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ABSTRACT

VAN ROEKEL, E. H., M. J. L. BOURS, J. J. L. BREEDVELD-PETERS, K. MEIJER, I. KANT, P. A. VAN DEN BRANDT, S. SANDULEANU, G. L. BEETS, and M. P. WEIJENBERG. Light Physical Activity Is Associated with Quality of Life after Colorectal Cancer. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc., Vol. 47, No. 12, pp. 2493–2503, 2015. Purpose: Emerging evidence suggests that light physical activity (LPA), besides moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA), may beneficially influence physical functioning of colorectal cancer survivors, but its relation with other health-related outcomes is unknown. We applied a biopsychosocial approach to investigate independent associations between self-reported LPA, MVPA, and multiple health-related quality of life (HRQoL) outcomes in 2- to 10-yr postdiagnosis colorectal cancer survivors. Methods: Stage I–III colorectal cancer survivors diagnosed between 2002 and 2010 at Maastricht University Medical Center+, the Netherlands, were included in a cross-sectional study (n = 151). Time spent in LPA and MVPA (h wk⁻¹), and HRQoL outcome scores (0–100 points) were assessed by validated questionnaires. Results: Median time spent in LPA and MVPA was 10.0 (interquartile range, 2.0–22.0) and 8.7 h wk⁻¹ (4.5–15.0), respectively. In multivariable linear regression models, both LPA and MVPA were significantly and independently associated with higher physical functioning (mean difference [MD] = 10.0 [4.5–15.0], respectively). In addition, LPA was significantly associated with higher role functioning (MD, 19.5; 95% CI, 6.9–32.1; P-trend < 0.05). Lower disability (MD, −9.9; 95% CI, −17.8 to −1.9; P-trend = 0.02), independent from MVPA. Subgroup analyses showed that beneficial associations between LPA and HRQoL were mainly observed in women and participants with multiple comorbidities. Conclusions: Self-reported LPA, besides MVPA, was beneficially associated with multiple HRQoL outcomes in colorectal cancer survivors, especially in women and survivors with multiple comorbidities. Prospective studies are warranted to establish whether LPA is a suitable target for personalized lifestyle interventions to improve the HRQoL of colorectal cancer survivors. Key Words: SURVIVOR, LIFESTYLE, CROSS-SECTIONAL, HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE, BIOPSYCHOSOCIAL

Population ageing and increasing survival rates are leading to increased numbers of colorectal cancer survivors worldwide (22). In 2012, it was estimated that over 3.5 million people were living with a diagnosis of colorectal cancer within the previous 5 yr (6). Importantly, as a consequence of the cancer and/or its treatment, these survivors often experience a decline in multiple health-related quality of life (HRQoL) domains. Limitations in physical and social functioning and higher levels of fatigue and distress can persist up until 10 yr after colorectal cancer diagnosis (11,16).

A growing number of observational studies indicate that moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA), that is, activities with an intensity of ≥3 metabolic equivalents (METs), such as brisk walking or cycling, is favorably associated with multiple HRQoL outcomes in colorectal cancer survivors (9,15,17,20,23,36). Thus, current physical activity (PA) guidelines for cancer survivors recommend performing MVPA for at least 150 min wk⁻¹ (8,29). However, a large proportion of colorectal cancer survivors are unable to meet these recommendations because of a high prevalence of comorbidities and their relatively old age, which can limit their ability to
exercise at a moderate or vigorous intensity (7). Therefore, more specific PA guidelines are needed for this population (8).

Emerging evidence suggests that light PA (LPA; 1.5–3 METs) might also confer health benefits in colorectal cancer survivors, independently from MVPA (4). LPA, including activities such as light household work and slow walking, might be a more suitable target than MVPA for improving the HRQoL of this population. To our knowledge, only two previous studies in colorectal cancer survivors have investigated associations of LPA with physical functioning (4,17), but not with other HRQoL outcomes. HRQoL is a broad and multidimensional construct, which does not only have a physical dimension but also other domains of health and functioning, such as psychological and social functioning (34).

We have previously reported on the applicability of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) to systematically and comprehensively study lifestyle and HRQoL in colorectal cancer survivors, within the Energy for life after ColoRectal cancer (EnCoRe) study (38). The ICF was developed by the World Health Organization as a biopsychosocial classification of functioning of an individual or population of individuals in a certain health state (44). As a biopsychosocial classification, it integrates biomedical and psychosocial models of health and health care, and thus includes biological, individual and social perspectives on health and functioning (31). It describes functioning within the domains of body functions and structures (e.g., “mental functions” or “structures related to movement”), and activities and participation (e.g., “mobility” or “community, social and civic life”), in the context of environmental and personal factors acting as barriers or facilitators of functioning (e.g., medical treatment or sex) (18). Importantly, the ICF incorporates not only physical aspects of health but also qualitative features, such as psychological functioning and societal participation, and is therefore very useful for HRQoL studies (38). In addition, it enables identification of contextual factors influencing functioning, which is important for the development of personalized PA recommendations for colorectal cancer survivors (24,38).

The purpose of this study was to investigate cross-sectional associations between self-reported LPA, MVPA, and multiple HRQoL outcomes in 2- to 10-yr postdiagnosis colorectal cancer survivors using an ICF-based biopsychosocial approach. We hypothesized that both LPA and MVPA would be independently and beneficially associated with HRQoL outcomes in colorectal cancer survivors.

METHODS

Study design and participants. Methods of the EnCoRe study, including an ongoing prospective part and a cross-sectional part, have been described previously (38). For this paper, data were used from the cross-sectional part, which was conducted in 2- to 10-yr postdiagnosis stage I–III colorectal cancer survivors. Eligible subjects, that is, individuals diagnosed and treated between 2002 and 2010 at Maastricht University Medical Center+, the Netherlands, were preselected via the Netherlands Cancer Registry (NCR; managed by Comprehensive Cancer Centre the Netherlands). Reasons for exclusion are shown in Figure 1. Participants were recruited between May 2012 and December 2013. The EnCoRe study has been approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Academic Hospital Maastricht and Maastricht University, the Netherlands. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Data collection. When designing the EnCoRe study, an ICF-based conceptual model was developed for studying lifestyle and HRQoL in colorectal cancer survivors (38). This conceptual model was adapted for the current research question to identify relevant variables to be measured and included in data analyses (Fig. 2).

Physical activity. The Short QUestionnaire to ASsess Health-enhancing physical activity (SQUASH) was used to measure habitual PA by assessing the frequency (d/wk⁻¹), duration (time per day), and intensity (light, moderate, vigorous) of commuting (walking and cycling), household, work, and leisure activities (cycling, walking, gardening, odd jobs, and up to four sports) in the previous week (43). For commuting and leisure activities, intensity levels were enquired as slow, moderate, or fast, for classification as light, moderate, or vigorous, respectively. In order to keep the questionnaire short and easy to fill in, household and work activities were structured into two categories of intensity, which were defined and explained by examples, as either light-intensity (sitting or standing work with occasional walking, e.g., cooking, or a desk job) or vigorous-intensity (e.g., scrubbing floor, or regularly lifting heavy objects at work). According to standard procedures for the SQUASH questionnaire (43), Ainsworth’s compendium of physical activities was used to assign MET-values to different activities (2). Based on the MET-value assigned and the intensity reported, activities were categorized as either LPA (<3 MET with self-reported light or moderate intensity, e.g., light household or light work activities [both, MET = 2.5], jet skiing [MET = 2.5] with a low or moderate intensity), or MVPA (≥3 MET, or <3 MET with self-reported vigorous intensity, e.g., vigorous household or vigorous work activities [MET = 4.5 and MET = 4.0, respectively], walking [MET = 3.5], or jet skiing [MET = 2.5] with a vigorous intensity). Within our sample, participants did not report performing any activities <3 MET with a vigorous intensity, and therefore these activities were always classified as LPA and never as MVPA. Total time spent in LPA and MVPA in h/wk⁻¹ was calculated by multiplying the frequency and duration of all activities and summing these up separately. Validation studies in Dutch general and elderly populations have shown that the SQUASH is a fairly reliable questionnaire to assess PA and that its validity in comparison to accelerometer data is comparable to other PA questionnaires (in a Dutch elderly population: Spearman ρ = 0.56 for min/wk⁻¹ of total activity, 0.20 for light intensity activities, 0.40 for moderate intensity activities, and 0.35 for vigorous activities) (42,43).
HRQoL outcomes. Cancer-specific HRQoL was measured with the European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire-Core 30 (EORTC QLQ-C30, version 3.0), which is a reliable and valid measure for HRQoL in patients with cancer (1,3). For the subscales global health/quality of life and physical, role and social functioning, 100-point scores were calculated with higher scores indicating higher levels of quality of life or functioning (13). Furthermore, disability was assessed by the 12-item version of the ICF-based World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule II (WHODAS II) (37,45). The WHODAS II has a good reliability and validity in different populations, including elderly individuals and breast cancer survivors (25,33,45). A weighted disability score (100-point scale) was calculated, with a higher score indicating a higher level of disability (45). In addition, self-reported fatigue was assessed through the Checklist Individual Strength (CIS), which was originally developed and validated in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome (39,40) but has also been applied in cancer survivors (30). We used the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) to determine levels of anxiety and depression (46), which has adequate psychometric properties in patients with cancer (41). Individual items from the CIS and HADS were summed to calculate a total score for fatigue (range, 20–140) and distress (0–42), respectively, with higher scores indicating higher levels of fatigue and distress.

Other factors. From our ICF-based conceptual model (Fig. 2), other relevant factors for studying associations between PA and HRQoL in colorectal cancer survivors were
identified and measured. Sociodemographic characteristics (sex, age, education level, smoking status) were self-reported, whereas body height and weight were measured by trained personnel for calculation of body mass index (BMI). Presence of comorbidities was assessed using the Self-Administered Comorbidity Questionnaire (27). Data on clinical characteristics (cancer stage, age at diagnosis, chemotherapy treatment) were collected through the NCR.

**Statistical analyses.** Descriptive statistics were calculated to compare sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of participants by quartiles of time spent in LPA and MVPA. Multivariable linear regression models were used to calculate adjusted mean differences (MDs) (with 95% confidence intervals [CIs]) in HRQoL scores between LPA or MVPA quartiles, with the lowest quartile as reference category. Testing for a linear trend across quartiles was performed by including an ordinal variable with the median value of time spent in LPA or MVPA in each quartile in the regression models. A hierarchical approach was applied by fitting unadjusted, age- and sex-adjusted, and fully adjusted models. Covariates included in fully adjusted models were selected a priori from our ICF-based conceptual model (Fig. 2), comprising age, sex, number of comorbidities, years since diagnosis, tumor stage, current smoking status, BMI, chemotherapy treatment, and education level. In addition, to assess independent associations of LPA and MVPA with HRQoL outcomes, time spent in MVPA (h wk⁻¹) was included as a covariate for the analyses of LPA and vice versa. These fully adjusted models were considered our main results.

To further compare the separate associations of time spent in LPA and MVPA with the HRQoL outcomes, multivariable analyses were repeated, including a categorical variable with four combinations of LPA and MVPA: low LPA and low MVPA (reference category); high LPA and low MVPA; low LPA and high MVPA; and high LPA and high MVPA. This variable was created by dichotomizing the variables for time spent in LPA and MVPA using the median value as cutoff, and these dichotomized variables were combined into one variable with four categories. All HRQoL outcome scores, except for fatigue and distress, were not normally distributed, and therefore, sensitivity analyses were performed by fitting logistic regression models with dichotomized outcomes, using a sex-specific median value as cutoff (28). In addition, potential effect modification by sex, age (<70 vs ≥70 yr), and number of comorbidities (≥2 vs <2) was explored by performing subgroup analyses and including cross-product terms in linear regression models to test for statistical interaction. To avoid overinterpretation of spurious findings, subgroup results were only reported if a statistically significant difference in multiple HRQoL scores
was found between the lowest and both the third and fourth quartile of LPA or MVPA in one subgroup, but not in the other subgroup. Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM Corporation, 2011), and $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics. A total of 373 eligible colorectal cancer survivors were invited to participate, of whom 155 were recruited (response rate, 42%; Fig. 1). Of these, 4 survivors (3%) did not provide PA data, resulting in a final number of 151 participants included in current analyses. Participants were on average 3.9 yr younger, had more often been diagnosed with rectal cancer (42% vs 36%), and had more frequently received treatment with chemotherapy (52% vs 43%) and radiotherapy (39% vs 30%), than nonparticipants (not included or without PA data; see Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content 1, Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of eligible colorectal cancer survivors included and not included in the current analyses, http://links.lww.com/MSS/A547). No relevant differences were observed in sex, years since diagnosis, and tumor stage.

A total of 94 male (62%) and 57 female (38%) colorectal cancer survivors were included, who were on average 68.8 yr of age (standard deviation [SD], 8.7) and 5.7 yr since colorectal cancer diagnosis (1.8). Of these, 80 survivors (53%) had a previous diagnosis of colon cancer, 64 (42%) rectum cancer, and 7 (5%) a rectosigmoid tumor. In addition, 68 (45%) survivors were overweight, 43 (29%) were obese, and 38 (25%) had normal weight; 1 participant (42%) rectum cancer, and 7 (5%) a rectosigmoid tumor. A total of 76 (51%) survivors reported two or more comorbidities. The three most commonly reported comorbidities comprised hypertension (43%), osteoarthritis (33%), and back pain (28%).

Self-reported mean time spent in LPA and MVPA was 15.0 (SD, 17.4) and 13.0 (15.0) h wk$^{-1}$, respectively. Based on total time spent in MVPA, 107 survivors (71%) adhered to current PA guidelines (at least 150 min wk$^{-1}$ of MVPA). Overall, highest levels were observed for light household activities (median, 7.0 h wk$^{-1}$; interquartile range [IQR], 1.0–16.2) and walking (3.0; 0.0–5.5), whereas low levels were observed for commuting, vigorous household activities, work activities, cycling, gardening, odd jobs, and sports (all median, 0.0 h wk$^{-1}$). Participants were classified according to quartiles of time spent in LPA (Q1, $\leq$2.0; Q2, 2.3–9.0; Q3, 10.0–22.0; and Q4, $\geq$23.0 h wk$^{-1}$) and MVPA (Q1, $\leq$4.3; Q2, 4.5–8.6; Q3, 8.7–15.0; and Q4, $\geq$15.5 h wk$^{-1}$). The correlation between LPA and MVPA, both as continuous variables and categorized into quartiles, was very weak and nonsignificant (Spearman $\rho = 0.04$; $P = 0.59$; and Kendall $\tau = 0.06$; $P = 0.40$).

Individuals in the highest quartiles of LPA and MVPA were on average younger (MD Q4 vs Q1, $-7.6$; and $-2.6$ yr, respectively) and less frequently reported multiple comorbidities than in lower quartiles (Q4 vs Q1, 47% vs 62%; and 22% vs 65%, respectively; Table 1). Compared to the lowest quartile, there were more women in the highest quartile of LPA (62% vs 13%), whereas there were more men in the highest quartile of MVPA (78% vs 51%). Within the highest quartile of LPA, most time was spent on household activities (median, 28.0 h wk$^{-1}$; IQR, 8.6–35.0), whereas in the highest quartile of MVPA, most time was spent on leisure activities (21.0; 16.8–31.0). Generally, participants in the highest quartiles of LPA and MVPA reported higher HRQoL and lower disability, distress, and fatigue scores than those in the lowest quartiles (Table 2). The HRQoL outcomes were moderately to strongly correlated, with positive correlations between the global health status/quality of life, and physical, role, and social functioning scores (Spearman $\rho$ ranging from 0.45 to 0.67), and between the disability, fatigue, and distress scores (Spearman $\rho$ ranging from 0.59 to 0.74), and negative correlations between the global health status/quality of life, and physical, role and social functioning on the one hand, and disability, fatigue, and distress scores on the other hand (Spearman $\rho$ ranging from $-0.41$ to $-0.73$).

Multivariable analyses. Results from fully adjusted linear regression models analyzing relationships between quartiles of LPA and MVPA, and HRQoL outcome scores were in general slightly attenuated in comparison to age- and sex-adjusted models (see Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content 2, Age- and sex-adjusted, and fully adjusted mean differences and 95% CIs in health-related quality of life scores across quartiles of time spent in LPA and MVPA (h wk$^{-1}$) in colorectal cancer survivors, http://links.lww.com/MSS/A548). In fully adjusted models (Fig. 3), increasing quartiles of LPA and MVPA were independently associated with higher physical functioning (MD Q4 vs Q1, 10.2; 95% CI, 0.2–20.3; and 14.5; 5.1–23.9, respectively; both $P$-trend $< 0.05$). In addition, increasing quartiles of MVPA were significantly associated with higher role functioning and lower disability (MD Q4 vs Q1, 19.5; 95% CI, 6.9–32.1; and $-9.9$; $-17.8$ to $-1.9$, respectively; both $P$-trend $< 0.05$), independent from MVPA. Furthermore, the third quartile of LPA was significantly associated with lower fatigue than the lowest quartile (MD Q3 vs Q1, $-16.2$; 95% CI, $-29.5$ to $-3.0$), but no significant difference was found between the highest and lowest quartile. Similarly, relative to the lowest quartile, the third quartile of MVPA was significantly associated with higher role (MD Q3 vs Q1, 13.3; 95% CI, 1.6–25.1) and social functioning (11.0; 1.9–20.1), and lower disability ($-8.5$; $-15.7$ to $-1.3$), fatigue ($-16.0$; $-28.5$ to $-3.6$), and distress ($-2.9$; $-5.7$ to $-0.1$), but no significant difference was found between the highest and lowest quartile. No associations were observed between LPA and MVPA and the global health status/quality of life subscale. In all multivariable models, the presence of multiple comorbidities as covariate had the strongest attenuating effect on associations between PA and HRQoL and was significantly associated with lower HRQoL and higher disability, fatigue, and distress scores ($P < 0.05$).

---

**Table 1**: Participant characteristics. Total and percentage of male and female colorectal cancer survivors included in current analyses, who were on average 68.8 yr of age (standard deviation [SD], 8.7) and 5.7 yr since colorectal cancer diagnosis (1.8). Of these, 80 survivors (53%) had a previous diagnosis of colon cancer, 64 (42%) rectum cancer, and 7 (5%) a rectosigmoid tumor. In addition, 68 (45%) survivors were overweight, 43 (29%) were obese, and 38 (25%) had normal weight; 1 participant (42%) rectum cancer, and 7 (5%) a rectosigmoid tumor. A total of 76 (51%) survivors reported two or more comorbidities. The three most commonly reported comorbidities comprised hypertension (43%), osteoarthritis (33%), and back pain (28%).

---

**Table 2**: HRQoL and disability, distress, and fatigue scores in colorectal cancer survivors in quartiles of LPA and MVPA. The correlation between LPA and MVPA, both as continuous variables and categorized into quartiles, was very weak and nonsignificant (Spearman $\rho = 0.04$; $P = 0.59$; and Kendall $\tau = 0.06$; $P = 0.40$).

---

**Table S1**: Supplementary Digital Content 1, Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of eligible colorectal cancer survivors included and not included in the current analyses, http://links.lww.com/MSS/A547.

---

**Table S2**: Supplementary Digital Content 2, Age- and sex-adjusted, and fully adjusted mean differences and 95% CIs in health-related quality of life scores across quartiles of time spent in LPA and MVPA (h wk$^{-1}$) in colorectal cancer survivors, http://links.lww.com/MSS/A548.
In multivariate analyses including the variable in which LPA and MVPA levels were combined (Table 3), both the categories “high LPA and low MVPA” and “low LPA and high MVPA,” in comparison with the reference category “low LPA and low MVPA,” were associated with significantly higher physical, role, and social functioning, and significantly lower disability, fatigue, and distress scores. The MDs for these categories were mostly similar to or larger than those obtained for the category “high LPA and high MVPA.” Results obtained from logistic regression models with dichotomized HRQoL scores were similar to those from linear regression models, except for the relation between LPA and physical functioning, which was not significant (results not shown).

Subgroup analyses. We observed different associations between LPA and multiple HRQoL outcomes in subgroups of...
sex and number of comorbidities (results not shown). LPA was significantly associated with higher physical functioning and lower disability in women (MD Q4 vs Q1, 22.2; 95% CI, 0.2–44.1; and 32.0; 55.7 to 18.3, respectively), but not in men (2.2; 11.0 to 15.3; and 2.5; 12.2 to 7.3, respectively; both P-interaction < 0.05). Significant associations were also observed between LPA and higher role and social functioning in women (MD Q4 vs Q1, 50.1; 95% CI, 19.6–80.7; and 22.8; 7.6–38.1, respectively), but not in men (11.7; −3.9 to 27.3; and −2.3; −17.3 to 12.6, respectively), although statistical interaction between sex and LPA was not significant (P-interaction = 0.08 and 0.35, respectively). Similarly, we observed significant associations between LPA and higher physical and role functioning, and lower disability in survivors with ≥2 comorbidities (MD Q4 vs Q1, 21.3; 95% CI, 7.4–35.1; 22.0; 1.9–42.0; and −17.7; −30.8 to −4.6, respectively), but not in individuals with <2 comorbidities (−2.2; −17.2 to 12.8; 13.7; −2.4 to 29.8; and −1.6; −11.0 to 7.9, respectively), although statistical interaction between LPA and comorbidity was not significant (P-interaction = 0.05, 0.45, and 0.28, respectively).

**FIGURE 3**—Adjusted MDs in HRQoL outcome scores between quartiles of time spent in LPA (Q1, ≤2.0; Q2, 2.3–9.0; Q3, 10.0–22.0; and Q4, ≥23.0 h wk⁻¹) and MVPA (Q1, ≤4.3; Q2, 4.5–8.6; Q3, 8.7–15.0; and Q4, ≥15.5 h wk⁻¹). Error bars represent 95% CIs, Q1: reference category. Adjusted for age (yr), sex, number of comorbidities (0/1/2), years since diagnosis (yr), tumor stage (I/II/III), smoking status (current/noncurrent), BMI (kg m⁻²), received chemotherapy (yes/no), education level (low/medium/high), and time spent in MVPA or LPA (h wk⁻¹) for the analyses of LPA or MVPA, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

In this cross-sectional study, we investigated associations between self-reported time spent in LPA and MVPA, and multiple HRQoL outcomes in 2- to 10-yr postdiagnosis colorectal cancer survivors. We found that both LPA and MVPA were independently associated with higher physical functioning and that LPA was also associated with higher role functioning and lower disability. In addition, our findings suggested that LPA may be associated with lower fatigue, and MVPA with higher role and social functioning, and lower disability, fatigue and distress. Significant differences were observed...
TABLE 3. Adjusted MDs and 95% CIs in HRQoL scores across categories of variable for combined levels of LPA and MVPA (h wk⁻¹).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of variable for combined level of LPA and MVPA²</th>
<th>Global Health Status/Quality of Life</th>
<th>Physical Functioning</th>
<th>Role Functioning</th>
<th>Social Functioning</th>
<th>Disability</th>
<th>Fatigue</th>
<th>Distress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low LPA and low MVPA (n = 43)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low LPA and high MVPA (n = 32)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High LPA and high MVPA (n = 44)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Adjusted for age (yr), sex, number of comorbidities (0/1/2), stage (I/II/III), smoking status (current/noncurrent), BMI (kg m⁻²), education level (low/medium/high), number of Q2, years since diagnosis (yr), received chemotherapy (yes/no), and psychosocial well-being. Number of patients for time spent in LPA and MVPA were categorized using the median value as cutoff point (LPA: 5.1 h wk⁻¹; MVPA: 8.7 h wk⁻¹) and combined into one variable with four categories for the combinations of LPA and MVPA quartiles in disability and fatigue exceeded thresholds of minimally important differences (MIDs) for the EORTC QLQ-C30 (14, 19, and 11 for the physical, role, and social functioning subscales, respectively) (12). However, differences found between LPA or MVPA quartiles and the global health status/quality of life subscale did not (MID, 10) (12). It could be speculated that the lack of meaningful differences in global health status/quality of life score is due to LPA and/or MVPA being more strongly associated with some HRQoL domains (physical, role, and social functioning) than others (cognitive and emotional functioning), which is reflected in a weaker association with overall HRQoL (global health status/quality of life). For the WHODAS II, CIS, and HADS, no guidelines for MIDs are available. The differences we observed between LPA and MVPA quartiles in disability and fatigue exceeded 0.5 times the SD within our population, which is generally regarded as MID in this case (26).

Two studies have previously investigated the relation between LPA and physical functioning in colorectal cancer survivors (4,17). In one cross-sectional study, no associations were observed between LPA and physical functioning of colorectal cancer survivors, after adjustment for MVPA (17). However, within an intervention study in breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer survivors, positive associations were observed at baseline between LPA and several measures of physical functioning, independent from MVPA (4). Within our study, linear regression analyses showed that more time spent in LPA was associated with better physical functioning. However, as this association did not exceed MID guidelines and was not observed in sensitivity analyses using logistic regression with dichotomized HRQoL scores, it should be interpreted with caution. We observed that LPA was also associated with other HRQoL outcomes, such as role functioning and disability. Similarly, an observational study in a general population of elderly individuals observed that, independent from MVPA, increased time spent in LPA was positively associated with physical health and psychosocial well-being, which further supports the potential beneficial effect of LPA (10). For MVPA, our results are consistent with those of previous observational studies, in which MVPA was favorably associated with multiple HRQoL outcomes in colorectal cancer survivors, such as role functioning and disability. Similarly, an observational study in a general population of elderly individuals observed that, independent from MVPA, increased time spent in LPA was positively associated with physical health and psychosocial well-being, which further supports the potential beneficial effect of LPA (10).
as higher physical functioning and lower levels of fatigue (9,15,17,20,23,36).

Importantly, subgroup analyses showed that associations between LPA and HRQoL were mainly observed in women and survivors with multiple comorbidities. In a previous study that also investigated associations between LPA and physical functioning, no significant interaction between LPA and sex was found (4). The underlying explanation is unknown and needs to be further explored. It is possible that women and survivors with multiple comorbidities have difficulties in achieving higher levels of PA after treatment for colorectal cancer. Increasing time spent in LPA, rather than MVPA, might be a more realistic target for lifestyle interventions in these subgroups of colorectal cancer survivors. Our cross-sectional subgroup analyses were of an exploratory nature, and therefore, our findings need to be investigated in future prospective studies with larger sample sizes.

The two previous studies assessing self-reported LPA in colorectal cancer survivors (4,17) both applied the Community Health Activities Model Program for Seniors (CHAMPS) questionnaire, which includes leisure-time activities, walking, household activities, and exercise (32). We cannot directly compare self-reported levels of LPA of our study with these two previous studies, as these provided energy-weighted LPA measures in MET-hours per week (4) and kilocalories per week (17). In addition, a comparison is further limited by differences in MET-value assigned to activities in the CHAMPS versus the SQUASH questionnaire. For example, leisure walking in the CHAMPS questionnaire is classified as LPA (MET value, 2.5) (32), whereas in the SQUASH questionnaire, it is classified as MVPA (MET-value, 3.5) (43). As leisure walking is often reported by colorectal cancer survivors (4), this might greatly influence LPA levels. Furthermore, differences in characteristics associated with LPA between study samples might also hamper comparison, as for example, the participants in the previous cross-sectional study (17) were on average much older than the participants in our study (mean age, 81.5 vs 69.8 yr in our sample). The levels of MVPA we found in our sample were much higher than those in previous studies in Canadian (23), Australian (20), and American colorectal cancer survivors (5), which observed percentages of survivors reporting adherence to PA guidelines (≥150 min·wk⁻¹ of MVPA) of 26%, 39%, and 35%, respectively. The questionnaires used in these studies only included leisure-time activities and not vigorous household or work activities. However, as time spent in these activities was very low in our sample, we do not think this could entirely explain these differences. Similar as for LPA, differences in classification of activities as LPA and MVPA by different questionnaires might explain differences. Another reason might be a difference in self-reported PA levels in populations from different countries, as previous studies in Dutch colorectal cancer survivors, which used a different questionnaire from the SQUASH, observed similar mean levels of self-reported levels of MVPA as we found, of 11.1 h·wk⁻¹ (9) and 13.6 h·wk⁻¹ (15).

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating independent associations between LPA, MVPA, and multiple HRQoL outcomes in colorectal cancer survivors based on an ICF-based biopsychosocial approach. This approach enabled us to systematically and comprehensively measure HRQoL, by incorporating not only physical but also qualitative aspects of health such as psychosocial outcomes (e.g., fatigue and depression) and societal participation (e.g., role and social functioning) (38). In addition, our ICF-based conceptual model facilitated identification of important contextual factors influencing associations between PA and HRQoL, such as potential confounding and effect modifying factors, which was used during data analyses and interpretation of results. Because of the cross-sectional design, we cannot draw any conclusions regarding causality and direction of observed associations. Prospective studies are needed to confirm whether increasing LPA or MVPA can improve HRQoL of colorectal cancer survivors. We observed some differences between participants and non-participants, which could limit the generalizability of our findings. We could speculate that survivors with a lower HRQoL and PA were probably less likely to participate, leading to an underestimation of real associations between PA and HRQoL. Furthermore, PA was measured by self-report, which can be limited by recall bias and floor effects, especially for LPA (35), and by misclassification due to potential interindividual differences in reporting the perceived intensity level of the separate activities. Although the validity of measuring absolute PA levels by questionnaires is generally limited, the validity of ranking individuals according to relative PA levels is usually acceptable (14) as has also been found for the SQUASH questionnaire (42,43). By analyzing time per week of LPA and MVPA in quartiles, we were able to group individuals with similar PA levels and compare these groups within our analyses. In addition, we did not assess sedentary behavior (e.g., television viewing), which has shown to be highly prevalent and associated with HRQoL in Australian colorectal cancer survivors (21). Objective PA measures, such as accelerometers, can provide a more precise and valid assessment of both PA and sedentary behavior (19,35). Finally, our findings should be replicated in other studies, as we performed a large number of tests within our analyses that might have resulted in false-positive findings. However, the consistent pattern we observed of both LPA and MVPA being beneficially associated with multiple HRQoL outcomes in colorectal cancer survivors, which was in line with our prior hypotheses and findings from previous studies, suggests that these findings are not caused by mere chance and seem to represent true associations within this population.

In conclusion, in this cross-sectional study, we found that self-reported LPA, besides MVPA, was favorably related to multiple HRQoL outcomes in colorectal cancer survivors. Prospective studies, preferably with objective PA measurements, are warranted to further investigate whether LPA could be a suitable target for personalized...
lifestyle interventions to improve the HRQoL of colorectal cancer survivors.
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