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Abstract

Microarray analysis has become a widely available tool for the generation of gene expression data on a genomic scale. Since the studies with similar protocols are growing, it has become necessary to systematically revise the large body of literature to decipher the gene expression data. In this review, we analyzed and critically discussed the database presented from 14 published studies that showed the gene expression profile in heart failure (HF) using microarray as a primary tool. After comparing the diverse database from these studies, we explain the protein translational, matri-cellular, immunological and fibrosis-related mechanisms in HF. In addition to previously annotated genes, we analyzed two differentially expressed expressed sequence tags (ESTs) (KIAA0152 and Suppressor of GTwo allele of the suppressor of kinetochore protein-1, SGT1) in HF and showed how bio-informatic analysis of ESTs can lead to the identification of novel pathways active in HF. We have also discussed the new publicly accessible tools that link the gene expression data to gene ontogeny (GO) and functionality. Finally, we have systematically revised the chromosomal localization of the genes that are specifically up-regulated in HF. We have thus spotted chromosome 1, 2, 11 and 12 as the chromosomal hotspots of HF. This methodical approach will simplify the existing concepts on the evolution and progression of HF and lead us toward the development of newer diagnostic and therapeutic tools. Although modeled to HF, this approach should be of broader scientific interest to elaborate multiple genes and complex pathways.
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1. Introduction

The evolution of new methods that enable large-scale expression analyses, like serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE), in silico analysis of expressed sequence tag (EST) databases, cDNA and oligonucleotide microarrays allows researchers to investigate organ- or pathology-specific transcriptional profiles [1,2]. Understanding the genetic basis of complex cardiovascular diseases has been a challenging task for many years and this notion of complexity is being gradually expounded with the advent and use of microarray technology in human cardiovascular diseases [3,4].

Although, study of individual signaling pathways at the genetic level has provided significant insight into the development of heart failure (HF), approaches such as gene knock-out are limited by the interdependence of the cellular systems. A closer look on the functional genomics data shows a dynamic interaction within and across several cellular signaling and metabolic pathways. Therefore, with the exception of hypothesis-driven efforts to detect predictors, markers and modifiers of HF, the expression data should be more meaningful in the context of a detailed description of the conditions under which they are generated, with regard to the particular etiology and state of the failing hearts. Finally, the reported microarray data in HF are on varied platforms and experimental designs, thus making an integration of these data an error-prone exercise.

We believe that it is necessary to develop a new strategy to synthesize the microarray results obtained from diverse cases and models. Different arrays need to be systematically compared and most consistent observations defining the same or
similar biological phenomenon need to be translated to putative mechanisms contributory to the disease pathogenesis. Using the evidence gathered from such a cathartic approach, we reveal how the conventional method of reporting the gene array data are often ‘stripped’ of an adequate interpretation and how the information, which otherwise could be crucial to explain the mechanisms contributory to HF, is not discussed. To this end, we have revised 14 recent study reports pertaining to gene array analysis in HF [5–18]. ESTs constitute the essential tools for rapid gene discovery but are often omitted from the primary analyses. Therefore, we chose two differentially expressed ESTs in HF as model nucleotide probes in order to predict their molecular architecture and function [8,19].

Gene expression data can be analyzed on at least three levels of increasing complexity [20]. First, the level of single genes, where one seeks to establish whether each gene in isolation behaves differently in a control versus a treatment situation. The second level considers gene combinations, where clusters of genes are analyzed in terms of common functionalities, interactions, co-regulations and so forth. The third level attempts to infer the underlying regulatory regions and gene/protein networks that ultimately are responsible for the patterns observed [21–23]. Inherent to the large database and diverse nature of the expression profile, scientists often resort to an in silico approach for the data analysis. No matter at what level the information is drawn out of the gene chips, the most important step is adequate data interpretation where the précis made from all levels of data analysis is re-evaluated, summarized and integrated to biological pathways immediately relevant to a particular disease process.

2. Heterogeneity of the study selection criteria and array design

Although the microarray studies we revised are highly heterogeneous in terms of study subjects, etiology and stage of HF and data analysis and validation procedure, the investigators centered to a common research question, i.e. the profile of differentially expressed genes in failing compared to functionally preserved hearts (Table 1). The spotlight of the researcher mainly dictates the technological description and fulfillment of the pre-requisites of a microarray experiment. It is unlikely; however, that such a system would be effective or scalable. It is recommended that the microarray data need to be standardized based on minimum information about a microarray experiment (MIAME) criteria developed by the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Array Design</th>
<th>Gene Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Kittleson et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix U133A microarrays, ICM pts</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin heavy chain, MAPK-7, Mannose receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Steenen et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix HG-U95A array, 12,626 genes, DCM and ICM pts</td>
<td>ANF, BNP, CTGF, Collinson, Biglycan, Immunoglobulin, heavy chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Schroen et al.</td>
<td>Incyte Rat GEM2/3 cDNA library, 12,336 genes, Ren-2 rat model</td>
<td>Thrombospondin-1, Thrombospondin-2, Thrombospondin-3, Galectin-3, Collagen α1, Osteoactivin, Osteopontin, Fibronectin, Elongation factor-1, Lumican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td>Agilent Human 1 Catalogue Array, 12,814 genes, pre- and post LVAD pts</td>
<td>Natriuretic peptide precursor B, Cathepsin B, MAPK4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Steenen et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix HG-U95A array, 12,626 genes, DCM and ICM pts</td>
<td>ANF, BNP, CTGF, Collinson, Biglycan, Immunoglobulin, heavy chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Tan et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix Hu6800F1 GeneChip, 6606 genes, DCM pts</td>
<td>ANF, BNP, α-collagen type I, Lumican, Thrombospondin-4, CTGF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Blaxall et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix HuGeneChip, 6800 genes, Pre-and post LVAD pts</td>
<td>BNP, Collagenα, IL-8, Matrix metalloproteinaseα, Tumor necrosis factor-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Boheler et al.</td>
<td>Incyte (Human UniGem), 10,176 genes, DCM pts</td>
<td>Absolute increase: Lumican, MAPK23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Jin et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix Rat Genome Arrays, MI in rat models</td>
<td>ANP, BNP, Collagen I, Fibronectin, Biglycan, Thrombospondin-4, Lysyl oxidase, complement B/C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Barrans et al.</td>
<td>Incyte CardioChip, 10,848 genes, DCM pts</td>
<td>ANP, EST (T60005), Elongation factor-1, Collagen α1, Fibronectin, Natural killer cell enhancing factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Jin et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix Rat Genome Arrays, MI in rat models</td>
<td>ANP, BNP, Collagen I, Fibronectin, Biglycan, Thrombospondin-4, Lysyl oxidase, complement B/C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Barrans et al.</td>
<td>Incyte CardioChip, 10,368 genes, HCM Pts</td>
<td>ANP, BNP, Collagen I, Fibronectin, Biglycan, Thrombospondin-4, Lysyl oxidase, complement B/C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Schroen et al.</td>
<td>Incyte GEM2/3 cDNA library, 12,336 genes, DCM pts</td>
<td>ANP, BNP, Collagen I, Fibronectin, Biglycan, Immunoglobulin, heavy chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Yung et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix GeneChip HG-U133A, 22,283 genes, DCM pts</td>
<td>Collagen type XXI, Sarcoglycan, Cyclin G2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Blaxall et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix HuGeneChip, 6800 genes, Pre-and post LVAD pts</td>
<td>BNP, Collagenα, IL-8, Matrix metalloproteinaseα, Tumor necrosis factor-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Tan et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix Hu6800F1 GeneChip, 6606 genes, DCM pts</td>
<td>ANF, BNP, α-collagen type I, Lumican, Thrombospondin-4, CTGF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Barrans et al.</td>
<td>Incyte CardioChip, 10,368 genes, HCM Pts</td>
<td>ANP, BNP, Collagen I, Fibronectin, Biglycan, Thrombospondin-4, Lysyl oxidase, complement B/C4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Steenen et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix HG-U95A array, 12,626 genes, DCM and ICM pts</td>
<td>ANF, BNP, CTGF, Collinson, Biglycan, Immunoglobulin, heavy chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Schroen et al.</td>
<td>Incyte Rat GEM2/3 cDNA library, 12,336 genes, Ren-2 rat model</td>
<td>Thrombospondin-1, Thrombospondin-2, Thrombospondin-3, Galectin-3, Collagen α1, Osteoactivin, Osteopontin, Fibronectin, Elongation factor-1, Lumican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Kittleson et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix U133A microarrays, ICM pts</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin heavy chain, MAPK-7, Mannose receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Kittleson et al.</td>
<td>Affymetrix U133A microarrays, ICM pts</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin heavy chain, MAPK-7, Mannose receptor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Microarray Gene Expression Database Group (MGED: http://www.mged.org), a grass-root to develop standards for microarray data [24,25]. In this review, it was still difficult to grade the evidence based on MIAME criteria because of the following reasons-1. The six components of MIAME including, experimental design, array design, samples, hybridizations, measurements and normalization controls were not designed for an objective scoring, but designated as an informal specification on which microarray experiment-annotation tools may be based. 2. Some components of MIAME did not reach consensus among the MGED working group. Therefore, the peer-reviewed publications together with the information that was necessary to verify and reproduce the experimental results were considered to be eligible to be included in our data discussion.

3. Gene expression fingerprint of extracellular matrix: a common lesson from diverse arrays

In this section, we discuss how the different studies can provide a common and more convincing evidence to explain the matrix changes undergoing in failing hearts. A robust increase in the expression of ECM-related genes in most of the microarray analyses underscored the importance of myocardial matrix remodeling in failing hearts [26,27]. These genes included connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), both fibrillar and reticular collagens, along with the genes involved in controlling the deposition and orientation of collagens, and binding of cells to ECM. Recently, small leucine-rich repeat proteoglycans (SLRP) have emerged as a significant multi-member group, expressed in high levels in many tissues including heart and are capable of binding and regulating collagen fibril assembly and growth [28]. The four SLRP members-lumican, fibromodulin, decorin and biglycans have recently been the focus of gene targeting studies to understand their role in connective tissue biology. For example, lumican-deficient mice had collagen fibrils of increased diameters forming a disorganized matrix in the cornea and skin, with subsequent decrease in corneal clarity and increased skin laxity. Similarly, fibromodulin-deficient mice contained more immature collagens with small diameter [29]. Excluding the natriuretic factors, SLRPs constituted the most reproducible group of genes with increased expression in failing hearts. A recent microarray study by Boheler et al. [14] showed lumican as one of the only two finally passed genes overexpressed in HF after neutralizing the possible confounding effects of age, sex and data normalization procedure.

Fibronectin, a widely investigated glycoprotein in myocardial matrix, was among the consistently overexpressed genes, regardless of cause and severity of HF. In addition to the individual role of fibronectin in regulating the growth and organization of myocardial matrix, it possesses an Arginine-Glycine-Aspartate (RGD) domain that can bind to the special group of integrin molecules expressed in the heart [19]. After a broader search for the molecules sharing the RGD domain, we noticed that more genes encoding the proteins of this family, e.g. thrombospondin-1,2,3,4, osteopontin and activin were also reported to be overexpressed in HF. Integrins are heterodimeric cell-surface adhesive receptors which bind to ECM proteins. Upon stimulation, integrins interact with signal transducing molecules like Phosho-FAK, c-src and she [30]. Activation of these tyrosine-rich proteins leads to the phosphorylation and activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) signaling cascade, finally leading to myocardial matrix growth [31]. Interestingly, MAPK was the second gene exclusively overexpressed in HF out of 10,176 clones tested by Boheler et al. The meaning for the transcriptomal increase of matri-cellular gene expression in the context of HF can be twofold. Firstly, an increased expression of the genes involved in matrix organization can be beneficial by offering an additional structural support and resilience to failing hearts. Secondly, however, an aberrant activation of signaling cascades that are contributory to cardiac fibroblast activation and excess fibrillar collagen production can induce myocardial stiffness, lead to electromechanical uncoupling and increase myocardial ischemia, thus turning the virtuous intentions to malign consequences. Taken together, it can be concluded that, despite apparently large differences in the design of the arrays and individual experiments, the results are often complementary and converge to a common gene expression profile of failing hearts.

4. Cell-cycle regulators and protein elongation factors in failing hearts: the unusual suspects

The role of cell-cycle activation and increased mitotic activity in failing ventricles is currently a subject of interest. The active remodeling of myocardial matrix is invariably accompanied by an increased turnover of it cellular counterpart. We have observed a 10-fold increase in cyclin D1 expression in the failing hearts of Ren-2 rats compared to the littermates that had normal cardiac function [32]. A recent study by Yung et al. [18] also showed a 4.3-fold increase in cyclin G2 in failing hearts. A second interesting point raised by most of the microarray studies discussed in this review is the increased expression of protein elongation factors (eIF) in HF [6,7,10,33,34]. Increased eIF activity can lead to an increase in bulk protein synthesis, and therefore, enhance cell replication because cell division requires sufficient protein production to fulfill the metabolic requirements of the daughter cells. It was found that eIF-2 is one of the most prominently phosphorylated proteins in mammalian tissue extracts [35]. Phosphorylation of eIF-2 results in its inactivation, thus suggesting a mechanism for global protein synthesis regulation [36]. Of note, eIF-null mice develop severe cachexia and succumb to death within a month [37]. In the absence of mechanistic insight, it is probably too early to postulate that HF is a malignant form of cardiac remodeling. However, it could be argued that an unchecked cell division and protein synthesis machinery could still be the hidden suspects in failing hearts. The
therapeutic translation of this concept could lead to the testing of new cell-cycle activation inhibitors or specific inhibitors of eIF for HF therapy.

5. New publicly accessible tools to link the functionality of the genes

Since the differentially expressed genes in HF or any other disease process have either shared ontology, domain or function, there was a need for an array-clustering tool, which can map the differentially expressed genes in individual database to known biological pathways. Identifying groups of biologically related genes that are showing a large number of gene expression changes will create an informative description of the biology that is occurring in a particular dataset, making it possible to generate new hypotheses and identify those specific areas of biology that warrant more detailed investigation. One tool that assists in the identification of important biological processes is GenMAPP (Gene MicroArray Pathway Profiler) [38], a program for viewing and analyzing microarray data on microarray pathway profiles (MAPPs) representing biological pathways or any other functional grouping of genes. The second and more recently developed tool is MAPPFinder that dynamically links gene expression data to the gene ontology (GO) hierarchy [39]. MAPPFinder, therefore, generates a gene expression profile at the level of biological processes, cellular components and molecular functions, rapidly identifying those areas of biology that warrant further study. Similarly, Zeeberg et al. [40] developed GoMiner as a new tool to interpret genomic as well as proteomic data in the context of gene ontology. Using this freely downloadable tool, one can input a list of up- or downregulated genes and a list of total genes on the array, and then calculate enrichment or depletion of categories with genes that have changed expression. Other related tools like, FatiGO, Onto-Express, GoSurfer, etc. are also implemented either in web or windows version. However, the real challenge of time is to develop a strategy in which the huge database generated from large number of experiments with same hypothesis but conducted in different biological samples can be pooled and mapped. The intra- and inter-species conservation of protein sequences, similar transcriptional nature of regulatory genes and emerging knowledge about the GO should help the to develop a standard that can serve both research scientists and software developers.

6. Matricellular vs. immunological mechanisms: marker, mediator or predictor?

We have recently reported 48 genes overexpressed in failing hypertrophied hearts compared to compensated forms of cardiac hypertrophy. This study demonstrated an apparent split of the most of the overexpressed genes either as immune-related or extracellular matrix proteins. After further investigation, we noticed that thrombospondin-2, a matrix-related gene was critical for the myocardial matrix integrity, since most of the null-mutant mice died of cardiac rupture after myocardial infarction or angiotensin infusion [6]. On the other hand, galectin-3 (a macrophage-derived cytokine), the gene most robustly and specifically overexpressed only in the failing hypertrophied hearts, led to cardiac fibrosis and induced HF after chronic infusion into the pericardial cavity of the healthy rats [32]. This suggests that there is a complex interplay of protective vs. causative mechanisms in failing hearts. The gene array studies performed at a particular time-point snap a mixed picture of both of these mechanisms. Therefore, it is hard to conclude, based on the expression profile data that whether a particular differentially expressed gene in question is causative for HF or not. In order to avert these difficulties, we obtained myocardial biopsies from the rat model of hypertension and followed them up to discern whether these rats will rapidly develop HF or remain compensated. Both thrombospondin-2 and galectin-3 expression was increased at an early stage of cardiac hypertrophy specifically in the group of rats that later rapidly progressed to cardiac decompensation. In contrast, several other genes including brain natriuretic peptide were not overexpressed in early stage and thus just marked the actively failing hearts. The questions addressed by these studies are unique. The existing studies have largely focused to search for the transcriptomal imprint of HF in advanced stage. However, it is important to detect the critical mediators that are involved during the transition from compensated cardiac hypertrophy to HF. An early recognition of failure-prone hearts and intervention with newer therapeutic agents might gain additional benefit over the existing treatment strategies.

Until recently, the question on what constitute the cause and epiphenomenon of HF was not answered. Several studies have now investigated the role of various myocardial matrix proteins in various animal models using gene targeting strategy [6,41]. The evidence compiles on the fact that most of the matrix-related proteins are critical for the myocardial integrity and their increased expression in HF reflects an ongoing reparative response. These studies have an advantage of leading us towards the discovery of bio-markers or predictors of HF. Despite state-of-the-art treatment, HF is still a progressive disorder characterized by high morbidity and mortality. Therefore, a new challenge of the time is to identify new causative mechanisms of HF. It is gradually becoming clear that the myocardial inflammation is a uniform accompaniment in the failing hearts. In our recent study, we found a role for early macrophage activation for the future transition to HF [32]. We have now learned more about the cytokine production, T-lymphocyte, natural killer cell and complement system activation in failing hearts. Whether monocytes are primed from the bone marrow or circulation to transmigrate to the heart and initiate HF or it is predominantly the effect of increased expression of local adhesion molecules, cytokines and leukocyte antigens thus leading to excess tissue damage and consequent scarring and loss of
myocardial function, remains to be investigated. Hopes are high for the newly discovered molecules in HF (e.g., galectin-3, gelsolin, osteopontin) that appear to activate the immune system and in the meantime, can contribute to the loss of cardiac function independent of immune activation [7,32,42].

7. Bioinformatic analysis of expressed sequence tags

With the aid of EST and microarray applications, both known and previously uncharacterized genes involved in the induction of HF can be analyzed simultaneously [43]. As an example, we chose two previously reported ESTs–ESTA, a 12.45-fold upregulated and ESTB, a 3.19-fold downregulated EST in HF [8,44]. These 2 ESTs were chosen as the index molecules for analysis based on their robust change of expression in the presence of HF. ESTA sequence was identified in the national library dbEST database with Genbank sequence ID of T60005, which corresponds to Image Clone 79419 (5′) from human lung. This 329 nucleotide EST was found to be a part of Unigene cluster Hs181418 (KIAA0152 gene product), located at chromosome 12q24.31. Similarly, ESTB was annotated with the sequence ID of AA306721. This 468 nucleotide EST was found to be a part of Unigene cluster O99590 (human SGT1, hSGT1 gene product). We also confirmed the correctness of the identity of both the EST clones with their corresponding protein sequences by a direct BLASTn of the clone sequence against EMBL.

We then used the CBS signal P Prediction Server (V1.1) at the Center for Biological Sequence (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk) to compare the presence of signal peptide, cleavage sites, glycosylation and phosphorylation sites of these two proteins [45]. NCBI search was applied to analyze and predict the function of conserved domains. The signal peptide prediction algorithm using neural network models showed KIAA0152 as a secretory protein. Human SGT1 (hSGT1) protein, a G-protein coupled receptor-2 (GCR2) suppressor and transcriptional activator of glycolytic genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, appeared to be non-secretory, as the sequence did not harbor a signal peptide (Fig. 1A and B). KIAA0152 had six serine, three threonine and seven tyrosine phosphorylation sites whereas hSGT1 harbored 25 serine, six threonine and four tyrosine phosphorylation sites. KIAA0152 contained one glycosylation site at amino-acid position 261 and hSGT1 had two sites at position 133 and 501 respectively. NCBI conserved domain search revealed that KIAA0152 shared a homology with KOG3593, a predicted receptor-like serine/threonine kinase domain. This indicated the probable involvement of this protein in signal transduction mechanisms. Protein hSGT, on the other hand, shared a homology with KOG2406, a MADS box transcription factor domain, showing that it can potentially be active in transcription process.

It is noteworthy that a gene-by-gene approach in elucidating the genes and mechanisms involved is time-consuming and cumbersome. Using EST technology, Chien [46] have recently generated a compendium of genes expressed in the human cardiovascular system, with the ultimate goal of assembling the intricacies of development and of disease, particularly the pathways leading to HF. Therefore, the bioinformatic analysis of both KIAA0152 and Protein hSGT should be interpreted as an example but not as a mandate for how the ESTs should be translated to full-sequence proteins and how the functionality is predicted. The second important aspect of this analysis is, notwithstanding the exciting information obtained from advanced data analysis tools, the biological experiments constitute the final and definite steps before any meaningful conclusions about the new disease mechanisms can be drawn.

8. Inter-array paradox in gene expression: biological effect or methodological heterogeneity?

There is no consensus about the optimal statistical approach for finding differences in expression among thousands of genes. Because a number of sources of systematic variation can alter expression levels especially in across-array experiments (inter-array variability), evaluation of gene differential expression can be biased. For example, the study by Tan et al. [12] compared expression profile between failing vs. functionally compensated forms of ischemic and dilated cardiomyopathy patients and found calponin as a 2.7-fold downregulated gene in HF. In contrast, the study performed by Steenman et al. [16] in comparable patient cohorts showed the opposite results. A partial explanation for this discrepancy became available when Boheler et al. [14], using general linear statistical model as a data analysis tool, attributed the altered expression of calponin to the gender-difference. Although the near-complete ascertainment of genes in the human genome should make expression-profiling studies of human hearts more powerful, identification of the sources of experimental variability, and knowledge of the relative contribution of error from each source, is critical for appropriate interpretation of the array results.

9. Chromosomal aggregation of heart failure susceptibility genes and scope for quantitative trait locus analysis

The advent of sophisticated genomic techniques for gene mapping and microarray analysis has provided opportunities to map mRNA abundance to quantitative trait loci (QTL) throughout the genome [47]. Unfortunately, simple mapping of each individual mRNA trait on the scale of a typical microarray experiment is computationally intensive, subject to high sample variance, and therefore, underpowered. However, individual susceptibility to HF could still be guided by genetic component and chromosomal mapping of the genes offer promise for understanding the molecular mechanism of the etiology and provide new therapeutic targets. As an
example, summarizing the chromosomal localization of the gene products that had an absolute change in expression of \( \geq 1.8 \)-fold in multiple microarray analyses of human HF, revealed chromosome 1, 2, 11 and 12 as the chromosomal hotspots of HF\(^{14} \). A closer look on the individual genes belonging to these chromosomal loci showed several genes (e.g. natriuretic peptide precursor A and B, transforming growth factor-\( \beta \), fibronectin, collagens, cathepsin C and F, phospholipase A, etc.) consistently reported to be differentially expressed in failing hearts.

### 10. Future directions

In the next decade, it is anticipated that the Human Genome Project and related human and animal genome sequencing projects will exert a profound influence on our understanding and management of human disease. For HF, it still remains unclear which genes are most responsible for disease onset, even though patients often report a strong familial predisposition. Expectations are high for the potential of cardiovascular genomics to lead to major advances in our understanding of normal cardiovascular functioning and pathogenesis of HF, of interactions of genes with environment, of strategies for disease prediction, and in drug development. Using sophisticated cluster analyses, novel patterns in functional responses are seen to various stimuli, and clustered responses may be useful for identifying novel pathways for design and application of therapeutics.

At first glance, the task of deciphering the results from diverse database may seem confusing. First, casting the net for genome-wide changes in gene expression will inevitably identify variations. Establishing which of this is functionally important will require better informatics and more efficient means of confirming gene function experimentally than conventional methods of genetic engineering in mice. Second, changes in mRNA need not accompany changes in the corresponding protein’s abundance or its state of activation. Clearly, progress in this field will require a new level of interdependence between specialists broadly in the biological sciences and those in the population and clinical sciences. The
prospect of embarking on this voyage is at the same time daunting and exhilarating: researchers must rapidly ‘get up to speed’ on so many evolving new disciplines, but there is the opportunity to travel into uncharted territories to make discoveries at a great pace and on a large scale.
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