}}} \right)^8}$$

$$\text{AcI}_{\text{RyR},\infty}^{\text{NP}} = 3.9665 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \left(\exp\left(\frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}}}{2.4898}\right) - 1 \right) + \frac{0.0005}{1 + \left(\frac{0.003 \cdot (1 + 2.0 \cdot f_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}})}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss,CaL}}} \right)^8}$$

$$\tau_{\text{RyR},\text{Ac}}^{\text{NP}} = 0.2 + 0.5000 \cdot \hat{M}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{NP}}$$

$$k_{\text{RyR},12}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\text{Ac}_{\text{RyR},\infty}^{\text{NP}}}{\tau_{\text{RyR},\text{Ac}}^{\text{NP}}}, \quad k_{\text{RyR},21}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{1 - \text{Ac}_{\text{RyR},\infty}^{\text{NP}}}{\tau_{\text{RyR},\text{Ac}}^{\text{NP}}}$$

$$k_{\text{RyR},43}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\text{AcI}_{\text{RyR},\infty}^{\text{NP}}}{\tau_{\text{RyR},\text{Ac}}^{\text{NP}}}, \quad k_{\text{RyR},34}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{1 - \text{AcI}_{\text{RyR},\infty}^{\text{NP}}}{\tau_{\text{RyR},\text{Ac}}^{\text{NP}}}$$

$$k_{\text{RyR},14,23}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{\hat{M}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{NP}}}{0.5250}, \quad k_{\text{RyR},41}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{1}{395.19}$$

$$k_{\text{RyR},32}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{k_{\text{RyR},41}^{\text{NP}} \cdot k_{\text{RyR},12}^{\text{NP}} \cdot k_{\text{RyR},34}^{\text{NP}}}{k_{\text{RyR},43}^{\text{NP}} \cdot k_{\text{RyR},21}^{\text{NP}}}$$

$$\frac{d\text{CB}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{NP}}}{dt} = k_{\text{RyR},21}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \text{OB}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{RyR},41}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \text{CU}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{NP}} - (k_{\text{RyR},12}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{RyR},14,23}^{\text{NP}}) \cdot \text{CB}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{NP}}$$

$$\frac{dOB_{RyR}^{NP}}{dt} = k_{RyR,12}^{NP} \cdot CB_{RyR}^{NP} + k_{RyR,32}^{NP} \cdot OU_{RyR}^{NP} - (k_{RyR,21}^{NP} + k_{RyR,14,23}^{NP}) \cdot OB_{RyR}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dOU_{RyR}^{NP}}{dt} = k_{RyR,14,23}^{NP} \cdot OB_{RyR}^{NP} + k_{RyR,43}^{NP} \cdot CU_{RyR}^{NP} - (k_{RyR,32}^{NP} + k_{RyR,34}^{NP}) \cdot OU_{RyR}^{NP}$$

$$\frac{dCU_{RyR}^{NP}}{dt} = k_{RyR,14,23}^{NP} \cdot CB_{RyR}^{NP} + k_{RyR,34}^{NP} \cdot OU_{RyR}^{NP} - (k_{RyR,41}^{NP} + k_{RyR,43}^{NP}) \cdot CU_{RyR}^{NP}$$

$$I_{Rel}^{NP} = \frac{3.5289 \cdot 10^{-5}}{V_{ss}} \cdot (OB_{RyR}^{NP} + OU_{RyR}^{NP}) \cdot ([Ca^{2+}]_{JSR} - [Ca^{2+}]_{ss,CaL})$$

Phosphorylated Channels:

$$\hat{M}_{RyR}^P = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{JSR}}{0.4240} \right)^{15.24}}$$

$$Ac_{RyR,\infty}^P = 1.8891 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \left(\exp \left(\frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{JSR}}{1.4257} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{0.07}{1 + \left(\frac{0.003 \cdot (1 + 2.0 \cdot f_{RyR}^{P,CaMKII})}{[Ca^{2+}]_{ss,CaL}} \right)^8}$$

$$Acl_{RyR,\infty}^P = 1.8891 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot \left(\exp \left(\frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{JSR}}{1.4257} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{0.0005}{1 + \left(\frac{0.006 \cdot (1 + 2.0 \cdot f_{RyR}^{P,CaMKII})}{[Ca^{2+}]_{ss,CaL}} \right)^8}$$

$$\tau_{RyR,Ac}^P = 0.3026 + 3.0000 \cdot \hat{M}_{RyR}^P$$

$$k_{RyR,12}^P = \frac{Ac_{RyR,\infty}^P}{\tau_{RyR,Ac}^P}, \quad k_{RyR,21}^P = \frac{1 - Ac_{RyR,\infty}^P}{\tau_{RyR,Ac}^P}$$

$$k_{RyR,43}^P = \frac{Acl_{RyR,\infty}^P}{\tau_{RyR,Ac}^P}, \quad k_{RyR,34}^P = \frac{1 - Acl_{RyR,\infty}^P}{\tau_{RyR,Ac}^P}$$

$$k_{RyR,14,23}^P = \frac{\hat{M}_{RyR}^P}{0.6000}, \quad k_{RyR,41}^P = \frac{1}{409.16}$$

$$k_{RyR,32}^P = \frac{k_{RyR,41}^P \cdot k_{RyR,12}^P \cdot k_{RyR,34}^P}{k_{RyR,43}^P \cdot k_{RyR,21}^P}$$

$$\frac{dCB_{RyR}^P}{dt} = k_{RyR,21}^P \cdot OB_{RyR}^P + k_{RyR,41}^P \cdot CU_{RyR}^P - (k_{RyR,12}^P + k_{RyR,14,23}^P) \cdot CB_{RyR}^P$$

$$\frac{dOB_{RyR}^P}{dt} = k_{RyR,12}^P \cdot CB_{RyR}^P + k_{RyR,32}^P \cdot OU_{RyR}^P - (k_{RyR,21}^P + k_{RyR,14,23}^P) \cdot OB_{RyR}^P$$

$$\frac{dOU_{RyR}^P}{dt} = k_{RyR,14,23}^P \cdot OB_{RyR}^P + k_{RyR,43}^P \cdot CU_{RyR}^P - (k_{RyR,32}^P + k_{RyR,34}^P) \cdot OU_{RyR}^P$$

$$\frac{dCU_{RyR}^P}{dt} = k_{RyR,14,23}^P \cdot CB_{RyR}^P + k_{RyR,34}^P \cdot OU_{RyR}^P - (k_{RyR,41}^P + k_{RyR,43}^P) \cdot CU_{RyR}^P$$

$$I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{P}} = \frac{3.5289 \cdot 10^{-5}}{V_{\text{ss}}} \cdot (\text{OB}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}} + \text{OU}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot ([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{JSR}} - [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss,CaL}})$$

Combined current:

$$\hat{f}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}} = \frac{f_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}} - \left(0.0329 + \frac{[\text{RyR}]_{\text{ARN}}}{[\text{RyR}]_{\text{tot}}}\right)}{0.9586 - \left(0.0329 + \frac{[\text{RyR}]_{\text{ARN}}}{[\text{RyR}]_{\text{tot}}}\right)}$$

$$I_{\text{Rel}} = (1 - \hat{f}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}}) \cdot I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{NP}} + \hat{f}_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P}} \cdot I_{\text{Rel}}^{\text{P}}$$

1.25 SR Ca^{2+} uptake (I_{up})

1.25.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

Model SR uptake formulation is equivalent to that in Livshitz et al.⁷⁶

$$\bar{\Delta}K_{\text{m,PLB}} = 1.700 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{\text{m,CaMK}} = 0.15, \quad \bar{\text{NSR}} = 15 \text{ mmol/L}$$

$$\bar{\Delta}I_{\text{up,CaMK}} = 0.75, \quad K_{\text{m,up}} = 9.200 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/L}, \quad \bar{I}_{\text{up}} = 4.3750 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ mmol/L/ms}$$

$$\Delta K_{\text{m,PLB}} = \bar{\Delta}K_{\text{m,PLB}} \cdot \frac{\text{CaMK}_{\text{active}}}{K_{\text{m,CaMK}} + \text{CaMK}_{\text{active}}}$$

$$\Delta I_{\text{up,CaMK}} = \bar{\Delta}I_{\text{up,CaMK}} \cdot \frac{\text{CaMK}_{\text{active}}}{K_{\text{m,CaMK}} + \text{CaMK}_{\text{active}}}$$

$$I_{\text{up}} = \bar{I}_{\text{up}} \cdot (1 + \Delta I_{\text{up,CaMK}}) \cdot \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{i}} + K_{\text{m,up}} - \Delta K_{\text{m,PLB}}} - \frac{\bar{I}_{\text{up}}}{\bar{\text{NSR}}} \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{NSR}}$$

1.25.2 HRd β ARS version (Chapters 3-6)

Phospholamban (PLB), the inhibitor protein of the SR- Ca^{2+} uptake pump (SERCA2a) can be phosphorylated at Ser 10 by PKC, Ser 16 by PKA and at Thr 17 by CaMKII. Ser 10 phosphorylation is physiologically not relevant, but phosphorylation of Ser 16 and Thr 17 relieves PLB inhibition of SERCA2a,⁸⁶ thereby increasing the affinity of SERCA2a to Ca^{2+} ⁸⁷ and increasing the Ca^{2+} uptake into the SR and contributing to the lusitropic effect of β AR stimulation. Phosphorylation of PLB by CaMKII was already incorporated in our baseline model,^{4,21} and we use the formulation presented there. We assume that phosphorylation of PLB by PKA and CaMKII occurs independently, as suggested by Hagemann et al.⁸⁸ Other studies have claimed that Ser 16 phosphorylation by PKA is required for Thr 17 phosphorylation by CaMKII in situ.⁸⁶ However, this could be because β AR stimulation indirectly enhances the CaMKII signaling pathway through increased Ca^{2+} -cycling, thereby making the extent of Thr 17 phosphorylation strongly influenced by Ser 16 phosphorylation. This is also suggested by the rightward shift in Thr 17 isoproterenol dose-response curve in comparison with Ser 16.⁸⁹ The two

separate phosphorylation processes result in 4 populations of channels: non-phosphorylated, phosphorylated by PKA only, phosphorylated by CaMKII only and phosphorylated by both kinases.

In addition, we have adapted the modulation of SERCA2a by CaMKII that was present in the baseline model²¹ to enhance the frequency dependent increase in CaT decay. DeSantiago et al. showed that frequency dependent acceleration of relaxation (FDAR) and CaT decay are mediated by CaMKII but are independent of PLB.⁹⁰ In the model, time constants of CaT decay are 188 ms and 239 ms at CL = 1000 ms and CL = 6000 ms, respectively, consistent with experimental observations in canine ventricular myocytes (147±22 ms and 237±55 ms).⁹¹ FDAR, as quantified by the ratio of CaT decay time-constants at CLs of 500 and 5000 ms, is 0.56 in the model. This is qualitatively similar to the value of 0.36±0.03 observed by DeSantiago et al. in rat ventricular myocytes, considering the well known differences in Ca²⁺ handling between rodents and large mammals. Consistent with the data of DeSantiago et al., FDAR is significantly reduced (ratio of 0.95) when CaMKII is inhibited. As in previous modeling studies,⁹² we combined the NSR leak flux I_{leak} , which represents reverse mode SERCA2a, in the formulation of I_{up} .

$$f_{up}^{PKA} = \frac{f_{PLB}^P - 0.6591}{0.9945 - 0.6591}$$

$$f_{up}^{Both} = f_{up}^{PKA} \cdot f_{PLB}^{P,CaMKII}$$

$$f_{up}^{PKA,only} = f_{up}^{PKA} - f_{up}^{Both}$$

$$f_{up}^{CaMKII,only} = f_{PLB}^{P,CaMKII} - f_{up}^{Both}$$

$$K_{m,up}^{Baseline} = 9.2 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{m,up}^{PKA} = 4.97 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/L},$$

$$K_{m,up}^{CaMKII} = 7.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/L}, \quad K_{m,up}^{Both} = 4.97 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/L}$$

$$\bar{I}_{up} = 4.375 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\text{mmol/L}}{\text{ms}}, \quad [Ca^{2+}]_{NSR,Max} = 15 \text{ mmol/L}$$

$$K_{m,up} = \left(1 - f_{up}^{PKA,only} - f_{up}^{CaMKII,only} - f_{up}^{Both}\right) \cdot K_{m,up}^{Baseline} + f_{up}^{PKA,only} \cdot K_{m,up}^{PKA} + f_{up}^{CaMKII,only} \cdot K_{m,up}^{CaMKII} + f_{up}^{Both} \cdot K_{m,up}^{Both}$$

$$f_{SERCA2a}^{CaMKII} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{0.03}{CaMK_{active}}\right)^2}$$

$$I_{up} = \left(1 + 2.25 \cdot f_{SERCA2a}^{CaMKII}\right) \cdot \bar{I}_{up} \cdot \left(\frac{[Ca^{2+}]_i}{K_{m,up} + [Ca^{2+}]_i} - \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{NSR}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{NSR,Max}}\right)$$

1.25.3 HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapter 7)

The SR and sarcolemmal Ca²⁺-ATPases (underlying I_{up} and I_{pCa} , respectively) were simulated using the two-state, four-transition model structure of Tran et al.³¹ Parameters of the models were adjusted to

reproduce the Ca^{2+} dependence of the deterministic formulations (section 1.25.2). We assumed that the state distribution changes more rapidly than the changes in ionic concentrations on both sides of the pump, resulting in a quasi-equilibrium situation in which individual rates are decoupled. The number of ions transported via each transition was derived from a Binomial distribution and the net ion flux (or current) in the interval Δt was determined.

$$\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}} = 2.20 \cdot 10^6 \text{ pumps}$$

$$f_{\text{up}}^{\text{NP}} = 1 - f_{\text{up}}^{\text{PKA,only}} - f_{\text{up}}^{\text{CaMKII,only}} - f_{\text{up}}^{\text{Both}}$$

$$k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{NP}} = 4.6571 \cdot 10^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}, \quad k_{\text{SERCA},1-}^{\text{NP}} = 1.1618 \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}$$

$$k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{NP}} = 0.7514 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{NSR}} \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}, \quad k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{NP}} = 2.5205 \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}$$

$$n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{NP}} = \frac{k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{NP}}}{k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{SERCA},1-}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{NP}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{NP}}} \cdot f_{\text{up}}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}$$

$$J_{\text{up}}^{\text{NP}} = B(n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{NP}}, k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \Delta t) - B(f_{\text{up}}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}} - n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{NP}}, k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{NP}} \cdot \Delta t)$$

$$k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{P}} = 7.5269 \cdot 10^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}, \quad k_{\text{SERCA},1-}^{\text{P}} = 0.7188 \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}$$

$$k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{P}} = 0.8456 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{NSR}} \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}, \quad k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{P}} = 2.6131 \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}$$

$$n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{P}} = \frac{k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{P}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{P}}}{k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{P}} + k_{\text{SERCA},1-}^{\text{P}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{P}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{P}}} \cdot (f_{\text{up}}^{\text{PKA,only}} + f_{\text{up}}^{\text{Both}}) \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}$$

$$J_{\text{up}}^{\text{PKA}} = B(n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{P}}, k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{P}} \cdot \Delta t) - B((f_{\text{up}}^{\text{PKA,only}} + f_{\text{up}}^{\text{Both}}) \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}} - n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{P}}, k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{P}} \cdot \Delta t)$$

$$k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} = 5.6542 \cdot 10^3 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}, \quad k_{\text{SERCA},1-}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} = 0.7009 \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}$$

$$k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} = 1.1779 \cdot [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{NSR}} \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}, \quad k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} = 2.6034 \cdot \frac{2.00 \cdot 10^6}{\bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}}$$

$$n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} = \frac{k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{CaMKIIP}}}{k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} + k_{\text{SERCA},1-}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} + k_{\text{SERCA},2-}^{\text{CaMKIIP}}} \cdot f_{\text{up}}^{\text{CaMKII,only}} \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}}$$

$$J_{\text{up}}^{\text{CaMKII}} = B(n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{CaMKIIP}}, k_{\text{SERCA},1+}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} \cdot \Delta t) - B(f_{\text{up}}^{\text{CaMKII,only}} \cdot \bar{N}_{\text{SERCA}} - n_{\text{SERCA}}^{\text{CaMKIIP}}, k_{\text{SERCA},2+}^{\text{CaMKIIP}} \cdot \Delta t)$$

$$I_{\text{up}} = (1 + 2.25 \cdot f_{\text{SERCA}2a}^{\text{CaMKII}}) \cdot \frac{(J_{\text{up}}^{\text{NP}} + J_{\text{up}}^{\text{PKA}} + J_{\text{up}}^{\text{CaMKII}}) \cdot 10^9}{\Delta t \cdot N_A \cdot V_{\text{NSR}}}$$

1.26 Membrane potential

A detailed description of computational methods for strand simulations has been described previously.⁹³ Briefly, propagation in a strand of serially connected HRd model cells was simulated via a finite difference approximation of the cable equation and no-flux boundary conditions were employed.

$$I_{\text{ion}} = I_{\text{CaL}} + I_{\text{Cab}} + I_{\text{pCa}} + I_{\text{Cl,b}} + I_{\text{Cl(Ca)}} + I_{\text{K1}} + I_{\text{Kr}} + I_{\text{Ks}} + I_{\text{Kur}} + I_{\text{NaCa}} + I_{\text{NaCa,ss}} + I_{\text{Na}} + I_{\text{NaK}} \\ + I_{\text{Nab}} + I_{\text{NaL}} + I_{\text{To}}$$

For single cell simulations:

$$\frac{dV_m}{dt} = -\frac{1}{C_m} \cdot (I_{\text{ion}} + I_{\text{stim}})$$

For 1-dimensional strand simulations with spatial step-size Δx :

$$\frac{dV_m}{dt} = \frac{1}{C_m} \cdot \left(\frac{(V_m^{i-1} - V_m^i) - (V_m^{i+1} - V_m^i)}{\Delta x^2} \cdot \frac{1000 \cdot r}{2 \cdot R_{\text{CG}} \cdot R_i} - (I_{\text{ion}} + I_{\text{stim}}) \right)$$

$$R_i = R_{\text{myo}} + \frac{R_g}{\Delta x}, \quad R_{\text{myo}} = 150 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}, \quad R_g = 1.5 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$$

2. β -ADRENERGIC SIGNALING MODEL

2.1 General constants

The tables in this section provide an overview of the parameters used in the β AR signaling model. Parameters are taken directly from the cited literature (indicated by #), converted from the cited literature (indicated by &), result from a direct fit from the data in the cited literature (@) or result from a global fit to more macroscopic data (%). Species specificity is indicated by (C) for dog, (R) for rat, (A) for Rabbit, (M) for mouse, (N) for expressions in Chinese hamster ovary cells, (E) for expressions in insect cells, (G) for guinea pig and (H) for human.

It should be noted that all rate constants and derivatives in the adrenergic signaling component of the model are given in s^{-1} , whereas the electrophysiological model operates in ms^{-1} . As such, derivatives of the signaling component have to be scaled by a factor 1000 when both components are implemented.

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
[L]	0...1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Concentration of isoproterenol	
[IBMX]	0...100 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Concentration of IBMX	
V^{CELL}	3.801E-5 μL	Cell volume	21, 94 [#]
V^{CAV}	0.02 · V^{CELL}	Caveolar volume	21 [#]
V^{ECAV}	0.04 · V^{CELL}	Extracaveolar volume	94 ^{&}
V^{CYT}	0.678 · V^{CELL}	Cytosolic volume	21 [#]

2.2 State vector

#	Variable	Description	Initial
1	$[\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of active Gs_{α} subunit in caveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	6.8504E-03
2	$[\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^{\text{ECAV}}$	Concentration of active Gs_{α} subunit in extracaveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.8463E-02
3	$[\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^{\text{CYT}}$	Concentration of active Gs_{α} subunit in cytoplasmic compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	7.3142E-04
4	$[\text{Gs}]_{\beta\gamma}^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of $\text{Gs}_{\beta\gamma}$ subunit in caveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	7.4577E-03
5	$[\text{Gs}]_{\beta\gamma}^{\text{ECAV}}$	Concentration of $\text{Gs}_{\beta\gamma}$ subunit in extracaveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.9102E-02
6	$[\text{Gs}]_{\beta\gamma}^{\text{CYT}}$	Concentration of $\text{Gs}_{\beta\gamma}$ subunit in cytoplasmic compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.1514E-03
7	$[\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GDP}}^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of inactive Gs_{α} subunit in caveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	6.0732E-04
8	$[\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GDP}}^{\text{ECAV}}$	Concentration of inactive Gs_{α} subunit in extracaveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	6.3904E-04
9	$[\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GDP}}^{\text{CYT}}$	Concentration of inactive Gs_{α} subunit in cytoplasmic compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	4.1999E-04
10	$[\text{Gi}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of active Gi_{α} subunit in caveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.5963E-03
11	$[\text{Gi}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^{\text{ECAV}}$	Concentration of active Gi_{α} subunit in extracaveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	3.6432E-04
12	$[\text{Gi}]_{\beta\gamma}^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of active $\text{Gi}_{\beta\gamma}$ subunit in caveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	2.0991E-03
13	$[\text{Gi}]_{\beta\gamma}^{\text{ECAV}}$	Concentration of active $\text{Gi}_{\beta\gamma}$ subunit in extracaveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	7.0566E-04
14	$[\text{Gi}]_{\alpha, \text{GDP}}^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of inactive Gi_{α} subunit in caveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	5.0279E-04
15	$[\text{Gi}]_{\alpha, \text{GDP}}^{\text{ECAV}}$	Concentration of inactive Gi_{α} subunit in extracaveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	3.4134E-04
16	$[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of cAMP in caveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	3.4710E-01
17	$[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{ECAV}}$	Concentration of cAMP in extracaveolar compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	9.6236E+00

18	$[cAMP]^{CYT}$	Concentration of cAMP in cytosolic compartment ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	4.7408E-01
19	$[R_{\beta 1}]_{PKA,tot}^{CAV}$	Concentration of total PKA-phosphorylated $\beta 1$ receptors (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.4904E-02
20	$[R_{\beta 1}]_{PKA,tot}^{ECAV}$	Concentration of total PKA-phosphorylated $\beta 1$ receptors (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	2.0302E-02
21	$[R_{\beta 1}]_{PKA,tot}^{CYT}$	Concentration of total PKA-phosphorylated receptors (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	9.4446E-03
22	$[R_{\beta 1}]_{GRK,tot}^{CAV}$	Concentration of total GRK-phosphorylated receptors (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	0.0000E+00
23	$[R_{\beta 1}]_{GRK,tot}^{ECAV}$	Concentration of total GRK-phosphorylated receptors (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	0.0000E+00
24	$[R_{\beta 1}]_{GRK,tot}^{CYT}$	Concentration of total GRK-phosphorylated receptors (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	0.0000E+00
25	$[R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,tot}^{CAV}$	Concentration of total PKA-phosphorylated $\beta 2$ receptors (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	2.7546E-02
26	$[R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,tot}^{ECAV}$	Concentration of total PKA-phosphorylated $\beta 2$ receptors (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.1025E-02
27	$[R_{\beta 2}]_{GRK,tot}^{CAV}$	Concentration of total GRK-phosphorylated $\beta 2$ receptors (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	0.0000E+00
28	$[R_{\beta 2}]_{GRK,tot}^{ECAV}$	Concentration of total GRK-phosphorylated $\beta 2$ receptors (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	0.0000E+00
29	$[ARC]^{CAV}$	Concentration of PKA RC dimer with 1 cAMP molecule bound (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	9.0480E-02
30	$[A_2RC]^{CAV}$	Concentration of PKA RC dimer with 2 cAMP molecules bound (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	2.7649E-03
31	$[A_2R]^{CAV}$	Concentration of PKA R subunit with 2 cAMP molecules bound (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	2.2548E-01
32	$[C]^{CAV}$	Concentration of free PKA catalytic subunit (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	3.2657E-02
33	$[PKIC]^{CAV}$	Concentration of PKI inactivated PKA C subunit (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.9282E-01
34	$[ARC]^{ECAV}$	Concentration of PKA RC dimer with 1 cAMP molecule bound (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	2.0544E-01
35	$[A_2RC]^{ECAV}$	Concentration of PKA RC dimer with 2 cAMP molecules bound (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.7406E-01
36	$[A_2R]^{ECAV}$	Concentration of PKA R subunit with 2 cAMP molecules bound (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	8.1716E-01
37	$[C]^{ECAV}$	Concentration of free PKA catalytic subunit (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	5.6725E-01
38	$[PKIC]^{ECAV}$	Concentration of PKI inactivated PKA C subunit (extracaveolar)	2.4991E-01
39	$[ARC]^{CYT}$	Concentration of PKA RC dimer with 1 cAMP molecule bound (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	6.4693E-02
40	$[A_2RC]^{CYT}$	Concentration of PKA RC dimer with 2 cAMP molecules bound (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	6.6500E-02
41	$[A_2R]^{CYT}$	Concentration of PKA R subunit with 2 cAMP molecules bound (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	4.8906E-01
42	$[C]^{CYT}$	Concentration of free PKA catalytic subunit (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	3.6211E-01

43	$[\text{PKIC}]^{\text{CYT}}$	Concentration of PKI inactivated PKA C subunit (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.2695E-01
44	$[\text{PDE3}]_{\text{P}}^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated PDE3 (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	2.3692E-02
45	$[\text{PDE3}]_{\text{P}}^{\text{CYT}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated PDE3 (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	1.2840E-02
46	$[\text{PDE4}]_{\text{P}}^{\text{CAV}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated PDE4 (caveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	6.3736E-03
47	$[\text{PDE4}]_{\text{P}}^{\text{ECAV}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated PDE4 (extracaveolar; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	4.2917E-05
48	$[\text{PDE4}]_{\text{P}}^{\text{CYT}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated PDE4 (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	9.1704E-03
49	$[\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{P}}^{\text{CYT}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated PP1 Inhibitor 1 (cytoplasmic; $\mu\text{mol/L}$)	2.8266E-02
50	$[\text{ICaL}]^{\text{P}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated L-type Ca^{2+} channels	6.7371E-04
51	$[\text{IKs}]^{\text{P}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated I_{Ks} channels	7.6599E-04
52	$f_{\text{PLB}}^{\text{P}}$	Fraction of phosphorylated PLB	5.9217E-01
53	$f_{\text{TnI}}^{\text{P}}$	Fraction of phosphorylated TnI	6.7351E-01
54	$f_{\text{INa}}^{\text{P}}$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Na} channels	2.3948E-01
55	$f_{\text{INaK}}^{\text{P}}$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{NaK}	1.2635E-01
56	$[\text{RyR}]^{\text{P}}$	Concentration of phosphorylated RyR	4.1069E-03
57	$f_{\text{IKur}}^{\text{P}}$	Fraction of phosphorylated I_{Kur}	5.8938E-02
58	$f_{\text{ICaL}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}$	Fraction of CaMKII-phosphorylated I_{CaL}	0.0000E+00
59	$f_{\text{PLB}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}$	Fraction of CaMKII-phosphorylated PLB	0.0000E+00
60	$f_{\text{INa}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}$	Fraction of CaMKII-phosphorylated I_{Na}	0.0000E+00
61	$f_{\text{RyR}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}$	Fraction of CaMKII-phosphorylated RyR	0.0000E+00
62	$f_{\text{ITo}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}$	Fraction of CaMKII-phosphorylated I_{To}	0.0000E+00
63	$f_{\text{IK1}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}$	Fraction of CaMKII-phosphorylated I_{K1}	0.0000E+00

2.3 Adrenergic receptor and G protein activation

Both $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ adrenergic receptor isoforms are incorporated in the model. The former make up 80% of the βAR in healthy ventricular myocytes and are distributed throughout the whole cell.^{95, 96} In contrast, $\beta 2\text{AR}$ are highly localized in the CAV domain.⁹⁷ $\beta 2\text{AR}$ in the model have higher affinity for isoproterenol, consistent with experimental observations.⁹⁸ Upon phosphorylation by PKA, $\beta 2\text{AR}$ switch from predominantly Gs-coupled to Gi-coupled, thereby providing an attenuating signal during intense βAR stimulation.⁹⁹ The exact mechanism by which the Gi pathway localizes the effects of $\beta 2\text{AR}$ stimulation is not known. Jo et al.¹⁰⁰ have shown that the $\text{G}_{\beta\gamma}$ subunits of Gi and phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase play a major role in limiting the effect of $\beta 2\text{AR}$ stimulation on PLB phosphorylation in adult rat ventricular myocytes. The exact link between phosphatidylinositol 3

kinase and PLB phosphorylation, however is unknown. On the other hand the main adenylyl cyclase (AC) isoform in the heart is known to be inhibited by Gi. In line with the adenylyl cyclase mediated mechanism, Zama et al.⁹⁹ have shown that pertussis toxin (PTX) mediated inhibition of Gi results in enhanced AC activity in pseudo- phosphorylated $\beta 2AR$. Because of the incomplete understanding of the phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase pathway, we have currently only modeled the effect of $\beta 2AR$ -mediated Gi activation on AC.

The complex formation between receptor, G-protein (Gs for $\beta 1AR$, either Gs or Gi for $\beta 2AR$) and ligand is assumed to be very fast^{94, 101} and hence is given by algebraic, steady-state equations. This requires finding the unique nonnegative real root of a second order (for CYT and Gi binding to $\beta 2AR$ in CAV and ECAV) or third order ($\beta 1AR/\beta 2AR/Gs/Ligand$ binding in CAV and ECAV) polynomial to determine the distribution of free and complexed proteins. For both receptor isoforms, desensitization due to PKA phosphorylation is independent of ligand and/or G-protein binding, whereas desensitization through GRK only affects the ligand bound states.^{101, 102} G-proteins are activated through a slow process by the RG complex and a fast process by the LRG complex. Inhibition of either $\beta 1AR$ or $\beta 2AR$ is simulated by reducing the active fraction of the LRG complex of each respective receptor isoform.

For $x \in \{CAV, ECAV\}$:

$$[R_{\beta 1}]_{tot}^x = f_{R,\beta 1}^x \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x}$$

$$[R_{\beta 2}]_{tot}^x = f_{R,\beta 2}^x \cdot [R_{\beta 2}]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x}$$

$$[Gs]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x = f_{Gs}^x \cdot [Gs]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x} - [Gs]_{\alpha,GTP}^x - [Gs]_{\alpha,GDP}^x$$

$$[Gi]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x = f_{Gi}^x \cdot [Gi]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x} - [Gi]_{\alpha,GTP}^x - [Gi]_{\alpha,GDP}^x$$

$$[R_{\beta 1}]_{np,tot}^x = [R_{\beta 1}]_{tot}^x - [R_{\beta 1}]_{PKA,tot}^x - [R_{\beta 1}]_{GRK,tot}^x$$

$$[R_{\beta 2}]_{np,tot}^x = [R_{\beta 2}]_{tot}^x - [R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,tot}^x - [R_{\beta 2}]_{GRK,tot}^x$$

$$a_{LRGi} = \frac{1}{K_{\beta 2,N}} \cdot (K_{\beta 2,F} + [L]) \cdot (K_{\beta 2,N} + [L])$$

$$b_{LRGi} = [Gi]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x \cdot (L + K_{\beta 2,F}) - (K_{\beta 2,F} + [L]) \cdot [R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,tot}^x + K_{\beta 2,A} \cdot K_{\beta 2,F} + \frac{K_{\beta 2,A} \cdot K_{\beta 2,F} \cdot [L]}{K_{\beta 2,N}}$$

$$c_{LRGi} = -[R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,tot}^x \cdot K_{\beta 2,A} \cdot K_{\beta 2,F}$$

$$[R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,f}^x = \frac{-b_{LRGi} + \sqrt{b_{LRGi}^2 - 4 \cdot a_{LRGi} \cdot c_{LRGi}}}{2 \cdot a_{LRGi}}$$

$$[Gi]_f^x = \frac{[Gi]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x}{1 + \frac{[R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,f}^x}{K_{\beta 2,A}} + \frac{[L] \cdot [R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,f}^x}{K_{\beta 2,A} \cdot K_{\beta 2,F}}}$$

$$[R_{\beta 2}Gi]_{PKA}^x = \frac{[R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,f}^x \cdot [Gi]_f^x}{K_{\beta 2,A}}$$

$$[LR_{\beta 2}Gi]_{PKA}^x = \frac{[L] \cdot [R_{\beta 2}]_{PKA,f}^x \cdot [Gi]_f^x}{K_{\beta 2,A} \cdot K_{\beta 2,F}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{LRG} = & [R_{\beta 1}]_{np,tot}^x \\ & + \left(K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L]^2 - [Gs]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x \right. \\ & \cdot (K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L]^2 + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] \\ & + K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L]) + K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot [L]^2 + K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L]^2 \\ & \cdot [R_{\beta 2}]_{np,tot}^x + K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \\ & \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} + K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot [L] \\ & + K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] + K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] + [R_{\beta 2}]_{np,tot}^x \\ & \cdot (K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] + K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \\ & \cdot [L]) \left. \right) \\ & / (K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L]^2 + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] \\ & + K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{LRG} = & \left(([R_{\beta 2}]_{np,tot}^x - [Gs]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x) \right. \\ & \cdot (K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot [L]^2 + K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,C} \\ & \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L]) + ([R_{\beta 1}]_{np,tot}^x - [Gs]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x) \\ & \cdot (K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} + K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] + K_{\beta 2,C} \\ & \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot [L]^2 + K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot [L]) + K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \\ & \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot [L] + K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,C} \\ & \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot [L] + K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot [L]^2 \left. \right) \\ & / (K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot (K_{\beta 2,H} + [L]) \cdot (K_{\beta 1,H} + [L])) \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{LRG} = \frac{([Gs]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 2,H} \cdot K_{\beta 2,C} \cdot (K_{\beta 2,L} + [L]) \cdot (K_{\beta 1,L} + [L]))}{(K_{\beta 1,L} \cdot K_{\beta 2,L} \cdot (K_{\beta 2,H} + [L]) \cdot (K_{\beta 1,H} + [L]))}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\text{LRG}} = & \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot d_{\text{LRG}} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot b_{\text{LRG}} \cdot c_{\text{LRG}} - \frac{1}{27} \cdot (b_{\text{LRG}})^3 \right. \\ & + \left(-\frac{1}{27} \cdot (b_{\text{LRG}})^3 \cdot d_{\text{LRG}} - \frac{1}{108} \cdot (b_{\text{LRG}})^2 \cdot (c_{\text{LRG}})^2 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot b_{\text{LRG}} \cdot c_{\text{LRG}} \cdot d_{\text{LRG}} + \frac{1}{27} \right. \\ & \left. \left. \cdot (c_{\text{LRG}})^3 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot (d_{\text{LRG}})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

$$[\text{Gs}]_{\text{f}}^{\text{x}} = \varphi_{\text{LRG}} - \frac{1}{\varphi_{\text{LRG}}} \cdot \left(\frac{c_{\text{LRG}}}{3} - \frac{(b_{\text{LRG}})^2}{9} \right) - \frac{b_{\text{LRG}}}{3}$$

$$[\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{np},\text{f}}^{\text{x}} = \frac{[\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{np,tot}}^{\text{x}}}{1 + \frac{[\text{L}]}{K_{\beta 1,\text{L}}} + [\text{Gs}]_{\text{f}}^{\text{x}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{K_{\beta 1,\text{C}}} + \frac{[\text{L}]}{K_{\beta 1,\text{C}} \cdot K_{\beta 1,\text{H}}} \right)}$$

$$[\text{R}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{np},\text{f}}^{\text{x}} = \frac{[\text{R}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{np,tot}}^{\text{x}}}{1 + \frac{[\text{L}]}{K_{\beta 2,\text{L}}} + [\text{Gs}]_{\text{f}}^{\text{x}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{K_{\beta 2,\text{C}}} + \frac{[\text{L}]}{K_{\beta 2,\text{C}} \cdot K_{\beta 2,\text{H}}} \right)}$$

$$[\text{LR}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{np}}^{\text{x}} = \frac{[\text{L}] \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{np},\text{f}}^{\text{x}}}{K_{\beta 1,\text{L}}}$$

$$[\text{R}_{\beta 1} \text{Gs}]_{\text{np}}^{\text{x}} = \frac{[\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{np},\text{f}}^{\text{x}} \cdot [\text{Gs}]_{\text{f}}^{\text{x}}}{K_{\beta 1,\text{C}}}$$

$$[\text{LR}_{\beta 1} \text{Gs}]_{\text{np}}^{\text{x}} = \frac{[\text{L}] \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{np},\text{f}}^{\text{x}} \cdot [\text{Gs}]_{\text{f}}^{\text{x}}}{K_{\beta 1,\text{C}} \cdot K_{\beta 1,\text{H}}}$$

$$[\text{LR}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{np}}^{\text{x}} = \frac{[\text{L}] \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{np},\text{f}}^{\text{x}}}{K_{\beta 2,\text{L}}}$$

$$[\text{R}_{\beta 2} \text{Gs}]_{\text{np}}^{\text{x}} = \frac{[\text{R}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{np},\text{f}}^{\text{x}} \cdot [\text{Gs}]_{\text{f}}^{\text{x}}}{K_{\beta 2,\text{C}}}$$

$$[\text{LR}_{\beta 2} \text{Gs}]_{\text{np}}^{\text{x}} = \frac{[\text{L}] \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{np},\text{f}}^{\text{x}} \cdot [\text{Gs}]_{\text{f}}^{\text{x}}}{K_{\beta 2,\text{C}} \cdot K_{\beta 2,\text{H}}}$$

$$\frac{d[\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{PKA,tot}}^{\text{x}}}{dt} = k_{\text{PKA}p} \cdot [\text{C}]^{\text{x}} \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{np,tot}}^{\text{x}} - k_{\text{PKA}dp} \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{PKA,tot}}^{\text{x}}$$

$$\frac{d[\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{GRK,tot}}^{\text{x}}}{dt} = k_{\text{GRK}p} \cdot [\text{GRK}] \cdot \left([\text{LR}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{np}}^{\text{x}} + [\text{LR}_{\beta 1} \text{Gs}]_{\text{np}}^{\text{x}} \right) - k_{\text{GRK}dp} \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 1}]_{\text{GRK,tot}}^{\text{x}}$$

$$\frac{d[\text{R}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{PKA,tot}}^{\text{x}}}{dt} = k_{\text{PKA}p} \cdot [\text{C}]^{\text{x}} \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{np,tot}}^{\text{x}} - k_{\text{PKA}dp} \cdot [\text{R}_{\beta 2}]_{\text{PKA,tot}}^{\text{x}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d[R_{\beta 2}]_{GRK,tot}^x}{dt} &= k_{GRKp} \cdot [GRK] \cdot ([LR_{\beta 2}]_{np}^x + [LR_{\beta 2}Gs]_{np}^x) - k_{GRKdp} \cdot [R_{\beta 2}]_{GRK,tot}^x \\
 \frac{d[Gs]_{\alpha,GTP}^x}{dt} &= k_{act2,Gs} \cdot ([R_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x + [R_{\beta 2}Gs]_{np}^x) + k_{act1,Gs} \cdot ([LR_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x + [LR_{\beta 2}Gs]_{np}^x) \\
 &\quad - k_{hydr,Gs} \cdot [Gs]_{\alpha,GTP}^x \\
 \frac{d[Gs]_{\beta\gamma}^x}{dt} &= k_{act2,Gs} \cdot ([R_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x + [R_{\beta 2}Gs]_{np}^x) + k_{act1,Gs} \cdot ([LR_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x + [LR_{\beta 2}Gs]_{np}^x) \\
 &\quad - k_{reas,Gs} \cdot [Gs]_{\beta\gamma}^x \cdot [Gs]_{\alpha,GDP}^x \\
 \frac{d[Gs]_{\alpha,GDP}^x}{dt} &= k_{hydr,Gs} \cdot [Gs]_{\alpha,GTP}^x - k_{reas,Gs} \cdot [Gs]_{\beta\gamma}^x \cdot [Gs]_{\alpha,GDP}^x \\
 \frac{d[Gi]_{\alpha,GTP}^x}{dt} &= k_{act2,Gi} \cdot [R_{\beta 2}Gi]_{PKA}^x + k_{act1,Gi} \cdot [LR_{\beta 2}Gi]_{PKA}^x - k_{hydr,Gi} \cdot [Gi]_{\alpha,GTP}^x \\
 \frac{d[Gi]_{\beta\gamma}^x}{dt} &= k_{act2,Gi} \cdot [R_{\beta 2}Gi]_{PKA}^x + k_{act1,Gi} \cdot [LR_{\beta 2}Gi]_{PKA}^x - k_{reas,Gi} \cdot [Gi]_{\beta\gamma}^x \cdot [Gi]_{\alpha,GDP}^x \\
 \frac{d[Gi]_{\alpha,GDP}^x}{dt} &= k_{hydr,Gi} \cdot [Gi]_{\alpha,GTP}^x - k_{reas,Gi} \cdot [Gi]_{\beta\gamma}^x \cdot [Gi]_{\alpha,GDP}^x
 \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in \{CYT\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [R_{\beta 1}]_{tot}^x &= f_{R,\beta 1}^x \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x} \\
 [Gs]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x &= f_{Gs}^x \cdot [Gs]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x} - [Gs]_{\alpha,GTP}^x - [Gs]_{\alpha,GDP}^x \\
 [R_{\beta 1}]_{np,tot}^x &= [R_{\beta 1}]_{tot}^x - [R_{\beta 1}]_{PKA,tot}^x - [R_{\beta 1}]_{GRK,tot}^x \\
 a_{LRG} &= \frac{1}{K_{\beta 1,L}} \cdot (K_{\beta 1,H} + [L]) \cdot (K_{\beta 1,L} + [L]) \\
 b_{LRG} &= [Gs]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x \cdot (L + K_{\beta 1,H}) - (K_{\beta 2,H} + [L]) \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{np,tot}^x + K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \\
 &\quad + \frac{K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot [L]}{K_{\beta 1,L}} \\
 c_{LRG} &= -[R_{\beta 1}]_{np,tot}^x \cdot K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,C} \\
 [R_{\beta 1}]_{np,f}^x &= \frac{-b_{LRG} + \sqrt{b_{LRG}^2 - 4 \cdot a_{LRG} \cdot c_{LRG}}}{2 \cdot a_{LRG}} \\
 [Gs]_f^x &= \frac{[Gs]_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^x}{1 + \frac{[R_{\beta 1}]_{np,f}^x}{K_{\beta 1,C}} + \frac{[L] \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{np,f}^x}{K_{\beta 1,H} \cdot K_{\beta 1,C}}} \\
 [LR_{\beta 1}]_{np}^x &= \frac{[L] \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{np,f}^x}{K_{\beta 1,L}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[R_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x = \frac{[R_{\beta 1}]_{np,f}^x \cdot [Gs]_f^x}{K_{\beta 1,C}}$$

$$[LR_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x = \frac{[L] \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{np,f}^x \cdot [Gs]_f^x}{K_{\beta 1,C} \cdot K_{\beta 1,H}}$$

$$\frac{d[R_{\beta 1}]_{PKA,tot}^x}{dt} = k_{\beta 1,PKAp} \cdot [C]^x \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{np,tot}^x - k_{\beta 1,PKAdp} \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{PKA,tot}^x$$

$$\frac{d[R_{\beta 1}]_{GRK,tot}^x}{dt} = k_{\beta 1,GRKp} \cdot [GRK] \cdot ([LR_{\beta 1}]_{np}^x + [LR_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x) - k_{\beta 1,GRKdp} \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{GRK,tot}^x$$

$$\frac{d[Gs]_{\alpha,GTP}^x}{dt} = k_{act2,Gs} \cdot [R_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x + k_{act1,Gs} \cdot [LR_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x - k_{hydr,Gs} \cdot [Gs]_{\alpha,GTP}^x$$

$$\frac{d[Gs]_{\beta\gamma}^x}{dt} = k_{act2,Gs} \cdot [R_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x + k_{act1,Gs} \cdot [LR_{\beta 1}Gs]_{np}^x - k_{reas,Gs} \cdot [Gs]_{\beta\gamma}^x \cdot [Gs]_{\alpha,GDP}^x$$

$$\frac{d[Gs]_{\alpha,GDP}^x}{dt} = k_{hydr,Gs} \cdot [Gs]_{\alpha,GTP}^x - k_{reas,Gs} \cdot [Gs]_{\beta\gamma}^x \cdot [Gs]_{\alpha,GDP}^x$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$[R_{\beta 1}]_{tot}$	$0.85 \cdot 0.025$ $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Total cellular $\beta 1\text{AR}$ concentration	95, 103 ^{#, (C)}
$[R_{\beta 2}]_{tot}$	$0.15 \cdot 0.025$ $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Total cellular $\beta 2\text{AR}$ concentration	103 ^{#, (C)}
$f_{R,\beta 1}^{CAV}$	0.0812	Fraction of receptors located in CAV	%
$f_{R,\beta 1}^{ECAV}$	0.4874	Fraction of receptors located in ECAV	%
$f_{R,\beta 1}^{CYT}$	$1 - f_{R,\beta 1}^{CAV} - f_{R,\beta 1}^{ECAV}$	Fraction of receptors located in CYT	
$f_{R,\beta 2}^{CAV}$	0.850	Fraction of $\beta 2\text{AR}$ located in CAV	97, 104 ^{&, (R)}
$f_{R,\beta 2}^{ECAV}$	$1 - f_{R,\beta 2}^{CAV}$	Fraction of $\beta 2\text{AR}$ located in ECAV	
$[Gs]_{tot}$	$224 \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{tot}$	Total Gs protein concentration	105 ^{#, (R)}
$[Gi]_{tot}$	$0.500 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Total Gi protein concentration	94 ^{&}
f_{Gs}^{CAV}	$1.1071\text{E-}3$	Fraction of G-proteins located in CAV	%
f_{Gs}^{ECAV}	0.5664	Fraction of G-proteins located in ECAV	%
f_{Gs}^{CYT}	$1 - f_{Gs}^{CAV} - f_{Gs}^{ECAV}$	Fraction of G-proteins located in CYT	
f_{Gi}^{CAV}	0.850	Fraction of Gi located in CAV	97, 104 ^{&, (R)}
f_{Gi}^{ECAV}	$1 - f_{Gi}^{CAV}$	Fraction of Gi located in ECAV	
$K_{\beta 1,L}$	$0.567 \mu\text{mol/L}$	$\beta 1$ Receptor / ligand low affinity constant	98 ^{#, (N)}
$K_{\beta 1,H}$	$0.062 \mu\text{mol/L}$	$\beta 1$ Receptor / ligand high affinity constant	98 ^{#, (N)}

$K_{\beta 1,C}$	2.4490 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	$\beta 1$ Receptor / G-protein affinity constant	%
$K_{\beta 2,L}$	1.053 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	$\beta 2$ Receptor / ligand low affinity constant	98 ^{#, (N)}
$K_{\beta 2,H}$	0.012 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	$\beta 2$ Receptor / ligand high affinity constant	98 ^{#, (N)}
$K_{\beta 2,C}$	1.8463 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	$\beta 2$ Receptor / G-protein affinity constant	%
$K_{\beta 2,N}$	$K_{\beta 2,L}$	Phosph. $\beta 2$ receptor/ligand low affinity constant	
$K_{\beta 2,F}$	0.100 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Phosph. $\beta 2$ receptor/ligand high affinity constant	%
$K_{\beta 2,A}$	1.6655 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Phosph. $\beta 2$ Receptor/Gi-protein affinity constant	%
$k_{\text{GRKp}} \cdot [\text{GRK}]$	4.655E-4 s^{-1}	Rate for GRK dependent receptor desensitization	%
k_{GRKdp}	3.442E-4 s^{-1}	Rate for GRK dephosphorylation	%
k_{PKAp}	2.275E-3 $\mu\text{mol/L}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	Rate for PKA dependent receptor desensitization	%
k_{PKAdp}	3.5556E-4 s^{-1}	Rate for PKA dephosphorylation	%
$k_{\text{act1,Gs}}$	4.9054 s^{-1}	Activation rate for Gs by high affinity complex	%
$k_{\text{act2,Gs}}$	0.2595 s^{-1}	Activation rate for Gs by low affinity complex	%
$k_{\text{hydr,Gs}}$	0.800 s^{-1}	Gs GTP to GDP hydrolysis constant	94, 102, 106 [#]
$k_{\text{reas,Gs}}$	1.210E3 $\mu\text{mol/L}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	Reassociation rate for Gs subunits	94, 102, 106 [#]
$k_{\text{act1,Gi}}$	4.000 s^{-1}	Activation rate for Gi by high affinity complex	94 ^{&}
$k_{\text{act2,Gi}}$	0.050 s^{-1}	Activation rate for Gi by low affinity complex	94 ^{&}
$k_{\text{hydr,Gi}}$	$k_{\text{hydr,Gs}}$	Gi GTP to GDP hydrolysis constant	
$k_{\text{reas,Gi}}$	$k_{\text{reas,Gs}}$	Reassociation rate for Gi subunits	

2.4 Adenylyl Cyclase

Two distinct groups of adenylyl cyclases (ACs) are distinguished in the model. AC types 5 and 6 are the most common ACs in the mammalian heart.¹⁰⁷ Both are stimulated by Gs_α with similar affinities and show significant sequence similarity. AC types 4 and 7 make up approximately 25% of the ACs in the ventricle and have a higher affinity for Gs than types 5 and 6.^{94, 108} Furthermore, there is a difference in the regulation by Gi of types 5/6 versus 4/7 with the former being inhibited by the Gi_α subunit and the latter being stimulated by the $\text{Gi}_{\beta\gamma}$ subunits.⁹⁴ Because of the pronounced caveolar localization of Gi-coupled $\beta 2$ -receptors and the absence of AC4/7 from this domain, we only modeled Gi regulation of AC 5/6. All types are modeled with a Hill equation and fixed affinity for ATP. AC activity is scaled by a constant factor to produce the desired intracellular cAMP concentration during maximal βAR stimulation (2.5 – 3.0 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ in canine ventricular myocytes,¹⁰⁹ also consistent with 20.5 pmol / 2 * 10⁵ rat ventricular myocytes = 20.5 pmol / 7.6 μL = 2.7 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ as in Post et al.¹⁰⁵). Parameters for types 5 and 6 were derived from human AC expressed in Sf9 cells.¹¹⁰

It should be noted, however, that significant species differences have been reported for these types. Other parameters were determined by fitting experimental AC activity dose response curves.¹¹¹⁻¹¹³

In neurons it is known that overall AC activity is modulated by free intracellular Ca^{2+} , but this effect is much less pronounced in the heart.¹¹⁴ Some influence of local Ca^{2+} entrance through L-type Ca^{2+} channels has been reported in chick embryonic ventricular myocytes,¹¹⁵ but to the best of our knowledge no detailed characterization of the physiological importance of this regulation has been performed in adult mammalian ventricular myocytes. Disparate results also exist about the functional effect of phosphorylation of AC types 5 and 6 by PKA. Several reports show an inhibitory effect of PKA-dependent phosphorylation on AC activity in expression systems.^{107, 114} However, experiments in crude membrane preparations of rat myocardium showed an increased AC activity when PKA catalytic subunits were added in the presence of isoproterenol.¹¹⁶ Both Ca^{2+} and PKA-dependent inhibition of AC were proposed as negative feedback mechanisms limiting cAMP accumulation during intense adrenergic stimulation. However, other negative feedback loops exist, and are incorporated into the model. Because of the lack of clear experimental evidence about the functional relevance of AC inhibition by Ca^{2+} and PKA, these mechanisms are not included in this model.

$$[\text{AC56}]^{\text{CAV}} = f_{\text{AC56}}^{\text{CAV}} \cdot f_{\text{AC56,AC47}} \cdot [\text{AC}]_{\text{tot}} \cdot \frac{V^{\text{CELL}}}{V^{\text{CAV}}}$$

$$[\text{AC56}]^{\text{CYT}} = (1 - f_{\text{AC56}}^{\text{CAV}}) \cdot f_{\text{AC56,AC47}} \cdot [\text{AC}]_{\text{tot}} \cdot \frac{V^{\text{CELL}}}{V^{\text{CYT}}}$$

$$[\text{AC47}]^{\text{ECAV}} = f_{\text{AC47}}^{\text{ECAV}} \cdot (1 - f_{\text{AC56,AC47}}) \cdot [\text{AC}]_{\text{tot}} \cdot \frac{V^{\text{CELL}}}{V^{\text{ECAV}}}$$

$$[\text{AC47}]^{\text{CYT}} = (1 - f_{\text{AC47}}^{\text{ECAV}}) \cdot (1 - f_{\text{AC56,AC47}}) \cdot [\text{AC}]_{\text{tot}} \cdot \frac{V^{\text{CELL}}}{V^{\text{CYT}}}$$

$$[\text{Gi}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^{\text{CYT}} = 0.0$$

For $x \in \{\text{CAV}, \text{CYT}\}$:

$$k_{\text{AC56}}^x = \text{AF}_{56} \cdot \left(\text{AC56}_{\text{basal}} + \frac{([\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^x)^{h_{\text{AC56, Gs}}}}{K_{\text{m, Gs}}^{\text{AC56}} + ([\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^x)^{h_{\text{AC56, Gs}}}} \right) \cdot \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{V_{\text{GsGi}}^{\text{AC56}} \cdot ([\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^x)^{h_{\text{AC56, GsGi}}}}{K_{\text{m, GsGi}}^{\text{AC56}} + ([\text{Gs}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^x)^{h_{\text{AC56, GsGi}}}} \right) \cdot \frac{[\text{Gi}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^x}{K_{\text{m, Gi}}^{\text{AC56}} + [\text{Gi}]_{\alpha, \text{GTP}}^x} \right)$$

$$\frac{d\text{cAMP}_{\text{AC56}}^x}{dt} = \frac{k_{\text{AC56}}^x \cdot [\text{AC56}]^x \cdot [\text{ATP}]}{K_{\text{m, ATP}} + [\text{ATP}]}$$

For $x \in \{\text{ECAV}, \text{CYT}\}$:

$$k_{AC47}^x = AF_{47} \cdot \left(AC47_{\text{basal}} + \frac{([Gs]_{\alpha, GTP}^x)^{h_{AC47}}}{K_{m, AC47} + ([Gs]_{\alpha, GTP}^x)^{h_{AC47}}} \right)$$

$$\frac{dcAMP_{AC47}^x}{dt} = \frac{k_{AC47}^x \cdot [AC47]^x \cdot [ATP]}{K_{m, ATP} + [ATP]}$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$K_{m, ATP}$	315 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	AC affinity for ATP	117 [#]
$[ATP]$	5.00E3 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Concentration of ATP	117 [#]
$[AC]_{\text{tot}}$	$3 \cdot [R_{\beta 1}]_{\text{tot}}$	Total cellular AC concentration	105 ^{#, (R)}
$f_{AC56, AC47}$	0.740	Fraction of AC that is of type 5/6	108 ^{#, (H)}
f_{AC56}^{CAV}	0.0875	Fraction of AC56 located in caveolae	%
f_{AC47}^{ECAV}	0.1648	Fraction of AC47 in extracaveolar space	%
$K_{m, Gs}^{AC56}$	0.0852 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	AC56 affinity for Gs	110 ^{@, (E)}
$h_{AC56, Gs}$	1.357	Hill coefficient for AC56 activation	110 ^{@, (E)}
$K_{m, GsGi}^{AC56}$	0.482 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Gs-dependence of inactivation by Gi for AC56	110 ^{@, (E)}
$h_{AC56, GsGi}$	0.662	Hill coefficient for Gs/Gi interaction of AC56	110 ^{@, (E)}
v_{GsGi}^{AC56}	0.857	Maximum reduction in Gi inhibition by Gs	110 ^{@, (E)}
$K_{m, Gi}^{AC56}$	0.0465 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	AC56 affinity for inhibition by Gi	110 ^{@, (E)}
$AC56_{\text{basal}}$	0.0377 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Basal AC56 activity	%
$AF56$	41.320 s^{-1}	Amplification factor for AC56	%
$K_{m, AC47}$	0.0314 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	AC47 affinity for Gs	94 ^{#, (E)}
h_{AC47}	1.004	Hill coefficient for AC47 activation	94 ^{#, (E)}
$AC47_{\text{basal}}$	0.0315	Basal AC47 activity	94 [#]
$AF47$	3.3757 s^{-1}	Amplification factor for AC47	%

2.5 Phosphodiesterases

Four phosphodiesterase isoforms (PDE1-4) have been identified in the mammalian heart. PDE1 is mostly found in non-myocyte cardiac cells^{118, 119} and is therefore not included in our model. Considerable information is available about the relative distribution and activity of PDE2-4 in the canine ventricle.¹¹⁹ 80-90% of the PDE cAMP-hydrolyzing activity in the dog is present in the cytosol. In the particulate fraction, PDE3 and PDE4 are present in a 78% to 22% ratio, whereby PDE3 is mostly associated with T-tubule and sarcoplasmic reticulum and PDE4 is associated with the sarcolemmal membrane. In the cytosol, PDE3 is responsible for 30-40% of the hydrolyzing activity. Furthermore,

in dog myocardium PDE4 levels are 7-fold higher in cytosolic compared to particulate fractions. These relative activities are used to determine the subcellular distribution of PDEs in the model. IBMX is a non-specific pharmacological PDE inhibitor with different affinities for PDE2-4.¹²⁰ We assume that IBMX inhibits PDEs equivalently in each compartment. The relative activities of the different PDE isoforms under basal conditions are similar to those reported by Rochais et al. in adult rat ventricular myocytes.¹²¹ However, opposite to the experimental data from the rat, the model shows a slightly larger contribution of PDE3 versus PDE4. This is consistent with experimental observations highlighting species differences between PDE isoforms in rodents (PDE4 dominant in mouse, similar PDE3/PDE4 in rat) and larger mammals (PDE3 dominant).¹¹⁹ Finally, the rate of cAMP hydrolysis by long isoforms of PDE3 and PDE4 is stimulated between 2 and 3-fold after phosphorylation by PKA.¹¹⁸ This results in an approximately 50% increase in whole-cell PDE activity in the presence of ISO.¹²²

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_{\text{PDE3,CYT}} &= \frac{f_{\text{PDE3,CYT}}}{1 - f_{\text{PDE3,CYT}}} \\
 f_{\text{PDE2,PART}} &= f_{\text{PDE2}}^{\text{CAV}} + f_{\text{PDE2}}^{\text{ECAV}} \\
 \alpha_{\text{PDE3}} &= r_{\text{PDE3,CYT}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(f_{\text{PDE4,PART}} \cdot (1 + r_{\text{PDE3,PDE4}} - r_{\text{PDE3,PDE4}} \cdot f_{\text{PDE2,PART}} - f_{\text{PART,PDE}}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + f_{\text{PDE2,PART}} \cdot (f_{\text{PART,PDE}} - 1) \right) + r_{\text{PDE3,PDE4}} \cdot f_{\text{PDE4,PART}} \\
 &\quad \cdot (f_{\text{PART,PDE}} - f_{\text{PDE2,PART}}) \\
 \beta_{\text{PDE3}} &= f_{\text{PDE4,PART}} \cdot \left(1 + r_{\text{PDE3,PDE4}} + f_{\text{PART,PDE}} \cdot (r_{\text{PDE3,CYT}} - r_{\text{PDE3,PDE4}}) \right) - f_{\text{PART,PDE}} \\
 &\quad \cdot (1 + r_{\text{PDE3,CYT}}) \\
 [\text{PDE3}]_{\text{tot}} &= \frac{\alpha_{\text{PDE3}}}{\beta_{\text{PDE3}}} \cdot [\text{PDE2}]_{\text{tot}} \\
 [\text{PDE4}]_{\text{tot}} &= \frac{(f_{\text{PART,PDE}} - f_{\text{PDE2,PART}}) \cdot [\text{PDE2}]_{\text{tot}} + f_{\text{PART,PDE}} \cdot [\text{PDE3}]_{\text{tot}}}{(1 + r_{\text{PDE3,PDE4}}) \cdot f_{\text{PDE4,PART}} - f_{\text{PART,PDE}}} \\
 f_{\text{PDE4}}^{\text{ECAV}} &= f_{\text{PDE4,PART}} - f_{\text{PDE4}}^{\text{CAV}} \\
 f_{\text{PDE3}}^{\text{CAV}} &= \frac{r_{\text{PDE3,PDE4}} \cdot f_{\text{PDE4,PART}} \cdot [\text{PDE4}]_{\text{tot}}}{[\text{PDE3}]_{\text{tot}}} \\
 f_{\text{PDE3}}^{\text{CYT}} &= 1 - f_{\text{PDE3}}^{\text{CAV}}
 \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in \{\text{CAV}, \text{ECAV}, \text{CYT}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\text{PDE2}]^x &= \left(1 - \frac{[\text{IBMX}]^{h_{\text{IBMX,PDE2}}}}{K_{\text{m,PDE2}}^{\text{IBMX}} + [\text{IBMX}]^{h_{\text{IBMX,PDE2}}}} \right) \cdot f_{\text{PDE2}}^x \cdot [\text{PDE2}]_{\text{tot}} \cdot \frac{V^{\text{CELL}}}{V^x} \\
 [\text{PDE4}]_{\text{tot}}^x &= \left(1 - \frac{[\text{IBMX}]^{h_{\text{IBMX,PDE4}}}}{K_{\text{m,PDE4}}^{\text{IBMX}} + [\text{IBMX}]^{h_{\text{IBMX,PDE4}}}} \right) \cdot f_{\text{PDE4}}^x \cdot [\text{PDE4}]_{\text{tot}} \cdot \frac{V^{\text{CELL}}}{V^x} \\
 \frac{d[\text{PDE4}]_{\text{p}}^x}{dt} &= k_{\text{f,PDEp}} \cdot [\text{C}]^x \cdot ([\text{PDE4}]_{\text{tot}}^x - [\text{PDE4}]_{\text{p}}^x) - k_{\text{b,PDEp}} \cdot [\text{PDE4}]_{\text{p}}^x
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dcAMP_{PDE2}^x}{dt} = \frac{k_{PDE2} \cdot [PDE2]^x \cdot [cAMP]^x}{K_{m,PDE2} + [cAMP]^x}$$

$$\frac{dcAMP_{PDE4}^x}{dt} = \frac{k_{PDE4} \cdot ([PDE4]_{tot}^x - [PDE4]_p^x) \cdot [cAMP]^x + \Delta_{k,PDE3/4} \cdot k_{PDE4} \cdot [PDE4]_p^x \cdot [cAMP]^x}{K_{m,PDE4} + [cAMP]^x}$$

For $x \in \{CAV, CYT\}$:

$$[PDE3]_{tot}^x = \left(1 - \frac{[IBMX]^{h_{IBMX,PDE3}}}{K_{m,PDE3}^{IBMX} + [IBMX]^{h_{IBMX,PDE3}}} \right) \cdot f_{PDE3}^x \cdot [PDE3]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x}$$

$$\frac{d[PDE3]_p^x}{dt} = k_{f,PDEp} \cdot [C]^x \cdot ([PDE3]_{tot}^x - [PDE3]_p^x) - k_{b,PDEp} \cdot [PDE3]_p^x$$

$$\frac{dcAMP_{PDE3}^x}{dt} = \frac{k_{PDE3} \cdot ([PDE3]_{tot}^x - [PDE3]_p^x) \cdot [cAMP]^x + \Delta_{k,PDE3/4} \cdot k_{PDE3} \cdot [PDE3]_p^x \cdot [cAMP]^x}{K_{m,PDE3} + [cAMP]^x}$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$[PDE2]_{tot}$	2.9268E-2 μmol/L	Total cellular concentration of PDE2	%
f_{PDE2}^{CAV}	0.1696	Fraction of PDE2 located in caveolar compartment	%
f_{PDE2}^{ECAV}	2.1257E-4	Fraction of PDE2 located in extracaveolar compartment	%
f_{PDE2}^{CYT}	$1 - f_{PDE2}^{CAV} - f_{PDE2}^{ECAV}$	Fraction of PDE2 located in cytosolic compartment	
$f_{PDE3,CYT}$	0.35	Fraction of PDE in cytosol that is of type 3	119 ^{#, (C)}
$f_{PDE4,PART}$	0.125	Fraction of PDE4 located in the particulate fraction	119 ^{#, (C)}
$f_{PART,PDE}$	0.2	Fraction of total PDE located in the particulate fraction	119 ^{#, (C)}
$r_{PDE3,PDE4}$	3.71	Ratio of PDE3 to PDE4 in the particulate fraction	119 ^{#, (C)}
f_{PDE4}^{CAV}	0.1248	Fraction of PDE4 located in caveolar compartment	%
f_{PDE4}^{CYT}	$1 - f_{PDE4,PART}$	Fraction of PDE4 located in cytosolic compartment	
$[IBMX]$	0...100 μmol/L	Concentration of IBMX	
$h_{IBMX,PDE2}$	1.167	Hill coefficient for inhibition of PDE2 by IBMX	120 ^{@, (G)}
K_{PDE2}^{IBMX}	21.58 μmol/L	Affinity of IBMX for PDE2	120 ^{@, (G)}
$h_{IBMX,PDE3}$	0.7629	Hill coefficient for inhibition of PDE3 by IBMX	120 ^{@, (G)}
K_{PDE3}^{IBMX}	2.642 μmol/L	Affinity of IBMX for PDE3	120 ^{@, (G)}
$h_{IBMX,PDE4}$	0.9024	Hill coefficient for inhibition of PDE4 by IBMX	120 ^{@, (G)}
K_{PDE4}^{IBMX}	11.89 μmol/L	Affinity of IBMX for PDE4	120 ^{@, (G)}
$k_{f,PDEp}$	0.0196 μmol/L ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	Rate of phosphorylation by PKA of PDE3 and PDE4	123 ^{&}

$k_{b,PDEp}$	0.0102 s^{-1}	Rate of dephosphorylation of PDE3 and PDE4	123 ^{&}
$\Delta_{k,PDE3/4}$	3.0	Increase in PDE3 / PDE4 activity after phosphorylation	118 [#]
k_{PDE2}	20 s^{-1}	Rate of cAMP hydrolysis by PDE2	106 ^{&}
$K_{m,PDE2}$	$50 \text{ }\mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of PDE2 for cAMP	119 ^{#, (C)}
k_{PDE3}	2.5 s^{-1}	Rate of cAMP hydrolysis by PDE3	106, 124 ^{&}
$K_{m,PDE3}$	$0.8 \text{ }\mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of PDE3 for cAMP	119 ^{#, (C)}
k_{PDE4}	4.0 s^{-1}	Rate of cAMP hydrolysis by PDE4	106, 124 ^{&}
$K_{m,PDE4}$	$1.4 \text{ }\mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of PDE4 for cAMP	119 ^{#, (C)}

2.6 PKA

A concentration of protein kinase A (PKA) is located in each compartment. We assume that a cAMP molecule can only bind to the first binding site of a regulatory subunit, which causes a conformational change that makes it possible for a second cAMP molecule to bind to the second binding site. Once both binding sites are occupied, the C subunit can dissociate.¹²⁵ Furthermore, we assume that both R subunits are identical and operate independently.^{102, 126} Therefore, only R-C dimer states are modeled. Inhibition of PKA catalytic subunit by PKI is included with an apparent affinity of 0.2 nM.¹²⁷ The total amount of PKI in the cell is sufficient to inhibit at most 20% of the PKA¹²⁷ and is assumed to have the same distribution as PKA.

In the heart, PKA is made up of two different isoforms which differ in their regulatory subunits (RI and RII). Distribution of the isoforms is species-dependent, but in general PKA type I is assumed to be mainly cytosolic whereas PKA type II is mainly found in the particulate fraction.^{128, 129} Accordingly, we define the PKA in the caveolar and extracaveolar compartments to be of type II, and the PKA in the cytosolic compartment to be of type I. The different isoforms have similar affinities for the binding between R and C subunits (although rate constants for type II are approximately six times faster than type I),¹³⁰ but differ in their affinities for activation by cAMP.¹³¹ Therefore, the affinities for the two cAMP binding reactions are significantly higher in the cytosolic compartment in order to reproduce the cAMP dose-response curve for PKA type I. In contrast to the RI subunit, the RII subunit can be phosphorylated by the catalytic subunit on Ser-96, which affects RII-C binding affinity, affinity of binding between PKA and A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs) and substrate phosphorylation.¹³² However, under normal physiological conditions, RII is almost completely phosphorylated both in the absence and presence of β AR stimulation by isoproterenol^{132, 133} and therefore (de)phosphorylation of RII is not explicitly incorporated in our model.

For $x \in \{CAV, ECAV\}$:

$$[PKA]^x = f_{PKA}^x \cdot [PKA]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V_{CELL}}{V_x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [RC]_f^x &= 2 \cdot [PKA]^x - [ARC]^x - [A_2RC]^x - [A_2R]^x \\
 [PKI]_f^x &= f_{PKI}^x \cdot [PKI]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x} - [PKIC]^x \\
 k_{PKAII,b1} &= k_{PKAII,f1} \cdot K_{PKAII,1} \\
 k_{PKAII,b2} &= k_{PKAII,f2} \cdot K_{PKAII,2} \\
 k_{PKAII,b3} &= k_{PKAII,f3} \cdot K_{PKAII,3} \\
 k_{PKI,b} &= k_{PKI,f} \cdot K_{PKI} \\
 \frac{d[cAMP]_{PKA}^x}{dt} &= -k_{PKAII,f1} \cdot [RC]_f^x \cdot [cAMP]^x + k_{PKAII,b1} \cdot [ARC]^x - k_{PKAII,f2} \cdot [ARC]^x \cdot [cAMP]^x \\
 &\quad + k_{PKAII,b2} \cdot [A_2RC]^x \\
 \frac{d[ARC]^x}{dt} &= k_{PKAII,f1} \cdot [RC]_f^x \cdot [cAMP]^x - k_{PKAII,b1} \cdot [ARC]^x - k_{PKAII,f2} \cdot [ARC]^x \cdot [cAMP]^x \\
 &\quad + k_{PKAII,b2} \cdot [A_2RC]^x \\
 \frac{d[A_2RC]^x}{dt} &= k_{PKAII,f2} \cdot [ARC]^x \cdot [cAMP]^x - (k_{PKAII,b2} + k_{PKAII,f3}) \cdot [A_2RC]^x + k_{PKAII,b3} \cdot [A_2R]^x \\
 &\quad \cdot [C]^x \\
 \frac{d[A_2R]^x}{dt} &= k_{PKAII,f3} \cdot [A_2RC]^x - k_{PKAII,b3} \cdot [A_2R]^x \cdot [C]^x \\
 \frac{d[C]^x}{dt} &= k_{PKAII,f3} \cdot [A_2RC]^x - k_{PKAII,b3} \cdot [A_2R]^x \cdot [C]^x + k_{PKI,b} \cdot [PKIC]^x - k_{PKI,f} \cdot [PKI]_f^x \cdot [C]^x \\
 \frac{d[PKIC]^x}{dt} &= -k_{PKI,b} \cdot [PKIC]^x + k_{PKI,f} \cdot [PKI]_f^x \cdot [C]^x
 \end{aligned}$$

For $x = CYT$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [PKA]^x &= f_{PKA}^x \cdot [PKA]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x} \\
 [RC]_f^x &= 2 \cdot [PKA]^x - [ARC]^x - [A_2RC]^x - [A_2R]^x \\
 [PKI]_f^x &= f_{PKI}^x \cdot [PKI]_{tot} \cdot \frac{V^{CELL}}{V^x} - [PKIC]^x \\
 k_{PKAI,b1} &= k_{PKAI,f1} \cdot K_{PKAI,1} \\
 k_{PKAI,b2} &= k_{PKAI,f2} \cdot K_{PKAI,2} \\
 k_{PKAI,b3} &= k_{PKAI,f3} \cdot K_{PKAI,3} \\
 k_{PKI,b} &= k_{PKI,f} \cdot K_{PKI} \\
 \frac{d[cAMP]_{PKA}^x}{dt} &= -k_{PKAI,f1} \cdot [RC]_f^x \cdot [cAMP]^x + k_{PKAI,b1} \cdot [ARC]^x - k_{PKAI,f2} \cdot [ARC]^x \cdot [cAMP]^x \\
 &\quad + k_{PKAI,b2} \cdot [A_2RC]^x \\
 \frac{d[ARC]^x}{dt} &= k_{PKAI,f1} \cdot [RC]_f^x \cdot [cAMP]^x - k_{PKAI,b1} \cdot [ARC]^x - k_{PKAI,f2} \cdot [ARC]^x \cdot [cAMP]^x \\
 &\quad + k_{PKAI,b2} \cdot [A_2RC]^x
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d[A_2RC]^x}{dt} = k_{PKAI,f2} \cdot [ARC]^x \cdot [cAMP]^x - (k_{PKAI,b2} + k_{PKAI,f3}) \cdot [A_2RC]^x + k_{PKAI,b3} \cdot [A_2R]^x \cdot [C]^x$$

$$\frac{d[A_2R]^x}{dt} = k_{PKAI,f3} \cdot [A_2RC]^x - k_{PKAI,b3} \cdot [A_2R]^x \cdot [C]^x$$

$$\frac{d[C]^x}{dt} = k_{PKAI,f3} \cdot [A_2RC]^x - k_{PKAI,b3} \cdot [A_2R]^x \cdot [C]^x + k_{PKI,b} \cdot [PKIC]^x - k_{PKI,f} \cdot [PKI]^x_f \cdot [C]^x$$

$$\frac{d[PKIC]^x}{dt} = -k_{PKI,b} \cdot [PKIC]^x + k_{PKI,f} \cdot [PKI]^x_f \cdot [C]^x$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$[PKA]_{tot}$	0.25 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Total cellular concentration of PKA holoenzyme	134, 135 ^{#, (A)}
f_{PKA}^{CAV}	3.880E-2	Fraction of PKA located in caveolar compartment	%
f_{PKA}^{ECAV}	0.100	Fraction of PKA located in extracaveolar compartment	%
f_{PKA}^{CYT}	$1 - f_{PKA}^{CAV} - f_{PKA}^{ECAV}$	Fraction of PKA located in cytosolic compartment	
$[PKI]_{tot}$	$2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot [PKA]_{tot}$	Total cellular concentration of PKA inhibitor	135 [#]
f_{PKI}^{CAV}	f_{PKA}^{CAV}	Fraction of PKI located in caveolar compartment	
f_{PKI}^{ECAV}	f_{PKA}^{ECAV}	Fraction of PKI located in extracaveolar compartment	
f_{PKI}^{CYT}	f_{PKA}^{CYT}	Fraction of PKI located in cytosolic compartment	
$k_{PKAII,f1}$	100 $\mu\text{mol/L}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	Forward rate for binding of the first cAMP to PKA	136 ^{&}
$K_{PKAII,1}$	2.4984 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Equilibrium value for the binding of the first cAMP to PKA	135 [@]
$k_{PKAII,f2}$	$k_{PKAII,f1}$	Forward rate for binding of the second cAMP to PKA	136 ^{&}
$K_{PKAII,2}$	11.359 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Equilibrium value for binding of the second cAMP to PKA	135 [@]
$k_{PKAII,f3}$	100 s^{-1}	Forward rate for dissociation of C subunit	136 ^{&}
$K_{PKAII,3}$	0.3755 $\mu\text{mol/L}^{-1}$	Equilibrium value for dissociation of C subunit	135 [@]
$k_{PKAI,f1}$	$k_{PKAII,f1}$	Forward rate for binding of the first cAMP to PKA	136 ^{&}
$K_{PKAI,1}$	0.1088 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Equilibrium value for the binding of the first cAMP to PKA	131 [@]
$k_{PKAI,f2}$	$k_{PKAII,f1}$	Forward rate for binding of the second cAMP to PKA	136 ^{&}
$K_{PKAI,2}$	0.4612 $\mu\text{mol/L}$	Equilibrium value for binding of the second cAMP to PKA	131 [@]
$k_{PKAI,f3}$	$6 \cdot k_{PKAII,f3}$	Forward rate for dissociation of C subunit	130 [#]

$K_{PKAI,3}$	$K_{PKAII,3}$	Equilibrium value for dissociation of C subunit	130 [#]
$k_{PKI,f}$	$50 \mu\text{mol/L}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$	Forward rate for inhibition of C subunit by PKI	136 ^{&}
K_{PKI}	$2.0\text{E-}4 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Equilibrium value for inhibition of C subunit by PKI	127 [#]

2.7 cAMP

As in Iancu et al.,⁹⁴ cAMP can diffuse between the three compartments. The rate of change in free cAMP concentration in each compartment depends on the production of cAMP by ACs, its breakdown by PDEs, buffering by PKA and transfer between compartments.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CAV}}}{dt} &= \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PKA}}^{\text{CAV}}}{dt} + \frac{dcAMP_{\text{AC56}}^{\text{CAV}}}{dt} - \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PDE2}}^{\text{CAV}}}{dt} - \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PDE3}}^{\text{CAV}}}{dt} - \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PDE4}}^{\text{CAV}}}{dt} - J_{\text{CAV/ECAV}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CAV}} - [\text{cAMP}]^{\text{ECAV}}}{V^{\text{CAV}}} - J_{\text{CAV/CYT}} \cdot \frac{[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CAV}} - [\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CYT}}}{V^{\text{CAV}}} \\
 \frac{d[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{ECAV}}}{dt} &= \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PKA}}^{\text{ECAV}}}{dt} + \frac{dcAMP_{\text{AC47}}^{\text{ECAV}}}{dt} - \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PDE2}}^{\text{ECAV}}}{dt} - \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PDE4}}^{\text{ECAV}}}{dt} + J_{\text{CAV/ECAV}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \frac{[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CAV}} - [\text{cAMP}]^{\text{ECAV}}}{V^{\text{ECAV}}} - J_{\text{ECAV/CYT}} \cdot \frac{[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{ECAV}} - [\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CYT}}}{V^{\text{ECAV}}} \\
 \frac{d[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CYT}}}{dt} &= \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PKA}}^{\text{CYT}}}{dt} + \frac{dcAMP_{\text{AC56}}^{\text{CYT}}}{dt} + \frac{dcAMP_{\text{AC47}}^{\text{CYT}}}{dt} - \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PDE2}}^{\text{CYT}}}{dt} - \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PDE3}}^{\text{CYT}}}{dt} - \frac{dcAMP_{\text{PDE4}}^{\text{CYT}}}{dt} \\
 &\quad + J_{\text{CAV/CYT}} \cdot \frac{[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CAV}} - [\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CYT}}}{V^{\text{CYT}}} - J_{\text{ECAV/CYT}} \cdot \frac{[\text{cAMP}]^{\text{ECAV}} - [\text{cAMP}]^{\text{CYT}}}{V^{\text{CYT}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$J_{\text{CAV/CYT}}$	$7.500\text{E-}8 \mu\text{L s}^{-1}$	Rate of cAMP diffusion between caveolar and cytosolic compartments	106 [#]
$J_{\text{CAV/ECAV}}$	$5.000\text{E-}9 \mu\text{L s}^{-1}$	Rate of cAMP diffusion between caveolar and extracaveolar compartments	106 [#]
$J_{\text{ECAV/CYT}}$	$0.900\text{E-}8 \mu\text{L s}^{-1}$	Rate of cAMP diffusion between extracaveolar and cytosolic compartments	106 [#]

2.8 Protein phosphatase inhibitor

PP1 is inhibited by the phosphorylated form of inhibitor protein 1,¹³⁷ thereby providing a positive feedback loop for PKA-dependent substrate phosphorylation. Inhibitor protein 1 is only found in the cytosolic compartment and has a very high affinity for PP1 (1 nM).¹³⁷ As such, PP1-Inhibitor binding is assumed to be instantaneous and modeled by an algebraic equation. Knockout of inhibitor protein 1 resulted in a 23% increase in PP1 activity in the isoproterenol-stimulated mouse heart.¹³⁸ In the model, total concentration of inhibitor 1 is chosen to produce a similar effect. Inhibitor protein 1 does not alter I_{CaL} or TnI phosphorylation.¹³⁸ In the model, the absence of I_{CaL} effects results from the

different subcellular localization whereas the absence of Tnl effects can be explained by the fact that PP2A (which is not inhibited by inhibitor protein 1) is the major phosphatase dephosphorylating Tnl.

139

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{tot}}^{\text{CYT}} &= \frac{f_{\text{PP1,Inh1}}}{1 - f_{\text{PP1,Inh1}}} \cdot K_{\text{Inh1}} + f_{\text{PP1,Inh1}} \cdot [\text{PP1}]_{\text{tot}}^{\text{CYT}} \\
 \frac{d[\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{p}}^{\text{CYT}}}{dt} &= \frac{k_{\text{Inh1p}} \cdot [\text{C}]^{\text{CYT}} \cdot ([\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{tot}}^{\text{CYT}} - [\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{p}}^{\text{CYT}})}{K_{\text{Inh1p}} + ([\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{tot}}^{\text{CYT}} - [\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{p}}^{\text{CYT}})} - \frac{k_{\text{Inh1dp}} \cdot [\text{PP2A}]^{\text{CYT}} \cdot [\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{p}}^{\text{CYT}}}{K_{\text{Inh1dp}} + [\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{p}}^{\text{CYT}}} \\
 [\text{PP1}]^{\text{CYT}} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sqrt{(K_{\text{Inh1}} - [\text{PP1}]_{\text{tot}}^{\text{CYT}} + [\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{p}}^{\text{CYT}})^2 + 4 \cdot K_{\text{Inh1}} \cdot [\text{PP1}]_{\text{tot}}^{\text{CYT}}} - \frac{1}{2} \\
 &\quad \cdot (K_{\text{Inh1}} - [\text{PP1}]_{\text{tot}}^{\text{CYT}} + [\text{Inhib1}]_{\text{p}}^{\text{CYT}})
 \end{aligned}$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$f_{\text{PP1,Inh1}}$	0.3	Fractional increase in PP1 after Inh1 knockout	138 ^{#, (M)}
$k_{\text{Inh1,p}}$	$1.0145\text{E-}2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	Rate of phosphorylation of inhibitor 1 by PKA	140 ^{@, (G)}
$k_{\text{Inh1,dp}} \cdot [\text{PP2A}]^{\text{CYT}}$	$3.5731\text{E-}3 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L s}^{-1}$	Rate of dephosphorylation of inhibitor 1	140 ^{@, (G)}
$K_{\text{Inh1,p}}$	$1.4690\text{E-}3 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of inhibitor 1 for PKA catalytic subunit	140 ^{@, (G)}
$K_{\text{Inh1,dp}}$	$1.9526\text{E-}5 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of inhibitor 1 for PP2A	140 ^{@, (G)}
$[\text{PP1}]_{\text{tot}}^{\text{CYT}}$	$0.2 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Total concentration of PP1 in the cytosolic compartment	%
K_{Inh1}	$1.0\text{E-}3 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity for PP1 – Inhibitor 1 binding	137 [#]

2.9 Substrate phosphorylation

The substrates are divided into those with and without AKAPs. For the substrates without AKAPs, a standard Michaelis-Menten formalism for phosphorylation is implemented. For substrates with AKAPs, a steady-state distribution is calculated for AKAP / Channel complexes, AKAP / Channel / PKA complexes, AKAP / Channel / phosphatase complexes and AKAP / Channel / PKA / phosphatase complexes. Although some AKAPs also bind PDEs,¹⁴¹ we do not incorporate this explicitly in our model and only consider the effects of an AKAP on its associated channel. Different AKAPs bind PKA with different affinities in the range of 2 – 90 nM.¹⁴² We use an intermediate affinity of 10 nM for all AKAPs and assume this affinity is similar for the binding of PP1. AKAPs and channels are assumed to have a 1:1 stoichiometry and high affinity (0.1 nM) under normal physiological conditions¹²⁴ (although mutations in both channel¹⁴³ and AKAP¹⁴⁴ have been described that greatly reduce this affinity).

AKAPs are critical for reliable (de)phosphorylation of their associated channels. Moreover, it is known for the I_{Ks} channel that the AKAP yotiao also has an active role in mediating the phosphorylation effect on channel gating and phosphomimetic mutations in the I_{Ks} channel do not result in gating changes in the absence of yotiao.^{143, 145} Based on this data, the model assumes that, for substrates with AKAPs (I_{Ks} , I_{CaL} , RyR), phosphorylation and dephosphorylation only occur in channels with AKAP. Channels with AKAP and PKA are constitutively phosphorylated whereas channels with AKAP and phosphatase are always dephosphorylated. Channels with AKAP, PKA and phosphatase follow a standard Michaelis-Menten formalism.

Affinities and rate constants for phosphorylation are derived by fitting the model to the isoproterenol dose-response curves and the time course of phosphorylation obtained experimentally in ventricular myocytes. All substrates have half-maximal isoproterenol concentrations in the nanomolar range and reach maximum phosphorylation levels in 2-4 minutes.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{df_{IKur}^P}{dt} &= \frac{k_{IKur,PKA} \cdot [C]^{E_{CAV}} \cdot (1 - f_{IKur}^P)}{K_{IKur,PKA} + (1 - f_{IKur}^P)} - \frac{k_{IKur,PP} \cdot [PP1]^{E_{CAV}} \cdot f_{IKur}^P}{K_{IKur,PP} + f_{IKur}^P} \\ \frac{df_{INa}^P}{dt} &= \frac{k_{INa,PKA} \cdot [C]^{CAV} \cdot (1 - f_{INa}^P)}{K_{INa,PKA} + (1 - f_{INa}^P)} - \frac{k_{INa,PP} \cdot [PP]^{CAV} \cdot f_{INa}^P}{K_{INa,PP} + f_{INa}^P} \\ \frac{df_{INaK}^P}{dt} &= \frac{k_{INaK,PKA} \cdot [C]^{CAV} \cdot (1 - f_{INaK}^P)}{K_{INaK,PKA} + (1 - f_{INaK}^P)} - \frac{k_{INaK,PP} \cdot [PP]^{CAV} \cdot f_{INaK}^P}{K_{INaK,PP} + f_{INaK}^P} \\ \frac{df_{PLB}^P}{dt} &= \frac{k_{PLB,PKA} \cdot [C]^{CYT} \cdot (1 - f_{PLB}^P)}{K_{PLB,PKA} + (1 - f_{PLB}^P)} - \frac{k_{PLB,PP} \cdot [PP1]^{CYT} \cdot f_{PLB}^P}{K_{PLB,PP} + f_{PLB}^P} \\ \frac{df_{TnI}^P}{dt} &= \frac{k_{TnI,PKA} \cdot [C]^{CYT} \cdot (1 - f_{TnI}^P)}{K_{TnI,PKA} + (1 - f_{TnI}^P)} - \frac{k_{TnI,PP} \cdot [PP2A]^{CYT} \cdot f_{TnI}^P}{K_{TnI,PP} + f_{TnI}^P}\end{aligned}$$

For I_{Ks} :

$$K = K_{PP1,Yotiao}, \quad L = K_{IKs,Yotiao}, \quad M = K_{PKA,Yotiao}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[PP1]_f &= \frac{1}{2 \cdot K^{-1}} \\ &\cdot \left(- \left(1 + K^{-1} \cdot ([Yotiao]_{tot} - [PP1]^{E_{CAV}}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{(1 + K^{-1} \cdot ([Yotiao]_{tot} - [PP1]^{E_{CAV}}))^2 + 4 \cdot K^{-1} \cdot [PP1]^{E_{CAV}}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[IKs]_{NNN} &= \frac{1}{2 \cdot L^{-1}} \\ &\cdot \left(- \left(1 + L^{-1} \cdot ([Yotiao]_{tot} - [IKs]_{tot}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{(1 + L^{-1} \cdot ([Yotiao]_{tot} - [IKs]_{tot}))^2 + 4 \cdot L^{-1} \cdot [IKs]_{tot}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$[R]_f = \frac{1}{2 \cdot M^{-1}} \cdot \left(- \left(1 + M^{-1} \cdot ([Yotiao]_{tot} - [PKA]^{ECAV}) \right) + \sqrt{\left(1 + M^{-1} \cdot ([Yotiao]_{tot} - [PKA]^{ECAV}) \right)^2 + 4 \cdot M^{-1} \cdot [PKA]^{ECAV}} \right)$$

$$[Yotiao]_f = \frac{[Yotiao]_{tot} - [IKS]_{tot} + [IKS]_{NNN}}{K^{-1} \cdot [PP1]_f + M^{-1} \cdot (K^{-1} \cdot [PP1]_f + 1) \cdot [R]_f + 1}$$

$$[IKS]_{ARN} = L^{-1} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot [IKS]_{NNN} \cdot [Yotiao]_f \cdot [R]_f$$

$$[IKS]_{ARP} = [IKS]_{ARN} \cdot K^{-1} \cdot [PP1]_f$$

$$\frac{d[IKS]^P}{dt} = \frac{k_{IKS,PKA} \cdot [C]^{ECAV} \cdot ([IKS]_{ARP} - [IKS]^P)}{K_{IKS,PKA} + ([IKS]_{ARP} - [IKS]^P)} - \frac{k_{IKS,PP} \cdot [PP1]^{ECAV} \cdot [IKS]^P}{K_{IKS,PP} + [IKS]^P}$$

$$f_{IKS}^P = \frac{[IKS]^P + [IKS]_{ARN}}{[IKS]_{tot}}$$

For $y \in \{I_{CaL}, RyR\}$:

$$K_y = K_{PP1,AKAP,y}, \quad L_y = K_{y,AKAP}, \quad M_y = K_{PKA,AKAP,y}$$

$$b_{PP} = [AKAP]_{tot}^{ICaL} + [AKAP]_{tot}^{RyR} + K_{ICaL} + K_{RyR} - [PP]^{CAV}$$

$$c_{PP} = [AKAP]_{tot}^{ICaL} \cdot K_{RyR} + [AKAP]_{tot}^{RyR} \cdot K_{ICaL} + K_{ICaL} \cdot K_{RyR} - [PP]^{CAV} \cdot (K_{ICaL} + K_{RyR})$$

$$d_{PP} = [PP]^{CAV} \cdot K_{ICaL} \cdot K_{RyR}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{PP} = & \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot d_{PP} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot b_{PP} \cdot c_{PP} - \frac{1}{27} \cdot (b_{PP})^3 \right. \\ & + \left(-\frac{1}{27} \cdot (b_{PP})^3 \cdot d_{PP} - \frac{1}{108} \cdot (b_{PP})^2 \cdot (c_{PP})^2 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot b_{PP} \cdot c_{PP} \cdot d_{PP} + \frac{1}{27} \cdot (c_{PP})^3 \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} \cdot (d_{PP})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

$$[PP]_f = \varphi_{PP} - \frac{1}{\varphi_{PP}} \cdot \left(\frac{c_{PP}}{3} - \frac{(b_{PP})^2}{9} \right) - \frac{b_{PP}}{3}$$

$$b_R = [AKAP]_{tot}^{ICaL} + [AKAP]_{tot}^{RyR} + M_{ICaL} + M_{RyR} - [PKA]^{CAV}$$

$$c_R = [AKAP]_{tot}^{ICaL} \cdot M_{RyR} + [AKAP]_{tot}^{RyR} \cdot M_{ICaL} + M_{ICaL} \cdot M_{RyR} - [PKA]^{CAV} \cdot (M_{ICaL} + M_{RyR})$$

$$d_R = [PKA]^{CAV} \cdot M_{ICaL} \cdot M_{RyR}$$

$$\varphi_R = \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot d_R + \frac{1}{6} \cdot b_R \cdot c_R - \frac{1}{27} \cdot (b_R)^3 \right. \\ \left. + \left(-\frac{1}{27} \cdot (b_R)^3 \cdot d_R - \frac{1}{108} \cdot (b_R)^2 \cdot (c_R)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot b_R \cdot c_R \cdot d_R + \frac{1}{27} \cdot (c_R)^3 + \frac{1}{4} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \cdot (d_R)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$[R]_f = \varphi_R - \frac{1}{\varphi_R} \cdot \left(\frac{c_R}{3} - \frac{(b_R)^2}{9} \right) - \frac{b_R}{3}$$

$$[y]_{NNN} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot (L_y)^{-1}} \\ \cdot \left(- \left((L_y)^{-1} \cdot ([AKAP]_{tot}^y - [y]_{tot}) + 1 \right) \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{\left((L_y)^{-1} \cdot ([AKAP]_{tot}^y - [y]_{tot}) + 1 \right)^2 + 4 \cdot (L_y)^{-1} \cdot [y]_{tot}} \right)$$

$$[AKAP]_f^y = \frac{[AKAP]_{tot}^y - [y]_{tot} + [y]_{NNN}}{(K_y)^{-1} \cdot [PP]_f + (M_y)^{-1} \cdot \left((K_y)^{-1} \cdot [PP]_f + 1 \right) \cdot [R]_f + 1}$$

$$[y]_{ARN} = (L_y)^{-1} \cdot (M_y)^{-1} \cdot [y]_{NNN} \cdot [AKAP]_f^y \cdot [R]_f$$

$$[y]_{ARP} = [y]_{ARN} \cdot (K_y)^{-1} \cdot [PP]_f$$

$$\frac{d[y]^P}{dt} = \frac{k_{y,PKA} \cdot [C]^{CAV} \cdot ([y]_{ARP} - [y]^P)}{K_{y,PKA} + ([y]_{tot} - [y]^P)} - \frac{k_{y,PP} \cdot [PP]^{CAV} \cdot [y]^P}{K_{y,PP} + [y]^P}$$

$$f_y^P = \frac{[y]^P + [y]_{ARN}}{[y]_{tot}}$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$k_{ICaL,PKA}$	$5.1009E-4 \text{ s}^{-1}$	Rate of I_{CaL} phosphorylation	20, 146 ^{@,} (C)
$K_{ICaL,PKA}$	$1.2702E-6 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of I_{CaL} for phosphorylation by PKA	20, 146 ^{@,} (C)
$k_{ICaL,PP}$	$6.9030E-4 \text{ s}^{-1}$	Rate of I_{CaL} dephosphorylation	20, 146 ^{@,} (C)
$K_{ICaL,PP}$	$6.3064E-3 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of I_{CaL} for dephosphorylation by phosphatases	20, 146 ^{@,} (C)
$k_{IKs,PKA}$	$1.6305E-1 \text{ s}^{-1}$	Rate of I_{Ks} phosphorylation	147 ^{@,} (C)
$K_{IKs,PKA}$	$9.9794E-05 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of I_{Ks} for phosphorylation by PKA	147 ^{@,} (C)

$k_{IKS,PP}$	1.0542 s^{-1}	Rate of I_{KS} dephosphorylation	147 [@] , (C)
$K_{IKS,PP}$	$1.1147\text{E-}4 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of I_{KS} for dephosphorylation by phosphatases	147 [@] , (C)
$k_{IKur,PKA}$	$6.9537\text{E-}2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of I_{Kur} phosphorylation	43 [@] , (C)
$K_{IKur,PKA}$	$2.7623\text{E-}1$	Affinity of I_{Kur} for phosphorylation by PKA	43 [@] , (C)
$k_{IKur,PP}$	$3.1700\text{E-}1 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of I_{Kur} dephosphorylation	43 [@] , (C)
$K_{IKur,PP}$	$2.3310\text{E-}3$	Affinity of I_{Kur} for dephosphorylation by phosphatases	43 [@] , (C)
$k_{INa,PKA}$	$1.3680\text{E-}2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of I_{Na} phosphorylation	148 [@] , (R)
$K_{INa,PKA}$	$1.09883\text{E-}1$	Affinity of I_{Na} for phosphorylation by PKA	148 [@] , (R)
$k_{INa,PP}$	$5.2811\text{E-}2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of I_{Na} dephosphorylation	148 [@] , (R)
$K_{INa,PP}$	7.8605	Affinity of I_{Na} for dephosphorylation by phosphatases	148 [@] , (R)
$k_{INaK,PKA}$	$1.5265\text{E-}2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of I_{NaK} phosphorylation	62 [@] , (G)
$K_{INaK,PKA}$	$1.1001\text{E-}3$	Affinity of I_{NaK} for phosphorylation by PKA	62 [@] , (G)
$k_{INaK,PP}$	$9.2455\text{E-}2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of I_{NaK} dephosphorylation	62 [@] , (G)
$K_{INaK,PP}$	5.7392	Affinity of I_{NaK} for dephosphorylation by phosphatases	62 [@] , (G)
$k_{PLB,PKA}$	$1.1316\text{E-}1 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of PLB phosphorylation	1, 89, 149 [@] , (R)/(G)
$K_{PLB,PKA}$	$4.9097\text{E-}4$	Affinity of PLB for phosphorylation by PKA	1, 89, 149 [@] , (R)/(G)
$k_{PLB,PP}$	$2.5755\text{E-}1 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of PLB dephosphorylation	1, 89, 149 [@] , (R)/(G)
$K_{PLB,PP}$	$4.8393\text{E-}2$	Affinity of PLB for dephosphorylation by phosphatases	1, 89, 149 [@] , (R)/(G)
$k_{RyR,PKA}$	$2.5548\text{E-}3 \text{ s}^{-1}$	Rate of RyR phosphorylation	81 [@] , (R)
$K_{RyR,PKA}$	$6.6298\text{E-}5 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of RyR for phosphorylation by PKA	81 [@] , (R)
$k_{RyR,PP}$	$3.8257\text{E-}3 \text{ s}^{-1}$	Rate of RyR dephosphorylation	81 [@] , (R)
$K_{RyR,PP}$	$4.3003\text{E-}2 \text{ } \mu\text{mol/L}$	Affinity of RyR for dephosphorylation by phosphatases	81 [@] , (R)
$k_{TnI,PKA}$	$1.0408\text{E-}1 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ } (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of TnI phosphorylation	1, 150 [@] , (R)
$K_{TnI,PKA}$	$2.7143\text{E-}5$	Affinity of TnI for phosphorylation by PKA	1, 150 [@] , (R)

$k_{TnI,PP} \cdot [PP2A]^{CYT}$	$5.2633E-2 \text{ s}^{-1} (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1}$	Rate of TnI dephosphorylation	$1, 150^{@, (R)}$
$K_{TnI,PP}$	$2.6714E-1$	Affinity of TnI for dephosphorylation by phosphatases	$1, 150^{@, (R)}$
$K_{PKA,Yotiao}$	$0.01 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Binding affinity between PKA and yotiao	$133^{\&}$
$K_{IKS,Yotiao}$	$1E-4 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Binding affinity between I_{KS} channel and yotiao	$124^{\#}$
$K_{PP1,Yotiao}$	$K_{PKA,Yotiao}$	Binding affinity between PP1 and yotiao	
$[Yotiao]_{tot}$	$0.025 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Total concentration of yotiao	$124^{\#}$
$[IKS]_{tot}$	$0.025 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Total concentration of I_{KS} channels	$124^{\#}$
$K_{PKA,AKAP,ICaL}$	$K_{PKA,Yotiao}$	Binding affinity between PKA and I_{CaL} AKAP	
$K_{PP1,AKAP,ICaL}$	$K_{PKA,Yotiao}$	Binding affinity between PP1 and I_{CaL} AKAP	
$K_{ICaL,AKAP}$	$K_{IKS,Yotiao}$	Binding affinity between I_{CaL} channel and AKAP	
$K_{PKA,AKAP,RyR}$	$K_{PKA,Yotiao}$	Binding affinity between PKA and RyR AKAP	
$K_{PP1,AKAP,RyR}$	$K_{PKA,Yotiao}$	Binding affinity between PP1 and RyR AKAP	
$K_{RyR,AKAP}$	$K_{IKS,Yotiao}$	Binding affinity between RyR channel and AKAP	
$[ICaL]_{tot}$	$0.025 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Total concentration of I_{CaL} channels	$124^{\#}$
$[AKAP]_{tot}^{ICaL}$	$0.025 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Total concentration of I_{CaL} AKAP	
$[RyR]_{tot}$	$0.125 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Total concentration of RyRs	$124^{\#}$
$[AKAP]_{tot}^{RyR}$	$0.125 \mu\text{mol/L}$	Total concentration of RyR AKAP	
$[PP]^{CAV}$	$0.25 \mu\text{mol/L}$	PP concentration in caveolar compartment	%
$[PP1]^{ECAV}$	$0.1 \mu\text{mol/L}$	PP1 concentration in extracaveolar compartment	%

3. CAMKII MODELS

3.1.1 HRd2009 version (Chapter 2)

Model CaMKII formulation is equivalent to that in the original HRd model.⁴

$$CaMK_{bound} = CaMK_0 \cdot (1 - CaMK_{trap}) \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{K_{m,CaM}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{ss}}}$$

$$\frac{dCaMK_{trap}}{dt} = \alpha_{CaMK} \cdot CaMK_{bound} \cdot (CaMK_{bound} + CaMK_{trap}) - \beta_{CaMK} \cdot CaMK_{trap}$$

$$CaMK_{active} = CaMK_{bound} + CaMK_{trap}$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
CaMK_0	0.05	Equilibrium fraction of active CaMKII binding sites	4 [#]
$K_{m,\text{CaM}}$	0.0015 mmol/L	CaMKII affinity for Ca^{2+} /CaM activation	4 [#]
α_{CaMK}	50 s ⁻¹	Rate of phosphorylation of CaMKII	4 [#]
β_{CaMK}	0.68 s ⁻¹	Rate of dephosphorylation of CaMKII	4 [#]

3.1.2 HRdβARS and HRdβARS Stoch version (Chapters 3-7)

In the model, activation of CaMKII is localized to the Ca^{2+} subspace, where Ca^{2+} levels are sufficiently high for activation of CaMKII, consistent with previous modeling studies.^{4, 151, 152} In contrast to cAMP compartmentation, where different FRET sensors (PKA or EPAC based) and cyclic-nucleotide-gated channels can be used to measure cAMP concentrations in different parts of the cell, there are currently no experimental techniques to measure localized CaMKII activity. Therefore, we did not include detailed localization of CaMKII, other than its dependence on subspace Ca^{2+} . Nonetheless, a distinction is made between the substrates localized in or near the T-tubules and those further away with respect to the time constant of phosphorylation. Time constants for phosphorylation of RyR and I_{CaL} phosphorylation are based on the time-course of CaMKII-dependent RyR phosphorylation at S2815 by Huke et al, whereas time constants for the other substrates (I_{K1} , I_{To} , I_{Na} , PLB) are based on the time-course of PLB T17 phosphorylation reported by the same group.¹⁵³ Steady-state CaMKII phosphorylation levels are based on those previously published.^{4, 24} Based on experimental data, we have included an additional interaction between the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway via PP1-dependent dephosphorylation of CaMKII activity. Huke et al. found that CaMKII activity is strongly regulated by PP1.¹⁵³ Consistent with their observations, we made the deactivation rate of autonomous CaMKII dependent on whole cell PP1 activity. This PP1 activity can subsequently be modulated by βARS via PKA-dependent activation of Inh1 and inhibition of PP1.

$$\text{CaMK}_{\text{bound}} = \text{CaMK}_0 \cdot (1 - \text{CaMK}_{\text{trap}}) \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{K_{m,\text{CaM}}}{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{ss}}}}$$

$$\frac{d\text{CaMK}_{\text{trap}}}{dt} = \alpha_{\text{CaMK}} \cdot \text{CaMK}_{\text{bound}} \cdot (\text{CaMK}_{\text{bound}} + \text{CaMK}_{\text{trap}}) - \text{CaMK}_{\text{trap}} \cdot \left(0.1 \cdot \beta_{\text{CaMK}} + 0.9 \cdot \beta_{\text{CaMK}} \cdot \frac{V^{\text{CAV}} \cdot [\text{PP}]^{\text{CAV}} + V^{\text{ECAV}} \cdot [\text{PP1}]^{\text{ECAV}} + V^{\text{CYT}} \cdot [\text{PP1}]^{\text{CYT}}}{V^{\text{CELL}} \cdot 0.1371} \right)$$

$$\text{CaMK}_{\text{active}} = \text{CaMK}_{\text{bound}} + \text{CaMK}_{\text{trap}}$$

$$\frac{df_{\text{ICaL}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}}}{dt} = \left(\frac{\text{CaMK}_{\text{active}}}{\text{CaMK}_{\text{active}} + K_{\text{ICaL,CaMKII}}} - f_{\text{ICaL}}^{\text{P,CaMKII}} \right) / \tau_{\text{ICaL,CaMKII}}$$

$$\frac{df_{PLB}^{P,CaMKII}}{dt} = \left(\frac{CaMK_{active}}{CaMK_{active} + K_{PLB,CaMKII}} - f_{PLB}^{P,CaMKII} \right) / \tau_{PLB,CaMKII}$$

$$\frac{df_{INa}^{P,CaMKII}}{dt} = \left(\frac{CaMK_{active}}{CaMK_{active} + K_{INa,CaMKII}} - f_{INa}^{P,CaMKII} \right) / \tau_{INa,CaMKII}$$

$$\frac{df_{RyR}^{P,CaMKII}}{dt} = \left(\frac{CaMK_{active}^2}{CaMK_{active}^2 + K_{RyR,CaMKII}^2} - f_{RyR}^{P,CaMKII} \right) / \tau_{RyR,CaMKII}$$

$$\frac{df_{ITo}^{P,CaMKII}}{dt} = \left(\frac{CaMK_{active}}{CaMK_{active} + K_{ITo,CaMKII}} - f_{ITo}^{P,CaMKII} \right) / \tau_{ITo,CaMKII}$$

$$\frac{df_{IK1}^{P,CaMKII}}{dt} = \left(\frac{CaMK_{active}}{CaMK_{active} + K_{IK1,CaMKII}} - f_{IK1}^{P,CaMKII} \right) / \tau_{IK1,CaMKII}$$

Parameter	Value	Description	Ref
$CaMK_0$	0.05	Equilibrium fraction of active CaMKII binding sites	21 [#]
$K_{m,CaM}$	0.0015 mmol/L	CaMKII affinity for Ca^{2+} /CaM activation	21 [#]
α_{CaMK}	50 s ⁻¹	Rate of phosphorylation of CaMKII	21 [#]
β_{CaMK}	0.68 s ⁻¹	Rate of dephosphorylation of CaMKII	21 [#]
$K_{ICaL,CaMKII}$	$K_{PLB,CaMKII}$	Affinity of I_{CaL} for CaMKII	
$\tau_{ICaL,CaMKII}$	$\tau_{RyR,CaMKII}$	Time constant of CaMKII I_{CaL} phosphorylation	
$K_{PLB,CaMKII}$	0.15	Affinity of PLB for CaMKII	21 [#]
$\tau_{PLB,CaMKII}$	100 s	Time constant of CaMKII PLB phosphorylation	153 ^{@,(R)}
$K_{INa,CaMKII}$	$K_{PLB,CaMKII}$	Affinity of I_{Na} for CaMKII	
$\tau_{INa,CaMKII}$	$\tau_{PLB,CaMKII}$	Time constant of CaMKII I_{Na} phosphorylation	
$K_{RyR,CaMKII}$	$K_{PLB,CaMKII}$	Affinity of RyR for CaMKII	
$\tau_{RyR,CaMKII}$	50 s	Time constant of CaMKII RyR phosphorylation	153 ^{@,(R)}
$K_{ITo,CaMKII}$	$K_{PLB,CaMKII}$	Affinity of I_{To} for CaMKII	
$\tau_{ITo,CaMKII}$	$\tau_{PLB,CaMKII}$	Time constant of CaMKII I_{To} phosphorylation	
$K_{IK1,CaMKII}$	$K_{PLB,CaMKII}$	Affinity of I_{K1} for CaMKII	
$\tau_{IK1,CaMKII}$	$\tau_{PLB,CaMKII}$	Time constant of CaMKII I_{K1} phosphorylation	

4. REFERENCES

1. Li L, Desantiago J, Chu G, Kranias EG, Bers DM. Phosphorylation of phospholamban and troponin I in beta-adrenergic-induced acceleration of cardiac relaxation. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2000;278:H769-779.
2. Yasuda S-i, Coutu P, Sadayappan S, Robbins J, Metzger JM. Cardiac transgenic and gene transfer strategies converge to support an important role for troponin I in regulating relaxation in cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res*. 2007;101:377-386.
3. Gao J, Wang W, Cohen IS, Mathias RT. Transmural gradients in Na/K pump activity and $[Na^+]_i$ in canine ventricle. *Biophys J*. 2005;89:1700-1709.
4. Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Rate dependence and regulation of action potential and calcium transient in a canine cardiac ventricular cell model. *Circulation*. 2004;110:3168-3174.
5. Aggarwal R, Boyden PA. Diminished Ca^{2+} and Ba^{2+} currents in myocytes surviving in the epicardial border zone of the 5-day infarcted canine heart. *Circ Res*. 1995;77:1180-1191.
6. Tseng GN. Calcium current restitution in mammalian ventricular myocytes is modulated by intracellular calcium. *Circ Res*. 1988;63:468-482.
7. Mahajan A, Shiferaw Y, Sato D, Baher A, Olcese R, Xie LH, Yang MJ, Chen PS, Restrepo JG, Karma A, Garfinkel A, Qu Z, Weiss JN. A rabbit ventricular action potential model replicating cardiac dynamics at rapid heart rates. *Biophys J*. 2008;94:392-410.
8. Pitt GS. Calmodulin and CaMKII as molecular switches for cardiac ion channels. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;73:641-647.
9. Cordeiro JM, Greene L, Heilmann C, Antzelevitch D, Antzelevitch C. Transmural heterogeneity of calcium activity and mechanical function in the canine left ventricle. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2004;286:H1471-1479.
10. Magyar J, Szentandrassy N, Banyasz T, Fulop L, Varro A, Nanasi PP. Effects of thymol on calcium and potassium currents in canine and human ventricular cardiomyocytes. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2002;136:330-338.
11. Rubart M, Lopshire JC, Fineberg NS, Zipes DP. Changes in left ventricular repolarization and ion channel currents following a transient rate increase superimposed on bradycardia in anesthetized dogs. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2000;11:652-664.
12. Sipido KR, Volders PGA, de Groot SHM, Verdonck F, Van de Werf F, Wellens HJJ, Vos MA. Enhanced Ca^{2+} release and Na/Ca exchange activity in hypertrophied canine ventricular myocytes: potential link between contractile adaptation and arrhythmogenesis. *Circulation*. 2000;102:2137-2144.
13. Szabó G, Szentandrassy N, Bíró T, Tóth BI, Czifra G, Magyar J, Bányász T, Varró A, Kovács L, Nánási PP. Asymmetrical distribution of ion channels in canine and human left-ventricular wall: epicardium versus midmyocardium. *Pflugers Arch*. 2005;450:307-316.
14. Birinyi P, Acsai K, Banyasz T, Toth A, Horvath B, Virag L, Szentandrassy N, Magyar J, Varro A, Fulop F, Nanasi PP. Effects of SEA0400 and KB-R7943 on Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchange current and L-type Ca²⁺ current in canine ventricular cardiomyocytes. *Naunyn Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol*. 2005;372:63-70.
15. Kamp TJ, Hell JW. Regulation of cardiac L-type calcium channels by protein kinase A and protein kinase C. *Circ Res*. 2000;87:1095-1102.
16. Bers DM. Calcium cycling and signaling in cardiac myocytes. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2008;70:23-49.

17. Herzig S, Patil P, Neumann J, Staschen CM, Yue DT. Mechanisms of β -adrenergic stimulation of cardiac Ca^{2+} channels revealed by discrete-time Markov analysis of slow gating. *Biophys J*. 1993;65:1599-1612.
18. Yue DT, Herzig S, Marban E. Beta-adrenergic stimulation of calcium channels occurs by potentiation of high-activity gating modes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1990;87:753-757.
19. Antoons G, Volders PG, Stankovicova T, Bito V, Stengl M, Vos MA, Sipido KR. Window Ca^{2+} current and its modulation by Ca^{2+} release in hypertrophied cardiac myocytes from dogs with chronic atrioventricular block. *J Physiol*. 2007;579:147-160.
20. Nagykaldi Z, Kem D, Lazzara R, Szabo B. Canine ventricular myocyte β_2 -adrenoceptors are not functionally coupled to L-type calcium current. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 1999;10:1240-1251.
21. Decker KF, Heijman J, Silva JR, Hund TJ, Rudy Y. Properties and ionic mechanisms of action potential adaptation, restitution, and accommodation in canine epicardium. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2009;296:H1017-1026.
22. Findlay I. β -Adrenergic stimulation modulates Ca^{2+} - and voltage-dependent inactivation of L-type Ca^{2+} channel currents in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 2002;541:741-751.
23. Namiki T, Joyner RW, Wagner MB. Developmental changes in time course of recovery from inactivation in L-type calcium currents of rabbit ventricular myocytes. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2007;292:H295-303.
24. Hund TJ, Decker KF, Kanter E, Mohler PJ, Boyden PA, Schuessler RB, Yamada KA, Rudy Y. Role of activated CaMKII in abnormal calcium homeostasis and I_{Na} remodeling after myocardial infarction: insights from mathematical modeling. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2008;45:420-428.
25. Kohlhaas M, Zhang T, Seidler T, Zibrova D, Dybkova N, Steen A, Wagner S, Chen L, Brown JH, Bers DM, Maier LS. Increased sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium leak but unaltered contractility by acute CaMKII overexpression in isolated rabbit cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res*. 2006;98:235-244.
26. Guo J, Duff HJ. Calmodulin kinase II accelerates L-type Ca^{2+} current recovery from inactivation and compensates for the direct inhibitory effect of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in rat ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 2006;574:509-518.
27. Picht E, DeSantiago J, Huke S, Kaetzel MA, Dedman JR, Bers DM. CaMKII inhibition targeted to the sarcoplasmic reticulum inhibits frequency-dependent acceleration of relaxation and Ca^{2+} current facilitation. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2007;42:196-205.
28. Xu L, Lai D, Cheng J, Lim HJ, Keskanokwong T, Backs J, Olson EN, Wang Y. Alterations of L-type calcium current and cardiac function in CaMKII $\{\delta\}$ knockout mice. *Circ Res*. 2010;107:398-407.
29. Grandi E, Pasqualini FS, Pes C, Corsi C, Zaza A, Severi S. Theoretical investigation of action potential duration dependence on extracellular Ca^{2+} in human cardiomyocytes. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2009;46:332-342.
30. Papp Z, Peineau N, Szigeti G, Argibay J, Kovacs L. Calcium-dependent modulation of the plateau phase of action potential in isolated ventricular cells of rabbit heart. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 1999;167:119-129.
31. Tran K, Smith NP, Loiselle DS, Crampin EJ. A thermodynamic model of the cardiac sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic Ca^{2+} (SERCA) pump. *Biophysical Journal*. 2009;96:2029-2042.

32. Aggarwal R, Pu J, Boyden PA. Ca^{2+} -dependent outward currents in myocytes from epicardial border zone of 5-day infarcted canine heart. *Am J Physiol*. 1997;273:H1386-1394.
33. Wagner S, Hacker E, Grandi E, Weber SL, Dybkova N, Sossalla S, Sowa T, Fabritz L, Kirchhof P, Bers DM, Maier LS. Ca/calmodulin kinase II differentially modulates potassium currents. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2009;2:285-294.
34. Silva J, Rudy Y. Subunit interaction determines I_{Ks} participation in cardiac repolarization and repolarization reserve. *Circulation*. 2005;112:1384-1391.
35. Liu DW, Antzelevitch C. Characteristics of the delayed rectifier current (I_{Kr} and I_{Ks}) in canine ventricular epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes. A weaker I_{Ks} contributes to the longer action potential of the M cell. *Circ Res*. 1995;76:351-365.
36. Yang T, Snyders DJ, Roden DM. Ibutilide, a methanesulfonanilide antiarrhythmic, is a potent blocker of the rapidly activating delayed rectifier K^{+} current (I_{Kr}) in AT-1 cells. Concentration-, time-, voltage-, and use-dependent effects. *Circulation*. 1995;91:1799-1806.
37. Jurkiewicz NK, Sanguinetti MC. Rate-dependent prolongation of cardiac action potentials by a methanesulfonanilide class III antiarrhythmic agent. Specific block of rapidly activating delayed rectifier K^{+} current by dofetilide. *Circulation research*. 1993;72:75-83.
38. Volders PGA, Stengl M, van Opstal JM, Gerlach U, Spätjens RL, Beekman JD, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Probing the contribution of I_{Ks} to canine ventricular repolarization: key role for β -adrenergic receptor stimulation. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2753-2760.
39. Stengl M, Volders PGA, Thomsen MB, Spätjens RLHMG, Sipido KR, Vos MA. Accumulation of slowly activating delayed rectifier potassium current I_{Ks} in canine ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 2003;551:777-786.
40. Charpentier F, Liu QY, Rosen MR, Robinson RB. Age-related differences in β -adrenergic regulation of repolarization in canine epicardial myocytes. *Am J Physiol*. 1996;271:H1174-H1181.
41. Severi S, Corsi C, Rocchetti M, Zaza A. Mechanisms of beta-adrenergic modulation of I_{Ks} in the guinea-pig ventricle: insights from experimental and model-based analysis. *Biophys J*. 2009;96:3862-3872.
42. Imredy JP, Penniman JR, Dech SJ, Irving WD, Salata JJ. Modeling of the adrenergic response of the human I_{Ks} current (hKCNQ1/hKCNE1) stably expressed in HEK-293 cells. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2008;295:H1867-H1881.
43. Yue L, Feng J, Wang Z, Nattel S. Adrenergic control of the ultrarapid delayed rectifier current in canine atrial myocytes. *J Physiol*. 1999;516 (Pt 2):385-398.
44. Sridhar A, da Cunha DN, Lacombe VA, Zhou Q, Fox JJ, Hamlin RL, Carnes CA. The plateau outward current in canine ventricle, sensitive to 4-aminopyridine, is a constitutive contributor to ventricular repolarization. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2007;152:870-879.
45. Wettwer E. Is there a functional correlate of $\text{Kv}1.5$ in the ventricle of canine heart and what would it mean for the use of I_{Kur} blockers? *Br J Pharmacol*. 2007;152:835-837.
46. Spach MS, Heidlage JF, Dolber PC, Barr RC. Electrophysiological effects of remodeling cardiac gap junctions and cell size: experimental and model studies of normal cardiac growth. *Circ Res*. 2000;86:302-311.
47. Diego JMD, Sun ZQ, Antzelevitch C. I_{to} and action potential notch are smaller in left vs. right canine ventricular epicardium. *Am J Physiol*. 1996;271:H548-H561.

48. Lue WM, Boyden PA. Abnormal electrical properties of myocytes from chronically infarcted canine heart. Alterations in V_{max} and the transient outward current. *Circulation*. 1992;85:1175-1188.
49. Ono K, Fozzard HA, Hanck DA. Mechanism of cAMP-dependent modulation of cardiac sodium channel current kinetics. *Circ Res*. 1993;72:807-815.
50. Baba S, Dun W, Boyden PA. Can PKA activators rescue Na^+ channel function in epicardial border zone cells that survive in the infarcted canine heart? *Cardiovasc Res*. 2004;64:260-267.
51. Wagner S, Dybkova N, Rasenack ECL, Jacobshagen C, Fabritz L, Kirchhof P, Maier SKG, Zhang T, Hasenfuss G, Brown JH, Bers DM, Maier LS. Ca^{2+} /calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II regulates cardiac Na^+ channels. *J Clin Invest*. 2006;116:3127--3138.
52. Clancy CE, Rudy Y. Na^+ channel mutation that causes both Brugada and long-QT syndrome phenotypes: a simulation study of mechanism. *Circulation*. 2002;105:1208-1213.
53. Bers DM, Weber CR. Na/Ca exchange function in intact ventricular myocytes. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2002;976:500-512.
54. Faber GM, Rudy Y. Calsequestrin mutation and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: a simulation study of cellular mechanism. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2007;75:79-88.
55. Gao J, Mathias RT, Cohen IS, Baldo GJ. Two functionally different Na/K pumps in cardiac ventricular myocytes. *J Gen Physiol*. 1995;106:995-1030.
56. Sakai R, Hagiwara N, Matsuda N, Kassanuki H, Hosoda S. Sodium-potassium pump current in rabbit sino-atrial node cells. *J Physiol*. 1996;490 (Pt 1):51-62.
57. Dobretsov M, Hastings SL, Stimers JR. Na^+-K^+ pump cycle during β -adrenergic stimulation of adult rat cardiac myocytes. *J Physiol*. 1998;507:527-539.
58. Gao J, Wymore R, Wymore RT, Wang Y, McKinnon D, Dixon JE, Mathias RT, Cohen IS, Baldo GJ. Isoform-specific regulation of the sodium pump by α - and β -adrenergic agonists in the guinea-pig ventricle. *J Physiol*. 1999;516 (Pt 2):377-383.
59. Charpentier F, Legato MJ, Steinberg SF, Cohen IS, Rosen MR. β -adrenergic modulation of Na-K pump activity in young and adult canine cardiac Purkinje fibers. *Am J Physiol*. 1996;271:H706-H712.
60. Silverman BDZ, Fuller W, Eaton P, Deng J, Moorman JR, Cheung JY, James AF, Shattock MJ. Serine 68 phosphorylation of phospholemman: acute isoform-specific activation of cardiac Na/K ATPase. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2005;65:93--103.
61. Despa S, Bossuyt J, Han F, Ginsburg KS, Jia L-G, Kutchai H, Tucker AL, Bers DM. Phospholemman-phosphorylation mediates the β -adrenergic effects on Na/K pump function in cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res*. 2005;97:252-259.
62. Gao J, Mathias RT, Cohen IS, Baldo GJ. Isoprenaline, Ca^{2+} and the $Na(+)-K^+$ pump in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes. *J Physiol*. 1992;449:689-704.
63. Zhang XQ, Ahlers BA, Tucker AL, Song J, Wang J, Moorman JR, Mounsey JP, Carl LL, Rothblum LI, Cheung JY. Phospholemman inhibition of the cardiac Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchanger. Role of phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem*. 2006;281:7784-7792.
64. Despa S, Tucker AL, Bers DM. Phospholemman-mediated activation of Na/K-ATPase limits $[Na]_i$ and inotropic state during β -adrenergic stimulation in mouse ventricular myocytes. *Circulation*. 2008;117:1849-1855.
65. Ginsburg KS, Bers DM. Isoproterenol does not enhance Ca-dependent Na/Ca exchange current in intact rabbit ventricular myocytes. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2005;39:972-981.

66. Smith NP, Crampin EJ. Development of models of active ion transport for whole-cell modelling: cardiac sodium-potassium pump as a case study. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol.* 2004;85:387-405.
67. Tateyama M, Rivolta I, Clancy CE, Kass RS. Modulation of cardiac sodium channel gating by protein kinase A can be altered by disease-linked mutation. *J Biol Chem.* 2003;278:46718--46726.
68. Zygmunt AC, Eddlestone GT, Thomas GP, Nesterenko VV, Antzelevitch C. Larger late sodium conductance in M cells contributes to electrical heterogeneity in canine ventricle. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2001;281:H689-697.
69. Dong M, Sun X, Prinz AA, Wang HS. Effect of simulated I_{to} on guinea pig and canine ventricular action potential morphology. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2006;291:H631-637.
70. Liu DW, Gintant GA, Antzelevitch C. Ionic bases for electrophysiological distinctions among epicardial, midmyocardial, and endocardial myocytes from the free wall of the canine left ventricle. *Circ Res.* 1993;72:671-687.
71. Sun X, Wang HS. Role of the transient outward current (I_{to}) in shaping canine ventricular action potential--a dynamic clamp study. *J Physiol.* 2005;564:411-419.
72. Greenstein JL, Wu R, Po S, Tomaselli GF, Winslow RL. Role of the calcium-independent transient outward current I_{to1} in shaping action potential morphology and duration. *Circ Res.* 2000;87:1026-1033.
73. Tseng GN, Hoffman BF. Two components of transient outward current in canine ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res.* 1989;64:633-647.
74. Dumaine R, Towbin JA, Brugada P, Vatta M, Nesterenko DV, Nesterenko VV, Brugada J, Brugada R, Antzelevitch C. Ionic mechanisms responsible for the electrocardiographic phenotype of the Brugada syndrome are temperature dependent. *Circ Res.* 1999;85:803-809.
75. van der Heyden MA, Wijnhoven TJ, Opthof T. Molecular aspects of adrenergic modulation of the transient outward current. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2006;71:430-442.
76. Livshitz LM, Rudy Y. Regulation of Ca^{2+} and electrical alternans in cardiac myocytes: role of CAMKII and repolarizing currents. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2007;292:H2854-2866.
77. Bridge JHB, Savio-Galimberti E. What are the consequences of phosphorylation and hyperphosphorylation of ryanodine receptors in normal and failing heart? *Circ Res.* 2008;102:995-997.
78. Marx SO, Reiken S, Hisamatsu Y, Jayaraman T, Burkhoff D, Rosemblyt N, Marks AR. PKA phosphorylation dissociates FKBP12.6 from the calcium release channel (ryanodine receptor): defective regulation in failing hearts. *Cell.* 2000;101:365-376.
79. MacDonnell SM, García-Rivas G, Scherman JA, Kubo H, Chen X, Valdivia H, Houser SR. Adrenergic regulation of cardiac contractility does not involve phosphorylation of the cardiac ryanodine receptor at serine 2808. *Circ Res.* 2008;102:e65-e72.
80. Jiang MT, Lokuta AJ, Farrell EF, Wolff MR, Haworth RA, Valdivia HH. Abnormal Ca^{2+} release, but normal ryanodine receptors, in canine and human heart failure. *Circ Res.* 2002;91:1015-1022.
81. Xiao B, Zhong G, Obayashi M, Yang D, Chen K, Walsh MP, Shimoni Y, Cheng H, Keurs HT, Chen SRW. Ser-2030, but not Ser-2808, is the major phosphorylation site in cardiac

- ryanodine receptors responding to protein kinase A activation upon beta-adrenergic stimulation in normal and failing hearts. *Biochem J.* 2006;396:7--16.
82. Ginsburg KS, Bers DM. Modulation of excitation-contraction coupling by isoproterenol in cardiomyocytes with controlled SR Ca^{2+} load and Ca^{2+} current trigger. *J Physiol.* 2004;556:463-480.
83. Ogrodnik J, Niggli E. Increased Ca^{2+} leak and spatiotemporal coherence of Ca^{2+} release in cardiomyocytes during β -adrenergic stimulation. *J Physiol.* 2010;588:225-242.
84. Curran J, Hinton MJ, Ríos E, Bers DM, Shannon TR. β -Adrenergic enhancement of sarcoplasmic reticulum calcium leak in cardiac myocytes is mediated by calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase. *Circ Res.* 2007;100:391-398.
85. Restrepo JG, Weiss JN, Karma A. Calsequestrin-mediated mechanism for cellular calcium transient alternans. *Biophys J.* 2008;95:3767-3789.
86. Mattiazzi A, Mundiña-Weilenmann C, Guoxiang C, Vittone L, Kranias E. Role of phospholamban phosphorylation on Thr17 in cardiac physiological and pathological conditions. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2005;68:366-375.
87. Odermatt A, Kurzydowski K, MacLennan DH. The v_{max} of the Ca^{2+} -ATPase of cardiac sarcoplasmic reticulum (SERCA2a) is not altered by Ca^{2+} /calmodulin-dependent phosphorylation or by interaction with phospholamban. *J Biol Chem.* 1996;271:14206-14213.
88. Hagemann D, Kuschel M, Kuramochi T, Zhu W, Cheng H, Xiao RP. Frequency-encoding Thr17 phospholamban phosphorylation is independent of Ser16 phosphorylation in cardiac myocytes. *J Biol Chem.* 2000;275:22532-22536.
89. Kuschel M, Karczewski P, Hempel P, Schlegel WP, Krause EG, Bartel S. Ser16 prevails over Thr17 phospholamban phosphorylation in the β -adrenergic regulation of cardiac relaxation. *Am J Physiol.* 1999;276:H1625-H1633.
90. DeSantiago J, Maier LS, Bers DM. Frequency-dependent acceleration of relaxation in the heart depends on CaMKII, but not phospholamban. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2002;34:975-984.
91. O'Rourke B, Kass DA, Tomaselli GF, Kaab S, Tunin R, Marban E. Mechanisms of altered excitation-contraction coupling in canine tachycardia-induced heart failure, I: experimental studies. *Circ Res.* 1999;84:562-570.
92. Shannon TR, Wang F, Bers DM. Regulation of cardiac sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca release by luminal $[\text{Ca}]$ and altered gating assessed with a mathematical model. *Biophys J.* 2005;89:4096--4110.
93. Shaw RM, Rudy Y. Ionic mechanisms of propagation in cardiac tissue. Roles of the sodium and L-type calcium currents during reduced excitability and decreased gap junction coupling. *Circ Res.* 1997;81:727-741.
94. Iancu RV, Jones SW, Harvey RD. Compartmentation of cAMP signaling in cardiac myocytes: a computational study. *Biophys J.* 2007;92:3317-3331.
95. Madamanchi A. β -Adrenergic receptor signaling in cardiac function and heart failure. *Mcgill J Med.* 2007;10:99-104.
96. Nikolaev VO, Bunemann M, Schmitteckert E, Lohse MJ, Engelhardt S. Cyclic AMP imaging in adult cardiac myocytes reveals far-reaching β_1 -adrenergic but locally confined β_2 -adrenergic receptor-mediated signaling. *Circ Res.* 2006;99:1084-1091.

97. Rybin VO, Xu X, Lisanti MP, Steinberg SF. Differential targeting of β -adrenergic receptor subtypes and adenylyl cyclase to cardiomyocyte caveolae. A mechanism to functionally regulate the cAMP signaling pathway. *J Biol Chem*. 2000;275:41447-41457.
98. Green SA, Holt BD, Liggett SB. β 1- and β 2-adrenergic receptors display subtype-selective coupling to Gs. *Mol Pharmacol*. 1992;41:889-893.
99. Zamah AM, Delahunty M, Luttrell LM, Lefkowitz RJ. Protein kinase A-mediated phosphorylation of the beta 2-adrenergic receptor regulates its coupling to Gs and Gi. Demonstration in a reconstituted system. *J Biol Chem*. 2002;277:31249-31256.
100. Jo SH, Leblais V, Wang PH, Crow MT, Xiao RP. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase functionally compartmentalizes the concurrent G(s) signaling during beta2-adrenergic stimulation. *Circ Res*. 2002;91:46-53.
101. Violin JD, DiPilato LM, Yildirim N, Elston TC, Zhang J, Lefkowitz RJ. β 2-adrenergic receptor signaling and desensitization elucidated by quantitative modeling of real time cAMP dynamics. *J Biol Chem*. 2008;283:2949-2961.
102. Saucerman JJ, Brunton LL, Michailova AP, McCulloch AD. Modeling β -adrenergic control of cardiac myocyte contractility in silico. *J Biol Chem*. 2003;278:47997-48003.
103. Endoh M, Hiramoto T, Ishihata A, Takanashi M, Inui J. Myocardial α 1-adrenoceptors mediate positive inotropic effect and changes in phosphatidylinositol metabolism. Species differences in receptor distribution and the intracellular coupling process in mammalian ventricular myocardium. *Circ Res*. 1991;68:1179-1190.
104. Nikolaev VO, Moshkov A, Lyon AR, Miragoli M, Novak P, Paur H, Lohse MJ, Korchev YE, Harding SE, Gorelik J. β 2-adrenergic receptor redistribution in heart failure changes cAMP compartmentation. *Science*. 2010;327:1653-1657.
105. Post SR, Hilal-Dandan R, Urasawa K, Brunton LL, Insel PA. Quantification of signalling components and amplification in the beta-adrenergic-receptor-adenylate cyclase pathway in isolated adult rat ventricular myocytes. *Biochem J*. 1995;311 (Pt 1):75-80.
106. Iancu RV, Ramamurthy G, Warriar S, Nikolaev VO, Lohse MJ, Jones SW, Harvey RD. Cytoplasmic cAMP concentrations in intact cardiac myocytes. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*. 2008;295:C414-C422.
107. Sunahara RK, Dessauer CW, Gilman AG. Complexity and diversity of mammalian adenylyl cyclases. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 1996;36:461-480.
108. Wang T, Brown MJ. Differential expression of adenylyl cyclase subtypes in human cardiovascular system. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2004;223:55-62.
109. Hohl CM, Li QA. Compartmentation of cAMP in adult canine ventricular myocytes. Relation to single-cell free Ca^{2+} transients. *Circ Res*. 1991;69:1369-1379.
110. Chen-Goodspeed M, Lukan AN, Dessauer CW. Modeling of $\text{G}\alpha(s)$ and $\text{G}\alpha(i)$ regulation of human type V and VI adenylyl cyclase. *J Biol Chem*. 2005;280:1808-1816.
111. Steinberg SF, Zhang H, Pak E, Pagnotta G, Boyden PA. Characteristics of the β -adrenergic receptor complex in the epicardial border zone of the 5-day infarcted canine heart. *Circulation*. 1995;91:2824-2833.
112. Yu X, Zhang M, Kyker K, Patterson E, Benovic JL, Kem DC. Ischemic inactivation of G protein-coupled receptor kinase and altered desensitization of canine cardiac β -adrenergic receptors. *Circulation*. 2000;102:2535-2540.

113. Freedman NJ, Liggett SB, Drachman DE, Pei G, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ. Phosphorylation and desensitization of the human β 1-adrenergic receptor. Involvement of G protein-coupled receptor kinases and cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *J Biol Chem.* 1995;270:17953-17961.
114. Willoughby D, Cooper DMF. Organization and Ca^{2+} regulation of adenylyl cyclases in cAMP microdomains. *Physiol Rev.* 2007;87:965-1010.
115. Yu HJ, Ma H, Green RD. Calcium entry via L-type calcium channels acts as a negative regulator of adenylyl cyclase activity and cyclic AMP levels in cardiac myocytes. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1993;44:689-693.
116. Dobson JG, Shea LG, Fenton RA. β -adrenergic and antiadrenergic modulation of cardiac adenylyl cyclase is influenced by phosphorylation. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2003;285:H1471-H1478.
117. Dessauer CW, Scully TT, Gilman AG. Interactions of forskolin and ATP with the cytosolic domains of mammalian adenylyl cyclase. *J Biol Chem.* 1997;272:22272-22277.
118. Fischmeister R, Castro LRV, Abi-Gerges A, Rochais F, Jurevicius J, Leroy J, Vandecasteele G. Compartmentation of cyclic nucleotide signaling in the heart: the role of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases. *Circ Res.* 2006;99:816-828.
119. Osadchii OE. Myocardial phosphodiesterases and regulation of cardiac contractility in health and cardiac disease. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* 2007;21:171-194.
120. Bethke T, Meyer W, Schmitz W, Scholz H, Stein B, Thomas K, Wenzlaff H. Phosphodiesterase inhibition in ventricular cardiomyocytes from guinea-pig hearts. *Br J Pharmacol.* 1992;107:127-133.
121. Rochais F, Abi-Gerges A, Horner K, Lefebvre F, Cooper DM, Conti M, Fischmeister R, Vandecasteele G. A specific pattern of phosphodiesterases controls the cAMP signals generated by different Gs-coupled receptors in adult rat ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res.* 2006;98:1081-1088.
122. Leroy J, Abi-Gerges A, Nikolaev VO, Richter W, Lechêne P, Mazet J-L, Conti M, Fischmeister R, Vandecasteele G. Spatiotemporal dynamics of β -adrenergic cAMP signals and L-type Ca^{2+} channel regulation in adult rat ventricular myocytes: role of phosphodiesterases. *Circ Res.* 2008;102:1091-1100.
123. Xin W, Tran TM, Richter W, Clark RB, Rich TC. Roles of GRK and PDE4 activities in the regulation of β 2 adrenergic signaling. *J Gen Physiol.* 2008;131:349-364.
124. Saucerman JJ, Healy SN, Belik ME, Puglisi JL, McCulloch AD. Proarrhythmic consequences of a KCNQ1 AKAP-binding domain mutation: computational models of whole cells and heterogeneous tissue. *Circ Res.* 2004;95:1216-1224.
125. Tasken K, Aandahl EM. Localized effects of cAMP mediated by distinct routes of protein kinase A. *Physiol Rev.* 2004;84:137-167.
126. Rich TC, Karpen JW. Review article: cyclic AMP sensors in living cells: what signals can they actually measure? *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2002;30:1088-1099.
127. Krebs EG, Beavo JA. Phosphorylation-dephosphorylation of enzymes. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 1979;48:923-959.
128. Corbin JD, Sugden PH, Lincoln TM, Keely SL. Compartmentalization of adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate and adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate-dependent protein kinase in heart tissue. *J Biol Chem.* 1977;252:3854-3861.

129. Reinitz CA, Bianco RA, Shabb JB. Compartmentation of the type I regulatory subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase in cardiac ventricular muscle. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 1997;348:391-402.
130. Cheng X, Phelps C, Taylor SS. Differential binding of cAMP-dependent protein kinase regulatory subunit isoforms I α and I β to the catalytic subunit. *J Biol Chem*. 2001;276:4102-4108.
131. Dostmann WR, Taylor SS. Identifying the molecular switches that determine whether (Rp)-cAMPS functions as an antagonist or an agonist in the activation of cAMP-dependent protein kinase I. *Biochemistry*. 1991;30:8710-8716.
132. Manni S, Mauban JH, Ward CW, Bond M. Phosphorylation of the cAMP-dependent Protein Kinase (PKA) Regulatory Subunit Modulates PKA-AKAP Interaction, Substrate Phosphorylation, and Calcium Signaling in Cardiac Cells. *J Biol Chem*. 2008;283:24145-24154.
133. Zakhary DR, Moravec CS, Bond M. Regulation of PKA binding to AKAPs in the heart: alterations in human heart failure. *Circulation*. 2000;101:1459-1464.
134. Hofmann F, Bechtel PJ, Krebs EG. Concentrations of cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase subunits in various tissues. *J Biol Chem*. 1977;252:1441-1447.
135. Beavo JA, Bechtel PJ, Krebs EG. Activation of protein kinase by physiological concentrations of cyclic AMP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1974;71:3580-3583.
136. Saucerman JJ, Zhang J, Martin JC, Peng LX, Stenbit AE, Tsien RY, McCulloch AD. Systems analysis of PKA-mediated phosphorylation gradients in live cardiac myocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103:12923-12928.
137. Herzig S, Neumann J. Effects of serine/threonine protein phosphatases on ion channels in excitable membranes. *Physiol Rev*. 2000;80:173-210.
138. Carr AN, Schmidt AG, Suzuki Y, del Monte F, Sato Y, Lanner C, Breeden K, Jing SL, Allen PB, Greengard P, Yatani A, Hoit BD, Grupp IL, Hajjar RJ, DePaoli-Roach AA, Kranias EG. Type 1 phosphatase, a negative regulator of cardiac function. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2002;22:4124-4135.
139. Layland J, Solaro RJ, Shah AM. Regulation of cardiac contractile function by troponin I phosphorylation. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2005;66:12-21.
140. Neumann J, Gupta RC, Schmitz W, Scholz H, Nairn AC, Watanabe AM. Evidence for isoproterenol-induced phosphorylation of phosphatase inhibitor-1 in the intact heart. *Circ Res*. 1991;69:1450-1457.
141. Mauban JRH, O'Donnell M, Warriar S, Manni S, Bond M. AKAP-Scaffolding Proteins and Regulation of Cardiac Physiology. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. 2009;24:78-87.
142. Alto NM, Soderling SH, Hoshi N, Langeberg LK, Fayos R, Jennings PA, Scott JD. Bioinformatic design of A-kinase anchoring protein-in silico: a potent and selective peptide antagonist of type II protein kinase A anchoring. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100:4445-4450.
143. Marx SO, Kurokawa J, Reiken S, Motoike H, D'Armiento J, Marks AR, Kass RS. Requirement of a macromolecular signaling complex for β adrenergic receptor modulation of the KCNQ1-KCNE1 potassium channel. *Science*. 2002;295:496-499.
144. Chen L, Marquardt ML, Tester DJ, Sampson KJ, Ackerman MJ, Kass RS. Mutation of an A-kinase-anchoring protein causes long-QT syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007;104:20990-20995.
145. Chen L, Kass RS. Dual roles of the A kinase-anchoring protein Yotiao in the modulation of a cardiac potassium channel: a passive adaptor versus an active regulator. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 2006;85:623-626.

146. Yatani A, Shen YT, Yan L, Chen W, Kim SJ, Sano K, Irie K, Vatner SF, Vatner DE. Down regulation of the L-type Ca^{2+} channel, GRK2, and phosphorylated phospholamban: protective mechanisms for the denervated failing heart. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2006;40:619--628.
147. Stengl M, Ramakers C, Donker DW, Nabar A, Rybin AV, Spatjens RLHMG, van der Nagel T, Wodzig WKWH, Sipido KR, Antoons G, Moorman AFM, Vos MA, Volders PGA. Temporal patterns of electrical remodeling in canine ventricular hypertrophy: focus on I_{Ks} downregulation and blunted beta-adrenergic activation. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2006;72:90-100.
148. Kirstein M, Eickhorn R, Kochsiek K, Langenfeld H. Dose-dependent alteration of rat cardiac sodium current by isoproterenol: results from direct measurements on multicellular preparations. *Pflugers Arch.* 1996;431:395-401.
149. Gupta RC, Neumann J, Durant P, Watanabe AM. A1-adenosine receptor-mediated inhibition of isoproterenol-stimulated protein phosphorylation in ventricular myocytes. Evidence against a cAMP-dependent effect. *Circ Res.* 1993;72:65-74.
150. Sulakhe PV, Vo XT. Regulation of phospholamban and troponin-I phosphorylation in the intact rat cardiomyocytes by adrenergic and cholinergic stimuli: roles of cyclic nucleotides, calcium, protein kinases and phosphatases and depolarization. *Mol Cell Biochem.* 1995;149-150:103--126.
151. Saucerman JJ, Bers DM. Calmodulin mediates differential sensitivity of CaMKII and calcineurin to local Ca^{2+} in cardiac myocytes. *Biophys J.* 2008;95:4597-4612.
152. Hashambhoy YL, Greenstein JL, Winslow RL. Role of CaMKII in RyR leak, EC coupling and action potential duration: a computational model. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2010;49:617-624.
153. Huke S, Bers DM. Temporal dissociation of frequency-dependent acceleration of relaxation and protein phosphorylation by CaMKII. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2007;42:590-599.