Effects of a high-fat, low- versus high-glycemic index diet: retardation of insulin resistance involves adipose tissue modulation.

Citation for published version (APA):

van Schothorst, E. M., Bunschoten, A., Schrauwen, P., Mensink, R. P., & Keijer, J. (2009). Effects of a high-fat, low- versus high-glycemic index diet: retardation of insulin resistance involves adipose tissue modulation. *Faseb Journal*, 23(4), 1092-1101. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.08-117119>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2009

DOI:

[10.1096/fj.08-117119](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.08-117119)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:

Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Effects of a high-fat, low- *versus* high-glycemic index diet: retardation of insulin resistance involves adipose tissue modulation

Evert M. van Schothorst,^{*,†,‡,1} Annelies Bunschoten,^{*,†,‡} Patrick Schrauwen,^{*,§} Ronald P. Mensink,^{*,§} and Jaap Keijer^{*,†,‡}

^{*}Top Institute of Food and Nutrition, Wageningen, The Netherlands; [†]RIKILT—Institute of Food Safety, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands; [‡]Human and Animal Physiology, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands; and [§]Department of Human Biology, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT Beneficial effects of low glycemic index (GI) diets in rodents have been studied using healthy low-fat diets, while the effects might be different on high-fat diets inducing progression of insulin resistance. We fed C57BL/6J male mice high-fat low/high-GI (LGI/HGI) diets for 13 wk. Glucose and insulin tolerance and serum substrates, including adipokines, were measured longitudinally. The LGI group showed a significantly higher glucose tolerance from wk 2 onwards, which was supported by lower serum insulin and free fatty acids levels at 8 wk, and a tendency for lower leptin levels, while resistin levels remained similar. At 11 wk, when differences in serum resistin started to increase, differences in serum insulin were diminished. Although food intake was similar throughout the study, body weights and epididymal adipose tissue mass became significantly lower in the LGI group at necropsy. Several serum substrates and adipose tissue leptin mRNA levels, as analyzed by Q-PCR, were, again, significantly lower, whereas adiponectin mRNA levels were higher. Taken together, an LGI high-fat diet maintains higher glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity *via* adipose tissue modulation solely because of a difference in the type of carbohydrate, supporting a nutritional approach in the fight against insulin resistance.—Van Schothorst, E. M., Bunschoten, A., Schrauwen, P., Mensink, R. P., Keijer, J. Effects of a high-fat, low *versus* high glycemic index diet: retardation of insulin resistance involves adipose tissue modulation. *FASEB J.* 23, 1092–1101 (2009)

Key Words: carbohydrate • adipokines • glucose tolerance

THE PANDEMIC OF OBESITY, type II diabetes, and associated cardiovascular diseases is growing worldwide, and prevention is of utmost urgency (1). Although pharmacological intervention will help in the treatment of these diseases, prevention might be achieved by nutritional and lifestyle interventions. Furthermore, a dietary approach might add a small but relevant cumulative effect to treatment of insulin resistance and

type II diabetes. This is especially relevant in the light of recent findings that intense glucose-lowering pharmacological therapy increased mortality and did not reduce cardiovascular events in type II diabetes patients, independent of the class of drugs used (2). As one strategy, a reduction of the glycemic index (GI) of the diet was proposed to have beneficial health effects (3). The GI classifies carbohydrates in terms of the acute blood glucose-elevating properties compared to a standard amount of glucose intake and distinguishes a low GI (LGI; a lower rise in blood glucose and insulin levels spread over a longer time) from a high GI (HGI; a high and quick rise and subsequently fall in blood glucose and insulin levels). Detailed reviews of meta-analyses in humans provide evidence for improvement of diabetes-, cardiovascular disease-, and obesity-related parameters (4–6), with the majority of these studies reporting health benefits only for LGI diets. However, inconsistencies also exist, most likely because of differences in human diets, such as the proportion and types of carbohydrates and fats ingested, or the total fiber intake, which has profound effects on postprandial glucose response (7). These can be better controlled in animal studies.

Animal GI studies were primarily performed using healthy or diabetic rats (8–13), although only a few studies focused on mice: either wild-type (10, 14, 15), chemically induced obese (15), or genetically modified knockout mice (16). In wild-type mice, an LGI *vs.* HGI diet with a low-fat diet content resulted in less body fat (10, 15), which was confirmed and extended to observations of lower plasma insulin and triglycerides levels and absence of liver steatosis (14), suggesting but not proving an increased insulin sensitivity.

Dysfunctional white adipose tissue (WAT) leads to disease (17–19). WAT performs its metabolic functions

¹ Correspondence: Human and Animal Physiology, Wageningen University, Marijkeweg 40, 6709 PG Wageningen, P.O. Box 338, 6700 AH Wageningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: evert.vanschothorst@wur.nl

doi: 10.1096/fj.08-117119

in active communication with other organs, for which it secretes and perceives a large number of different signals (20). Among the secreted molecules are the adipokines leptin, adiponectin, and resistin, as well as several cytokines. These adipokines play an important role in diet-induced obesity (21–23) and insulin resistance and/or diabetes (24–28). An LGI *vs.* HGI diet resulted in reduced plasma plasminogen activator-1 (PAI-1) activity (29) and lower leptin levels (15). However, WAT leptin mRNA expression was found not to be significantly different (15). Furthermore, an LGI diet significantly increased plasma adiponectin levels in rats (10).

Detailed analysis of progression of whole-body insulin resistance as a consequence of the dietary GI has not extensively been performed. Furthermore, the effects of GI in rodents may be different on a diet with a fat content that is more relevant to humans (30, 31) as compared to the rodent low-fat (chow) diets. Thus far, no rodent studies on the effect of GI have been performed using diets that resemble the human diet in fat content and composition. This is of particular relevance because it was shown that fat, and not the caloric intake, is the crucial stimulus for obesity- and diabetes-related parameters in C57BL/6J wild-type mouse (32), which can be modulated by different types of carbohydrates as explained above. We hypothesize that, on a Western high-fat-diet background, an LGI diet delays the progression of insulin resistance compared to an HGI diet. Young-adult wild-type mice were fed (moderate) high-fat diets differing in GI to investigate the causal role of an LGI diet in delaying the high-fat diet-induced insulin resistance. The outcome of this study might contribute to improved nutritional advice for those who are at risk for developing obesity, impaired glucose tolerance, or even diabetes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal study and diets

Male, wild-type C57BL/6J OlaHsd mice (Harlan, Horst, The Netherlands) 9 wk of age were individually housed in macrolon cages. Housing was maintained constant at 21°C and 45% humidity, and lights were switched on from 6 AM to 6 PM. The experimental protocol was approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands. Food and water were supplied weekly *ad libitum*, and remaining food was weighed to calculate food intake. Body weight was measured weekly.

The semisynthetic diets (Research Diet Services B.V., Wijk bij Duurstede, The Netherlands) resembled a Western human diet (**Table 1**) and differed only in the type of starch; the LGI diet consisted of ~60% amylose and 40% amylopectin (C*Amylo Gel 03003, Cerestar Benelux, Bergen op Zoom, The Netherlands), whereas the HGI diet consisted of 100% amylopectin (C*Gel 04201). Vitamin and minerals were according to the AIN93G diet. Gross energy content was determined in feed using adiabatic bomb calorimetry (model IKA calorimeter C7000; IKA Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Staufen, Germany) according to ISO Standard 9831. Analyses were carried out in duplicate.

All 110 mice started the 3 wk acclimation period on the LGI diet. At the start of the intervention, 10 animals were sacrificed ($t=0$ group), and the remaining 100 mice were stratified on body weight (BW) in 2 groups. One group continued on the LGI diet (mean $BW_{t=0}$ 25.62 ± 0.25 g), whereas the other group was switched to an HGI diet (mean $BW_{t=0}$ 25.68 ± 0.23 g) for a period of 13–14 wk. Of both groups, 10 animals were used for glucose tolerance tests (GTTs), 30 for insulin tolerance tests (ITTs), and 10 others for blood sampling only, of which the latter are further referred to as nonchallenged (**Fig. 1**).

Single test meal

In wk 3 of the acclimation period, a single test meal ($n=10$) after an overnight fast was used to determine the *in vivo* GI of

TABLE 1. Diet composition

Component	Diet		
	RGI (glucose)	LGI (amylose)	HGI (amylopectin)
Acid casein	220.0	220.0	220.0
L-Cystine	3.0	3.0	3.0
Dextrose monohydrate	534.5	0.0	0.0
Amylose (C*Amylo Gel 03003)	0.0	534.5	0.0
Amylopectin (C*Gel 04201)	0.0	0.0	534.5
Cellulose (Arbocel B800)	50.0	50.0	50.0
Lard	101.5	101.5	101.5
Corn oil	43.5	43.5	43.5
Mineral premix AIN-93G	35.0	35.0	35.0
Vitamin premix AIN-93	10.0	10.0	10.0
Choline bitartrate	2.5	2.5	2.5
Total (g)	1000.0	1000.0	1000.0
Calculated energy density (kcal/kg)	4375	4375	4375
Measured energy density (kcal/kg) ^a	n.d.	4718 $\pm$ 45	4671 $\pm$ 33
Carbohydrates (kcal%)	50	50	50
Fat (kcal%)	30	30	30
Protein (kcal%)	20	20	20

Values are g/kg diet, unless stated otherwise. Reference GI (RGI) diet was used only for a single test meal. ^aMeasured by bomb calorimetry; mean $\pm$ SD of 2 samples. n.d. = not determined.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the study design. All mice started the 3 wk acclimation period on LGI diet (solid line). Asterisk (*) indicates single test meals. Ten mice were sacrificed at the start of the intervention ($t=0$ group, $n=10$). Simultaneously, half of the remaining mice were switched to HGI diet (dotted line). Each dietary group was subsequently subdivided into 3 subgroups, which underwent in a 3 wk time interval only blood collection (nonchallenged animals, $n=10$; B), GTTs ($n=10$; G), or ITTs ($n=30$; I). Just 30 min before necropsy, a subset of ITT mice received an insulin injection (arrow, $n=10$) to measure acute insulin function. Dagger (†) indicates necropsy.

these two GI diets *vs.* a reference (RGI) diet containing dextrose monohydrate as carbohydrate fraction. Animals receiving the single RGI dietary test meal were all grouped in the $t = 0$ group. Blood glucose levels were measured using a blood glucose device (FreeStyle, Abbot, Amersfoort, The Netherlands) after a tail incision ($t=0$ min) at 8:00 AM. After an additional 2 h fasting, a weighed amount of food (2.03 ± 0.05 g) was supplied for exactly 15 min, reweighed, and food intake was calculated. Blood glucose levels were measured at time points 15 (end of food intake), 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 min.

GTts and ITTs

The GTTs and ITTs were performed in wk 0, 2, 5, 8, and 11. Animals were fasted for 5 h from 8:00 AM onwards before the test. At $t = 0$ min, fasting blood glucose levels were measured after a tail incision. A glucose (2 g/kg BW) or insulin (0.75 U/kg BW; Humuline® Regular, Eli Lilly, Houten, The Netherlands) solution was injected into the intraperitoneal cavity, followed by blood glucose measurements at time points 15, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min.

Blood sampling

In wk 1, 2, 5, 8, and 11, nonchallenged mice were fasted for 5 h from 8:00 AM onwards, followed by anesthesia using isoflurane. Blood was drawn by orbital puncture and collected in serum collection tubes (Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen, Germany). Fasting blood glucose levels were measured directly, and serum was collected after centrifugation following the manufacturer's protocol and stored in aliquots at -80°C for further analyses.

Necropsy

Mice were fasted for 2 h in wk 13 (GTT and ITT mice) and wk 14 (nonchallenged mice) from 8:00 AM onwards and anesthetized using isoflurane. Blood was sampled after eye extrac-

tion, glucose levels were measured, and serum was collected as described. Mice were killed using cervical dislocation. Organs were isolated, weighed, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for subsequent analyses. Throughout the manuscript we denote the time point of necropsy as wk 13.

Of the ITT mice, 10 mice received 30 min before necropsy an insulin injection (0.75 U/kg BW) to analyze acute insulin effects, which were compared and analyzed with ITT mice not receiving an insulin injection.

Serum parameters

Serum free fatty acid (FFA) levels were measured using the NEFA C kit (Wako Chemicals, GmbH, Neuss, Germany), and volumes were scaled down to analyze the samples with a microplate reader (BioTec Synergy HT, Bad Friedrichshall, Germany). Serum samples (5 μl) were measured in duplicate and averaged, and FFA concentrations were calculated using a standard curve of oleic acid (supplied by the kit). Hepatic triglyceride (TG) content was analyzed as described using a 2% liver homogenate (33).

Serum levels of leptin, resistin, insulin, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), interleukin 6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), and PAI-1 were measured using the mouse serum adipokine Lincoplex Kit (Linco Research, Nuclilab, Ede, The Netherlands). Sera were diluted 5 $\times$ in HPE buffer (Sanquin, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), debris was removed by spinning the sample using SpinX columns (Corning, Schiphol-Rijk, The Netherlands), and possible inhibition of the immunological Ig fraction in serum was circumvented by an incubation at gentle shaking for 1 h at room temperature in 96-well Reacti-Bind™ protein L-coated plates (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) before adipokine assaying. The assays were conducted according to the manufacturer's protocol and measured using the Luminex X100 system with Starstation software (Applied Cytometry Systems, Dinnington, Sheffield, UK). All individual samples were analyzed in duplicate and averaged when the difference between the 2 measurements was $\leq 5\%$.

RNA isolation

RNA isolation from WAT was performed as described (34). Briefly, after grinding WAT, RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands) and washed, pelleted, and dissolved in RNase-free water and purified using the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands). RNA was quantified using the Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Isogen Life Sciences, Maarssen, The Netherlands) and qualified using capillary zone electrophoresis (Experion, Bio-Rad, Veenendaal, The Netherlands).

Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR)

Differential gene expression of resistin, leptin, and adiponectin was assessed using real-time Q-PCR as described (35). Briefly, PCR amplification to obtain relative levels of gene expression using 2 reference genes (ribosomal protein S15 and hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1) was performed in duplicate and averaged. Primer sequences are shown in Supplemental Table 1. The expression of the genes of interest was normalized against the reference genes using the GeNorm normalization factor (36).

Statistical analyses

All data are expressed as means $\pm$ SE. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Incremental area under the curve (iAUC) for GTTs and ITTs was analyzed using Prism software. Insulin resistance index was estimated by homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) using the program HOMA2-IR version 2.2 (37) using fasting glucose (mM) and insulin levels. Measurements at single time points between 2 groups were analyzed by Student's *t* test (Q-PCR results), and those between 3 groups were analyzed using 1-way ANOVA (test meal, adipokines at section). Time course longitudinal analyses were analyzed by repeated-measurements (mixed model) ANOVA. Bonferroni post-tests were used in case ANOVA showed significance. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

To investigate the causal role of an LGI diet in delaying the progression of insulin resistance in comparison to an HGI diet, we fed young-adult wild-type mice moderate high-fat diets differing in GI (Table 1). The dietary fat content of the diets is based on a Western diet (30 kcal% fat), which induces insulin resistance in mice to a mild extent. The only difference between the diets is the type of starch, thereby not influencing the quantity of macro- and micronutrients and the energy content of the diet. Bomb calorimetry analysis of both diets, which overestimates the amount of energy a body can absorb, was performed in duplicate to compare measured energy density with calculated energy density. The measured energy density closely approximated calculated energy density (Table 1), and the latter was used in the conversion of food intake to caloric intake.

Test meal

To analyze the difference in GI between the LGI *vs.* HGI diet, a subset of mice received 1 wk before the start

of the intervention a single test meal after an overnight fast, and blood glucose responses were assessed and compared to an RGI diet with only glucose as carbohydrate, being otherwise identical (Table 1). Food intake was similar between the 3 groups (data not shown). The GI was significantly lower for the LGI *vs.* HGI diet, the latter resembling the RGI diet (Supplemental Fig. 1). Furthermore, the peak time point of plasma glucose levels for each dietary group shifted from 75 min with the LGI diet to 60 min with the HGI and 45 min with RGI diet. Altogether, the composition of the GI diets resulted in a significant GI difference between the 2 diets.

Intervention study

During the 13 wk intervention study, several measurements were performed periodically, in addition to the weekly assessment of body weight and food intake, as shown schematically in Fig. 1; subgroups are described in more detail in the Animal Study and Diets section of Materials and Methods.

The first observable differences between the 2 GI groups in body weight appeared at wk 9 (Fig. 2A) and became significantly different at wk 13: the HGI mice gained on average 7.3 ± 0.4 g, whereas the LGI mice

Figure 2. Body weight and food intake. A) Body weights were measured weekly and showed only at wk 13 a significant increase by the HGI (triangles) *vs.* LGI (squares) diet. ****P* < 0.001; repeated measurements mixed-model ANOVA. B) Average daily food intake was estimated by dividing weekly consumption by 7 and did not differ significantly. Arrows indicate weeks in which GTTs, ITTs, and blood sampling in subgroups were performed. Data are shown as means $\pm$ SE (*n* = 50).

had a significantly lower weight increase of 5.8 ± 0.2 g. Food intake did not differ between the 2 GI groups throughout the study (Fig. 2B), resulting in an average total energy intake of 1350 ± 20 kcal for the HGI mice and 1355 ± 20 kcal for the LGI mice.

Despite the fact that the LGI mice had an equal energy intake, their body weights were reduced, and at necropsy absolute and relative epididymal WAT weight was significantly decreased compared to the HGI mice; this same trend holds for visceral and subcutaneous WAT (Table 2). Other organs investigated, such as heart, and lungs as nonmetabolic tissue, were not different (Table 2), as was absolute liver weight (Table 2). Thus, the body composition is altered because of a nutritional GI difference. Furthermore, hepatic lipid content was significantly increased in the HGI group (Table 2), supporting previously reported data (14).

We next investigated whether the difference in adipose tissue mass is reflected in secreted serum adipokines. Of these, the inflammation markers MCP-1, TNF- α , and IL-6 showed nondetectable levels. An insulin challenge (see below) changed serum adipokine levels rapidly (data not shown), and we therefore focus on the data of the non-insulin-stimulated 40 mice/dietary group. Leptin was significantly lower in the LGI group, as was resistin (Fig. 3A, B), whereas PAI-1 serum levels were not different (Fig. 3C).

Furthermore, fasting serum FFA and insulin levels were significantly lower in the LGI group (Fig. 3D, G). Similarly, fasting blood glucose levels were lower in the LGI group, although not significantly (Fig. 3E). Hyperinsulinemia, hyperleptinemia, and higher FFA levels are hallmarks for a physiological insulin-resistant state, which were all observed in the HGI dietary group. The insulin resistance index HOMA-IR showed a significantly reduced insulin resistance in the LGI group (Fig. 3F). At necropsy, all changes in serum parameters (Fig.

3A–E, G) were also observed significantly in the much smaller subgroup of nonchallenged animals (Fig. 4).

Above, the focus is on the differences induced by the 2 GI diets. Comparison of these data with those obtained using the $t = 0$ group show incremental serum levels for all 3 adipokines (leptin, resistin, PAI-1), fasting insulin and glucose levels, and HOMA index: lowest levels in the $t = 0$ group, intermediate levels in the LGI group, and highest levels in the HGI group (Fig. 3). Levels in the LGI and HGI groups were significantly elevated compared to $t = 0$, whereas in the LGI group, leptin, insulin, and HOMA index were significantly diminished compared to the HGI group. This suggests that both diets gradually induce insulin resistance because of their high fat content, although age effects cannot be completely excluded. However, the LGI diet attenuates the observed insulin-resistant phenotype compared to the HGI dietary group independent of age.

Time course of appearance of insulin resistance

To gain insight into the development and delay in progression of insulin resistance, we performed longitudinal analyses in subgroups. Whole-body glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity were tested using GTTs and ITTs in 3 wk interval periods. The GTTs showed significantly increased glucose tolerance for the LGI *vs.* HGI group from wk 2 onwards (Fig. 5), while body weights were similar (Fig. 2A). When iAUC data were adjusted for the difference at $t = 0$, the LGI group glucose tolerance remained significantly increased at 5 and 8 wk (data not shown). Furthermore, the ITT results in wk 5 and 8 also showed small but significant decreases in blood glucose levels in the LGI group (Supplemental Fig. 2).

FFA levels were lower from wk 2 onwards in the

TABLE 2. Body and tissue weight

Measure	SI unit	$t = 0$	$t = 13$	
			LGI	HGI
Body weight	g	25.75 ± 0.50	$31.20 \pm 0.40^{*,\ddagger}$	$32.93 \pm 0.50^*$
WAT, epididymal	mg	317 ± 40	$593 \pm 32^{+,\ddagger}$	$878 \pm 55^*$
	%	1.2 ± 0.1	$1.9 \pm 0.1^{+,\ddagger}$	$2.6 \pm 0.1^*$
WAT, visceral	mg	129 ± 12	$271 \pm 29^+$	$298 \pm 18^+$
	%	0.5 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.1	$0.9 \pm 0.0^*$
WAT, subcutaneous	mg	136 ± 43	103 ± 20	143 ± 15
	%	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.0
Liver	mg	1044 ± 33	$1169 \pm 17^+$	$1155 \pm 21^+$
	%	4.1 ± 0.1	$3.8 \pm 0.1^{+,\ddagger}$	$3.5 \pm 0.0^*$
Hepatic triglyceride content ^a	g/100 g tissue	2.7 ± 0.4	$4.3 \pm 0.9^{\S}$	$9.7 \pm 2.1^+$
Heart	mg	179 ± 9	171 ± 3	214 ± 33
	%	0.7 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.1
Lung	mg	166 ± 9	150 ± 4	160 ± 24
	%	0.6 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.1

Data shown as means $\pm$ SE. Statistical significance was analyzed using 1-way ANOVA and Bonferroni *post hoc* tests. $^*P < 0.001$, $^+P < 0.05$ *vs.* $t = 0$ group ($n=10$). $^{\ddagger}P < 0.001$, $^{\S}P < 0.05$ *vs.* HGI ($n=50$). Relative weight (%) = organ weight (g)/BW (g). ^aDetermined in 10 mice/dietary group.

Figure 3. Adipokine, glucose, insulin, and FFA levels. A–C) Adipokine serum levels [leptin (A), resistin (B), PAI-1 (C)] observed at necropsy at $t = 0$ (hatched bars, $n=10$) and at $t = 13$ wk for those mice ($n=40$) not receiving an insulin injection before necropsy (LGI group, white bars; HGI group, black bars). D–G) Serum insulin (D), blood glucose (E), insulin resistance as estimated by HOMA-IR (F), and serum FFA levels (G). For FFA levels, levels after insulin injection 30 min before necropsy also are shown, as indicated. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$; statistical analysis per analyte (1-way ANOVA, Bonferroni *post hoc* tests).

ropsey (LGI group, white bars; HGI group, black bars). D–G) Serum insulin (D), blood glucose (E), insulin resistance as estimated by HOMA-IR (F), and serum FFA levels (G). For FFA levels, levels after insulin injection 30 min before necropsy also are shown, as indicated. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$; statistical analysis per analyte (1-way ANOVA, Bonferroni *post hoc* tests).

nonchallenged LGI group; differences became significant in wk 8, lost significance in wk 11, but reappeared significantly thereafter at necropsy (Fig. 4G). It is known that a high-fat diet induces initially a reduction in FFA levels (*e.g.*, ref. 38), and it is therefore interesting to note that the FFA levels in the HGI group remained similar, whereas those in the LGI group indeed decreased in time. Adipokine profiling showed that leptin and resistin gradually increased less in the LGI *vs.* the HGI group (leptin from wk 8 onwards, resistin from wk 11 onwards), reaching significance for

both adipokines at necropsy, whereas PAI-1 levels were not different (Fig. 4A–C). Last, fasting insulin levels and HOMA-IR index reached significantly lower levels in wk 8, the difference diminished in wk 11, but at necropsy significantly lower levels were again observed (Fig. 4D, F). At necropsy, as discussed above, all significant differences observed between the nonchallenged subgroups were also observed in the GTT and ITT subgroups (Figs. 3, 4). Taken together, this shows higher insulin sensitivity in the LGI compared to HGI group.

The lower serum adipokine levels in the LGI group

Figure 4. Time-dependent regulation in nonchallenged animals ($n=10$). Blood samples ($n=10$) were withdrawn in wk 0–11 after a 5 h fast, and in wk 13 (necropsy) after a 2 h fast in the subset of nonchallenged HGI (triangles) *vs.* LGI (squares) dietary animals (Fig. 1, symbol B). Serum leptin (A), serum resistin (B), serum PAI-1 (C), serum insulin (D), blood glucose (E), insulin resistance as estimated by HOMA-IR (F), and serum FFA levels (G). * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$; statistical analysis per analyte (repeated measurements mixed model ANOVA, Bonferroni *post hoc* tests).

Figure 5. GTTs performed in a 3 wk time interval between HGI (triangles) and LGI (squares) diets, shown as means $\pm$ SE ($n=7-10$). * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$; repeated measurements mixed-model ANOVA and Bonferroni *post hoc* tests.

were investigated further by epididymal WAT gene expression analysis in the nonchallenged mice; adiponectin gene expression analysis was included to enhance our understanding of WAT physiology and its gene expression changes. Leptin mRNA levels were significantly lower in the LGI group, whereas resistin and adiponectin mRNA levels were nonsignificantly

higher (**Fig. 6A–C**). Gene expression and serum protein level correlations were significant for leptin (**Fig. 6D**), but not for resistin (**Fig. 6E**).

Finally, insulin sensitivity was also investigated in a subset of animals, using a single insulin injection 30 min before necropsy. As glucose levels dropped on average 4.2 mM in both dietary groups, lower glucose

Figure 6. Q-PCR and correlation graphs of adipokines. A–C) Gene expression results in epididymal WAT, as measured by Q-PCR (LGI group, white bars; HGI group, black bars); leptin (A), resistin (B), adiponectin (C). Data were normalized using the mean of reference genes *Rps15* and *Hprt1* and shown as means $\pm$ SE ($n=8-10$). * $P < 0.05$; Student's *t* test. D, E) Correlation graphs and analyses for leptin (D) and resistin (E) (HGI (triangles) *vs.* LGI (squares)).

between epididymal WAT mRNA levels and serum protein levels ($n=8$) in the HGI (triangles) *vs.* LGI (squares) dietary groups.

levels in the LGI group were preserved (4.8 ± 2.8 vs. 5.5 ± 1.2 mM in LGI and HGI groups, respectively). More important, FFA levels were significantly altered, especially in the LGI group: FFA levels dropped 39%, although this was only 25% in the HGI group (Fig. 3G). This may suggest a higher suppression of WAT lipolysis in the LGI group, implicating a better physiological response of adipose tissue to insulin.

DISCUSSION

We show that an LGI diet on a high-fat-diet background retards progression of insulin resistance, compared to an HGI diet. We base this not only on serum parameters such as insulin, glucose, and FFAs, but also on whole-body physiology, hepatic lipid accumulation, the acute response to insulin injection, adipose tissue gene expression, and longitudinal adipokine profiling. This retardation is solely caused by a difference in the type of carbohydrate of the diet, supporting the belief that a nutritional approach based on GI might provide a valuable added tool in the fight against insulin resistance.

During the progression of insulin resistance development, we observed several interesting aspects. First, after 5 wk intervention, we observed no differences between the 2 dietary groups, except by GTTs that show significantly increased glucose tolerance in the LGI group. It remains to be seen whether known (*i.e.*, visfatin or adiponectin; ref. 20) or unknown serum parameters can be used as an easily accessible serum biomarker correlating with the observed difference in glucose sensitivity.

Second, after 8 wk, most parameters except resistin are lower in the LGI group, and another 3 wk later, resistin also shows lower levels in the LGI group, which indicates that resistin has a slower response in time.

Third, at wk 11 these parameters are all, except insulin, lower in the LGI group, while at the same time the GTT and ITT groups suggest a reduced difference correlating with the fasting serum insulin levels. Reduced differences were also observed in other GI studies to some extent in rats (10) and clearly in humans (39). Of note, our observations of diminished differences are based on several distinct analyses in subgroups (GTTs, ITTs, and nonchallenged mice), which were treated somewhat differently, thus supporting the biological relevance. We therefore hypothesize that the apparent reduced differences may be the result of the animals adopting a new metabolic set point that may involve differences in adipose mass and function. However, after 13 wk at necropsy, acute insulin effects—improved antilipolytic effect of insulin in the LGI group—and all serum parameters analyzed suggest a higher insulin-sensitive phenotype in the LGI group, which is supported by the lower degree of insulin resistance as estimated by the HOMA index. It may be speculated that extension of the GI intervention study might again lead to clear differences in glucose toler-

ance and insulin sensitivity. Indeed, others showed after a 25 wk murine GI intervention a significantly lower percentage body fat and nonfasted plasma insulin and TG levels in the LGI group, while body weights and blood glucose levels were similar. However, physiological GTTs or ITTs were not performed (14).

Supporting the idea of an adipose-specific set point is the fact that at necropsy indistinguishable blood glucose levels between the dietary groups were observed, even after an acute insulin challenge. This suggests that insulin-stimulated glucose uptake primarily in muscle (40) and hepatic inhibition of gluconeogenesis appear to be metabolically unchanged. The latter is reduced by elevated FFA levels (41), as observed in the HGI group. This clearly warrants further longitudinal investigations on effects of GI on metabolic regulation of WAT, muscle, and liver tissue simultaneously.

Serum protein levels for leptin correlate with adipose tissue gene expression. However, the decreased resistin serum levels do not correlate with the observed slight increase in WAT resistin mRNA levels in the LGI group. In agreement, others observed that murine serum resistin levels, but not WAT resistin mRNA expression levels, are correlated with body weight (42), which suggests resistin serum levels as being more physiologically relevant.

Physiologically relevant reduced serum leptin levels, as observed in the LGI group, reflect lower adipose tissue weight (21), correlating with a healthier phenotype. Likewise, the LGI group showed lower serum resistin levels, implying a healthier phenotype, although this seems regulated mainly outside adipose tissue and may involve the liver. Lower leptin levels were also observed after 7 or 10 wk intervention in the LGI groups of rodents (10, 15) and after 8 d in humans (43) but disagree with findings in rats after 3 and 12 wk (44). Increased WAT adiponectin mRNA levels correlate positively with elevated serum levels both in low-fat- and high-fat-fed mice (45), which suggests that the LGI group most likely will have beneficially higher adiponectin serum levels.

The detailed analyses of metabolic parameters in 3 subgroups showed high similarity between these subgroups for whole-body physiology, that is, FFA levels, adipokine profiling, insulin levels, and in general blood glucose levels. Of interest, the significant lower levels of resistin in the nonchallenged LGI group at necropsy, which was not observed in the other 2 metabolically stressed subgroups, might indicate that metabolic tests like GTTs and ITTs performed during a nutritional intervention may have an impact on physiological performance and outcome, especially in those nutritional studies where small physiological changes are expected.

The differences in the composition of diets, in particular the content and type of macronutrient, will affect the outcome of GI studies. Most, if not all, rodent GI studies are based on diets having a low fat content (<15 kcal% fat) resembling the fat content of chow (9, 10, 14, 15, 46, 47), whereas human GI studies vary from <6 kcal% of fat (29) to a high-fat diet containing 40

kcal% of fat (39). Clearly the fat content and hence the caloric density of the diet has a strong influence on the development of obesity, insulin resistance, and diabetes, and it is difficult to imagine that this will not influence the modulating effect of the type of carbohydrate used. As the amount and type of protein is generally kept constant, the carbohydrate fraction will vary inversely with the fat content. As a consequence, the amount of starch, besides the type of starch, and the GI of the diets will be very dissimilar, leading to possible differences in outcome. Furthermore, the type of fat that is used may have important effects on the results observed, as different types of fat show different effects on glucose tolerance and insulin resistance (48–51). Nutritional studies in rodent models provide the opportunity to account for all of these parameters, even in long-term studies.

In conclusion, our results show that LGI diets attenuated the high-fat-diet-induced insulin resistance. Comparative investigation of time points 8 and 11 wk will allow insight into the likely adaptations leading to diminished differences in insulin resistance, which may result in new leads to arrest insulin resistance development, but more importantly, the results obtained will improve evaluation of human studies and may provide the basis for human applications.

We thank everyone from the animal facility involved in this study, especially Judith Hulsman and Rene Bakker. Shohreh Keshtkar of Human Nutrition and Sven Alferink of Animal Nutrition, Wageningen University, and members of RIKILT, Food Bioactives group, especially Marjolein Reinders, are thanked for their helpful contributions.

REFERENCES

- James, P. T. (2004) Obesity: the worldwide epidemic. *Clin. Dermatol.* **22**, 276–280
- Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group, Gerstein, H. C., Miller, M. E., Byington, R. P., Goff, D. C., Jr., Bigger, J. T., Buse, J. B., Cushman, W. C., Genuth, S., Ismail-Beigi, F., Grimm, R. H., Jr., Probstfield, J. L., Simons-Morton, D. G., and Friedewald, W. T. (2008) Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **358**, 2545–2559
- Jenkins, D. J., Wolever, T. M., Taylor, R. H., Barker, H., Fielden, H., Baldwin, J. M., Bowling, A. C., Newman, H. C., Jenkins, A. L., and Goff, D. V. (1981) Glycemic index of foods: a physiological basis for carbohydrate exchange. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **34**, 362–366
- Brand-Miller, J. C., Petocz, P., and Colagiuri, S. (2003) Meta-analysis of low-glycemic index diets in the management of diabetes: response to Franz. *Diabetes Care* **26**, 3363–3364
- Ludwig, D. S. (2002) The glycemic index: physiological mechanisms relating to obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. *JAMA* **287**, 2414–2423
- Ludwig, D. S. (2007) Clinical update: the low-glycaemic-index diet. *Lancet* **369**, 890–892
- Jenkins, D. J., Wolever, T. M., Leeds, A. R., Gassull, M. A., Haisman, P., Dilawari, J., Goff, D. V., Metz, G. L., and Alberti, K. G. (1978) Dietary fibres, fibre analogues, and glucose tolerance: importance of viscosity. *Br. Med. J.* **1**, 1392–1394
- Kabir, M., Rizkalla, S. W., Champ, M., Luo, J., Boillot, J., Bruzzo, F., and Slama, G. (1998) Dietary amylose-amylopectin starch content affects glucose and lipid metabolism in adipocytes of normal and diabetic rats. *J. Nutr.* **128**, 35–43
- Pawlak, D. B., Bryson, J. M., Denyer, G. S., and Brand-Miller, J. C. (2001) High glycemic index starch promotes hypersecretion of insulin and higher body fat in rats without affecting insulin sensitivity. *J. Nutr.* **131**, 99–104
- Pawlak, D. B., Kushner, J. A., and Ludwig, D. S. (2004) Effects of dietary glycaemic index on adiposity, glucose homeostasis, and plasma lipids in animals. *Lancet* **364**, 778–785
- Byrnes, S. E., Miller, J. C., and Denyer, G. S. (1995) Amylopectin starch promotes the development of insulin resistance in rats. *J. Nutr.* **125**, 1430–1437
- Higgins, J. A., Brand Miller, J. C., and Denyer, G. S. (1996) Development of insulin resistance in the rat is dependent on the rate of glucose absorption from the diet. *J. Nutr.* **126**, 596–602
- Kim, J. K., Michael, M. D., Previs, S. F., Peroni, O. D., Mauvais-Jarvis, F., Neschen, S., Kahn, B. B., Kahn, C. R., and Shulman, G. I. (2000) Redistribution of substrates to adipose tissue promotes obesity in mice with selective insulin resistance in muscle. *J. Clin. Invest.* **105**, 1791–1797
- Scribner, K. B., Pawlak, D. B., and Ludwig, D. S. (2007) Hepatic steatosis and increased adiposity in mice consuming rapidly vs. slowly absorbed carbohydrate. *Obesity* **15**, 2190–2199
- Walker, C. G., Bryson, J. M., Phuyal, J. L., and Caterson, I. D. (2002) Dietary modulation of circulating leptin levels: site-specific changes in fat deposition and ob mRNA expression. *Horm. Metab. Res.* **34**, 176–181
- Taketani, Y., Shuto, E., Arai, H., Nishida, Y., Tanaka, R., Uebanso, T., Yamamoto, H., Yamanaka-Okumura, H., and Takeda, E. (2007) Advantage of a low glycemic index and low phosphate diet on diabetic nephropathy and aging-related diseases. *J. Med. Invest.* **54**, 359–365
- Keijer, J., and van Schothorst, E. M. (2008) Adipose tissue failure and mitochondria as a possible target for improvement by bioactive food components. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* **19**, 4–10
- Rosen, E. D., and Spiegelman, B. M. (2006) Adipocytes as regulators of energy balance and glucose homeostasis. *Nature* **444**, 847–853
- Waki, H., and Tontonoz, P. (2007) Endocrine functions of adipose tissue. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.* **2**, 31–56
- Wang, P., Mariman, E., Renes, J., and Keijer, J. (2008) The secretory function of adipocytes in the physiology of white adipose tissue. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **216**, 3–13
- Friedman, J. M., and Halaas, J. L. (1998) Leptin and the regulation of body weight in mammals. *Nature* **395**, 763–770
- Arita, Y., Kihara, S., Ouchi, N., Takahashi, M., Maeda, K., Miyagawa, J., Hotta, K., Shimomura, I., Nakamura, T., Miyaoka, K., Kuriyama, H., Nishida, M., Yamashita, S., Okubo, K., Matsubara, K., Muraguchi, M., Ohmoto, Y., Funahashi, T., and Matsuzawa, Y. (1999) Paradoxical decrease of an adipose-specific protein, adiponectin, in obesity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **257**, 79–83
- Browning, L. M., and Jebb, S. A. (2006) Nutritional influences on inflammation and type 2 diabetes risk. *Diabetes Technol. Ther.* **8**, 45–54
- Yamauchi, T., Kamon, J., Minokoshi, Y., Ito, Y., Waki, H., Uchida, S., Yamashita, S., Noda, M., Kita, S., Ueki, K., Eto, K., Akanuma, Y., Froguel, P., Foufelle, F., Ferre, P., Carling, D., Kimura, S., Nagai, R., Kahn, B. B., and Kadowaki, T. (2002) Adiponectin stimulates glucose utilization and fatty-acid oxidation by activating AMP-activated protein kinase. *Nat. Med.* **8**, 1288–1295
- Lazar, M. A. (2007) Resistin- and obesity-associated metabolic diseases. *Horm. Metab. Res.* **39**, 710–716
- Trayhurn, P., and Beattie, J. H. (2001) Physiological role of adipose tissue: white adipose tissue as an endocrine and secretory organ. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **60**, 329–339
- Pravenec, M., Kazdova, L., Landa, V., Zidek, V., Mlejnek, P., Jansa, P., Wang, J., Qi, N., and Kurtz, T. W. (2003) Transgenic and recombinant resistin impair skeletal muscle glucose metabolism in the spontaneously hypertensive rat. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 45209–45215
- Banerjee, R. R., Rangwala, S. M., Shapiro, J. S., Rich, A. S., Rhoades, B., Qi, Y., Wang, J., Rajala, M. W., Pocai, A., Scherer, P. E., Stepan, C. M., Ahima, R. S., Obici, S., Rossetti, L., and Lazar, M. A. (2004) Regulation of fasted blood glucose by resistin. *Science* **303**, 1195–1198
- Jensen, L., Sloth, B., Krog-Mikkelsen, I., Flint, A., Raben, A., Tholstrup, T., Brunner, N., and Astrup, A. (2008) A low-glycemic-index diet reduces plasma plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 activity, but not tissue inhibitor of proteinases-1 or

- plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 protein, in overweight women. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **87**, 97–105
30. Krijl, C. F. K., Knapp, A. G. A. C., van Raaij, J. M. A., Busch, M. C. M., Havelaar, A. H., Kramers, P. G. N., Kromhout, D., van Leeuwen, F. X. R., van Leent-Loenen, H. M. J. A., Ocke, M. C., and Verkley, H. (2004) *Our Food, Our Health: Healthy Diet and Safe Food in the Netherlands*, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands
31. Wright, J. D. (2003) Dietary intake of ten key nutrients for public health, United States: 1999–2000. In *Advance Data from Vital and Health Statistics*, Vol. 334, National Center for Health Statistics, Hyattsville, MD, USA
32. Petro, A. E., Cotter, J., Cooper, D. A., Peters, J. C., Surwit, S. J., and Surwit, R. S. (2004) Fat, carbohydrate, and calories in the development of diabetes and obesity in the C57BL/6J mouse. *Metabolism* **53**, 454–457
33. Stienstra, R., Mandard, S., Patsouris, D., Maass, C., Kersten, S., and Muller, M. (2007) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha protects against obesity-induced hepatic inflammation. *Endocrinology* **148**, 2753–2763
34. Van Schothorst, E. M., Franssen-van Hal, N., Schaap, M. M., Pennings, J., Hoebee, B., and Keijer, J. (2005) Adipose gene expression patterns of weight gain suggest counteracting steroid hormone synthesis. *Obes. Res.* **13**, 1031–1041
35. De Boer, V. C., van Schothorst, E. M., Dihal, A. A., van der Woude, H., Arts, I. C., Rietjens, I. M., Hollman, P. C., and Keijer, J. (2006) Chronic quercetin exposure affects fatty acid catabolism in rat lung. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **63**, 2847–2858
36. Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., and Speleman, F. (2002) Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* **3**, research0034.1-0034.11
37. Wallace, T. M., Levy, J. C., and Matthews, D. R. (2004) Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes Care* **27**, 1487–1495
38. Muurling, M., Mensink, R. P., Pijl, H., Romijn, J. A., Havekes, L. M., and Voshol, P. J. (2003) A fish oil diet does not reverse insulin resistance despite decreased adipose tissue TNF- α protein concentration in ApoE-3*Leiden mice. *J. Nutr.* **133**, 3350–3355
39. Kiens, B., and Richter, E. A. (1996) Types of carbohydrate in an ordinary diet affect insulin action and muscle substrates in humans. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **63**, 47–53
40. DeFronzo, R. A., Jacot, E., Jequier, E., Maeder, E., Wahren, J., and Felber, J. P. (1981) The effect of insulin on the disposal of intravenous glucose. Results from indirect calorimetry and hepatic and femoral venous catheterization. *Diabetes* **30**, 1000–1007
41. Boden, G. (1997) Role of fatty acids in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance and NIDDM. *Diabetes* **46**, 3–10
42. Lee, J. H., Bullen, J. W., Jr., Stoyneva, V. L., and Mantzoros, C. S. (2005) Circulating resistin in lean, obese, and insulin-resistant mouse models: lack of association with insulinemia and glycemia. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **288**, E625–E632
43. Herrmann, T. S., Bean, M. L., Black, T. M., Wang, P., and Coleman, R. A. (2001) High glycemic index carbohydrate diet alters the diurnal rhythm of leptin but not insulin concentrations. *Exp. Biol. Med.* **226**, 1037–1044
44. Kabir, M., Guerre-Millo, M., Laromiguiere, M., Slama, G., and Rizkalla, S. W. (2000) Negative regulation of leptin by chronic high-glycemic index starch diet. *Metabolism* **49**, 764–769
45. Bullen, J. W., Jr., Blucher, S., Kelesidis, T., and Mantzoros, C. S. (2007) Regulation of adiponectin and its receptors in response to development of diet-induced obesity in mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **292**, E1079–E1086
46. Lerer-Metzger, M., Rizkalla, S. W., Luo, J., Champ, M., Kabir, M., Bruzzo, F., Bornet, F., and Slama, G. (1996) Effects of long-term low-glycaemic index starchy food on plasma glucose and lipid concentrations and adipose tissue cellularity in normal and diabetic rats. *Br. J. Nutr.* **75**, 723–732
47. Kabir, M., Rizkalla, S. W., Quignard-Boulange, A., Guerre-Millo, M., Boillot, J., Ardouin, B., Luo, J., and Slama, G. (1998) A high glycemic index starch diet affects lipid storage-related enzymes in normal and to a lesser extent in diabetic rats. *J. Nutr.* **128**, 1878–1883
48. Rocca, A. S., LaGreca, J., Kalitsky, J., and Brubaker, P. L. (2001) Monounsaturated fatty acid diets improve glycemic tolerance through increased secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1. *Endocrinology* **142**, 1148–1155
49. Anderwald, C., Brunmair, B., Stadlbauer, K., Krebs, M., Fornsinn, C., and Roden, M. (2007) Effects of free fatty acids on carbohydrate metabolism and insulin signalling in perfused rat liver. *Eur. J. Clin. Invest.* **37**, 774–782
50. Savage, D. B., Petersen, K. F., and Shulman, G. I. (2007) Disordered lipid metabolism and the pathogenesis of insulin resistance. *Physiol. Rev.* **87**, 507–520
51. Lockridge, J. B., Sailors, M. L., Durgan, D. J., Egbajimi, O., Jeong, W. J., Bray, M. S., Stanley, W. C., and Young, M. E. (2008) Bioinformatic profiling of the transcriptional response of adult rat cardiomyocytes to distinct fatty acids. *J. Lipid Res.* **49**, 1395–1408

Received for publication July 22, 2008.
Accepted for publication November 6, 2008.