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A B S T R A C T

Insulin- and contraction-induced GLUT4 and fatty acid (FA) transporter translocation may share common trafficking mechanisms. Our objective was to examine the effects of partial Munc18c ablation on muscle glucose and FA transport, FA oxidation, GLUT4 and FA transporter (FAT/CD36, FABPpm, FATP1, FATP4) trafficking to the sarcolemma, and FAT/CD36 to mitochondria. In Munc18c−/− mice, insulin-stimulated glucose transport and GLUT4 sarcolemmal appearance were impaired, but were unaffected by contraction. Insulin- and contraction-stimulated FA transport, sarcolemmal FA transporter appearance, and contraction-mediated mitochondrial FAT/CD36 were increased normally in Munc18c−/− mice. Hence, Munc18c provides stimulus-specific regulation of GLUT4 trafficking, but not FA transporter trafficking.

© 2012 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The clearance of glucose and long chain fatty acids (FA) from the circulation are important regulatory processes for maintaining metabolic homeostasis. Transport of glucose and FA into the cell occur via highly regulated protein-mediated mechanisms involving glucose transporter GLUT4 (cf. [1,2]) and selected FA transporters, including fatty acid translocase (FAT/CD36), plasma membrane associated fatty acid binding protein (FABPpm), and the family of fatty acid transporter proteins (FATP 1–6) (cf. [3]). During muscle contraction FAT/CD36 is also translocated to the mitochondria, contributing to upregulating mitochondrial FA oxidation (cf. [3]).

The dysregulation of both glucose and FA transport, and the trafficking of their transport proteins, are implicated in skeletal muscle insulin resistance (cf. [3]). However, the signaling pathways involved in the translocation of FA transporters to the plasma membrane (PM) are largely unknown, although in muscle FAT/CD36 may share some similarities to the insulin- and contraction-mediated signaling cascades of GLUT4 (cf. [3,4]). However, in cardiac cells, independent signaling mechanisms do exist for GLUT4 and FAT/CD36 [5,6]. Whether GLUT4 and FA transporter trafficking to the PM share similar mechanisms remains to be determined.

The subcellular trafficking of GLUT4 to the PM is far better characterized than for FA transporters. GLUT4 trafficking is a vesicle-mediated process following the soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor-attachment protein receptor (SNARE) hypothesis where vSNARE and tSNARE vesicles associate and fuse with the PM (cf. [7]). 
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FA, fatty acid; FAT/CD36, fatty acid translocase; GLUT4, glucose transporter; FABPpm, plasma membrane associated fatty acid binding protein; FATP, fatty acid transport protein; PM, plasma membrane; AMPK, 5’AMP-activated protein kinase; SNARE, soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor-attachment protein receptor; SS, subsarcolemmal mitochondria; IMF, intermyofibrillar mitochondria
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syntxin4 [10]. In skeletal muscle, partial ablution of Munc18c in heterozygous knockout mice (Munc18c+/−) induces insulin resistance due to impaired GLUT4 translocation [11].

Munc18c may however only be involved in insulin-stimulated GLUT4 trafficking, since GLUT4 trafficking in insulin resistant muscle is impaired while in the same muscles contraction-stimulated GLUT4 trafficking remains normal [12–14]. Conversely, in insulin resistant muscle, sarcolemmal FAT/CD36, but not FABPpm, is upregulated. However, insulin-, and contraction-stimulated FAT/CD36, but not FABPpm, translocations are impaired (cf. [3]). Collectively, it appears that there may be (i) different exocytosis mechanisms for GLUT4 and FA transporters, and (ii) depending on the stimulus provided, different proteins may be required for the trafficking of GLUT4 and/or FA transporters to the PM. Such a system would provide for selective regulation of GLUT4 and/or FA transporter trafficking to the PM.

Our aim was to examine the effects of Munc18c on insulin-, and contraction-stimulated glucose and FA transport. We hypothesized that Munc18c differentially affects (a) insulin- and contraction-stimulated glucose and FA transport and (b) the translocation of GLUT4 and FA transporters to the PM, as well as (c) contraction-induced mitochondrial FA oxidation and (d) FAT/CD36 trafficking to mitochondria [15,16].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Due to an embryonically lethal homozygous genotype, we used male, 8–10 week old heterozygous Munc18c−/− mice [11] (22.7 ± 3.0 g) bred on site with C57/BL6 wildtype (WT) mice (24.1 ± 3.1 g), and kept at 22 °C, 40% humidity, 12:12-h light–dark cycle, and given chow and water ad libitum. Experiments were performed on anesthetized mice (sodium pentobarbital 6 mg/100 g body wt ip; MTC Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, ON, Canada) using principles of laboratory animal care (National Institutes of Health publication No. 85-23, revised 1985; http://grants1.nih.gov/grants/olaw/references/phspol.htm). The Munc18c−/− genotype was confirmed using standard DNA isolation and PCR methods (Extract-N-Amp, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), as we have reported previously [11].

2.2. Experimental treatments

Fasted (12 h) WT and Munc18c−/− animals (N = 4–6/experiment) were designated as control, or treated with (a) insulin (Humulin, 1.0 U/kg body wt, ip, 15 min; Eli Lilly, Toronto, ON, Canada), or (b) muscle contraction (sciatic nerve stimulation: 3 × 5 min, 2 min rest between stimulations, 100 Hz/3 s, 5 V, train 200 ms, pulse 10 ms) [17,18]. Homogenates, giant sarcolemmal vesicles (GSV) and mitochondria were prepared from hindlimb muscles [17–19]. Intraperitoneal glucose (1.0 g/kg body wt) and insulin (1.0 U/kg body wt) tolerance tests were determined in separate animals. Tail vein glucose was determined using a glucose meter (Ascensia Elite XL, Bayer Inc., Toronto, ON, Canada).

2.3. Giant sarcolemmal vesicles and substrate transport

GSV from hindlimb muscles were used to determine FA and glucose transport and the presence of PM transport proteins, as described previously [18,19].

2.4. Mitochondrial isolation and palmitate oxidation

After muscle contraction, hindlimb muscles were harvested for isolation of subsarcolemmal (SS) and intermyofibrillar (IMF) mitochondria, for determination of FA oxidation, using standard procedures [15–17]. Mitochondrial recovery is 26% [20] and they are highly purified [21].

2.5. Western blotting

Protein levels were measured in GSV, muscle homogenate, and mitochondria using Western blotting [17,18]. Antibodies against Munc18c and syntxin4 (each 1:1000) were generated in-house [11]. Antibodies against GLUT4 (1:4000) (Millipore, Temecula, CA), FAT/CD36, FAT1, FAT4 (each 1:500) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA [22]), AMPK and Akt2, and phosphorylated AMPKα Thr172, Akt Thr308, and Akt Ser473 (each 1:1000) (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) were gifts. Secondary antibodies, (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), were used as follows: Munc18c, syntxin4, GLUT4, FABPpm and MCT1 (1:3000 anti-rabbit), FAT/CD36 (1:5000 anti-mouse), FAT1 (1:5000 anti-rabbit), FAT4 (1:5000 anti-goat), and all total and phosphorylated Akt and AMPK (1:1000 anti-rabbit). Blots were analyzed with the ChemiGenius2 Bioimaging and GeneTools software (SynGene, Cambridge, UK) [17,18]. Ponceau-S staining was used to ensure protein loading, as well as COXIV (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON; 1:30000 dilution) for mitochondria.

2.6. Statistics

Data were analyzed using analysis of variance and Fisher’s LSD post hoc test when appropriate. All data are reported as mean ± sem.

3. Results

A 50% reduction in Munc18c protein (Fig. 1), along with a comparable reduction in mRNA (data not shown, [11,23]) occurred in skeletal muscle of Munc18c−/− animals. Syntaxin4 and transport proteins (GLUT4, FAT/CD36, FABPpm, FATP1, FATP4) remained unaltered (Fig. 1A). Basal blood glucose concentrations were comparable in mice (WT (6.1 mM ± 1.1: Munc18c−/− 6.3 ± 1.0 mM). Munc18c−/− mice exhibited insulin resistance and glucose intolerance (Fig. 1 B and C).

3.1. Knockdown of Munc18c does not affect insulin-, or contraction-mediated signal transduction

Insulin increased the phosphorylation of Akt-Ser473 (+150%) and Akt-Thr308 (+220%) comparably in WT and Munc18c−/− mice (Fig. 2A and B), as reported previously [11]. For unknown reasons, muscle contraction did not alter Akt phosphorylation (Fig. 2) as observed elsewhere [24], possibly owing to differences in the contractile stimulus used (Fig. 2). Total Akt2 was comparable in WT and Munc18c−/− mice (Fig. 2C).

Muscle contraction, not insulin, increased AMPKα Thr172 phosphorylation (+400%) (Fig. 2D). Total AMPKα was comparable in WT and Munc18c−/− mice (Fig. 2E).

3.2. Knockdown of Munc18c reduces insulin-, but not contraction-mediated glucose transport and GLUT4 translocation

Basal glucose transport and PM GLUT4 were comparable between WT and Munc18c−/− mice (Fig. 3), whereas PM Munc18c was reduced 50% (Fig. 4A). In WT mice, insulin increased glucose transport (+133%) and PM GLUT4 (+60%) (Fig. 3), whereas in Munc18c−/− mice, insulin-stimulated glucose transport and GLUT4
appearance at the PM were impaired (Fig. 3). In WT mice, but not in Munc18c−/− mice, PM Munc18c was slightly increased (26%) by insulin. Syntaxin4 (Fig. 4) was not altered by insulin in either group (Fig. 4).

Contraction-induced increases in glucose transport (+130%) and PM GLUT4 (62%) were comparable in WT and Munc18c−/− mice (Fig. 3). Muscle contraction did not alter the PM Munc18c or syntaxin4 in either group (Fig. 4).

3.3. Knockdown of Munc18c does not affect insulin- or contraction-mediated FA transport or FA transporters translocation

Basal FA transport and PM FA transport proteins were comparable in WT and Munc18c−/− mice (Fig. 5). In both groups, insulin stimulated FA transport (+41% (Fig. 5A)) and FA transporters at the PM comparably (FAT/CD36 +82%; FATP1 +40%; FABPpm +39%; FATP4 +33%; (Fig. 5B–E)). Similar increases were also observed in both groups with muscle contraction (FA transport +40%; FAT/CD36 +84%; FATP1 +38%; FABPpm +43%; FATP4 +32%; (Fig. 5B–E)).

3.4. Knockdown of Munc18c does not affect contraction-stimulated FA oxidation or FAT/CD36 translocation to mitochondria

Only contraction-stimulated FA oxidation was examined in mitochondria (SS, IMF), as insulin does not alter mitochondrial FA oxidation directly. Neither basal nor contraction-stimulated FA oxidation (+40%, Fig. 6A) and FAT/CD36 (+63%, Fig. 6B) differed between WT and Munc18c−/− mice.

4. Discussion

We examined the role of Munc18c, on contraction-, and insulin-stimulated (i) glucose and FA transport, (ii) appearance of GLUT4 and FA transporters at the PM, and (iii) contraction-stimulated FA oxidation and FAT/CD36 appearance at mitochondria. We show that Munc18c is involved in insulin-stimulated, but not contraction-stimulated GLUT4 trafficking to the PM. A novel observation is that Munc18c is not required for the trafficking of FA transporters to the PM, or FAT/CD36 to mitochondria. We show that Munc18c is involved in insulin-stimulated, but not contraction-stimulated GLUT4 trafficking to the PM. A novel observation is that Munc18c is not required for the trafficking of FA transporters to the PM, or FAT/CD36 to mitochondria. Thus, (i) insulin-stimulated, but not contraction-stimulated, GLUT4 trafficking to the PM is Munc18c-dependent, whereas (ii) FA transporter trafficking to the PM, induced by insulin or contraction, is Munc18c-independent.

4.1. Insulin- and contraction-stimulated glucose uptake and PM GLUT4

Munc18c binds with syntaxin4, thereby converting syntaxin4 to an open conformational state, allowing interactions with other VAMP and SNAP trafficking proteins [8,10]. The binding between Munc18c and syntaxin4 is required for the docking and fusion of GLUT4 vesicles into the PM [25]. Thus, it is likely that a 50%
reduction in Munc18c protein in skeletal muscle, unlike in the heart [26], accounted for the impaired insulin-stimulated GLUT4 appearance at the PM, and glucose transport into muscle, as insulin-stimulated Akt phosphorylation and syntaxin4 protein levels were normal in the Munc18c−/− mice, as shown previously [11].

Contraction-induced trafficking of GLUT4 to the sarcolemma did not require Munc18c. Apparently, only syntaxin4 is required for muscle GLUT4 translocation [27]. The stimulus-specific trafficking of GLUT4 is presumably due to the presence of separate insulin- and contraction-sensitive endosomal GLUT4 storage vesicles [28,29].

Fig. 2. Effects of insulin (INS) and muscle contraction (CT) on the phosphorylation of Akt Ser473 (A), Akt Thr308 (B), total Akt2 (C), phosphorylated AMPKα Thr172 (D), and total AMPKα (E) in WT and Munc18c−/− mice. N = 4–6 mean ± sem. As noted in Section 2, Ponceau staining was used to confirm equal loading of proteins. *p < 0.05, insulin or contraction stimulation in WT and Munc18c−/− compared to basal phosphorylation.
4.2. Insulin- and contraction-stimulated PM Munc18c and syntaxin4

In muscle, Munc18c was also translocated to the PM by insulin, but not contraction. This parallels observations in insulin-stimulated adipocytes [30]. It remains unknown why insulin-stimulated translocation of Munc18c was not observed in the Munc18c+/− mice.

4.3. Insulin- and contraction-stimulated FA uptake and PM FA transporters

Reductions in Munc18c did not affect basal FA transport or expression of any FA transporters Although Munc18c+/− mice were insulin resistant and glucose intolerant, we did not observe upregulation of plasmalemmal FAT/CD36 under basal conditions, as was previously observed in more severe models of insulin resistance (obese Zucker rats, human obesity and type 2 diabetes [cf. [3]]). This suggests that in the Munc18c+/− model insulin resistance is not associated with a basal upregulation of plasmalemmal FAT/CD36.

Partial ablation of Munc18c did not affect FA transport, nor the FA transporter translocation to the PM, in either insulin- or contraction-stimulated muscle. Thus, while some components of insulin- and contraction-induced GLUT4 and FA transporter translocation to the PM appear to share similar signal transduction pathways (cf. [3]), the insulin-stimulated trafficking of FA transporters differs from GLUT4. Indirect evidence suggests that contraction-induced FA transporter and GLUT4 translocation to the PM require different trafficking proteins. In insulin resistant muscle, contraction-stimulated GLUT4 translocation is normal whereas contraction-stimulated FAT/CD36 translocation is not increased further beyond its upregulated, basal sarcolemmal levels (cf.[3]). Whether other components of the GLUT4 trafficking machinery are involved in directing FA transporters to the PM is unknown. In cultured cardiac myocytes, VAMP trafficking proteins are involved in the localization of FAT/CD36 to the PM, and distinct VAMP isoforms are specific for GLUT4 or FAT/CD36, and their translocation is stimulus dependent [31]. In addition, in cardiac muscle the Rab11 trafficking protein co-localizes in the same endosomal pools as FAT/CD36 [32] and may regulate endocytosis/recycling of this FA transporter in H9c2-hIR cells [33]. Thus, there is evidence suggesting the involvement of trafficking proteins other than Munc18c in the regulation of FA transporters. It is unlikely that GLUT4 and FA transporters reside in the same intracellular pools as shown by fractionation and immuno-isolation studies in cardiac tissue [32], and the presence of GLUT4- and FAT/CD36-specific
VAMP isoforms in cultured cardiac myocytes [31]. The negative correlation between PM levels of GLUT4 and FAT/CD36 in insulin resistant muscle suggests differential metabolic regulation between these transporters [34].

We did not examine the translocation of GLUT4, and possibly FA transporters, to the transverse tubules [35]. Preliminary studies indicate that this is more complex than it might appear, especially for the FA transporters (Bonen and Stefanyk, unpublished observations). The heterozygous knockout Munc18c model may also complicate our conclusion that Munc18c is not involved in FA transporter trafficking, as it is possible that less Munc18c is required for PM FA transporter translocation in comparison to GLUT4.
4.4. Mitochondrial FA oxidation and FA transporters

FAT/CD36 has been identified on mitochondria in human [16,20] and rodent muscle [15,36], and FAT/CD36 co-immunoprecipitates with CPT1 [37,38]. Muscle contraction is known to stimulate FA oxidation, but insulin does not directly affect muscle FA oxidation [39]. We confirm previous work [17,20] that contraction increases mitochondrial FA oxidation and induces FAT/CD36 translocation to mitochondria. This trafficking process is Munc18c-dependent. While the possible trafficking pathways of FAT/CD36 to the mitochondria are unknown, a novel isoform of VAMP1 has been associated with mitochondria in human epithelial cells suggesting the interesting possibility that mitochondria may also participate in vesicular trafficking [40].

4.5. Summary

Munc18c is required for insulin-stimulated, but not contraction-mediated trafficking of GLUT4 to the PM. Munc18c is not required for FA transporter trafficking to the PM or to mitochondria. It is possible that insulin-sensitive and/or contraction-sensitive pools of FA transporters are selectively regulated by specific, trafficking protein isoforms. In view of the known upregulation of plasmalemmal FAT/CD36 in insulin resistant muscle (cf. [3]), identification of their trafficking proteins is important, as these may be possible therapeutic targets.
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