

Moving towards dynamics

Citation for published version (APA):

Zinchenko, A., Kotz, S. A., Schröger, E., & Kanske, P. (2020). Moving towards dynamics: Emotional modulation of cognitive and emotional control. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 147, 193-201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsycho.2019.10.018

Document status and date: Published: 01/01/2020

DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsycho.2019.10.018

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license: Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

 A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

 The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these riahts.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Psychophysiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpsycho

Moving towards dynamics: Emotional modulation of cognitive and emotional control

Check for updates

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL O PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGI

Artyom Zinchenko^{a,*}, Sonja A. Kotz^{b,c}, Erich Schröger^d, Philipp Kanske^{e,f}

^a Department Psychologie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

^b Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Department of Neuropsychology, Leipzig, Germany

^c Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience, Department of Neuropsychology and Psychopharmacology, Maastricht University, the Netherlands

^d Institute of Psychology, University of Leipzig, Germany

^e Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Research Group Social Stress and Family Health, Leipzig, Germany

^f Clinical Psychology and Behavioral Neuroscience, Faculty of Psychology, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Cognitive control Emotional control Negative emotion Positive emotion Multisensory processing

ABSTRACT

Cognitive control is influenced by affective states and the emotional quality of the stimulus it operates on. In the present review, we address how emotional valence influences control processes, distinguish between different types of conflicts (cognitive, emotional), examine physiological correlates of cognition - emotion interactions, and discuss recent work on this interaction in multisensory contexts. We show converging evidence that positive and negative emotions differentially affect cognitive and emotional conflict processing, when the emotional stimulus dimension is or is not task-relevant. These effects are found particularly early in dynamic, multisensory stimuli as the stimulus dimensions can correctly or incorrectly predict one another, and lead to very rapid effects of emotion on cognitive control. We suggest that future research on emotion-cognition interactions should "move towards dynamics" and develop multisensory testing environments that approach real-world complexity.

1. Introduction

Goal-directed behavior requires successful resolution of conflicts by means of cognitive control. When driving, studying for an exam or multitasking, cognitive control enables focusing on task relevant aspects while ignoring task-irrelevant ones. It also supports task-performance and decision-making when there is a discrepancy between some expectations about the world and expectation-incongruent new experiences. In other words, cognitive conflict arises between competing and opposing information sources that result in conflicting response tendencies. In some cases, the source of conflict may be emotional, that is, conflict is created between stimuli of different emotional valence (e.g., the presence of a neutral face and an angry voice). For instance, successful social interaction may require one to identify what emotion an interaction partner is actually experiencing when expressing mixed emotions, such as a positive facial expression, but an anger-trembling voice (Chiew and Braver, 2011). Importantly, both cognitive and emotional control mechanisms are vital components of goal-directed and socially adaptive behavior.

Previous studies have mostly concentrated on cognitive conflict processing, measured with tasks such as the Stroop, Flanker, and Simon

tasks (Eriksen and Eriksen, 1974; Simon and Rudell, 1967; Stroop, 1935). For instance, in a classical Stroop task participants are asked to report the font color of a word while ignoring its semantic meaning that represents a color (e.g., GREEN, RED) and can either be congruent (e.g., RED written in red color) or incongruent with the semantic meaning (e.g., RED written in green color). In a modified version of this task, the face-Stroop task, participants are asked to identify the gender of a presented [picture of a] face and ignore a task irrelevant word written on top of the face that can be congruent with the gender of the face (e.g., the word MALE written on a male face) or incongruent with the gender of the face (e.g., the word FEMALE written on a male face). Another popular task is the flanker task. Participants are presented with a central target (e.g., a letter, an arrow) that is flanked by two taskirrelevant distractors (presented either above/below or to the right/left of the target item). The flanking items are congruent or incongruent with the target in some task-relevant feature (e.g., identity of the letter, left-right orientation of an arrow). It has been shown that participants take longer and make more errors when faced with incongruent ([face-] Stroop, flanker) relative to congruent trials, which is indicative of cognitive control. Shortening of reaction times and reduction in errors in incongruent trials would then be indicative of more efficient control.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2019.10.018 Received 19 March 2019: Received in revised form

Received 19 March 2019; Received in revised form 18 October 2019; Accepted 23 October 2019 Available online 16 November 2019 0167-8760/ © 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Department of Neuropsychology, Leipzig, Germany. *E-mail address:* artyom.zinchenko@psy.lmu.de (A. Zinchenko).

Cognitive control and its underlying neural mechanisms as well as various factors that may affect it, such as affective information, have been studied thoroughly in the past few decades (for an overview, see van Steenbergen, 2015). The goal of the current review is to gather evidence on how emotion affects cognitive conflict processing, and to pinpoint aspects of emotion-cognitive control interactions that are less clear and require further work. At the same time the review aims at providing possible directions for future research. In the following, we first review previous work on how emotion influences cognitive conflict processing. We will examine multiple cognitive and emotional control situations, defined by the task-relevance or -irrelevance of the emotional stimulus dimension, and review the associated physiological correlates. We will also examine whether emotions influence two types of control situations in a valence-specific manner by contrasting studies that used negative and positive emotions. Finally, we will discuss the role of emotions in cognitive and emotional control with a particular focus on how it unfolds in dynamic, multisensory stimulation, and will pinpoint some of the challenges and questions for future research.

2. Emotion and cognitive control

Processing of emotion information plays a vital role in cognitive function, signaling either upcoming danger or potential rewards. Thus, emotions can attract attention (Vuilleumier, 2005) and improve learning and memory processes (Seli et al., 2016; Tyng et al., 2017; Zinchenko et al., 2019b). It has been also proposed that emotion and cognitive control share processing resources and, consequently, can modulate each other (Pessoa, 2009). However, the direction of such modulation is not entirely clear, as it has been shown that task-irrelevant emotional stimulus dimensions can either hinder performance (i.e., increase the RT difference between congruent and incongruent stimuli) or facilitate conflict processing (i.e., reduce this difference). For an overview of different experimental approaches to this question see Table 1. The listed studies and the characteristics that they differ on will be reviewed in dedicated sections below.

Reduced cognitive control performance under negative emotions has been observed in a number of experimental settings (e.g., Hart et al., 2010; Melcher et al., 2011; Padmala et al., 2011; Sommer et al., 2008; Raschle et al., 2017). For instance, Hart et al. (2010) asked participants to indicate the number of items presented in congruent (i.e. the digit 4 in an array of 4) or incongruent (i.e. the digit 4 in an array of 3) arrays in a number Stoop task. Reaction times were even slower in incongruent trials when these were preceded by aversive rather than neutral stimuli. Sommer et al. (2008) presented participants with affective pictures (positive, negative, or neutral) in between blocks of Simon task trials and found that negative, but not positive or neutral pictures led to impaired performance in incongruent trials. Finally, negative emotions presented prior to color-words in a Stroop task both hinder behavioral performance and result in interference-related activation in control-related fronto-parietal brain regions (Melcher et al., 2011). Combined, these results have been mostly interpreted within the biased competition model (Desimone and Duncan, 1995), which argues that task-irrelevant emotional stimuli compete for limited cognitive resources, occupy executive and memory resources, and hinder conflict processing (see also Carretié, 2014).

However, other evidence noted opposite effects. For instance, Kanske and Kotz (2010) showed that task-irrelevant negative emotional words in a color flanker task can reduce the conflict effect. The time required for reporting the color of a centrally presented word flanked by words in different color (i.e., conflicting, incongruent) was reduced when words were negative. It has, thus, been suggested that negative emotional stimuli of high motivational intensity might heighten attentional focusing and facilitate cognitive attentional performance (Gable and Harmon-Jones, 2008, 2010; Kanske and Kotz, 2011b, c; Kanske, 2012). Grützmann et al. (2019) presented participants with a Flanker task on top of task-irrelevant negative and neutral background images. As a result, the authors found that negative relative to neutral pictures resulted in prolonged responses in congruent, but not in incongruent Flanker trials (i.e., the conflict effect was reduced in negative condition). Interestingly, the authors found such a reduction only in blocks where there were 75% of congruent relative to incongruent trials, that is, negative emotions could be specifically effective under the low tonic executive control. Importantly, this seems to occur independent of modality (i.e., whether the source of conflict is visual or auditory; Kanske and Kotz, 2011d; Fenske and Eastwood, 2003) and type of conflict task (for flanker and Simon type of task; Birk et al., 2011: Melcher et al., 2012: Kanske and Kotz, 2010, 2011a: Melcher et al., 2012; but see Fruchtman-Steinbok et al., 2016 for a lack of emotion-cognition interactions in auditory and tactile stimuli¹). The authors suggested that negative stimuli can, as salient, survival-related signals, facilitate the readiness to act and boost cognitive control (for an overview, see Kanske, 2012).

The discrepancy between the findings may be best explained by the specific role that negative emotion played in the different studies. While emotion itself was not task-relevant and although both groups of studies used comparable conflict processing tasks (i.e., flanker, Stroop etc.), they differed significantly in how they timed the presentation of negative emotion information. The majority of studies that reported emotion-related enhancement of cognitive control presented negative images (or videos and sounds) together with task-relevant information (Grützmann et al., 2019; Kanske and Kotz, 2010, 2011a, b; Zinchenko et al., 2015). Studies that found emotion-related reduction of cognitive control either induced negative emotion or mood prior to the experiment or shortly before the conflict trial (Hart et al., 2010; Sommer et al., 2008). It may therefore be that the emotional quality of taskunrelated stimuli, presented outside the main task may distract information processing and in turn hinder cognitive control. On the other hand, emotion facilitation effects can be observed if the negative emotion and task-relevant information coincide, that is, when behaviorally relevant stimuli that participants react to during a task are emotional (Kanske, 2012). Interestingly, negative stimuli do not necessarily have to be physically present at the time of conflict to coincide with the task and facilitate performance. For instance, it has been shown that sustained fear of bodily harm (fear of possible electrical shocks) could also facilitate cognitive control by reducing the incongruence effect in a color-word Stroop task (Hu et al., 2012). This temporal alignment of information in conflict processing is also in line with data showing that other cognitive processes are influenced by a pre-stimulus interval between the offset of a negative prime and onset of the task (e.g., Bocanegra and Zeelenberg, 2009; Posner et al., 1987).

Another potentially important factor that was suggested to modulate the influence of negative emotions on cognitive control is behavioral relevance. For instance, Cohen et al. (2015a, 2015b) showed that explicit (i.e., behavior-relevant) processing of negative images *prior* to a flanker task could actually facilitate conflict processing. Alternatively, when the negative value of stimuli was behavior-irrelevant, participants showed hindered performance on both congruent and incongruent trials (Cohen et al., 2015a, 2015b, 2016). The authors concluded that negative images presented prior to a cognitive control task might affect performance differently depending on whether they are processed implicitly or explicitly. Therefore, the exact role of the emotion-to-target pre-stimulus time interval as well as behavioral relevance of emotion processing in cognitive control tasks has to date not been entirely clarified.

¹ These authors also argue that it is not emotion that modulates cognitive control, but rather the recruitment of executive resources that modulates the processing of emotion (i.e., negates the distracting effects from negative stimuli), a point of view that we do not explicitly discuss in the current review (for details see Cohen et al., 2012; Cohen, Mor, & Henik, 2015; see also Straub et al., 2019 for more recent evidence).

	1
1	
ble	
<u>[</u>]	

Table 1 Research articles on emotion-cognitive control interactions. The table contains an overview of studies using different approaches to compare executive control tasks in emotional (negative, positive) and neutral 1

		Dellaviolal cliences	ineural enecus	Benavioral relevance of emotion	Modality	Valence	Control type
Emotion facilitates executive control Cohen et al., 2015b Preceding ne	executive control Deceding negative, neutral images/Arrow	Reduced conflict effect under explicit	N/A	Task- <i>relevant</i> ; presented	Visual	Negative	Cognitive
	flanker task	emotional processing condition.		before to the conflict task			control
Fenske and		Reduced conflict effect for negative relative	N/A	Task-relevant; presented	Visual	Negative/	Emotional
Eastwood, 2003	3 faces/face flanker task	to positive emotions		as target in the conflict task		Positive	control
Grützmann et al.,	Arrow-Flanker task and task-irrelevant	Reduced conflict effect under negative	Reduced N200 and correct-related negativity	Task-irrelevant;	Visual	Negative	Cognitive
2019	neutral and negative background images + mostly congruent and mostly incorrect ratio, conditions		to negative background images.	presented in the background of the			control
	incongruent trials conditions			contrict task	-		:
Hu et al., 2012	Color-Stroop task and threat of electrical shock.	Reduced conflict effect under anticipation of electrical shock.	Threat anticipation resulted in elevated skin conductance responses	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> threat anticipation is within the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
Kanske and Kotz,	Negative and neutral words/Word-flanker	Reduced conflict effect in negative stimuli.	Increased N200 conflict effect in negative	Task-irrelevant;	Visual	Negative	Cognitive
2010	task.		stmuti.	presented as target in the conflict task			control
Kanske and Kotz, 2011a	Positive and neutral words/Word-flanker task.	Reduced conflict effect in positive stimuli.	Increased N200 conflict effect in positive stimuli.	Task- <i>irrelevant,</i> presented as target in the conflict task	Auditory	Positive	Cognitive control
Kanske and Kotz, 2011b	Negative and neutral spoken words/ Auditory Simon task.	Reduced conflict effect in negative stimuli.	Increased N400 conflict effect in negative stimuli/Negative stimuli vielded activation in	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented as target in the	Auditory	Negative	Cognitive control
			the right ventral ACC	conflict task			
Kanske and Kotz, 2011c	Negative and neutral words/Word-flanker task.	Reduced conflict effect in negative stimuli.	Ventral ACC was activated in conflict trials with negative stimuli. Emotion also increased functional connectivity between the ventral ACC and activation of the dorsal ACC and the	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented as target in the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
			amygdala in conflict trials.				
Kanske and Kotz, 2011d	Positive and neutral spoken words/ Auditory Simon task.	Reduced conflict effect in positive stimuli.	Increased N400 conflict effect in positive stimuli	Task- <i>irrelevant</i> , presented as target in the conflict task	Auditory	Positive	Cognitive control
Li et al., 2014	Negative, positive and neutral words/	Incongruent stimuli resultsd in slower	Negative but not positive emotions resulted in	Task-irrelevant;	Visual	Negative/	Cognitive
	Word-flanker task.	performance	increased N100 to incongruent trials	presented as target in the conflict task		Positive	control
Zinchenko et al., 2015	Dynamic multisensory videos/Face-Stroop task	Negative emotion resulted in reduced cognitive and emotional conflict effects	Emotion- and control-related modulation of conflict-sensitive N100, P200 and N200	Task- <i>relevant</i> and <i>—irrelevant;</i> presented as target in the conflict task	Audio-visual	Negative	Cognitive control/ Emotional control
Zinchenko et al., 2017b	Dynamic multisensory videos/Face-Stroop task	Positive emotion resulted in reduced cognitive but not emotional conflict effects	Emotional modulation of the P200 and N200 conflict effects	Task- <i>relevant</i> and <i>-irrelevant</i> ; presented as target in the conflict task	Audio-visual	Positive	Cognitive control/ Emotional control
Zinchenko et al., 2017c	Dynamic multisensory videos/Face-Stroop task	Emotional modulation of cognitive control in younger and older adults.	Emotion- and control-related modulation of conflict-sensitive N100, P200 and N200	Task- <i>relevant</i> and <i>-irrelevant</i> ; presented as target in the conflict task	Audio-visual	Negative	Cognitive control/ Emotional control
Zinchenko et al., 2018.	Dynamic multisensory videos/Face-Stroop task	Emotional modulation of cognitive control in older adults without specific hearing impairments.	Emotion- and control-related modulation of conflict-sensitive N100, P200 and N200	Task- <i>relevant</i> and <i>-irrelevant;</i> presented as target in the conflict task	Audio-visual	Negative	Cognitive control/ Emotional

(continued on next page)

\sim
g
2
2
.5
1
Ľ
0
ಂ
Ū
_
d)
<u> </u>
Ē
٦,
<u> </u>

Table 1 (continued)							
Study	Stimuli/Task	Behavioral effects	Neural effects	Behavioral relevance of emotion	Modality	Valence	Control type
Cohen et al., 2015b	Preceding negative, neutral images/Arrow flanker task	No emotion- control interaction under implicit emotional processing condition.	N/A	Task- <i>irrelevant';</i> presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
Dennis and Chen, 2007	Arrow Flanker task that was preceded by emotional (angry, sad, fearful) or neutral inages	No emotion- executive control interaction	Larger N200 conflict effect following fearful images.	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
Dennis et al., 2008	Fearful, neutral and control images preceded the Flanker task/Arrow flanker task.	Fearful faces impaired executive Control under low-anxiety state	N/A	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
Hart et al., 2010	Numbers, negative emotional images/ number Stoop task	Increased incongruence cost under negative emotion primes.	N/A	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
Martin and Kerns, 2011	Comedy videos clips for positive mood induction/Flanker task	No emotional modulation of cognitive control	N/A	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Positive	Cognitive control
Melcher et al., 2011;	Color-words Stroop task preceded by negative images	Increased incongruence effect when preceded by negative images	Interference-related activation in control- related [fronto-parietal] brain regions	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
O'Toole et al., 2011	Arrow Flanker task that was preceded by emotional (angry, sad, fearful) or neutral innaces	No emotion- executive control interaction	N/A	Task- <i>irrelevant</i> ; presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
Padmala et al., 2011	Negative images/word-face Stoop task	Negative emotion reduced conflict- adaptation effects.	N/A	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
Raschle et al., 2017	Numbers, negative images/number Stoop task	No emotion- control interaction	Decreased emotion-related activations within PFC, amygdala, and insular cortex under	Task- <i>irrelevant</i> ; presented <i>before</i> the	Visual	Negative	Cognitive control
Sommer et al., 2008	Simon task preceded by negative, positive and neutral images	Impaired performance in incongruent trials of negative condition; no effect of positive condition	nicong uence Less activation of brain areas associated with task performance in negative, but not in positive condition.	Task- <i>irrelevant;</i> presented <i>before</i> the conflict task	Visual	Negative, Positive	Cognitive control

3. Conflict-specificity

The majority of studies in the field have concentrated on cognitive control, that is, the source of conflict is between non-emotional stimulus components (e.g., as in the classical Stroop task). However, an increasing number of studies investigate emotional conflict processing, that is, when the source of conflict occurs between opposing emotional information sources (e.g., Egner et al., 2008; Soutschek et al., 2013; Torres-Quesada et al., 2014; Zinchenko et al., 2015). For instance, in an emotional conflict face-Stroop task participants were asked to identify the emotion of a presented face and ignored a task irrelevant word written on top of the face that was congruent with the valence of the face (e.g., the word HAPPY written on a happy face) or incongruent with the valence of the face (e.g. the word FEAR written on a happy face). Such incongruencies hinder performance and prolong reaction times, similarly to what is reported in cognitive conflict tasks (Egner et al., 2008; Etkin et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the processing of emotional and cognitive conflicts seems to differ at both the behavioral and neural levels (Egner et al., 2008; Soutschek et al., 2013; Torres-Quesada et al., 2014; but see Chiew and Braver, 2011 for a lack of evidence of control-specific functional dissociation). For instance, Soutschek et al. (2013) examined the effects of additional emotional and neutral cognitive load on an emotional and a cognitive Stroop task. Here, nonemotional working memory load selectively suppressed conflict processing in the cognitive Stroop task, but not in the emotional Stroop task. In contrast, emotional cognitive load hindered performance selectively in the emotional Stroop task. These findings support domainspecific (cognitive, emotional) conflict processing mechanisms. Furthermore, Egner et al. (2008) used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to test for domain-specific neural correlates that could be unique for either emotional or cognitive control (Egner et al., 2008). The authors reported activation in lateral prefrontal regions in response to cognitive conflicts and rostral anterior cingulate regions that resolved emotional conflicts. Additionally, the dorsal anterior cingulate (dACC) was active for both conflict types. It has been suggested that the observed dACC activation represents a common conflict-detection mechanism that is observed across emotion and cognitive conflict, but separate neural systems appear to support control processes involved in conflict resolution across emotion and cognitive domains. To reiterate, cognitive and emotional conflict processing recruit partially dissociable and control-specific neuroanatomical networks but they also share a common conflict-detection mechanism.

Similar to the emotional modulation of cognitive control, task relevance of the affective information in emotional control has also been addressed in recent studies (Zinchenko et al., 2017c; Zinchenko et al., 2015, 2018). Here, participants watched short congruent and incongruent audiovisual videos where the emotional valence of the two sensory streams was either congruent or incongruent. Participants identified the valence of the voice and could ignore the face. The voice could be emotional or neutral, with the face being emotional or neutral. Thus, the influence of an emotional or a neutral target on emotional conflict processing was studied. The results indicated that similarly to cognitive conflict tasks, negative targets lead to more efficient conflict processing in the emotional task and to an emotion-specific modulation of event-related potentials (ERPs). Emotional target stimuli are, hence, speeding up both cognitive and emotional control processes.

4. Emotional valence: specifics of positive emotions

Positive and negative emotional stimuli may both be salient and attract attention more readily than neutral stimuli (Pilarczyk and Kuniecki, 2014; Straub et al., 2019). However, valence along the negative-positive dimension may still have complementary, but distinct neuropsychological functions (Fredrickson, 2001). While negative emotions narrow the breadth of attention (Eysenck et al., 2007; Fredrickson, 2001), positive emotions tend to broaden the individual's scope of visual attention (e.g., Johnson et al., 2010). It is therefore an interesting question whether valence modulates cognitive and emotional control in a distinct manner. As most of the studies reviewed up to this point in the manuscript contrasted negative and neutral emotion conditions, we will concentrate on the specifics of positive emotion in the current section and conclude by an overall comparison of positive and negative valence.

Positive emotions may affect conflict processing in different ways. For instance, positive emotions are associated with greater flanker interference (Rowe et al., 2007) and, similarly to negative emotions, may also have detrimental effects on cognitive control when a non-target stimulus (e.g., presented shortly before the target stimulus) is emotional (e.g., Blair et al., 2007; Dreisbach, 2006). On the other hand, positive emotions were also shown to speed up cognitive conflict processing when the behavioral target is emotional, leading to an increased conflict-sensitive N200 response (Kanske and Kotz, 2011a; Kanske and Kotz, 2011c; Zinchenko et al., 2017b). With regard to emotional control, a recent study found that positive emotional targets impede emotional conflict processing (Zinchenko et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c). Incongruent positive compared to neutral stimuli yielded increased response times in an emotional conflict task where emotion was behavior-relevant. In line with the behavioral findings, conflict-related P200 and N200 responses were also modulated by emotion. These latter results show that positive emotions may have an opposite effect by either enhancing or hindering performance depending on the type of executive control (i.e., cognitive, emotional).

These results also align well with studies that showed positive emotions to increase distractibility (Dreisbach and Goschke, 2004) and to reduce the use of informative cues in cognitive functions (Fröber and Dreisbach, 2012). For example, positive emotional primes reduced the prediction strength between the cue and a subsequent target (Dreisbach, 2006). When a facial cue is positive in an emotional conflict task, it may form weaker predictions about the upcoming target and reduce the contrast between a positive prime and a target, thus reducing the conflict effect (Zinchenko et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c). Finally, a few studies also reported no influence of positive emotion on cognitive control (e.g., Martin and Kerns, 2011).

5. Physiological correlates of cognition - emotion interaction

Previous work has also investigated the physiological correlates of cognitive control and their modulation by negative emotion. Electroencephalography (EEG) studies showed that incongruent stimuli, producing conflict, elicit an early (100 ms) increased negativity in the event-related potential (ERP) in response to dynamic stimuli (e.g., Ho et al., 2015; Kokinous et al., 2014; Pourtois et al., 2000). For instance, Garrido-Vásquez et al. (2018) used dynamic facial expressions as primes presented prior to negatively intoned pseudo-speech sentences. They reported an enhanced N100 response to incongruently primed prosodies (see also Li et al., 2014). Studies using static stimuli in classic conflict tasks typically show conflict-related negative increases around 200 ms post-stimulus onset (Bartholow et al., 2005; Kanske and Kotz, 2010; Ladouceur et al., 2007; van Veen and Carter, 2002). For instance, Bartholow and colleagues reported an increased N200 response to incongruent trials in a flanker task. Later negativities have also been observed when conflicting information is more complex, in particular around 400 ms after stimulus onset (N400; Kellenbach et al., 2000; Schirmer and Kotz, 2003; Willems et al., 2008). For instance, Kutas and Hillyard (1980) observed that semantically incongruent sentence endings (e.g., "He planted string beans in his car") resulted in an increased N400 response compared to semantically congruent endings (e.g., "He planted string beans in his garden"; for a more recent overview see Kutas et al., 2014). These relatively late negative EEG deflections were interpreted as incongruence detection mechanisms when participants are faced with incompatible information (Greenham et al., 2000; Liotti et al., 2000; Rebai et al., 1997). Finally, late positivegoing components of the EEG, in particular the P300 and P600, have been associated with the exertion of inhibitory control to enable execution of the correct response despite conflicting response tendencies (Brouwer et al., 2017; Enriquez-Geppert et al., 2010). To summarize, a number of neurophysiological measures were identified that are sensitive to processing of conflicting or incongruent information.

Investigating the emotional modulation of these EEG markers of conflict processing gives critical insight into how and when emotion can influence cognitive control. Interestingly, when using dynamic, multisensory stimuli, the N100 component is enlarged when the [task-irrelevant] emotional valence of the presented stimuli is negative rather than neutral (Zinchenko et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c; Zinchenko et al., 2015; Brouwer et al., 2017). Comparable amplitude increases are also observed in the N200 time-range in static conflict tasks (Kanske and Kotz, 2011a; with the deflections partially being positive, Liu et al., 2012; Pourtois et al., 2000; Spreckelmeyer et al., 2006; Zinchenko et al., 2019a) and in the N400 with more complex stimuli (Kanske and Kotz, 2010; Kanske and Kotz, 2011c). In other words, emotion that coincides with task-relevant targets and speeds up conflict processing goes along with an increase in conflict-related potentials. The modulation of cognitive control by emotion is thus fast and differential.

An explanation for the temporal variation of ERP responses in conflict processing (100–600 ms post stimulus) may be the specific nature of the stimuli. For instance, reading written words in a word flanker task is highly automatized; also, as soon as a stimulus is presented, the conflict arises and conflict related effects occur around 200 ms after stimulus onset (Kanske and Kotz, 2010). In contrast, in an auditory Simon task (see Kanske and Kotz, 2011d), a conflict was only present once participants processed and identified an auditory target, which did not coincide with the stimulus onset and hence only showed relatively late (400 ms). Finally, multisensory, dynamic settings show particularly early modulations of the ERP at around 100 ms post-stimulus. We discuss their particular characteristics in depth in the following section.

6. Dynamic stimuli and cognitive control

Studying cognitive control with multisensory, dynamic stimuli that closely approximate real-life situations is a relatively recent development. Multi- rather than unisensory information leads to very early (~100-200 ms post stimulus) modulations of neural responses (de Gelder and Vroomen, 2000; Klucharev et al., 2003; Knowland et al., 2014; Kokinous et al., 2015; van Wassenhove et al., 2005). Furthermore, in these dynamic settings, visual information typically and naturally precedes auditory information (Chandrasekaran et al., 2009; Garrido-Vásquez et al., 2018; Jessen and Kotz, 2013; Stekelenburg and Vroomen, 2012). Consequently, visual cues can facilitate the processing of the upcoming auditory information (e.g., Besle et al., 2004; Garrido-Vásquez et al., 2018; Klucharev et al., 2003; van Wassenhove et al., 2005; de Gelder and Vroomen, 2000; Ethofer et al., 2006; Gao et al., 2018). Importantly, these early integration effects seem to disappear once auditory and visual information are temporally aligned, that is, when there is no anticipatory visual motion (Stekelenburg and Vroomen, 2007). For instance, in a recent study, Föcker and Röder (2019) showed that incongruent pairings of face-voice combinations led to a larger negative response to incongruent than congruent trials in the time range of 400-550 ms (N400). The authors suggested that such relatively late ERP incongruence effects could be caused by the short time period of about 50 ms between the onset of the face and the auditory stimulus used in their study, while some other studies presented preceding videos for a longer time prior to voice onset (e.g., < 500 ms, Zinchenko et al., 2015). These data demonstrate that multisensory modulation of early neural responses at least partially relies on the predictive value of one sensory modality onto another.

The dynamic quality of information may further modulate audiovisual interactions (De Gelder and Bertelson, 2003) as multisensory integration effects are much stronger for dynamic faces than static ones (Campanella and Belin, 2007). The benefit of multisensory [dynamic] information processing has repeatedly been shown in a number of dynamic audiovisual paradigms (Jessen and Kotz, 2011; Jessen and Kotz, 2013; Stekelenburg and Vroomen, 2007; Stekelenburg and Vroomen, 2012). Of note, such early interaction seems not to be affected by an increase in mental and attentional workload (Vroomen et al., 2001) supporting the view of automatic audio-visual binding of cross-modal [emotional] signals (but see Ho et al., 2015 for a different view). Therefore, the use of realistic, dynamic multisensory stimuli may help in the investigation of conflict processing and the impact of emotion on cognitive control.

In several studies, we have used dynamic multisensory audio-visual stimuli to create a version of a face-Stroop conflict task (Zinchenko et al., 2015; see also Zinchenko et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c). In this task, participants had to report either the emotional valence or semantic meaning of a non-verbal vocalization and ignore task-irrelevant emotional and neutral face- and lip-movements. Importantly, the face naturally preceded the auditory stream by \sim 500 ms and could either be congruent (the vocalization "A" paired with lip-movements corresponding to the vocalization "A") or incongruent (the vocalization "O" paired with lip-movements corresponding to the vocalization "A"), constituting a cognitive conflict. Irrelevant for the task at hand, facial and auditory stimulus segments could either be emotional or neutral. The data clearly show early conflict effects that were observed already ~100 ms after stimulus onset (see also Ho et al., 2015; Kokinous et al., 2014). Moreover, these conflict effects were modulated by task-irrelevant negative emotions, that is, a conflict-specific negative increase was more pronounced for emotional than neutral stimuli. Thus, dynamic, multisensory stimuli may lead to an earlier detection of incongruity and earlier emotion-control interactions.

Importantly, in certain cases the observed findings on emotioncognition interactions were only possible due to the dynamic, multisensory design. For instance, the valence-specific role of positive emotions in emotional control became apparent when tested specifically in a multisensory context with a strong prediction component and was hidden when tested in unisensory setups (Zinchenko et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c; Egner et al., 2008). Additionally, it is dynamic, multisensory stimuli that resulted in the observed early N100 incongruence modulation by negative emotions (Zinchenko et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c; Zinchenko et al., 2015), which advanced our understanding of the time-course of emotion-cognition interaction.

Finally, such multisensory designs with high ecological validity are particularly well-suited for research in special populations, such as elderly or older adults with hearing loss, where processing of one or more stimulus modalities (audio, emotions) is degraded due to natural brain changes (Zinchenko et al., 2017c; Zinchenko et al., 2018). For example, it was found that older, but not younger adults show no processing costs in an emotional conflict task where the emotional target word was flanked by congruent or incongruent emotional distractors (i.e., negative, positive), while both age groups showed interference on control trials that required processing of non-emotional targets and distractors (Samanez-Larkin et al., 2009). However, no such effect was observed when stimuli were more realistic (i.e., dynamic and multisensory), where negative emotion facilitated emotional conflict processing in both age groups (Zinchenko et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c; Zinchenko et al., 2018).

7. Challenges and questions

Despite immense progress exploring the emotion - cognitive control interaction, there are still many open questions and challenges. Specifically, there is a strong need to move towards more naturalistic stimuli, possibly even testing outside of the lab by measuring situations of self-control (failures) in daily activities (e.g., measured by experience sampling) and testing the influence of emotions on these processes (see Krönke et al., 2018). This idea may be rather beneficial for studies of emotional conflict processing that are thought to represent processing of such concepts as irony or satire that occur in social interactions and peer-to-peer communication (Pexman, 2008; Watanabe et al., 2014) and can only partially be restaged in lab settings.

Although there is some evidence from EEG and fMRI studies showing that cognitive and emotional conflict processing may be achieved in partially dissociated neural systems (Egner et al., 2008; Torres-Quesada et al., 2014; Zinchenko et al., 2015; but see Chiew and Braver, 2011), we know little about the time-course of the interaction of the various brain regions involved. Therefore, future studies should use combined EEG and fMRI measurements that would enable examining the time-course and localization of cognition-emotion interaction.

A further angle to be explored in cognitive and emotional conflict processing is inter-individual differences. There is evidence that interpersonal characteristics such as effortful control, clinical and subclinical depression and anxiety (Kanske and Kotz, 2012; Zinchenko et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2017c; Zinchenko et al., 2017a), emotional intelligence (Megías et al., 2017), behavioral activation sensitivity (e.g., Dennis and Chen, 2007; but see also O'Toole et al., 2011) as well as trait susceptibility to worry (Owens et al., 2015) modulate participants' emotion and conflict processing, as well as their interaction (for an overview see also Okon-Singer et al., 2013). Exploring whether extreme variants of these individual differences constitute risk factors for mental disorders may support the formulation of etiological models.

8. Conclusion

Emotions are salient stimuli that signal potential threats or opportunities for reward. Therefore, task-relevant and –irrelevant emotions play an important role in modulating executive cognitive control. Such modulation is highly evolutionary adaptive as it can reduce the time that an organism is incapable of acting due to conflicting response tendencies. However, it seems that the role of positive and negative emotions may vary depending on the conflict type (cognitive, emotional) and the time of presentation (prior to the target, as a target). To move towards ecologically valid settings of cognitive control (failures) in everyday life, future studies should push forward experimental approaches with dynamic, multisensory, and realistic stimuli (e.g., testing outside of lab in real-life situations) and combine different neuroimaging methods with experience sampling approaches.

References

- Bartholow, B.D., Pearson, M.A., Dickter, C.L., Sher, K.J., Fabiani, M., Gratton, G., 2005. Strategic control and medial frontal negativity: beyond errors and response conflict. Psychophysiology. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2005.00258.x.
- Besle, J., Fort, A., Delpuech, C., Giard, M.H., 2004. Bimodal speech: early suppressive visual effects in human auditory cortex. Eur. J. Neurosci. 20 (8), 2225–2234. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2004.03670.x.
- Birk, J.L., Dennis, T.A., Shin, L.M., Urry, H.L., 2011. Threat facilitates subsequent executive control during anxious mood. Emotion 11, 1291–1304.
- Blair, K.S., Smith, B.W., Mitchell, D.G.V., Morton, J., Vythilingam, M., Pessoa, L., Blair, R.J.R., 2007. Modulation of emotion by cognition and cognition by emotion. NeuroImage. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.11.048.
- Bocanegra, B.R., Zeelenberg, R., 2009. Dissociating emotion-induced blindness and hypervision. Emotion. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017749.
- Brouwer, Crocker, Venhuizen, & Hoeks. (2017). A neurocomputational model of the N400 and the P600 in language processing. *Cognitive science*, 41, 1318–1352.
- Campanella, S., Belin, P., 2007. Integrating face and voice in person perception. Trends Cogn. Sci. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2007.10.001.
- Carretié, L., 2014. Exogenous (automatic) attention to emotional stimuli: a review. Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-014-0270-2.
- Chandrasekaran, C., Trubanova, A., Stillittano, S., Caplier, A., Ghazanfar, A.A., 2009. The natural statistics of audiovisual speech. PLoS Comput. Biol. 5 (7). https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pcbi.1000436.
- Chiew, K.S., Braver, T.S., 2011. Neural circuitry of emotional and cognitive conflict revealed through facial expressions. PLoS One 6 (3), e17635.
- Cohen, N., Henik, A., Moyal, N., 2012. Executive control attenuates emotional effects: for high reappraisers only? Emotion 12, 970–979. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026890.Cohen, N., Mor, N., Henik, A., 2015a. Linking executive control and emotional response: a

training procedure to reduce rumination. Clin. Psychol. Sci. 3 (1), 15-25.

- Cohen, N., Moyal, N., Lichtenstein-Vidne, L., Henik, A., 2015b. Explicit vs. implicit emotional processing: the interaction between processing type and executive control. Cogn. Emot. 30, 325–339.
- Cohen, N., Moyal, N., Lichtenstein-Vidne, L., Henik, A., 2016. Explicit vs. implicit emotional processing: the interaction between processing type and executive control. Cognit. Emot. 30 (2), 325–339.
- De Gelder, B., Bertelson, P., 2003. Multisensory integration, perception and ecological validity. Trends in Cognitive Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2003.08.014.
- Dennis, T.A., Chen, C.-C., 2007. Neurophysiological mechanisms in the emotional modulation of attention: the interplay between threat sensitivity and attentional control. Biol. Psychol. 76, 1–10.
- Dennis, T.A., Chen, C.C., McCandliss, B.D., 2008. Threat-related attentional biases: an analysis of three attention systems. Depress. Anxiety 25 (6), E1–E10.
- Desimone, R., Duncan, J., 1995. Neural mechanisms of selective visual. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.18.030195.001205.
- Dreisbach, G., 2006. How positive affect modulates cognitive control: the costs and benefits of reduced maintenance capability. Brain Cogn. 60 (1), 11–19. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bandc.2005.08.003.
- Dreisbach, G., Goschke, T., 2004. How positive affect modulates cognitive control: reduced perseveration at the cost of increased distractibility. J. Exp. Psychol. Learn. Mem. Cogn. 30 (2), 343–353. https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.30.2.343.
- Egner, T., Etkin, A., Gale, S., Hirsch, J., 2008. Dissociable neural systems resolve conflict from emotional versus nonemotional distracters. Cereb. Cortex 18 (6), 1475–1484. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm179.
- Enriquez-Geppert, S., Konrad, C., Pantev, C., Huster, R.J., 2010. Conflict and inhibition differentially affect the N200/P300 complex in a combined go/nogo and stop-signal task. Neuroimage 51 (2), 877–887.
- Eriksen, B.A., Eriksen, C.W., 1974. Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a nonsearch task. Percept. Psychophys. https://doi.org/10.3758/ BF03203267.
- Ethofer, T., Anders, S., Erb, M., Droll, C., Royen, L., Saur, R., ... Wildgruber, D., 2006. Impact of voice on emotional judgment of faces: an event-related fMRI study. Hum. Brain Mapp. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20212.
- Etkin, A., Egner, T., Peraza, D.M., Kandel, E.R., Hirsch, J., 2006. Resolving emotional conflict: a role for the rostral anterior cingulate cortex in modulating activity in the amygdala (vol 51, pg 871, 2006). Neuron 52 (6), 1121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2006.12.003.
- Eysenck, M.W., Derakshan, N., Santos, R., Calvo, M.G., 2007. Anxiety and cognitive performance: attentional control theory. Emotion 7 (2), 336–353. https://doi.org/10. 1037/1528-3542.7.2.336.

Fenske, M.J., Eastwood, J.D., 2003. Modulation of focused attention by faces expressing emotion: evidence from flanker tasks. Emotion 3 (4), 327.

- Föcker, J., Röder, B., 2019. Event-related potentials reveal evidence for late integration of emotional prosody and facial expression in dynamic stimuli: an ERP study. Multisens. Res. 1, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1163/22134808-20191332.
- Fredrickson, B.L., 2001. The role of positive emotions in positive psychology. The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. The American Psychologist 56 (3), 218–226. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.218.
- Fröber, K., Dreisbach, G., 2012. How positive affect modulates proactive control: reduced usage of informative cues under positive affect with low arousal. Frontiers in Psychology 3 (JUL). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00265.
- Fruchtman-Steinbok, T., Salzer, Y., Henik, A., Cohen, N., 2016. The interaction between emotion and executive control: comparison between visual, auditory, and tactile
- modalities. Q. J. Exp. Psychol. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2016.1199717. Gable, P.A., Harmon-Jones, E., 2008. Approach-motivated positive affect reduces breadth of attention. Psychol. Sci. 19, 476–482.
- Gable, P.A., Harmon-Jones, E., 2010. The effect of low vs. high approach-motivated positive affect on memory for peripherally vs. centrally presented information. Emotion 10, 599–603.
- Gao, C., Wedell, D.H., Green, J.J., Jia, X., Mao, X., Guo, C., Shinkareva, S.V., 2018. Temporal dynamics of audiovisual affective processing. Biol. Psychol. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2018.10.001.
- Garrido-Vásquez, P., Pell, M.D., Paulmann, S., Kotz, S.A., 2018. Dynamic facial expressions prime the processing of emotional prosody. Front. Hum. Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00244.
- de Gelder, B., Vroomen, J., 2000. Bimodal emotion perception: integration across separate modalities, cross-modal perceptual grouping or perception of multimodal events? Cognit. Emot. 14 (3), 321–324.
- Greenham, S.L., Stelmack, R.M., Campbell, K.B., 2000. Effects of attention and semantic relation on event-related potentials in a picture-word naming task. Biol. Psychol. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0511(00)00070-3.
- Grützmann, R., Riesel, A., Kaufmann, C., Kathmann, N., Heinzel, S., 2019. Emotional interference under low versus high executive control. Psychophysiology 56, e13380. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13380.
- Hart, S.J., Green, S.R., Casp, M., Belger, A., 2010. Emotional priming effects during Stroop task performance. NeuroImage 49 (3), 2662–2670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2009.10.076.
- Ho, H.T., Schröger, E., Kotz, S.A., 2015. Selective attention modulates early human evoked potentials during emotional face–voice processing. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 27 (4), 798–818. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_00734.
- Hu, K., Bauer, A., Padmala, S., Pessoa, L., 2012. Threat of bodily harm has opposing effects on cognition. Emotion 12, 28–32.
- Jessen, S., Kotz, S.A., 2011. The temporal dynamics of processing emotions from vocal, facial, and bodily expressions. Neuroimage 58 (2), 665–674. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuroimage.2011.06.035.

- Johnson, K.J., Waugh, C.E., Fredrickson, B.L., 2010. Smile to see the forest: facially expressed positive emotions broaden cognition. Cognit. Emot. 24 (2), 299–321. https:// doi.org/10.1080/02699930903384667.
- Kanske, P., 2012. On the influence of emotion on conflict processing. Front. Integr. Neurosci. 6 (July), 2010–2013. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2012.00042.
- Kanske, P., Kotz, S.A., 2010. Modulation of early conflict processing: N200 responses to emotional words in a flanker task. Neuropsychologia 48 (12), 3661–3664. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.07.021.
- Kanske, P., Kotz, S.A., 2011a. Conflict processing is modulated by positive emotion: ERP data from a flanker task. Behav. Brain Res. 219 (2), 382–386. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.bbr.2011.01.043.
- Kanske, P., Kotz, S.A., 2011b. Emotion speeds up conflict resolution: a new role for the ventral anterior cingulate cortex? Cereb. Cortex 21 (4), 911–919. https://doi.org/10. 1093/cercor/bhq157.
- Kanske, P., Kotz, S.A., 2011c. Emotion triggers executive attention: anterior cingulate cortex and amygdala responses to emotional words in a conflict task. Hum. Brain Mapp. 32 (2), 198–208. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.21012.
- Kanske, P., Kotz, S.A., 2011d. Positive emotion speeds up conflict processing: ERP responses in an auditory Simon task. Biol. Psychol. 87 (1), 122–127. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2011.02.018.
- Kanske, P., Kotz, S.A., 2012. Effortful control, depression, and anxiety correlate with the influence of emotion on executive attentional control. Biol. Psychol. 9, 88–95.
- Kellenbach, M.L., Wijers, A.A., Mulder, G., 2000. Visual semantic features are activated during the processing of concrete words: event-related potential evidence for perceptual semantic priming. Cogn. Brain Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6410(00)00023-9.
- Klucharev, V., Mottonen, R., Sams, M., 2003. Electrophysiological indicators of phonetic and non-phonetic multisensory interactions during audiovisual speech perception. Cogn. Brain Res. 18 (1), 65–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogbrainres.2003.09.004.
- Knowland, V.C.P., Mercure, E., Karmiloff-Smith, A., Dick, F., Thomas, M.S.C., 2014. Audio-visual speech perception: a developmental ERP investigation. Dev. Sci. https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12098.
- Kokinous, J., Tavano, A., Kotz, S.A., Schroger, E., 2014. Audiovisual facilitation is maintained under degraded visual stimulation during the perception of dynamic emotion expressions. Psychophysiology 51, S60.
- Kokinous, J., Kotz, S.A., Tavano, A., Schroger, E., 2015. The role of emotion in dynamic audiovisual integration of faces and voices. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 10 (5), 713–720. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsu105.
- Kutas, M., Hillyard, S.A., 1980. Event-related brain potentials to semantically inappropriate and surprisingly large words. Biol. Psychol. 11 (2), 99–116.
- Kutas, M., Federmeier, K.D., Urbach, T.P., 2014. The "negatives" and "positives" of prediction in language. In: Gaz- zaniga, M.S., Mangun, G.R. (Eds.), The Cognitive Neurosciences V. MIT Press., pp. 649–656.
- Krönke, K.M., Wolff, M., Mohr, H., Kräplin, A., Smolka, M.N., Bühringer, G., Goschke, T., 2018. Monitor yourself! Deficient error-related brain activity predicts real-life selfcontrol failures. Cognitive. Affective. & Behavioral Neuroscience 18 (4), 622–637.
- control failures. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience 18 (4), 622–637. Ladouceur, C.D., Dahl, R.E., Carter, C.S., 2007. Development of action monitoring through adolescence into adulthood: ERP and source localization. Dev. Sci. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2007.00639.x.
- Li, W., Jiang, Z., Liu, Y., Wu, Q., Zhou, Z., Jorgensen, N., Li, C., 2014. Positive and negative emotions modulate attention allocation in color-flanker task processing: evidence from event related potentials. Motiv. Emot. 38 (3), 451–461.
- Liotti, M., Woldorff, M.G., Perez, R., Mayberg, H.S., 2000. An ERP study of the temporal course of the Stroop color-word interference effect. Neuropsychologia. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0028-3932(99)00106-2.
- Liu, T.S., Pinheiro, A., Zhao, Z.X., Nestor, P.G., McCarley, R.W., Niznikiewicz, M.A., 2012. Emotional cues during simultaneous face and voice processing: electrophysiological insights. PLoS One 7 (2). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031001. (doi: ARTN e31001).
- Martin, E.A., Kerns, J.G., 2011. The influence of positive mood on different aspects of cognitive control. Cognit. Emot. https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2010.491652.
- Megías, A., Gutiérrez-Cobo, M.J., Gómez-Leal, R., Cabello, R., Fernández-Berrocal, P., 2017. Performance on emotional tasks engaging cognitive control depends on emotional intelligence abilities: an ERP study. Sci. Rep. 7 (1), 16446. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41598-017-16657-y.
- Melcher, T., Born, C., Gruber, O., 2011. How negative affect influences neural control processes underlying the resolution of cognitive interference: an event-related fMRI study. Neurosci. Res. 70, 415–427.
- Melcher, T., Obst, K., Mann, A., Paulus, C., Gruber, O., 2012. Antagonistic modulatory influences of negative affect on cognitive control: reduced and enhanced interference resolution capability after the induction of fear and sadness. Acta Psychol. 139, 507–514.
- Okon-Singer, H., Lichtenstein-Vidne, L., Cohen, N., 2013. Dynamic modulation of emotional processing. Biol. Psychol. 92, 480–491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho. 2012.05.010.
- O'Toole, L.J., DeCicco, J.M., Hong, M., Dennis, T.A., 2011. The impact of task-irrelevant emotional stimuli on attention in three domains. Emotion 11, 1322–1330.
- Owens, M., Derakshan, N., Richards, A., 2015. Trait susceptibility to worry modulates the effects of cognitive load on cognitive control: an ERP study. Emotion 15 (5), 544–549.
- Padmala, S., Bauer, A., Pessoa, L., 2011. Negative emotion impairs conflict-driven executive control. Front. Psychol. 2 (AUG). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011. 00192.

- Pessoa, L., 2009. How do emotion and motivation direct executive control? Trends Cogn. Sci. 13 (4), 160–166.
- Pexman, P.M., 2008. It's fascinating research the cognition of verbal irony. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 17, 286–290.
- Pilarczyk, J., Kuniecki, M., 2014. Emotional content of an image attracts attention more than visually salient features in various signal-to-noise ratio conditions. J. Vis. 14 (12), 4. https://doi.org/10.1167/14.12.4.
- Posner, M.I., Inhoff, A.W., Friedrich, F.J., Cohen, A., 1987. Isolating attentional systems: a cognitive-anatomical analysis. Psychobiology. https://doi.org/10.3758/ BF03333099.
- Pourtois, G., de Gelder, B., Vroomen, J., Rossion, B., Crommelinck, M., 2000. The timecourse of intermodal binding between seeing and hearing affective information. Neuroreport 11 (6), 1329–1333. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200004270-00036.
- Raschle, N.M., Fehlbaum, L.V., Menks, W.M., Euler, F., Sterzer, P., Stadler, C., 2017. Investigating the neural correlates of emotion–cognition interaction using an affective stroop task. Front. Psychol. 8, 1489.
- Rebai, M., Bernard, C., Lannou, J., 1997. The Stroop's test evokes a negative brain potential, the N400. Int. J. Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.3109/00207459708986367.
- Rowe, G., Hirsh, J.B., Anderson, aK., 2007. Positive affect increases the breadth of attentional selection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104 (1), 383–388. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0605198104.
- Samanez-Larkin, G.R., Robertson, E.R., Mikels, J.A., Carstensen, L.L., Gotlib, I.H., 2009. Selective attention to emotion in the aging brain. Psychol. Aging 24, 519–529. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016952.
- Schirmer, A., Kotz, S.A., 2003. ERP evidence for a sex-specific Stroop effect in emotional speech. J. Cogn. Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1162/089892903322598102.
- Seli, P., Wammes, J.D., Risko, E.F., Smilek, D., 2016. On the relation between motivation and retention in educational contexts: the role of intentional and unintentional mind wandering. Psychon. Bull. Rev. 23 (4), 1280–1287.
- Simon, J.R., Rudell, A.P., 1967. Auditory S-R compatibility effect of an irrelevant cue on information processing. J. Appl. Psychol. 51 (3), 300. https://doi.org/10.1037/ h0020586.
- Sommer, M., Hajak, G., Döhnel, K., Meinhardt, J., Müller, J.L., 2008. Emotion-dependent modulation of interference processes: an fMRI study. Acta Neurobiol. Exp. 68 (2), 193–203 (doi:6823 [pii).
- Soutschek, A., Taylor, P.C.J., Muller, H.J., Schubert, T., 2013. Dissociable networks control conflict during perception and response selection: a Transcranial magnetic stimulation study. J. Neurosci. 33 (13), 5647–5654. https://doi.org/10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.4768-12.2013.
- Spreckelmeyer, K.N., Kutas, M., Urbach, T.P., Altenmüller, E., Münte, T.F., 2006. Combined perception of emotion in pictures and musical sounds. Brain Res. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2005.11.075.
- van Steenbergen, H., 2015. Affective modulation of cognitive control: a biobehavioral perspective. In: Handbook of Biobehavioral Approaches to Self-regulation. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 89–107.
- Stekelenburg, J.J., Vroomen, J., 2007. Neural correlates of multisensory integration of ecologically valid audiovisual events. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 19 (12), 1964–1973. https:// doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2007.19.12.1964.
- Stekelenburg, J.J., Vroomen, J., 2012. Electrophysiological correlates of predictive coding of auditory location in the perception of natural audiovisual events. Front. Integr. Neurosci. 6 (May), 26. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2012.00026.
- Straub, E., Kiesel, A., Dignath, D., 2019. Cognitive control of emotional distraction valence-specific or general? Cognit. Emot. https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2019. 1666799.
- Stroop, J.R., 1935. Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. J. Exp. Psychol. 18, 643–662. https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.121.1.15.
- Torres-Quesada, M., Korb, F.M., Funes, M.J., Lupiáñez, J., Egner, T., 2014. Comparing neural substrates of emotional vs. non-emotional conflict modulation by global control context. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnhum.2014.00066.
- Tyng, C.M., Amin, H.U., Saad, M.N.M., Malik, A.S., 2017. The influences of emotion on learning and memory. Front. Psychol. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01454.
- van Veen, V., Carter, C.S., 2002. The timing of action-monitoring processes in the anterior cingulate cortex. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 14 (4), 593–602.
- Vroomen, J., Driver, J., & De Gelder, B. (2001). Is cross-modal integration of emotional expressions independent of attentional resources? Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience. doi:https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.1.4.382.
- Vuilleumier, P., 2005. How brains beware: neural mechanisms of emotional attention. Trends Cogn. Sci. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.10.011.
- van Wassenhove, V., Grant, K.W., Poeppel, D., 2005. Visual speech speeds up the neural processing of auditory speech. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102 (4), 1181–1186. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408949102.
- Watanabe, T., Yahata, N., Kawakubo, Y., Inoue, H., Takano, Y., Iwashiro, N., et al., 2014. Network structure underlying resolution of conflicting non-verbal and verbal social information. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 9, 767–775.
- Willems, R.M., Özyürek, A., Hagoort, P., 2008. Seeing and hearing meaning: ERP and fMRI evidence of word versus picture integration into a sentence context. J. Cogn. Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2008.20085.
- Zinchenko, A., Kanske, P., Obermeier, C., Schröger, E., Kotz, S.A., 2015. Emotion and goal-directed behavior: ERP evidence on cognitive and emotional conflict. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 10 (11), 1577–1587. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsv050.
- Zinchenko, A., Al-Amin, M.M., Alam, M.M., Mahmud, W., Kabir, N., Reza, H.M., Burne, T.H.J., 2017a. Content specificity of attentional bias to threat in post-traumatic stress disorder. Journal of anxiety disorders 50, 33–39.
- Zinchenko, A., Obermeier, C., Kanske, P., Schröger, E., Kotz, S.A., 2017b. Positive

emotion impedes emotional but not cognitive conflict processing. Cognitive, Affective, and Behavioral Neuroscience 17 (3), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-017-0504-1.

 Zinchenko, A., Obermeier, C., Kanske, P., Schröger, E., Villringer, A., Kotz, S.A., 2017c. The influence of negative emotion on cognitive and emotional control remains intact in aging. Front. Aging Neurosci. 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2017.00349.
Zinchenko, A., Kanske, P., Obermeier, C., Schröger, E., Villringer, A., Kotz, S.A., 2018. Modulation of cognitive and emotional control in age-related mild-to-moderate hearing loss. Front. Neurol. 9, 783. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018.00783. Zinchenko, A., Chen, S., Zhou, R., 2019a. Affective modulation of executive control in early childhood: evidence from ERPs and a Go/Nogo Task. Biol. Psychol. 144, 54–63.

Zinchenko, A., Geyer, T., Müller, H.J., Conci, M., 2019b. Affective modulation of memory-based guidance in visual search: dissociative role of positive and negative emotions. Emotion. https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000602.