Hippocampal theta frequency and novelty

Citation for published version (APA):

Sambeth, A., Meeter, M., & Blokland, A. (2009). Hippocampal theta frequency and novelty. *Hippocampus*, 19, 407-408;. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.20541>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2009

DOI:

[10.1002/hipo.20541](https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.20541)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:

Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Hippocampal Theta Frequency and Novelty

Anke Sambeth,¹ Martijn Meeter,² and Arjan Blokland^{1*}

To the Editor:

Various studies indicate that the hippocampus plays an essential role in novelty detection. In particular, contextual/spatial changes are detected by the hippocampus, presumably by comparing incoming information with stored information (e.g., Knight, 1996). However, the exact nature and processes underlying hippocampal novelty detection remain elusive. One important mechanism that may underlie hippocampal novelty detection is hippocampal theta activity. In a recent paper, Jeewajee et al. (2008) proposed a link between the hippocampal theta and the detection of novel contexts. Four food-deprived rats were equipped with hippocampal electrodes and exposed to familiar and novel environments in which they were searching for food. The authors found that the peak hippocampal theta frequency dropped (by about 0.6 Hz) when the rats were tested in a novel environment. They argued that the change in theta frequency may function as a novelty signal because hippocampal theta frequency is the same in the whole hippocampus (Buzsaki, 2002) and suggested that this reduction in the theta frequency would have implications for memory encoding. The authors speculate that novelty leads to an altered weighing between a high-frequency locomotion-related theta and a low-frequency theta dependent on acetylcholine release. Although the study of Jeewajee et al. (2008) provides novel insights into the mechanisms underlying hippocampal novelty detection, some points suggest that it may be too early to accept their conclusions at face value.

The first point is related with the design of study, in which food-deprived rats were used. During the recording sessions, rats were foraging grains of sweetened rice which were thrown in the box every 30 s. Several studies have indicated that food consumption and reinforcement increase hippocampal acetylcholine (ACh) levels (Ghiani et al., 1998; Iso et al., 1999). Since specific assumptions were made with respect to hippocampal ACh levels and novelty-induced increase in ACh and an increase in cholinergic input to the hippocampus affects hippocampal theta activity (Givens and Olton, 1995; Podol'skii et al.,

2001), the results of this experiment may have been distorted by the foraging. Therefore, it would have been more appropriate to expose nondeprived subjects to familiar and novel environments. This may be a more proper setting to detect changes in theta in relation to novelty per se.

Secondly, the authors found the effects of novelty on peak theta frequency, but not on theta power. This is at odds with previous findings in the literature. Jeewajee et al. relate their findings of novelty-induced theta peak frequency reductions to a study of Givens and Olton (1995). In this study, carbachol injections into the medial septum, which increases hippocampal ACh levels, did not affect the theta peak. Only in combination with scopolamine (given intraperitoneal), the peak theta frequency was reduced by carbachol injections. Two other studies of this group (Givens and Olton, 1994; Markowska et al., 1995) also examined the effects of intraseptal injection of cholinergic drugs. These studies indicate that scopolamine (i.e., lower hippocampal ACh) reduces theta power and increases peak frequency. Further, the muscarinic agonist oxotremorine reduced peak frequency and increased theta power. In all these studies, decreases and increases in theta power were very robust, while effects on peak frequency were smaller and less consistent. The decrease in peak frequency found by Jeewajee et al. is thus in line with previous data, but their lack of effects of novelty on theta power is puzzling. Indeed, we recently conducted a study in which rats were exposed to novel and familiar environments. We found that theta power was affected by novelty rather than theta frequency (see Fig. 1).

The third point of caution relates to the analysis of theta frequency. Jeewajee et al. showed changes in theta frequency as accurate as 0.2 Hz. Frequency resolution, the accuracy of different frequency steps that can be analyzed, depends on the length of a measurement and the sample rate; the longer and higher, respectively, the better will be the resolution. In the study of Jeewajee et al., the sample rate that was used to record the EEG was 250 Hz. With these settings, epochs of 5 s are needed to obtain a frequency resolution at a 0.2 Hz level. Unfortunately, the authors did not provide any specific information on how long animals usually spent running at speeds over 5 cm/s and their restriction for the acceptance of an epoch into

¹ Department of Neuropsychology and Psychopharmacology, Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience, Maastricht University, The Netherlands;
² Department of Cognitive Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

*Correspondence to: Arjan Blokland, Department of Neuropsychology and Psychopharmacology, Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience, Maastricht University, The Netherlands.
 E-mail: a.blokland@psychology.unimaas.nl

Accepted for publication 17 November 2008
 DOI 10.1002/hipo.20541

Published online 11 February 2009 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

FIGURE 1. Theta power during active (rearing and running) and passive (sitting quietly) behavior in the rat. In this study, animals ($n = 10$; equipped with bipolar electrodes; see Sambeth et al., 2007) were first placed in a familiar environment for 3 min, followed by placement in a novel environment 1 h later. Epochs of 1,300 ms were sampled at 1,024 Hz with a band-pass filter of 1–100 Hz, ensuring a frequency resolution of 0.5 Hz. A Fast Fourier

Transform was performed to calculate the power spectra. Theta activity was measured between 5 and 10 Hz from the dorsal hippocampus. Theta power was significantly larger in the novel than in the familiar environment, irrespective of the type of physical activity ($F_{1,9} = 6.81$, $P < 0.028$ for active and $F_{1,9} = 6.64$, $P < 0.03$ for passive behavior). Theta frequency was not significantly changed ($F_s < 3.9$).

the analysis (only epochs >500 ms). It is therefore not clear whether the resolution they present is feasible.

The fourth point relates to the proposed mechanism. Jeejawee et al. proposed that theta frequency is determined by a location-induced oscillation at 8–9 Hz, and a cholinergically mediated oscillation at 6–7 Hz. Novelty would result in increased cholinergic release in the hippocampus, and thus bias the theta rhythm toward the lower frequency associated with acetylcholine. However, if these two rhythms would coexist, one would not observe one peak in the power spectrum that shifts with novelty, but two peaks that would have different size in different conditions. Moreover, in our experiment, we did not find an increase in peak theta frequency when animals were in locomotion relative to when they were passive (see Fig. 1). Instead, it was again theta power that differentiated active from passive behavior, with novelty increasing power at both levels of activity.

Taken together, these comments suggest caution in concluding that a lower theta peak frequency reflects novelty detection. Previous data and data from our pilot study hint toward a novelty-induced increase in hippocampal theta power. Moreover, a model in which hippocampal theta results from two oscillations does not seem to produce the data pattern found by the authors. Nevertheless, both their and our findings suggest that theta oscillations are affected by novelty, and we agree with the authors that this probably has consequences for our understanding of hippocampal learning. Since both ACh release and theta oscillations are affected by a range of factors, testing animals in more settings may be needed to elucidate the nature of novelty effects on hippocampal theta oscillations.

REFERENCES

- Buzsaki G. 2002. Theta oscillations in the hippocampus. *Neuron* 33:325–340.
- Ghiani CA, Dazzi L, Maciocco E, Flore G, Maira G, Biggio G. 1998. Antagonism by abecarnil of enhanced acetylcholine release in the rat brain during anticipation but not consumption of food. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 59:657–662.
- Givens B, Olton DS. 1994. Local modulation of basal forebrain: Effects on working and reference memory. *J Neurosci* 14:3578–3587.
- Givens B, Olton DS. 1995. Bidirectional modulation of scopolamine-induced working memory impairments by muscarinic activation of the medial septal area. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 63:269–276.
- Iso H, Ueki A, Shinjo H, Miwa C, Morita Y. 1999. Reinforcement enhances hippocampal acetylcholine release in rats: An in vivo microdialysis study. *Behav Brain Res* 101:207–213.
- Jeewajee A, Lever C, Burton S, O'Keefe J, Burgess N. 2008. Environmental novelty is signaled by reduction of the hippocampal theta frequency. *Hippocampus* 18:340–348.
- Knight R. 1996. Contribution of human hippocampal region to novelty detection. *Nature* 383:256–259.
- Markowska AL, Olton DS, Givens B. 1995. Cholinergic manipulations in the medial septal area: Age-related effects on working memory and hippocampal electrophysiology. *J Neurosci* 15:2063–2073.
- Podol'skii IY, Vorob'ev VV, Belova NA. 2001. Long-term changes in hippocampus and neocortex EEG spectra in response to pharmacological treatments affecting the cholinergic system. *Neurosci Behav Physiol* 31:589–595.
- Sambeth A, Riedel W, Smits L, Blokland A. 2007. Cholinergic drugs affect novel object recognition in rats: Relation with hippocampal EEG? *Eur J Pharmacol* 572:151–159.