Radiation dosimetry in vascular radiology : organ and effective dose to patients and staff

Citation for published version (APA):

Kicken, P. J. H. (1996). *Radiation dosimetry in vascular radiology : organ and effective dose to patients and staff*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Universiteit Maastricht. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.19960620pk>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1996

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.19960620pk](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.19960620pk)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

**RADIATION DOSIMETRY IN VASCULAR RADIOLOGY:
ORGAN AND EFFECTIVE DOSE TO PATIENTS AND STAFF**

aan
Paulette,
Thomas en Cécile

ISBN 90 5278 217 2

© P.J.H. Kicken, Maastricht, the Netherlands, 1996.

All rights are reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted in any form or by any means, or republished in any form, without permission in writing from the copyright owner.

The work described in this thesis was financially supported by the former Dutch Ministry of Welfare, Health, and Cultural Affairs (The Hague), the Dutch Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment (The Hague), and the University Hospital Maastricht.

**Radiation dosimetry in vascular radiology:
Organ and effective dose to patients and staff**

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Limburg te Maastricht,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus, Prof. Mr. M.J. Cohen,
volgens het besluit van het College van Dekanen,
in het openbaar te verdedigen
op donderdag 20 juni 1996 om 14.00 uur

door

Peter Joseph Hubert Kicken

Promotores:

Prof. dr. J.M.A. van Engelshoven
Prof. dr. J.J. Broerse (Rijksuniversiteit Leiden)

Co-promotor:

Dr. G.J. Kemerink

Beoordelingscommissie:

Prof. dr. A. Hasman (voorzitter)
Dr. L.B. Beentjes (Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen)
Prof. dr. P.J.E.H.M. Kitslaar
Dr. J. Zoetelief (TNO, CSD Rijswijk)

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Purpose of this study
- 1.2 Scope of this study
- 1.3 Contents and structure of this thesis

2. Basic concepts of radiation quantities and risks

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Quantities and units in dosimetry
- 2.3 Effects and risks of X-rays

3. Radiological protection and radiation doses

- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 Objectives of radiological protection
- 3.3 General principles of radiological protection
- 3.4 Radiological protection in radiology in the Netherlands
- 3.5 General information about radiation exposures in diagnostic radiology
- 3.6 General information about radiation doses in vascular radiology

4 Arteriography and interventional vascular radiology

- 4.1 Arteriography
- 4.2 Interventional vascular radiology
- 4.3 Vascular imaging techniques
- 4.4 Diagnostic imaging systems in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard
- 4.5 Exposure conditions to patients in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard
- 4.6 Occupational exposure conditions in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard

5 Vascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992: a quantitative approach

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Methods: questionnaire to 165 hospitals
- 5.3 Results of the questionnaire
- 5.4 Discussion
- 5.5 Conclusion

6 Methods and techniques to assess the radiation dose to patients and staff

- 6.1 Introduction
- 6.2 Dosimetric methods to quantify organ doses
- 6.3 Automatic recording of technique parameters and dose-area product
- 6.4 Dosimetric approach for patients
- 6.5 Dosimetry techniques applied for occupational exposure

7. Exposure conditions of patients in vascular radiology: collected data about technique parameters, dose-area product, patient characteristics and effective dose estimates

7.1 Introduction

7.2 Dose-area product and technique parameters for arteriography and percutaneous transluminal angioplasty procedures in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard

7.3 Characterisation of the patient

7.4 Effective dose estimates for the patient, using published data on E/DAP-ratios

7.5 Correlation analysis

8 Quantitative data about patient exposure conditions and dose estimates for selected procedures in the University Hospital Maastricht

8.1 Introduction

8.2 Quantification of patient radiation exposure conditions in arteriography of the pelvis and lower limbs

8.3 Organ doses and effective dose to patients in arteriography of the pelvis and lower limbs

8.4 Quantification of patient radiation exposure conditions in abdominal arteriography

8.5 Organ doses and effective dose to patients in abdominal arteriography

8.6 Comparison of results of this study with dose estimates obtained from previously published data

9 Entrance doses and effective dose to occupationally exposed persons in arteriography and interventional endovascular radiology.

9.1 Introduction

9.2 Dose measurement procedures to assess occupational exposures

9.3 Entrance doses to occupationally exposed persons in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard

9.4 Effectiveness of 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons in vascular radiology

9.5 Effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons

10 Conclusions and recommendations for dose control

10.1 Introduction

10.2 Results inferred for patients

10.3 Results inferred for occupationally exposed persons

10.4 Recommendations

Appendices:

A Thermoluminescence dosimetry

B Distribution of red marrow in peripheral bones

C Lead aprons

D Effective dose to the patient as a function of the X-ray beam direction

References

Samenvatting

Acknowledgement

Chapter 1

Introduction

Vascular radiology involves imaging¹ of the vascular system for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, using various modalities such as X-ray techniques, (doppler) ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging. X-rays may cause adverse biological effects to patients and staff. Generally, prolonged fluoroscopic times and multiple series of radiographic and/or digital images are required, resulting in relatively high radiation doses to patients and staff. Due to significant variations in the use of X-ray equipment, exposure conditions and radiation doses may vary widely. However, to date, few studies into these radiation exposures have been conducted. Therefore, we performed a study with the following purposes.

1.1 Purpose of this study

Objectives of this study into radiation exposures in vascular radiology were:

1. to quantify the doses to patients;
2. to quantify the occupational doses;
3. to compare occupational doses with legally established dose limits;
4. to derive simple measurement procedures to estimate medical and occupational doses;
5. to derive measures to optimise radiological protection of the patient and staff.

1.2 Scope of this study

This thesis describes the design and results of a study into the radiation dose to patients and personnel during vascular radiology. Radiation doses have been quantified for three main groups of procedures:

- I. Intra-arterial arteriography, comprising digital subtraction angiography (DSA), serial cut film radiography, or both techniques. Procedures were classified into:
 - a. pelvis/lower limbs arteriography.
 - b. abdominal arteriography
 - c. thoracic arteriography
 - d. arteriography of the upper limbs
 - e. cerebral arteriography

¹ Imaging of the vascular system by using X-rays comprises *fluoroscopy* for real-time imaging of the vessels and the catheter, and *film radiography* and *digital imaging* for diagnostic and recording purposes.

- II. Intra-venous digital subtraction arteriography (IV-DSA):
 - a. pelvis/lower limbs IV-DSA
 - b. abdominal IV-DSA
 - c. thoracic IV-DSA
- III. Interventional endovascular procedures:
 - a. percutaneous transluminal angioplasty in the lower limbs
 - b. percutaneous transluminal angioplasty in the abdomen.

Quantitative data on medical exposure conditions and occupational exposures are given for all above-mentioned procedures. Details on fluoroscopy and imaging are given for procedures in groups Ia, Ib, IIa, and IIb. Data on occupational exposures are given for the operator and the first assistant for procedures in groups I and III. Dose measurements were performed in the angiography rooms in three Dutch hospitals: the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen and the Maasland Hospital Sittard. Dose estimates in the University Hospital Maastricht and the De Wever Hospital were associated with the X-ray tube in undercouch positions. Initial measurements in the Maasland Hospital were performed with overcouch X-ray tube positions. After a deliberate change of the protocol, the dosimetric study was continued with undercouch X-ray tube applications. For extrapolation of the results from the present study, a survey to the extent of vascular radiology in the Netherlands was conducted in 1992.

1.3 Contents and structure of this thesis

Basic data on radiation quantities, biological effects and risks due to exposures to ionising radiation are given in Chapter 2. In Chapter 3 radiological protection, and medical and occupational exposures to X-rays in diagnostic radiology are introduced. Literature data about radiation exposures in vascular radiology are reviewed. In Chapter 4, a description is given of vascular radiology, seen from the view point of radiological protection. This chapter comprises data on X-ray equipment, imaging systems, and exposure conditions to patients and staff in the three hospitals, mentioned before. A survey to the number and types of vascular radiology procedures, characteristics of equipment, and occupational exposure conditions in the Netherlands is reported in Chapter 5. The design of the study into the radiation exposure of patients and personnel is described in Chapter 6. In Chapter 7, measurement results of an automated, real-time monitoring system, developed for this study by the Department of Radiology of the University Hospital Maastricht, are presented. This chapter also comprises characteristic data on the patients. In Chapter 8, details on radiodiagnostic views in selected arteriography procedures, results of Monte Carlo organ dose calculations, and estimates for the effective dose are given. Quantitative data on occupational exposures, derived from numerous measurements with thermoluminescent dosimeters, are discussed in Chapter 9. Concluding remarks and recommendations to optimise radiological protection in vascular radiology are given in Chapter 10.

Chapter 2

Basic concepts of radiation quantities and risks

2.1 Introduction

The discovery of X-ray photoluminescence by Röntgen in 1895 [RO95] was followed by the recognition of chemical and physical effects such as the photographic effect and ionisation. Soon after the very early applications there was evidence of detrimental biological effects as well. Reddening of the skin and ulceration (at high dose levels) even became a method for dosage control in radiation therapy in the early 20th century [TA89]. Only one year after the discovery of X-rays, Becquerel discovered radioactivity. In a long history of research, scientists such as M. and P. Curie, E. Rutherford, P. Villard, E. Chadwick, L. Gray and many others have contributed to the understanding of the properties of radioactive substances and ionising radiation. In the fields of radiation application and protection, it was soon concluded that coherent systems of measurements, quantities and units were indispensable. On an international level, this has resulted in the establishing of a number of commissions and advisory boards. In the field of metrology, the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU) has become the leading institution.

2.2 Quantities and units in dosimetry

In diagnostic radiology and in radiological protection, various quantities are used to describe the radiation field or the radiation energy transferred to the imaging system and to the human body. An elementary description of X-ray fields comprises quantitative data on the number, direction and energy of the photons. Radiation fields can be characterised by radiometric quantities, such as fluence rate and energy fluence rate, as defined by ICRU [IC80]. The ionisation process, which is elementary related to the interaction of radiation with matter, can be characterised by interaction coefficients, such as cross sections, linear energy transfer and mass energy absorption coefficients [IC80].

In assessments of risks of exposure to ionising radiation, differences in relative biological effectiveness of various types of radiation as well as differences in radiosensitivity of human tissues should be taken into account. It is obvious that primary physical quantities do not account for these factors. Therefore, in order to be of practical value in radiological protection, primary physical quantities have to be “translated” into health physics quantities, in which differences and similarities of the biological response to different types of exposures have been incorporated. Due to advancements in radiobiology and epidemiology, organ specific risk estimates can change, and indeed have changed in the past. Eventually, new insights in risks are followed by modifications in the relationship between physical quantities and health physics quantities.

To restrict the risks associated with the exposure to ionising radiation, systems of dose limits have been introduced and applied. Quantities used in this system are called *limiting quantities*. To assess the radiation exposure in terms of limiting quantities, a number of operational quantities have been defined, such as *ambient dose equivalent* and *personal dose equivalent*. These quantities, measurable with radiation measurement devices, provide a sufficiently conservative assessment of limiting quantities to ensure the absence of underestimates [IC88, IC92, IC93].

Definitions of a number of quantities and units which are applicable to quantify medical and occupational radiation exposures are given below. Fundamental definitions of physical radiation quantities are given in ICRU Report 43 [IC88], of operational quantities in ICRU Report 47 and Report 51 [IC92, IC93], and of radiation protection quantities in Publication 60 of the International Commission on Radiological Protection [IC91]. Since we did not apply operational quantities in this study, these quantities are not included in the following summary.

Absorbed dose

The physical quantity *absorbed dose*, D , is the quotient of $d\epsilon_{\text{mean}}$ by dm , where $d\epsilon_{\text{mean}}$ is the mean energy imparted by ionising radiation to matter of mass dm [IC80, IC93].

$$D = d\epsilon_{\text{mean}} / dm$$

The mean energy imparted, ϵ_{mean} , is the mathematical expectation value of the energy imparted ϵ . The energy imparted, ϵ , by ionising radiation to matter in a volume is [IC93]:

$$\epsilon = R_{\text{in}} - R_{\text{out}} + \Sigma Q$$

where

- R_{in} = the radiant energy incident on the volume, i.e. the sum of the energies (excluding rest energies) of all those charged and uncharged ionising particles which enter the volume;
- R_{out} = the radiant energy emerging from the volume, i.e. the sum of the energies (excluding rest energies) of all those charged and uncharged ionising particles which leave the volume;
- ΣQ = the sum of all changes of the rest mass energy of nuclei and elementary particles in any nuclear transformations which occur in the volume.

The energy imparted results from random discrete energy deposition events by individual ionising particles and their secondaries. The quantity ϵ is therefore stochastic [NC91]. Consequently, ϵ varies discontinuously in space. A definition of absorbed dose in terms of the differential quotient $d\epsilon/dm$ would be of little practical value, since its numerical value would have an unpredictable stochastic character. By using the averaged imparted energy instead, the nature of the absorbed dose is non-stochastic and is, in general, a continuous function [GR85].

The mean value of ϵ (ϵ_{mean}) is derived from

$$\epsilon_{\text{mean}} = \int_0^{\infty} \epsilon f_V(\epsilon) d\epsilon$$

where

$f_V(\epsilon)$ = the normalised probability distribution function of ϵ , with V the volume containing mass m [NC91].

The absorbed dose is defined at a given position in the irradiated object and is a non-stochastic quantity. Because of the discrete manner in which energy is imparted, the limiting process of $V \rightarrow 0$ is obviously an idealisation. The volume V should at all times contain a large number of atoms [NC91]. Specification of the absorbed dose should include the medium in which the absorbed dose has been determined. In the Systeme International d'Unités (SI system) the absorbed dose is expressed with the units $\text{Joule} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$. The special SI unit of absorbed dose is the gray (Gy); 1 gray is equal to $1 \text{ J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$. The former special unit was the rad ($1 \text{ rad} = 0.01 \text{ Gy}$).

Absorbed dose in biological tissue

In radiological protection the quantity absorbed dose refers in general to the absorbed dose in a biological tissue. For radiological protection ICRP has defined the *absorbed dose in biological tissue*, $D_{T,R}$, as the amount of energy imparted to a tissue or organ T by ionising radiation of type R ($E_{\text{imparted},R}$), divided by the mass of the irradiated tissue or organ (m_T) [IC91].

$$D_{T,R} = E_{\text{imparted},R} / m_T$$

In other words, $D_{T,R}$ is the average dose in a tissue or organ. The tissue or organ as well as the type of radiation must be specified. In this thesis the quantity "absorbed dose in biological tissue" is referred to as "tissue dose", "organ dose", or "dose" for short. Presupposing a linear dose-effect relationship, the average absorbed dose in a tissue is especially useful as an indicator for the probability of stochastic effects. The special SI unit of absorbed dose in tissue is the gray (Gy); 1 gray is equal to $1 \text{ J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$. The traditional unit was the rad ($1 \text{ rad} = 0.01 \text{ Gy}$).

Equivalent dose

The quantity *equivalent dose*, H_T , introduced by ICRP for application in radiological protection [IC91], is defined as the tissue dose $D_{T,R}$ weighted for the biological effectiveness of the radiation quality of interest. The equivalent dose is derived by multiplying the tissue dose with a so-called radiation weighting factor (w_R). Contributions of different radiation types have to be totalised.

$$H_T = \sum_R (w_R \cdot D_{T,R})$$

The radiation weighting factor depends on the type and energy of the radiation incident on the body. Radiation weighting factors have been selected to be representative for the relative biological effectiveness in inducing stochastic effects at a low dose level. The value of w_R for X-rays has been set to unity. Consequently, the numerical value of H_T is equal to the numerical value of $D_{T,R}$ for all medical diagnostic applications of X-rays.

The special S.I.-unit of equivalent dose is the sievert (Sv); 1 sievert is equal to $1 \text{ J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$. The quantity *equivalent dose* is the successor of the quantity *dose equivalent* which was introduced by ICRP in 1977 [IC77]. Although these quantities show some differences, in radiology they may be regarded as similar. The special S.I.- unit and former unit of the superseded quantity dose equivalent are the sievert and the rem, respectively ($1 \text{ rem} = 0.01 \text{ Sv}$).

In diagnostic radiology, radiation energy is imparted to internal organs and tissues. Generally, only a small number of organs is directly exposed to the primary X-ray beam. The majority of organs will receive scattered radiation from the directly exposed tissues, system components such as the aperture and the table top, or air. As a general rule, organ dose contributions due to scattered radiation are much lower than the dose due to the primary radiation. Organ doses depend on the body part being examined, the examination type, and the features of the X-ray equipment and imaging system. Obviously, the radiation dose also depends, directly or indirectly, on the weight, height, gender and age of the patient, and on the skill and attitude of the operator. Due to the relatively small radiation field and the high attenuation of the impinging X-rays inside the body, organ equivalent doses may vary between a fraction of a microsievert up to tens of millisieverts. For example, normalised to entrance dose, an anterior-posterior chest examination (80 kV, 4 mm Al) may result in 0.4 mSv/mGy equivalent dose to the lungs, and 0.002 mSv/mGy to the lower large intestine. A posterior-anterior examination of the abdomen (80 kV, 4 mm Al) may result in a normalised equivalent dose of 0.009 mSv/mGy to the lungs, and 0.2 mSv/mGy to the lower large intestine (data derived with XDOSE [LE94]).

Effective dose

In the past it was recognised that radiation doses have to be presented in a comprehensive way. Comparison of radiation risks and determination of the efficiency of protection measures are hardly feasible, if the dosimetric information merely comprises a (long) list of equivalent organ doses. Inhomogeneous exposure conditions and internal contaminations in particular asked for a different approach. To be able to compare various, nonuniform exposures, resulting in different distributions of organ doses, ICRP introduced in 1977 the quantity *effective dose equivalent* [IC77], which was defined in 1978 [IC78]. In 1991 this quantity was superseded by the effective dose.

The health physics quantity *effective dose*, E , is defined by ICRP [IC91] as the sum of the weighted equivalent doses in all tissues and organs of the body. The weighting accounts for differences in the relative probability of stochastic effects in various organs and tissues.

$$E = \sum_T (w_T \cdot H_T)$$

where

w_T is a so-called tissue weighting factor for tissue T ,

H_T is the equivalent dose of tissue T , thereby

$$E = \sum_T (w_T \cdot \sum_R (w_R \cdot D_{T,R}))$$

Numerical values for tissue weighting factors depend only on the organ or tissue, not on the quality of the radiation. Tissue weighting factors refer to a reference population of equal numbers of males and females, in a wide range of ages. Numerical values for tissue

weighting factors for 12 organs have been defined in ICRP Publication 60 (see Table 2.1). In addition, various organs have been included in a remainder group. As mentioned before, the numerical value of w_R is equal to 1 for diagnostic medical X-ray applications. Consequently, under these circumstances the effective dose can be calculated as:

$$E = \sum_T (w_T \cdot D_T)$$

If remainder organs are exposed the effective dose is computed as:

$$E = \sum_T (w_T \cdot D_T) + w_{\text{remainder}} \cdot \sum_r (m_r \cdot D_r) / \sum_r m_r$$

where

$$w_{\text{remainder}} = 0.05$$

m_r is the mass of remainder tissue r (see footnote in Table 2.1)

By its definition, E is related to stochastic effects of radiation in man, associated with exposures to low dose levels only. The special S.I.-unit of effective dose is the sievert (Sv); 1 sievert is equal to $1 \text{ J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$. The special S.I.-unit and former special unit of the superseded quantity *effective dose equivalent* are the sievert and the rem respectively ($1 \text{ rem} = 0.01 \text{ Sv}$).

Table 2.1 Tissue weighting factors^a

Tissue or organ	Tissue weighting factor, w_T
Gonads	0.20
Red bone marrow, colon ^b , lung, stomach	0.12
Bladder, breast, liver, oesophagus, thyroid	0.05
Skin, bone surface	0.01
Remainder ^c	0.05

^a Source: ICRP Publication 60 [IC91]; ^b Colon = descending colon, sigmoid and rectum. Colon is equal to "lower large intestine" as applied in ICRP Publication 26; ^c For calculation purposes, ICRP proposed to include the following tissues and organs in Remainder (figures in brackets refer to the mass (kg) of the male and female reference man respectively [IC75]: adrenals (0.014, 0.014), brain (1.4, 1.2), upper large intestine (0.21, 0.20), small intestine (0.64, 0.60), kidneys (0.31, 0.275), muscle (28, 17), pancreas (0.1, 0.085), spleen (0.18, 0.15), thymus (0.02, 0.02) and uterus (-, 0.08).

Kerma

The physical quantity *kerma*, K , was first defined by ICRU in 1962 [IC62]. In ICRU Report 43 [IC88] kerma is defined as the quotient of dE_{tr} by dm , where dE_{tr} is the sum of the initial kinetic energies of all the charged particles liberated by uncharged ionising radiation in a volume element of a specified material and dm is the mass of the matter in that volume element.

$$K = dE_{tr} / dm$$

Kerma, the name of this quantity was originally derived from "kinetic energy relaxed in material" [IC62], was introduced in order to take the nature of the interaction process of uncharged particles with matter into account. Uncharged radiations, such as photons and

neutrons, transfer energy in a two-stage process. In the first stage, the uncharged radiation transfers energy to a charged particle, originating of the irradiated material. In the second stage, this charged particle interacts with a (large) number of atoms, thereby imparting its kinetic energy to the material. With respect to its nature of interaction, uncharged ionising radiation is called "indirectly ionising radiation". The quantity kerma is related to the first stage of this interaction process. The specification of kerma should comprise information on the characteristics of the medium in which the kerma has been determined.

For calibration purposes of radiation measuring systems the quantity air kerma, measured free in air ($K_{\text{air, free-in-air}}$) is used frequently. As indicated by its name, $K_{\text{air, free-in-air}}$ refers to conditions in which the kerma in air has been determined with no significant contributions of scattered radiation originating in other materials. In actual determinations of K the mass element should be so small that its introduction should not disturb the field of the indirectly ionising radiation. For mono-energetic photons, the air kerma (K_{air}) can be derived from the following equation [IC92]

$$K_{\text{air}} = 160.22 \cdot 10^{-9} \cdot (\mu_{\text{tr}}/\rho) \cdot E \cdot \phi$$

where

K_{air} = the kerma in air, free in air [mGy]

μ_{tr}/ρ = the mass energy transfer coefficient of photons with energy E in air [cm^2g^{-1}]

E = the photon energy [MeV]

ϕ = the fluence [cm^{-2}]

The Dutch National Standard Laboratory (NMI) applies the quantity $K_{\text{air, free-in-air}}$ to calibrate radiation monitoring devices. If these instruments are used to determine the absorbed dose, conversion factors must be applied.

Under the condition of charged particle equilibrium (CPE), and if the production of bremsstrahlung is considered to be negligible, the absorbed dose D_m is related to $K_{\text{air, free-in-air}}$ as:

$$D_m = [(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_m / (\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_{\text{air}}] \cdot K_{\text{air, free-in-air}}$$

where

$(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_m$ and $(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_{\text{air}}$ are the mass energy absorption coefficients of the material m and *air* respectively.

Since both conditions are generally met in medical radiology, the numerical values of $K_{\text{air, free-in-air}}$ and $D_{\text{air, free-in-air}}$ are equal.

Under CPE conditions the sum of energies of charged particles entering and leaving the volume of interest (excluding rest energy) are equal [IC80]. CPE will generally exist in a uniform medium at a point which lies beyond the maximum range for the secondary charged particles from the boundaries of the medium. CPE will generally not exist near the interface between two dissimilar media [KA78]. In the low photon energy range, as encountered in medical radiology, ranges of secondary electrons produced by the primary interaction process are less than approximately $10 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ (adapted from US70), which

corresponds to approximately 0.01 cm in soft tissue and 8 cm in air. The special S.I.-unit of kerma is the gray (Gy); 1 gray is equal to $1 \text{ J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$.

Dose-area product

The quantity *dose-area product*, DAP, is related to the quantity exposure-area product, as introduced in the 1960s by Carlsson [CA63, CA65]. DAP is equal to the dose in air in a plane, integrated over the area of interest defined by the variables x and y .

$$\text{DAP} = \int_{x=\min}^{x=\max} \int_{y=\min}^{y=\max} D(x, y) \, dx \, dy$$

Dose area product meters have been in use for more than three decades in Germany, and for some time in the United Kingdom, the Scandinavian countries and the United States of America [PY94]. DAP measurements, carried out with specially designed devices, provide data on the absorbed dose at a reference distance to the focus and the area of the exposed surface at this distance, perpendicular to the central axis of the X-ray beam. Disregarding absorption and scattering of radiation in air, the dose rate is approximately inversely quadratically related to the distance from the focus of the X-ray tube. Since the cross-section of the beam is quadratically related to the focal distance, the product of dose with area is constant, independent of the reference distance from the focus. Taking advantage of this phenomenon one can indirectly determine DAP, free in air (i.e. without back scatter radiation from the patient), at the skin entrance position by measurement of DAP close to the focus. Descriptions of the determination of DAP by using dedicated systems such as a Diametor transmission ionisation chamber can be found elsewhere [PY78, SH82, WA89].

The relevance of the quantity dose-area product for diagnostic radiology lies partially in its relation to the quantity effective dose (E). Various authors have described a reasonable relationship between DAP and E for simple examinations [LE92a]. Furthermore, this quantity can be measured quite easily and may, combined with data on e.g. fluoroscopy time and patient characteristics, provide useful data for intercomparison of the performance of X-ray systems and/or individual operators. The unit of dose-area product is gray times square meters ($\text{Gy} \cdot \text{m}^2$); the sub-unit milligray times square centimetres ($\text{mGy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$) is also used.

Other radiation dose and risk quantities

To improve the accuracy of dose assessments and risk estimations, other quantities were derived in the past. These quantities take account of differences in the type of effects involved, or differences in groups of exposed people, such as male versus female, or young versus old people. For example, to make a distinction between somatic and hereditary effects, the quantity somatically effective dose equivalent (SED) has been introduced by Beentjes [BE84]. Beninson and Sowby [BE85] derived age and sex dependent weighting factors to estimate the effective dose equivalent under medical exposure conditions. Huda et al. [HU89, HU90] developed Population Irradiation Factors (PIFs) to adjust for differences in age and sex distributions. Some investigators expressed the radiation exposure to patients directly in terms of the calculated fatal risk instead of in a dosimetric quantity [BE84, WA88]. In the early sixties, the quantity *mean energy imparted* was introduced by Carlsson [CA63] to assess somatic risks in radiology. This quantity has been commented on and applied by a number of other investigators [CA65, HA83, MA93, PE93, PY78, SH84, WA89a]. For some common examinations of the trunk and head, Carlsson [CA86]

derived ratios of the effective dose equivalent to the mean energy imparted as well as to the absorbed dose. Shrimpton [SH86] concluded that the total energy imparted and effective dose equivalent agree within a factor of about two to three. Huda [HU91] stated that, compared with the effective dose equivalent, the correlation between energy imparted and effective dose is weaker. This difference was ascribed to changes in the tissue weighting factors.

Comparison of the quantities effective dose and effective dose equivalent

At a first glance the quantities *effective dose*, E , and *effective dose equivalent*, H_E , look very similar. However, it should be stressed that, although both quantities have been defined for the same purpose, the philosophies behind these quantities are different. To start with, the quantity equivalent dose, used in the determination of E , and the quantity dose equivalent, used in the determination of H_E , are dissimilar. The calculation of the dose equivalent is based on information related to the radiation inside the tissue only, whereas equivalent doses are computed by means of the radiation weighting factor, which is related to the type and energy of the radiation incident on the body. For X-ray exposures these differences are insignificant however and are not explored any further here. Secondly, E is defined as a measure for health detriment to exposed populations, whereas the former quantity H_E was defined to provide a measure for the risks of fatal cancer and hereditary effects for workers. In addition to these differences it is noted that the number of explicitly specified tissues at risk in H_E was equal to six, whereas E comprises twelve specified tissues. Furthermore, new insights in radiation effects are reflected in risk estimates and have changed the weighting factors accordingly.

Several investigators have discussed the relationship between E and H_E . Huda et al. [HU91] concluded that E/H_E ratios for skull, chest, and pelvic X-ray examinations are equal to 0.3, 0.8 and 1.1 respectively. For abdominal examinations ratios were equal to about unity in PA-projections, and about two for AP-projections. From data presented by Sevomaa et al. [SE92] it was derived that E/H_E ratios in AP- and lateral examinations of the chest, spine and abdomen in male phantoms vary between 0.8 to 1.4. Zankl et al. [ZA92] derived E/H_E ratios of 0.5 and 0.75 for examinations of the thorax with AP and PA views respectively. The 1993 Report of the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation [UN93] concluded that, although E/H_E values for specific types of diagnostic examinations may deviate from unity, computed results of E and H_E for diagnostic X-ray examinations as a whole should be fairly similar.

In ICRP Publication 60 [IC91] dissimilarities in both quantities have been considered as well. Given the uncertainties in dose assessment procedures and dose-risks relationship, ICRP does not recommend that attempts should be made to correct earlier values of H_E .

Additional notes on the effective dose

The effective dose accounts for stochastic effects in a reference population of equal numbers of male and female persons of a wide range of ages; the tissue weighting factors apply to workers, to the whole population, and to either sex [IC91]. Tissue weighting factors do not account for (potential) differences in sensitivity to radiation induced effects related to race, social class, occupation etc. Various investigators have pointed out that age distributions of patients do not match those of the reference group [BE84, BE85, HU89, NR93, WA88, WA88b].

Since radiation sensitivity for stochastic effects is related to age and sex, the quantity effective dose can only be used with restrictions to estimate potential health detriment in

medical applications of radiation [DR93, NR93]. Another difficulty is that, because of their health status, patients may respond differently to ionising radiation than the base population [UN93]. Nevertheless, published data on medical radiation doses are expressed quite frequently in the quantity effective dose, or the formerly used quantity effective dose equivalent. Although it is recognised that the effective dose will underestimate the detriment from paediatric exposure by about a factor two and overestimate that from geriatric exposure by at least a factor five, NRPB recommends to use effective dose in cases in which several organs are exposed to assess the detriment from diagnostic medical exposures [NR93]. On the other hand, Drexler et al. [DR93] stated that E in its present definition should not be regarded as a quantity of any importance in medical applications. To improve the accuracy of risk assessments, age- and sex-specific organ risk factors should be used. However, it is recognised that it would be extremely confusing to have different sets of tissue weighting factors and hence different quantities to replace effective dose for subgroups of the general population [NR93].

The quantity *effective dose* has been used in this thesis to quantify radiation doses to patients and to occupationally exposed persons.

2.3 Effects and risks of X-rays

2.3.1 Introduction

X-rays may interact with matter by various processes. The most important interaction processes in diagnostic applications of X-rays are the Compton effect, photoelectric effect and coherent (Raleigh) scattering. The Compton effect and photoelectric effect involve energy transfer to an electron and result in the ionisation of the atom. Coherent scatter results in a change of the direction of the photon without loss of energy. The relative contributions of these interaction processes depend on the energy of the X-rays and the atomic composition of the exposed material. After the transfer of energy of the X-ray photon to an electron, this electron usually interacts with other electrons, thereby leading up to a cascade-reaction in which excited atoms, ions and “free” electrons will be produced.

The process of ionisation changes chemical and physical properties of atoms and molecules, and thus may modify and damage cells or parts of cells in exposed biological tissues. If cellular damage occurs and biological repair mechanisms fail, this may result in modification of the genetic code, loss of cell function and even cell death. If loss of cell function or cell killing occurs on a large scale, this may lead to loss of tissue function and to early death of the exposed individual.

A genetically modified cell, which has maintained its reproduction capabilities, may result in a malignant neoplasm in the exposed person. If, on the other hand, the biological function of the cell is to transfer genetic information to human offspring (germ cell), modification of the cell nucleus may result in adverse effects in the progeny of exposed persons. Effects which become manifest in exposed persons themselves, such as non-malignant damage to the skin, or early death by cancer induction, are called “somatic effects”. Effects which become manifest in the progeny are called “hereditary effects”.

In addition to the above-mentioned categories (hereditary effects and somatic effects), biological effects that are of importance in radiological protection can be categorised in

two other groups. Depending on the nature of their relationship to the absorbed dose, these biological effects are classified as deterministic or as stochastic effects.

2.3.2 Deterministic effects

Deterministic effects comprise somatic effects introduced by loss of cell functions and/or cell killing. These effects become manifest only in exposed persons. Consequently, deterministic effects are classified as somatic effects. Deterministic effects do not occur below certain threshold dose levels. A threshold dose generally denotes the amount of radiation that is required to cause a specific effect in at least one to five percent of the exposed persons [IC84]. Threshold doses depend on the type of effect considered and the exposure conditions. For example, the threshold dose for erythema of the skin in a single exposure is approximately 6 to 8 Gy, whereas the threshold dose for temporary sterility of a male is estimated to be 0.15 Gy for a single brief exposure [IC84]. Above the threshold level, the severity of the harm of an effect will increase with increasing radiation dose. The magnitude of the effect to the health of the exposed person depends on the type of the effect and on the dose. A more extensive description on characteristics (effect dependency on e.g. dose rate, type of exposure, linear energy transfer and tissue kinetics) and classification (early effects versus late effects) can be found in ICRP Publication 41 [IC84]. A number of deterministic effects and associated threshold dose levels are listed in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Estimated values of threshold dose levels for deterministic effects in adults^a

Tissue and effect	Organ dose received in a single brief exposure [Gy]	Organ dose received in a protracted exposure [Gy]	Annual dose rate (protracted exposure for many years) [Gy · yr ⁻¹]
<i>Eye lens</i>			
Detectable opacity	0.5-2.0	5	> 0.1
Visual impairment	5, 2-10 ^b	> 8	> 0.15
<i>Red bone marrow</i>			
Depression of haematopoiesis	0.5	na ^c	> 0.4
Fatal aplasia	1.5	na	> 1
<i>Ovaries</i>			
Sterility	2.5-6	6	> 0.2
<i>Testes</i>			
Temporary sterility	0.15	na	0.4
Permanent sterility	3.5, 3.5-6 ^b	na	2

^a Source: ICRP Publication 41 [IC84]; ^b Source: ICRP Publication 60 [IC91]; ^c na denotes "not applicable" for this condition; the dose threshold depends on dose rate rather than on total dose.

2.3.3 Stochastic effects

The term stochastic effects refers to effects which result from the proliferation of a cell with modified genetic material in its nucleus. If this cell is part of a body tissue, a somatic stochastic effect may become manifest; if the function of this cell is associated with propagation, a hereditary effect may become manifest. Stochastic effects are considered to

behave with an all-or-none response. If a stochastic effect becomes manifest, differentiation into severity is not relevant, since in general its severity increases in time with early death as a possible outcome [IC91]. Although the severity of a stochastic effect is not related to the magnitude of the exposure, its induction probability is. This insight has consequences for the concept of dose-effect relation. In the case of deterministic effects the dose-effect relationship is related to the introduced effects themselves, whereas in the case of stochastic effects the dose-effect relationship is related to the probability of induction or expression of the effect.

Dose-effect relationships for stochastic effects have been derived from data on animal experiments, groups of patients, occupationally exposed persons, and survivors of the atomic bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Consensus on quantitative models concerning dose-effect relationships has not been reached yet, due to problems in the assessment of the radiation dose, epidemiological research (e.g. incomplete follow up period of groups), transformation of results of animal experiments to men, in combination with the non-specific character of radiation induced effects, and a lack of scientific knowledge in areas of biological interaction processes and propagation mechanisms. The fact that the greater part of dose-effect data refer to high dose and high dose rates is another complicating factor in the determination of reliable risk factors for low dose and low dose rate exposure conditions.

Compiled data on exposures and effects are frequently reviewed by a number of international and national bodies, such as the ICRP, BEIR-committee, NCRP and UNSCEAR and the Health Council of the Netherlands [BE90, GE91, IC91, IC91a, NC90, UN86, UN88, UN93]. To illustrate the order of magnitude of risks, Table 2.3 lists probability coefficients for fatal cancer for individual organs as published in ICRP Publication 60 [IC91]. These estimates are denoted to be representative for a population of workers of equal numbers of men and women and for a whole population, comprising equal numbers of both sexes, both of a wide range of ages. A comprehensive description of the assessment of risk factors for various stochastic effects has been published in an issue of the *Annals of the ICRP* [IC91a].

It should be noted that hereditary effects of ionising radiation in men have not been affirmed yet. However, results of *in vitro* studies and animal experiments suggest that hereditary effects might occur. To be on the safe side, it is assumed in radiological protection that modifications in human germ cells will indeed be transferred to subsequent generations [IC91]. Quantitative estimates for anticipated genetic effects are given in the Tables 2.3 and 2.4.

For radiological protection purposes, ICRP introduced a new risk measure, the aggregated detriment, in its Publication 60 [IC91]. The aggregated detriment includes four components of attributable detriment: the probability of fatal cancer, the weighted probability of non-fatal cancer, the probability of severe hereditary effects and the relative length of loss of life. The contributions of non-fatal cancers are related to the average lethality factor of the cancer concerned. The relative length of loss of life has been introduced as a multiplication factor to take account for the relative number of years lost by early death and the relative number of years of impaired life. According to ICRP 60 [IC91] the non-fatal somatic detriment adds about 20 to 30% to the fatal detriment for a wide range of dose distributions. The aggregated detriment is used to derive numerical values of the tissue weighting factor, which is applied in calculations of the effective dose. The aggregated detriment for individual organs and tissues is presented in Table 2.4. These data are

denoted to be representative for a population of workers and for a whole population, both comprising equal numbers of both sexes and a wide range of ages.

Table 2.3 **Estimated probability coefficients of fatal cancer for individual tissues and organs and probability coefficients of severe hereditary effects for populations of equal numbers of both sexes^a**

Tissue or organ	whole population [10 ⁻⁵ mSv ⁻¹]	workers [10 ⁻⁵ mSv ⁻¹]
<i>Somatic fatal stochastic effects (malignancies):</i>		
Bladder	0.30	0.24
Bone marrow	0.50	0.40
Bone surface	0.05	0.04
Breast	0.20	0.16
Colon	0.85	0.68
Liver	0.15	0.12
Lung	0.85	0.68
Oesophagus	0.30	0.24
Ovary	0.10	0.08
Skin	0.02	0.02
Stomach	1.10	0.88
Thyroid	0.08	0.06
Remainder	0.50	0.40
Total:	5.00	4.00
<i>Severe hereditary disorders:</i>		
Gonads	1.00	0.6

^a Source: ICRP Publication 60 [IC91].

Tissue weighting factors (Table 2.1), estimates for the probabilities of stochastic risks (Table 2.3), and the aggregated detriment (Table 2.4) for individual organs and tissues are presented together in Table 2.5. This table shows some small numerical anomalies for the probability coefficient and the associated detriment for the organs lung, oesophagus, and stomach [BR95]. It also follows from Table 2.5 that, because of the rounding off procedure used (see footnote e of Table 2.5), derived values for w_T differ from relative detriments. For instance, w_T -values for the liver, oesophagus, skin, and thyroid are about a factor of two higher than the corresponding relative detriments. As illustrated by the organs colon and liver, relative contributions of some tissues to the effective dose are affected significantly. Whereas the ratio of detriments for these organs is equal to 6.3, the ratio of the corresponding w_T -values is only 2.4.

In the foregoing description, attention is given to deleterious effects of radiation. It should be noted that a number of papers have been published on beneficial effects of low doses of radiation as well. A beneficial or stimulative effect at low doses of an agent known to be toxic at high doses is called hormesis [WE93]. Recently, a review on the subject radiation hormesis has been given by Loken and Feinendegen [LO93] and van Wyngaarden and Pauwels [WY95]. Quantitative data on hormesis can be found in a special issue of Health Physics [HE87]. Consensus on the existence of hormesis has not yet been reached. There-

fore, in line with the international and national approach to radiological protection, this phenomenon has been disregarded in this thesis.

Table 2.4 Aggregated detriment for individual organs and tissues for populations of equal numbers of both sexes^a

Tissue/organ	whole population [10 ⁻⁵ mSv ⁻¹]	workers [10 ⁻⁵ mSv ⁻¹]
<i>Fatal and non-fatal malignancies:</i>		
Bladder	0.29	0.24
Bone marrow	1.04	0.83
Bone surface	0.07	0.06
Breast	0.36	0.29
Colon	1.03	0.82
Liver	0.16	0.13
Lung	0.80	0.64
Oesophagus	0.24	0.19
Ovary	0.15	0.12
Skin	0.04	0.03
Stomach	1.00	0.80
Thyroid	0.15	0.12
Remainder	0.59	0.47
<i>Severe hereditary effects:</i>		
Gonads	1.33	0.80
Total	7.3	5.6

^a Source: ICRP Publication 60 [IC91].

Age at exposure

As mentioned before, in the quantity effective dose differences in radiation, sensitivities associated with the patient's age and sex are not included explicitly. Age and sex-specific risk data are schematically presented in Figure 2.1. This figure comprises aggregated risk factors for the population of the United Kingdom [NR93], and excess lifetime mortality risk factors for the population of the United States of America [IC91]. Both risk factors are associated with acute uniform single exposures to low LET radiation at the indicated age (low LET radiation: radiation with linear energy transfer less than 10 keV·μm⁻¹, including x and gamma radiations of all energies). In these publications it is stated that average excess lifetime mortality for males and females are equal to 7.7% and 8.1% per sievert respectively; averaged over both sexes and all ages, the aggregated detriment is estimated to be 8% per sievert. Figure 2.1 clearly demonstrates the relatively high risk factors for young people and the low risk factors for the elderly. Also, gender-specific differences are indicated. Figure 2.1 also shows that risk data sets from different sources may show inconsistencies (indicated mortality risks are higher than aggregated risks).

Table 2.5 Tissue weighting factors, w_T , derived from aggregated detriment estimates, associated with stochastic radiation risks for worker populations of equal numbers of both sexes^a

Tissue or organ	Risk ^b [10 ⁻⁵ mSv ⁻¹]	Detriment ^c [10 ⁻⁵ mSv ⁻¹]	Rel. ^d detriment	w_T ^e
<i>Somatic fatal stochastic effects (malignancies):</i>				
Bladder	0.24	0.24	0.04	0.05
Bone marrow	0.40	0.83	0.15	0.12
Bone surface	0.04	0.06	0.01	0.01
Breast	0.16	0.29	0.05	0.05
Colon	0.68	0.82	0.15	0.12
Gonads ^f	0.08	0.12	0.02	
Liver	0.12	0.13	0.02	0.05
Lung	0.68	0.64	0.11	0.12
Oesophagus	0.24	0.19	0.03	0.05
Skin	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01
Stomach	0.88	0.80	0.14	0.12
Thyroid	0.06	0.12	0.02	0.05
Remainder	0.40	0.47	0.08	0.05
<i>Severe hereditary disorders:</i>				
Gonads	0.6	0.80	0.14	0.20 ^g
Total	n.a.	5.6	1	1

^a Source: ICRP Publication 60 [IC91]; ^b Sex-averaged estimated probability coefficients of fatal cancer for individual tissues and organs, and probability coefficients of severe hereditary effects; ^c Aggregated detriment for individual organs and tissues; ^d Relative detriment. Ratio of the aggregated detriment to organ j by the total aggregated detriment; Rel. detriment _{j} = detriment _{j} / (5.6 10⁻⁵); ^e Tissue weighting factor. w_T is equal to the relative detriment, rounded to 0.01, 0.05, 0.12 or 0.20; ^f Estimated probability for malignancies is equal to half of that for the ovaries. Risks for radiation induced malignancy of the testes are insignificantly small; ^g w_T for the gonads is derived from the sum of the relative detriments for malignancies and hereditary effects.

Figure 2.1 Variation with age at exposure of sex-specific radiation risk factors for total aggregated detriment [NR93], and for mortality [IC91], associated with single exposures.

Chapter 3

Radiological protection and radiation doses

3.1 Introduction

In the early days of diagnostic radiology, fluoroscopy involved considerable levels of exposure to the physician, thereby increasing the risk of detrimental effects. Damage to the skin was the first radiation effect noted after exposure to X-rays [IC91b]. It became obvious that applications of ionising radiation were not only associated with beneficial results to society but also could do harm to exposed individuals. Visible effects in exposed persons and a growing insight in radiobiology resulted in an awareness of a need for a systematic approach to radiological protection. To coordinate radiological protection measures, the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) was established at the Second International Congress of Radiology in 1928. From 1928 to 1950 the ICRP was functioning under the name of International X-ray and Radium Protection Commission [IC59]. On an international level, health physics has been covered for a long time by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). National health physics societies have been assembled in the International Radiation Protection Association (IRPA). Quite a number of other commissions have been set up within the frame of special applications or based on social economical terms.

ICRP has a long history in issuing recommendations to provide an adequate level of protection to the hazards of ionising radiation. In 1955, ICRP published its first recommendations in the British Journal of Radiology [IC55]. From the beginning, ICRP not only considered medical applications of X-rays and radioactive sources, but other types of exposure to ionising radiation as well. To improve the accessibility, recommendations were issued by a general publisher. Until 1994, the very first recommendations were followed up by 60 reports, comprising recommendations and a variety of data on radiological protection measures, effects, handling of sources, dosimetry etc. Since Publication no 1, ICRP has gained and maintained the status of the most respected authority in the field of international bodies on radiological protection. ICRP recommendations have been used by other international bodies and by national authorities to develop a systematic approach to radiological protection. This thesis follows this approach and uses ICRP recommendations as a guideline to describe generally adopted principles of radiological protection.

In an episode of nearly one hundred years numerous investigations on physical interaction processes and biological mechanisms have been carried out. Since its foundations in 1955, the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) has compiled and evaluated published data on sources and effects of all kinds of ionising radiation. In the last two decades, scientific data have been summarised by UNSCEAR in five reports [UN82, UN86, UN88, UN93, UN94].

Although dose-response relationships in the low dose and low dose rate region have not been firmly established yet, and although epidemiological data do not exclude zero effects at (very) low doses, it is assumed in radiological protection that (i) the probability of induction of stochastic effects increases linearly proportional with increasing dose, and that (ii) no threshold limit exists for these types of effects. Application of this so-called linear dose-effect hypothesis is restricted to the low dose, low dose rate region. This condition is met in almost any exposure condition associated with "normal" applications of radiation sources as well as in environmental or technology enhanced exposures. Based on the linear dose-effect hypothesis, it is further assumed that the potential for manifestation of adverse stochastic effects in large exposed groups is dependent on the total dose, calculated as the sum of the radiation dose to all individuals of that group. This quantity is called the collective dose (it is noted that the numerical result of this summation is equal to the multiplication of the mean dose and the number of exposed persons).

3.2 Objectives of radiological protection

Taking the characteristics of adverse effects of ionising radiation and the dose levels at which these effects occur into account, the primary objectives of radiological protection are:

- to prevent the occurrence of acute and chronic deterministic effects, and
- to reduce the occurrence of stochastic effects to a justifiable level.

Basically, these objectives are applicable to all individuals exposed, including radiological workers, patients, volunteers in biomedical experiments, and members of the public.

3.3 General principles of radiological protection

In its Publication 60, ICRP recommended a system for proposed and continuing practices involving radiation sources. This system comprises the following three principles:

- *Justification of a practice*: No practice should be adapted if its (estimated) radiation detriment offsets its (expected) benefit to exposed individuals or to society.
- *Optimisation of protection*: The magnitude of individual doses, number of persons exposed and the likelihood of potential exposures should all be kept as low as reasonably achievable, economic and social factors taken into account. The process of optimisation should include any particular source within a practice.
- *Individual dose limits and risk limits*: The doses to individuals of the combination of all relevant practices should be subject to dose limits. However, dose limits should not be applied to medical exposures of patients. In practices where there will be a potential for exposure but no certainty that it will occur, the potential exposure should be subject to some control of risk.

This system has been adopted by a number of international and national bodies. It is used as a framework to develop and apply guidelines for radiological protection, related to specified types of radiation applications and to various types of exposure conditions. In the field of radiological protection in medical diagnostic X-ray applications the same principles apply as stated before:

- **Justification:**

According to ICRP guidelines, medical investigations should be justified before being put into practice. All the exposures, including occupational, public and potential exposures should be taken into account. Justification should not only apply to classes of investigations, but also to individual patient investigations. It is explicitly stated that case by case justification is not necessary for simple diagnostic procedures, based on common indications.

- **Optimisation of protection:**

Optimisation of protection, formerly addressed by ICRP as ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable), should be given special attention. ICRP states that there is a considerable scope for dose reduction in diagnostic radiology. ICRP has addressed optimisation in radiological protection in Publication 55 [IC89]. In line with ICRP recommendations, NCRP has issued a report on the implementation of the principles of ALARA for medical and dental personnel [NC90].

- **Dose limits:**

Dose limits should be applied to all persons (potentially) exposed, except to the patient. In ICRP 60 it is considered that, if the practice is justified and if the protection is optimised, the dose in the patient will be as low as compatible with the medical purpose, and application of dose limits could increase the patient's detriment.

In applications of the system of protection decisions have to be made on justification and optimisation, and actual and potential doses have to be compared with dose limits. It is obvious that all three activities necessitate reliable insight in dose levels associated with the (proposed) practice. Especially in complex applications of medical X-ray systems, involving fluoroscopy and varying numbers of radiodiagnostic projection, associated doses are not well known.

3.4 Radiological protection in radiology in the Netherlands

Dutch legislation concerning exposures to ionising radiation has been laid down in the Atomic Energy Act of 1963 (Kernenergiewet). The Atomic Energy Act provides a framework of measures, which are described in detail in regulations (*algemene maatregelen van bestuur en ministeriële besluiten*). Dutch legislation concerning exposure to the general public, workers, individuals of the public as well as to patients, is based on directives of the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom), founded by the European Community in 1958. Each member state of the European Community (EC) is obliged to implement EC-directives in their national legislation system. EC member states may, up to some level, extend or adapt EC-directives in order to take national, historical and current developments into account. The general idea of the EC approach is that a solid basic set of elementary rules will be incorporated in national legislations. A unified lay out of the system of legislation in the member states is not explicitly stated as a goal, but harmonisation of national legislation could be hoped for as a spin-off result.

EC-directives, published as CEC Basic Safety Standards or CEC Basic Safety Standards amendments, incorporate in large parts the recommendations of the ICRP. On an international level EC-commissions provide liaison to other advisory bodies such as the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the World Health Organisation (WHO) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD).

Current Dutch obligations with respect to medical diagnostic applications of X-ray systems are based on the Atomic Energy Act and its regulations. By adapting the regulation "Besluit stralenbescherming Kernenergiewet" (Staatsblad 1993 (317), 25 May 1993), Dutch legislation concerning radiological protection in the field of medical applications of ionising radiations lately has been conformed to EC-directive 1984 [EC84]. In the adapted regulations, medical radiation applications have been made subject to the principle of justification for categories of applications as well as for patient examinations, on a case-by-case approach, if appropriate. It should be noted, however, that practical guidelines to justify these radiation exposures are not available. In recent granted licences for medical X-ray applications, it is explicitly stated that these applications must be performed by a qualified physician.

Usually, radiation risks to the individual patient associated with X-ray diagnosis are limited to stochastic effects. This risk is small in comparison with the benefit of the examination. Therefore, in general, X-ray examinations of individual patients are justified on medical evidence. Optimisation of protection has already been explicitly included in Dutch regulations for a number of years. Optimisation applies to all applications of radiation sources, including medical X-ray systems. Although optimisation of protection seems to be less complex than justification, there is no evidence in the literature that quantitative approaches of optimisation in medical applications have been adopted on a large scale. In order to assist with implementing optimisation procedures, ICRP provided recommendations on three optimisation procedures for radiological protection in its Publication 55 [IC89]: cost-benefit analysis, multi-attribute utility analysis and multi-criteria outranking analysis. It should be noted that quantitative optimisation, for instance as outlined in ICRP Publication 55 [IC89], requires reliable estimates for exposures and consensus on monetary values for attributed risks to occupationally exposed persons, to the population and to the patient. Only few data on proposed monetary values have been published yet. It is obvious that the positive results of a radiation application should be expressed on a quantitative base as well.

It should be stressed that the low frequency of quantitative optimisation procedures does not necessarily mean that protection measures are insufficient. The foregoing merely states that the approach of optimisation may be inadequate. In conclusion, one may observe that there is a discrepancy between legal obligations and general practice.

Exposed persons, excluding the patient, are subject to a system of dose limits, which has been laid down in the Atomic Energy act. Table 3.1 lists current dose limits for workers and individuals of the public as formulated in 1986. In addition to justification and optimisation, one has to assure that the exposures to X-rays do not exceed these limits. Due to inherent protection measures in imaging systems and ease of structural shielding, these limits can be met relatively easily in modern departments of radiology. It is expected that in a few years, current dose limits as presented in Table 3.1, will be superseded. To what extent new dose limits will deviate from the current levels is unknown, since at least four processes are involved:

- In 1991 ICRP presented in its Publication 60 a new system for dose limitation [IC91]. Parts of this system will be incorporated in the Dutch legislation.
- Due to the adaption of the general approach to risk control, Dutch authorities try to incorporate a system of risk control instead of dose control in legislation.
- Ongoing experimental and epidemiological research provides data on exposures and effects that can change estimated values for risks factors.
- The European Community currently updates the CEC Basic Safety Standards.

Implementation of the 1990 recommendations of the ICRP in EC-directives and Atomic Energy Act, and extrapolation of the current discussions will probably result in decreased annual effective dose limits in Dutch legislation for occupational exposure ($20 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$) and for nonoccupational exposure, during stay on premises ($2 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$)

Except for the effective dose, dose limits applied in this thesis are equal to those reported in Table 3.1 (column "workers,students"). As an annual limit for the effective dose 20 mSv was used.

Table 3.1 Dose limits for persons exposed to ionising radiations according to the Dutch Atomic Energy Act^a

Exposure condition (organ/tissue)	workers ^b , students ^{c,d} [$\text{mSv} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$]	members of the general public [$\text{mSv} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$]
Dose equivalent:		
Total body	50	5
Eye Lens	150	15
Skin, hand, lower arm, foot and ankle	500	50
Abdomen of women Fetus (from declaration of pregnancy to delivery)	13 mSv in 13 weeks 5	- -
Other organs and tissues	500	50
Effective dose equivalent	50	1
Source related exposure of reference groups of the public	-	0.1
Nonoccupational exposure at home during care of patients		5

^a Source: Besluit stralenbescherming Kernenergiewet, 10 Sept. 1986, Stb 465. Occupational dose limits have not been changed since 1986. Dose limits for the general public were adapted by the amendment of 17 January 1996; ^b Dose limits for workers aged to 18 years: Dose limits are equal to dose limits for members of the public; ^c Dose limits for students and apprentices who prepare for a radiological profession: - age 18 years or more: dose limits are equal to dose limits for workers. - age 16 to 18 years: dose limits are equal to three tenths of dose limits for workers; ^d Dose limits for other students and apprentices: Dose limits concerning their education are equal to one tenth of dose limits for members of the public. Each single exposure shall be limited to one hundredth of the dose limits for members of the public.

3.5 General information about radiation exposures in diagnostic radiology

Medical and occupational radiation exposures arising from diagnostic X-ray examinations have been assessed by various investigators. A thorough compilation of results and conclusions can be found in subsequent reports of the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) in 1958, 1962, 1972, 1977, 1982, 1988 and 1993. The following sections presents selected dosimetry data. References include dose quantities as used by the original authors. The reader is referred to Chapter 2 for additional information on quantities. Details on frequencies and dose data for angiography are included in other chapters.

3.5.1 Radiation dose to the patient

Annual frequencies of diagnostic medical X-ray examinations vary from approx. 1200 per 1000 inhabitants in Japan to approx. 20 in Ghana and Nigeria. In developed countries with more than one physician per 1000 inhabitants, the mean annual number of X-ray examinations is estimated to be 800 per 1000 inhabitants, varying between about 1000 in Japan and Canada and 500 in Sweden, Spain and the United Kingdom [BE91].

UNSCEAR reported in 1993 that in developed countries (health-care level I; more than one physician per 1000 inhabitants) the annual number of diagnostic X-ray examinations per 1000 inhabitants slightly had increased from 820 in 1970-1979 to 890 in 1985-1990, whereas angiography, on the other hand, had increased from 1.6 to 7.1 per 1000 inhabitants. In the Netherlands the reported frequency of diagnostic examinations decreased in these periods slightly from 570 to 530 per 1000 inhabitants [UN93]. Reported minimum, mean and maximum annual frequencies per 1000 inhabitants for some different types of diagnostic medical examinations are listed in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Annual frequency of diagnostic medical X-ray examinations per 1000 inhabitants (Afreq), in 11 countries of health care level I in the 1980s^a

	Afreq in eleven countries ^b			The Netherlands		Afreq ^d (⁸⁵⁻⁹⁰)
	mean	min. ^c	max. ^c	Afreq ^b	Afreq ^d (⁸⁰⁻⁸⁴)	
Chest	220	123	445	135	160	160
Extremities	140	25	255	173	160	170
Spine	64	30	119	40	29	30
GI-tract	45	20	175	20 ^e	29 ^e	32 ^e
Skull	40	6	109	43	41	36
Pelvis/hip	33	13	62	34	27	29
Abdomen	20	4	83	13	12	8
Urography	18	8	42	16	14	11
Cholecystography	12	3	15	14	4.8	2.6
Mammography	6	1	28	8	12	13
CT	-	-	-	-	9.7	14
Angiography	-	-	-	-	4.8	3.3
Others	50	9	155	19	31	26

^a Health care level I: more than one physician per 1000 inhabitants; ^b Source: Bennett B.G. [BE91]. Data refer to the early 1980s; ^c Min. and max. refer to the lower and upper figures of reported means; ^d Source: UNSCEAR 1993 [UN93]; ^e Unspecified in [BE91]. Sum of upper tract and lower tract in [UN93].

The data in Table 3.2 refer to eleven countries with more than one physician per 1000 inhabitants. For comparison, relative frequencies of these types of examinations in the Netherlands have been included separately. It should be noted that dissimilarities exist in published data on frequencies in the Netherlands (e.g. spine, cholecystography).

Bennett [BE91] presented estimated values for the mean effective dose equivalent per examination for various examination types in six countries. The average effective dose equivalent has been listed in Table 3.3, minimum values and maximum values for the average have been included, too. Intercomparison of mean values per examination type shows considerable variations between countries, sometimes as much as an order of magnitude.

Table 3.3 Mean effective dose equivalent to patients per X-ray examination in six developed countries (mSv · examination⁻¹)

	six countries			UK mean ^b
	mean ^a	min. ^a	max. ^a	
Chest	0.15	0.05	0.4	0.07
Extremities	0.1	-	-	0.1
Spine	0.8	0.4	2.5	1.3
GI-tract	5.0	1.6	10.2	4.8
Skull	0.2	0.09	1.4	0.15
Pelvis/hip	1.3	0.3	3.2	1.3
Abdomen	1.1	0.3	2.6	1.4
Urography	3.5	0.7	10.4	4.4
Cholecystography	1.5	0.6	7.2	0.95
Mammography	-	-	-	0.2-1

^a Source: Bennett B.G. [BE91]; countries: France, Italy, Japan, Spain, former USSR, US. Min. and max. refer to the lower and upper figures of reported means; ^b Source: UNSCEAR report 1993 [UN93].

Surveys of patient exposures in the United Kingdom showed very large ranges in mean effective doses per examination type [SH86]. Estimated range factors of the effective dose equivalent per examination for various “simple” examination types varied between 28 for the thoracic spine and 460 for the chest (range factor is maximum/minimum ratio). For six types of “complex” procedures the range factors are estimated to be 11 for barium enema and 58 for combined cholangiography/cholecystography procedures [SH86].

It is worthwhile to note that the range factor per examination type, calculated over half of the examinations between the 25-percentile and 75-percentile, varies between 2 and 4 only. These figures show that the patient radiation dose in the majority of the examinations is quite similar. Graphical presentations of effective dose equivalents [SH86] clearly illustrate that large range factors are introduced by a relatively small number of examinations.

By quantification of inter- and intra-hospital variations, Harrison and co-workers [HA83] showed that there were considerable variations within one hospital as well as significant differences between hospitals. Intercomparison of mean entrance skin doses due to X-ray examinations of the hand, chest, skull lumbar spine, and barium meal examinations showed ranges by a factor 3 to 4 [HA83].

Using data presented in the 1993 UNSCEAR Report [UN93], the average effective dose equivalents, the annual frequency and the collective patient dose associated with various types of X-ray examinations in countries of health care level I have been summarised for the 1980s in Table 3.4. The total number of people in health care level I in 1990 is estimated to be 1350 million (approximately 25% of the total population of the world). The number of radiologists in health care level I countries is estimated to be 0.072 per 1000 habitants [UN93]. Table 3.4 shows that estimated mean doses due to angiography are relatively high. However, due to its low frequency the contribution of angiography to the collective dose is estimated to be 5%. The larger part of the collective dose is associated with upper GI tract examinations (23%), computed tomography (18%) and chest mass miniature (14%).

Table 3.4 Frequencies, average effective dose equivalent and collective dose equivalent to patients associated with X-ray examinations in the 1980s in countries of Health-care Level I^{a,b}

Examination	Average effective dose equivalent (mSv/examination)	Annual frequency per 1000 inhabitants	Annual collective effective dose equivalent		
			per 1000 inhabitants ^c (mSv)	total (man · sievert)	relative contrib. (%)
Skull	0.16	40	6.4	8560	0.7
Chest radiography	0.14	171	23.9	31500	2
Chest fluoroscopy	0.98	33	32.3	43100	3
Chest mass miniat.	0.52	260	135	182000	14
Mammography	1.0	12	12.0	17000	1
Extremities	0.06	121	7.3	10600	0.8
Lumbosacral spine	1.7	54	91.8	122000	10
Pelvis	1.2	21	25.2	32800	3
Hip/femur	0.92	12	11.0	15300	1
Abdomen	1.1	32	35.2	44700	4
Upper GI tract	4.1	52	213	285000	23
Lower GI tract	7.2	11	79.2	112000	9
Urography	3.1	14	43.4	58200	5
Comp. tomography	4.3	39	167.7	224000	18
Angiography	6.8	6	40.8	57300	5
Total (rounded figures)		890	920	1260000	100%

^a Source: UNSCEAR report 1993 [UN93]; ^b Health-care level I: more than one physician per 1000 inhabitants; ^c Derived from data given in annex C [UN93].

3.5.2 Occupational exposures

Estimates of occupational exposures heavily depend on readings of personal dosimeters. There is, especially in radiology, a considerable potential for drawing erroneous conclusions. Due to the non-uniformity of the radiation field, the relatively low energy of X-rays, and the use of protective clothing, the relation between effective dose and dosimeter reading depends on the location of the dosimeter on the body. It is particularly important to know if the dosimeter is located underneath or on the protective lead apron. If the personal dosimeter is worn on the apron, the dosimeter reading could be as much as 10 to 20 times higher than the effective dose [UN93]. This ratio depends on features of the X-ray system (e.g. tube high voltage, filtration, beam direction), work procedures (e.g. distance and body orientation to the patient) and characteristics of the lead aprons (attenuation and shape) as well. Other sources of inaccuracy are differences in the definition of the dose threshold level and in the recording of doses below the threshold level. Also differences in monitoring procedures in various countries may increase the overall inaccuracy.

Three examples of reported data on occupational exposures are summarised below. In comparing dose data for various countries one should recognise the potential sources of variation mentioned above. This has been illustrated in Table 3.5, which comprises data as published in UNSCEAR Report 1993 [UN93].

Using data from the Canadian National Dose Registry, Ashmore and co-workers [AS91] estimated the average annual whole-body dose in 1988 to 1560 radiologists and 9300 technicians in diagnostic applications to be 0.19 mSv and 0.12 mSv respectively; for 120 radiologists and 570 technicians in therapeutic applications mean annual doses were estimated to be 0.26 mSv and 0.70 mSv respectively. Exposures below the single dosimeter reporting threshold level of 0.2 mSv were not included in the summation to derive mean values.

Based on a sample of 94 radiologists and 890 radiographers in the North West Region of the UK, Pratt and Sweeney [PA91] estimated the average annual whole-body dose in 1986 to be 0.57 mSv $\cdot$ y⁻¹ and 0.36 mSv $\cdot$ y⁻¹ respectively.

Using data from approximately 330 radiologists and 1650 radiographers in The West Midlands and some other regions in the UK, as recorded by the Regional Radiation Physics and Protection Service, Roberts and co-workers estimated the mean annual dose in 1989 to be 0.24 mSv $\cdot$ y⁻¹ and 0.04 mSv $\cdot$ y⁻¹ respectively [RO91]. Until 1986, dose measurement results below the threshold level of single dosimeters (0.2 mSv) were added as a figure of 0.2 in the total sum. From 1986, doses below the detection threshold of single dosimeters (0.15 mSv) are recorded as zero in the annual totals. This change in recording strategy resulted in a significant reduction of the mean annual dose. For example in 1986-1987, the mean annual dose to all hospital staff changed from about 2 mSv to 0.09 mSv.

Table 3.5 Occupational exposures in radiology (mSv $\cdot$ y⁻¹)

Quantity used in report	Radiologist	Technical staff	"Worker" in radiology department	Country
Whole-body dose (1988) ^a	0.19	0.12		Canada
Whole-body dose (1986) ^b	0.57	0.36		UK
Dose (1989) ^c	0.24	0.04		UK
Effective dose in W-European countries (1985- 1989) ^d			0.11	Denmark
			0.33	France
			0.083	Germ. Dem. Rep.
			0.38	Ireland
			0.76	Spain

^a Source [AS91]; ^b Source [PA91]; ^c Source [RO91]; ^d Source [UN93]. Other countries: 1.31 mSv in Argentina; 0.05 mSv in Australia; 0.76 mSv in Brazil; 1.84 mSv in China; 0.68 mSv in Czechoslovakia; 0.19 mSv in Finland; 0.21 mSv in Hungary; 0.34 mSv in India; 1.67 mSv in Indonesia; 3.45 mSv in Peru.

The reviewed reports illustrate another problem encountered frequently in a literature search for dose data. All three authors used dose quantities ("whole-body dose" and "dose") which are not defined by international bodies, such as ICRP or ICRU. Moreover, they did not explain the quantities, thereby introducing a potential source for errors.

3.5.3 Dosimetry data on radiology in the Netherlands

Timmermans and Beentjes [TI92] collected data on the frequencies of medical diagnostic examinations in The Netherlands. Combined with estimated data on the somatic effective dose and the genetic effective dose for various types of examinations they estimated the mean annual effective dose associated with medical diagnostic examinations to be 0.5 mSv (averaged over the total population). In view of the high frequency of chest radiography and high doses in computed tomography (CT), studies into the radiation dose to the patient and the image quality have recently been performed in the Netherlands [GE92, GE94]. Typical values for effective doses derived with phantoms are 85 μ Sv for conventional thorax examinations, and 1 to 2, 18 and 24 mSv for CT-examinations of the head, thorax and abdomen respectively. Data on the exposures of the Dutch population to all sources of ionising radiations in the 1980s have been collected by Vaas and co-workers [VA91]. The total collective effective dose equivalent, due to all sources, including those of natural origins, is estimated to be 37200 man·sievert per annum; the per caput dose is computed to be 2.6 mSv·y⁻¹.

On the basis of the dose data given above, the contribution of medical exposures by diagnostic X-ray procedures to the total radiation exposure to members of the population is estimated to be about 20%.

An estimate of the collective effective dose associated with occupational exposure in 1990 can be derived from data presented by van Winsen et al. [Wi92]. Medical diagnostic applications of X-ray systems (not including cardiology, dentistry and applications in operating theatres) resulted in a collective dose registered on personal dosimeters, worn by staff and technical assistants, of 3.2 man·sievert. The sum of the doses of the dosimeters worn by personnel in all diagnostic applications of X-ray systems, including cardiology, dentistry and applications in operating theatres, is equal to 7.3 man·sievert. Assuming that all dosimeters have been worn above the protective lead apron, the collective effective doses are estimated to be approximately 5% to 10% of the totalised dose of the dosimeters [UN93]. Using a value of 10%, the collective occupational dose due to medical diagnostic X-ray procedures is estimated to be approximately 0.3 man·sievert, or as 0.7 man·sievert if all applications are included. It is concluded from these data that the contribution of all occupational exposures in medical diagnostic X-ray applications to the total collective dose, due to all sources, is approximately 0.002%.

Data on number of persons exposed, average values of dosimeter readings and the collective dose in the Netherlands are given in Table 3.6 [Wi92].

Table 3.6 Occupational exposure in medical X-ray applications in the Netherlands in 1990^a

	Number of persons		Average dose of dosimeters [mSv·y ⁻¹]		Collective dose of dosimeters [man·Sv·y ⁻¹]
	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Dentistry	1200	2100	0.15	0.05	0.29
Operating theatre	450	550	0.22	0.07	0.14
Cardiology	700	400	4.6	1.4	3.7
Other diagnostic procedures	3000	4800	0.74	0.20	3.2
All diagnostic applications	5400	7900	1.1	0.21	7.3

^a Source : van Winsen [Wi92].

3.6 General information about radiation doses in vascular radiology

Published data about radiation doses to patients and staff in vascular radiology are reviewed below in chronological order. To increase readability, dose data are presented in currently used quantities, effective dose (E), absorbed dose in skin at the X-ray beam entrance side (D_{skin}), organ dose (D_{organ}), or dose-area product (DAP). An exception was made for the former quantity effective dose equivalent (H_E). This quantity has not been converted because various organ doses that are needed for effective dose calculations were not included in the papers reviewed. Besides, attempts to correct earlier values are not recommended by ICRP [IC91]. Additional information about (dis)similarities between the quantities E and H_E is given in Section 2.2. Acronyms used to indicate the X-ray beam direction are explained in Table D.2 (appendix D).

As some descriptions of calibration and dose measurement procedures were incomplete, various reported exposure data were ambiguous with respect to the absorbing medium (air or skin; free-in-air or backscatter inclusive). In this review, entrance skin doses were derived by multiplying reported exposure data by the conversion factor $10 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mR}^{-1}$. Dose-area products were derived by multiplication of reported exposure-area products by the conversion factor $0.00877 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{R}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$.

3.6.1 Radiation doses to patients

This subsection comprises dosimetric data about cerebral, thoracic, abdominal and peripheral angiography, followed by a remaining group of some other diagnostic and interventional vascular procedures. Quantitative dose data are summarised in Table 3.7.

Cerebral angiography:

In 1982, Möller and Fehretz [MO80] reported that doses to the eye lens of patients undergoing conventional cerebral arteriography, comprising AP and LAT views, were between 33 mGy and 81 mGy, depending on the number of films taken.

Pavlicek et al. [PA82] compared doses to various tissues of patients undergoing IV-DSA (undercouch X-ray tube) and conventional angiography of cerebral vessels (overcouch X-ray tube). For IV-DSA, the skin dose was 37 mGy (range 10-80 mGy), averaged over 52 procedures. For conventional procedures, the skin dose was 230 mGy (range 50-500 mGy), averaged over 45 procedures. On average, fluoroscopy contributed 36% and 70% of the skin exposure in DSA and conventional procedure respectively. It should be noted that average skin dose (in the paper denoted as "average skin exposure") was not defined explicitly. Taking the position and direction of each single view into account, the authors estimated the dose to bone marrow, thyroid and eye lens. The marrow dose from IV-DSA was estimated to be 0.55 mGy, from conventional angiography to be 11.5 mGy. The thyroid dose from IV-DSA was estimated to be 7.4 mGy, from conventional angiography to be 87 mGy. Doses to the eye lens were 0.15 mGy for IV-DSA and 3.2 mGy for conventional angiography. It was noted that the average fluoroscopy time was a factor of 10 smaller in IV-DSA than in conventional angiography. The authors stated that it could be expected that the decrease in fluoroscopy time could even be more in institutions without a resident training programme. Referring to dose estimates for red marrow and the eye lens, it was stated that the number of patients examined with IV-DSA could increase by a factor of approximately 20 without any increase in population dose. However, it should be noted here that this statement is not very solid, as this would

implicate that the effective dose (equivalent) by IV-DSA was a factor of 20 smaller compared with conventional angiography.

Maccia et al. [MA88] estimated the nation-wide mean effective dose equivalent for cerebral angiography for France (1982) to be 12 mSv (mean number of films, 46; mean fluoroscopy time, 8.0 min). Dose assessment procedures were not described. Although, figures about AP- versus PA- projections were not given, it was explicitly stated that a high proportion of X-ray systems in France were used with overcouch tubes.

Passariello et al. [PA83a] measured entrance doses to an anthropomorphic phantom by simulating AP-, LAO- and RAO-exposure conditions for IV-DSA and conventional (film) cerebral angiography of the same vascular region. The ratio of the entrance dose from IV-DSA by the entrance dose from conventional angiography was calculated to be 0.7. The corresponding ratio of eye lens doses was reported to be 1.8. Because of inadequately described data and discussion, we judged the results as inconclusive.

Plunkett et al. [PL86] measured dose-area products in cerebral angiography. Because of skewed data distributions, the authors presented median values instead of means. Median values for DAP for various procedure types were calculated to be: IV-DSA for atherosclerotic cerebrovascular disease, 16 Gy · cm² (range 3-37 Gy · cm²; n=50); IV-DSA for postoperative evaluation of carotid endarterectomy, 6.5 Gy · cm² (range 0.8-18 Gy · cm²; n=26); conventional angiography for atherosclerotic cerebrovascular disease, 28 Gy · cm² (range 5-50 Gy · cm²; n=44); conventional angiography for evaluation of an intracranial mass lesion 19 Gy · cm² (range 7-52 Gy · cm²; n=15); and for procedures comprising both conventional and IA-DSA cerebral angiography, 50 Gy · cm² (range 12-91 Gy · cm²; n=14). During fluoroscopy and IV-DSA no grid was applied; based on literature data, the authors claimed a dose reduction of 50%. High exposures to patients who required a combined conventional and IA-DSA examination were associated with prolonged fluoroscopy times (median 17.5 min, whilst median values varied between 0.8 and 1.3 min for IV-DSA, and between 6.1 and 11.2 min for conventional angiography). The median contributions of fluoroscopy to the radiation dose in IV-DSA and conventional angiography were estimated to be 6% and 37% respectively.

Casselden [CA88] measured doses to the eye lenses during cerebral angiography by using thermoluminescent dosimeters. In IA-DSA arteriography of 10 patients, on average comprising 148 images per patient (PA-direction) and 7.4 min fluoroscopy, the mean dose to the lens was estimated to be 3.3 mGy. The entrance dose to the eye lens was estimated to be 196 mGy by simulating exposure conditions during conventional cerebral angiography, comprising 42 AP-views and 10 min fluoroscopy. The author was concerned that multiple-vessel angiography and interventional procedures, requiring more imaging sequences than the average number of frames collected in this study, would even result in larger doses to the eye lens.

Thijssen et al. [TH88] measured entrance doses to the eye lens of patients (n=27) in cerebral arteriography, using DSA imaging (PA direction) and serial cut films (AP direction). From measurements with thermoluminescent dosimeters, average doses to the eye lens were estimated to be 0.9 mSv for DSA, and 81 mSv for films; mean doses to the thyroid were 6.1 mSv for DSA, and 22 mSv for films.

In a paper on cost-utility analyses by Wall and Russell [WA88] the average effective dose equivalent estimate for cerebral angiography in the UK was reported to be 4 mSv.

Mustafa and Janeczek [MU89] determined the mean DAP for carotid DSA (undercouch X-ray tube system), comprising RAO, LAO and Towne's views, to be 1.1 Gy · cm². A total of 20 frames was acquired for each view, with air kerma of 4.35 μ Gy/frame at the

image input screen surface, and 3 to 7 s fluoroscopy previewing. Mean doses to the thyroid and eye lens were estimated to be 21 mGy and 4.7 mGy respectively. The highest skin dose was equal to 185 mGy.

Howe et al. [HO91] presented entrance skin exposures (ESE) and effective dose equivalents (H_E) for cerebral arteriography. Results were inferred from thermoluminescent dose measurements in simulated exposure conditions. As the calibration procedure was not described, the meaning of ESE could not be traced. Taking circumstantial data presented into account, we have converted ESE (in R) to absorbed dose in skin at the entrance position (D_{skin} in mGy), according to $D_{\text{skin}} = 10 \times \text{ESE}$. The following estimates were inferred for fluoroscopy (PA): lumbar spine (40 s): D_{skin} 40 mGy, H_E 0.65 mSv; upper chest (600 s): D_{skin} 312 mGy, H_E 7.1 mSv. Dose contributions from radiography were reported to be: LAO-aortic arch (13 films): D_{skin} 213 mGy, H_E 9.7 mSv. LLAT+RLAT-neck region (2x6 films): D_{skin} 22 mGy, H_E 0.33 mSv. LAO+RAO-head (2x7 films): $D_{\text{skin,head}}$ 96 mGy, $H_{E,\text{head}}$ 1.8 mSv, $D_{\text{skin,neck}}$ 18 mGy, $H_{E,\text{neck}}$ 1.3 mSv. Using estimates for organ doses involved in the assessment of H_E , the authors estimated mean H_E for cerebral arteriography to be 21 mSv. From these data it follows that fluoroscopy accounted for 37% of H_E .

Feygelman et al. [FE92] estimated mean H_E in cerebral angiography to be 11 mSv (10 patients). The results refer to angiography performed on an overcouch X-ray system, comprising both DSA and radiography. H_E was calculated from the entrance dose, X-ray entrance field, and published DAP to H_E conversion factors. Contributions of fluoroscopy views for different parts of the body were apparently taken into account. However, the method applied was not explained in detail. The mean contribution of fluoroscopy was calculated to be 7.1 mSv (67%), of serial cut film 2.8 mSv (26%), and of DSA 0.7 mSv (7%). Average values for parameters were: fluoroscopy time, 10.4 min; serial cut films, 28 AP and 28 LAT; number of DSA-frames, 53. Mean tube high voltage settings for fluoroscopy and radiography were 80 kV, for DSA 68 kV. Fluoroscopy high voltage settings were weighted relative to the fluoroscopy time prior to being averaged. X-ray beam filtration was 2.5 mm Al. Mean entrance skin doses were calculated to be 271 mGy for fluoroscopy, 88 mGy for AP films, 18 mGy for LAT films, and 25 mGy for AP-DSA.

Steel and Temperton [ST93] collected data about angiography procedures, comprising both serial cut film angiography and DSA imaging. Depending on the choice of the "anatomical program" and the field size of the input screen of the image intensifier, dose rates at the input screen varied between 6 and 31 μGy per DSA frame and between 0.3 and 0.7 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for fluoroscopy. A water phantom (20x20x20 cm^3) was used to determine entrance dose rates to the patient. These dose rates were estimated to be 7 to 10 mGy per DSA frame, and 280 to 460 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for fluoroscopy. From measurement results for 32 cerebral angiography procedures (mean fluoroscopy time, 3.9 min (range 1.2 - 12 min); mean number of images, 78 (range 47-115)), average dose-area product was calculated to be 27.4 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ (range 9.4-80 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). By using published dose conversion factors, the authors calculated the average H_E to be 4 mSv (range 1-12 mSv). The position of the X-ray tube (overcouch or undercouch) was not described. From their results an E/DAP-conversion coefficient of 0.15 $\text{mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ was derived.

Fisher and co-workers [FI95] estimated median DAP for cerebral arteriography with an overcouch X-ray tube system ($n=33$), to be 73 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ (range 3-200 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). Median for fluoroscopy time, 17 min (range, 0.5-56 min); median number of series, 12 (2-19); median number of frames, 184 (40-480). The imaging mode applied was not described. However, considering the number of images reported, DSA imaging may be assumed.

Marshall et al. [MA95a] reported dose measurements performed in 90 cerebral DSA angiography procedures, using an undercouch X-ray system. Mean doses to the eye lens and the thyroid were 11 mGy and 5.6 mGy respectively (mean number of DSA-frames, 122; mean fluoroscopy time, 4.7 min). Mean DAP was equal to $49 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$, entrance skin dose was estimated to be 150 mGy. Data pertaining to a four vessel study (left and right carotid and vertebral arteries) were used for further analysis and for estimation of the effective dose. The mean effective dose to the patient was calculated to be 3.6 mSv (corresponding mean DAP, $42 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). Fluoroscopy accounted for 14% of total DAP and for 22% of the effective dose. The higher contribution of fluoroscopy to the effective dose was attributed to extended fluoroscopy times for the chest region, containing more radiosensitive tissues than the head. The overall E/DAP- conversion factor derived in that study was equal to $0.087 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$.

Thoracic arteriography

Maccia et al. [MA88] estimated the nation-wide mean effective dose equivalent for thoracic angiography in France (1982) to be 5 mSv (mean number of films, 24; mean fluoroscopy time, 7.6 min).

Abdominal arteriography

In 1982, Kauffmann et al. [KA82] estimated average DAP for renal arteriography ($n=56$) to be $31 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$.

Mean effective dose equivalent for abdominal angiography in France (1982) was estimated to be 20 mSv [MA88]; the average number of films was 38, mean fluoroscopy time, 5.0 min.

In a paper by Wall and Russell [WA88], mean H_E for abdominal angiography in the UK was reported to be 6 mSv.

Steel and Temperton [ST93] performed measurements for hepatic ($n=12$) and renal arteriography; mean effective dose equivalents to the patient were estimated to be 23 mSv and 16 mSv respectively. The ratio of the mean effective dose to mean DAP was calculated to be $0.17 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ for both procedure types.

Thoracic-abdominal arteriography

Fisher and co-workers [FI95] estimated median DAP for thoracic and abdominal angiography procedures ($n=21$), performed with an undercouch X-ray tube system, to be $33 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ (range 11-130 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). The median for fluoroscopy time was 6.1 min (range, 1.2-68 min); median number of series, 3 (2-11); median number of frames, 115 (48-232). The imaging mode applied was not described. However, considering the number of images reported, DSA imaging may be assumed.

Peripheral arteriography

Kauffmann et al. [KA82] estimated mean DAP for femoral aorto-arteriography to be $20 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ ($n=124$).

Passariello et al. [PA83a] measured entrance doses to an anthropomorphic phantom by simulating exposure conditions for IV-DSA and conventional (film) peripheral angiography. The ratio of the dose from IV-DSA and the dose from conventional angiography was calculated to be 0.5 for the abdominal region, and 0.33 for the legs.

Maccia et al. [MA88] summarised data about radiology in France in 1982. Mean effective dose equivalents, mean number of films, and fluoroscopy time for inferior limbs were estimated to be 10 mSv, 14 films, and 1.3 min respectively.

Wall and Russell [WA88] reported the average effective dose equivalent for peripheral angiography procedures in the UK to be 4 mSv.

Howe et al. [HO91] presented estimates for entrance skin exposures (ESE) and effective dose equivalents (H_E) for peripheral arteriography (see also cerebral arteriography for additional remarks). The following results were obtained for PA-fluoroscopy (lumbar spine region, 40 s): D_{skin} 41 mGy, H_E 0.5 mSv. Dose estimates for AP-radiography were: abdominal region (5 films): D_{skin} 89 mGy, H_E 2.6 mSv; pelvic region (5 films): D_{skin} 31 mGy, H_E 7.5 mSv; thigh (5 films): D_{skin} 5 mGy, H_E 0.9 mSv. In all, average H_E for peripheral arteriography was estimated to be 12 mSv.

Steel and Temperton [ST93] collected data about various angiography procedures (see also cerebral arteriography). From measurement results for 31 peripheral angiography procedures (mean fluoroscopy time 3.7 min (range 1.2 - 19 min), mean number of images, 66 (range 24-107)), the average DAP was calculated to be $43 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ (range $13\text{-}122 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). Taking into account that about 50% of total DAP could be attributed to exposures to the pelvis, the authors calculated mean H_E to be 4 mSv (range 1-16 mSv). An E/DAP-conversion coefficient of $0.09 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ was derived from their results.

Fisher and co-workers [FI95] characterised patient exposures for undercouch X-ray tube peripheral angiography procedures ($n=11$). The median value for the fluoroscopy time was 7.9 min (range 2.7-17 min); median number of series, 9 (range 3-11); median number of frames, 179 (range 36-277); median DAP, $71 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ (range $34\text{-}202 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). It should be noted that the imaging modes applied (DSA or films) were not explicitly mentioned. However, from presented values for "frames" it was assumed that DSA was used.

Castellano et al. [CA95] assessed the effective dose to average patients in 17 bifemoral arteriography procedures. Procedures were performed on an undercouch X-ray tube system, with a discrete stepping tube stand and a filmless digital imaging acquisition system with 40 cm image intensifier. Mean total DAP per procedure was calculated to be $13 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$. Using DAP data and various dose conversion factors, taking the position of the X-ray beam for three main stages of the procedure into account, the authors derived a mean effective dose of 3.1 mSv. The authors stated that the catheter manipulation stage contributed 55% to the effective dose, while fluoroscopy previewing and the DSA images acquisition stage accounted for 23% and 22 % respectively. It should be noted here that, compared with DSA, the relative contribution of fluoroscopy previewing, which is needed for adjustment and programming of the stepping device, appears to be quite high. The authors gave no additional comments about this subject. The highest entrance doses, calculated as the sum of the dose contributions of these three imaging stages, were obtained for the abdominal region. Averaged over all procedures, the mean entrance dose to the abdomen was estimated to be 25 mGy. From their results the E/DAP-conversion coefficient was estimated to be $0.24 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$.

Remaining group of vascular and interventional procedures

Kauffmann et al. [KA82] estimated DAP for phlebography to be $4.6 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$. DAP for PTA of limb vessels was estimated to be $11 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$, DAP for renal embolisation $82 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$.

Maccia et al. [MA88] reported the mean effective dose equivalent for phlebography in France (1982) to be 11 mSv (10 films, 3.0 min).

From a small sample of PTA- and embolisation procedures, Fisher and co-workers [FI95] estimated median DAP-values to be $69 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ (range 22-151 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$; $n=6$) and $122 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ (range 34-287 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$; $n=9$) respectively.

In 36 angiography procedures for imaging of tumours in the upper and lower extremity, radiation exposures due to IA-DSA and conventional arteriography were compared on a one-to-one basis by Lee et al. [LE86]. The authors estimated the mean skin entrance doses per procedure to be 54 mGy for IA-DSA, and as 56 mGy for conventional arteriography. However, by multiplying reported mean numbers of images taken (frames, 32; films, 15) by corresponding dose data (850 $\mu\text{Gy}/\text{frame}$ and 1750 $\mu\text{Gy}/\text{film}$), we calculated entrance doses to be 27 mGy for IA-DSA and 26 mGy for films. As the meaning and the method applied to assess the skin entrance dose were not described in full detail, the data presented in that paper are questionable.

Berthelsen and Cederblad [BE91b] determined the effective dose equivalent to patients in five embolisation procedures of cerebral vessels (undercouch X-ray tube system) by using a dose-area product meter, and DAP to energy imparted conversion factors. These procedures comprised prolonged fluoroscopy times (range 26 to 90 min), 5-16 DSA series, and 0-4 serial cut film series. Effective dose equivalents were calculated to be in the range of 6 to 43 mSv (mean 23 mSv). Highest measured entrance dose determined for individual patients, varied between 170 and 1400 mGy (mean 680 mGy). The doses to the most exposed eye and to the thyroid were measured to be 22-139 mGy (mean 90 mGy), and 5-10 mGy (mean 8.2 mGy).

Concluding remarks about data on patient dosimetry

A surprisingly large number of papers still refer to AP-exposure conditions of the patient [MO80, PL86, CA88, TH88, HO91, FE92, FI95]. Already in 1978, Rosenbaum et al. [RO78] reported that radiation doses to the lens of the eye of the patient from radiographs could be reduced by a factor of 50-100 by using PA instead of AP projections, without loss of image quality. These authors even referred to earlier publications (1971) denoting similar conclusions. In 1981, Gray et al. [GR81] published organ doses for 24 diagnostic examination projections, normalised to entrance doses. The authors concluded: "Significant reduction in dose to specific organs is possible by properly choosing the projections (anteroposterior vs. posteroanterior)". In a paper on lumbar spine radiology [TS90] the advantages of PA views over AP views with respect to both imaging quality and to doses to radiosensitive tissues were discussed. More recently, Marshall and co-workers [MA94] studied the effect of PA views versus AP views in abdominal examinations. From data obtained for various image intensifiers with input screen diameters between 14 and 40 cm, and for $35 \times 35 \text{ cm}^2$ films, it was concluded that effective doses for PA views were between 2 to 5 times lower for males, and between 2.6 to 5.9 times lower for females, than those for AP views. In addition to literature data, relatively high effective doses for AP views are reported in this thesis (Table 6.6, and appendix D) by using commercially available software [HA94, LE94]. In summary, although the benefit of PA views over AP views has been noticed for some time now, many studies still refer to AP projections, indicating a relatively large number of overcouch X-ray tube systems.

It should also be noted that various investigators have expressed their concern about the radiation dose to the patient because of specific features of DSA systems. Casselden [CA88] remarked that after the introduction of DSA, more images were acquired per sequence than was normal practice with conventional technique. Taylor et al. [TA89a] noticed that radiation doses to patients from DSA were appreciable, and could even

exceed those for conventional angiography. It was argued that high dose levels were caused by system capabilities which encouraged the acquisition of large numbers of images. According to these authors "the fact that there is no need to reload film changers means that there is no significant penalty in terms of time, effort or expenses in performing additional runs". Steele and Temperton [SE93] also expressed their concern about the large number of multiple DSA images that can be acquired very rapidly.

As can be seen in Table 3.7, there is a broad range of dose estimates. Some authors also explicitly remarked on the wide ranges in dose results found in their studies [PA82, PL85]. Large variation per procedure type were associated both with the experience of the operator [PL85], and with patient characteristics [PA82, PL85].

Contributions from fluoroscopy to total exposure, expressed by means of the effective dose (equivalent) or the entrance dose, were quite high. Reported estimates for contributions of fluoroscopy for IV-DSA were equal to 36% [PA82], and 6% [PL86]; for IA-DSA angiography 70% [PA82], 22% [MA95a], and 55%-78% [CA95]; for conventional angiography 70% [PA82], 37% [PL86], and 37% [HO91]; and for combined DSA and conventional angiography 27% [PL86], and 67% [FE92].

Data about the contribution of angiography to collective doses are very limited. Maccia [MA88] estimated relative frequencies of angiography and phlebography in France (1982) to be 0.8% and 0.3% of all diagnostic examinations. The relative contribution of angiography to the collective effective dose equivalent from diagnostic radiology was estimated to be 9.7% (6.5% was contributed to abdominal angiography); contribution by phlebography was estimated to be 1%. Padovani et al. [PA87] estimated the frequency of angiography, relative to all radiodiagnostic procedures in North-east Italy (1983) to be 0.3%. In a review paper by Contento et al. [CO88], relative frequencies of different types of X-ray examinations per 1000 inhabitants were presented. It is useful to note that, whereas the ratio for total X-ray examinations in the UK, France and NE Italy were found to be 1:1.9:1.5, corresponding ratios for angiography were estimated to be 1:6.4:1.1.

In summary, patient doses from angiography procedures have been addressed in various papers. However, in coherence with other investigators [MU89, ST93, MA95a] it should be noted that in a time span of about 20 years relatively few data about medical exposures in vascular radiology have been made available. Most of the literature data reviewed are associated with cerebral arteriography. As shown in the review, studies performed in the 1980th were focused on entrance doses [MO80, PA82, PA83a, LE86, CA88, TH88, MU89], rather than on effective dose equivalent. Only a small number of papers comprising dose-area product data may be useful for future dosimetric studies [KA82, PL86, FI95]. Conclusions drawn in some papers were not well-founded [PA82, PA83a, LE86]. Some papers denoting effective dose equivalents did not refer to the original work [MA88, WA88]. From 1991 onwards, some estimates for effective dose (equivalent) have emerged [HO91, FE92, ST93, MA95a, CA95]. Because of the large variation in exposures to the patient, and hence the complexity of the dose assessment procedure, these papers show differences in the dosimetric approach and in the extent of descriptive data. In all, it is concluded that only a limited amount of data have been published, useful for a general approach for optimisation of radiological protection in vascular radiology.

Table 3.7 Dose estimates for patients in vascular radiology^a

Procedure Lit. source	Imaging mode	Tube ^b pos.	D _{eye} [mGy]	D _{skin} [mGy]	D _{thyr} [mGy]	H _E [mSv]	E [mSv]	DAP [Gy · cm ²]
<i>Cerebral</i>								
MO80	film	AP	33-81	-	-	-	-	-
PA82	IV-DSA	under	0.15	37	7.4	-	-	-
PA82	film	over	3.2	230	87	-	-	-
MA88	-	und ^c	-	-	-	12	-	-
PL86	IV-DSA	PA	-	-	-	-	-	7-17{med} ^d
PL86	film	AP	-	-	-	-	-	19-28{med}
PL86	DSA + film	AP	-	-	-	-	-	50{med}
CA88	IA-DSA	PA	3.3	-	-	-	-	-
CA88	film	AP	196	-	-	-	-	-
TH88	IA-DSA	PA	0.9	-	6.1	-	-	-
TH88	film	AP	81	-	22	-	-	-
WA88	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-
MU89	DSA	PA	4.7	185	21	-	-	1.1
HO91	film	PA	-	312	-	21	-	-
FE92	DSA + film	over	-	271	-	11	-	-
ST93	DSA	-	-	-	-	4	-	27
FI95	DSA	over	-	-	-	-	-	73
MA95a	DSA	under	11	150	5.6	-	4	49
<i>Thoracic</i>								
MA88	-	und ^c	-	-	-	5	-	-
<i>Abdominal</i>								
KA82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31
MA88	-	und ^c	-	-	-	20	-	-
WA88	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-
ST93	DSA	-	-	-	-	16,23 ^e	-	-
<i>Thoracic/abdominal</i>								
FI95	DSA	under	-	-	-	-	-	33{med}
<i>Peripheral</i>								
KA82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
MA88	-	und ^c	-	-	-	10	-	-
WA88	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-
HO91	film	AP	-	89	-	12	-	-
ST93	DSA	-	-	-	-	4	-	43
FI95	DSA	over	-	-	-	-	-	71{med}
CA95	DSA	under	-	25	-	-	3	13

^a "-" denotes that this information was not included; ^b "AP" refers to anterior-posterior and "PA" to posterior-anterior beam direction. AP may be considered as similar to overcouch and PA to undercouch X-ray tube positions; ^c A high proportion of the X-ray systems were used with overcouch tubes. ^d {med} denotes median value instead of arithmetic mean; ^e Renal angiography 16 mSv, hepatic angiography 23 mSv.

3.6.2 Radiation doses to staff

This subsection comprises information about occupational exposures in vascular radiology. In most cases, radiation exposures to the operator exceeds those to assisting personnel (see Chapter 9). Therefore, in order to condense the following review, attention has been focused on the dose to the operator. Dose data for various locations on the outside of the body of the operator are summarised in Table 3.8. As it was realised that much can be

learned from other radiodiagnostic examinations involving fluoroscopy, a number of papers containing relevant information for dosimetry in angiography procedures have been reviewed as well. Data about dose rates near the patient table for angiographic and nonangiographic procedures are summarised in Table 3.9.

Dose data referring to angiography procedures

In 1972, Riley et al. [R172] reported about measured entrance doses for operators performing various angiography procedures, including abdominal, cerebral, and peripheral procedures. Vascular imaging was performed with an undertable fluoroscopy X-ray tube system, with two separate X-ray tube and film changer systems. Contrast media were injected manually. In order to determine the relative contributions of radiography and fluoroscopy to the total exposure, two sets of thermoluminescent dosimeters were used for each person. For cerebral angiography ($n=26$), mean skin doses per procedure were estimated to be 60 μGy to the forehead, 70 μGy to the neck, 110 μGy to the elbow, and 75 μGy to the hand. For 20 abdominal angiography procedures, mean skin doses per procedure were estimated to be 270 μGy to the forehead, 215 μGy to the neck, 205 μGy to the elbow, and 200 μGy to the hand. For both cerebral and abdominal angiography procedures it was determined that 92% of the exposure to the operator was due to radiography. For peripheral angiography ($n=9$), mean skin doses per procedure were estimated to be 120 μGy to the forehead, 110 μGy to the neck, 135 μGy to the elbow, and 130 μGy to the hand. Doses correlated well with the total tube load for radiography (total mAs). In other procedures, which do not require the presence of the operator near the patient during radiography, good correlation was found for total fluoroscopy time and dose to the forehead. In an additional study [R172], comprising four abdominal procedures with contrast medium injected by means of a remotely-triggered injector, average radiation doses to the operator were reduced to 10 μSv or less. The authors recommended that "manual injection of contrast material should be avoided whenever possible." Furthermore, it was stated that "radiologists should use automatic remotely-triggered equipment to inject contrast medium. They should stand at least 2 m from the patient when in the room during radiography". Also, concern was expressed about new equipment with overtable tubes, which could increase scattered doses to the eye lens of the operator.

Santen et al. [SA75] measured entrance doses to the hand and neck of operators, exposed to scattered X-rays in various types of angiography procedures. Procedures were performed with an undertable X-ray tube angiography system. From data obtained in 80 aortography (AA), 25 selective renal arteriography (SRA), and 25 selective vertebral arteriography (SVA) procedures, mean fluoroscopy time and the mean number of radiographs were estimated to be for AA: 1.1 min, 0.4 films; SRA: 2.4 min, 3.2 films; SVA: 5.0 min, 1.3 films. Occupational exposures associated with fluoroscopy and serial cut film radiography were derived separately in 88 procedures. It was derived from data presented by the authors that mean entrance doses to the forehead were equal to 2 μGy for AA, 150 μGy for SRA, and 48 μGy for SVA. Mean entrance doses to the hand were equal to 4 μGy for AA, 32 μGy for SRA, and 11 μGy for SVA. Mean doses to the hands, resulting from fluoroscopy were estimated to be 3.3 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for AA, and 1.1 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for SRA. Mean hand doses per radiograph were estimated to be 2.7 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{film}^{-1}$ for SRA, and 0.6 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{film}^{-1}$ for SVA. Mean doses to the forehead, resulting from fluoroscopy were estimated to be 1.7 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for AA and SRA, and 0.8 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for SVA; mean forehead doses per film were estimated to be 13 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{film}^{-1}$ for SRA, and 2.4 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{film}^{-1}$ for SVA. The authors stated that exposures associated with

radiography were high because contrast medium was manually injected, with the operator standing beside the patient table.

Lackner et al. [LA78] performed entrance dose measurements to the operator for 56 abdominal and peripheral arteriography procedures (overcouch tube system). Because of the reported distance of the operator from the patient, 0.6-1 m, both for fluoroscopy and radiography, we assumed that contrast medium was injected manually. From a comparison of entrance doses per unit time with data obtained in 134 cardio-angiography procedures, the authors concluded that relatively high doses from noncardiovascular imaging were caused by obsolescent system features (e.g. kerma rate at input screen of image intensifier was reported to be $0.87 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$; kerma rate for the cardio-system was $0.26 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$). Median entrance doses to the operator were $420 \mu\text{Gy}$ to the forehead and $130 \mu\text{Gy}$ to the hand. In order to reduce occupational exposures, the authors advocated the use of remote controlled contrast medium injection systems.

Entrance doses to operators in 18 cerebral arteriography procedures, performed on an undercouch X-ray tube system, were reported by Chopp et al. [CH80]. Mean fluoroscopy time was 6.2 min, average number of films 79. Mean doses to the forehead, thyroid and wrist were estimated to be $31 \mu\text{Gy}$, $28 \mu\text{Gy}$, and $22 \mu\text{Gy}$ respectively. Injection of contrast medium (manually or by power system) was not described.

Law [LA85] reported on dose measurements that were performed pair-wise on the wrist (on the arm near the patient and X-ray beam) and the "head" (forehead or on thyroid collar) of the operator during various angiography procedures. The ratio of the sum doses to the wrist and the head was calculated to be 2.7. X-ray tube position and contrast medium administration were not described.

Tryhus et al. [TR87] determined entrance doses to operators for 10 cerebral and 14 abdominal arteriography procedures. All procedures were performed with power contrast medium injectors, using an undercouch fluoroscopy X-ray system and two overtable tubes for radiography and DSA. Mean entrance doses for cerebral angiography were estimated to be $26 \mu\text{Gy}$ for the eyes, $41 \mu\text{Gy}$ for the thyroid, and $71 \mu\text{Gy}$ for the hand. Mean entrance doses for abdominal angiography were estimated to be $52 \mu\text{Gy}$ for the eyes, $75 \mu\text{Gy}$ for the thyroid, and $130 \mu\text{Gy}$ for the hand, excluding one abdominal procedure in which the dose to the hand was 3 mGy. Higher doses during abdominal procedures were related to increased scatter from patient tissue and the closer proximity of the radiologist to the X-ray tube than during cerebral angiography. Doses to the eyes, thyroid and hand were only weakly correlated with fluoroscopy time. From measurement results, the authors concluded that the apron (0.5 mm lead equivalent) reduced gonadal dose by a factor of 7-11. As will be discussed in section 9.4, this result is probably incorrect.

In a study on entrance doses to angiographers in a Dutch hospital in 1987 [KI88], comprising 123 procedures, the mean dose to the chest region (on the apron) of operators was estimated to be $67 \mu\text{Sv}$. On the basis of measurements performed during eight procedures, it was estimated that entrance doses to the most exposed hand were equal to about three times the dose to the chest. Entrance doses to the chest for unspecified IV-DSA were $56 \mu\text{Gy}$ ($n=32$), for unspecified IA-DSA procedures $61 \mu\text{Gy}$ ($n=54$), and for PTA procedures $68 \mu\text{Gy}$ ($n=14$).

Britton and Wholey [BR88] measured doses to the unprotected eye lens of operators in cerebral angiography (undertable X-ray tube system). For IV-DSA procedures ($n=65$), the lens dose was estimated to be $9 \mu\text{Gy}$ (range $4\text{-}16 \mu\text{Gy}$); mean dose rate to the forehead during fluoroscopy was $8.9 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ (range $4\text{-}14 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$). IV-DSA studies were performed with power injection of contrast material. From measurements in 45 intra-

arterial procedures (femoral approach), the mean doses to the lens were calculated to be 58 μGy from fluoroscopy (mean fluoroscopy time 8.8 min; mean dose rate 9.0 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$), and 130 μGy from IA-DSA imaging (hand injection of contrast material). The authors concluded that the use of pressure injectors rather than hand injection would eliminate the dose due to filming, which was found to account for 75% of the total dose. The authors also concluded that judicious use of fluoroscopy controls, as well as experience in catheterisation would reduce the occupational exposure. This was illustrated by longer fluoroscopy times for residents (mean 11.7 min) compared with staff radiologists (mean 4 min).

Berthelsen and Cederblad [BE91b] determined the effective dose equivalent to operators in embolisation procedures of cerebral vessels (undercouch X-ray system). For five procedures, with fluoroscopy times varying between 26 and 90 min, number of film series between 0 and 4, and number of DSA series between 5 and 16, estimates for H_E were calculated to be ranging from 10 to 26 μSv (mean 17 μSv). Entrance doses to the eyes, neck, and most exposed hand were estimated to be 40-210 μGy (mean 115 μGy), 50-120 μGy (mean 75 μGy), 30-190 μGy (mean 115 μGy) respectively. With references to published data, and taking into account that personnel wore 0.35 mm lead aprons, the authors calculated H_E to be about 20% of the neck dose.

Boone and Levin [BO91] simulated exposures near an angiography system in both PA and AP geometries for a supine patient. A 200-mm-thick perspex phantom, a field of view of 30x30 cm^2 and air kerma rate of 0.44 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ at the image intensifier entrance screen (behind the grid), were used to perform measurements at various distances and for different tube high voltage settings. At 50 cm from the central beam axis, the PA/AP dose rate ratio for the lower legs of the operator was about 8. Above the waist AP/PA dose rate ratios varied from 3 (at 140 cm) to 5 (at 160 cm), which illustrates once more the increased exposure level to the unprotected arms, neck and head of the operator in AP views. It was also shown that, as the automatic brightness control of the system kept the kerma rate at the image intensifier constant, the scatter dose rate decreased with increasing tube high voltage. Scatter dose ratios for 70, 80 and 90 kV were calculated to be about 1.3:1:0.8. The authors noted that the results confirmed the rule of thumb: in fluoroscopy, the radiologist's exposure at a distance of 1 m is roughly one thousandth of the patient's entrance exposure.

Fisher et al [FI95] examined the association between the fluoroscopy time, number of series, number of frames, and dose-area product with the entrance doses to the hand and the neck for various angiography and interventional procedures. Cerebral arteriography was performed on an overcouch tube system, whilst other procedures were performed on an undercouch X-ray tube system. Correlations were found to be very weak for the hand ($r < 0.21$) and weak for the neck ($r < 0.62$). The authors concluded that occupational dose data could not be derived from technique parameters. It should be noted here that, although the authors stated explicitly that dose data were skewed and deviated from a normal distribution, the Pearson correlation coefficient was applied. Furthermore, judging from the number of observations reported, it must be concluded that correlation analysis was performed without discriminating between overcouch and undercouch tube positions. This implies that conclusions drawn by the authors about associations may be invalid. Median values for the dose to the hand and the neck were estimated to be 230 μGy and 175 μGy for cerebral angiography ($n=33$), 65 μGy and 55 μGy for thoracic and abdominal angiography ($n=21$), 145 μGy and 75 μGy for peripheral angiography ($n=11$), 500 μGy and 210 μGy for PTA ($n=6$), and 250 μGy and 240 μGy for embolisation

procedures (n=9). Ratios of means for occupational exposures for interventional procedures and means for angiography were found to be approximately 1.5. In one cerebral angiography procedure the dose to the hand was measured to be as high as 6.3 mGy. The authors stated that no explanation was found, which indicates that incidentally unnoticed high exposures can occur in daily practice. It was also stated that differences between the dose to the hand and the neck, and the position of the X-ray tube (undercouch or overcouch) were found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.01$).

Table 3.8 Dose estimates for operators in vascular radiology^a

Procedure				Mean dose per procedure				
Lit. source	Imaging ^b mode	Tube ^c pos.	Inj. ^d syst.	D _{eye} [μGy]	D _{neck} [μGy]	D _{hand} [μGy]	H _E [μSv]	E [μSv]
<i>Cerebral arteriography</i>								
RI72	film	under	man	60	70	75	-	-
SA75	film	under	man	48	-	11	-	-
CH80	film	under	-	31	28	22	-	-
TR87	film + DSA	under	rem	26	41	71	-	-
BR88	IV-DSA	under	rem	9	-	-	-	-
BR88	IA-DSA	under	man	188	-	-	-	-
FI95	DSA	over	-	-	175	230	-	-
MA95a	DSA	under	man	14	11	19	-	0.55
<i>Abdominal arteriography</i>								
RI72	film	under	man	270	215	200	-	-
RI72	film	under	rem	< 10	< 10	< 10	-	-
SA75 ^f	film	under	-	2	-	4	-	-
SA75 ^g	film	under	man	150	-	32	-	-
TR87	film + DSA	under	rem	52	75	130	-	-
<i>Thoracic/abdominal art.</i>								
FI95	DSA	under	-	-	55	65	-	-
<i>Abdominal/peripheral art.</i>								
LA78	film	over	man ^h	420	-	130	-	-
<i>Peripheral arteriography</i>								
RI72	film	under	man	120	110	130	-	-
FI95	DSA	under	-	-	75	145	-	-
<i>Angiography (not specified)</i>								
KI88	IV-DSA	-	-	-	56	-	-	-
KI88	IA-DSA	-	-	-	61	190	-	-
<i>Endo vasc. interventional procedures</i>								
BE91b(emb)	DSA + film	under	-	115	75	115	17	-
FI95 (PTA)	DSA	under	-	-	210	500	-	-
KI88 (PTA)	DSA	-	-	-	68	-	-	-
FI95 (emb)	DSA	under	-	-	240	250	-	-

^a "-" denotes that this information was not included; ^b "DSA" denotes digital subtraction angiography; "film" denotes serial cut film angiography; ^c "under" and "over" refer to undercouch and overcouch X-ray tube positions respectively; ^d "man" denotes manual injection of contrast medium; "rem" denotes administration of contrast medium by means of a remote controlled power injection system; ^e {med} denotes that the authors provided medians instead of means; ^f Aortography. Radiography data not described; ^g Selective renal arteriography; ^h manual injection was assumed because of other information.

Marshall et al. [MA95a] performed dose measurements for staff in 90 cerebral DSA angiography procedures, using an undercouch X-ray system. Contrast medium was injected manually. Dose measurements were performed with thermoluminescent dosimeters and with electronic personal dosimeters ($n=30$). Staff wore 0.35 mm lead equivalence protective aprons. The entrance dose for operators at the chest above the apron was measured to be $11 \mu\text{Gy}$ per procedure (averaged over all cerebral plus embolisation procedures performed). From measurements performed for cerebral arterial embolisations it was inferred that doses at chest height were about a factor of two higher than for cerebral angiography. It was noted that this increase in dose correlated well with the increase in DAP. Average doses for operators, combined both for angiography and embolisation procedures were estimated to be $14 \mu\text{Gy}$ for the forehead, and $19 \mu\text{Gy}$ for the most exposed hand. By dividing the dose at chest height in front of the apron by a factor of 20, the authors estimated the mean effective dose for cerebral angiography to be $0.55 \mu\text{Sv}$. As mean DAP was equal to $49 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$, this would implicate a DAP to effective dose conversion factor of $0.01 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$.

Occupational doses to operators in non-angiography procedures

The relationship between dose rate from scattered radiation and field size was found to be linear, both for overcouch X-ray tube positions [FO79, MA92] and for undercouch X-ray tube positions [MA92].

Den Boer and Mohr [BO76] measured spatial distributions of scattered radiation near a cardio-vascular X-ray system (undertable tube) for different technical parameters. By using the conversion factor $8.77 \mu\text{Gy}/\text{mR}$, we converted presented exposure rates to absorbed dose rates in air. At tube high voltage of 90 kV, tube current 1 mA, and field size $8.5 \times 11 \text{ cm}^2$, dose rates close to the patient table varied from 0.7 – $7.2 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, depending on the projection angle and the height from the floor. The authors calculated correction factors for tube high voltage settings of 60, 70, 80, 90, 100 and 110 kV, to be 0.2, 0.38, 0.7, 1, 1.3, and 1.65 respectively. It should be noted that these findings are contradicting with the results by Boone [BO91]. Probably, dose rates by Den Boer were obtained by fixed mA-values. Taking into account that modern imaging systems have automatic exposure controls, one should use Boone's data for daily practice.

Forster and Mohr [FO79] investigated the radiation dose rate around an overcouch urological fluoroscopy system. At tube high voltage setting of 84 kV, tube current 1 mA, and beam size $20 \times 20 \text{ cm}^2$, dose rates at positions 35 cm lateral from the table, 135 cm above the floor, were measured to be about 5.7 – $11 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, depending on the position; at 35 cm lateral from the table, dose rates at 50 cm, 100 cm and 150 cm above the floor were equal to about $0.7 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, $7 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, and $11 \mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ respectively.

Cruikshank et al. [CR80a] measured finger doses to two operators performing percutaneous cholangiography procedures (undercouch X-ray tube system). Initial differences between individual doses per unit fluoroscopy time ($940 \mu\text{Sv}/\text{min}$ and $240 \mu\text{Sv}/\text{min}$) were attributed to differences in the sizes of the X-ray field applied (full screen versus $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$). In a second stage during which both operators attempted to cone the field to $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$, corresponding finger dose rates were $370 \mu\text{Sv}/\text{min}$ and $300 \mu\text{Sv}/\text{min}$. As other technique parameters remained essentially unaltered, the authors associated this dose reduction (about 3x) with the more careful attention that was paid to field size settings.

Gustafsson and Lunderquist [GU81] reported about TLD measurements at the forehead, neck and fingers of operators during percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography (undercouch fluoroscopy X-ray tube system). Estimated average doses for 10 procedures were

calculated to be 50 μGy to the forehead, 40 μGy to the neck, and 1500 μGy and 550 μGy to the left and right hand respectively. Mean fluoroscopy time was 13 min. It follows that corresponding ratios of means are equal to 3.8 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the forehead, 3.1 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the neck, 115 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the hand close to the X-ray beam. Generally, radiography was performed with personnel outside of the operating area. A rough method was used to estimate effective dose equivalents. The authors concluded that about 60% of the effective dose came from the radiation exposure to the unshielded head and neck region. The authors explained considerably higher eye lens doses found by other investigators by high exposure rates at image intensifier screens and manual injection of contrast material during radiography in those hospitals.

Faulkner and Moore [FA82] measured radiation dose levels at waist and chest level in the immediate vicinity of an X-ray system, both with undercouch and overcouch tube positions. For tube high voltage and tube current settings of 80 kV and 1 mA (field size $12 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$), dose rates for overcouch tube position varied between 50 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ at very close distance, and 10 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ at 0.5 m from the table. Dose rates for undercouch tube position, at 0.5 m from the table, were equal to 8 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ at waist level, and about 2 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ at chest level. The authors stated that "increasing the tube potential may not lead to a higher personal dose if tube current is reduced appropriately". It should be noted that this statement contradicts results obtained by Boone [BO91].

Law [LA85] reported about dose measurements performed on the wrist (on the arm near the patient and X-ray beam) and the head/neck region (forehead or on thyroid collar) of radiologists in a number of different diagnostic procedures. Ratios of the wrist dose by the dose to the head varied between about 1 and 14, indicating a large variation in the relationship between these measurement positions.

Kicken et al. [KI88a] measured entrance doses to various measurement positions on the body of occupationally exposed personnel in two cardiac catheterisation rooms (both equipped with an undertable X-ray tube system). Measurement results obtained in 1250 procedures were normalised to DAP. Averaged over both hospitals, dose rates to the operator were found to be equal to 2.8 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ for the eye lens and thyroid, 3.7 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ for the lower leg, 2.3 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ for the hand close to the X-ray beam, and 0.7 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ for the other hand. On the basis of filmbadge dosimeter data, the overall effective energy of scattered radiation near the patient table was estimated to be 35 keV.

For a standard fluoroscopy table with overcouch tube, Inglis et al. [IN89] estimated the entrance dose rates for the hands of experienced operators for percutaneous nephrolithotomy to be 51 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ and 78 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the left middle and left index finger respectively ($n=55$, 75-80 kV, about 1.5 mA, mean fluoroscopy time 4.4 min (range 1.2 - 12.5 min)).

McParland and co-workers [MC90] measured entrance doses to operators in two cardiology catheterisation rooms, both equipped with undercouch X-ray tube systems. Entrance doses obtained in 43 procedures were normalised to the workload (integrated tube current). Averaged over both hospitals, dose rates were estimated to be 0.65 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mA}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the forehead, and 0.55 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mA}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the thyroid. In one hospital entrance doses at the chest and wrist were estimated to be 0.6 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mA}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, and 0.75 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mA}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ respectively, whereas results in the other hospital were equal to 30 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mA}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the chest, and 37 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mA}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the wrist. The authors explained these large difference by the finding that operators in the latter hospital worked considerably closer to the patient.

Ramsdale et al. [RA90a] noted a trend towards equipping X-ray systems with overcouch X-ray tubes instead of the more conventional undercouch arrangement. From TLD measurement results for operators in percutaneous nephrolithotomy procedures with an overcouch system (mean fluoroscopy time 22 min; $n=42$), mean doses were estimated to be 520 μGy and 280 μGy for the left and right hand respectively, 320 μGy for the eye, and 270 μGy for the neck. The authors reported that hand doses were in good agreement with the results by Law et al. [LA89], obtained for similar exposure conditions; left hand and neck doses were about a factor of 6 above those reported by Lowe et al. [LO86], which were determined for an undercouch X-ray tube system.

Vehmas et al. [VE91] reported finger doses associated with fluoroscopy during percutaneous nephrostomy, performed on an angiography system with undercouch tube. The average dose was equal to 190 μGy per procedure ($n=21$; mean fluoroscopy time 12 min; mean DAP 8.0 (range 0.4-24) $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). From these results the ratio of hand dose by fluoroscopy time, and the ratio of hand dose by DAP were calculated to be 16 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$, and 24 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ respectively. In a subsequent paper by Vehmas and Tikkanen [VE92] about this study, mean and median dose rates to the hand of the operator in 27 procedures were calculated to be 20 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ and 8 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ respectively. The frequency distribution was found to be skewed. The range of dose rates was wide, differences of several hundred-times were obtained. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used to quantify associations between the doses rates to the hands and shoulders. Correlations between most measurement positions showed statistically significant correlations, with the exception of the left hand and right hand, and the right hand and the left shoulder. In all, it was concluded that the correlation between shoulder and hand doses was uncertain, and that finger doses should be used on both hands.

Felmlee et al. [FE91] investigated hand doses with TLD ring badges during 30 interventional non-vascular radiology procedures, performed with an undertable X-ray tube system. The average hand dose was 1500 μGy (range 0 - 5500 μGy). From a statistical analysis, the authors concluded that hand doses did not correlate linearly with fluoroscopy time. From scatter radiation measurements, it was observed that hand dose rates decreased by about a factor of two at 5 cm displacement from the border of the X-ray field and by a factor of three at 10 cm displacement.

From means for entrance doses and the fluoroscopy time for percutaneous nephrolithotomy procedures performed on an overcouch X-ray tube system ($n=42$), presented by Page and Walker [PA92], the entrance dose per unit fluoroscopy time was calculated to be 15 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the eye, 13 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the thyroid, 24 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the left hand, and 13 $\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ for the right hand. These relatively low dose rates were associated with the use of small fields, and the intermittently use of the light beam diaphragm to check the position of the X-ray field to ensure that the operator's fingers never strayed into the primary beam.

Vehmas [VE93] investigated operators' finger doses during 39 percutaneous drainage procedures (undercouch X-ray tube system). The author used the Spearman's rank correlation to derive a positive correlation between DAP and finger doses to the left and right hand. Also, a positive correlation between hand doses and fluoroscopy time was found. According to Vehmas, conflicting conclusions found by Felmlee et al. [FE91] resulted from inappropriate statistical methods used by Felmlee. Vehmas noted that frequency distributions in both studies were skewed, thereby necessitating the use of distribution-free statistics.

Table 3.9 Dose rate levels near the patient table^a

Procedure Lit. source	Imaging mode	Tube ^b position	Dose rate Un- spec ^c	Fore head	Neck	Hand	Leg	Unit
<i>Cerebral arteriography</i>								
SA75	fluoro	under	-	0.8	-	-	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
SA75	film	under	-	2.4	-	0.6	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{film}^{-1}$
BR88	fluoro	under	-	9.0	-	-	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
<i>Abdominal arteriography</i>								
SA75	fluoro	under	-	1.7	-	3.3	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
SA75	fluoro	under	-	1.7	-	1.1	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
SA75	film	under	-	13	-	2.7	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{film}^{-1}$
<i>Cardio-vascular systems</i>								
BO76	fluor	under	.7-.7	-	-	-	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
KI88	Fluoro + cine	under	-	2.8	2.8	2.3	3.7	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$
MC90	fluor + cine	under	-	.65	.55	.8-37	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mA}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
<i>Angiography system</i>								
BO91 ^d	fluoro	over	1	-	-	-	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{mGy}$
<i>Urology systems</i>								
FO79	fluoro	over	6-11	11	-	-	0.7	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
<i>Nephrolithotomy</i>								
IN89	fluoro	over	-	-	-	78	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
VE91	fluoro	under	-	-	-	16	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
VE91	fluoro	under	-	-	-	24	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$
VE92	fluoro	under	-	-	-	20	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
PA92	fluoro	over	-	15	13	24	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
<i>Non vascular procedures</i>								
CR80a(PTC)	fluoro	under	-	-	-	240	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
CR80a(PTC)	fluoro	under	-	-	-	940	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
GU81 (PTC)	fluoro	under	-	3.8	3.1	115	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
<i>Unspecified system</i>								
FA82	fluoro	over	-	-	10	-	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$
FA82	fluoro	under	-	-	2	-	-	$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$

^a "-" denotes that this information was not included; ^b "under" refers to undercouch, and "over" to overcouch. X-ray tube position; ^c "Unspec" denotes exposures at non specified locations near the patient table;

^d scatter dose, relative to patient entrance dose ($D_{\text{scatter}} = D_{\text{entrance}}/1000$).

Concluding remarks about data on occupational exposures

Published data show a wide variation in dose data for different parts of the body, as well as for different types of procedures. Differences in occupational exposures are both associated with features of the imaging equipment (e.g. overcouch versus undercouch X-ray tube systems, cut film versus DSA, and manual versus remote-controlled injection of contrast medium), as with the experience of the operator. It should be noted that some authors evaluated additional shieldings, that were attached to the patient table or ceiling mounted. To prevent a mix-up of data, these data have not been included in this review.

Some authors noted that dose data distributions were skewed, with a small number of observations on the high dose sides. Because of non Gaussian dose distributions, various authors provided medians instead of means (generally, medians were smaller than means). Some authors investigated the correlation between occupational exposures and technique parameters, such as fluoroscopy time, dose-area product, and total tube load. As noted by

Vehmas [VE93], results of correlation analysis with statistical methods applicable for normal distributed data are suspect.

Various authors expressed their concern about relatively high occupational exposures resulting from overcouch systems, or at least illustrated differences between dose levels for overcouch versus undercouch X-ray tube systems [RI72, GU81, FA82, LA89, BO91, FI95].

For some time now, investigators have given attention to increased dose levels to the operator associated with manual injection of contrast medium for radiography [RI72, SA75, LA78, BR88]. Relative contributions of radiography to the total exposure have been reported as high as 92% [RI72] and 75% [BR88]. In spite of the recommendations made by the authors to introduce remotely controlled power injection systems, manual injection has been in use up to now [LO93, MA95a, this thesis].

Beside the position of the X-ray tube and contrast medium administration, other sources of variation were introduced to explain differences between occupational dose data, such as the distance of the operator from the patient [MC90], diaphragm setting [CR80a, BR88, PA92], system features [LA78], and experience of the operator [BR88].

Chapter 4

Arteriography and interventional vascular radiology

In this chapter medical procedures and equipment applied in arteriography and interventional vascular radiology are described. It only includes information pertaining to the radiation exposure of patient and personnel. In order to provide insight in the variations in exposure conditions, general characteristics of angiography are covered as well. Since exposure conditions depend on the technique of contrast medium administration, this technique is also described. The general descriptive sections are mainly based on information published in textbooks and literature [BU94, CU90, JO87, LA90, PH86, WH90, WO89]. To increase readability, case-by-case references to these sources are not included.

Since this thesis does not deal with cardiology, descriptions of these specialistic procedures have not been included.

4.1 Arteriography

4.1.1 Introduction

The vascular system can be investigated with various imaging methods, such as radiography, computed tomography (CT), radionuclide imaging, ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In radiography, contrast is caused by local differences in the attenuation of X-rays in the body. Since the X-ray attenuation in a blood vessel and its surrounding tissue are quite similar, blood vessels are not seen on a plain radiograph. Injection of a contrast medium, presently always an iodine compound that increases the attenuation of X-rays, is necessary to visualise the vascular system (X-ray angiography). X-ray angiography, CT and radionuclide imaging involve the application of ionising radiation, resulting in a radiation dose to the patient. In X-ray angiography and CT the radiation source is located outside the patient's body. In radionuclide imaging, radiopharmaceuticals, preferably emitting gamma radiation in the energy range of approximately 80 to 300 keV, are introduced into the vascular system. The emitted radiation is used to produce images with a so-called gamma-camera. In ultrasound and MRI non-ionising radiation imaging techniques are applied. There is no hard evidence that any physiological change is produced in patients by exposure to ultrasound during diagnostic examinations [NC83, NC92]. Current scientific data on adverse health effects indicate that, although knowledge is limited in this area, the long term risks of MRI examinations are less than those associated with alternative diagnostic methods which involve exposure to ionizing radiation [KA90, NH91, NR91].

According to the principles of justification as described in Chapter 2, aspects such as patient risk and discomfort, cost of the procedure, test characteristics, and the relevance

of the diagnostic information to be gained, should be properly weighed when choosing which imaging modality should be used.

In the medical literature on X-ray angiography, imaging of arteries is referred to as *arteriography* and imaging of veins as *phlebography* or *venography*. However, in daily practice as well as in the literature, the term angiography is (incorrectly) used as a synonym for arteriography. Arteriography may be performed by arterial as well as by venous injections of contrast medium. Phlebography, on the other hand, nearly always requires contrast medium injection in a vein.

In common practice the term *conventional angiography* refers to imaging of arteries by using a serial cut film changer after injection of a bolus of contrast medium into the arterial vascular system. *Digital subtraction angiography (DSA)* refers to imaging of arteries by using a digital subtraction system after injection of a bolus of contrast medium into the arterial vascular system (Intra-Arterial DSA, or IA-DSA) or venous vascular system (Intra-Venous DSA, or IV-DSA). Advantages and disadvantages of conventional angiography, IA-DSA and IV-DSA have been discussed by Carmody [CA86a], Dawson [DA88] and Jeans [JE90].

The contrast medium can be administered through a needle into a (superficial) blood vessel or through a catheter being positioned more deeply in the vascular system. If a small branch far from the main vessel has to be examined, the catheterisation is called "*selective*", since the position of the catheter tip is located near that branch and the flow of contrast fluid enables imaging of one or only a few small vessels.

A scheme for the classification of X-ray angiography examinations is provided in Table 4.1. Five different criteria are given: (i) the anatomical region, (ii) the method of contrast administration, (iii) the type of blood vessel to be imaged, (iv) the position of the catheter tip, and (iv) the imaging technique.

Characterisation of angiographic views of single blood vessels generally includes the direction of the X-ray beam as well. Rotation of the X-ray tube housing and image receptor carrier around the length axis of the patient results in anterior-posterior, posterior-anterior, lateral or oblique projections. Angulation of the X-ray housing results in caudal-cranial or cranial-caudal projection.

For various types of phlebography procedures a radiographic tilting table is required. As the so-called "universal angiography systems" generally do not provide a tilting patient support option, these procedures are usually carried out in general fluoroscopy rooms, equipped with a spot film or a digital subtraction imaging system. Phlebography procedures that are not performed in an angiography room have not been included in this study.

4.1.2 Arteriography, a brief description of the procedure

Four different stages are recognised in arteriographic procedures:

- (i) patient preparation,
- (ii) catheterisation,
- (iii) imaging of the vascular system, and
- (iv) follow-up treatment.

Stage two is associated with fluoroscopy and stage three with the photographic or digital imaging of the vascular system. Depending on the diagnostic information one wants to obtain, these stages can be repeated several times. As the X-ray system is applied

Table 4.1 Classification criteria for angiographic examinations

Criteria	Additional information	Nomenclature
- Regional position of the blood vessel	- head, neck - upper limbs - thorax - abdomen, pelvic - iliac/lower limbs	- cerebral - peripheral - thoracic - abdominal - peripheral
- Puncture site	- artery - vein	- intra-arterial - intravenous
- Type of the blood vessel imaged	- artery - vein	- arteriography - phlebography, or venography
- Position of the catheter tip	- in main vessel - in branch of main vessel - in (small) branch	- nonselective ^a - selective - superselective
- Imaging system	- photographic - digital - digital subtraction	- conventional - DSI - DSA (DVI ^b)

^a Usually the prefix "nonselective" is not used; ^b DVI is a trade name used by Philips ; (DVI=digital vascular imaging).

infrequently in the stages one and four, only the stages two and three are relevant concerning radiation protection.

Arteriographic procedures may involve two, three or four occupationally exposed persons. In a complex procedure the angiographic team usually exists of one operator (a radiologist), one first assistant (a radiologist-trainee or a radiographer), one circulating assistant (radiographer) and one other radiographer. Depending on the complexity of the procedure and the skill of the team members involved, the auxiliary activities are performed by one to three persons. In the following paragraph a short description is given of the stages (ii) and (iii). Additional information on patient exposure conditions and occupational exposure conditions is provided in the sections 4.5 and 4.6 respectively.

(ii) Catheterisation

The radiologist punctures a blood vessel with a specially designed needle and introduces a guide-wire (a thin metal wire) through this needle into the blood vessel system. The positioning of this guide-wire, which will be used to guide a hollow catheter, may involve fluoroscopic control. Subsequently, the needle is removed and a hollow catheter is pushed over this guide-wire and introduced in the blood vessel. After positioning the catheter in the vascular system the guide-wire is removed and the catheter is advanced to its final position. This catheterisation technique is referred to as the Seldinger technique. Movements of the catheter are guided by short or protracted periods of fluoroscopy. To facilitate catheter positioning, parts of the vascular system are sometimes visualised in the fluoroscopic image by manual administration of small quantities of contrast medium through the catheter. Repeated catheterisation activities comprise repositioning of the catheter tip and/or the introduction of a new guide-wire to replace a catheter or to intro-

duce a new one. The duration of the fluoroscopic actions depends on various factors such as the anatomy and the condition of the vascular system of the patient, the desired location of the catheter tip, characteristics of the guide-wire and the catheter, and the experience of the operator.

(iii) Imaging of the vascular system

Vascular imaging is performed with a serial cut film system, a DSA system or with both systems. Quite regularly, fluoroscopic previewing of the body region is required. Contrast medium is usually administered with a power driven injector, which is connected to the film changer control unit or DSA system. During vascular imaging (serial cut film or DSA) all personnel stay behind protective barriers in the control room, if a power driven contrast medium injector is applied. However, occupational exposure may occur if contrast medium is injected manually, in which case the operator stays next to the patient, approximately at the same location as during fluoroscopy. Nonvolatile imaging techniques are described in section 4.3.

4.1.3 Contrast medium administration for X-ray arteriography

The quality of the vascular image depends on the characteristics and quality of the imaging system, but also on the quantity and concentration of contrast medium, the location of the injection, the injection speed and the timing of imaging with respect to contrast medium administration. For example, a rapid injection through a selectively placed catheter in the artery that is to be imaged, shows a high peak in the time-contrast density curve, whereas a tardy injection through a non-selectively placed catheter would show a broader and lower peak. A venous injection, or a slow non-selective arterial injection would show a very broad and low peak, resulting in a poor image of the arterial system or in no vascular image at all. The injection speed of contrast medium and the total dose administered depend on the position of the catheter tip (e.g. abdominal aorta, carotid artery, peripheral vein) and the imaging system applied (cut film, DSA). Contrast medium can be administered in the arteries and veins through a catheter or through a needle, referred to as a catheterisation and a needle puncture respectively. In the following paragraphs contrast injection is described briefly.

Common femoral artery needle puncture

For a femoral needle puncture approach, the patient lies on the table in supine position. Normally, a retrograde puncture of the femoral artery is performed in the groin, directly under the ligament of poupart, using a stainless steel or teflon coated needle of approximately 8 to 10 cm. This approach is used for peripheral arteriography of one leg.

Common femoral artery catheterisation

The common femoral artery is a large vessel close to the body surface and easily accessible for puncturing in the groin, as described above. It is most frequently used for arterial catheterisation, giving access to the aorta and all its branches in the neck, upper and lower limbs. After insertion of the catheter in the artery, the catheter is usually directed towards the head (retrograde catheterisation) or in special cases towards the foot (antegrade catheterisation).

Brachial artery catheterisation

In a brachial approach the patient lies supine on the table support with the arm abducted to 90° at the shoulder. The brachial artery lies even closer to the skin surface than the femoral artery; its much smaller diameter is a disadvantage, however. To insert a catheter

ter, the brachial artery is punctured above the elbow region. Catheterisation of the brachial artery gives access to the aorta and its branches including the lower extremities. The brachial artery can also be catheterised in an antegrade caudal direction to image blood vessels in the lower arm or hand.

Axillary artery catheterisation

The axillary artery is punctured over the neck of the humerus to insert a catheter. The diameter of the axillary artery is larger than the diameter of the brachial artery but smaller than the femoral artery. With the patient in supine position, the arm abducted to 90° at the shoulder and flexed at the elbow, and the hand behind the head the axillary artery is easy to catheterise. Essentially, the axillary approach gives access to the same vessels as the brachial approach.

Abdominal aorta needle puncture

For translumbar aortography (TLA) the abdominal aorta is punctured with the patient in prone position. TLA procedures have been performed quite frequently in the past, but nowadays the Seldinger technique and IV-DSA are preferred, and TLA is more or less obsolete.

Catheterisation of the median antecubital vein, jugular vein or subclavian vein

In IV-DSA examinations a catheter is introduced in the median antecubital vein (elbow region), or alternatively in a jugular vein (neck region) or subclavian vein.

4.1.4 Indications and contra-indications to arteriography

There is a wide range of indications for X-ray arteriography including diagnosing and staging of occlusive arteriosclerotic arterial disease, bone and soft-tissue tumours. Although reported radiation doses to the patients are high, radiation exposure has not been described explicitly as a contra-indication to arteriography.

Contra-indications to arteriography are always relative. This means that, provided that the potential benefit is larger than the risk of the procedure, and if the same information cannot be obtained from a noninvasive procedure, that the angiographic examination will be performed. Depending on the patient's condition and known history on reactions to the contrast medium, the radiologist can choose from various approaches and imaging techniques to minimise the risk.

4.2 Interventional vascular radiology

Interventional vascular radiology encompasses various techniques in which diagnostic vascular imaging procedures are used to support therapeutic measures. Some interventional vascular radiological procedures are an alternative to surgery, whereas other procedures may be regarded as an enlargement of the set of medical possibilities. In general, interventional vascular procedures incorporate angiographic examinations to define the conditions of the blood vessel system both at the start and at the end of the interventional technique. Some interventional techniques require additional actions, such as sampling of venous or arterial blood and/or measuring blood pressure.

Interventional vascular radiology comprises various procedure types, such as:

- *Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA)*. The most frequently performed interventional vascular procedure is percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, also known as Dotter

procedure. After the introduction and placement of a balloon dilatation catheter, stenosis or occlusion can be treated in a number of arteries, such as iliac arteries, femoral artery and renal artery.

- *Stent placement.* In an increasing number of cases, stents (flexible, expandable tubes) are used to keep the vessel open after a Dotter procedure, or to strengthen the vessel wall at the location of an aneurysm.
- *Embolisation.* Embolisation involves the injection of an occlusive material via a catheter in an artery into block blood flow. For example, infertility due to incompetence of venous valves may be corrected for by interruption (embolisation) of the spermatic vein.
- *Selective intra-arterial drug treatment.* Selective intra-arterial drug treatment involves the application of a drug via a selectively placed catheter in various arteries such as the renal artery, mesenteric artery and brachial artery.
- *(Re)placement of barriers.* Placement and replacement of barriers involves the introduction of a "foreign" body in the blood vessel system.

Generally, interventional vascular radiology requires at least the same features of the X-ray system, patient support system, imaging system, catheterisation equipment and contrast media as angiography does. Without further elaborating on this point, it may be clear, however, that interventional vascular radiology is more complex than X-ray angiography and requires additional equipment and skill of the catheterisation team. In general, interventional vascular radiology is more time consuming and involves longer fluoroscopy time than angiography. However, in interventional vascular procedures the number of radiographs or DSA-images are generally smaller than in angiography.

This study comprises data on percutaneous transluminal angioplasty procedures. The frequencies of other types of interventional vascular procedures were too low to be used to derive reliable data on exposure conditions.

4.3 Vascular imaging techniques

Vascular radiology is based on the enhancement of the radiographic image of a blood vessel by temporary, partially replacing the blood by a radio-opaque fluid. In conventional angiography, photographic images are made, using large cut films or high speed roll films. To enhance the photographic vascular image, photographic subtraction is used. One film is exposed immediately before injection of the contrast medium or after injection but before the contrast fluid arrives. Subsequently, a number of films is exposed during the passing of the contrast medium. Afterwards, a positive subtraction mask is made of the first film. In the subtraction process, radiographs, taken upon arrival of the contrast medium are matched with the positive mask film. The image of all tissues will "disappear", except the blood vessels containing contrast fluid. Consequently, the resulting subtracted radiograph shows only the opacified blood vessel(s). Advancements in computer technologies and diagnostic imaging systems offered the opportunity to perform this subtraction process by electronical means. More than a decade ago, real time digital subtraction systems (DSA systems) were introduced. These systems have made the original analog subtraction more or less obsolete.

A modern angiography room occupies an operating area of at least 50 m², with additional rooms for storage of equipment (e.g. a DSA computer). Immediate access to a nearby film development room is required if conventional techniques are applied. If digital imaging systems are used, a hard copy producing system (e.g. a laser imager) is required.

Control panels for remote control of the X-ray system, the imaging systems and the automatic high pressure contrast injector as well as a manual control panel for digital imaging systems should be located in a shielded area, with a good view and easy access to the operating theatre.

Universal angiography systems facilitate a wide range of projection sites and projection angles. High flexibility in X-ray beam positioning are featured in so-called C-arm and U-arm systems, having an X-ray tube mounted on one side of the arm and one or more imaging systems, including an image intensifier, opposite to the other arm. Various recording devices, such as a video pick-up system, a video camera, a photospot or cine-fluorography may be attached to the image intensifier. A large number of angiography systems comprises serial cut film devices, which are interchangeably mounted with the image intensifier. By rotating and angulating the C- or U-arm a wide range of projections are covered. Due to system limitations, some systems can only be applied in overcouch tube position or in undercouch tube position. In C-arm and U-arm carrier systems the focus to film distance (source-image-distance, SID) is fixed at approximately 100 cm, whereas the distance of the focus to the input screen of the image intensifier may be varied from approximately 90 to 120 cm.

Some types of angiographic procedures can be performed with general fluoroscopy imaging systems, extended with hard copy imaging devices. Generally, these systems comprise a movable patient support, mounted on a robust stand, which incorporates a large field image intensifier and a facility for plain film radiography. The X-ray tube is mounted on a fixed carrier, vertically moveable over the image entrance field area. Obviously, these systems provide less flexibility than C-arm or U-arm systems and are not applicable to all angiographic procedures.

Some angiography rooms, especially rooms which are in use for cardiovascular examinations, are equipped with biplane facilities. These systems provide two X-ray systems which can be used independently as well as simultaneously to accomplish imaging in two perpendicular directions at the same time, thus providing 3-dimensional information with a potential for reducing the amount of contrast fluid needed.

A 12-pulse or high frequency high voltage generator is applied for high quality imaging. Specially designed, high duty X-ray tubes with dual focal spots are used. A 10- to 12-degree anode target angle is required to cover a field of 35 cm x 35 cm at 100 cm source-image distance.

Image intensifiers have large input fields (e.g. 14" or 16"). Magnification can be performed in two ways. Geometrical magnification is accomplished by varying the source-to-object distance relative to the source-to-image distance. Electronical magnification is accomplished by selecting a small input screen area (e.g. 6") in a multi-modal image intensifier. Since the area of the output image screen of the image intensifier is constant, the output image of a small input screen image has to be more magnified than the output image of the large input screen image, thus providing more details. Modern imaging intensifier systems have up to three input screen sizes, e.g. 6", 10" and 14".

Nonvolatile images may be produced by using several types of imaging systems. Depending on the type of system applied, angiography can be classified into two groups: conventional angiography and digital angiography. Conventional angiography comprises radiographic techniques, such as (serial) cut film radiography, spot film radiography, roll film radiography (e.g. photospot), and cine radiography. Digital angiography comprises digital imaging techniques, such as digital subtraction angiography (DSA), and digital spot imaging (DSI). In Figure 4.1 a scheme is presented showing various imaging methods

applied in angiography. Some outlines of serial cut film angiography and DSA are given in the following paragraphs.

Serial cut film angiography

In daily practice the term conventional angiography is used to refer to serial cut film imaging techniques, using a Schonander AOT or a PUCK cut film changer, with films of approximately 35 cm x 35 cm. The film changer system comprises a removable film storage cassette (maximum cassette capacity in a PUCK system is 20 films), a high speed film transport device, a removable output cassette and a rare earth screen. Upon arrival of the contrast medium in the blood vessel that is to be examined, a series of films is exposed. The number of films taken varies between 1 and approximately 10 per series; the filming speed is usually set between 0.3 and 3 films per second. Some angiographic systems are equipped with a programmable stepping facility. Following the contrast medium in the vascular system, these systems provide a means to produce a sequence of series of images after one single bolus contrast medium injection. In the course of the diagnostic examination, the films are used for catheterisation purposes. Afterwards, a selected subset is used for medical recording purposes. In some examinations, film subtraction techniques may still be applied.

Digital Subtraction angiography (DSA)

In digital subtraction angiography, a high resolution video camera records the output-screen of the image intensifier. The video image is digitised and directed to a dedicated computer system. Digitised images are stored in data matrices (256x256 to 1024x1024 pixels). Analog to digital conversion results in 8 to 16 bits data samples. The image rate may vary between 0.3 and 5 images per second. To enhance the visibility of the vessels several techniques are available. A frequently used technique is mask subtraction. Before the arrival of the contrast medium in the blood vessel to be examined, one image or a few images are made, to provide a so-called "mask" image. These "test images" are made for automatic or manual settings of the tube high voltage and tube load. Upon arrival of the contrast medium a sequence of approximately 5 to 30 images is made. Afterwards or in real time, depending on system features, the mask image is digitally subtracted from the image sequence, resulting in images of the blood vessel with time dependent contrast. Bone structures and other tissues which obscure the blood vessel system are more or less removed in the subtraction process.

For medical recording a subset of the subtracted images is selected and printed via e.g. a laser imager. In general the anatomical information of the images is used. Time dependent contrast flow, giving insight in the functional characteristics, is of secondary importance. DSA of the extremities sometimes may require an additional absorber (brass, copper or aluminium) in the primary beam to enhance the image quality. To compensate for wide variations in patient stature, sometimes a so-called compensation or equalisation filter is placed external to the collimator assembly. Also, aluminium wedge bars or other absorbing materials may be placed next to the patient's body to reduce local differences in the X-ray beam at the image intensifier, thus avoiding or limiting overexposure of the image at body boundaries.

The term "Digital Subtraction Angiography" (DSA) is interchangeable with the term "Digital Vascular Imaging" (DVI).

Figure 4.1 Diagram of image intensifier applications.

4.4 Diagnostic imaging systems in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard

4.4.1 Diagnostic imaging systems in the University Hospital Maastricht

The angiographic suite in the University Hospital Maastricht (azM) comprised two separate diagnostic X-ray systems: (i) a universal angiographic system, and (ii) a ceiling mounted X-ray tube. The ceiling mounted X-ray system was used very infrequently for selective cut film angiography of hands and feet only. Therefore, this system has been excluded from the scope of this study. A diagram of the universal angiographic system, a Diagnost Arc (Philips, Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands) is given in Figure 4.2. The Philips Diagnost Arc comprised an X-ray beam carrier (floor to ceiling column supporting a C-shaped arm), an X-ray tube unit, an image intensifier sub-system with TV chain, a film changer and an add-on DSA-unit. The film changer unit and image intensifier were both coupled to the C-arm on a revolving head, opposite the X-ray tube [PH85, PH85a]. For posterior-anterior projections in conventional angiography, an automatic stepping facility could be used in combination with the film changer. Up to four steps could be made with the beam carrier in every run (i.e. five positions), the step length was fixed at 22 cm. In a test run, test exposures could be made and high voltage, tube load and diaphragm could be set for each individual position. Settings were automatically stored for the subsequent exposure run. Components of the system are listed in Table 4.2. Data on the dose rate at the entrance screen of the image intensifier are summarised in Table 4.3.

Figure 4.2. Universal Angiographic System in the University Hospital Maastricht.

Table 4.2 Universal Angiographic System in the University Hospital Maastricht

System components	Type and features
Stand	Philips, Diagnost Arc (1987); Max. long. and lat. movement of C-arm, 178 cm and 69 cm, resp.; Max. rotation: $-100^{\circ}/+100^{\circ}$; max. angulation: $-40^{\circ}/+40^{\circ}$.
Generator	Philips,Maximus CM 100 (1987). 12-pulse generator; max. 1000 mAs.
X-ray tube	Philips, SRM 15/50 (1989, 1992); total beam filtration: 4.5 mm Al-eq.; First-half-value layer: 3.2 mm Al at 70 kV; 3.6 mm Al at 80 kV.; Maximal beam diameter at 100 cm from the focus: 35 cm.
Patient support	Philips, Angiodiagnost (1987).
Patient table	Philips, Angio 3 (1987); free overhang: 205 cm; Vert.,long. and lat. movement ranges are 29, 35 and 12 cm, resp.; X-ray attenuation equals 0.9-1.0 mm aluminium equivalent.
Image intensifier	Philips trimode 6", 10" en 14" (1992); Camera tube: Plumbicon (1987); Grid: carbon fiber, 44 l/cm, ratio 10, $f_0 = 100$ cm; SID: 85 to 110 cm; Min. patient-image receptor-distance: 10 cm.
TV-chain	Philips, XTV-6 (1987).
DSA	Technicare,DR 960-B (General Electric) (1986); 515x512 matrices; Max. frame rate: 3.3 s^{-1} . Manual setting of frame rate, HV and mAs; Hard copies of DSA-frames on 35x43 film (6-frame division mode, or single frame mode) (Laser Imager, 3M).
Radiography system	PUCK UD4 35/35 serial cut film changer (1987); Max. film capacity: 20 per cassette. Max. speed $3 \text{ films} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$; Intensify screen: Titan U [gadolinium/-lanthanum] ; lanex regular 400; Grid: carbon fiber ,60 l/cm, ratio 10, $f_0 = 100$ cm; SID: 100 cm; Min. patient-film-distance: 5 cm.

Table 4.3 Dose rate during fluoroscopy of the Universal Angiographic System in the University Hospital Maastricht^a

- no grid:	
14" mode:	0.21 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$
9" mode:	0.27 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$
6" mode:	0.44 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$
- with grid:	
14" mode:	0.60 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$
9" mode:	0.81 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$
6" mode:	1.14 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$

^a Dose rate in air at image intensifier entrance field, measured with a 1.5 mm Cu absorber in the primary beam, with automatic settings of tube high voltage and tube current.

4.4.2 Diagnostic imaging systems in the De Wever Hospital, Heerlen

The angiographic suite in the De Wever Hospital in Heerlen comprised two separate diagnostic X-ray systems, a universal angiographic system (Philips Polydiagnost UPI), and a ceiling mounted X-ray tube. The ceiling mounted X-ray tube was applied very infrequently and has been excluded from the scope of this study. For conventional angiography the image intensifier was set to its maximum distance to the focus and the film changer unit was moved over a guidance system in the U-arm up to a position opposite to the X-ray tube, covering the image intensifier. A diagram of the universal angiographic system is given in Figure 4.3. Components of the system are listed in Table 4.4. Experimentally derived data and data from the manufacturer on the dose rate at the entrance screen of the image intensifier are summarised in Table 4.5.

Figure 4.3 Universal Angiographic System in the De Wever Hospital (Heerlen).

Table 4.4 Universal Angiographic System in the De Wever Hospital (Heerlen)

System components	Type and features
Stand (fixed)	Philips, Poly Diagnost UPI (1982); Rotation of U-arm: -90° to the left, $+240^{\circ}$ to the right; The undercouch tube position is denoted as $+180^{\circ}$; Angulation: Cranial-caudal direction 0° to 35° ; Vertical movement range is 19 cm.
Generator	Philips, Super Maximus 100 (1982), constant voltage
X-ray tube	Philips, SRM 1550 (1992); Total beam filtration: 2.8 mm Al-equiv.; First half-value layer: 2.9 mm Al at 80 kV.
Patient support	Philips, Angiodiagnost 2U (1982).
Patient table	Philips, Angio 2/2u (1982); Vert., long. and lat. movement range is 32, 108 and 42 cm, resp.
Image intensifier	Philips, bi-mode (6" en 9"); Camera tube: Plumbicon XQ1073 (1991) Grid: circ. grid 338x338 44/10F100, V-6082; Source-to-image intensifier entrance field distance: 96 to 117 cm.
TV-chain	Philips, XTV-4 (1982, updated 1987).
DSA	Philips, DVI-vascular (1987).
Radiography system	PUCK, serial film changer (1980); Intensify screen: Curix MR-400; Grid: 338x338 44/10F100, P-2455; Maximum capacity of film changer is 20 films (35x35 cm ²); Focus-film distance is 95 cm.

The system enabled automatic setting of tube high voltage and tube load to obtain an adequate intensity of the DSA images produced. At the start of a DSA run, a series of one to five test frames was taken. The image intensity was determined in a central, circular field of variable diameter, manually adjusted by the technical staff. Frame rate, tube high voltage and tube load could be set automatically using preprogrammed information by selecting from a number of options for various types of angiography. In cranial-caudal projections, carried out by moving the X-ray housing over a concave guidance system, the image receptor plane (image intensifier or film) stayed parallel to the U-arm, resulting in a geometric distortion of the image obtained. Contrary to the angiographic system in the azM hospital, this system did not facilitate automatic stepping.

Table 4.5 Dose rate of the Universal Angiographic System in the De Wever Hospital (Heerlen)

Imaging mode	X-ray output (dose rate at image intensifier entrance field):	
	No grid	With grid
fluoroscopy (DI-1) ^a		
9" mode:	0.37 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$ (42 $\mu\text{R/s}$) ^b	0.9 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$ ^c
6" mode:	0.48 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$ (55 $\mu\text{R/s}$) ^b	1.1 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$ ^c
DSA (DI):		
9" mode:	9 $\mu\text{Gy/frame}$ ^c	

^a auto settings for high voltage (HV) and tube current (I): $\text{HV} \cdot \text{I}^{0.42} = 70$; derived from HV-I curve XG-7302; ^b data from manufacturer; ^c experimentally derived data.

4.4.3 Diagnostic imaging systems in the Maasland Hospital, Sittard

The angiographic suite in the Maasland Hospital in Sittard, comprised a universal angiographic system (Philips Poly Diagnost UPI). All angiographic procedures, except angiography of the lower extremities, were carried out with the universal system. An-

giography of the lower extremities was generally performed in a general fluoroscopy room with DSA facilities (not described in this thesis). The universal systems in the Maasland Hospital and in the De Wever Hospital was basically the same (see section 4.4.2).

Due to technical limitations of the film changer, conventional angiography was restricted to overcouch tube positions. Limitations of the X-ray beam carrier restricted caudal-cranial DSA-angiography of the supine patient with undercouch tube position to 10° at maximum. System components are listed in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 Universal Angiographic System in the Maasland Hospital (Sittard)

System components	Type and features
Stand	Philips, Poly Diagnost UPI (1983).
Generator	Philips, Optimus M200 (1983), constant voltage.
X-ray tube	Philips, SRM35100 (1988); total beam filtration: 2,8 mm Al-eq.; First half-value layer: 2.9 mm Al at 80 kV.
Patient support	Philips, Angiodiagnost 2U (1983).
Floating table top	Philips, Angio 2/2u (1983) ; 2 mm Al-equivalent.
Image intensifier	Philips, bi-modal (6,5" and 9") (1990); camera tube: Plumbicon XQ1072 (1984); grid: circular grid 338x338 N44,R12, Fo100 (1990)
TV chain	Philips, XTV-4 (1983).
DSA	Philips, DVI-2, vascular (1984).
Radiography	PUCK-U 35x35, film changer (1983); intensifier screen: KODAK, Lane Regular; grid: 338x338 44/10F1 (1983).

Data on the dose rate at the entrance screen of the image intensifier are summarised in Table 4.7.

It should be noted that in the De Wever Hospital the X-ray tube was used in an undercouch position (except for cerebral angiography), whereas in the Maasland Hospital the X-ray tube was initially used in the overcouch position.

Table 4.7 Dose rate of the Universal Angiographic System in the Maasland Hospital (Sittard)

Imaging mode	X-ray output (dose rate at image intensifier entrance field):
fluoroscopy (DI-1) ^a	
no grid:	
9" mode:	0.2 $\mu\text{Gy/s}^b$; 0.9 $\mu\text{Gy/s}^c$ at 70 kV ; 1.4 $\mu\text{Gy/s}^c$ at 55 kV.
6" mode:	0.3 $\mu\text{Gy/s}^b$; 0.9 $\mu\text{Gy/s}^c$ at 70 kV ; 1.4 $\mu\text{Gy/s}^c$ at 55 kV.
with grid:	
9" mode:	1.4 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$ at 70 kV ^c
6" mode:	1.4 $\mu\text{Gy/s}$ at 70 kV ^c
DSA (DI-1):	
no grid:	
9" mode:	9 $\mu\text{Gy/frame}$ (1000 $\mu\text{R/frame}$) ^b

^a auto settings high voltage and tube current: D type; ^b data from manufacturer; ^c experimentally derived data.

4.5 Exposure conditions to patients in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard

In this section a general description is given of relevant conditions during fluoroscopy and imaging in the catheterisation and imaging stages as described in section 4.1.2.

Fluoroscopy

Fluoroscopic imaging was performed in short or protracted periods during the catheterisation stage(s) and in the imaging stages. In the first catheterisation stage fluoroscopy was used for the positioning of the guide-wire, and for the positioning of the catheter. In the subsequent catheterisation stage(s) fluoroscopy was used for repositioning the catheter, and/or introduction of a new guide-wire, and/or replacement of the catheter or placement of a new catheter.

The duration of the fluoroscopic actions, the location and direction of the X-ray beam, the beam diaphragm settings and the choice of the image intensifier input screen depended on various factors such as the vascular anatomy, the condition of the vascular system, the desired location of the catheter tip, characteristics of the guide-wire and the catheter and the experience of the operator. Generally, tube high voltage and tube current were automatically controlled. Manual settings were required in a very few cases only. It is obvious that the tube high voltage and tube current depended on the mass and tissue composition of the imaged body region. The position of the X-ray beam could be varied between the iliac region and foot in lower limbs arteriography, and between the iliac region and the neck region in cerebral angiography. In thoracic and abdominal arteriography the X-ray beam was directed to the trunk region. In the catheterisation stage(s), the X-ray beam direction was usually posterior-anterior for undercouch systems and anterior-posterior for overcouch systems. In the imaging stage (DSA, cut film), previewing of the body region was quite regularly required, using the fluoroscopy facilities. The X-ray beam direction and location during fluoroscopic previewing are identically to the imaging characteristics. Consequently, oblique and angulated fluoroscopic views could occur.

Imaging of the vascular system

Vascular imaging was performed with a serial cut film system, a DSA system or with both systems. High voltage and tube load settings were dependent on the mass and tissue composition of the imaged body region. The beam diaphragm settings were usually adapted to the region of interest, thus decreasing the contribution of scatter radiation in the image obtained. The focus-to-patient distance was normally set to its maximum. In most cases a large field image intensifier input screen (e.g. 14" in azM) was used in DSA. The X-ray beam direction depended on the system features (overcouch or undercouch system) and the vascular anatomy. Usually, anterior-posterior or posterior-anterior images were taken. Oblique images were taken if the image was obscured by other vessels or body structures, or to take a view in a prescribed direction of a turned vessel. In all three hospitals the X-ray systems did not feature a programmable stepping facility for DSA. As mentioned before, however, the X-ray system in the azM hospital facilitated a stepping system for serial cut film angiography. Additional absorbers or wedge bars were used rarely.

Orientation of the patient's body

The relative position of the patient's body to the X-ray beam depended on the procedure to be performed. In azM, patients were nearly always in a supine position, with their head at the cranial side of the table support (the patient's head points to the X-ray beam carrier, his feet to the table stand). In the De Wever Hospital and the Maasland Hospital the patient's head was directed to the beam carrier in vascular radiology of the trunk and/or neck region, whereas in lower limb arteriography and dotter procedures of the femoral vessels the feet were directed towards the carrier. Procedures with prone positioned patients were very rare.

4.6 Occupational exposure conditions in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard

4.6.1 Occupationally exposed persons

Occupationally exposed persons were classified into four groups:

- group 1 (operator): A radiologists or radiologist-trainee (registrar) who conducted the medical procedure (catheterisation and imaging). Sometimes a non-radiologist was involved as a co-operator. Sterile working conditions had to be met.
- group 2 (first assistant): A radiologist, radiologist-trainee or a radiographer, supporting the operator (sterile working conditions). In complex procedures radiologists of group 1 and group 2 sometimes interchanged. Also, if a radiologist-trainee performed the catheterisation and a radiologist supported as first assistant, they sometimes interchanged their activities and positions.
- group 3 (circulating assistants): One or more radiographers or other medical personnel providing additional support or handling medical equipment in the vicinity of the operating area (sterile conditions were not required). In most cases, support by circulating assistants was not required, except in PTA procedures.
- group 4 (technical assistants): One or more radiographers, operating remote controls and performing administrative tasks in the control room.

Table 4.8 Angiography teams in the three hospitals

Group	University Hosp. Maastricht	De Wever Hospital Heerlen	Maasland Hospital Sittard
Operator	- radiologist, or - registrar	- radiologist, or - registrar	- radiologist
First assistant	- radiologist, - registrar, or - radiographer	- radiologist, - registrar, or - radiographer	- radiographer
Circulating assistant	- radiographer or - member of an other medical department	- radiographer	- radiographer

In simple procedures, one person could perform tasks of both group 3 and 4. Due to the relatively great distance to the patient and limited exposure times, it was anticipated that

the occupational exposure to persons of group 3 was low. The radiation dose to persons in group 4 was expected to be very low as well, since they remained behind the protective barrier between the operating area and the control room. Therefore, persons in groups 3 and 4 have not been included in this study. Table 4.8 summarises the professionals involved in the three hospitals separately.

If a radiologist-trainee and a radiologist were involved as the operator and the first assistant respectively, they sometimes switched their activities and positions. This mostly occurred if the catheterisation was complicated and required additional skill and experience, not yet provided by the radiologist-trainee. This could occur more than once in a procedure.

4.6.2 Occupational exposure conditions

The following paragraphs describe the exposure conditions during catheterisation and vascular imaging to the operator and to the first assistant. This description matches the information given in section 4.1.2. Specific system features and exposure conditions which are not common in all three hospital are denoted as (azM) for the University Hospital Maastricht, (DW) for the De Wever Hospital in Heerlen and (MA) for the Maasland Hospital in Sittard.

Exposure conditions during catheterisation

The positioning of the guide-wire and catheter usually involved fluoroscopic imaging. Movements of the X-ray beam carrier, rotation and angulation of the X-ray tube housing, and movement of the patient table were carried out by means of a control panel fixed at the patient table, or manually. Fluoroscopic images were shown on a movable ceiling-mounted video display unit. The display unit was positioned opposite to the operator (DW), diagonally opposite to the operator, near the distal end of the patient table (azM) or over the distal end of the patient table (MA).

Operator

To manipulate the guide-wire or the catheter the operator stood next to the patient table, close to the puncture site. Using the Seldinger approach, he usually stayed between the abdominal region and the thigh. Other positions occurred as well, however. For example in cerebral angiography in DW, the operator remained for some time near the head of the patient. To view the fluoroscopic images, the operator had to rotate his body slightly (azM, DW) or quite extremely (MA), turning the side of his body to the radiation source. The operator usually stayed on the left side of the supine patient (azM), or on the right side of the supine patient (DW, MA).

First assistant

- azM-hospital: The first assistant usually stayed opposite to the operator, on the other side of the patient table. The assistant had to rotate his body extremely to view the video monitor, thus exposing the side of his body to the radiation source. Sometimes, prolonged exposure of the back occurred. If lead aprons were worn, covering the front and sides of the body only, the radiation dose to the trunk could increase significantly.
- DW-hospital: Technical staff performing as first assistant remained at the same side of the patient as the operator did. The first assistant usually stayed at the distal end of the patient table. In this position he stood in the shadow field of the operator, and was there-

fore exposed to a much lower radiation level. First assistance by a radiologist or registrar was provided from across the patient table.

- MA-hospital: Technical staff performing as first assistant stayed opposite to the operator, across the patient table. The first assistant was usually closer to the distal end of the patient table.

Exposure conditions during imaging of the vascular system

The operator and assistant stayed next to the patient table to control movements of the X-ray system and for fluoroscopic previewing. Compared to the catheterisation stage, the fluoroscopic actions were quite brief, consequently the occupational exposure to scattered radiation was low. Usually the control panel was fixed at the right side of the supine patient, thus the operator or assistant could stay at the right side as well. During remotely controlled contrast medium injections for DSA or cut film arteriography, all personnel stayed behind protective barriers (2 mm lead equivalent) in the control room. Occasionally, operating personnel stayed behind a mobile lead lined barrier (2 mm lead equivalent) in the operating room (azM). Close surveillance, for example for mentally handicapped or seriously ill patients, was required relatively infrequently. Significant occupational exposure in the imaging stage could occur if contrast medium was injected manually. In that case the operator stood next to the patient, approximately at the same location as during fluoroscopy. In azM and DW manual contrast medium injection was performed relatively infrequently, whereas in MA manual administration was the standard technique applied by one radiologist. In MA one operator stayed quite regularly at about 2 to 3 m distance to the patient even during application of the remotely controlled injection system. Due to the exposure to scattered radiation during the programming of the automatic stepping facility in lower limbs angiography, the contribution of fluoroscopic actions in the imaging stage was expected to be larger in azM than in DW and MA.

Protective measures for persons near the patient table

Protective barriers, fixed to the patient table to attenuate the scattered X-ray, and movable ceiling-mounted facial lead glass shielding to protect the lens of the eyes, were not applied. All personnel in the operating room wore protective lead aprons. General information about aprons can be found in appendix C. Characteristics of the aprons worn by staff in the three hospitals involved in this study (azM, DW, MA) are summarised below:

- azM-hospital: Two types were used. Semi-closed aprons (Burlington Medical Supplies; 0.5 mm Pb eq.), and wrap-around aprons (Burlington Medical Supplies; 0.5 mm Pb-eq. at front side; 0.25 mm at back side, with 100% coverage).
- DW-hospital: Semi-closed aprons were used, protecting the front and both sides and partly covering the back, about 60% to 80%, depending on body size (Burlington Medical Supplies; lead equivalent 0.5 mm at the front, and approximately 0.2 mm lead-equivalence at the back).
- MA-hospital: Two radiologist and one member of the technical staff wore semi closed aprons (Scanflex Medical AB, Taby, Sweden; 0.5 mm Pb-equivalent). One radiologist and all other technical staff wore wrap-around aprons (Delft Instruments/Scanflex; 0.25 mm Pb-eq.). Overlapping sections in the front area provided a vertical strip of approximately 5 to 15 cm wide with an effective thickness of 0.5 mm Pb-eq.

Although thyroid collars are generally recommended in heavy duty radiation conditions, thyroid collars were used rather infrequently. Some operators always used a thyroid collar

(azM), whereas other operators used them if complex and prolonged procedures were to be expected (azM, DW, MA) or did not use them at all (azM, DW, MA). The radiation attenuation of the thyroid collars was equal to 0.5 mm lead. Lead glasses were used very infrequently. Some persons favoured their "own" lead glasses. Consequently, a number of different "models" were in use. Generally, the radiation attenuation was equal to 0.5 or 0.75 mm lead equivalent. Due to limited flexibility, dexterity and sensitivity, lead rubber gloves were not in use in angiography and interventional vascular radiology.

In summary, two or three imaging modes were applied: fluoroscopy, DSA, and/or serial cut film radiography. Exposure conditions could be associated both with undercouch and overcouch tube positions. In most cases, remote-controlled contrast medium injection systems were applied, with the operator and assistant standing behind a protective barrier. Generally, protective clothing was limited to lead aprons.

Chapter 5

Vascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992: a quantitative approach¹

5.1 Introduction

Vascular radiological procedures are generally considered to cause relatively high radiation exposures to patients and personnel. However, quantitative data on the frequency of these procedures to calculate its contribution to the radiation exposure of the Dutch population are very limited [KE90, BE90a, BE91a]. Therefore, we undertook a study to obtain more detailed data on the frequency of angiography and endovascular interventional procedures in the Netherlands in order to be able to extrapolate estimates for medical and occupational radiation exposure caused by vascular radiology, as derived in three hospitals [KI92a, KI93, KI93a, KI93b], to the Dutch population.

5.2 Methods: a questionnaire to 165 hospitals

A questionnaire concerning quantitative information on angiography and interventional endovascular procedures in 1992 was directed to 165 hospitals in the Netherlands with a department of diagnostic radiology. The survey comprised three classes of questions. (1) *General information*: type of institution, number of beds, number of angiographic suites, number of radiologists performing angiographic procedures. (2) *Information about X-ray equipment and examination technique*: type and age of the imaging system, tube position, contrast medium injection method. (3) *Number of vascular radiological procedures and related patients*: procedure types were classified into four main groups (with subgroups): intra-arterial arteriography, intra-venous digital subtraction arteriography, phlebography and interventional endovascular procedures.

A three stage strategy was applied. First, managers of departments were informed that a survey was planned and were asked for their co-operation. Questionnaires were then sent to executive technicians, as mentioned in the letter. Finally, initial non-responders were contacted by telephone.

Nineteen hospitals which had responded were subsequently approached for more specific information concerning occupational radiation exposure conditions, such as the type and effective thickness of the protective lead aprons, the use of lead thyroid collars,

¹ This chapter is based on the publication: P.J. Kicken, G.J. Kemerink and J.M.A. van Engelshoven. Vascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992: a quantitative approach. *European Journal of Radiology*. 1995; 19: 212-219 [KI95]. Only minor editorial modifications were made.

the position of the personal dosimeter and the position of personnel during non fluoroscopic imaging.

Statistical analysis has been performed with the SAS® package [SA89]. The Shapiro-Wilk statistic was used to test the null hypothesis that data values were a random sample from a normal distribution. The nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sample test was used to compare non-normally distributed data sets.

5.3 Results of the questionnaire

5.3.1 Response to the survey

Of the 159 (96%) hospitals which responded, 120 had an angiographic suite and 99 of them provided useful information about the hospital, X-ray equipment and current angiographic technique. Sixty-three hospitals provided data on the number of *patients in relation to the type of examination*, while 34 hospitals responded by listing the *frequency of the various examination codes* according to the Dutch health care reimbursement system [PO93]. Three hospitals of the group of 99 provided information which was partly related to patients and partly to examination codes.

The two resulting data sets, one based on patients and one on examination codes, pertaining to 52% and 28% respectively of all Dutch hospitals with angiographic facilities, have been analyzed separately. The results are presented in Table 5.1.

5.3.2 Number of angiography rooms and radiologists

In the estimation of the total numbers of angiographic suites and vascular radiologists in the Netherlands, the data obtained have been corrected for missing values using the method of Beentjes and Timmermans [BE91a]. In this method, the characteristics of the 21 non-responding general hospitals were assumed to be equal to those of the responding 99 hospitals, with the number of beds being a predictive parameter for the number of angiography rooms and vascular radiologists. Information on the number of beds has been obtained by the survey and was completed with published data [GE94a]. A nonparametric paired comparison test indicated that the distribution of the number of beds in 91 general hospitals which provided data on angiography rooms and radiologists was very similar to the distribution of the number of beds in 21 hospitals which did not respond (P-value per procedure type varied between 0.43 and 0.77). The scaling factor correcting for missing data in general hospitals amounted to 1.23, if approximated with the bed-ratio. For university hospitals no extrapolation was required.

5.3.3 Number of patients and examination codes

Table 5.1 shows that 60 (out of 112) general hospitals and three (out of eight) university hospitals provided data on patients for vascular imaging and/or endovascular interventional procedures; 30 general and four university hospitals provided data on examination codes (a patient procedure may comprise one to approximately five examination codes, an examination code generally refers to a well defined set of radiodiagnostic actions). These data sets have been extrapolated to all hospitals with angiography rooms in the Netherlands (120), using the number of beds as a scaling factor. Comparison of data sets associated with extrapolation indicate that the distributions of beds in responding and non-

responding general hospitals were very similar. The scaling factor for general hospitals varies between 1.82 and 1.86 for patient data and between 3.52 and 3.81 for examination code data, depending on the classification group. For university hospitals scaling factors of 2.97 and 2.0 are found for patient data and examination data respectively. An alternative approach for extrapolation, based on the number of hospitals, results in nearly the same numerical value of the scaling factors.

Extrapolated results of the survey are presented in Tables 5.2 and 5.3. Patients and examinations have been classified into four main groups. For each group an estimate is given for the number of patients and the number of examinations in university hospitals, general hospitals and in all hospitals.

Table 5.1 Statistics on the results of a survey into vascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992

	Hospitals ^a	Beds ^b	Angio suites ^c	Radiologists ^d
<i>Survey-response:</i>				
<i>Patients:</i>				
University hospitals	3	2470	5	12
General hospitals	60	23798	62	171
Both	63	29147	67	183
<i>Examination codes:</i>				
University hospitals	4	3670	9	21
General hospitals	30	12152	35	114
Both	34	15822	44	119
<i>Total response^e:</i>				
University hospitals	8	7340	16	40
General hospitals	91	35950	98	286
Both	99	43290	114	326
<i>Extrapolated results for angiography rooms and radiologists in all hospitals with angiography suites^f</i>				
University hospitals	8	7340	16	40
General hospitals	112	44315	120	315
Both	120	51655	136	365

^a Number of hospitals with angiography rooms; ^b Number of beds in hospitals with angiography rooms; ^c Number of angiography rooms; ^d Number of radiologists who performed on average at least one examination per week; ^e One university and one general hospital provided general data (no data on patients or examinations); ^f Extrapolated results for all hospitals with angiography suites derived from the survey-response, using the number of beds as a scaling factor.

5.3.4 Radiographic equipment

The results of the survey show that angiography is accomplished with various methods: digital subtraction angiography systems (DSA), conventional radiography (cut film techniques with PUCK or AOT serial film changer) and spot film systems. Digital spot imaging systems (DSI) were also mentioned a few times. The majority of hospitals applied PUCK film systems for conventional angiography (48 out of 52), only four hospitals still used AOT systems. The availability of the various imaging systems is shown in Table 5.4 and the age of the equipment in Table 5.5.

The response to the question concerning overcouch or undercouch X-ray tube application (response = 97 hospitals) showed that in 38% of the hospitals, X-ray tubes are

usually applied in an overcouch position, in 56% in undercouch position. In 6% of the hospitals there is no preference.

5.3.5 Occupational exposure conditions

The results of the survey of occupational exposure conditions in 19 hospitals have been summarised in Table 5.6. The hospitals in this group perform approximately 25% of all angiographic and interventional examinations in the Netherlands.

Table 5.2 Estimated number of patients for vascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992 (data from 60 hospitals extrapolated to 120 hospitals)

Procedure type	Number of patients ^b		
	University hospitals	General hospitals	All hospitals
Intra-arterial arteriography			
Specified			
Upper limbs	163	1197	1360
Cerebral	1483	4851	6334
Thoracic	431	1341	1772
Abdominal	1117	2579	3696
Iliac/lower limbs	1694	14360	16054
Abdominal, iliac/legs ^a	0	1697	1697
Total specified	4888	26025	30913
Unspecified ^a	621	554	1175
Total	5509	26579	32088
Intravenous DSA arteriography:			
Specified			
Cerebral	645	1467	2112
Thoracic	134	936	1070
Abdominal	273	493	766
Iliac/legs	582	1557	2139
Abdominal, iliac/legs ^a	0	1791	1791
Total specified	1634	6244	7878
Unspecified ^a	0	1009	1009
Total	1634	7253	8887
Phlebography:			
Total	193	4384	4577
Interventional radiology:			
Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty:			
Abdominal region	238	193	431
Iliac/legs	520	2442	2962
Abdominal, iliac/legs ^a	0	915	915
Total specified	758	3550	4308
Unspecified ^a	0	674	674
Total	758	4224	4982

^a Data from hospitals which could not differentiate beyond the level of this group; ^b "Patient" refers to a vascular radiodiagnostic procedure, completed in one session and comprising one or more examinations.

Table 5.3 Estimated number of examinations in vascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992 (data from about 30 hospitals extrapolated to 120 hospitals)

Examination	Number of examinations		
	University hospitals	General hospitals	All hospitals
Intra-arterial arteriography			
Specified			
Upper limbs	506	1131	1637
Cerebral	9198	6195	15393
Thoracic	1238	1920	3158
Abdominal	1210	11825	13035
Iliac/lower limbs	5190	14694	19884
Abdominal, iliac/legs ^a	1374	3658	5032
Total specified	18716	39423	58139
Unspecified ^a	400	2640	3040
Total	19116	42063	61179
Intravenous DSA arteriography			
Specified			
Cerebral	0	1594	1594
Thoracic	84	791	875
Abdominal	308	912	1220
Iliac/legs	510	2079	2589
Abdominal, iliac/legs ^a	0	5127	5127
Total specified:	902	10503	11405
Unspecified ^a	0	1451	1451
Total	902	11954	12856
Phlebography:			
Total	1962	7805	9767
Interventional radiology:			
Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (specified)			
Abdominal region	164	560	724
Iliac/legs	1050	2777	3827
Abdominal, iliac/legs ^a	0	1010	1010
Total specified	1214	4347	5561
Unspecified ^a	0	507	507
Total	1214	4854	6068

^a Data from hospitals which could not differentiate beyond the level of this group; ^b "Examination" refers to a defined set of radiodiagnostic actions (e.g. catheterisation, a PUCK series, a DSA-series). A patient procedure may comprise various examinations.

Table 5.4 Availability of various imaging systems in hospitals in the Netherlands (sample size = 98 hospitals)^a

	Relative availability ^b	
Hospitals with one type of imaging system:		
DSA	33 %	(36%)
CA	17 %	(19%)
Spot film	3 %	(5%)
Hospitals with two types of imaging systems:		
DSA + CA	17 %	(15%)
DSA + spot film	13 %	(9%)
CA + spot film	11 %	(12%)
Hospitals with three types of imaging systems:		
DSA + CA + spot film	7 %	(5%)
Totalised		
DSA	68 %	(64%)
CA	53 %	(52%)
Spot film	34 %	(30%)
DSA + conventional	23 %	(15%)
DSA + spot film	20 %	(14%)
Conventional + spot film	18 %	(16%)

^a DSA, digital subtraction angiography; CA, conventional angiography (serial cut film, 34 cm x 34 cm); ^b Hospitals with only one angiography room in brackets. Out of 98 hospitals responding, 69 hospitals have one angiography room (August 1993).

Table 5.5 Age of equipment in angiography rooms in the Netherlands (sample size = 97 hospitals)

	Age (years)	
	X-ray systems	Imaging systems
Mean	7.1	5.7
25th percentile	3	2
Median	6	5
75th percentile	11	9
Maximum	22	17

August 1993.

Table 5.6 Occupational exposure conditions in angiography rooms in the Netherlands (sample size = 19 hospitals)

	Response
Protective lead aprons:	
0.5 mm Pb-equivalent	18 (95%)
0.35 mm Pb-equivalent	1 (5%)
Closed aprons ^a	6 (32%)
Open aprons ^b	9 (47%)
Open and closed aprons	4 (21%)
Application of thyroid collar	
Always	4 (21%)
Never	4 (21%)
Sometimes	11 (58%)
Position of personal dosimeter:	
Fixed (standardised)	6 (32%)
Variable, but above apron	7 (37%)
Variable, but underneath apron	3 (16%)
Variable, above or underneath apron	3 (16%)
Position of investigators during non-fluoroscopic imaging	
Behind protective shield	16 (84%)
At distance > 2 m	2 (11%)
Next to patient	0 (0%)
Variable	1 (5%)

August 1993.

^a Wrap-around aprons, protecting the front, both sides and back of the body; ^b Aprons which protect the front and the sides of the body only.**Table 5.7 Average numbers of vascular examinations and interventional procedures performed per week in Dutch hospitals with angiography rooms (1992)**

Frequency of vascular examination (week ⁻¹)	Number of hospitals responding to the survey			
	Intra-art. arteriography	Intravenous DSA arteriography	Phlebography	Interventional endovascular
<0.5	1	17	25	30
<1	7	23	49	48
<2	19	33	57	68
<5	54	47	65	81
≥5	44	7	7	1
all (>0)	98	54	72	82

Ninety-eight hospitals provided data on patients and/or examinations. The total number of hospitals with angiography suites is 120.

Table 5.8 Comparison of the results of a survey into vascular radiology in the Netherlands (1992) with data of the RIS/NVvRD data filing system (1991)

Examination	Radiodiagnostic examinations (estimate)		Patients (estimate)	
	Survey (1992)	RIS/NVvRD ^a (1991)	Survey (1992)	RIS/NVvRD ^b (1991)
Total arteriography	74035	63200 - 73200	40975	44000
- Intra-arterial	61179		32088	34000
- Intravenous DSA	12856		8887	10000
Phlebography	9767	10000 - 11600	4577	11000
Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA)	6068	5300 - 6200	4982	5300

^a Data filing system on radiodiagnostic examinations (RIS), managed by the Dutch Society of Radiology (NVvRD) in which examinations are recorded according to the Dutch health care reimbursement system (COTG) (van der Poel, 1993). Estimated frequencies are derived by extrapolation the data sample. For the left column a multiplication factor of 3.0 has been used; according to de Valois (1993) the figure of 5300 for PTA is quite realistic. The figures in the right column have been derived by multiplication with 3.57 (see text); ^b Estimated values by de Valois, RIS/NVvRD data filing system (1993).

5.4 Discussion

The response rate to this survey was relatively high (Table 5.1), presumably because the list of items was kept very limited and self-explanatory and a three stage strategy was applied.

It should be noted that estimated frequencies of subgroups of angiography presented in Tables 5.2 and 5.3 may contain some inaccuracy since no guidance was provided to classify procedures comprising examinations of blood vessels in adjacent body regions. Because the survey addressed radiological procedures in the angiographic suite and a large number of phlebographic studies will be performed in non-angiographic suites, the number of phlebography may be underestimated in the present survey.

In all, it was estimated that there are approximately 136 angiography rooms in the Netherlands, for a total population of 15 million people. Hospitals without angiography facilities appear to be relatively small. On average, these hospitals have 250 beds, whereas the responding hospitals with angiography facilities have 430 beds on average.

The total numbers of *patients* referred for angiography (arteriography plus phlebography) and interventional endovascular procedures are estimated to be 45500 and 5000 respectively (Table 5.2). Approximately 32100 patients were referred for intra-arterial arteriography, 8900 for intravenous DSA arteriography and 4600 for phlebography.

The total numbers of radiological *examinations* (arteriography plus phlebography) is estimated to be 83000, the total number of interventional endovascular procedures as 6000 (Table 5.3). Approximately 61200 intra-arterial arteriography examinations, 12900 intra-venous DSA arteriography examinations and 9800 phlebography examinations have been carried out.

Tables 5.2 and 5.3 show that, on average, about two examinations are carried out per patient referred for an arteriographic procedure. The exact value depends on the type of vascular procedure. In interventional radiology the ratio of the number of examinations and the number of patients is 1.2.

The survey response shows that the annual number of angiographic or interventional endovascular procedures in some hospitals is quite small. With the simplification that the numbers of patients are equal to the numbers of examinations, hospitals were afterwards classified into groups with on average less than one half, one, two and five examinations per week. The results (Table 5.7) show that, in a number of hospitals, interventional radiology is performed rather infrequently.

A data sample of a filing system of radiodiagnostic examinations of the Dutch Society of Diagnostic Radiology (RIS-system) [VA92], made available by de Valois, has been used as a cross check on the results of the survey. The data sample on angiography of the RIS-system was part of a data group of 2.07 million radiological examinations (the total number of radiological examinations in the Netherlands in 1991, including ultrasound and MRI was estimated to be 8.24 million). The frequency of examinations using X-rays in 1991 was estimated to be 7.4 million by de Valois [VA92]. A similar figure (6.9 million X-ray examinations) was derived by extrapolating the results of Beentjes and Timmermans [BE90a]. Using the estimated frequency of de Valois, a multiplication factor of 3.57 was derived, to extrapolate frequency data on angiography in his data sample to the whole population. A comparison (Table 5.8) shows that the frequencies of the number of vascular radiological procedures and of the patients undergoing these procedures are very similar. Only the estimated figures of patients with phlebography differ. Since the questionnaire did not differentiate between types of phlebography, the source of this dissimilarity is rather obscure, but it may be caused by the fact that the survey was particularly addressed to activities in the angiographic suite and most phlebograms are not made in an angiographic suite.

Using the estimated frequency of 7.4 million radiological examinations in 1991 [VA92] the relative contributions of diagnostic vascular radiology and endovascular procedures in medical diagnostic X-ray applications are calculated to be 1.1% and 0.08% respectively. Based on data obtained in an investigation of 50 randomly selected hospitals, van Kempen [KE90] estimated the frequency of these examinations, relative to all X-ray examinations in the years 1986 - 1988, as 0.09% in general hospitals, 0.42% in university hospitals and 0.29% in regional hospitals, with a nation-wide average of 0.23%. These figures are lower than the present results. Beentjes and Timmermans [BE90a] have reevaluated van Kempen's data, and obtained slightly different results.

In an overview of radiographic examinations in the Netherlands in 1984 by Beentjes and Timmermans [BE91a], abdominal aortography and renal arteriography were estimated to be approximately 0.4%. Extrapolation of data from the RIS-system (1991) results in 0.4%, using the present results (1992) relative frequencies are estimated to be 0.2% - 0.3%.

Table 5.4 shows that 68% of all hospitals apply DSA systems, 53% apply conventional angiography and 34% apply spot film systems. As shown in Table 5.5, the mean age of the X-ray equipment is about 7 years and the mean age of the imaging systems about 6 years. This age roughly corresponds with the date of the widespread introduction of DSA, while apparently most systems have not been replaced yet.

We were surprised to see that in a relatively large number of angiographic suites, X-ray tubes are applied in overcouch tube positions (38%). This was not expected, because various investigators published dosimetric studies [FA82, UN88] showing that the overcouch tube position is associated with higher occupational radiation exposures than the undercouch position, leading to specific recommendations by ICRP and NCRP [IC90, NC90].

The survey shows that in 84% of the responding hospitals, contrast media are injected preferentially with an electrically driven power injector. In 15% of the hospitals, contrast media are administered manually on a regular basis, in addition to the use of an automatic injection system. In one hospital manual injection is the preferred way. These data indicate that power injectors are now considered to be quite safe.

Table 5.6 shows that nearly all hospitals apply 0.5 mm Pb equivalent lead aprons. Aprons with no protection of the back are in use in approximately 50% of the hospitals. It is worth mentioning that, in order to prevent exposure to the unprotected back, special care should be taken in choosing an appropriate position for the fluoroscopy display unit [KI93]. Standardisation of the application of lead thyroid collars has not been achieved yet. Only 30% of the hospitals use thyroid collars on a regular basis. Dosimetry studies [KI93] show that thyroid collars can further reduce the effective dose of occupationally exposed persons, wearing a lead apron, by a factor of two. Therefore, it seems worthwhile introducing thyroid collars on a larger scale.

Standardisation in the position of wearing personal dosimeters has also not been achieved. We [KI93] as well as other authors [BU89, FA93, WE89] have addressed the issues of dosimeter location and conversion of dosimeter readings to effective dose estimates. It was concluded that the relation between effective dose and reading of the dosimeter heavily depends on the location of the dosimeter. Thus, as long as standardisation in wearing personal dosimeters has not been achieved and (national) dosimetry record keeping systems do not contain information on the dosimeter location, reliable effective dose estimates cannot be calculated from dosimetry data in these systems.

The survey clearly shows that in the majority of the hospitals, exposure of the radiologist and his assistant(s) to scattered radiation is restricted to the period of fluoroscopy. Generally, during non-fluoroscopic imaging, investigators stay behind protective shielding or maintain adequate distance to the radiation source. This result is consistent with the wide-spread use of power injection systems for contrast media. It is concluded that estimates of (average) occupational exposure may be derived from data referring to fluoroscopy only.

5.5 Conclusion

Quantitative information about angiography and non-cardiac endovascular interventional procedures in the Netherlands in 1992 have been determined, combined with information about the available equipment and some aspects of the examination technique. This information will be used to extrapolate patient and personnel dose data (measured in three Dutch hospitals) to nationwide estimates. In the field of occupational radiation protection there still seem to be some possibilities for improvement: more extensive use of under-couch X-ray tube, wearing a thyroid collar and standardisation of the position of the personal dosimeter.

Chapter 6

Methods and techniques to assess the radiation dose to patients and staff²

6.1 Introduction

Radiation doses to patients and personnel vary widely in vascular radiology. Arteriographic procedures may be limited to a small region (e.g. skull, pelvis or hand), or encompass the major part of the body (e.g. up to 70% in arteriography of aorto-iliac and peripheral vessels). In addition, various imaging methods are commonly used in patient examinations. Beam entrance location, beam direction, field size, focus-to-skin distance, beam quality, radiation intensity and exposure time vary considerably during arteriographic procedures. These characteristics are determined by the X-ray system used, some patient characteristics, the image quality required, and the diagnostic or therapeutical procedure that is carried out.

Due to relatively short distances, anisotropic distribution of the scattered radiation, and high attenuation by protective clothing and e.g. lead slabs, occupational exposures in vascular radiology can be characterised as a dynamic, non-uniform exposure to a spectrum of scattered, low energetic photons, mainly originating in the patient.

It therefore follows that, due to variable, non-uniform exposure conditions and the large inter- and intra-examination variations, assessment of the medical and occupational dose is very difficult, and that reliable estimates for the radiation exposure require a large number of dose measurements and knowledge of the exposure conditions in relevant stages of the examination.

Although it is recognised that the quantity *effective dose* is not entirely appropriate in the evaluation of medical exposures to ionising radiation, it is nevertheless used to express the radiation dose, both to patients and occupationally exposed personnel. The effective dose is not directly measurable by instruments, but has to be derived from dose estimates for organs and tissues, multiplied by their corresponding tissue weighting factor (see Table 2.1). Dosimetric methods are discussed in the following sections.

6.2 Dosimetric methods to quantify organ doses

Three dosimetric techniques can be applied to estimate organ doses: in situ dose measurements, phantom measurements, and Monte Carlo calculations. Some features and drawbacks of these techniques are discussed.

² Part of this chapter is based on the publication: Pierre J. Kicken, Gerrit J. Kemerink, Peter J. Vaessen, Jef J. Ackermans. An automated measurement system for characterisation of patient exposure during angiography. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1992;43(1/4):165-169 [K192].

In situ dose measurements

Generally, in situ measurements of the radiation dose to internal tissues are infeasible in vascular radiology. Even measurements of entrance doses are rather complex or not possible at all. For example, in interventional diagnostic procedures sterile conditions are required. Dosimeters attached to the patient cannot be removed, nor can other dosimeters be added in the course of the examination. Therefore, patient entrance dose data derived from TLD measurements are integrated doses, resulting from various imaging modes (e.g. fluoroscopy, DSA, serial cut film radiography) and diagnostic views. Decomposition of the TLD-dose in entrance dose estimates for relevant diagnostic views is virtually impossible. Obviously, in situ dose measurements for occupationally exposed persons are entirely out of the question.

Dose measurements in phantoms

If exposure conditions show small variations and are easy to characterise, organ doses can be estimated from dose measurements inside and on the surface of anthropomorphic phantoms, such as the Rando phantom. Detailed descriptions of phantoms are provided in ICRU Report 48 [IC92a]. Dosimetric studies in anthropomorphic phantoms are very time consuming, and, by its nature, must be restricted to a limited set of different exposure conditions and phantom characteristics. As a consequence, extrapolation of these experimentally derived data to complex, clinical exposure conditions may result in unreliable dose estimates.

Monte Carlo organ dose calculations

Monte Carlo organ dose methods comprise mathematical descriptions of the human body and statistical computational techniques to allow for the stochastic nature of the interactions of ionising radiation with matter. Taking advantage of the flexibility of software programming techniques, several software packages have been developed [DR90, JO85, KR86, RE94]. The National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB) in the United Kingdom [JO85] uses a hermaphrodite adult phantom, whereas the Gesellschaft für Strahlen- und Umweltschutz (GSF) in Germany has developed a male and a female adult phantom [KR86, DR90]. Age-specific phantoms, which provide adaptable descriptions for the mass and dimension of the body and internal organs, have been introduced by Yamaguchi [YA94] and Veit [VE89, VE93a]. A review of computational models has been presented by Zankl [ZA93]. Quite recently, NRPB [HA94] and Le Heron [LE94] have issued a software package, including an extended organ dose data set, for assessments of doses to adult patients in diagnostic radiology. Organ doses and effective doses have been made available for the NRPB-hermaphrodite phantom for X-ray spectra in the range of 50 kV to 120 kV tube high voltage, and from 2 mm Al to 5 mm Al total beam filtration. In the Netherlands, at the TNO, Centre for Radiological Protection and Dosimetry (TNO) the general purpose Monte Carlo Code MCNP [BR86, BR91] is being used for Monte Carlo simulation of radiation transport to study dose distributions in diagnostic radiology. Recently, organ doses related to chest radiography have been calculated for male and female phantoms developed by GSF [SC94, SC95].

Unfortunately, Monte Carlo organ dose data sets for medical exposures in diagnostic radiology [DR90, HA94, JO85, KE80] are of limited use for angiography, since typical values for focus-to-skin distance, projection site, field size and beam direction are usually different from those in more common radiographic examinations. Also, organ dose data are not available at all for many angiographic projections.

6.3 Automatic recording of technique parameters and dose-area product

6.3.1 An automatic system for recording exposure conditions

Since the number of diagnostic views in arteriography is quite large, and exposure parameters of single angiographic examinations will often deviate from average values, it was anticipated that a large number of procedures had to be monitored to derive representative sets of exposure data for angiographic procedures. Therefore, we developed and applied a dedicated system for automatic, real-time recording of relevant technique parameters of the X-ray system and the dose-area product (DAP). This system has been applied in three hospitals, the University Hospital Maastricht (azM), the De Wever Hospital Heerlen (DW), and the Maasland Hospital Sittard (MA). Acquired data have been used (i) to quantify the exposure condition to the patient, and (ii) to characterise the occupational exposure conditions.

The angiography rooms in all three hospitals comprised two X-ray systems: the angiography system and a ceiling mounted X-ray tube. As it was determined within a few months that patient procedures which involved imaging by means of the ceiling mounted system were carried out rather infrequently, these procedures have been excluded from the acquired data set. The following description is focused on the angiography systems.

The real-time monitoring system consisted of an IBM compatible personal computer, extended with analog-digital convertors and digital input/output channels. The system was further equipped with a dose-area product meter (Diamentor M-3, PTW/Freiburg, Germany), connected to the PC via a serial RS-232c interface. The flat transmission ionisation chamber was fitted on the X-ray output window of the angiographic X-ray systems. The dose-area product meter measures the product of dose rate, exposure time and the area of the beam cross section. Combined with additional information, this is a measure for the entrance dose to the patient. In the range of diagnostic applications, the accuracy of the dose-area product is almost independent of the dose rate, field size and beam quality [WA89]. Therefore, dose-area product meters are applicable in angiography. A list of components is given in Table 6.1. All electronic input channels were scanned by software polling. Software for system control, data acquisition and processing was developed in our department, using the programming language C. Off-line data processing was carried out by means of the database system DBASE IV. Statistical analyses were performed with the software package SAS [SA89]. A diagram of the system is presented in Figure 6.1.

Data pertaining to examinations were stored recordwise in ASCII-files, which are easily accessible by (statistical) software packages. Single records contained descriptive data or measured results of system parameters for a single projection. Records with descriptive data started with a code, identifying its type of information. In order to reduce the amount of data, technical parameters were sampled and averaged unless the imaging stopped, or unless one technical parameter deviated more than 10% from its current average, indicating a significant change in the current projection. If this occurred the cumulated DAP, as well as average or cumulated values for the technical parameters belonging to the last projection were calculated and stored. Subsequently, a new record was opened and a new measurement series started. The number of data records for a single examination depended on the complexity of the catheterisation and the number of diagnostic views (range found in the azM hospital for 95% of all procedures: 10 to about 200 records per procedure; maximum 850).

Table 6.1 System Components of the Angiographic Monitoring System*Hardware*

- 1 Olivetti M24 Personal Computer (PC/XT, IBM-compatible)
(8087 math co-processor, 20 Mb harddisk, real time clock)
- 1 Digital I/O-board, memory mapped, 40 channels; (PCI-20087W-1/Burr Brown)
- 1 Analog-digital convertor board (memory mapped, 16 single-ended channels,
12-bit resolution, conversion time 25 μ s, multi range signal input;
(PCI-20089W-1/Burr Brown)
- 1 Matrix printer (Epson compatible)
- 1 Diamontor M-3 (PTW/Freiburg)
- 2 transmission ionisation chambers (dimensions: 21 cm x 21.5 cm x 1.7 cm)

Software

Data acquisition and processing software (developed by azM)

Real-time recorded parameters of the angiographic X-ray systems are listed in Table 6.2. Parameters, preceded by the character "s" were measured directly by means of low level analog or digital signals; parameters preceded by the character "d" were indirectly derived from measured results.

Additional data on the anatomical position of the diagnostic images were taken from data sheets filled out by technical staff. The patient's age and sex, the operator's name, and the procedure type were entered manually in the course of the medical examination. Data on height and weight were asked from the patient by the radiographer, in a number of cases this information was not obtained. Data on age were taken from the medical record.

Figure 6.1 Diagram of the real-time monitoring system.

After installation of the transmission ionisation chamber, the response of the dose-area product meter was checked for various sizes and shapes of the X-ray beam. Dose measurements were performed with a 30 ml ionisation chamber (PM-30, Capintec Inc., Pittsburgh, USA), connected to an electrometer (Capintec 192 A, Capintec Inc.), with a

calibration factor traceable to the Dutch Standards Institute (NMI). The cross section area of the beam was determined from simultaneously exposed radiographs. For non-rectangularly shaped X-ray beams, the cross section was derived as the ratio of the mass of the exposed area of the film to the mass of a rectangular exposed film area, multiplied by the area of the rectangle. Quality control of the dose-area product was performed in all three hospitals at the start and end of the data acquisition period. Measured DAP-values deviated less than 5% from the dose measured in the centre, multiplied by the beam cross section.

Table 6.2 Real-time Recorded Parameters of the Angiographic X-Ray Systems in the three hospitals

Technical Parameter	azM	DW	MA
(s) Tube high voltage	y	y	y
(s) Rectangular diaphragm	y	y	y
(s) Iris diaphragm	y	na	na
(s) Imaging mode (fluoro, dsa, ca)	y	y	y
(s) Longitudinal position of X-ray source	y	n	n
(s) Lateral position of table top	n	y	y
(s) Vertical position of table top	n	y	y
(s) Vertical position of focus	n	y	y
(s) Distance of focus-to-imaging system	n	y	y
(s) Angle of rotation of X-ray source	y	y	y
(s) Angle of angulation of X-ray source	y	y	y
(s) Geometrical enlargement factor	y	na	na
(s) Isocentre	y	na	na
(s) Image intensifier (azM: 6, 10, 14; DW, MA: 6, 9 inch)	y	y	y
(s) Tube current	y	y	y
(s) Tube load (mAs)	y	y	y
(d) Focus-skin-distance	y	y	y
(d) Fluoroscopy time	y	y	y
(d) Number of DSA frames per series	y	y	y
(d) Number of CA films per series	y	y	y
(s) Dose-area product	y	y	y

(s)= analog or digital signal provided; (d)= data derived from other information; y = yes, data available; n = no, data not available; na = not applicable.

Selection and compression of recorded data

Since in a single examination different imaging techniques were applied, and various diagnostic projections were used with any of these techniques, angiographic examinations were characterised by a number of data sets detailing the X-ray exposure for relevant stages of the angiographic procedure. It was anticipated that, due to limitations of personnel and computer resources, the number of different diagnostic views that could be handled in additional Monte Carlo organ dose calculations would be restricted. Therefore, we aimed to characterise the patient exposure conditions with a consistent data set, that was as small as possible. In this data set exposure conditions were related to mathematical phantoms and their 3-dimensional co-ordinate system, as used in MC-computational dosimetry by GSF and TNO. Results for selected groups of procedures are given in Chapter 8.

In order to obtain a quantitative description of the exposure conditions, the very large amount of data acquired in this study has been sorted and compressed. For selected types of procedures a subset was derived, according to the criteria that weight, height and the quotient of weight and height of the patient did not differ more than 20% from the anatomical data of the GSF-phantoms ADAM and EVA [KR86]. From this subset, a subgroup was selected containing procedures in which usage data on DSA, cut film radiography (PUCK) and fluoroscopy showed high similarity with average values for the subset. Selection criteria were chosen to exclude extreme procedures. Data records of this subgroup were labelled according to their anatomical position and projection angle. Data records which contributed less than 0.1% to the total dose-area product were deleted. Single procedures were merged into a very condensed data file, containing average values for technique factors (imaging mode, tube high voltage, field size, focus-to-skin distance, X-ray beam direction), totalised data on DAP, total entrance dose free in air, fluoroscopy time and the number of images per diagnostic view. Average values for technique parameters (T-*parm*) per view were derived by calculating weighted means, using the dose-area product (DAP) for weighting, according to:

$$\text{mean T-}\textit{parm}_{\text{weighted}} = \sum_i (\text{DAP}_i \cdot \text{T-}\textit{parm}_i) / \sum_i \text{DAP}_i$$

where i =record number.

The rationale for using weighted instead of unweighted calculation of the mean, in which all data records would contribute equally, is found in the large variations in DAP per record. For example, DAP values in single data records during fluoroscopy in peripheral arteriography varied between about 0.01 and 9 Gy · cm².

6.3.2 Results of a pilot study

In a pilot study, performed in the University Hospital Maastricht in 1991, patient exposure conditions were determined for various procedure types. Some results are shown in Table 6.3 and Table 6.4. It can be easily gathered from these data that the total DAP per procedure type and the relative contributions of fluoroscopy, DSA and CA vary considerably. DAP values for single examinations vary between 1 and 120 Gy · cm². On average, conventional arteriography by means of serial cut films (CA) contributed about 25% to the total DAP per examination; DSA and fluoroscopy each about 40%. In IV-DSA, fluoroscopy accounted for only 10% of the total DAP.

The number of diagnostic projections and the number of images are given for DSA and CA separately in Table 6.4. Typical values for the fluoroscopy time are included as well. On average, three to four different DSA projections were used, resulting in about 60 DSA-frames per examination. For CA the maximal mean number of different projections amounted up to five, the maximal mean number of films up to 25.

In this pilot-study, TL dose measurements were performed to check the accuracy of the entrance dose estimates. In six patient examinations, TLDs were attached on the table top, underneath the supine patient (about 100 TLDs per examination). Dose estimates were derived for various body regions, using measured DAP-values, measured diaphragm settings, estimates for the focus-to-skin distance and for the longitudinal X-ray field position for each imaging mode separately. These dose estimates were compared with

TLD measurement results. Good agreement was found in all cases (differences relative to TLD doses, were smaller than 30%).

Table 6.3 Results of a pilot-study: dose-area product, DAP, per examination and mean relative contributions of fluoroscopy, DSA, and conventional angiography (CA) in the University Hospital Maastricht

Procedure	No. of exam.	DAP (Gy · cm ²)			Relative contribution		
		Mean	Median	Range	fluor.	DSA	CA
Aorto-iliac + one leg	34	13	10	2- 52	35%	40%	25%
Aorto-iliac + two legs	7	32	23	19- 68	45%	30%	25%
Selec. Cerebr. Angio	21	59	51	12-120	40%	35%	25%
PTC (perc.trans.chol.)	12	23	27	1- 48	90%	-	10%
IV-DSA abdomen	8	57	57	31- 89	10%	90%	-
IV-DSA aorto-il. +thighs	22	47	45	16-100	10%	90%	-

Table 6.4 Number of diagnostic projections (n_{DSA} , n_{CA}), diagnostic images ($n_{\text{DSA-frames}}$, $n_{\text{CA-films}}$) and fluoroscopy time (mean, ± 1 SD)

Procedure	No. of exam.	n_{DSA}	$n_{\text{DSA-frames}}$	n_{CA}	$n_{\text{CA-films}}$	T_{fluor} (min)
Aorto-iliac + one leg	34	3.2 ± 1.2	53 ± 30	5	12 ± 8	2.9 ± 1
Aorto-iliac + two legs	7	3.3 ± 0.8	53 ± 23	5	14 ± 2	4.5 ± 1
Selec. Cerebr. Angio	21	4.1 ± 4.5	69 ± 73	3 ± 3.5	25 ± 27	15 ± 10
PTC	12	-	-	1	2 ± 1	10 ± 7
IV-DSA abdomen	8	3.4 ± 0.9	62 ± 16	-	-	1.0 ± 0.5
IV-DSA iliac + thighs	22	4.0 ± 0.9	71 ± 18	-	-	1.2 ± 0.4

6.4 Dosimetric approach for patients

As mentioned before, assessments of organ doses to patients were based on results of Monte Carlo (MC) dose calculations. Entrance doses for patients were indirectly derived from recorded data on dose-area product and technique parameters. Application of TLDs as a primary tool for routine assessment of the entrance doses was also considered. However, it was concluded and indeed confirmed in our pilot-study, that this approach would be impractical, because it requires very large numbers of TLDs. In addition, it was anticipated that the use of TLDs in selected stages of the examination would be hardly compatible with the sterile conditions generally required in vascular radiology.

Assessment of the entrance dose

The absorbed dose free-in-air at the beam entrance position at the patient was derived from measurements of the dose-area product, field size, and focus-to-skin distance, according to:

$$\text{Entrance dose (in Gy)} = \text{DAP} \times (1/\text{AREA}) \times (1/\text{FSD})^2$$

where DAP = dose-area product ($\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$), AREA = field size at 1 m distance from the focus (cm^2), and FSD = focus-to-skin distance (m).

The field size was determined continuously in real time by the recording system for all three imaging modes. Because of the presence of an iris diaphragm that blocks the edges of the rectangular aperture, allowances have been made in off-line data compression processing. It is estimated that the inaccuracy in the derived field size is less than 10%.

In the azM hospital, focus-to-skin distances were derived in real time from electronic data on the focus-to-image distance, the geometrical enlargement factor (magnification referring to a reference point), and the distance of the reference point (isocentre) from the table top. In the DW hospital and MA hospital, focus-to-skin distances were estimated from real-time recorded data on the vertical positions of the table top and the focus, and the lateral position of the patient support. The patient was represented by a semi-cylindrical volume (cut in half along the longitudinal axis), with the flat surface positioned on the table support (radius = 20 cm).

The derived entrance dose is equal to the air kerma, free in air; backscatter radiation from the patient's body is not included. The dose measurement procedure was checked with the same ionisation chamber as used for dose-area-product quality control.

Since the patient support in undercouch tube positions is between the focus and the patient, allowances had to be made for its radiation attenuation. As it was anticipated that the position of the X-ray tube could be derived from technical parameters (e.g. the angle of rotation), correction factors were implemented in off-line data processing activities instead of using different DAP calibration factors. Besides, using different sets of DAP calibration factors in real-time measurements would distort the quantification of occupational exposure conditions.

Assessment of the effective dose for patients

Method 1

Effective dose estimates for the patient were derived from entrance dose estimates, combined with Monte Carlo (MC) organ dose data. MC dose data were made available by GSF, Neuherberg, Germany, and TNO, Rijswijk, The Netherlands. On request, these institutions performed MC organ dose calculations for selected types of arteriography procedures. Calculated MC organ dose data were normalised to entrance air absorbed dose, free in air [DR90], or to dose-area product [SC94a]. Full details on effective dose calculations, based on MC data, are given in Chapter 8.

Method 2

In addition to MC organ dose calculations (method 1), a second approach was used to obtain (rough) estimates for the effective dose to patients. This was accomplished by multiplying DAP data, obtained from clinical measurements in this study, by E/DAP-ratios for full diagnostic procedures. E/DAP-ratios were taken from literature, or derived from published data on E and DAP. These ratios are summarised in Table 6.5.

Using the software package XDOSE a second set of E/DAP-ratios was inferred. These data refer to single diagnostic views. Results are given in Table 6.6. As this alternative approach was intended to be a relatively simple calculation procedure, the dependence of E/DAP-ratios on the tube high voltage, beam filtration, field size, and patient's dimensions and sex, have not been taken into account.

Table 6.5 Ratios of effective dose to DAP (published data)^a

Procedure type	E/DAP [mSv · Gy ⁻¹ · cm ²]
Barium enema	0.3 ^[HA94]
Barium meal	0.2 ^[HA94]
Chest, PA	0.15 ^[MA93]
Cardiac, fluor., PA	0.11 ^[PE95]
Cardiac, x-ray, PA	0.15 ^[PE95]
Abdomen, AP	0.3 ^[MA94] , 0.2 ^[PE95] , 0.21 ^[GR94]
Abdomen, PA	0.15 ^[MA94] , 0.15 ^[PE95] , 0.14 ^[GR94]
Lumbar spine, AP	0.22 ^[GR94]
Pelvis, AP	0.23 ^[GR94]

^a Typical sex-averaged data for HV is about 80 kV and 3-4 mm Al total beam filtration.

Table 6.6 Ratios of effective dose to DAP (derived from XDOSE)^a

X-ray examination	E/DAP [mSv · Gy ⁻¹ · cm ²]	
	AP	PA
Head	0.04	0.03
Cervical spine	0.26	?
Chest	0.24	0.15
Heart	0.15	0.17
Upper stomach	0.56	0.26
Stomach	0.45	0.19
Kidneys	0.25	0.15
Small intestine	0.24	0.19
Abdomen	0.24	0.15
Pelvis	0.26	0.26

^a Calculated results for a hermaphrodite phantom, using XDOSE (HV = 80 kV; filtration = 4 mm Al) [HA94, LE94].

Data presented in the Tables 6.5 and 6.6 were derived as typical values for about 80 kV, and 3-4 mm Al filtration, averaged for male and female patients. Using these data and taking AP and PA exposures into account, approximated values for E/DAP-ratios were derived for all main procedure types included in Table 7.1. IV-DSA procedures have been included separately because of obvious differences in IV-DSA and IA-arterial arteriography of the iliac and lower limbs region. The results are given in Table 6.7. The quotients of AP-ratios and PA-ratios vary between 1.5 and 2. It should be noted, for comparative purposes, that computed results by Yamaguchi [YA94] show that, for adults exposed to 45 keV photons, the AP/PA-ratio of the effective dose per unit air kerma is equal to 1.8. Taking an additional correction factor of 0.8 for PA projections (see footnote a of Table 6.7) into account, results presented in Table 6.7 were compared with available literature data about vascular radiology (section 3.6.1). Good agreement was found for cerebral arteriography (our estimate for PA 0.08 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm², versus 0.09 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm² for PA [CA95], and 0.15 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm² AP/PA not specified [ST93]), abdominal arteriography (our estimate for PA 0.16 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm², versus 0.17 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm² AP/PA not specified [ST93]), and iliac and lower limbs arteriography (our estimate for PA 0.08 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm², versus 0.09 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm² AP/PA not specified [ST93]). Only the E/DAP ratio by Castellano [CA95] for PA-iliac and lower

limbs arteriography procedures ($0.24 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$) differed from the conversion coefficients given in Table 6.7.

Table 6.7 Estimated ratios of effective dose to DAP applicable in vascular radiology^a

X-ray examination	E/DAP [$\text{mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$]	
	AP	PA
Cerebral arteriography ^b	0.2	0.1
Upper extremity arteriography	0.2	0.15
Thoracic arteriography	0.2	0.15
Abdominal arteriography	0.3	0.2
Iliac and lower limbs arteriography ^c	0.15	0.1
IV-DSA iliac and lower limbs ^d	0.3	0.2
PTA	0.3	0.2

^a In order to estimate effective doses for procedures with undercouch tube positions, allowances have to be made for the radiation attenuation in the patient support. This can be accomplished by multiplying the DAP value by an additional factor of 0.8 (note: first-HVL at 80 kV was 3.6 mm Al in azM, and 2.9 mm Al in DW and MA); ^b It is assumed that in a substantial number of cerebral views the thyroid is directly exposed to the primary beam; ^c These procedures comprise exposures to the lower limbs (thighs, lower leg and foot); these body parts are relatively insensitive to radiation; ^d IV-DSA is restricted to the trunk and thighs.

6.5 Dosimetry techniques applied for occupational exposure

In current Dutch legislation, occupational dose limits are expressed in the quantities effective dose equivalent, H_E , and dose equivalent, H , to the lens of the eye, skin, hand, forearm, foot and ankle (see section 3.1). These quantities have been superseded on an international level in 1991 [IC91] by the quantities effective dose, E , and equivalent dose, H_T respectively. Differences in philosophy and computational methods for H_E , E , H and H_T are discussed in Chapter 2. Since it is anticipated that in the near future in Dutch legislation effective dose and equivalent dose will be applied, these quantities have been used in this thesis.

In order to estimate the quantities dose equivalent and effective dose, various thermoluminescent dosimeters (comprising TLD-100 elements) were attached at appropriate places on the body. Dosimeters were changed after each patient procedure.

6.5.1 Thermoluminescence dosimetry in the study of occupational exposures in vascular radiology

A general introduction to thermoluminescence dosimetry (TL dosimetry) and descriptions of TL dosimetric procedures applied in this study can be found in appendix A.

Dosimeter

Dose measurements have been carried out with commercially available extruded chips of LiF, doped with small quantities of magnesium and titanium (TLD-100, Harshaw). The energy response of LiF and tissue are relatively similar. Two types of dosimeters were

used. As indicated by their names, "body-dosemeters" were worn on the body, and "ringdosemeters" were worn on the hands.

Each "body-dosemeter" comprised two TLD-100 elements, dust-proof sealed in thin sachets (5 cm x 2.5 cm). The backing material consisted of a strip of thin paper (about 80 μm), covered with a layer of dry glue. A thin polyester/polyethylene foil was used as front cover (12 μm polyester; 50 μm polyethylene).

Each ringdosemeter contained one TLD-100 element. The TLD was placed in a plastic ring and covered, dust-proof and waterproof, by a piece of paper (80 g/m²) and a thin, aluminized, plastic foil (50 μm). Ringdosemeters were immersed in alcohol during five minutes before use in order to maintain sterile working conditions, .

TLD readout

In order to obtain the integrated TL-signal, TLDs were read out by means of a manual TLD-reader (Harshaw 4000B, Harshaw, Solon, USA), featuring planchet heating and a programmable temperature control unit. The readout cycle comprised a pre-read out temperature of 150 °C (5 s), followed by a TL-acquisition period, in which the temperature increased to 230°C (temperature rate = 25°C/s). This temperature level was maintained during 7 s. All TL-signals were stored in an IBM-compatible personal computer, and visually checked afterwards for irregularities (shape and position of TL-peaks).

Selection of TLDs

To be able to use calibration factors for groups of TLDs, a subset, matching the criterion that the TL response was within the range of 95% to 105% of the arithmetic mean, was selected from a batch of more than one thousand TL elements. These selected elements were processed batchwise throughout the various stages in this study. By using a homogeneous group of TLDs instead of individually calibrated TLDs, the administrative tasks and probability of handling errors were reduced substantially. The loss of precision was considered to be acceptable.

Annealing

Annealing was carried out for batches of about 100 TLDs, placed on an aluminium holder and covered by a thin aluminium capping. The annealing process involved high temperature (400°C, 60 min) and low temperature annealing (105°C, 90 min). To obtain a high degree of reproducibility, annealing procedures were performed strictly according to a local protocol. It should be noted that the low temperature annealing at 105 °C during 90 minutes deviated from the "standard" procedure (80°C during 24 hours). Experimentally, no drawbacks were found. This alternative method was applied to reduce the preparation time and to maintain a high throughput.

Calibration

Calibration of dosemeters was carried out essentially free in air, with the TL elements and a reference ionisation chamber placed in the centre of an X-ray field (Polymobil, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany; high frequency voltage generator; HV, 73 kV; total beam filtration, 2.8 mm Al; first-HVL, 2.7 mm Al at 73 kV; focus-TLD-distance, 92 cm). TLDs were placed with their square surfaces perpendicular to the central beam axis. To improve the reliability, a test exposure was performed at the start of each calibration procedure; the constancy of the ionisation chamber system was checked each week by means of a ⁹⁰Sr/⁹⁰Y radiation source. Calibration factors derived for "body-dosemeters" were also

assigned to ringdosimeters. TL elements to be used for calibration purposes (six elements) and for background correction (six elements) were taken randomly out of the batch. The read-out process was usually performed one week after the annealing procedure. As discussed by others [CH82, DR85, PR81] and confirmed by our own experiments, fading of the TL-signal was negligible ($< 5\%$).

In the diagnostic dose range the relationship between the TL-signal and the radiation dose is linear. Therefore, the following simple relationship could be applied:

$$TL_{\text{mean, cal.}} = c \cdot K_{\text{cal.}} + TL_{\text{mean, background}}$$

where

c = calibration factor (TL-response per unit of kerma),

$K_{\text{cal.}}$ = air-kerma, measured during calibration,

$TL_{\text{mean, background}}$ = average TL-signal of the non-exposed TLDs,

$TL_{\text{mean, cal.}}$ = average TL-signal of TLDs exposed during the calibration procedure.

In order to estimate the air-kerma of the applied TL-element i , K_i , the following relationship was used:

$$K_i = 1/c \cdot (TL_i - TL_{\text{mean, background}})$$

with c and $TL_{\text{mean, background}}$ derived in the calibration process.

6.5.2 Dosimetric approach to estimate entrance dose and effective doses to personnel

Entrance dose estimates

In order to compare occupational doses with legally established deterministic dose limits for the eye lens, thyroid, hands, and for the feet, ankles, and lower legs (see section 3.4), dosimeters were attached to the front of the skull, neck, fingers and lower legs respectively.

In order to estimate entrance skin doses from TLD air kerma measurements, allowances had to be made for differences in mass energy absorption coefficients (μ_{en}/ρ) of tissue and air (see Chapter 2). As the ratio of $(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_{\text{tissue}}$ and $(\mu_{\text{en}}/\rho)_{\text{air}}$ is about 1.06 for diagnostic X-ray spectra [JO85], the doses to the eye lens, hand, and lower extremities were derived by multiplying the kerma, measured by dosimeters worn on these positions by 1.06.

Effective dose calculations

In order to calculate the effective dose, dose estimates for internal organs and for skin were multiplied by tissue weighting factors.

Organ doses were derived by multiplication of so-called dose conversion coefficients (DCCs) with the air kerma, free-in-air, at the body entrance position. Because DCC data, directly applicable to occupational exposure conditions in vascular radiology were not available, we derived own estimates, based on two DCC data sets. One data set comprised published DCCs for exposures to patients in X-ray examinations [DR93]. The other data set was made available by TNO Rijswijk [SC95a]. These characteristics and dissimilarities of both data sets are discussed later in this section.

Taking account of the characteristics of the occupational exposure conditions and the exposure conditions associated with the DCC data set available at the start of this study [DR90], the body of occupationally exposed persons was divided into eleven regions: head, neck, upper arms (2x), hands plus forearms (2x), thorax, abdomen, both thighs, lower legs plus feet (2x). Organ dose from occupational exposures of the regions head, neck, thorax, and abdomen were associated with published DCCs for radiodiagnostic films of the skull, cervical spine, lungs, and abdomen plus pelvis [DR90]. Three regions, namely the thorax, the abdomen, and the thighs, were assumed to be fully covered by a lead apron. Except for five tissues (red marrow, bone surface, skin, muscle, and oesophagus), each organ comprised in the effective dose calculations was assigned to a single region. As shown in Table 6.11, the other five tissues were assigned to four regions (oesophagus) or to eleven regions. As the tissue weighting factor of red marrow is relatively high ($w_{\text{red marrow}} = 0.12$; see Table 2.1), the distribution of red marrow over the regions considered could have a serious impact on dose estimates. Therefore, a literature review was undertaken (see appendix B). In addition to the measurement positions as mentioned before, dosimeters were also worn on the upper arms, the back, the sternum and on the abdomen (see Figure 6.2). Various measurements on the trunk were performed both on and underneath the lead apron.

Tissue weighting factors (w_T) were taken from ICRP Publication 60 [IC91]. Tissue weighting factors for remainder organs were computed with use of organ masses as listed in ICRP Publication 23 [IC75]. The results, given in Table 6.9, show that the remainder organ "muscle" clearly dominates. Since tissue weighting factors defined by ICRP [IC91], do not discriminate between males and females, a hermaphrodite person was assumed for effective dose estimations. Except for the female breasts and uterus, sex-averaged DCC data were used. As values for w_{breast} and w_{uterus} are sex-averaged values, and as these tissues are absent in males, DCC data for females were used in our dose calculations. This approach resulted in a sex-averaged estimate for the effective dose.

It was recognised that organ doses depend on the effective energy of the scattered X-rays and therefore on the tube high voltage. However, to simplify dose calculations, all DCC data were related to a fixed tube high voltage setting of 80 kV. This typical value was derived from real-time recorded tube high voltage settings during fluoroscopy in about 900 procedures (see Table 7.5).

During catheterisation, X-ray exposures to the body of the operator mainly varied from lateral exposures to the left side (RLAT) to frontal (anterior-posterior, AP) directions. Other exposure conditions, for instance, oblique, lateral of the right side, and posterior-anterior occurred less frequently. Deviations from uniform AP-exposure conditions have been confirmed by entrance dose measurements. Measurement results showed that dose levels for the left and right sides of the body were significantly different (e.g Table 9.4). Ratios of doses to the left side by doses to the front of the trunk (see Figure 9.5) varied between 1.5 and 2.5. However, because of limitations and characteristics of the DCC data sets available, our calculations of occupational exposures were based on AP-exposure conditions, both for the operator and the assistant. (Clarification: DCC data for AP views of the head were nearly similar to those for lateral views; lateral views of the cervical spine comprised scattered radiation to the thyroid only, and were judged as unrealistic considering occupational exposures, DCCs for lateral views of the chest were judged as unrealistically small; lat/oblique views of the abdomen and pelvic region were not provided).

Figure 6.2 Diagram of dose measurement positions

Table 6.8 Mass^a and tissue weighting factor for remainder organs and tissues, $w_{\text{rem. organ}}$ ^c used in effective dose calculations

Remainder organs and tissues	Mass _{male} (g)	Mass _{female} (g)	$w_{\text{rem. organ}}$ ^c
Adrenals	14	14	2.9E-5
Brain	1400	1200	2.7E-3
Upper large intestine ^b	210	200	4.3E-4
Small intestine	640	600	1.3E-3
Kidney	310	275	6.0E-4
Muscle	28000	17000	4.4E-2
Pancreas	100	85	1.9E-4
Spleen	180	150	3.4E-4
Thymus	20	20	4.2E-5
Uterus	-	80	1.0E-4

^a Source: ICRP Publication 23 [IC75]; ^b Upper large intestine = caecum + ascending colon + transverse colon; ^c Mean values for males and females. $w_{\text{rem. organ } j} = 0.05 \cdot \text{mass}_j \cdot \{\sum_j \text{mass}_j\}^{-1}$. The sum value of column $w_{\text{rem. organ}}$ is equal to 0.0497; the difference with the weighting factor for remainder tissues defined by ICRP-60 ($w_{\text{rem. organ}} = 0.05$), is negligible.

Entrance doses obtained from measurements in the centres of the thorax and abdomen were multiplied by a factor 1.5 in order to allow for underestimation of actual entrance doses to the trunk. This factor was used to allow both for the increase in the entrance doses at the side of the body (ratio 1.5 to 2.5), and for the decrease in DCC-values for oblique exposures. The $E_{\text{AP}}/E_{45^\circ \text{oblique}}$ -ratio was estimated to be 1.3-1.5 (photon energy range of 45 to 60 keV), by using E/K_{air} -ratios for AP- and lateral exposures, presented by Yamaguchi [YA94] and Zankl [ZA92]. As shown in Table 9.6, entrance doses to the forehead of operators in the MA hospital were significantly lower than corresponding doses to the left side of the head. Consistent with the mean dose estimates for the front and left side of the head ($D_{\text{left}}/D_{\text{front}}$ -ratio is 4) and taking into account that DCCs for lateral and PA exposures of the head are similar, allowances for effective dose estimations were made by multiplying entrance doses to the forehead of operators by a factor of 4.

Table 6.9 Sex-averaged organ dose conversion coefficient, DCC, compiled for various regions, resulting from AP exposures to X-rays generated at 80 kV, derived from anthropomorphic phantoms ADAM and EVA^a

Organ/ tissue	DCC-estimates by TNO				DCC-estimates by GSF			
	Regions				Regions			
	head	neck	thorax	abdomen	head	neck	thorax	abdomen
Gonads	- ^b	-	-	0.79	-	-	-	0.68
Red marrow	0.026	0.002	0.046	0.12	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.05
Colon (LLI)	-	-	-	0.45	-	-	-	0.34
Lungs	-	-	0.54	-	-	-	0.37	0.01
Stomach	-	-	-	0.77	-	-	0.10	0.48
Bladder	-	-	-	0.74	-	-	-	0.65 ^c
Breast	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	0.97	-
Liver	-	-	-	0.55	-	-	0.13	0.28
Oesophagus	0.007	0.035	0.20	0.002	0.01	0.29	0.15	0.01
Thyroid	-	1.0	-	-	0.61	0.90	0.12	-
Skin	0.051	0.009	0.098	0.14	0.06	0.02	0.09	0.12
Bone surface	0.19	0.003	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.10
Adrenals	-	-	-	0.15	-	-	0.07	0.07
Brain	0.24	-	-	-	0.19	0.07	-	-
U.I. intest.	-	-	-	0.60	-	-	-	0.44
Small intest.	-	-	-	0.49	-	-	-	0.37
Kidneys	-	-	-	0.15	-	-	0.02	0.10
Muscle	0.014	0.007	0.099	0.20	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.12
Pancreas	-	-	-	0.38	-	-	0.08	0.21
Spleen	-	-	-	0.29	-	-	0.06	0.11
Thymus	-	-	0.98	-	-	0.01	0.86	-
Uterus	-	-	-	0.49	-	-	-	0.40
Entrance dose					1.13	1.13	1.24	1.29

^a DCC = $D_{\text{organ}} / K_{\text{air, free-in-air, at entrance position}}$ [Gy/Gy]. Except for breast and uterus, all DCC data are sex-averaged values. Sources: TNO: personal communication [SC95a], GSF: GSF-report "Die Bestimmung von Organdosen in der Röntgendiagnostik" [DR93]. Head: AP view of skull. Neck: AP view of cervical spine. Thorax: AP view of lungs. Abdomen: AP views of abdomen and pelvis. $DCC_{\text{abdomen}} = \text{maximum}(DCC_{\text{abd}}, DCC_{\text{iliac}})$. Gonads: $DCC_{\text{gonads}} = 0.5 \cdot (DCC_{\text{ovaries}} + DCC_{\text{testes}})$, where $DCC_{\text{ovaries}} = 0.31 \text{ Gy/Gy}$ [DR93], and $DCC_{\text{testes}} = 1.0 \text{ Gy/Gy}$ (Rosenstein, HVL 2.5 mm Al [RO88]); ^b The minus sign "-" denotes that DCC-value was not provided; ^c Personal communication Zankl, 1995.

In DCC data sets provided by GSF and TNO, organ doses were normalised to air kerma, free-in-air, at the body entrance position. Because our dose measurements were performed with dosimeters worn on the body, allowances had to be made for backscatter. Backscatter factors were derived from DCC data for the skin at the entrance site, as provided by GSF [DR93]. Our own experiments with TLDs showed that, at the 99% confidence level, backscatter of copper was less than 3% [KI92a], Paix [PA83] observed that the backscatter of lead was less than or nearly similar to the backscatter of copper. Therefore, no backscatter corrections were made for TLDs on the outside of lead aprons.

The radiation attenuation factor by lead aprons was experimentally estimated to be 200 (see section 9.4). In our effective dose calculations it was anticipated that, because of high attenuation by protective clothing, the effective photon energy of the impinging radiation would increase, and organ doses and the effective dose would also increase. Seuntjes et al. [SE87] concluded that by changing the beam filtration from 2-4 mm Al to 4 mm Al + 0.5 mm Cu, the effective photon energy increased from about 45 keV to 58 keV. We assumed

that 0.5 mm lead “filtration” would increase the effective energy to about 60 keV. By interpolating data for AP exposure conditions presented by Yamaguchi [YA94] it was derived that, to allow for this phenomenon, the effective dose had to be multiplied by a factor of about 1.5. In our effective dose calculations, this “effective dose enhancement” factor was combined with the attenuation factor of lead aprons (200), resulting in an effective attenuation factor for organ doses in the trunk and thighs of 130.

Attenuation factors for lead aprons were derived experimentally, and not with use of Monte Carlo calculations, because these calculations would have required very lengthy computer processing times. For instance, Monte Carlo organ dose calculations by TNO took about 25 hours for each exposure condition. To obtain DCCs for exposed organs, protected by aprons with an attenuation factor of 130, with similar statistical confidence levels as for the nonprotected body, processing times would increase with about a factor 17000. It is obvious that this approach was considered to be impractical.

In summary, estimates for the effective dose, E , were derived according to:

$$E = \sum_{reg} \sum_T (w_T \cdot w_R \cdot DCC_{T,reg} \cdot K_{reg} \cdot obl \cdot Att_{reg})$$

where

- w_T = Tissue weighting factor for specified organ (i) or remainder organ (j), according to ICRP 60 (see Table 2.1 in this thesis). For remainder organ j , the tissue weighting factor, w_j , was calculated to be $0.05 \cdot m_j / \sum_j m_j$, where m_j was the mass of organ j .
 w_j was calculated for males and females separately. Since w_T values are not sex-specific, w_j was taken as the mean value for both sexes (see Table 6.8).
- w_R = Radiation weighting factor. For exposures in radiology w_R is unity.
- $DCC_{T,reg}$ = Dose conversion factor for organ T in region reg . $DCC_{T,reg}$ -values were provided by TNO, or taken from [DR93]. $DCC_{T,reg}$ -values used in our dose calculations are given in Table 6.11.
- K_{reg} = Air kerma, free-in-air, for region reg . K_{reg} was derived from TLD measurements. Allowances were made for backscatter for the head, upper arm, hand, and lower leg, according to $K_{reg} = K_{TLD} / D_{entrance \text{ skin dose}}$; $D_{entrance \text{ skin dose}}$ was taken from [DR93], or set to unity if no data were available. K_{reg} was assumed to be uniform for the whole region. If TLD measurement results were not available, K_{reg} was inferred from air kerma estimates for surrounding regions.
- obl = Correction factor to make allowances for non AP exposures. $obl=1.5$ or the abdomen and thorax; $obl=4$ for the head of operators in the MA hospital (stage 1); $obl=1$ for all other exposures.
- Att_{reg} = Attenuation factor, used to allow for the dose reduction by lead aprons. $Att_{reg} = 1/130$ for the regions thorax, abdomen, and thighs; $Att_{reg} = 1$ for other regions.

Characteristics of DCCs provided by TNO [SC95a] and by GSF [DR93]:

Characteristics of TNO data sets:

DCC data made available by TNO comprised calculated organ doses per unit air kerma, free-in-air, at body entrance positions. DCCs were obtained by Monte Carlo simulation of radiation transport, using the MCNP 3B3 code [SC94, SC95a]. Calculations were performed for AP-irradiation geometries for the GSF-phantoms ADAM and EVA, using broad unidirectional photon beams, generated at 80 kV (beam filtration, 2.5 mm Al; first-HVL, 2.8 mm Al; second-HVL, 4.2 mm Al). Both phantoms were divided in several sections, corresponding with the regions listed before. DCCs were calculated for organs listed in ICRP Publication 60 [IC91]. Before the start of the computations, organs and tissues were designated to single sections. As noted before, five tissues (red marrow, skin, bone surface, muscle, and oesophagus) extended into more than one section. These tissues were divided into subcompartments, each corresponding with a single section. For each section, energies imparted to the organs and subcompartments located in that section were computed. Imparted energies to organs and subcompartments outside that region were set to zero. It should be noted that the energy imparted to a single organ accounts for both the primary radiation impinging on the section and the scatter radiation originating anywhere in the phantom. Mean organ doses were calculated from imparted energy and the total mass of the organ/tissue considered. By dividing derived mean organ doses by calculated air kermas, DCCs were computed. Results for various regions, expressed as sex-averaged DCCs are given in Table 6.9.

Characteristics of GSF-data sets:

DCC data published by Drexler et al. [DR93] are associated with “simple” radiographic views, both for male (ADAM) and female patients (EVA). We used DCC data for AP-views of the skull, cervical spine, lungs, abdomen and iliac region, at tube voltage settings of 80 kV (beam filtration 2.5 mm Al; focus-skin-distance 85 cm; focus-film distance 115 cm). Sex-averaged results for various regions are given in Table 6.9. It should be noted that GSF-data do not comprise exposures to the arms and legs.

Dissimilarities between exposure conditions and exposure models by TNO and GSF, and consequences for the estimation of effective doses:

- Actual occupational exposures resulted from a volume source (the patient) located at about 0.3. to 1.5 m from the person. In Monte Carlo calculations by GSF a point source (the focus) was used with a focus-skin-distance of 0.85 m; TNO used a broad parallel beam. Because of differences in source geometry and source-to-organ distances, DCCs by GSF will probably underestimate the actual organ doses. On the other hand, DCC data by TNO may overestimate actual doses.
- Basically, in our model it was assumed that the contribution from each single region to the effective dose could be accounted for independently from the exposures to other regions. This approach required that doses to all organs included in effective dose calculations could be determined for each single region. The summing up of dose contributions associated with an appropriate set of these views could be regarded as similar to our approach, because various diagnostic views used by GSF more or less corresponded with the regions applied in our calculations, and because all organs required were involved in the calculations by GSF.

As X-ray field sizes used by GSF for views of the trunk were smaller than the corresponding body regions exposed in our study, exposure to some tissues was only partially accounted for. For example, for AP-abdominal films (30 x 40 cm²) the exposed skin surface at the entrance side was calculated to be about 650 cm², whereas the sex-averaged skin surface at the entrance side of our region "abdomen" was calculated to be about 1350 cm². Due to this discrepancy, calculated imparted energies to some organs, and hence some DCC-values derived by GSF will be too small.

Calculations by TNO were based on a uniform, total body exposure. These calculations included dose contributions to organs in a single region due to scatter radiation originating outside that region. However, the approach by TNO did not take account of the dose to organs or parts of organs outside of the region considered, resulting from scatter radiation originating in that region (these doses were set to zero). As a consequence, doses to organs located in the region considered will be overestimated, whereas the dose to other organs will be underestimated.

Table 6.10 shows that differences between DCC data sets by TNO and GSF for the regions head and thorax are relatively small. It should be noted that the $DCC_{thyroid}$ values for the AP view of the head by GSF were quite high ($DCC_{thyroid,ADAM}$, 0.85 Gy/Gy; $DCC_{thyroid,EVA}$, 0.37 Gy/Gy). The $DCC_{thyroid}$ was excluded as it was clear from this value that the thyroid was partly exposed to the primary beam, instead of exposed to scatter radiation only. Also, DCC_{brain} by GSF, resulting from exposure to the neck was associated with partial exposure to the primary beam. Consequently this DCC was excluded too. Differences between DCCs by GSF and TNO for the neck region are partly due to differences in the positions and sizes of the X-ray fields. For AP-views of the thorax and abdomen, GSF computed DCCs for various organs in the abdomen and thorax respectively. However, as the exact positions of the GSF-views were not described, it was not possible to discriminate between (1) partial exposures, and (2) exposures due to scatter. In our calculations, DCCs for organs outside of the region considered were set to zero.

In order to be able to make allowances for differences between GSF and TNO data sets, TNO performed additional Monte Carlo calculations for two other exposure models for the region "abdomen" of the phantom ADAM (see Table 6.10). Exposure model 2 readily approximated our exposure model. With exposure model 3, the exposure geometry used by GSF was simulated. From Table 6.10 it follows that results obtained by TNO-exposure model 3 and results by GSF are quite similar.

Taking the characteristics of the exposure calculation models by GSF and TNO into account, DCCs used in this study (DCC_{study}) were derived according to:

- region head: DCC_{study} were set equal to DCC_{TNO}
- region neck: Except for the thyroid, all other DCC_{study} -values were set equal to two times DCC_{TNO} . DCC_{study} for the thyroid was set equal to DCC_{TNO} . A multiplication factor of two was used to allow for the neck hole of the apron, which extended some centimetres in the thorax region. It was assumed that the regional masses and the relative depths of the tissues in the neck hole area, were similar to corresponding data for the neck region. This area was accounted for in the thorax region in the TNO exposure model.
- region thorax: DCC_{study} were set equal to DCC_{TNO} ; if DCC_{TNO} and DCC_{GSF} differed by more than 10%, DCC_{study} were set equal to the mean of these DCCs.

• region abdomen: DCC_{study} were set equal to TNO-exposure model 2, except for red marrow, skin, and bone surface. As adapted GSF-data for these tissues indicated that DCCs could be higher than those obtained from TNO-exposure model 2, numerical values assigned to these three DCCs were set equal to adapted GSF data.

• regions lower leg, upper arm, and hand: DCC_{study} were set equal to DCC_{TNO} .

Final results are summarised in Table 6.11.

Table 6.10 Comparison of organ dose conversion coefficients, DCCs, for ADAM derived from various exposure models, simulating exposures to the abdominal region at a generating potential of 80 kV^a

Organ/ tissue	Exposure models			Exposure model GSF	DCC used in this study
	1	2	3		
Testes	- ^b	0.11	0.056	-	0 ^c
Red marrow	0.12	0.062	0.044	0.05 (0.07)	0.07
Colon(LLI)	0.44	0.39	0.30	0.32	0.39
Lungs	-	0.016	0.011	<0.01	0.00
Stomach	0.76	0.62	0.49	0.42 (0.46)	0.62
Bladder	0.72	0.70	0.59	0.63	0.70
Liver	0.57	0.35	0.25	0.23 (<0.30)	0.35
Oesophagus	0.003	0.008	0.004	0.01	0.08
Thyroid	-	-	-	-	0
Skin	0.15	0.11	0.082	0.11 (0.15)	0.15
Bone surface	0.18	0.091	0.062	0.09 (0.11)	0.11
Adrenals	0.14	0.070	0.058	0.06 (0.07)	0.07
Brain	-	-	-	-	0
U.I. intest.	0.59	0.55	0.45	0.42	0.55
Small intest.	0.48	0.45	0.37	0.35	0.45
Kidneys	0.14	0.11	0.083	0.09 (0.11)	0.11
Muscle	0.19	0.16	0.12	0.11 (0.14)	0.16
Pancreas	0.37	0.26	0.20	0.18	0.26
Spleen	0.26	0.17	0.11	0.09	0.17
Thymus	-	0.003	0.003	<0.01	0

^a DCC = mean organ dose / air kerma, free-in-air [Gy/Gy]. TNO exposure models 1-3 (Source: personal communication [SC95a]): Model 1: Broad unidirectional AP beam; HV, 80 kV; beam filtration, 2.5 mm Al. Model 2: Narrow unidirectional AP beam; HV, 80 kV; filtration, 2.5 mm Al. Field size similar to the region "abdomen". Model 3: Diverging AP beam; HV, 80 kV; filtration, 2.5 mm Al; FSD, 0.85 m (simulating GSF exposure model). GSF Exposure model (Source: GSF-report "Die Bestimmung von Organdosen in der Röntgendiagnostik" [DR93]). DCC = maximum($DCC_{abdomen}$, DCC_{ilac}) for AP abdominal and iliac radiographs respectively; 80 kV, 2.5 mm Al; FSD 0.85 m. DCC-values in parentheses are own estimates, derived from original DCC-values by GSF, corrected for geometrical discrepancies, due to limited field sizes. ^b The minus sign "-" denotes that DCC-value was not provided. ^c As denoted in a footnote of Table 6.9, DCC_{testes} was set to 1 Gy/Gy.

Table 6.11 Sex-averaged organ dose conversion coefficient, DCC, and effective dose conversion coefficient, ECC, for occupationally exposed persons in vascular radiology^a

Organ/ tissue	Regions								Sum
	head	neck	thorax	ab- domen	thighs	lower leg	upper arm	hand	
<i>Organ dose conversion coefficient per region (Gy/Gy)</i>									
Gonads	-	-	-	0.79	-	-	-	-	0.79
Red marrow	0.026	0.004	0.04	0.07	0.007	-	0.004	-	0.16
Colon	-	-	-	0.40	-	-	-	-	0.40
Lungs	-	-	0.46	-	-	-	-	-	0.46
Stomach	-	-	-	0.63	-	-	-	-	0.63
Bladder	-	-	-	0.73	-	-	-	-	0.73
Breast	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	1.0
Liver	-	-	-	0.34	-	-	-	-	0.34
Oesophagus	0.007	0.070	0.18	0.005	-	-	-	-	0.26
Thyroid	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0
Skin	0.051	0.018	0.098	0.14	0.20	0.055	0.015	0.025	0.70
Bone surface	0.19	0.006	0.16	0.10	0.28	0.075	0.056	0.063	1.1
Adrenals	-	-	-	0.08	-	-	-	-	0.08
Brain	0.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.24
U.I. intest.	-	-	-	0.56	-	-	-	-	0.56
Small intest.	-	-	-	0.46	-	-	-	-	0.46
Kidneys	-	-	-	0.12	-	-	-	-	0.12
Muscle	0.014	0.014	0.085	0.17	0.15	0.026	0.003	0.007	0.51
Pancreas	-	-	-	0.27	-	-	-	-	0.27
Spleen	-	-	-	0.19	-	-	-	-	0.19
Thymus	-	-	0.92	-	-	-	-	-	0.92
Uterus	-	-	-	0.45	-	-	-	-	0.45
<i>Effective dose conversion coefficient per region (Sv/Gy)</i>									
ECC =	0.007	0.055	0.125	0.355	0.012	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.56

^a $DCC = D_{organ} / K_{air, \text{ free-in-air, at entrance position}} [\text{Gy/Gy}]$. $ECC = E_{region} / K_{air, \text{ free-in-air, at entrance position}} [\text{Sv/Gy}]$; $E_{region} = \sum_T w_T \cdot DCC_{T, region}$. Except for breast and uterus, all DCC data are sex-averaged values. Column "sum": summation of DCC- and ECC-data for the head, neck, thorax, abdomen, thighs, lower leg (2x), upper arm (2x), and hand (2x); ^b To allow for the neck hole, DCCs for red marrow, oesophagus, skin, bone surface and muscle were multiplied by two.

In conclusion, methods applied for the assessment of the effective dose to patients and staff comprise real-time recordings of technique parameters, measurements of the dose-area product, the determination of entrance doses, and Monte Carlo organ dose calculations. Effective dose estimates for the patient were inferred as the sum value of various diagnostic views, which were defined by means of the recorded technique parameters. E/DAP-ratios were derived from literature data in order to estimate effective doses to patients undergoing vascular examination types that were not studied in detail. The effective dose to staff was calculated as the sum value of contributions from various exposed regions. The entrance dose to these regions was measured with thermoluminescent dosimeters.

Chapter 7

Exposure conditions of patients in vascular radiology: collected data about technique parameters, dose-area product, patient characteristics and effective dose estimates

7.1 Introduction

Measurement results obtained with the real-time monitoring system, characteristics of the patient, and estimates for the effective dose are discussed for three hospitals: the University Hospital Maastricht (azM), the De Wever Hospital Heerlen (DW), and the Maasland Hospital Sittard (MA). In the course of this study, procedures were classified according to the anatomical region being investigated and the imaging technique applied. To be able to discuss the collected data in a comprehensive way, procedures have been designated to six main groups (see Table 7.1).

The main groups 1 to 5 are composed of intra-arterial arteriography (IA-art) and intra-venous DSA procedures (IV-DSA). IA-arteriography comprises conventional radiography, IA-DSA, or both imaging techniques. Main group 6 comprises interventional endovascular procedures. Vascular imaging in the latter procedures is performed with intra-arterial arteriography.

Various procedures could not be classified because of conflicting characteristics. For example, procedures which comprised diagnostic imaging of arteries in both the upper and lower part of the body have been excluded from the data set. Condensing the data discussed in this section, various subgroups have been merged, based on the main group they belonged to, and taking similarities in the dose-area product into account. General data on measurements performed in the three hospitals are given in Table 7.2.

In the MA hospital, dosimetric data have been acquired in two periods. In the first period, measurements were performed with overcouch tube geometry. Since it was anticipated that the radiation exposure both to the worker and the patient could be decreased by changing to undertable tube position, a trial was started with the X-ray tube in undercouch position. The dose consequences of this change were investigated in the second period. Due to limitations of the imaging system, serial cut film imaging (PUCK) had to be performed in both measuring periods with the X-ray tube in the overcouch position.

Computation of mean values

Typical values per procedure for technique parameters with changeable settings, such as tube high voltage and beam size, have been inferred as the weighted mean, using DAP per

data record for weighting (see Section 6.3.1). Means and other statistics per procedure type have been computed from these typical data.

Table 7.1 **Types of arteriography and interventional endovascular procedures**

Code ^a	Procedure type
1. CEREBRAL (CA):	
CA1	IA arteriography of cerebral vessels (one side)
CA2	IA arteriography of cerebral vessels (two sides)
CAiv	IV-DSA of cerebral arteries
2. UPPER EXTREMITIES:	
EA	IA arteriography of vessels in the upper extremities
3. THORACIC (TA):	
TAA	IA arteriography of thoracic vessels
TAiv	IV-DSA of thoracic arteries
4. ABDOMINAL (AA):	
AAA	IA arteriography of abdominal vessels
AAB	Selective IA arteriography of abdominal vessels
AAiv	IV-DSA of abdominal vessels
5. PERIPHERAL (PA):	
PAn	IA arteriography of iliac and peripheral vessels in one leg, simple needle puncture in the femoral artery
PAs	IA arteriography of iliac and peripheral vessels, Seldinger catheterisation
PAiv	IV-DSA of iliac and peripheral arteries
6. PERCUTANEOUS	
TRANSLUMINAL ANGIOPLASTY (PTA):	
PTAa	PTA of abdominal aorta
PTAp	PTA of iliac and peripheral arteries
PTAr1	PTA of one renal artery

^a These character codes are used throughout this chapter to identify different procedure types. Procedures in the MA hospital with overcouch X-ray tube positions are denoted with ".../over"; undercouch tube positions with ".../under". If the position of the X-ray tube is not mentioned, the procedures were carried out with undercouch tube positions.

Selection of data discussed in this chapter

azM hospital

In total, data were collected for 988 procedures, comprising 923 angiography and 65 endovascular interventional procedures. About 200 procedures, which were judged as unclassifiable or unreliable, or which were designated in groups with a low number of observations (<4) were deleted from the data set. This section comprises data on 784 procedures.

DW hospital

Data were collected for 147 procedures. After the exclusion of nonvascular procedures and vascular procedures with less than 3 observations, the remaining group of 118 procedures comprised 91 angiography procedures and 27 PTA procedures.

MA hospital

Dosimetric data on IV-DSA procedures and peripheral arteriography procedures in MA were not recorded, because the majority of these procedures were performed in an other diagnostic room, comprising a different type of X-ray equipment. Of 173 angiographic and PTA procedures (142 in the first period and 31 in the second period), six procedures have been excluded from the dose assessment procedure, because of matching errors, or suspected malfunction of the automated monitoring system. Four procedures could not be classified into one of the main groups. Furthermore, a retrospective analysis of recorded data on the angle of rotation of the U-arm showed that four procedures in the second measurement period were carried out with the X-ray tube in the overtable position during fluoroscopy. These procedures were excluded as well. The remaining group contained data about 159 procedures.

Statistics

The evaluation of the data soon showed that the collected data were usually not distributed normally. This means that the arithmetic mean and standard deviation are of limited use. However, in order to achieve a comprehensive picture, the data presented in this chapter are characterised by mean values. With respect to further analyses, statistics (minimum, maximum, various percentiles, quartiles, and standard errors) on technique parameters, DAP and effective dose are given elsewhere [KI95c].

Table 7.2 **Measurements in angiography rooms in three hospitals azM, DW and MA^a**

	azM	DW	MA
- Measuring period	May'91-June'93	Feb'92-Jul'92	Aug'92-Mar'93 Mar'93-Jun'93
- Number of procedures performed per year:			
. arteriography	400	500	250
. intervent. vasc.	60	70	50
. other procedures	50	120	50
- Total number of procedures monitored, compiled in this study	988 ^b	147	142 (period 1) 31 (period 2)
- Number of procedures discussed in this chapter:			
. arteriography	754	91	139
. intervent. vasc.	30	27	20
total	784	118	159

^a All procedures, except procedures in the first period in MA, have been carried out with undercouch X-ray tube positions; ^b The relatively large difference in the numbers of observations (988) and procedures discussed (784) in the azM hospital reflects the large range and complexity in vascular procedures carried out (i.e. many procedures could not be classified into any group of Table 7.1).

7.2 Dose-area product and technique parameters for arteriography and percutaneous transluminal angioplasty procedures in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard

Table 7.3 characterises the use of the imaging systems in the three hospitals, azM, DW and MA, for various types of procedures. Concise descriptions of arteriography and endovascular radiology can be found in Chapter 4.

The data comprised in Table 7.3 are illustrated for IA-arteriography of cerebral vessels at one side (CA1) in the azM hospital:

This study involved 43 procedures. Mean fluoroscopy time was 8.9 minutes. Vascular imaging was performed with DSA in 40 procedures (93%), in which, on average, 5 IA-DSA series were made, comprising 82 DSA-frames per procedure. In 7 procedures (16%) vascular imaging was performed with serial cut radiography (PUCK). On average, these procedures comprised 4 series, resulting in 28 films per procedure. It should be noted that, as both DSA and radiography were applied in some procedures, the sum value of the relative frequencies for DSA and radiography exceeds 100%.

Similarities and dissimilarities of the characteristic data presented in Table 7.3 are briefly discussed below.

Fluoroscopy

Mean fluoroscopy times per procedure type varied from about 1 to 15 minutes. Mean fluoroscopy times were very short in IV-DSA procedures (about 1 min). Prolonged fluoroscopy (> about 10 min) was associated with PTA procedures and selective catheterisation in abdominal and in cerebral arteriography. Comparison of fluoroscopy shows that cerebral arteriography in azM involved more lengthy fluoroscopy actions than in DW and MA. On the other hand, PTA procedures in azM required less fluoroscopic imaging (exception: PTAr1 in DW). Maximum fluoroscopy times per hospital were equal to 50 minutes, 65 and 45 minutes for azM, DW and MA respectively (not shown in Table 7.3).

DSA

In DW and MA, vascular imaging procedures always involved DSA. Depending on the procedure type, DSA was used in 25% to 100% of the IA-art. procedures in azM. Low frequencies were found in selective catheterisation in abdominal arteriography (AAB) and in PTA of abdominal vessels. Averaged over all procedures in azM, DSA imaging was performed in 87% of the procedures (not shown in Table 7.3).

In azM, the mean number of DSA-series varied from about 2 to 7, on average comprising about 25 to 115 DSA-frames. In DW and MA 3 to about 11 DSA-series were performed, comprising about 45 to about 145 DSA-frames in DW, and 40 to 170 DSA-frames in MA. Due to large differences in the use of serial cut film imaging (PUCK), intercomparison of DSA data in these three hospitals is difficult. Maximum number of DSA-frames per procedure in azM, DW and MA were equal to 250, 220 and 320 respectively (not shown in Table 7.3).

Table 7.3 Mean fluoroscopy time, DSA and serial cut film radiography (PUCK) in arteriography and PTA procedures^a

Procedure type ^b	No. of proc.	Fluoro. time (min)	DSA Rel. freq. ^c (%)	No. of series	No. of frames	PUCK Rel. freq. (%)	No. of series	No. of films
<i>azM hospital:</i>								
CA1	43	8.9	93	5	82	16	4	28
CA2	67	13.7	96	7	115	16	4	26
CAiv	25	1.2	100	3	49	0	.	.
EA	6	14.3	67	4	60	67	3	26
TAA	33	4.6	70	3	49	48	3	19
TAiv	24	1.4	100	3	57	0	.	.
AAA	96	5.4	58	2	23	100	2	14
AAB	24	14.8	25	2	37	100	2	30
AAiv	32	0.7	100	3	49	0	.	.
PAn	164	3.1	93	3	60	93	5	15
PAs	88	5.2	99	4	71	95	5	15
PAiv	152	1.1	100	4	70	0	.	.
PTAa	18	9.1	44	2	38	94	3	12
PTAp	7	9.6	29	3	35	100	3	13
PTAr1	5	12.8	80	3	32	100	3	15
<i>DW hospital:</i>								
CA1	8	6.4	100	5	93	0	.	.
CA2	13	7.9	100	9	125	0	.	.
EA	9	3.8	100	5	74	0	.	.
AAA	4	2.2	100	3	47	0	.	.
AAiv	18	1.9	100	4	76	0	.	.
PAn	32	3.5	100	10	145	0	.	.
PAs	7	4.2	100	7	105	57	2	11
PTAa	11	12.6	100	6	64	0	.	.
PTAp	13	12.1	100	6	69	0	.	.
PTAr1	3	26.3	100	4	52	0	.	.
<i>MA hospital:</i>								
CA1/over	31	6.5	100	7	116	0	.	.
CA2/over	58	9.4	100	10	169	0	.	.
CA2-under	19	8.2	100	10	167	0	.	.
EA/over	5	2.6	100	3	40	0	.	.
AAA/over	13	4.4	100	4	71	38	2	18
AAA-under	5	5.5	100	5	75	40	6	6
AAB/over	8	9.1	100	3	61	63	2	21
PTAa/over	17	15.1	100	4	46	100	1	8
PTAp-under	3	6.3	100	3	47	0	.	.

^a Means were computed for those procedures, which comprised the indicated imaging mode. Statistics on procedure types with small numbers of observations (e.g. less than 10) should be interpreted as indicative, only. The character "." denotes that this data is not applicable; ^b See Table 7.1 for explanation of procedure codes; ^c Rel. freq. = ratio of procedures with applications of the indicated imaging mode, to the total number procedures of the specified type.

Serial cut film (PUCK)

PUCK imaging was performed in 16% to 100% of the procedures in azM, in about 50% of the peripheral arteriography procedures in DW, and in 40% to 100% of the abdominal arteriography and PTA procedures in MA. Averaged over all procedures in azM, PUCK imaging was performed in 54% of the procedures (not shown in Table 7.3).

Radiographic imaging involved on average 2 to 5 PUCK-series, composed of about 10 to 30 films in azM. In DW, PUCK-imaging was performed in peripheral arteriography only (2 series, 11 films). In MA, PUCK-imaging was applied in abdominal arteriography and PTA-abdomen (overcouch tube positions). The mean number of series per procedure type varied between 1 and 6, with on average 6 to 18 films per procedure type.

PUCK imaging in MA during PTA with the X-ray tube in the undercouch position (second period) was hampered because of limitations of the imaging systems. As an alternative, imaging was performed with DSA. Since only three PTA procedures could be included in the data set discussed, these data should be considered as indicative only.

Dose-area product (DAP)

Means for DAP and mean relative contributions of the imaging systems (fluoroscopy, DSA, and serial cut film) to DAP are presented in Table 7.4. This table shows, for instance, that mean DAP for cerebral arteriography of vessels at one side (CA1) in azM was equal to $32 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$. For these procedures, the mean value for relative contributions from fluoroscopy per procedure, averaged over 43 procedures (see Table 7.3), was 37%. If DSA imaging was applied (40 procedures; $40 = 0.93 \times 43$, Table 7.3), the mean of relative contributions of DSA to total DAP was 60%. If serial cut films (PUCK) were taken (7 procedures; $7 = 0.16 \times 43$, Table 7.3), the mean contribution of radiography to total DAP was equal to 43%. Overall means for DSA and PUCK per procedure type can be found by multiplying the relative frequency of each type by their corresponding relative contributions to total DAP.

It should be noted that average contributions were calculated as the arithmetic mean for the relative contribution per procedure. Average means were not calculated from mean total DAP and mean DAP per imaging mode to prevent that, especially for small groups, single procedures with very high DAP values could have a large influence on this statistic.

Table 7.4 shows that mean DAP per procedure type (roundoff unit is five), varied from about 15 to $75 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ in azM, from 20 to $85 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ in DW (exception: PTAr1), and from 20 to $60 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ in MA (EA procedures exclusive). It should be noted that DAP data for arteriography of the upper limbs (EA) in MA were "very" small.

On average, high DAP values were associated with selective abdominal arteriography procedures in azM and MA, with PTA of the renalis artery (PTAr1) in azM and DW, and with IV-DSA abdominal procedures in DW.

DAP data collected during fluoroscopy in azM for intra-arterial arteriography procedures were compared with DAP data for IV-DSA procedures. Average DAP for fluoroscopy per procedure for intra-arterial arteriography was estimated to be about 4 times the average DAP value for IV-DSA.

Table 7.4 Mean dose-area product (DAP) and relative contributions of imaging systems to DAP for arteriography and PTA procedures^a

Procedure type ^b	DAP (Gy · cm ²)	Mean relative contribution to DAP		
		<i>Fluoroscopy</i>	<i>DSA</i>	<i>PUCK</i>
<i>azM hospital:</i>				
CA1	32	37%	60%	43%
CA2	47	40%	58%	29%
CAiv	14	21%	79%	.
EA	28	60%	33%	27%
TAA	31	38%	59%	44%
TAiv	20	15%	85%	.
AAA	38	37%	37%	41%
AAB	68	49%	32%	43%
AAiv	32	9%	91%	.
PAn	13	34%	31%	40%
PAs	32	38%	32%	32%
PAiv	36	10%	90%	.
PTAa	31	60%	32%	27%
PTAp	19	72%	28%	20%
PTArI	76	54%	30%	22%
<i>DW hospital:</i>				
CA1	35	11%	89%	.
CA2	43	13%	87%	.
EA	20	21%	79%	.
AAA	39	14%	86%	.
AAiv	81	7%	93%	.
PAn	61	8%	92%	.
PAs	60	16%	76%	13%
PTAa	87	33%	67%	.
PTAp	76	35%	65%	.
PTArI	109	48%	52%	.
<i>MA hospital:</i>				
CA1 /over	21	24%	76%	.
CA2 /over	40	21%	79%	.
CA2 -under	37	21%	79%	.
EA /over	4	24%	76%	.
AAA /over	45	20%	70%	27%
AAA -under	42	23%	76%	3%
AAB /over	61	32%	49%	31%
PTAa/over	37	45%	31%	23%
PTAa-under	30	34%	66%	.

^a See Table 7.1 for explanation of procedure codes, and Table 7.3 for numbers of observations and explanations of calculation procedures. As mean relative contributions were computed for those procedures, which comprised the indicated imaging mode, the sum value of mean relative contributions from fluoroscopy, DSA and PUCK can exceed 100%.

Mean relative contributions of fluoroscopy to DAP in azM varied from 10% in IV-DSA in the abdominal region and in IV-DSA of the lower limbs, to 70% in PTA of the lower limbs. Depending on the procedure type, DSA imaging caused about 30% to 90% of DAP, serial cut film arteriography contributed about 20% to 50%.

In the DW hospital, mean relative contributions of fluoroscopy varied from about 5% to 10% in IV-DSA of abdominal vessels and lower limbs arteriography, up to 35% in PTA procedures. On average, DSA imaging produced about 65% to 95% of DAP, serial cut film in peripheral arteriography contributed about 15%.

In the MA hospital, mean relative contributions of fluoroscopy varied from about 15% in upper limbs arteriography to 45% in PTA of abdominal vessels. DSA imaging caused about 30% to 80% of DAP, serial cut film 20% to 30%, if applied.

Averaged over all procedures which comprised the indicated imaging mode, the relative contributions to DAP by fluoroscopy, DSA and PUCK are estimated to be 32%, 59% and 38% in azM, as 17%, 83% and 13% in DW, and as 25%, 70% and 24% in MA respectively. The relative contributions of the imaging modes to the sum values of DAP for these hospitals are given in Table 7.6. The differences show that evaluation of reported results requires that calculation procedures applied are known.

DAP associated with single procedures varied widely. For instance, DAP for CA1 in azM varied from 8.4 Gy·cm² to 111 Gy·cm². Considering all procedures included in Table 7.4, DAP per procedure in azM varied from 0.3 Gy·cm² to 250 Gy·cm², in DW from about 7 Gy·cm² to 225 Gy·cm², and in MA from about 2 Gy·cm² to 125 Gy·cm² [KI95c]. Ratios of maximum to minimum DAP-values, calculated per procedure type, varied from 5 to about 45 (160 in PAn, and 1035 in upper limbs arteriography, EA) in azM, from 4 to about 30 in DW, and from about 2 to 10 in MA. After excluding procedures with very low and very high DAP values (DAP-values less than the P10-value, and DAP-values above the P90-value of the procedure type in a hospital were deleted), these subgroups, comprising 80% of the procedures per procedure type, showed small range factors. The majority of P90/P10-ratios varied in azM from 3 to 6 (exception: 23 in upper limbs arteriography); in DW from about 2 to 5 (exception: 12 for PTA_p); in MA from about 2 to 5. This indicates that DAP, associated with the large majority of the procedures, in all three hospitals differed little from the average value of their respective procedure types.

Comparison of DAP-data pertaining to the three hospitals indicates significant differences in the exposures to the patient. In peripheral arteriography (PAn) and PTA (PTA_a) major differences are found between azM and DW. High DAP for PAn in DW are related to DSA-imaging (estimates for DAP_{fluoroscopy} are nearly the same in azM and DW). Differences in PTA procedures are associated with both fluoroscopy and imaging.

Tube high voltage

Table 7.5 indicates that in azM the average tube high voltage for fluoroscopy varied from about 70 kV to 95 kV, for DSA from about 65 kV to 80 kV, for PUCK from 60 kV to 75 kV. In DW the tube high voltage in fluoroscopy varied from about 70 kV to 85 kV, in DSA from about 60 kV to 85 kV, and in PUCK applications the tube high voltage was 62 kV. In MA the tube high voltage for fluoroscopy varied from about 75 kV to 90 kV (68 kV in upper extremity art.), in DSA from about 60 kV to 75 kV. In PUCK imaging in MA the tube high voltages was equal to about 70 kV.

Table 7.5 Mean tube high voltage and field size of the primary beam, derived for arteriography and PTA procedures^a

Procedure type ^b	Tube high voltage (kV)			Field size at 1 m from focus (cm ²)		
	<i>Fluoro</i>	<i>DSA</i>	<i>PUCK</i>	<i>Fluoro</i>	<i>DSA</i>	<i>PUCK</i>
<i>azM hospital:</i>						
CA1	76	73	68	370	390	680
CA2	76	71	68	390	450	710
CAiv	74	67	.	680	610	.
EA	69	63	61	490	650	620
TAA	80	72	75	540	680	820
TAiv	71	67	.	830	770	.
AAA	83	82	76	570	600	870
AAB	84	75	74	470	730	970
AAiv	76	75	.	930	960	.
PAn	73	64	61	630	590	630
PAs	80	67	66	690	840	870
PAiv	77	73	.	980	990	.
PTAa	86	70	74	480	750	680
PTAp	83	68	64	340	450	520
PTAr1	95	78	76	500	640	790
<i>DW hospital:</i>						
CA1	75	66	.	310	500	.
CA2	75	64	.	330	460	.
EA	69	58	.	300	340	.
AAA	79	63	.	490	560	.
AAiv	82	69	.	530	550	.
PAn	78	63	.	410	400	.
PAs	84	65	62	400	440	1330
PTAa	82	70	.	350	450	.
PTAp	79	66	.	300	360	.
PTAr1	74	65	63	280	330	630
<i>MA hospital:</i>						
CA1 /over	75	62	.	270	310	.
CA2 /over	75	66	.	300	360	.
CA2 -under	75	63	.	290	340	.
EA /over	67	59	.	330	350	.
AAA /over	86	73	72	360	440	760
AAA -under	87	71	71	400	480	760
AAB /over	90	77	66	340	460	1030
PTAa/over	84	71	69	250	340	1010
PTAa-under	87	73	.	230	450	.

^a See Table 7.3 for explanation of footnotes.

Mean tube high voltages per procedure during fluoroscopy in azM, DW and MA were all three estimated to be 78 kV (ranges: 55 to 105 kV in azM; 57 to 100 kV in DW; and 66 to 99 kV in MA). For DSA-imaging means were estimated to be 70 kV in azM (range 49 to 115 kV), 65 kV in DW (range 54 to 85 kV), and 66 kV in MA (range 59 to 92 kV). On average, PUCK-imaging required tube high voltage settings of 68 kV in azM (range 50 to 96 kV; data not presented in Table 7.5), 62 kV in DW, and 69 kV (range 57 to 89 kV; data not presented in Table 7.5) in MA.

Comparison of tube high voltage settings shows relatively good agreement. On average, tube high voltage (HV) in azM is equal or somewhat lower in fluoroscopy, but higher in DSA. It should be noted that HV setting in DSA in azM is performed manually and in DW and MA automatically.

Field size

Average field sizes of the primary beam for different procedure types are given in Table 7.5. Field sizes were derived by multiplication of the longitudinal and lateral field lengths, at 1 m from the focus. In the azM hospital, average field sizes for fluoroscopy and DSA varied from about 400 to 1000 cm², for PUCK from 500 to 950 cm². In DW average field sizes for fluoroscopy and DSA varied from about 300 to 550 cm²; the average PUCK field size in peripheral arteriography was estimated to be 1330 cm². In MA average field sizes varied from about 250 to 400 cm² for fluoroscopy, from 300 to 500 cm² for DSA, and from 750 to 1050 cm² for PUCK.

These data show considerable differences in field sizes in fluoroscopy and DSA in azM on one side, and DW and MA on the other side. These differences are associated with the relatively large field sizes during application of the 14" image intensifier in azM; in DW and MA the maximum field size of the image intensifier is 9". Without further study it is not clear whether there is a net benefit to the patient in using a large image intensifier in the procedure types compared.

For comparative purposes, relative contributions to DAP per imaging intensifier input screen are given in Table 7.6. These data show that the 6" image intensifier is relatively often used in DSA imaging in the MA hospital.

Table 7.6 Relative contribution to DAP for various imaging intensifier sizes

<i>Hospital Imaging mode</i>	Relative contribution to DAP per image intensifier input screen (II) ^a				Relative contrib. to DAP per imaging mode
	6"	9"	10"	14"	
<i>azM:</i>					
Fluoroscopy	6%	- ^b	24%	70%	39%
DSA	10%	-	16%	74%	46%
<i>DW:</i>					
Fluoroscopy	16%	84%	-	-	20%
DSA	7%	93%	-	-	79%
<i>MA:</i>					
Fluoroscopy	13%	87%	-	-	26%
DSA	29%	71%	-	-	66%

^a Relative contributions of image intensifier screens per hospital were inferred as the ratio of the sum value of DAP per image intensifier screen to the total sum of DAP per imaging mode, multiplied by 100%. Relative contributions per imaging mode, per hospital, are given in the column at the right side. Relative contributions of serial cut film (PUCK) were equal to 15% for azM, 1% for DW, and 8% for MA; ^b - denotes "not applicable".

Dependence of technique parameters on undercouch and overcouch tube positions in the MA hospital

Comparison of recorded data on technique parameters in the MA hospital for “undercouch X-ray tube positions” in cerebral and abdominal procedures, with corresponding “overcouch X-ray tube positions”, showed that these technique parameters were quite similar in both positions. Switching from overtable to undertable positions had no quantitative effect on fluoroscopy time and DSA imaging.

7.3 Characterisation of the patient

The radiation risk to the patient, caused by medical X-ray examinations, depends on many factors. Relevant patient related factors are sex, age, and body size. The factors sex and age have been discussed in Chapter 2. Due to characteristics of X-ray imaging, the radiation dose depends on the body size. In order to produce high quality images, only small variations in the radiation intensity at the input plane of the imaging system are tolerable. This is achieved by adapting the tube high voltage, tube current and exposure time. Settings of these technique parameters depend on the radiation attenuation in the patient. Consequently, the intensity and effective energy of the X-ray photons at the entrance side of the patient are related to the mass of the body part that is being examined. According to Lindsoug [LI92], differences in the size and shape of the patient cause variations in absorbed doses of more than two orders of magnitude. He states that these sources are even strong enough to dominate other sources of variability. Therefore, data on the size of the patient are required to evaluate measurement results. In this study weight and height of the patient were recorded. Wall and Shrimpton [WA89a] investigated the correlation between entrance dose and body shape, using the factors weight, $\exp(\text{weight}/\text{height})^4$, and $(70/\text{weight})^2$. Lindsoug [LI92] introduced the concept of the “equivalent cylindrical diameter”. Both in [WA89a] and in [LI92] it was concluded that the correlations between entrance dose and these factors were weak. As it was not a primary goal in this study to establish a relationship between dose and body size, we characterised the patient body in a relatively simple manner, by calculating the ratio of weight to height.

Statistics on age, weight, and height

Table 7.7 presents data on all patients comprised in this study in the three hospitals. On average, the height and weight were equal to 174 cm and 74 kg for male patients, and to 163 cm and 68 kg for female patients. About 90% of both male and female patients had a length within about -10 to +10 cm, and a body mass within about -18 to +18 kg of their respective mean values. As a measure for the size of the body, the ratio of weight to height was calculated. The mean weight/height ratio was 43 kg/m and 41 kg/m for males and females respectively. Excluding extremely large and small patients, typical ranges for arteriography patients (taking the 1- and 99-percentile values as representative boundary values) were derived for height, weight and the weight/height-ratio (not shown in Table 7.7). Height varied from 150 to 179 cm for females, and from 158 to 189 cm for males. Weight varied between 43 and 105 kg for females, and between 48 and 100 kg for males. Weight/height-ratios varied from 21 to 88 kg/m, and from 21 to 84 kg/m for females and males respectively.

On average, both male and female patients were 60 years old. Only 5% of the patients was younger than about 30 years. Seventy five percent was over 50 years old.

The data of the three groups of patients examined in the three hospitals were analysed. The null hypothesis that data were random samples from normal distributions had to be rejected for the following data: age of males; age, weight, and weight/height ratio of females (Shapiro-Wilk statistics $P < .05$; SAS statistical software [SA89]). Using the non-parametric k-sample Kruskal-Wallis comparison test [SA89], the distributions of age, weight, height and weight/height ratio for these three hospitals, were compared. It was concluded that differences between these three hospitals were not statistically significant ($p < .05$).

Table 7.7 Height, weight, ratios of height to weight, and age for female and male patients in arteriography in three hospitals^a

	N	MIN	P5	P10	P25	P75	P90	P95	MAX	MEDIAN	MEAN	SEM
<i>Height (cm):</i>												
Female	527	132	154	155	160	168	170	172	185	164	163	<0.5
Male	831	130	162	165	170	178	183	185	194	174	174	<0.5
<i>Weight (kg):</i>												
Female	514	40	50	52	58	76	85	90	108	66	68	1
Male	806	43	57	60	67	82	88	91	127	74	74	<0.5
<i>Weight/height (kg/m):</i>												
Female	513	25	31	33	36	47	51	55	65	41	41	<0.5
Male	805	26	34	36	39	46	50	52	69	43	43	<0.5
<i>Age (y):</i>												
Female	563	19	30	36	50	72	80	83	93	63	60	1
Male	867	16	33	41	51	69	75	79	90	62	60	<0.5

^a N represents the number of patients. P5, P10, P25, P75, P90, and P95 denote 5-, 10-, 25-, 75-, 90- and 95-percentile values respectively. SEM denotes the standard error of the mean; Sample sizes for height, weight and age differ because of missing data.

From a nonparametric comparison of height and weight of patients for ten different procedure types, it was concluded that differences between procedure types were not statistically significant. However, the factor "age" did show some differences. As can be seen in Table 7.8, patients referred for simple needle punctures for arteriography of one leg (PAN) were relatively old (mean age is 67 years for males, and 75 for females). On the other hand, patients in the groups abdominal arteriography (AA), cerebral arteriography (CA), and upper limbs arteriography (EA) were relatively young; mean ages for males were 54, 59 and 53 years respectively, and mean age for females were 57, 54 and 44 years respectively.

It should be stressed that one should carefully examine patient characteristics before getting to a general description. This is illustrated by a sample of patients referred for phlebography of the spermatic vein ($n=18$). These patients, also designated to the group of angiography patients, deviated significantly with respect to age (mean = 34 years, min. = 23 years, max. = 49 years), whereas statistics on height and weight were equal to corresponding statistics of the other groups.

Table 7.8 Age of male and female patients in arteriography in three hospitals^a

Gender Procedure type ^b	Age (y)											
	N	MIN	P5	P10	P25	P75	P90	P95	MAX	MEDIAN	MEAN	SEM
<i>Females:</i>												
CA	121	19	26	32	41	68	72	76	82	55	54	1
EA	23	19	24	26	30	61	68	70	80	40	44	3
TA	21	33	35	40	55	71	72	77	79	68	62	3
AAA	89	29	30	35	50	68	72	78	82	58	57	1
AAiv	19	29	29	35	44	71	80	90	90	59	58	4
PAn	93	26	55	65	69	82	87	88	92	78	75	1
PAs	36	47	49	50	54	78	84	85	86	69	67	2
PAiv	38	28	30	43	55	72	75	81	82	66	63	2
PTA	41	22	38	43	53	76	81	85	88	64	63	2
<i>Males:</i>												
CA	170	16	31	39	51	68	75	77	84	61	59	1
EA	23	34	34	34	43	63	66	73	74	52	53	3
TA	25	36	43	45	55	67	71	72	74	62	60	2
AAA	95	17	22	32	45	66	72	78	90	56	54	2
AAiv	46	34	43	49	54	70	75	78	79	65	62	2
PAn	114	30	45	54	60	75	81	84	90	68	67	1
PAs	79	38	49	51	58	71	79	82	83	64	64	1
PAiv	114	37	44	48	55	70	74	80	83	64	62	1
PTA	89	34	44	46	52	67	73	75	77	60	60	1

^a N represents the number of patients. P5, P10, P25, P75, P90, and P95 denote 5-, 10-, 25-, 75-, 90- and 95-percentile values respectively. SEM denotes the standard error of the mean; ^b See Table 7.1 for explanation of procedure codes.

Mean values for age, height, weight, and weight/height ratio were computed for each single combination of sex, procedure type, and hospital (criterion: group size > 20). It was inferred that mean values for height of males and females varied between 172 to 177 cm, and between 161 to 166 cm respectively. Mean values for weight of males varied between 71 and 83 kg, of females between 64 kg and 71 kg. Mean values for ratios of weight to height for males and females varied between 39 and 47 kg/m.

In conclusion, the data presented in Table 7.7 and Table 7.8 show that patients referred for vascular radiology and interventional endovascular procedures are relatively old. Considering the large differences found in stochastic risks for the elderly, compared with the "average member of the general public" (see Figure 2.1), applications of the quantity effective dose as defined by ICRP [IC91]] should be used with care.

Data on height and weight seem to indicate that current dimensions of phantoms applied in Monte Carlo organ dose calculations are relatively small. On average, patients are taller (female: 3 cm; male : 4 cm), and heavier (female: 8 kg; male 4 kg) than the Monte Carlo phantom. Using the average weight/height-ratios of 41 and 43 kg/m for female and male patients, it follows that patients are slightly obese, compared with MC phantoms.

7.4 Effective dose estimates for the patient, using published data on E/DAP-ratios

Using a very simple method, estimates for the effective dose to patients (E) will be derived for every procedure type encompassed in this study. These estimates are computed by multiplying collected DAP-data by E/DAP-ratios. The next chapter will present detailed dose calculations, comprising quantitative data for various diagnostic views and Monte Carlo organ doses per view, for a number of selected procedure types. In order to assess the accuracy of the rough estimates for E, based on E/DAP-ratios, these estimates will be compared with these more elaborated estimates for E (Chapter 8).

Ratios of E to DAP, derived from published data on E and DAP, and from calculated results using XDOSE, are summarised in Section 6.4 (Table 6.7). Average results of DAP-measurements for various types of arteriographic and interventional endovascular procedures are given in Table 7.4.

PA- and AP-exposure geometries were taken into account separately, as far as these conditions could be inferred from easily available data on system features, medical examination protocols and DAP-results. In azM all procedures were carried out with undercouch X-ray tube positions. PUCK imaging in DW was performed with overcouch tube positions; fluoroscopy and DSA imaging was assumed to be carried out with undercouch X-ray tube. No allowances have been made for fluoroscopic pre-viewing associated with overcouch PUCK imaging. Overcouch tube position was assumed for all diagnostic views in the first period in MA. In the second period fluoroscopy and DSA was carried out with undercouch tube, PUCK-imaging with overcouch tube positions.

In order to estimate the effective dose for procedures with undercouch tube positions, allowances were made for the radiation attenuation in the patient support by multiplying the DAP value by 0.8 (note: first-HVL at 80 kV was 3.6 mm Al in azM, and 2.9 mm Al in DW and MA).

The accuracy of estimates for E mainly depends on the error in the E/DAP-ratio. Errors in collected DAP-data, estimated to be about 5%, are of no significance in this context. As can be seen in Section 6.4, the range of estimated E/DAP-ratios for vascular radiology is quite small (0.1 to 0.3 mSv · Gy · cm⁻²). It is highly probable that more elaborated dose estimation procedures will result in a larger range of dose conversion factors. It follows that the variation in effective doses to the patient may be greater than indicated in this section.

Results presented in Table 7.9 show that mean effective doses to patients per procedure type vary between about 1 and 18 mSv. Upper levels of effective doses per procedure type vary between about 5 mSv and 40 mSv. Except for some types of PTA-procedure in azM and DW (PTAr in azM, PTAA and PTAp in DW), and abdominal arteriography in MA (AAA/over), differences in median and mean values per procedure type were quite small (less than 1 mSv). Effective dose estimates per procedure type and per hospital are discussed in the following paragraph.

Table 7.9 **Effective dose estimates for patients in arteriographic and interventional endovascular procedures, inferred from DAP and E/DAP-ratios^a**

Procedure type ^b	<i>azM hospital</i>			<i>DW hospital</i>			<i>MA hospital</i>		
	No. of proc.	Effective dose (mSv)		No. of proc.	Effective dose (mSv)		No. of proc.	Effective dose (mSv)	
		Mean	Max.		Mean	Max.		Mean	Max.
<i>Arteriography:</i>									
CA1	43	2.6	9	8	2.8	6	.	.	.
CA1/over	.	.	.	.	.	.	31	4.3	10
CA2	67	3.7	20	13	3.4	7	19	3.0	4
CA2/over	.	.	.	.	.	.	58	7.9	22
CAiv	25	1.1	4	.	.	.	.	.	.
EA	6	3.3	9	9	2.4	5	5	0.9	2
TAA	33	3.7	11	.	.	.	.	.	.
TAiv	24	2.4	10	.	.	.	.	.	.
AAA	96	6.0	27	4	6.3	9	5	6.8	9
AAA/over	.	.	.	.	.	.	13	13.4	38
AAB	24	10.8	26	.	.	.	.	.	.
AAB/over	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	18.3	28
AAiv	32	5.0	16	18	13.0	27	.	.	.
PAn	164	1.0	4	32	4.9	11	.	.	.
PAs	88	2.6	11	7	5.0	8	.	.	.
PAiv	152	5.7	15	.	.	.	.	.	.
<i>Interventional procedures:</i>									
PTAa	18	4.9	9	11	14.0	36	3	4.8	5
PTAa/over	.	.	.	.	.	.	17	11.0	17
PTAp	7	3.0	5	13	12.1	36	.	.	.
PTArl	5	12.2	18	3	17.4	29	.	.	.

^a E/DAP-ratios and DAP-data are given in Table 6.7 and Table 7.4 respectively; ^b See Table 7.1 for explanation of the procedure codes; ".../over" denotes procedures with overcouch X-ray tube geometry.

Comparison of E in various procedure types in the three hospital azM, DW and MA

- In cerebral arteriography of vessels at one side (CA1), mean effective doses in azM and DW are equal (E= 2.6 - 2.8 mSv). In overcouch tube geometry procedures in MA (CA1/over), the effective dose is a factor of about 1.6 higher (E= 4.3 mSv) than in undercouch geometry in azM and DW (CA1).
- Arteriography of cerebral vessels at both sides (CA2) results in an effective dose of about 3.4 mSv (range, undercouch tube geometry 3.0 - 3.7 mSv). Procedures in MA show that in overcouch tube geometry procedures (CA2/over) the mean effective dose is a factor of 2.6 higher than in undercouch geometry procedures (CA2) (7.9 versus 3.0 mSv).
- The effective dose from IV-DSA cerebral procedures (CAiv) is significantly lower than from IA-cerebral arteriography (CA1, CA2) (1.1 mSv versus 2.6 to 3.7 mSv)
- The same conclusion holds for IV-DSA thoracic arteriography (TAiv) and IA-thoracic arteriography (TAA) (IV-DSA 2.4 mSv, versus IA-art. 3.7 mSv).
- Averages for E for non-selective IA-abdominal arteriography (AAA) are equal to about 6 mSv. On average, overcouch tube positions (AAA/over) result in effective doses twice as high as undercouch tube positions (AAA).

In undercouch tube geometries, effective doses associated with selective IA-abdominal arteriography (AAB) are a factor of about 1.8 ($1.8 = 10.8/6$) higher than non-selective IA-abdominal procedures (AAA). Effective doses from overcouch tube positions (AAB/over) are a factor of about 1.6 higher than from undercouch tube positions (AAB).

The average effective dose from IV-DSA abdominal arteriography in azM (AAiv) is about as high as from IA-abdominal arteriography (AAA), whereas in DW IV-DSA abdominal procedures (AAiv) result in a mean effective dose twice as high as IA-art. procedures (AAA) (DW: IV-DSA 13 mSv, IA-art. 6.3 mSv).

- Peripheral arteriography of the iliac region and one leg, using the simple needle puncture technique, (PAN) results in azM in a mean effective dose of about 1 mSv; in DW the effective dose is estimated to be about 5 mSv.

The effective dose associated with Seldinger catheterisation for vascular imaging of the iliac region and both legs in azM, (PAs) is estimated to be about a factor of 2.5 higher than the simple needle approach for vascular imaging of one leg. In DW no differences are found between these techniques. Comparison of azM with DW shows that E in DW is higher than in azM. In azM, IV-DSA arteriography of both legs (PAiv) is about a factor of 2 higher than for IA-arteriography (PAs) (IV-DSA: 5.7 mSv, IA-art.: 2.6 mSv).

- Effective doses associated with PTA procedures in azM (5 mSv) are lower than in DW. The mean effective dose from PTA of renal arteries (PTAr1) is relatively high in both hospitals. Data collected in MA indicate a high effective dose for overcouch tube geometries.

Comparison of E for arteriography of the abdominal (AAA), iliac and lower legs regions (PAs, PAN) with E for corresponding PTA procedures (PTAa, PTAp) show relatively small differences only.

As mentioned in the introductory part of this chapter, procedures which could not be designated unambiguously to a defined group were excluded from the data set discussed. In the following paragraph estimates for typical and maximal values for E have been derived based on all DAP-data collected.

- Maximum and typical values for E/DAP-ratios of 0.2 and 0.15 $\text{mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ respectively, were used for undercouch X-ray tube geometries in azM and DW, and 0.3 and 0.2 $\text{mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ respectively, for overcouch geometries in MA in the first period.

- The upper level and mean value for DAP in 988 procedures in azM were equal to 250 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ and 35 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ respectively. Maximum and mean values in 125 procedures in DW were equal to 122 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ and 60 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ respectively. From data collected in both period 1 and 2 in MA the maximal and mean values for 173 procedures were estimated to be 128 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ and 35 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ respectively.

From the data described above, maximum effective doses for azM, DW and MA were estimated to be 50 mSv, 25 mSv and 38 mSv respectively; mean effective doses for azM, DW and MA were estimated to be 5 mSv, 9 mSv and 7 mSv respectively. If, at worst, the highest possible DAP-value (250 $\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$) resulted from vascular imaging of the prone patient with overcouch X-ray tube positions (AP views and/or lateral views), the effective dose to the patient would be 75 mSv.

7.5 Correlation analysis

In this section the associations between patient age and size versus the fluoroscopy time and dose-area product are discussed. As the results of the Shapiro-Wilk test statistic [SA88] showed that a relatively large number of groups of observation could not be considered as random samples from normal distributions, the Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient r_s has been used to quantify the strength of association between observed variables. Compared with the most powerful parametric measure, the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient, the efficiency of the Spearman rank correlation coefficient is about 91% [SI88].

Computed r_s -values, the significance probability under the null hypothesis that there is no association, and the number of paired observations are given in tables 7.10 to 7.12. Associations of variables are discussed below. It should be stressed that conclusions are only valid within the ranges of variables as given in this chapter.

The purpose of this correlation analysis is to investigate whether some relations that are expected on the basis of general considerations are supported by experimental results. For instance, the correlation between age and fluoroscopy time may indicate differences or similarities in the conditions of blood vessels as a function of age. The correlation between patient size, quantified by weight/height-ratios, and dose-area product may indicate that the X-ray output depends on the size of the patient (slender, normal, obese).

Patient age

Table 7.10 shows that weak or no correlations were found between the patient age and the variables height, weight and weight/height-ratio. Older patients tend to be somewhat smaller. The height-weight correlation for females ($r_s = 0.24$) is weaker than for males ($r_s = 0.46$). However, both figures are relatively small, indicating that the patient weight is not strongly correlated with the height.

Table 7.10 Spearman rank correlation coefficients for age and height versus weight, height and weight/height-ratio^a

			Weight	Height	Ratio _{Weight/height}
Age	Male	r_s	-0.07	-0.15	-0.01
		p	0.05	< 0.01	0.71
		n	738	759	727
	Female	r_s	0.09	-0.17	0.13
		p	0.04	< 0.01	< 0.01
		n	467	476	460
Height	Male	r_s	0.46	1	0.24
		p	< .01	.	< .01
		n	727	.	727
	Female	r_s	0.24	1	0.08
		p	< .01	.	0.10
		n	460	.	460

^a r_s = Spearman rank correlation coefficient; p = Significance probability under the null hypothesis that r_s is zero; n = Number of paired observations.

It is concluded from Table 7.11 that the variables age and fluoroscopy time are not associated, or that existing associations are small compared with other sources of variations. Apart from the procedure type TAiv (females), the same conclusion holds for the association between age and dose-area product. Apparently, the difficulty of the angiographic procedure does not strongly depend on age.

Table 7.11 Spearman rank correlation coefficients for age versus fluoroscopy time and dose-area product for selected types of vascular procedures^a

Proc. type-->			AA	AAiv	CA	PAn	PAs	PAiv	TAiv
Fluoroscopy time									
Age	Male	r _s	0.18	-.04	0.04	0.08	0.04	-.11	0.18
		p	0.13	0.87	0.72	0.48	0.78	0.23	0.57
		n	70	24	87	85	60	112	13
	Female	r _s	0.23	.	0.31	0.01	0.11	0.30	0.50
		p	0.06	.	0.01	0.94	0.58	0.08	0.11
		n	65	.	64	75	28	36	12
Dose-area product									
Age	Male	r _s	0.33	0.25	-.05	-.08	0.18	-.02	0.24
		p	<.01	0.25	0.67	0.44	0.18	0.76	0.44
		n	70	24	87	89	60	113	13
	Female	r _s	0.35	.	0.27	-.10	0.18	0.24	0.79
		p	<.01	.	0.03	0.39	0.35	0.15	<.01
		n	65	.	67	75	28	38	12

^a See Table 7.1 for explanation of procedure codes; r_s = Spearman rank correlation coefficient; p = Significance probability under the null hypothesis that there is no association; n = Number of paired observations.

Patient body size

The association between the patient body size and the X-ray output rate has been examined for various groups of procedures (see Table 7.12). The X-ray output rate was defined by three derived variables: (1) $DAP_{\text{fluoroscopy}}$ divided by the fluoroscopy time, (2) DAP_{PUCK} divided by the number of PUCK-films, (3) DAP_{DSA} divided by the number of DSA-frames. Patient body size was characterised by the ratio weight/height.

Table 7.12 shows large variations in calculated strength of association. The general picture can be summarised as follows:

- In cerebral arteriography (CA) weak associations are found between body size and fluoroscopy, whereas at the 5% significance level no associations are found for DSA. The statistical association between patient size and PUCK is not consistent for male and female patients.
- In intra-arterial abdominal arteriography (AA) and intravenous DSA abdominal arteriography (AAiv), body size and X-ray output rate, both in fluoroscopy and DSA are highly probably related. In AA procedures patient size and PUCK seems to be associated as well.
- In intra-arterial arteriography of the lower limbs (PAn and PAs), associations are found for patient size and both fluoroscopy and PUCK. No association was found for the patient size and DSA imaging.

Intravenous DSA peripheral arteriography (AAiv, and PAiv) shows associations between patient size and both fluoroscopy and DSA.

Table 7.12 Spearman rank correlation for patient body size (weight/height-ratio) and the X-ray output^{a,b}

Patient group ^c	Fluoroscopy			DSA			Serial cut film		
	r _s	p	n	r _s	p	n	r _s	p	n
CA ,M	0.47	< .01	82	0.20	0.08	80	0.68	< .01	15
CA ,F	0.38	< .01	58	0.24	0.07	57	0.44	0.18	11
AA ,M	0.43	< .01	66	0.46	< .01	36	0.53	< .01	66
AA ,F	0.66	< .01	61	0.35	0.05	31	0.51	< .01	61
AAiv,M	0.52	0.01	24	0.68	< .01	24	.	.	.
PAn ,M	0.42	< .01	71	0.15	0.21	73	0.57	< .01	69
PAn ,F	0.45	< .01	65	0.22	0.10	57	0.49	< .01	63
PAs ,M	0.60	< .01	53	0.21	0.13	53	0.29	0.04	51
PAs ,F	0.64	< .01	27	-.15	0.45	26	0.58	< 0.1	25
PAiv,M	0.50	< .01	88	0.47	< .01	88	.	.	.
PAiv,F	0.38	0.04	30	0.59	< .01	32	.	.	.

^a X-ray output rate: Fluoroscopy: $DAP_{\text{fluoroscopy}} / \text{fluoroscopy time}$. DSA: $DAP_{\text{DSA}} / \text{number of DSA-frames}$. Serial cut film: $DAP_{\text{film}} / \text{number of films}$. ^b Measure of association: r_s = Spearman rank correlation coefficient. p = Significance probability under the null hypothesis that there is no association. n = Number of paired observations. r_s -values for paired groups with less than 11 observations are not included (denoted as "."). ^c aa,b aa= procedure type (see Table 7.1), b=gender (F=female, M=male).

In summary, it is concluded that patient size and X-ray output per unit time or per image taken are weakly correlated. At the 5% significance level no correlations are found for intra-arterial DSA-imaging at the iliac/lower leg region (PAn, PAs) and the head/neck region (CA). A positive correlation between patient size and DAP per unit fluoroscopy time in intra-arterial arteriography was more or less expected since a substantial part of fluoroscopy is used to guide the catheter in vessels in the trunk region. Apparently, the major part of DSA-imaging in CA, PAs and PAn procedures involved imaging of the head/neck and lower limbs regions; the masses of these body parts are probably not (strongly) correlated with the mass of the trunk region.

Chapter 8

Quantitative data about patient exposure conditions and dose estimates for selected procedures in the University Hospital Maastricht

8.1 Introduction

In this chapter the exposure conditions and estimates for the effective doses to patients are reported for two selected groups of arteriography procedures performed in the angiographic suite of the Department of Diagnostic Radiology of the University Hospital Maastricht (azM).

In the azM, to which about 850 patients are referred for angiographic procedures each year, three imaging techniques are applied: fluoroscopy, serial cut film arteriography, and digital subtraction arteriography (DSA). Serial cut film arteriography, also known as conventional arteriography (CA), is performed with a PUCK-film system. DSA techniques are applied both with intra-arterial (IA-DSA) and intravenous administration of contrast media (IV-DSA). Features and characteristics of the X-ray equipment and imaging system have been described in Section 4.3.

The automated monitoring system (see Section 6.3) was used to carry out measurements from June 1991 to June 1993. From collected data typical patient exposure conditions have been quantified in Section 8.2 for arteriography of the iliac region and the legs, and in Section 8.4 for abdominal arteriography. For the conversion of dose-area product data (DAP) to organ doses, calculations were performed by the Gesellschaft für Strahlen- und Umweltforschung (Neuherberg), and by the TNO Centre for Radiological Protection and Dosimetry (Rijswijk). Results of Monte Carlo dose calculations for iliac and leg arteriography are given in Section 8.3, and for abdominal arteriography in Section 8.5.

A major task in the analysis of the information obtained with the automated data acquisition system was data sorting and compression. An impression of the degree of data reduction is given in Table 8.1. This table shows that, for example for peripheral arteriography with percutaneous needle punctures (PAN) complete data records were obtained for 167 procedures, comprising 8800 data records (for both male and female patients); the total DAP-value was $2100 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$. From these data records a reference group was selected that comprised data about procedures with average use characteristics of the X-ray system and patients with size similar to the anatomical dimensions of the GSF-phantoms. This reference group comprised 67 procedures and about 3600 data records (total DAP = $796 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). In the process of data compression, the number of views was reduced to 69 (total DAP = $794 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). This data set was further reduced by deleting a number of less significant views (data records with $\text{DAP} < 0.05 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$) and

by not discriminating between oblique and/or angulated views of the foot and the lower leg regions. The final number of views was equal to 36 (18 for male and 18 for female patients) with total-DAP equal to 792 Gy · cm². These final results are based on 40% of the procedures available, comprising 38% of total DAP. In this last process of condensation, information was lost which was associated with only about 0.5% of the total DAP. The final descriptions of views in three types of peripheral arteriography were merged, resulting in 39 views for male, and 37 views for female patients.

Dosimetric data associated with six types of abdominal arteriography procedures (AA), which are discussed in this chapter, were obtained for 152 procedures (total DAP = 6300 Gy · cm²). From these procedures a reference group was derived that comprised 129 procedures with total DAP of 5200 Gy · cm². After deleting infrequently applied views and merging the remaining data, the final results for abdominal arteriography, as presented in Section 8.3, comprised 33 views, which were associated with 4970 Gy · cm².

Table 8.1 Selection and compression of recorded data in peripheral and abdominal arteriography^a

Proc. type ^b	All data			Reference group			Selected views No. DAP of views	
	No. of proc	No. of rec.	DAP	No. of proc	No. of rec	DAP		
PAn	167	8800	2100	67	3600	796	36	792
PAs	89	5800	2900	42	2600	1169	38	1153
PAiv	151	3300	5400	75	1600	2642	23	2618
AA	152	5400	6300	129	4600	5200	33	4970

^a No. of proc = number of procedures; No. of rec. = number of data records. DAP = total dose-area product (in Gy · cm²) associated with selected data. No. of views = number of views, derived from the reference group; ^b PAn = peripheral arteriography with needle puncture. PAs = peripheral arteriography with Seldinger approach. PAiv = peripheral arteriography with IV-DSA. AA = abdominal arteriography, including various intra-arterial arteriography types and IV-DSA procedures.

8.2 Quantification of patient radiation exposure conditions in arteriography of the pelvis and lower limbs (peripheral arteriography, PA)

8.2.1 Introduction

Generally, arteriography of aorto-iliac vessels and peripheral vessels of the lower limbs (hereafter referred to as "peripheral arteriography") is performed in patients with arterial occlusive disease for planning of medical treatment in order to prevent limb amputation. Data about medical radiation doses associated with arteriography of the lower limbs are scarce. This is probably due to the complex nature of this procedure as well as to the complex dose measurements that are to be applied. A third explanation may be the expectation that -since extremities are involved- the effective dose to the patient will be small. In peripheral arteriography, various areas of the lower part of the body are exposed in several diagnostic views, applying a large number of different projection angles, beam

sizes and other varying technical parameters. In this section, the medical procedure and the dosimetric approach are briefly described, and the exposure conditions to patients in peripheral arteriography are quantified.

8.2.2 Arteriography of the pelvis and lower limbs

This study comprises three methods for diagnostic imaging of the vessels in the iliac region and lower limbs:

1. *Intravenous Digital Subtraction Arteriography (PAiv)*

For arteriography of the aorta-iliac vessels and blood vessels in the upper legs, contrast medium was administered intravenously to the supine patient through a needle in the basilic vein (Figure 8.1). Fluoroscopy was used for accurate patient positioning and to optimise the IV-DSA views. Usually, two IV-DSA series of the abdomen/pelvis and two IV-DSA series of the pelvis/upper legs were obtained. Additional series were taken if necessary.

2. *Seldinger catheterisation (PAs)*

For imaging of the arteries in the iliac region and in both legs, contrast medium was administered to the supine patient via a catheter, inserted into the femoral artery, with its tip positioned in the abdominal aorta, just below the renal arteries (Figure 8.2). Patients were examined with serial cut films (CA) and one or more intra-arterial DSA (IA-DSA) series of the pelvis, upper legs, knees, lower legs or feet region. Fluoroscopy was used for catheter positioning, for visual control in selecting various IA-DSA views and as a programming tool for the automatic stepping facility of the serial cut film system. Figure 8.3 illustrates a film series with the automatic stepping facility.

3. *Percutaneous Needle Puncture (PAn)*

For arteriography of the external iliac vessel and peripheral vessels in one leg, contrast medium was administered to the supine patient via a needle (10 cm) punctured in the femoral artery. Patients were examined with serial cut film arteriography, followed by one or more IA-DSA series of the lower pelvis, upper leg, knee and the lower leg region (Figure 8.4). Fluoroscopy was mainly used as a programming tool for the automatic stepping facility of the imaging system and for visual control in selecting various views.

As shown in Figure 8.1 to 8.4, posterior-anterior views of the supine patient were obtained with the X-ray tube in the undercouch position. Oblique projections were encountered during DSA and during fluoroscopic previewing of the iliac/groin/femoral region, and of the foot (not shown in the drawings).

Translumbar aortography (TLA) for visualisation of aorta-iliac and peripheral vessels of both legs was not included in this study, since this procedure is performed very rarely nowadays.

Figure 8.1 Diagram of puncture site and exposed body areas during IV-DSA of iliac and peripheral vessels (patient supine, undercouch tube).

Figure 8.2 Diagram of puncture site and exposed body areas during serial cut film arteriography and IA-DSA of aorto-iliac and peripheral vessels in both legs (patient supine, undercouch tube, Seldinger catheterisation).

cut film positions no 2 and 4 are shown out of line to illustrate overlapping film areas

Figure 8.3 Diagram of serial cut film imaging in peripheral arteriography, applying the automatic stepping facility (patient supine, undercouch tube, Seldinger catheterisation).

Figure 8.4 Diagram of puncture site and exposed body areas during serial cut film arteriography and DSA of iliac vessels and peripheral vessels of one leg (patient supine, undercouch tube, percutaneous needle puncture in groin).

8.2.3 Dose measurements, selection and compression of recorded data

Dose measurements and recordings of system parameters for peripheral arteriography were performed according to the method described in Section 6.3. From all data available, a subset was derived, according to the criteria that weight, height and the weight/height-ratio of the patient should not differ more than 20% from the anatomical data of the GSF-phantoms ADAM and EVA, used for Monte Carlo organ dose calculations [KR86]. In addition, the following selection criteria were used: number of DSA-series within 1/3 and 3 times the mean; number of film-series within 0.8 and 1.3 times the mean value; DAP-value for DSA, film and fluoroscopy with 1/6 and 6 times the mean DAP. Selection criteria were chosen more or less arbitrarily, just to exclude "abnormal" procedures. These relatively broad ranges reflect the large variance in "normal" procedures. Results of the selection procedure are summarised in Table 8.1. Data records of the subgroup (hereafter referred to as "reference group") were labelled according to their anatomical position and projection angle (Figures 8.1 - 8.4 show schematic representations of various views). As explained in Section 6.3, weighted average values for technique parameters per view were derived by using the dose-area product for weighting. Entrance doses, derived from dose-area product data, refer to the quantity air kerma, free-in-air, at the skin entrance position.

8.2.4 Results and discussion

About 35% of all procedures carried out in the angiography suite in the azM were classified as peripheral arteriography. Data about height, weight and age have been recorded for 362, 346 and 643 patients respectively (Table 8.2).

Table 8.2 Age, weight and height of male and female patients in three types of peripheral arteriography in 1990 - 1993

Procedure type ^a	gender	Age [y]			Weight [kg]			Height [cm]		
		All ^b		ref.gr. ^c	All		ref.gr.	All		ref.gr.
		N	MEAN		N	MEAN		N	MEAN	
All	male	454	64	-	222	76	-	234	172	-
	female	189	70	-	124	68	-	128	163	-
PAn	male	146	66	67	80	75	71	82	172	172
PAs	male	88	64	66	54	77	74	55	174	174
PAiv	male	220	62	62	88	76	72	97	172	173
PAn	female	92	75	78	65	68	62	66	163	162
PAs	female	38	67	67	26	70	62	28	164	163
PAiv	female	59	63	59	33	68	62	34	164	162

^a PAn = percutaneous needle puncture, PAs = Seldinger catheterisation, PAiv = intravenous digital subtraction arteriography; ^b All = patients with full data about gender, age, weight, height and dosimetry;

^c ref. gr. = reference group, a representative subset of patients (see Section 8.2.3). Number of male patients in ref. gr.: PAn = 40, PAs = 32, PAiv = 58. Number of female patients in ref. gr.: PAn = 27, PAs = 10, PAiv = 17.

N = number of procedures; MEAN = arithmetic average; - = data not computed.

Statistics on the number of imaging series, the total number of images and the fluoroscopy time per procedure are given in Table 8.3. Generally, only one imaging series was acquired at each location. However, if the diagnostic information obtained in a single run was judged as insufficient, the series was repeated at the same location. Results of DAP measurements, including relative contributions of different imaging techniques, are summarised in Table 8.4. Table 8.2 to 8.4 also present average values for patients of the reference group. It should be noted that all statistics in the higher indexed tables in Section 8.2 are derived from procedures of the reference group.

Results of a survey into non-cardiovascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992 [KI95], showed that 44% to 53% of these procedures were classified as peripheral arteriography, compared to 35% in the University Hospital Maastricht (azM). These data indicate that peripheral angiography is performed quite frequently. Of all peripheral arteriography procedures in the azM hospital, 70% were carried out on males. The relative numbers of males in percutaneous needle punctures (PAn-procedures) was equal to 61%, in Seldinger-procedures (PAs) to 70% and in IV-DSA procedures (PAiv) to 78%.

Table 8.3 Fluoroscopy time, DSA-imaging and serial cut film radiography, in peripheral arteriography

Procedure ^a type	All patients ^b		P90	MAX	MED	MEAN	STD	ref. group ^c	
	N	P10						N	MEAN
Fluoroscopy time [min]:									
all	448	0.7	5.3	17.8	2.5	2.8	2.3	-	-
PAn	186	1.8	4.6	11.0	2.9	3.1	1.3	67	3.1
PAs	94	2.5	7.8	17.8	4.2	5.2	3.1	42	4.8
PAiv	168	0.5	1.8	4.1	1.0	1.1	0.6	75	1.2
Number of DSA-series:									
all	455	2	5	11	4	3.6	1.4	-	-
PAn	190	2	5	9	3	3.2	1.4	67	3.5
PAs	94	3	5	8	4	3.8	1.4	42	4.1
PAiv	171	3	5	11	4	4.0	1.1	75	4.0
Number of DSA-frames:									
all	455	33	95	204	61	63.6	27.2	-	-
PAn	190	25	88	151	54	55.2	26.7	67	61
PAs	94	34	110	168	65	69.2	30.0	42	75
PAiv	171	43	96	204	70	69.7	23.4	75	70
Number of film-series:									
PAn	190	1	5	10	5	4.3	2.1	67	5.0
PAs	94	1	5	13	5	4.7	2.1	42	5.0
Number of films:									
PAn	190	8	16	54	14	13.5	6.4	67	13.5
PAs	94	10	15	39	14	13.8	5.4	42	13.6

^{a,b,c} See Table 8.2 for footnotes. Statistics (additional): N= number of procedures, P10, P90= 10-, 90-percentile value, MAX= maximum, MED= median; STD= standard deviation. Serial cut film radiography is not applied in IV-DSA (PAiv).

Table 8.4 Dose-area products and the relative contributions of fluoroscopy, DSA and serial cut films per procedure^a

Procedure ^a		All patients ^b							ref. group ^c	
type	gender	N	P10	P90	MAX	MEDIAN	MEAN	STD	N	MEAN
Dose-area product (Gy · cm²):										
all	male	304	7.6	54	137	24	29	20	-	-
	female	151	6.5	40	87	17	22	16	-	-
PAn	male	109	5.6	22	51	10	13	8	40	13
PAs	male	64	14.1	62	137	28	34	23	32	30
PAiv	male	131	21.3	59	104	38	39	16	58	38
PAn	female	81	5.6	30	48	10	14	10	27	10
PAs	female	30	13.1	55	84	24	30	19	10	20
PAiv	female	40	14.9	45	87	30	32	16	17	27
Relative contribution of fluoroscopy to dose-area product (%):										
all	all	455	6	48	89	24	26	17	-	-
PAn	all	190	17	50	89	33	34	13	67	33
PAs	all	94	20	61	78	35	38	15	42	35
PAiv	all	171	4	18	28	9	10	5	75	11
Relative contribution of DSA to dose-area product (%):										
all	all	455	9	94	98	54	54	34	-	-
PAn	all	190	7	63	90	23	31	23	67	30
PAs	all	94	8	58	82	31	32	20	42	36
PAiv	all	171	82	96	98	91	90	5	75	89
Relative contribution of serial cut films to dose-area product (%):										
PAn	all	190	10	59	73	36	35	19	67	38
PAs	all	94	11	52	65	28	30	16	42	29

^{a,b} See Table 8.3 for footnotes. Statistics on relative contributions were derived from dose-area product ratios for fluoroscopy/total, DSA/total and film/total, computed for single procedures.

Table 8.5 Mean relative fluoroscopy time for each diagnostic view in peripheral arteriography (reference group)^a

Procedure type ^a	Diagnostic view								Total fluoro- scopy time [min]
	Abdo- men (pa)	Abdo- men (r=30°)	Pelvis (pa)	Pelvis/ thigh (r=30°)	Thigh (pa)	Knee (pa)	Lower leg (pa)	Foot (pa + lat)	
PAn:									
male	2%	-	28%	3%	13%	9%	13%	32%	3.1
fem.	2%	-	41%	2%	9%	8%	10%	28%	3.0
PAs:									
male	39%	3%	23%	3%	10%	9%	7%	7%	4.7
fem.	54%	-	21%	-	8%	5%	4%	8%	5.1
PAiv:									
male	33%	2%	46%	3%	12%	4%	-	-	1.2
fem.	27%	-	42%	1%	26%	4%	-	-	1.0

^a See Table 8.2; ^b For easy reference, figures were rounded to integer values. "-" Denotes that frequency data were less than 1%, or not applicable. Oblique views in the abdomen and pelvis regions are denoted by "r=30°".

Table 8.6 Mean relative number of DSA frames and mean relative number of serial cut films at different anatomical locations during peripheral arteriography (reference group)

Imaging system	Diagnostic view ^b								Total number of frames
Procedure type ^a	Abdo- men	Abdo- men (r=30°)	Pelvis (pa)	Pelvis/ thigh (r=30°)	Thigh (pa)	Knee (pa)	Lower leg (pa)	Foot (pa+lat)	
Gender	(pa)	(r=30°)	(pa)	(r=30°)	(pa)	(pa)	(pa)	(pa+lat)	
DSA-frames:									
PAn:									
male	- ^c	-	2%	5%	1%	5%	25%	64%	61
fem.	-	-	1%	6%	3%	5%	26%	60%	61
PAs:									
male	1%	5%	6%	3%	15%	23%	21%	25%	75
fem.	4%	-	4%	-	23%	18%	8%	43%	77
PAiv:									
male	32%	3%	44%	2%	15%	4%	-	-	71
fem.	29%	-	42%	1%	26%	4%	-	-	67
Films:									
PAn:									
male, fem.	-	-	22%	-	22%	22%	22%	13%	14
PAs:									
male, fem.	22%	-	22%	-	22%	22%	13%	-	14
PAiv:									
male, fem.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

^{a,b} See Table 8.5 for footnotes.**Table 8.7** Dose-area products of diagnostic views as a function of rotation and angulation, relative to the total dose-area product (reference group)

Procedure type ^a imaging mode ^b	Rotation						Angulation			
	≤5°	6-15°	16-25°	26-35°	>35°	sum	0-5°	6-15°	16-30°	sum
PAn:										
fluoro:	27%	2%	1%	1%	-	31%	30%	1%	<	31%
DSA:	15%	10%	7%	6%	-	38%	30%	6%	2%	38%
films:	31%	-	-	-	-	31%	31%	-	-	31%
total:	73%	12%	8%	7%	0%	100%	91%	7%	2%	100%
PAs:										
fluoro:	32%	1%	1%	<1%	-	34%	34%	1%	-	35%
DSA:	31%	4%	4%	3%	-	42%	37%	4%	1%	42%
films:	24%	-	-	-	-	24%	23%	-	-	23%
total:	87%	5%	5%	3%	0%	100%	94%	5%	1%	100%
PAiv:										
fluoro:	10%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	11%	84%	5%	-	89%
DSA:	83%	1%	3%	2%	-	89%	11%	<	-	11%
films:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
total:	93%	2%	3%	2%	0%	100%	95%	5%	0%	100%

^a See Table 8.2. Relative dose-area products have been calculated as average values for all male and female patients in the reference group. Due to rounding errors, summation of single contributions may yield results differing from the given sum values; ^b "fluoro" denotes fluoroscopy; "DSA" denotes IA-DSA or IV-DSA, depending on the procedure type; "films" refers to serial cut film radiography.

Table 8.8 Mean relative dose-area product (DAP) for various diagnostic views during peripheral arteriography (reference group)^a

Imaging system ^b Gender	Diagnostic view		pelvis (pa)	pelv./ thigh (30°)	thigh (pa)	knee (pa)	lower leg (pa)	foot (all)	Total DAP [%] [Gy · cm ²]
	abd.	abd.							
	(pa)	(30°)							
Peripheral arteriography of one leg (PA_n):									
fluoro:									
male	0.5%	- ^c	13%	2.3%	5.1%	2.7%	2.5%	4.9%	31%
female	1.1%	-	20%	0.9%	3.0%	2.2%	1.3%	3.2%	32%
DSA:									
male	-	-	4.2%	12%	0.5%	1.6%	4.2%	17%	39%
female	-	-	3.1%	11%	1.7%	0.7%	6.8%	11%	34%
films:									
male	-	-	14%	-	6.9%	4.4%	2.8%	2.4%	31%
female	-	-	15%	-	8.0%	5.5%	3.1%	2.2%	34%
total:									
male	1%	-	31%	14%	13%	9%	10%	24%	13.0
female	1%	-	38%	12%	13%	8%	10%	16%	10.3
Peripheral arteriography of two legs, Seldinger catheterisation (PA_s):									
fluoro:									
male	17%	0.8%	8.6%	0.8%	2.8%	1.8%	1.2%	1.3%	34%
female	25%	-	7.1%	-	3.5%	1.2%	0.9%	1.8%	40%
DSA:									
male	2.5%	7.6%	6.6%	3.1%	5.7%	7.2%	6.2%	4.7%	47%
female	6.4%	-	3.9%	-	6.0%	3.3%	++11%	+++	31%
films:									
male	8.4%	-	6.1%	-	3.8%	2.7%	1.6%	-	23%
female	11%	-	8.8%	-	4.9%	3.5%	2.1%	-	30%
total:									
male	28%	8%	21%	1%	13%	12%	9%	6%	30.2
female	42%	-	20%	3%	14%	8%	++16%	+++	20.3
Intravenous peripheral arteriography (PA_{iv}):									
fluoro:									
male	3.1%	0.4%	4.7%	0.3%	1.1%	0.3%	-	-	10%
female	3.7%	-	5.5%	0.2%	1.8%	0.3%	-	-	12%
DSA:									
male	36%	4.2%	39%	2.1%	6.9%	0.9%	-	-	90%
female	36%	-	34%	2.2%	13%	3.8%	-	-	89%
total:									
male	40%	-	44%	2%	8%	1%	-	-	37.7
female	40%	4%	40%	2%	15%	4%	-	-	26.9

^a Relative dose-area products were computed as average values for patients included in the reference group (see Section 8.2.3). As data have been derived from a sub-dataset, the total average values and the relative contributions of the imaging modes may differ somewhat from the data presented in Table 8.4.

^b "fluoro" denotes fluoroscopy; "DSA" denotes IA-DSA or IV-DSA, depending on the procedure type; "films" refers to serial cut film radiography. ^c the "-" sign denotes that diagnostic views were not applicable or dosimetry data were not available.

Table 8.9 Mean relative entrance dose (RD_{entrance})^{a,b} for different projections during peripheral arteriography (reference group)

Imaging system ^c Gender	Diagnostic view		pelvis (pa)	pelv./ thigh (30°)	thigh (pa)	knee (pa)	lower (pa)	foot leg (all)	Mean total entrance dose [%] [Gy]
	abd.	abd.							
	(pa)	(30°)							
Peripheral arteriography of one leg (PAn):									
fluoro:									
male	1.7%	- ^d	15%	1.9%	7.2%	2.8%	2.8%	5.2%	37%
fem.	1.8%	-	28%	0.7%	2.4%	1.9%	1.1%	3.4%	39%
DSA:									
male	-	-	3.2%	11%	0.6%	1.8%	4.7%	16%	37%
fem.	-	-	2.4%	8.7%	1.6%	0.7%	6.7%	10%	30%
films:									
male	-	-	9.9%	-	6.2%	4.7%	3.5%	2.5%	27%
fem.	-	-	12%	-	7.4%	5.5%	3.9%	2.2%	31%
total:									
male	2%	-	38%	13%	14%	9%	11%	24%	54.2
fem.	2%	-	42%	9%	11%	8%	13%	16%	41.6
Peripheral arteriography of two legs (PAs):									
fluoro:									
male	24%	0.7%	15%	1.1%	3.1%	1.5%	1.0%	0.9%	47%
fem.	48%	-	9.5%	-	2.0%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	62%
DSA:									
male	3.5%	5.7%	4.9%	3.5%	3.8%	4.7%	4.5%	4.2%	35%
fem.	2.8%	-	2.9%	-	4.0%	2.7%	+ + 7.6%	+ +	20%
films:									
male	7.2%	-	4.6%	-	3.0%	2.2%	1.5%	-	19%
fem.	6.9%	-	4.8%	-	2.9%	2.1%	1.3%	-	18%
total:									
male	35%	6%	25%	1%	10%	9%	7%	5%	93.3
fem.	58%	-	17%	4%	9%	6%	+ + 11%	+ + +	90.6
Intravenous peripheral arteriography (PAiv):									
fluoro:									
male	3.9%	0.7%	4.5%	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%	-	-	11%
fem.	3.9%	-	5.3%	0.2%	1.6%	0.4%	-	-	11%
DSA:									
male	39%	5.5%	33%	2.9%	6.4%	0.8%	-	-	88%
fem.	38%	-	32%	2.3%	13%	3.4%	-	-	89%
total:									
male	43%	6%	38%	3%	7%	1%	-	-	82.7
fem.	42%	-	37%	3%	15%	4%	-	-	64.5

^a Mean total entrance dose (D_{entrance} in mGy) was derived according to: $D_{\text{entrance}} = 1000 \cdot \text{DAP} \cdot \text{AREA}^{-1} \cdot \text{FSD}^{-2}$, where DAP = dose-area product ($\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$), AREA = field size at 1 m from the focus (cm^2), and FSD = focus-skin-distance (m); ^b The entrance dose of view i, $D_{\text{entrance, view i}}$ can be calculated as: $D_{\text{entrance, view i}} = 0.01 \cdot RD_{\text{entrance, view i}} \cdot D_{\text{entrance}}$; ^c "fluoro" denotes fluoroscopy; "DSA" denotes IA-DSA or IV-DSA, depending on the procedure type; "films" refers to serial cut film radiography; ^d "-" denotes not applicable, or dosimetry data were not available.

Table 8.10a

Characteristic data used for Monte Carlo organ dose calculations for male patients in peripheral arteriography. Data have been derived from measurement results for percutaneous needle punctures, Seldinger catheterisation and intravenous DSA

Imaging mode	Focus position (GSF-coord. system)			Field size at 1m		HV		D-entrance	
	Z-axis [cm]	Y-axis [cm]	X-axis [cm]	(longxlat) [cmxcm]	[kV]	[mGy]	[kV]	[mGy]	
diagnostic view	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	
Fluoroscopy:									
abdomen PA	22; 32; 28	71; 77; 84	-5; 0; 0	20x16; 30x22; 33x27	85; 82; 80	0.9; 2.2; 3.2			
abd. rot = 25°	na; 27; 25	na; 77; 67	na; -31; -31	na; 33x26; 34x25	na; 74; 94	na; 0.7; 0.6			
pelvis PA	7; 9; 8	80; 79; 85	-5; 0; 0	30x21; 30x22; 34x30	75; 79; 80	8.0; 14; 3.7			
pel. rot = 25°	4; 19; 15	70; 78; 67	-31; -28; -31	33x24; 30x23; 34x26	81; 78; 80	1.0; 1.0; 0.4			
thigh	-21; -16; -19	79; 82; 85	-12; 0; 0	30x19; 33x26; 35x31	75; 74; 79	3.9; 2.9; 0.7			
knee	-38; -36; -37	82; 88; 82	-15; 0; 0	33x18; 34x26; 36x31	71; 70; 81	1.5; 1.4; 0.3			
lower leg	-55; -55; na	83; 87; na	-15; 0; na	34x17; 34x26; na	68; 69; na	1.5; 0.9; na			
foot	-78; -67; na	80; 85; na	-15; 0; na	34x19; 33x27; na	69; 71; na	2.8; 0.8; na			
DSA:									
abdomen PA	na; 30; 29	na; 79; 85	na; 0; 0	na; 34x16; 34x27	na; 88; 75	na; 3.3; 3.2			
abd. rot = 25°	na; 27; 26	na; 79; 72	na; -35; -30	na; 33x28; 34x28	na; 76; 80	na; 5.3; 4.6			
pelvis PA	10; 9; 9	88; 83; 86	-5; 0; 0	32x20; 33x30; 34x31	76; 71; 72	1.7; 4.6; 27			
pel. rot = 25°	6; 17; 16	71; 78; 70	30; -30; -30	32x25; 33x22; 35x26	78; 75; 75	5.5; 3.3; 2.4			
thigh	-19; -20; -19	80; 89; 83	-12; 0; 0	31x16; 34x27; 36x29	60; 61; 65	0.3; 3.6; 5.3			
knee	-39; -36; -37	87; 95; 82	-15; 0; 0	33x13; 34x26; 37x30	58; 64; 59	1.0; 4.4; 0.7			
lower leg	-53; -54; na	88; 93; na	-15; 0; na	33x15; 34x26; na	61; 64; na	2.6; 4.2; na			
foot	-79; -66; na	81; 87; na	-15; 0; na	34x20; 34x24; na	61; 64; na	8.7; 3.9; na			
Films (PA-views):									
abdomen	na; 29; na	na; 83; na	na; 0; na	na; 31x27; na	na; 75; na	na; 6.7; na			
pelvis	7; 7; na	84; 83; na	-12; 0; na	31x23; 31x30; na	72; 67; na	5.4; 4.3; na			
thigh	-15; -15; na	83; 83; na	-12; 0; na	31x19; 31x29; na	61; 57; na	3.4; 2.8; na			
knee	-37; -37; na	83; 84; na	-12; 0; na	31x16; 31x26; na	53; 54; na	2.6; 2.1; na			
lower leg	-58; -58; na	83; 87; na	-12; 0; na	31x14; 31x25; na	53; 54; na	1.9; 1.4; na			
foot	-82; na; na	84; na; na	-12; na; na	31x17; na; na	53; na; na	1.4; na; na			

see Table 8.10b for clarification.

Table 8.10b

Characteristic data used for Monte Carlo organ dose calculations for female patients in peripheral arteriography. Data have been derived from measurement results for percutaneous needle punctures, Seldinger catheterisation and intravenous DSA

Imaging mode	Focus position (GSF-coord., system)			Field size at 1m (longxlat)	HV		D-entrance	
	z-axis [cm]	y-axis [cm]	x-axis [cm]		[cmxcm]	[kV]	[mGy]	
	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn ; PAs ; PAiv	PAn;PAs;PAiv	PAn ; PAs; PAiv		
Fluoroscopy:								
abdomen PA	25; 29; 27	71; 77; 82	-5; 0; 0	27x18;22x17;33x27	79; 80; 83	0.8;43 ; 2.5		
abd. rot = 25°	na; na; na	na; na; na	na; na; na	na ; na ; na	na; na; na	na ; na ; na		
pelvis PA	4; 5; 8	77; 77; 84	-5; 0; 0	29x20;29x21;34x28	75; 80; 81	12 ; 8.6; 3.4		
pel. rot = 25°	4; na; 15	76; na; 72	-41; na; -42	33x22; na; 34x27	72; na; 79	0.3; na; 0.1		
thigh	-18;-20;-17	82; 82; 89	-12; 0; 0	33x22;33x28;34x29	69; 70; 73	1.0; 1.8; 1.0		
knee	-37;-39;-30	82; 81; 82	-15; 0; 0	34x21;34x27;31x28	65; 68; 74	0.8; 0.6; 0.3		
lower leg	-50;-53; na	88; 80; na	-15; 0; na	33x18;33x21; na	67; 69; na	0.5; 0.7; na		
foot	-72;-61; na	81; 86; na	-15; 0; na	33x18;33x27; na	66; 66; na	1.4; 0.8; na		
DSA:								
abdomen PA	na; 22; 27	na; 85; 84	na; 0; 0	na ;33x32;33x27	na; 70; 73	na ; 2.5;25		
abd. rot = 25°	na; na; na	na; na; na	na; na; na	na ; na ; na	na; na; na	na ; na ; na		
pelvis PA	5; 4; 10	80; 86; 86	-5; 0; 0	32x23;33x20;33x29	72; 62; 70	1.0; 2.6;20		
pel. rot = 25°	1; na; 16	79; na; 74	-42; na; -43	32x21; na; 35x23	72; na; 71	3.6; na; 1.5		
thigh	-19;-23;-15	84; 88; 87	-15; 0; 0	33x17;35x22;35x26	61; 61; 65	0.7; 3.6; 8.6		
knee	-39;-41;-32	90; 88; 84	-12; 0; 0	34x13;34x18;35x28	65; 61; 64	0.3; 2.5; 2.2		
lower leg	-52;-50; na	89; 88; na	-15; 0; na	34x14;35x22; na	61; 53; na	2.8; 0.7; na		
foot	-74;-59; na	88; 89; na	-15; 0; na	35x17;34x21; na	60; 59; na	4.2; 6.2; na		
Films (PA-views):								
abdomen	na; 27; na	na; 84; na	na; 0; na	na ;31x24; na	75; na	na ; 6.3; na		
pelvis	5; 5; na	84; 84; na	-12; 0; na	31x23;31x29; na	70; 66; na	4.8; 4.4; na		
thigh	-17;-17; na	84; 84; na	-12; 0; na	31x19;31x27; na	60; 56; na	3.1; 2.6; na		
knee	-39;-40; na	84; 84; na	-12; 0; na	31x18;31x27; na	53; 53; na	2.3; 1.9; na		
lower leg	-61;-61; na	86; 85; na	-12; 0; na	31x15;31x24; na	51; 53; na	1.6; 1.2; na		
foot	-83; na; na	88; na; na	-12; na; na	31x19; na ; na	51; na; na	0.9; na; na		

PAn = peripheral arteriography, needle puncture; PAs = peripheral arteriography, Seldinger catheterisation;

PAiv = peripheral arteriography with IV-DSA.

- na denotes "not applicable" or "data is not available"

- Beam filtration = 4.5 mm Al; table support plus lucite sheet in X-ray tube house = 1.5 mm Al equivalent.

- x,y,z-coordinates in Pan-procedures refer to arteriography of the right leg.

- HV = tube high voltage; D-entrance = air kerma, free-in-air, at skin entrance position.

Diagnostic views

Measurement results of more than 450 clinical applications of the automated monitoring system have been used to derive quantitative descriptions of the exposure conditions to the patient in peripheral arteriography. The following parameters have been derived for each selected diagnostic view: tube high voltage, field size, focus-position, rotation and angulation of the X-ray beam, dose-area product (DAP), and entrance dose. Information about DSA-imaging and radiography in selected views is given in Table 8.6, fluoroscopy is covered in Table 8.5. Mean relative DAP values for various locations during arteriography of one leg (PA_n), Seldinger catheterisation (PA_s) and IV-DSA (PA_{iv}) are given in Table 8.8. Relative contributions of fluoroscopy, DSA and serial cut films to DAP-total are included separately for each selected diagnostic view. Estimates for the entrance dose are given in Table 8.9. Table 8.7 provides data about the relative contribution of the dose-area product as a function of the projection angle (rotation and angulation). Data needed as input for Monte Carlo organ dose calculation have been summarised in Table 8.10a/b. The focus position has been described with the same co-ordinate system as applied for the GSF-phantoms.

Patient weight and height

Mean weight and mean height of male patients were calculated to be 76 kg ($\sigma=14$ kg, $n=222$) and 172 cm ($\sigma=11$ cm, $n=234$), mean weight and height of female patients to be 68 kg ($\sigma=11$, $n=124$) and 163 cm ($\sigma=5$ cm, $n=128$). These data show some differences with GSF sex-specific phantoms ADAM and EVA, which have been designed to 70.5 kg and 170 cm for males, and 59.2 kg and 160 cm for females; the reference adult man [IC75], has been defined with a body mass and length of 70 kg and 170 cm for males, and 58 kg and 160 cm for females. The Shapiro-Wilk test statistic showed that the data about weight and height may be regarded as random samples from normal distributions. Consequently, the two-sided 99%-confidence interval for the means (m) of weight and height, calculated to be $m \pm 2.576 \cdot \sigma/\sqrt{n}$, are equal to 74-78 kg, and 170-174 cm respectively for males, and to be 65-71 kg, and 162-164 cm respectively for females. It is concluded that, nowadays, patients referred to a radiologist for problems concerning peripheral vessels are taller than the anthropomorphic GSF-phantoms applied to calculate organ doses.

Means for the weight of females in the large sample and females in the reference group differ; data about length for females and data about weight and length for male patients show good agreement (see Table 8.2). Tables 8.3 and 8.4 show that on average, apart from the dose-area product for female patients in Seldinger catheterisation, usage characteristics of the imaging system for the groups "all patients" and "reference group" were quite similar. It is concluded that the reference group can be regarded as fairly representative for the group "all patients".

Patient age

Table 8.2 indicates that patients referred to the radiologist for peripheral arteriography were relatively old: ninety percent of all patients was older than 48 years. On average, females were 70 years old, males were 64 years old. These data clearly demonstrate that this group was older than the reference population, used by ICRP to derive the tissue weighting factor, applied for effective dose calculations. As the potential for hereditary effects in the elderly patient is relatively low, and fatal cancer risks for elderly people due to exposure to ionising radiation seem to be lower than in the reference population (see

Figure 2.1), it might be anticipated that effective doses derived for these patients overestimate the potential detriment. This dissimilarity has already been addressed in literature [DR93, NR90]. No adjustments will be made in this thesis.

Dose-area product

Table 8.4 shows that dose-area products (DAP) for peripheral arteriography varied considerably. In this study a range factor (ratio of maximum by minimum) of 100 was found. DAP varied between 1.4 and 137 Gy · cm² (the minimum value 1.4 Gy · cm² is not included in Table 8.4). However, considering the three main types PAs, PAn and PAiv separately, the range factor per procedure type is reduced to 20, 35 and 25 respectively.

The relative contributions of fluoroscopy, DSA and CA in DAP varied considerably as well. In the intra-arterial approach (PAn and PAs), film contributed about 30% to 35% to the total DAP per procedure, IA-DSA contributed 30% and fluoroscopy about 35%. In IV-DSA procedures fluoroscopy accounted for 10% and DSA for 90% of the total DAP (films are not applied in IV-DSA procedures).

Table 8.4 illustrates that DAP values for IV-DSA were the highest, those for Seldinger catheterisation somewhat lower, while the lowest values were found for needle puncture arteriography. Differences in DAP are associated with differences in fluoroscopy time, number of images (DSA frames and/or films) and field size.

Table 8.8 shows that in the reference group the abdomen and pelvis, with many tissues of relatively high radiation sensitivity, had the highest exposure in terms of DAP. Averaged over male and female patients, the DAP to the lower trunk region, relative to the total DAP, was estimated to be about 50% in PAn-procedures, 60% in PAs-, and 85% in PAiv procedures.

Fluoroscopy

The mean values for fluoroscopy time for PAn and PAs were 3.1 and 5.2 minutes respectively (Table 8.3). A corresponding difference was seen in the DAP associated with fluoroscopy: the mean DAP in PAs was about 12 Gy · cm², about three times higher than the mean DAP during PAn (4.4 Gy · cm²). This difference is mainly associated with the technique of contrast medium administration. PAn is a relatively simple technique, which requires only a short time of fluoroscopy, whereas PAs is a complex technique, which requires relatively long fluoroscopy times for accurate positioning of the catheter tip. The contribution from fluoroscopy for positioning and for programming the stepping facility for serial cut film arteriography is small. The same conclusion is true for positioning the imaging system for DSA.

Images

On average, 3 to 4 DSA series were used, resulting in about 55 DSA-images per total procedure for PAn, 70 DSA-images for PAs, and 70 DSA-images for IV-DSA procedures. Some procedures required up to 200 DSA-images. The number of different film-series in PAn and PAs procedures was almost always 5, the number of films taken was 13 or 14. In some exceptional cases up to about 50 films were needed.

Table 8.6 shows that in PAn and PAs procedures in the reference group the majority of DSA-frames was taken in the lower leg region, whereas in IV-DSA (PAiv) more than 70% of the DSA-frames were associated with the abdomen/pelvis region.

Field size

Using the dose-area product for weighting, the average beam aperture settings were computed (see Section 6.3). Mean field sizes for complete procedures, derived as the product of the average longitudinal and lateral beam sizes, were calculated to be 800 cm² (32x25 cm²) for Seldinger catheterisation, 640 cm² (32x20 cm²) for needle puncture and 990 cm² (34x29 cm²) for IV-DSA procedures. Data about the aperture settings show that, in all three imaging modes, relatively expanded field sizes were used for views of the pelvic region. The field size decreased if images were taken of smaller parts of the patient's body (thigh, knee, lower leg in PAN-procedures). Because of its anatomical shape, relatively expanded field sizes were used for (oblique) images of the foot. Also, it was observed in clinical practice, that compared to X-ray imaging of body parts within or adjacent to the trunk, less attention was paid to "fine" adjustment of the X-ray field size in imaging of the foot.

Entrance dose

The mean entrance dose per location was calculated for PAN, PAs and PAiv separately (Table 8.9). Entrance doses have been expressed as a percentage of the sum of entrance doses (summed per sex over all locations and imaging modes). It is recognised that summing up doses associated with different body parts does not make sense from a dosimetric point of view. However, this method is useful to provide insight in the relation between the entrance dose and the dose-area product as presented in Table 8.8 (mean entrance dose estimates can easily be derived by multiplying the relative figures by the sum of the doses as given in the column "mean total entrance dose" in Table 8.9). This comparison has been performed because dose-area product data are generally more easily derived than entrance dose estimates.

From a comparison of DAP data presented in Table 8.8 and corresponding dose data in Table 8.9 it is concluded that relative contributions of single diagnostic views to total DAP and to total entrance dose are quite similar. It follows that, as a first approach, view specific relative contributions to DAP may be applied instead of the calculated entrance skin doses per location, to calculate organ doses from Monte Carlo organ dose conversion factors, specified for air kerma at skin entrance positions.

Tube high voltage

In daily practice, tube high voltage settings varied between 48 kV and 110 kV. Computation of the relative contribution of DAP per high voltage setting to total DAP per imaging mode showed that 90% of the total DAP (from the 5-percentile to the 95-percentile value) was associated with tube high voltage settings between 65 and 93 kV for fluoroscopy, between 60 and 79 kV for DSA, and between 51 and 75 kV for radiography. From a subset of data pertaining to the abdominal and pelvic region, the 5- and 95-percentile values were computed to be 69 and 94 kV for fluoroscopy, 66 and 80 for DSA, and 66 and 75 kV for radiography. These data indicate the preferred range of the technique parameter "tube high voltage" in Monte Carlo organ dose calculations.

Focus-skin-distance

Weighted average values for focus-to-patient distances (not presented in this section) have been estimated to be 56 to 74 cm in fluoroscopy, 62 to 80 cm in DSA and 68 to 72 cm in radiography. In the lower trunk region the average value for all projections (using DAP for weighting) was equal to 69 cm for males and 68 cm for females.

Projection angle

The relative contribution per diagnostic view to the total dose-area product was computed for different directions of the X-ray beam, characterised by the angle of rotation in the transversal plane and the angulation in the axial plane. Table 8.7 shows that the contributions of rotated fluoroscopic views were very small, whereas rotated DSA-views contributed 6% to 23%, depending on procedure type. The contribution of angulated views (angulation more than 5°) was quite small. Therefore, it was concluded that "angulation" could be excluded from the set of descriptive parameters.

Variability in DSA-imaging, serial cut film radiography, and fluoroscopy

Additional data about peripheral arteriography were extracted from a local record keeping system. It was derived that DSA-examinations of the lower trunk region (abdomen and/or pelvis) were performed in 32% of the needle puncture procedures (PAn), in 55% of the Seldinger catheterisation procedures (PAs), and in every IV-DSA procedure (PAiv). In 33% of the PAs procedures only one DSA-series was taken from the iliac region, in 15% of the procedures two or more series were acquired. In PAn procedures the iliac region was examined in 31% of the cases, in 28% one series was acquired and in 4% two series. In IV-DSA, examination of the iliac region was performed in 96% of the procedures, in 71% one series, and in 25% at least two series were required. Selection of the DSA-views was based upon information in the medical record and on medical findings in the course of the angiographic procedure.

On average, about 60 to 75 DSA-frames were taken per procedure. The relative number of DSA-frames per location varied between 1% and 65%. These figures depend both on the relative frequency of a DSA-series per location and on the number of DSA-frames taken at that location. Each DSA run required a minimum of about 5 DSA frames; in this study the highest number of DSA-frames for one single view was 35.

Data about the fluoroscopy time are given in Table 8.5. The fluoroscopy time per location in PAn and in PAs deviated significantly. In PAn procedures about 30-43% of the total fluoroscopy time was spent in the trunk region, and about 40% in the lower leg and foot region. In PAs procedures 65%-75% of the fluoroscopy time was associated with the trunk region, and only about 11-14% with the lower leg and foot region.

Not shown in a table are the large variations in fluoroscopy time and the number of DSA-frames per anatomical position. These variations may be partly related to the patient (condition of blood vessels, blood flow) and partly to the skill of the operator. As data about the operating team have been recorded, statistical analysis of the relation between grade and usage of the imaging systems can be studied in future.

8.3 Organ doses and effective dose to patients in arteriography of the pelvis and lower limbs

8.3.1 Introduction

Exposure conditions derived for peripheral arteriography of supine patients, with undercouch tube geometry, have been described in Section 8.2. Published Monte Carlo organ dose data in diagnostic radiology [DR90, DR93a, HA94, JO85] do not match the exposure conditions encountered in peripheral arteriography. Therefore, new sets of Monte Carlo organ dose conversion coefficients had to be calculated. Results of these

organ dose calculations performed by the Gesellschaft für Strahlen- und Umweltforschung (GSF), Germany, and effective dose estimates will be discussed in Section 8.3.

8.3.2 Monte Carlo organ dose calculations and effective dose estimations

Monte Carlo dose calculation methods applied by GSF, have been described in various papers [KR86, ZA92, ZA95]. Usually, Monte Carlo (MC) organ dose calculations are performed with erect phantoms. MC dose calculations for peripheral arteriography were to be performed with phantoms, positioned supine on a patient support (see Figure 8.5 and 8.6). In order to increase the reliability, GSF modified the lower limb region of their phantoms. Firstly, the limbs were positioned on the table support (see Figure 8.7). Without modifications, the limbs would be positioned as indicated in Figure 8.5. Secondly, in MC dose calculations for percutaneous needle puncture procedures, the legs were placed apart, as shown in Figure 8.7.

From the collected exposure data, two subsets were extracted for Monte Carlo organ dose calculations: one for male and one for female patients (see Table 8.10a/b). Taking the relatively low radiosensitivity of tissues in the legs into account, a number of views in this region were combined afterwards. Also, views in Seldinger catheterisation (PAs) and views in IV-DSA procedures (PAiv) have been combined. Views in percutaneous needle puncture procedures (PAN) could not easily be combined with views in the other two procedure types, due to differences in the field size and the position of the focus. Except for the maximum value of the X-ray beam size, technical parameter settings and xyz-coordinates have been expressed as multiples of five. In all, 39 views defined for male patients, and 37 views defined for female patients (Table 8.11) have been used as input data for MC organ dose calculations.

Effective doses were calculated for each diagnostic view separately. The required weighted organ doses were derived by multiplication of the entrance dose (see Table 8.11) with the MC organ dose conversion coefficients (Table 8.12-8.14) and the tissue weighting factor (Table 2.1).

8.3.3 Results

Results of Monte Carlo organ dose calculations for the GSF-phantoms ADAM and EVA, placed in supine position on a table support with undercouch X-ray tube geometries are given in Tables 8.12 through 8.14. Computed results, presented as “organ dose conversion coefficient”, DCC, show mean organ dose equivalents, relative to air kerma, free-in-air, at the beam entrance position (Sv/Gy). DCCs presented in these tables, originally comprising four decimal digits, were rounded to two decimal digits. Furthermore, all DCCs less than 0.01 Sv/Gy have been set to 0 (denoted as “<” in the tables). Comparison of effective doses, computed with original DCCs and with DCCs presented in the tables, showed a small decrease of 1% to 2%, depending on the procedure type. In order to condense the presented data, various views with DCCs less than 0.1 Sv/Gy and with maximum differences of 0.01 Sv/Gy for matching DCCs in other views have been listed in the footnotes of the tables.

Table 8.11

Characteristic data used for Monte Carlo organ dose calculations in peripheral arteriography. Data have been derived from measurement results for three different procedure types: simple needle punctures, Seldinger catheterisation and intravenous DSA

Imaging mode	MALE PATIENTS (GSF-PHANTOM ADAM)										FEMALE PATIENTS (GSF-PHANTOM EVA)									
	Focus position		D-entrance		Field size at 1m		HV		Field size at 1m		Focus position		D-entrance		Field size at 1m		HV		Field size at 1m	
	z-axis diagnostic view	y-axis [cm]	x-as [cm]	(longlat) [cm]	z-axis [cm]	y-axis [cm]	x-as [cm]	(longlat) [cm]	z-axis [cm]	y-axis [cm]	x-as [cm]	(longlat) [cm]	z-axis [cm]	y-axis [cm]	x-as [cm]	(longlat) [cm]	z-axis [cm]	y-axis [cm]	x-as [cm]	(longlat) [cm]
PAN; PAS; PAiV PAN; PAS; PAiV PAN; PAS; PAiV PAN; PAS; PAiV PAN; PAS; PAiV PAN; PAS; PAiV																				
Fluoroscopy:																				
1. abdomen PA	25	75	-5 ; 0	20x15;34x25	80	0.9;22 ; 3.2	na ; 0	25	75	-5; 0	25x20;34x25	80	0.8;43 ; 2.5	na ; 0	25x20;34x25	80	0.8;43 ; 2.5	na ; 0	25x20;34x25	80
2. abd. rot=25°	25	70	na ; -30	na ; 34x25	80	na ; 0.7; 0.6	na ; -30	na	na	na;na	na ; na	na	na ; na	na	na ; na	na	na ; na	na	na ; na	na
3. pelvis PA	10	80	-5 ; 0	30x20;34x30	80	8.0;14 ; 3.7	-5 ; 0	5	80	-5; 0	30x20;34x30	80	12 ; 8.6; 3.4	-5 ; 0	30x20;34x30	80	12 ; 8.6; 3.4	-5 ; 0	30x20;34x30	80
4. pel. rot=25°	15	70	-30; -30	34x25;30x25	80	1.0; 1.0; 0.4	-30; -30	10	75	-40; -40	34x20;34x25	75	0.3; na ; 0.1	-40; -40	34x20;34x25	75	0.3; na ; 0.1	-40; -40	34x20;34x25	75
5. thigh	-20	80	-12; 0	30x20;34x30	75	3.9; 2.9; 0.7	-12; 0	-20	85	-12; 0	34x20;34x30	70	1.0; 1.8; 1.0	-12; 0	34x20;34x30	70	1.0; 1.8; 1.0	-12; 0	34x20;34x30	70
6. knee	-35	85	-15; 0	34x20;34x30	75	1.5; 1.4; 0.3	-15; 0	-35	80	-15; 0	34x20;34x30	70	0.8; 0.6; 0.3	-15; 0	34x20;34x30	70	0.8; 0.6; 0.3	-15; 0	34x20;34x30	70
7. lower leg	-55	85	-15; 0	34x15;34x25	70	1.5; 0.9; na	-15; 0	-50	85	-15; 0	34x20;34x20	65	0.5; 0.7; na	-15; 0	34x20;34x20	65	0.5; 0.7; na	-15; 0	34x20;34x20	65
8. foot	-70	85	-15; 0	34x20;34x25	70	2.8; 0.8; na	-15; 0	-65	85	-15; 0	34x20;34x25	65	1.4; 0.8; na	-15; 0	34x20;34x25	65	1.4; 0.8; na	-15; 0	34x20;34x25	65
DSA:																				
9. abdomen PA	30	85	na ; 0	na ; 34x25	85	na ; 3.3;32	na ; 0	25	85	na; 0	na ; 34x30	70	na ; 2.5; 2.5	na ; 0	na ; 34x30	70	na ; 2.5; 2.5	na ; 0	na ; 34x30	70
10 abd. rot=25°	25	75	na ; -30	na ; 34x30	80	na ; 5.3; 4.6	na ; -30	na	na	na;na	na ; na	na	na ; na	na	na ; na	na	na ; na	na	na ; na	na
11 pelvis PA	10	85	-5 ; 0	30x20;34x30	75	1.7; 4.6;27	-5 ; 0	5	85	-5; 0	30x25;34x25	65	1.0; 2.6;20	-5 ; 0	30x25;34x25	65	1.0; 2.6;20	-5 ; 0	30x25;34x25	65
12 pel. rot=25°	15	75	-30; -30	30x25;34x25	75	5.5; 3.3; 2.4	-30; -30	10	75	-40; -40	34x20;34x25	70	3.6; na ; 1.5	-40; -40	34x20;34x25	70	3.6; na ; 1.5	-40; -40	34x20;34x25	70
13 thigh	-20	85	-12; 0	30x15;34x30	65	0.3; 3.6; 5.3	-12; 0	-20	85	-12; 0	34x15;34x25	60	0.7; 3.6; 8.6	-12; 0	34x15;34x25	60	0.7; 3.6; 8.6	-12; 0	34x15;34x25	60
14 knee	-35	90	-15; 0	34x15;34x30	60	1.0; 4.4; 0.7	-15; 0	-35	85	-15; 0	34x15;34x25	55	0.3; 2.5; 2.2	-15; 0	34x15;34x25	55	0.3; 2.5; 2.2	-15; 0	34x15;34x25	55
15 lower leg	-55	90	-15; 0	34x15;34x25	60	2.6; 4.2; na	-15; 0	-50	90	-15; 0	34x15;34x20	55	2.8; 0.7; na	-15; 0	34x15;34x20	55	2.8; 0.7; na	-15; 0	34x15;34x20	55
16 foot	-70	85	-15; 0	34x20;34x25	60	8.7; 3.9; na	-15; 0	-65	90	-15; 0	34x15;34x20	60	4.2; 6.2; na	-15; 0	34x15;34x20	60	4.2; 6.2; na	-15; 0	34x15;34x20	60
PUCK-films (PA-views):																				
17 abdomen	30	85	na ; 0	na ; 30x25	75	na ; 6.7; na	na ; 0	25	85	na; 0	na ; 30x25	75	na ; 6.3; na	na ; 0	na ; 30x25	75	na ; 6.3; na	na ; 0	na ; 30x25	75
18 pelvis	5	85	-12; 0	30x25;30x30	70	5.4; 4.3; na	-12; 0	5	85	-12; 0	30x25;30x30	70	4.8; 4.4; na	-12; 0	30x25;30x30	70	4.8; 4.4; na	-12; 0	30x25;30x30	70
19 thigh	-15	85	-12; 0	30x20;30x30	60	3.4; 2.8; na	-12; 0	-15	85	-12; 0	30x20;30x25	60	3.1; 2.6; na	-12; 0	30x20;30x25	60	3.1; 2.6; na	-12; 0	30x20;30x25	60
20 knee	-35	85	-12; 0	30x15;30x25	55	2.6; 2.1; na	-12; 0	-40	85	-12; 0	30x20;30x25	55	2.3; 1.9; na	-12; 0	30x20;30x25	55	2.3; 1.9; na	-12; 0	30x20;30x25	55
21 lower leg	-60	85	-12; 0	30x15;30x25	55	1.9; 1.4; na	-12; 0	-60	85	-12; 0	30x15;30x25	50	1.6; 1.2; na	-12; 0	30x15;30x25	50	1.6; 1.2; na	-12; 0	30x15;30x25	50
22 foot	-80	85	-12; 0	30x15; na	55	1.4; na ; na	-12; 0	-85	90	-12; 0	30x20; na	50	0.9; na ; na	-12; 0	30x20; na	50	0.9; na ; na	-12; 0	30x20; na	50

* PAN= peripheral arteriography, needle puncture; PAS= peripheral arteriography, Seldinger catheterisation, PAiV= peripheral arteriography with IV-DSA. Parameter settings and xyz-coordinates are given for (1) PAN procedures, and (2) for PAS plus PAiV procedures. Entrance dose data are given for PAN, PAS, and PAiV procedures separately. "na" denotes "not applicable" or "data is not available". Beam filtration = 4.5 mm Al; table support plus lucite sheet in X-ray housing = 1.5 mm Al. x,y,z-coordinates in PAN-procedures refer to arteriography of the right leg. Data about x,y,z-coord., on HV and on diaphragm setting for PAS- and PAiV-procedures have been averaged. Entrance dose = air kerma, free-in-air, at skin entrance position.

Figure 8.5 Diagram of the standard GSF Monte Carlo phantoms ADAM and EVA, and the phantom positioned supine on patient table.

Figure 8.6 Diagram of rotation and angulation of the X-ray system with references to the 3-dimensional GSF-phantoms ADAM and EVA. Patient supine on table support, with undercouch tube geometry for PA-views.

Figure 8.7 Diagram of modified GSF-phantoms for patients, supine on a patient support with closed legs and open legs geometry.

Table 8.12 Organ dose per entrance dose conversion coefficient (Sv/Gy) for intravenous DSA peripheral arteriography^a

<i>Females</i>												
Organ	View No. ^{b,c}											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	10	11	12	13	14
Bladder	<	na	.15	.14	<	<	.04	na	.14	.12	<	<
Bone marrow	.08	na	.04	.12	<	<	.17	na	.10	.11	<	<
Bone surface	.09	na	.06	.13	.16	.13	.20	na	.13	.12	.12	.12
Colon	.07	na	.09	.13	<	<	.15	na	.11	.12	<	<
Liver	.03	na	<	.01	<	<	.19	na	<	<	<	<
Ovaries	.04	na	.21	.23	<	<	.20	na	.23	.21	<	<
Skin	.03	na	.05	.06	.12	.10	.07	na	.07	.06	.09	.10
Stomach	.05	na	<	<	<	<	.14	na	<	<	<	<
-remainder												
Adrenals	.04	na	<	<	<	<	.48	na	<	<	<	<
Kidneys	.50	na	<	.02	<	<	.92	na	<	.01	<	<
Muscle	.03	na	.06	.09	.10	.07	.09	na	.09	.09	.08	.07
Pancreas	.06	na	<	<	<	<	.23	na	<	<	<	<
Small intest.	.14	na	.04	.15	<	<	.23	na	.07	.13	<	<
Spleen	.03	na	<	<	<	<	.45	na	<	<	<	<
U.I. intest.	.10	na	.02	.15	<	<	.19	na	.04	.13	<	<
Uterus	.03	na	.21	.21	<	<	.16	na	.21	.19	<	<
<i>Males</i>												
Organ	View No.											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	10	11	12	13	14
Bladder	.02	.02	.17	.12	<	<	.01	.03	.16	.12	<	<
Bone marrow	.14	.14	.16	.12	<	<	.15	.16	.16	.12	<	<
Bone surface	.14	.14	.16	.11	.20	.19	.18	.17	.16	.12	.18	.15
Colon	.11	.09	.16	.11	<	<	.13	.12	.16	.12	<	<
Liver	.05	.04	<	<	<	<	.16	.05	<	<	<	<
Skin	.04	.04	.06	.04	.13	.14	.05	.07	.07	.05	.14	.14
Stomach	.07	.07	<	<	<	<	.14	.09	<	.02	<	<
Testes	<	<	.10	.01	.04	<	<	<	.11	.02	.04	<
-remainder												
Adrenals	.07	.08	<	<	<	<	.66	.12	<	<	<	<
Kidneys	.71	.74	.01	.03	<	<	.94	.84	.01	.06	<	<
Muscle	.05	.05	.09	.06	.11	.10	.07	.08	.10	.07	.12	.09
Pancreas	.11	.11	<	<	<	<	.26	.16	<	.01	<	<
Small intest.	.21	.19	.15	.16	<	<	.24	.21	.16	.15	<	<
Spleen	.08	.16	<	<	<	<	.26	.32	<	.01	<	<
U.I. intest.	.15	.12	.09	.09	<	<	.18	.14	.10	.08	<	<

^a Entrance dose = air kerma, free-in-air, at beam entrance position. The following tissues and organs with dose conversion coefficients (DCC) less than 0.01 Sv/Gy have been excluded from this table: breast (fem.), lung, oesophagus, thyroid, brain, and thymus. "na" : not applicable. ^b Quantitative descriptions of exposure conditions for single views are given in Table 8.11. ^c Additional DCC data (data not included in the table): Females: DCC-lung(view no.9) = 0.02 Sv/Gy. Males: DCC-oesophagus (view no.9) = 0.02 Sv/Gy; DCC-lung(view no.9) = 0.04 Sv/Gy.

Original DCCs were used to compute the effective dose per entrance dose conversion coefficient (ECC) for each view. The results are given in Table 8.15. ECC-values vary between 0.0001 Sv/Gy (film of the foot in PAn) and 0.116 Sv/Gy (abdominal DSA-imaging in PAs of female patients).

Effective doses and relative contributions to the effective dose were calculated for various combinations of procedure type, gender, anatomical regions examined, and imaging mode. The results, considered as being representative to "normal sized" patients in "standard" procedures, are given in Table 8.16.

Table 8.13 Organ dose per entrance dose conversion coefficient (Sv/Gy) for Seldinger catheterisation procedures in peripheral arteriography^a

<i>Females</i>					
Organ	View No. ^{b,c,d}				
	7 ^e	8 ^e	17	18	19
Bladder	<	<	.02	.17	<
Bone marrow	<	<	.16	.10	<
Bone surface	.10	.05	.18	.13	.10
Colon	<	<	.14	.12	<
Liver	<	<	.12	<	<
Ovaries	<	<	.18	.25	<
Skin	.08	.06	.05	.07	.08
Stomach	<	<	.13	<	<
-remainder					
Adrenals	<	<	.29	<	<
Kidneys	<	<	.91	<	<
Muscle	.05	.02	.07	.10	.07
Pancreas	<	<	.21	<	<
Small intest.	<	<	.24	.06	<
Spleen <	<	.24	<	<	<
U. l. intest.	<	<	.19	.04	<
Uterus	<	<	.14	.23	<
<i>Males</i>					
Organ	View No.				
	7	8	17	18	19
Bladder	<	<	<	.14	<
Bone marrow	<	<	.11	.08	<
Bone surface	.12	.06	.14	.11	.14
Colon	<	<	.10	.10	<
Liver	<	<	.13	<	<
Skin	.10	.06	.04	.06	.12
Stomach	<	<	.11	<	<
Testes	<	<	<	.13	.14
-remainder					
Adrenals	<	<	.54	<	<
Kidneys	<	<	.87	<	<
Muscle	.06	.03	.06	.09	.10
Pancreas	<	<	.21	<	<
Small intest.	<	<	.19	.04	<
Spleen	<	<	.22	<	<
U. l. intest.	<	<	.14	.03	<

^{a,b} See footnotes (a) and (b) in Table 8.12; ^c DCCs for view nos. 1-6 and 9-14 are equal to DCCs for corresponding views in IV-DSA (see Table 8.12); ^d Additional DCC data (data not included in the table): Females: DCC-lung(view no.17) = 0.01 Sv/Gy. Males: DCC-lung(view no.17) = 0.02 Sv/Gy, DCC-oesophagus(view no.17) = 0.01 Sv/Gy; ^e DCC(view no.7) = DCC(view no. 15) = DCC(view no. 20), DCC(view no.8) = DCC(view no. 16) = DCC(view no. 21).

Table 8.14 Organ dose per entrance dose conversion coefficient (Sv/Gy) for needle puncture procedures in peripheral arteriography^a

<i>Females</i>												
Organ	View No. ^{b,c}											
	1	3	4	5	6	7 ^d	8 ^d	11	12	13 ^d	18	19
Bladder	<	.15	.13	<	<	<	<	.13	.11	<	.07	<
Bone marr.	.09	.06	.10	<	<	<	<	.06	.09	<	.05	<
Bone surf.	.09	.08	.11	.08	.06	.05	.02	.09	.10	.06	.09	.06
Colon	.08	.06	.11	<	<	<	<	.07	.10	<	.03	<
Liver	.10	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<	<
Ovaries	.04	.17	.18	<	<	<	<	.19	.17	<	.13	<
Skin	.03	.05	.05	.06	.05	.04	.02	.06	.05	.04	.06	.05
-remainder												
Kidneys	.45	<	.01	<	<	<	<	<	.01	<	<	<
Muscle	.04	.06	.07	.05	.03	.02	<	.08	.07	.04	.07	.05
Small int.	.15	.04	.13	<	<	<	<	.04	.12	<	.03	<
U.I. int.	.14	.05	.13	<	<	<	<	.04	.12	<	.04	<
Uterus	.04	.22	.19	<	<	<	<	.19	.17	<	.07	<
<i>Males</i>												
Organ	View No.											
	1	3	4	5	6	7	8	11	12	13	18	19
Bladder	<	.13	.13	<	<	<	<	.12	.11	<	.06	<
Bone marr.	.05	.09	.13	<	<	<	<	.09	.12	<	.04	<
Bone surf.	.04	.09	.12	.06	.07	.04	.02	.09	.11	.05	.08	.05
Colon	.06	.07	.12	<	<	<	<	.07	.11	<	.03	<
Skin	.01	.04	.04	.05	.04	.03	.01	.04	.05	.03	.05	.05
Testes	<	.08	.01	<	<	<	<	.10	.01	<	.04	<
-remainder												
Kidneys	.21	<	.04	<	<	<	<	<	.03	<	<	<
Muscle	.02	.05	.06	.04	.03	.02	<	.06	.06	.03	.06	.04
Small int.	.10	.08	.17	<	<	<	<	.09	.15	<	.02	<
U.I. int.	.10	.07	.10	<	<	<	<	.07	.07	<	.03	<

^{a,b} See footnotes (a) and (b) in Table 8.12. In addition to the tissues excluded in Table 8.12, the following tissues were excluded: liver(males), stomach, adrenals, pancreas, and spleen; ^c Additional DCC data (Sv/Gy), data not included in the table: Females: DCC-adrenals(view no. 1) = 0.04 Sv/Gy, DCC-stomach(view no.1)=0.01 Sv/Gy, DCC-pancreas(view no.1)=0.05 Sv/Gy. Males: DCC-liver(view no.1) = 0.02 Sv/Gy; DCC-stomach(view no.4) = 0.01 Sv/Gy, DCC-stomach(view no.12)=0.01 Sv/Gy, DCC-pancreas(view no.1)= 0.01 Sv/Gy. ^d DCC(view no.7) = DCC(view no.15) = DCC(view no.20), DCC(view no.8) = DCC(view no.16) = DCC(view no.21), DCC(view no.13)= DCC(view no.14). As all DCCs of view no. 22 were less than 0.01 Sv/Gy, this view was excluded from the table.

Table 8.15 Effective dose per entrance dose conversion coefficient (Sv/Gy) in peripheral arteriography for needle punctures (PAn), Seldinger catheterisation (PAs) and intravenous DSA (PAiv)^a

Imaging mode Diagnostic view	Male patients		Female patients	
	PAn	PAs and PAiv	PAn	PAs and PAiv
Fluoroscopy:				
1. abdomen PA	.016	.049	.040	.036
2. abd. rot=25°	-	.046	-	-
3. pelvis PA	.045	.074	.062	.069
4. pel. rot=25°	.047	.042	.074	.090
5. thigh	.0044	.018	.0042	.0085
6. knee	.0028	.0086	.0025	.0056
7. lower leg	.0014	.0044	.0019	.0038
8. foot	.0006	.0020	.0009	.0019
DSA:				
9. abdomen PA	-	.072	-	.116
10 abd. rot=25°	-	.057	-	-
11 pelvis PA	.049	.076	.065	.085
12 pel. rot=25°	.041	.046	.067	.082
13 thigh	.0032	.017	.0032	.0063
14 knee	.0023	.0077	.0022	.0053
15 lower leg	.0014	.0043	.0018	.0036
16 foot	.0006	.0019	.0009	.0020
PUCK-films (PA-views):				
17 abdomen	-	.053	-	.103
18 pelvis	.024	.060	.045	.092
19 thigh	.0047	.036	.0036	.0062
20 knee	.0019	.0051	.0019	.0037
21 lower leg	.0011	.0029	.0009	.0020
22 foot	.0002	-	.0001	-

^a Quantitative descriptions of the exposure conditions can be found in Table 8.11. "-" denotes "not applicable" or "data is not available". Beam filtration: 4.5 mm Al in X-ray beam + 1.5 mm for patient support. PAn- procedures refer to arteriography of the iliac region plus one leg.

Table 8.16 Mean effective dose estimates for three types of peripheral arteriography procedures: needle punctures (PAn), Seldinger catheterisation (PAs) and intravenous DSA (PAiv)

Imaging mode	Male patients			Female patients		
	PAn	PAs	PAiv	PAn	PAs	PAiv
Mean effective dose (mSv) for complete procedure:	0.9	4.1	5.3	1.4	3.8	5.1
Relative contributions (%) to the effective dose for a complete procedure:						
Imaging mode						
fluoroscopy	49%	54%	9%	59%	57%	7%
DSA	35%	28%	91%	23%	15%	93%
films	17%	18%	-	17%	28%	-
Anatomical region						
Trunk	93%	93%	98%	97%	97%	99%
Legs	7%	7%	2%	3%	3%	1%
Subspecification of imaging mode in the trunk region						
fluoroscopy	46%	53%	9%	59%	57%	7%
DSA	34%	25%	89%	23%	13%	92%
films	14%	15%	-	16%	28%	-

^a “-” denotes “not applicable” or “data is not available”. Beam filtration: 4.5 mm Al in X-ray beam + 1.5 mm for patient support. PAn- procedures refer to arteriography of the iliac region plus one leg. Sum values for subgroups can differ from listed totals due to rounding errors.

8.3.4 Discussion

Organ dose and effective dose conversion coefficients

Organ dose per entrance dose conversion coefficients (DCCs) vary between very small values (less than 0.0001 Sv/Gy) and about 0.9 Sv/Gy. In the trunk region (e.g. view nos. 1-4, 9-12, and 17 and 18) DCCs vary between about 0.9 and 0.01 Sv/Gy. In the leg region, only DCCs for bone surface, skin and muscle are higher than 0.01 Sv/Gy. DCCs for the ovaries are systematically higher than DCCs for the testes. DCC-values for ovaries, exposed to the primary beam, are about 0.2 Sv/Gy, DCC-estimates for directly exposed testes about 0.1 Sv/Gy. It should be noted, however, that in clinical situations DCC values for exposed testes can be much higher. For instance, theoretically it can be shown that if the legs are spread, thereby not “protecting” the testes, and assuming a focus-patient distance of 75 cm and a focus-testes distance of 85 cm, the DCC is about 0.8 Sv/Gy.

Generally, DCCs for fluoroscopy of the trunk region are smaller than corresponding DCCs for DSA and film. This difference is associated with small fluoroscopy field sizes used in the catheterisation stage. Apparently, higher tube voltage settings in fluoroscopy do not fully compensate this effect.

Effective dose estimates per entrance dose conversion coefficients (ECC) shown in Table 8.15 clearly indicate the relative importance of the anatomical regions. ECCs for

the trunk region vary between 0.02 and 0.08 Sv/Gy for males, and between 0.04 and 0.12 for females. ECCs for the leg region are about ten to one hundred times smaller than ECCs for the trunk. ECCs for PAn procedures are systematically smaller than for PAs and PA-I procedures. This difference corresponds with smaller field sizes applied in PAn procedures. ECCs for views of the thigh in PAs and PAiv procedures of male patients (view nos 5, 13 and 19) are higher than for females. This corresponds with exposures to the testes. The lower figures for ECC-thigh in PAn procedures for males result from exposure geometries in which the testes are not located in the primary X-ray beam.

Estimates for the effective dose

As shown in Table 8.16, mean effective doses for peripheral arteriography of normal sized patients are equal to 1 mSv for needle puncture procedures (PAn), 4 mSv for Seldinger catheterisation procedures (PAs), and 5 mSv for intravenous DSA procedures (PAiv).

In intra-arterial arteriography, the major part of the effective dose was associated with fluoroscopy (about 50% for males, and about 60% for females). DSA and film radiography account in a varying ratio for the remaining part. It is concluded from these findings that a significant reduction of the effective dose can hardly be obtained from changes in one imaging mode only. Dose reduction has to be achieved by optimizing all imaging stages, preferably with emphasis on fluoroscopy actions because of its relatively high contribution.

Contrary to intra-arterial arteriography, in IV-DSA procedures (PAiv) only about 10% of the effective dose resulted from fluoroscopy. It follows, that apart from using more efficient imaging systems, dose reduction has to be accomplished by decreasing the number of DSA-frames and/or using smaller DSA field sizes.

The relative contributions of the trunk and leg regions to the effective dose, quantified in Table 8.16, clearly show that the major part of the effective dose to the patient resulted from imaging actions in the trunk region (more than 90%); only about 10% is associated with imaging of the leg(s). Compared with the contributions to the dose-area product (DAP) in intra-arterial procedures, this observation is quite dissimilar. Averaged over male and female patients the DAPs in the lower regions (thigh, knee, lower leg and foot) were estimated to be about 50% in PAn-procedures and 40% in PAs-procedures. In IV-DSA procedures on the other hand, relative contributions of DAP and effective dose from imaging of vessels in the legs were of the same order of magnitude (DAP= 14%; effective dose= 8%).

Mean E/DAP-ratios were calculated from DAP data presented in Table 8.4 (ref. group) and from final estimates for the mean effective dose (Table 8.16). Results vary between 0.07 for PAn-males, 0.14 for PAs-males, PAiv-males, and PAn-females, and 0.19 mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻² for PAs and PAiv of females. These findings indicate sex-average E/DAP-ratios of 0.1 mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻² for needle puncture procedures (PAn), and 0.17 mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻² both for Seldinger catheterisation (PAs) and IV-DSA procedures (PAiv). For comparison, it should be noted that the E/DAP-ratio for peripheral arteriography of supine patients, derived from literature data, was equal to 0.15 mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻² (Table 6.7).

If one does not account for DAP dependence on patient size, the maximum effective dose can be estimated by multiplying the derived sex-specific E/DAP ratios with maximum DAP data presented in Table 8.4, which show variations up to a factor of 4 from the mean. Consequently, maximum effective doses for PAn procedures are calculated to be 4 and 7 mSv for males and females respectively, and 15-19 mSv for PAs and PAiv procedures.

8.4 Quantification of patient radiation dose exposure conditions in abdominal arteriography

8.4.1 Introduction

Imaging of arteries in the abdominal and iliac region is called abdominal arteriography. Exposure conditions to patients are quantified for examinations in the Department of Diagnostic Radiology of the University Hospital Maastricht (azM). These data will be used in Section 8.5 to estimate the effective dose to the patient. Imaging systems applied in the azM are described in Section 4.3.

8.4.2 Arteriography of the abdominal arteries

This study comprises two methods for diagnostic imaging of the abdominal aorta and its branches:

- *Intravenous Digital Subtraction Arteriography (IV-DSA)*

In this procedure contrast medium was administered intravenously to the supine patient through a needle in the basilic vein. Fluoroscopy was used only to determine the position and the field of view for DSA-frames. Usually, one to three series of DSA-frames were taken per procedure, depending on the medical record and the findings in the course of the procedure.

- *Intra-arterial arteriography*

Contrast medium was administered to the supine patient via a catheter, inserted into the femoral artery, and placed in the aorta, with its tip near the renal arteries. Patients were examined with serial cut film arteriography and/or one or more series of DSA frames (IA-DSA). Fluoroscopy was used for catheter positioning, and for adjustment of the region of interest in serial cut film and DSA imaging. Selective catheterisations with the catheter tip manoeuvred in one of the branches of the aorta, were performed on clinical indications. Generally, selective catheterisation was more complex and required more fluoroscopy time than non-selective catheterisation. However, it should be noted that some non-selective catheterisation procedures were very time consuming as well.

Diagrams of frequently applied diagnostic views are given in Figure 8.8 and 8.9. As these figures show, PA-views of supine patients were obtained with undertable X-ray tube positions.

Figure 8.8 Diagram of puncture site and exposed body areas during serial cut film radiography and DSA-imaging in intra-arterial abdominal arteriography, performed with Seldinger catheterisation of the supine patient, with undercouch tube geometry (PA, LAO, RAO, denote posterior-anterior, left anterior oblique, and right anterior oblique views respectively).

Figure 8.9 Diagram of puncture site and exposed body areas in intravenous abdominal arteriography of supine patients with undercouch tube geometry.

8.4.3 Dose measurement, selection and compression of recorded data

During this study, the X-ray system was equipped with the PC-based automated monitoring system which is described in Section 6.3. Using the same approach as applied

for peripheral arteriography, a representative data set was derived from a large exposure data set for abdominal arteriography. Data selection was carried out according to the criteria that weight, height and the height/weight-ratio of the patient did not differ more than 30% from the corresponding data of the GSF-Monte Carlo phantoms ADAM and EVA [KR86]. In addition, the following selection criteria were used: number of DSA-series not less than 1/3 and not more than 3 times the mean; number of film-series within 0.8 and 1.3 times the mean; dose-area products (DAP) for DSA, film, and fluoroscopy each within 1/10 and 10 times the average values. These criteria were chosen arbitrarily, balancing between two opposing objectives: (1) to include as many procedures in the studied data set as possible, and (2) to obtain typical exposure data for patients with body sizes, as similar as possible to the GSF phantoms. The resulting subgroup of procedures, trimmed for small and large anatomical sizes and for extreme radiation exposures, is referred to as "reference group". Using the DAP per data record for weighting, the resulting data set was condensed by merging data records of similar views, (see Section 6.3).

Intra-arterial abdominal arteriography procedures were classified into four groups:

- selective abdominal arteriography of the mesenteric artery and/or coeliac artery (AAMC),
- abdominal aortography, non selective (AAN),
- abdominal aortography, non selective, with blood sampling in aorta and in renal veins (AANB), and
- abdominal arteriography, non selective, extended with selective catheterisation for renal arteriography (AAR).

Abdominal arteriography procedures which could not be designated unambiguously in one of these four groups, were classified as a remaining group (AXXX). The group AAia comprises all intra-arterial abdominal arteriography procedures performed. It should be noted that in Chapter 7, the three subgroups AAN, AANB and AAR were combined into subgroup AAA. Abdominal arteriography by means of intravenous DSA (IV-DSA) for imaging of the aorta and renal arteries is denoted as AAiv.

8.4.4 Results

On average, intra-arterial abdominal arteriography procedures resulted in 68 data records per procedure (minimum=12 and maximum=230 records), each record containing 19 items. The average number of data records in intravenous arteriography was 21 (minimum=9, maximum=71). Using the selection criterions mentioned in Section 8.4.3, exposure data for 129 procedures, stored in about 4600 data records, were selected from a large data set of 152 procedures. Selected data records (the reference group) have been merged and compressed into 33 data records, each representing a diagnostic view.

Table 8.17 contains statistics on the number of imaging series (serial cut film series and DSA-series), the total number of images (radiographs and DSA-images), and the fluoroscopy time per procedure, both derived from all procedures monitored and from the reference group. Generally, only one imaging series was acquired at each location. However, if the diagnostic information obtained in a single run was not sufficient, the series was repeated.

Table 8.17 Statistics on imaging characteristics in abdominal arteriography

Imaging modality Proc. type ^a	All patients		P90	MAX	MEDIAN	MEAN	SEM	ref. group ^b	
	N	P10						median	mean
Fluoroscopy time [min]:									
AAMC	24	6	30	35	13	14.8	2	11.5	14.7
AAN	32	1	13	36	3	5.7	1	2.5	6.2
AANB	54	2	7	22	4	5.0	1	4.0	4.7
AAR	10	2	14	14	5	6.4	1	5.2	5.1
AXXX	20	5	23	30	9	11.5	2	-	-
AAia	140	2	21	36	5	7.9	1	-	-
AAiv	30	0	1	2	1	0.7	0	0.7	0.7
Number of film-series:									
AAMC	24	1	3	4	2	2.2	0	2.0	2.3
AAN	32	1	4	6	1	2.0	0	1.5	2.0
AANB	54	1	3	4	1	1.4	0	1.0	1.4
AAR	10	1	5	6	3	2.7	0	2.5	2.5
AXXX	20	1	4	7	3	2.0	0	-	-
AAia	140	1	4	7	2	2.0	0	-	-
Number of DSA-series:									
AAMC	6	1	3	3	2	2.0	0	2.0	2.2
AAN	14	1	2	4	2	1.7	0	1.5	1.7
AANB	40	1	3	4	2	1.8	0	2.0	1.8
AAR	2	2	2	2	2	2.0	0	-	-
AXXX	9	1	7	7	2	3.0	1	-	-
AAia	71	1	3	7	2	2.0	0	-	-
AAiv	32	2	3	5	3	2.7	0	3.0	2.8
Number of films:									
AAMC	24	15	45	60	30	30	2	30	32
AAN	32	9	20	25	12	13	1	13	13
AANB	54	8	18	38	11	12	1	11	11
AAR	10	12	35	36	28	26	3	29	26
AXXX	20	8	43	48	22	23	3	-	-
AAia	140	8	33	60	13	18	1	-	-
Number of DSA-frames:									
AAMC	6	22	54	54	38	37	6	47	40
AAN	14	8	50	52	26	25	4	20	24
AANB	40	9	33	50	25	22	2	24	22
AAR	2	26	34	34	30	30	4	-	-
AXXX	9	10	101	101	34	46	11	-	-
AAia	71	9	50	101	26	27	2	-	-
AAiv	32	26	74	109	47	49	4	47	51

^a AAN = IA-abdominal aortography (non selective); AANB = IA-aortography, including sampling of blood in aorta and renal veins; AAR = IA-arteriography of renal vessels; AAMC = IA-mesenteric and/or coeliac arteriography (selective); XXXX = remaining group of IA-abd. arteriography proc. AAia = all IA-abdominal arteriography procedures. AA_I denotes intravenous DSA arteriography of the aorta and renal arteries. ^b Statistics for the reference group (see text) are given for: 26 for AAN-proc., 48 for AANB-proc., 7 for AAR-proc., 20 for AAMC-proc., 29 for AAiv-proc. Within this combination of groups, DSA-imaging was performed in 10 AAN proc., 36 AANB proc., 1 AAR proc., and 29 AA_I procedures. Because of its low frequency, DSA in AAR was excluded from this table. Serial cut films were not applied in IV-DSA procedures (AAiv). P10, P90 = 10-, 90-percentile value; MEAN = arithmetic average; SEM = standard error of the mean.

Table 8.18 provides the results of dose-area product measurements (DAP) for each procedure type separately, both for all patients and for the reference group; relative contributions of different imaging techniques are included as well. Table 8.19 provides additional data derived from the group "all patients" on the relative contribution of the dose-area product as a function of projection angles (rotation in the transversal plane to obtain oblique or lateral views). Significant contributions of angulated views (angle more than 5°) were only found for DSA-15° in AAN (0.4%), DSA-15° in AANB(1%), DSA-(6°-9°) in AA_I (11%) and DSA-18° in AA_I (1%). The term "angulation" refers to rotating movements of the C-arm in the plane through the longitudinal axis of the patient to provide caudal-cranial, or cranial-caudal views.

Table 8.18 Statistics on the dose-area product and the relative contributions of imaging modalities per patient procedure in abdominal arteriography

Proc. type ^a	All patients							ref. group ^b	
	N	P10	P90	MAX	MEDIAN	MEAN	SEM	median	mean
Dose-area product (Gy · cm²):									
AAMC	24	37	110	159	62	68	6	62	65
AAN	32	13	54	171	22	36	6	21	38
AANB	54	18	69	91	36	38	3	35	36
AAR	10	21	62	63	39	42	5	39	43
AXXX	21	32	138	210	49	68	11	-	-
AAia	141	16	86	210	39	47	3	38	43
AAiv	32	12	59	99	28	32	3	29	33
Relative contribution of fluoroscopy in dose-area product (%):									
AAMC	24	26	72	85	49	49	4	46	47
AAN	32	20	52	79	34	37	3	37	39
AANB	54	22	57	84	32	36	2	31	35
AAR	10	22	61	62	41	42	5	37	34
AXXX	21	23	73	92	42	45	5	-	-
AAia	141	22	66	92	37	40	1	35	38
AAiv	32	5	14	36	8	9	1	8	8
Relative contribution of DSA in dose-area product (%):									
AAMC	6	21	42	42	32	32	4	29	30
AAN	14	18	65	65	41	39	5	34	37
AANB	40	23	49	52	39	37	2	39	37
AAR	2	22	42	42	32	32	10	-	-
AXXX	9	8	52	52	38	33	5	-	-
AAia	71	21	51	65	39	36	1	39	37
AAiv	32	86	95	97	92	91	1	92	92
Relative contribution of films in dose-area product (%):									
AAMC	24	22	70	86	38	43	4	42	45
AAN	32	18	72	91	50	46	4	52	46
AANB	54	20	64	74	32	37	2	34	37
AAR	10	22	76	86	58	52	7	59	59
AXXX	20	15	74	99	39	43	5	-	-
AAia	140	20	70	99	38	42	2	39	43

^a, ^b See footnotes of Table 8.17.

Data derived from the reference group, used as input data for Monte Carlo organ dose calculations for males and females have been summarised in Table 8.20. This table

comprises data about beam position and direction, field size, focus position, tube high voltage and entrance dose. Entrance dose estimates, derived from DAP measurement results, refer to the air kerma, free-in-air, at the beam entrance position. The position of the focus was derived by using the same xyz-coordinate system as applied for the GSF-phantoms ADAM and EVA. This table also comprises data about the mean relative contribution per view to the total dose-area product, the mean fraction of the total fluoroscopy time, and the mean fraction of the number of films and DSA-frames. It should be noted that geometrical data and data about tube high voltage were rounded to multiples of five.

Table 8.19 Relative contribution to the dose-area product of various diagnostic views as a function of the rotation angle

Procedure type ^a		Rotation						
		≤ 5°	6-15°	16-25°	26-35°	36-45°	90°	sum
imaging mode								
IA-arteriography:								
AAN	fluoro:	42 %	.5 %	.5 %	.5 %	-	-	44 %
	DSA:	14 %	3 %	4 %	2 %	1 %	-	24 %
	film:	30 %	-	1 %	.6 %	-	-	32 %
	total:	86 %	4 %	6 %	3 %	1 %	-	100 %
AANB	fluoro:	32 %	2 %	2 %	.6 %	-	-	37 %
	DSA:	5 %	9 %	12 %	5 %	.6 %	1 %	32 %
	film:	31 %	-	-	-	-	-	31 %
	total:	68 %	11 %	14 %	6 %	.6 %	1 %	100 %
AAR	fluoro:	29 %	2 %	2 %	-	-	-	33 %
	DSA:	-	-	10 %	-	-	-	10 %
	film:	50 %	5 %	2 %	-	-	-	57 %
	total:	79 %	7 %	14 %	-	-	-	100 %
AAMC	fluoro:	42 %	-	-	-	-	6 %	48 %
	DSA:	6 %	-	-	-	-	3 %	9 %
	film:	43 %	-	-	-	-	-	43 %
	total:	91 %	-	-	-	-	9 %	100 %
IV-DSA (AA_I):	fluoro:	7 %	.1 %	.6 %	.2 %	-	-	8 %
	DSA:	81 %	-	6 %	4 %	-	1 %	92 %
	total:	88 %	-	7 %	4 %	-	1 %	100 %

^a See footnotes of Table 8.17. Relative contributions were calculated from dose-area product data, summed over male and female patients for each group. Relative contributions are given for angles of rotation of the C-arm in the transaxial plane, providing oblique or lateral views. "sum" contains rounded figures.

Table 8.20 Derived data about exposure conditions, used for Monte Carlo organ dose calculations in abdominal arteriography with undercouch tube geometry^a

Imaging mode	rot. ^b	Focus position ^c			Field size ^d at 1 m (longxlat) [cmxcm]	HV [kV]	mean dose ^e [mGy]	rel. DAP ^f at 1 m [%]	rel. FLU ^g [%]	rel. img. ^h [%]
		z- axis [cm]	y- axis [cm]	x- axis [cm]						
diagnostic view										
MALE PATIENTS										
Fluoroscopy:										
abd. (m1)	0°	30	75	0	25x20	85	7.4	25%	79%	-
abd. (m2)	20°	30	70	27	25x25	85	7.7	1%	3%	-
abd. (m3)	90°	30	0	80	25x15	105	11.8	1%	2%	-
abd. (m4)	0°	20	80	0	30x20	80	7.8	3%	12%	-
DSA:										
abd. (m5)	0°	30	80	0	34x25	80	1.3	20%	-	41%
abd. (m6)	15°	30	80	30	20x20	85	2.2	4%	-	9%
abd. (m7)	25°	30	70	35	30x25	85	2.3	11%	-	24%
pelvis(m8)	0°	10	80	0	34x30	75	1.0	9%	-	25%
Films:										
abd. (m9)	0°	45	85	0	30x30	75	1.3	1%	-	3%
abd. (m10)	0°	30	85	0	30x30	75	1.2	23%	-	86%
abd. (m11)	0°	15	85	0	30x30	75	1.0	2%	-	10%
FEMALE PATIENTS										
Fluoroscopy:										
abd. (f1)	0°	55	75	0	25x15	85	7.8	3%	7%	-
abd. (f2)	0°	40	80	0	20x15	80	7.4	5%	13%	-
abd. (f3)	0°	30	80	0	25x20	80	6.4	22%	56%	-
abd. (f4)	90°	30	5	75	20x15	95	11.7	2%	5%	-
abd. (f5)	25°	25	70	30	25x25	80	8.0	1%	2%	-
abd. (f6)	0°	15	75	0	25x20	75	5.6	3%	9%	-
pelv. (f7)	85°	10	5	70	25x15	95	12.6	1%	3%	-
pelv. (f8)	0°	5	75	0	15x20	75	6.2	3%	6%	-
DSA:										
abd. (f9)	0°	30	80	0	30x30	75	1.2	10%	-	40%
abd. (f10)	15°	30	80	0	20x20	80	2.2	3%	-	10%
abd. (f11)	30°	30	65	35	10x10	90	2.8	1%	-	4%
abd. (f12)	90°	30	0	75	25x15	90	3.4	2%	-	7%
abd. (f13)	25°	25	75	35	25x25	80	2.4	6%	-	21%
pelv. (f14)	0°	15	80	0	34x30	75	0.8	2%	-	8%
Films:										
abd. (f15)	15°	30	75	20	25x20	80	1.5	1%	-	3%
abd. (f16)	0°	25	85	0	30x30	75	1.1	34%	-	93%
pelv. (f17)	0°	10	85	0	30x25	75	1.1	1%	-	4%

^a Data for males were derived from 44 IA-arteriography and 21 IV-DSA procedures, for females from 57 IA-art. and 8 IV-DSA procedures; ^b "rot." denotes the angle of rotation. 0° corresponds with PA-views of a supine patient (undercouch tube position); ^c x,y,z-coordinates refer to the GSF-phantoms ADAM and EVA; ^d field size were derived for a reference point at 1 m from the focus; ^e "mean dose at 1 m" refers to the mean dose rate during fluoroscopy [mGy/min], to the mean dose per film [mGy/film], or to the mean dose per DSA-frame [mGy/frame]. Beam filtration = 4.5 mm Al; table support plus window in X-ray housing = 1.5 mm Al-eq. Total = 6 mm Al-eq.; ^f "rel. DAP" refers to the relative contribution of each diagnostic view to the total dose-area product; ^g "rel. FLU" refers to the fluoroscopy time per view, relative to the total fluoroscopy time; ^h "rel. img." refers to the number of DSA-frames or films per diagnostic view, relative to the total number of DSA-frames or films respectively; ⁱ angle of angulation = 10-15°.

8.4.4 Discussion

From results of an inquiry into the methods and the magnitude of non-cardiovascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992 [KI95], the number of intra-arterial (IA) abdominal arteriography procedures, relative to all IA-arteriography procedures, was estimated to be about 12% to 17%; for IV-DSA procedures, the relative frequency of abdominal procedures was estimated to be 10% to 30%. This relatively large uncertainty in the frequency of abdominal IV-DSA procedures is caused by a large proportion of undifferentiated abdominal and iliac/lower limb procedures. Because of this uncertainty, the frequency ratio of IA-procedures/IV-DSA procedures for abdominal arteriography could not be estimated better than 1.4 to 7.

In the University Hospital Maastricht (azM), twenty-three percent of the IA-arteriography procedures was classified as abdominal arteriography, for IV-DSA the relative frequency was 14%. The ratio of the numbers of intra-arterial procedures by intravenous procedures was equal to 3.8. The relative frequency of males in intra-arterial abdominal arteriography procedures was equal to 53%, in intravenous DSA 74% (1990-1993).

It should be noted once more that statistics on technique parameters have been derived for selected procedures that meet the criteria for patient size and usage characteristics of the X-ray system. Procedures with extremely small or large numbers of images, fluoroscopy time and very obese or small patients were excluded from this group. Comparisons of means and medians of imaging characteristics and dose-area products pertaining to "all patients" and to the "reference group" can be carried out by using the data presented in Table 8.17 and 8.18.

Diagnostic Imaging:

Table 8.17 shows that the fluoroscopy time per procedure varied between about 1 minute and 35 minutes. The mean fluoroscopy time in IV-DSA was about 40 seconds, about 5 minutes in non-selective intra-arteriography, and about 15 minutes in selective intra-arteriography of the mesenteric and/or coeliac arteries. This large difference between IV-DSA and intra-arterial arteriography is mainly associated with the technique of contrast medium administration. Whereas intra-arterial arteriography is a complex technique, which requires a relatively large amount of fluoroscopy time for accurate positioning of the catheter tip, IV-DSA on the other hand is a relatively simple technique which requires only short times of fluoroscopy for previewing and adjustments of DSA-views.

Table 8.17 clearly demonstrates the wide variations in the application of the X-ray system in different types of abdominal arteriography and the variation within each type. It is obvious that the frequencies of DSA- and film-series per procedure depend on the procedure type. Some IA-abdominal procedures involved DSA-imaging, whereas others involved film imaging only. In the majority of the procedures, both film- and DSA-imaging were applied. Films were not applied in IV-DSA procedures. The number of film series varied between 1 and 7, the number of films between 3 (not shown in Table 8.17) and 60. The number of DSA-series varied between 1 and 7. The average number of DSA-series was 2 in IA-DSA, and 2.7 in IV-DSA. The total number of DSA-frames per procedure varied between about 5 (not shown in Table 8.17) and 109. The large variability in fluoroscopy time and the number of DSA-frames is probably related to the

broad range of pathologies, the patient (condition of blood vessels, blood flow) and partly to the radiologist (grade of experience).

Dose-area product:

The dose-area product per patient procedure varied between about $5 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ (not shown in Table 8.18) and $210 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$. This range factor of 40, varying between 3 and 15 for selected procedure type, is relatively small. For instance, in peripheral arteriography a range factor of 100 was found, varying between 20 and 35 per procedure type (see Section 8.2.1).

It should be noted that, despite of the large difference in fluoroscopy time, the means for DAP in IV-D SA procedures and non-selective IA arteriography (AAN, AANB) were nearly the same (about $35 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$). It is obvious that in IV-D SA more D SA-frames were required than in IA-D SA (see Table 8.17). Mean DAP ($68 \text{ Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$) in selective arteriography of the mesenteric and coeliac arteries (AAMC) was a factor of two higher than in non-selective procedures.

The mean relative contribution of fluoroscopy in IA-arteriography was about 35% (range: about 10% to 90%). In IV-D SA, fluoroscopy contributed about 8% on average (range: about 5% to 36%). Mean relative contributions of D SA and film in IA-arteriography were equal to about 36% and 43% respectively. Relative contributions associated with D SA-imaging varied between 0% and 65% per procedure, film-contributions varied between 0% and 99%. This broad range in DAP-contribution per imaging mode clearly indicates large differences between angiography procedures of individual patients.

Generally speaking, variations in DAP will be both associated with differences in usage characteristics of the X-ray system such as fluoroscopy time and the number of images, and with differences in patient size.

The relative contributions of DAP from oblique projections to total DAP were computed as a function of the rotation of the X-ray stand in the transversal plane and the angulation in the axial plane. Table 8.19 shows that the contributions of rotated fluoroscopic views were very small, whereas rotated D SA-views contributed significantly. For example, in AANB procedures the relative contribution of rotated D SA views is estimated to be 27% of the total DAP. Significant contributions of rotated film-imaging were found in AAR-procedures. Contributions of angulated views (angulation more than 5°) were found to be quite small (see Table 8.19, legend). It was concluded that the angle of angulation could be set to 0° .

Field size:

Mean field sizes were derived for a reference point at 1 m from the focus (data not shown in a table). In IV-D SA procedures the average field size was 950 cm^2 ($34 \times 28 \text{ cm}^2$), with only minor differences in fluoroscopy and D SA-imaging (differences less than 3%). Averaged over all three imaging modes in intra-arterial arteriography, the mean field size was about 680 cm^2 ($27 \times 25 \text{ cm}^2$). The largest variation was found in AAMC-procedures, in which the mean field size was 390 cm^2 ($23 \times 17 \text{ cm}^2$) during fluoroscopy, 650 cm^2 ($27 \times 24 \text{ cm}^2$) during D SA, and 990 cm^2 ($31 \times 32 \text{ cm}^2$) during film radiography. In the other types of IA-procedures, the mean field size during fluoroscopy was 500 cm^2 . Mean film field sizes and mean D SA fields per type varied between 530 and 930 cm^2 and between 630 and 780 cm^2 respectively.

Tube high voltage:

Tube high voltage varied between 66 kV and 106 kV (not shown in a table). For each imaging mode, the relative contribution of the DAP to the total DAP was computed per high voltage setting. Results showed that during fluoroscopy 90% of the total DAP (from the 5-percentile to the 95-percentile value) was associated with tube high voltage settings between 75 kV and 97 kV (median = 82 kV). During DSA-imaging, 90% of DAP was associated with the high voltage range 71 kV to 89 kV (median = 79 kV); during serial cut film radiography 90% of the DAP resulted from tube high voltage settings between 72 kV to 78 kV (median = 76 kV).

Quantitative data about exposure conditions:

Derived quantitative exposure data on 28 diagnostic views (comprising fluoroscopy, DSA, serial cut films) have been compiled in Table 8.20 (from the originally derived set of diagnostic views, comprising 33 views, five views which contributed less than 0.5% each to the total DAP were excluded). The difference in the number of views in males ($n=11$) and females ($n=17$) is probably associated with the relatively small number of procedures. There is no evidence that the number of views depends on gender.

In all, it is concluded that PA-views in the abdominal region contributed about 70% to the total DAP per procedure: for male patients, PA-views contributed 68% (view no. m1, m5, m10; z-coordinate = 30-25 cm; rotation = 0°); for female patients 66% (view no. f3, f9, f16).

From the exposure data of the reference group (Table 8.20) the DAP per imaging modality, relative to the total DAP, is estimated to be: 30% for fluoroscopy, 44% for DSA and 26% for film radiography for male patients, and as 40% for fluoroscopy, 24% for DSA and 36% for female patients. These sex-specific differences are associated with differences in the relative number of selected procedures per procedure type. As shown in Table 8.20 (footnote a), the relative number of IV-DSA procedures for males is 32%, whereas the relative number of IV-DSA proc. for females is only 13%. It can easily be concluded from the preceding discussion that the low frequency of IV-DSA procedures for females corresponds indeed with a relatively low contribution of DSA, and high contributions of fluoroscopy and film.

Because of the selection criteria applied, the exposure data derived can be regarded as typical for the average patient, associated with an "average" procedure. Obviously, because of differences in patient body size, the quality of the blood vessels and catheterisation technique and imaging procedures applied, individual patient exposure conditions can differ significantly from the typical data derived in this study.

8.5 Organ doses and effective dose to patients in abdominal arteriography

8.5.1 Introduction

Exposure conditions for supine patients in abdominal arteriography with undercouch tube geometry have been described in Section 8.4. As published Monte Carlo organ dose data do not match these exposure conditions, the TNO Centre for Radiological Protection and Dosimetry (TNO,CSD) in Rijswijk has performed additional organ dose calculations. The

term "organ dose" is used for short to denote "mean organ equivalent dose". Computational results and effective dose estimates are discussed in this section.

8.5.2 Monte Carlo organ dose calculations and effective dose estimations

Monte Carlo (MC) organ dose calculations by TNO [SC94, SC94a] were performed with two phantoms, identical to the phantoms ADAM and EVA used by GSF [KR86]. It should be noted that MC data published by GSF represent organ doses, relative to the entrance dose (more precisely: relative to the air kerma, free-in-air, at the skin entrance position). However, as we recognised that data about the dose-area product (DAP) are generally more easily available than entrance dose data, we requested TNO to calculate organ doses, relative to the dose-area product. MC dose calculations by TNO were performed by simulating the interactions and energy depositions of 2,000,000 photons for each single view. It required approximately ten hours processing time per view to track the history of such a large number of photons.

Due to limited resources, a subset of views was selected from the set of views quantified in Table 8.20. Taking the relative DAP of each view into consideration, nine views were selected: five for males (m1, m5, m7, m8 and m10) and four for females (f3, f9, f13, f16). The sum of the mean relative DAPs of these selected views is equal to 88% for males, and 72% for females.

Two selected views could not be processed (view nos. m1 and m7) because of limitations to the set of X-ray spectra available. Organ dose estimates for these views were computed by interpolating results for nearby high voltage settings. In order to determine the high voltage dependence, organ dose calculations were performed for four different high voltage settings for one view (view no. m1), namely 75 kV, 80 kV, 90 kV and 100 kV.

Final results of Monte Carlo organ dose computations will be presented as "organ dose conversion coefficient", DCC_{DAP} , denoting the ratio of organ dose equivalent by dose-area product ($mSv \cdot Gy^{-1} \cdot cm^2$). Using tissue weighting factors defined by ICRP (see Table 2.1), effective dose conversion factors per unit dose-area product, ECC_{dap} , were calculated for each single diagnostic view. ECC_{DAP} for views which were not included in the subset of nine were estimated from published data about PA and rotated views of the abdominal region, presented in Section 6.4 and appendix D. Finally, effective doses were computed by summation for all views, the products of ECC_{DAP} and mean DAP per view. These calculations were carried out for five procedure types listed in Table 8.17. Inaccuracies in the final results, due to arbitrary designations of values to ECC_{DAP} for views that were not included in the subset used for Monte Carlo computations, have been addressed as well.

Because of high values for DCC_{DAP} of some organs in the remaining group, some views could be a candidate for application of footnote 3 of Table 2 in ICRP Publication 60 [IC91], which reads as follow: *"In those exceptional cases in which a single one of the remainder tissues or organs receives an equivalent dose in excess of the highest dose in any of the twelve organs for which a weighting factor is specified, a weighting factor of 0.025 should be applied to that tissue or organ and a weighting factor of 0.025 to the average dose in the rest of the remainder as defined above."*

Effective doses were computed both with and without the application of this recommendation. In order to evaluate its consequences.

8.5.3 Results and discussion

DCC_{DAP} and ECC_{DAP} Monte Carlo results

Final results of Monte Carlo organ dose calculations, DCC_{DAP}, performed by TNO are given in Table 8.21. Results refer to supine positioned GSF-phantoms ADAM and EVA, with undercouch X-ray tube geometries. Values for DCC_{DAP} vary from less than 0.0001 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm² to 2.6 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm². Data for views m7 and f13 presented in this table, were calculated as mean values for LAO- and RAO-projections, presented in Table 8.23. Results for 85 kV were derived by linear interpolation between 80 and 90 kV. It should be noted that the range for effective dose conversion coefficients per view, ECC_{DAP}, for male patients is quite small (0.065 to 0.109 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm²). The same conclusion holds for female patients (range 0.132 to 0.225 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm²). ECC_{DAP}-values for females exceed ECC_{DAP}-values for males. This difference is mainly associated with the relatively high contribution of the ovaries to the effective dose for females.

Dependence of tube high voltage

DCC_{DAP} and ECC_{DAP} for male patients for one selected PA-view (view no. m1), performed with different tube high voltage settings (HV) are given in Table 8.22. Results show that both DCC_{DAP} and ECC_{DAP} increase from 75 to 90 kV; between 90 and 100 kV no differences were found. It follows from these data that the minimum-maximum range of ECC_{DAP} for PA views in the abdominal region, in dependence of HV, is equal to about a factor of 2. Table 8.23 shows that by increasing the tube high voltage from 80 kV to 90 kV in oblique views, ECC_{DAP} for males increased about 10% to 25%. It should be noted that increased ECC_{DAP}-values for high tube high voltage (HV) do not indicate high effective doses at high HV settings. As pointed out by Drexler et al. [DR93] and many others, if HV settings are increased, the corresponding DAP-values decrease, which results in a decrease of the effective dose to the patient.

Dependence of beam direction

DCC_{DAP} and ECC_{DAP} pertaining to two oblique views (m7 and f13) are given both for LAO- and for RAO-projection directions in Table 8.23. Data for male patients were calculated for 80 and 90 kV, data for females for 80 kV. DCC_{DAP} and ECC_{DAP} for 85 kV for males were derived by interpolating results for 80 and 90 kV. Comparison of corresponding views shows that, due to non-symmetrical positions of organs, DCC_{DAP} values of various organs differ. Apart from 80 kV for males, beam direction dependence was found for all projections. ECC_{DAP} for RAO-directions is about 10% higher than ECC_{DAP} for LAO-directions. This difference mainly results from increased values for DCC_{DAP} for colon and stomach in RAO-projections; apparently the decrease in DCC_{DAP} for liver only partly compensates this effect.

Calculation of E, according to a specific recommendation in ICRP 60

Estimates for the effective dose were derived both with and without application of the dose calculation rule included in footnote 3 of Table 2 in ICRP Publication 60 [IC91]. Literally, footnote 3 only applies to exposure conditions where the dose of one single remaining organ exceeds the highest dose of the group of selected organs. In the diagnostic views included in Table 8.21, generally two or more DCC_{DAP} for remaining organs are higher than the highest DCC_{DAP} of the selected organs. However, as other views could be a candidate for the application of footnote 3, comparison has been carried

out to determine the consequences of this approach. Table 8.24 provides the results of calculations, applying a tissue weighting factor of 0.025 to the remainder organ with the highest dose, and the mass weighted fraction of 0.025 to all other remainder organs. Comparison shows that ratios of effective dose calculations including footnote 3, by effective doses without footnote 3, vary between about 1 and 1.5. At 75 kV for view no. m1, this ratio was even about 1.7 (0.093/0.054). In conclusion, by applying footnote 3, the effective dose estimate may increase with about 50%. In this study footnote 3 was not applied. Firstly, because no single view, quantified in Table 8.21, fully met the application criterion. Secondly, it was expected that the sum values of organ doses, calculated by summation the contributions of single views in a procedure, would not meet the application criterion either. A third reason for not using this calculation rule is that the effective dose would no longer maintain its additive character. This aspect has recently been studied by Zankl [ZA95].

Mean effective dose estimates

Final results of the assessment of the mean effective dose to patients in abdominal arteriography are presented in Table 8.25. Depending on the procedure type, the mean effective dose was estimated to be about 3 to 12 mSv per procedure. Comparison of relative effective dose contributions of the imaging modes involved, shows that fluoroscopy in intra-arterial arteriography amounted to 25-55%. In IV-DSA, fluoroscopy contributed about 10%.

As described in Section 8.5.2, additional organ dose calculations by TNO and the determination of ECC_{DAP} could only be performed for a set of selected views (9 out of 28). As described below, ECC_{DAP} for the remaining 19 views, accounting for 12% of mean DAP-male and 28% of mean DAP-female only, were derived indirectly. Since the ECC_{DAP} -values for these views were considered as less accurate, compared with TNO data, the contributions of these different data sources have been determined. Table 8.26 shows the contribution of ECC_{DAP} based on results of MC calculations performed by TNO, relative to the final effective dose estimates. Relative contributions of the TNO data to the effective dose are estimated to be 60% to 96% for a complete procedure. Contributions of TNO data to the effective dose, associated with specified imaging modes vary between 30% and 100%. It should be noted that the 30%-contribution is of minor importance, because it is associated with fluoroscopy in IV-DSA procedures. The relatively low contributions of 35% and 40% in fluoroscopy during two intra-arterial abdominal procedures may attribute significantly to the inaccuracy. An analysis of the sensitivity of the effective dose was carried out by recalculating the effective dose with adapted values for ECC_{DAP} for the 19 remaining views. A range for the effective dose was derived by using for ECC_{DAP} both a small value ($0.05 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$, being about one half of the mean for males) and a large value ($0.3 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$, being about two times the mean for females). Results are given in Table 8.27.

Comparison of estimated low and high estimates for the effective dose with dose estimates presented in Table 8.25, show that, if doses in Table 8.25 are used as reference values, overestimation may vary between about 3% (AAiv-procedures for males) to about 25% (AAiv- and AAN-procedures for females). Considering underestimation, it is very unlikely that estimated values underestimate the effective dose by more than about 20%-50%, depending on the procedure type. Taking limited resources into considerations, it is concluded that the selected subset of views, used for additional calculations by TNO, is very useful for dose estimation.

ECC/DAP-ratios for complete procedures

From DAP data pertaining to the procedures selected for dose calculations (reference group) and from final estimates for the mean effective dose (Table 8.21), mean E/DAP-ratios were calculated for each single procedure type. Results, given in Table 8.28, vary between 0.08 and 0.20 mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻². These findings indicate that, averaged for the procedures discussed, a sex-averaged E/DAP-ratio of 0.15 mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻² may be considered as appropriate.

Table 8.21 Organ dose and effective dose per dose-area product conversion coefficients for abdominal arteriography

View No. ^{a,b} --->	Males					Females			
	m1	m5	m7	m8	m10	f3	f9	f13	f16
	pa	pa	obl	pa	pa	pa	pa	obl	pa
Organ	85 kV	80 kV	85 kV	75 kV	75 kV	80 kV	75 kV	80 kV	75 kV
<i>Organ dose per dose-area product (mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻²)</i>									
Bladder	0.019	0.024	0.023	0.189	0.009	0.017	0.021	0.042	0.060
Bone marrow	0.210	0.214	0.212	0.154	0.124	0.200	0.211	0.260	0.283
Bone surface	0.329	0.300	0.298	0.157	0.179	0.341	0.337	0.299	0.333
Breast	-	-	-	-	-	0.017	0.037	0.006	0.013
Colon	0.067	0.091	0.098	0.275	0.055	0.068	0.111	0.146	0.195
Liver	0.221	0.277	0.333	0.004	0.221	0.336	0.512	0.254	0.350
Lung	0.036	0.057	0.051	<	0.030	0.067	0.149	0.024	0.046
Oesophagus	0.022	0.030	0.029	<	0.014	0.038	0.057	0.011	0.019
Ovaries	-	-	-	-	-	0.075	0.100	0.252	0.435
Skin	0.095	0.091	0.102	0.095	0.080	0.113	0.126	0.123	0.126
Stomach	0.285	0.250	0.275	0.006	0.158	0.351	0.398	0.237	0.315
Testes	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.088	<	-	-	-	-
Thyroid	0.001	0.001	<	<	<	<	0.003	<	<
-remainder									
Adrenals	0.883	1.320	1.205	0.002	0.728	2.060	1.530	0.227	0.633
Brain	<	<	<	<	<	<	0.001	<	0.001
Kidneys	2.445	1.840	2.085	0.014	1.260	2.580	2.180	2.195	1.880
Muscle	0.145	0.128	0.147	0.113	0.092	0.157	0.177	0.161	0.177
Pancreas	0.638	0.479	0.589	0.003	0.282	0.744	0.665	0.358	0.517
Small intest.	0.522	0.439	0.493	0.156	0.242	0.449	0.480	0.609	0.570
Spleen	0.245	0.403	0.692	0.004	0.509	0.365	1.060	0.490	0.844
Thymus	0.006	0.006	0.006	<	0.003	0.009	0.011	0.002	0.009
U. l. intest.	0.416	0.330	0.383	0.095	0.191	0.408	0.421	0.485	0.471
Uterus	-	-	-	-	-	0.068	0.089	0.183	0.325
<i>Effective dose per dose-area product (mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻²)</i>									
E	0.098	0.102	0.109	0.088	0.065	0.132	0.171	0.160	0.225

^a Quantitative descriptions of exposure conditions for single views are given in Table 8.20. *pa* and *obl* denote posterior-anterior and oblique views respectively; ^b the "-" sign denotes "not applicable", "<" denotes "less than 0.001 mSv·Gy⁻¹·cm⁻²".

Range of effective doses to the patient

If the patient size and gender are not taken into consideration, maximum values for abdominal arteriography procedure types can be estimated by multiplying means for derived sex-specific E/DAP-ratios by maximum DAP data presented in Table 8.18.

Maximum values calculated in this manner are 26 mSv for AAN (0.15 x 171), 27 mSv for AAMC (0.17 x 159), and 13 mSv for AAiv (0.13 x 99). The maximum value for all intra-arterial procedures is estimated to be 36 mSv (0.17 x 210).

The inaccuracy in these estimates due to systematic errors, discussed in the preceding paragraphs, is very likely to be less than about 50%.

Table 8.22 Tube high voltage dependence of organ dose and effective dose per dose-area product conversion coefficients for a pa view in abdominal arteriography of male patients

Organ	Tube high voltage				
	75 kV	80 kV	85 kV ^b	90 kV	100 kV
<i>Organ dose per dose-area product (mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm²)</i>					
Bladder	0.008	0.015	0.019	0.023	0.023
Bone marrow	0.124	0.185	0.210	0.236	0.216
Bone surface	0.208	0.304	0.329	0.354	0.339
Colon	0.033	0.057	0.067	0.076	0.075
Liver	0.114	0.195	0.221	0.248	0.249
Lung	0.016	0.031	0.036	0.042	0.039
Oesophagus	0.008	0.018	0.022	0.025	0.025
Skin	0.078	0.091	0.095	0.098	0.096
Stomach	0.146	0.252	0.285	0.318	0.320
Thyroid	<	0.001	0.001	0.001	<
Testes	<	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001
-remainder					
Adrenals	0.541	0.809	0.883	0.957	0.944
Brain	<	<	<	<	<
Kidneys	1.700	2.300	2.445	2.590	2.530
Muscle	0.095	0.134	0.145	0.157	0.154
Pancreas	0.341	0.564	0.638	0.712	0.715
Small intest.	0.284	0.464	0.522	0.581	0.577
Spleen	0.128	0.216	0.245	0.274	0.266
Thymus	0.002	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.005
U. l. intest.	0.221	0.368	0.416	0.465	0.467
<i>Effective dose per dose-area product (mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm²)</i>					
E	0.054	0.087	0.098	0.110	0.107

^a Diagnostic view is defined in Table 8.20 (view no. ml); ^b Data for 85 kV were derived by interpolating 80 kV and 90 kV data; ^c "<" denotes "less than 0.001 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm²".

Table 8.23 Organ dose and effective dose per dose-area product conversion coefficients for two oblique views in abdominal arteriography

Organ	View No. ^{a,b}						Females (f13)	
	Males (view no. m7)						LAO	
	LAO 80 kV	LAO 85 kV ^c	LAO 90 kV	RAO 80 kV	RAO 85 kV ^c	RAO 90 kV	LAO 80 kV	RAO 80 kV
<i>Organ dose per dose-area product (mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm²)</i>								
Bladder	0.021	0.024	0.026	0.019	0.023	0.026	0.042	0.043
Bone marrow	0.202	0.217	0.232	0.183	0.207	0.231	0.254	0.267
Bone surface	0.299	0.306	0.313	0.270	0.291	0.312	0.291	0.307
Breast	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.007	0.006
Colon	0.076	0.081	0.086	0.101	0.115	0.130	0.119	0.173
Liver	0.432	0.449	0.467	0.191	0.217	0.243	0.289	0.219
Lung	0.050	0.053	0.056	0.042	0.049	0.056	0.023	0.025
Oesophagus	0.028	0.030	0.032	0.024	0.027	0.031	0.011	0.011
Ovaries	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.248	0.256
Skin	0.102	0.103	0.105	0.096	0.100	0.105	0.119	0.128
Stomach	0.198	0.212	0.227	0.298	0.338	0.379	0.194	0.280
Testes	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	-	-
-remainder								
Adrenals	1.190	1.225	1.260	1.090	1.185	1.280	0.220	0.234
Kidneys	2.070	2.120	2.170	1.930	2.050	2.170	2.100	2.290
Muscle	0.144	0.148	0.153	0.134	0.145	0.157	0.157	0.166
Pancreas	0.459	0.484	0.510	0.621	0.695	0.769	0.320	0.396
Small intest.	0.477	0.501	0.526	0.429	0.484	0.540	0.595	0.624
Spleen	0.298	0.312	0.326	0.994	1.072	1.150	0.336	0.644
U. l. intest.	0.395	0.416	0.438	0.309	0.351	0.393	0.520	0.451
Uterus	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.180	0.186
<i>Effective dose per dose-area product (mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm²)</i>								
E	0.100	0.106	0.112	0.099	0.112	0.125	0.151	0.169

^a Diagnostic views m7 and f13 are defined in Table 8.20. LAO and RAO denote left anterior oblique and right anterior oblique views respectively. DCC for thyroid (<0.002), brain (<0.001), thymus (<0.009), exclusive; ^b the “-” sign denotes “not applicable”, “<” denotes “less than 0.001 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm²”; ^c Data for 85 kV were derived by interpolating 80 kV and 90 kV data.

Table 8.24 Effective dose per dose-area product conversion coefficients ,ECC_{DAP}, calculated both with and without the application of footnote 3, Table 2, ICRP Publication 60

ECC _{DAP} ^a	View No.								
	m1	m5	m7	m8	m10	f3	f9	f13	f16
	pa 85 kV	pa 80 kV	obl 85 kV	pa 75 kV	pa 75 kV	pa 80 kV	pa 75 kV	obl 80 kV	pa 75 kV
excl.	0.098	0.102	0.109	0.088	0.065	0.132	0.171	0.160	0.225
incl.	0.156	0.145	0.157	0.086	0.094	0.192	0.221	0.211	0.267

^a excl. = footnote 3 was not applied; incl. = footnote 3 was applied.

Table 8.25 Mean effective doses to patients and mean relative contribution to the effective dose per imaging mode in abdominal arteriography^a

Procedure type ^b	No. of procedures	Mean effective dose (mSv)	Relative contribution to the effective dose		
Gender			Fluoro.	DSA	film
Males:					
AAMC	7	6.0	57%	-	43%
AAN	11	4.9	40%	40%	20%
AANB	24	3.3	40%	38%	22%
AAR	2	2.3	56%	-	44%
AAiv	21	3.2	7%	93%	-
Females:					
AAMC	13	11.9	37%	12%	51%
AAN	15	5.3	43%	8%	49%
AANB	24	6.4	29%	25%	45%
AAR	5	7.1	24%	76%	-
AAiv	8	4.7	10%	90%	-

^a beam filtration: 4.5 mm Al in X-ray beam + 1.5 mm for patient support. "-" denotes "not applicable".

^bAAMC= IA-mesenteric and/or coeliac arteriography (selective); AAN=IA-abdominal aortography, non selective; AANB= IA-aortography, extended with blood sampling in aorta and in renal veins; AAR= IA-arteriography of renal vessels; AAiv=intravenous DSA arteriography of the aorta and renal arteries.

Table 8.26 Contributions of selected views to the mean total effective dose and mean effective doses per imaging mode in abdominal arteriography procedures^a

Procedure type ^b	$\bar{E}$ (mSv/proc.)	$\bar{E}_{\text{for 9 sel. views}}$ (mSv/proc.)	Relative contributions of 9 selected views			
			total	fluor.	DSA	film
Males:						
AAMC	6.0	4.3	73%	75%	-	69%
AAN	4.9	4.1	86%	83%	93%	78%
AANB	3.3	2.6	78%	77%	70	97%
AAR	2.3	2.0	87%	77%	-	100%
AAiv	3.2	3.1	96%	71%	99%	-
Females:						
AAMC	11.9	8.8	74%	40%	68%	100%
AAN	5.3	3.5	66%	35%	100%	87%
AANB	6.4	4.8	76%	63%	59%	94%
AAR	7.1	6.1	86%	71%	-	91%
AAiv	4.7	2.9	60%	30%	64%	-

^{a,b} See footnotes of Table 8.25.

Table 8.27 Estimates for the mean effective doses in abdominal arteriography, using low and high values for E/DAP-ratios for non-selected views^a

Procedure type ^b	$\bar{E}$ (mSv/procedure)	
	E/DAP ^c =0.05	E/DAP=0.3
Males:		
AAMC	5.1	9.2
AAN	4.5	6.2
AANB	2.9	4.7
AAR	2.1	2.9
AAiv	3.2	3.5
Females:		
AAMC	9.8	14
AAN	4.0	6.5
AANB	5.3	7.6
AAR	6.5	8.1
AAiv	3.4	5.8

^{a,b} See footnotes of Table 8.25; ^c E/DAP-ratios in mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm².

Table 8.28 Mean ratio of effective dose by dose-area product for abdominal arteriography procedure^a

Procedure type ^b	E/DAP-ratio (mSv · Gy ⁻¹ · cm ²)	
	Males	Females
AAMC	0.14	0.19
AAN	0.10	0.20
AANB	0.09	0.18
AAR	0.08	0.16
AAiv	0.10	0.15

^{a,b} See footnotes of Table 8.25.

8.6 Discussion

Conventional dose assessments for complex procedures generally comprise monitoring of a small number of measurable quantities, resulting in integrated values that are representative for the complete procedure [KA82, FI95]. Because of loss of differential information, effective dose estimates derived from these integrating measurements may be highly inaccurate. In this study, detailed measurements were performed. Collected data were used to produce Monte Carlo organ dose data sets, previously not available. The exposure data collected were used to derive reliable estimates for the mean effective dose to the patient, exposed to X-rays in PA-views.

Comparison of DAP to effective dose conversion factors (E/DAP-ratios) derived in this study with E/DAP ratios estimated from previously published data or calculated from a commercially available software package (see Table 3.7, [ST93, CA95], Tables 6.5-6.7 [GR94, HA94, LE94, MA94, PE95]) shows the following picture:

Peripheral arteriography:

- Mean ratios of E by DAP, derived in this study (see Section 8.3.4), vary between 0.07 and 0.19 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm² for intra-arterial procedures, and between 0.14 and 0.19 mSv · Gy⁻¹ · cm² for intravenous procedures.

• E/DAP-ratios derived from literature on peripheral vascular radiology (Table 3.7), were estimated to be $0.09 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ [ST93] and $0.24 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ [CA95]. E/DAP-ratios derived from other published data (Table 6.7), were estimated to be $0.1 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ for intra-arterial procedures and $0.2 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ for intravenous peripheral arteriography procedures (Table 6.7).

Abdominal arteriography:

• Averaged over various procedure types, a sex-averaged E/DAP-ratio of $0.15 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ was derived in this study.

• Castellano et al. [CA95] estimated E/DAP-ratio for hepatic and renal arteriography to be $0.17 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ (Table 3.7). The E/DAP-ratio for abdominal arteriography derived from published non-specific abdominal arteriography data, was equal to $0.2 \text{ mSv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ (Table 6.7).

Results of a comparison of our effective dose estimates for peripheral and abdominal arteriography procedures with previously published data, as described in Section 3.6, are summarised below.

Peripheral arteriography:

• Other investigators estimated the mean effective dose to be 3 mSv [CA95], 4 mSv [WA88, ST93], 10 mSv [MA88] or 12 mSv [HO91].

• Our estimates for the mean effective dose to patients for intra-arterial procedures are equal to 1 mSv for simple needle puncture arteriography procedures (sex-specific estimates are equal to 0.9 and 1.4 mSv), and 4 mSv for Seldinger procedures (sex-specific estimates are equal to 3.8 and 4.1 mSv). The mean effective dose for intravenous procedures was estimated to be 5 mSv.

Abdominal arteriography:

• Published data about mean effective dose for abdominal arteriography were scarce. Only two references were found. Effective dose estimates were equal to 20 mSv [MA88] and 6 mSv [WA88].

• Our estimates for mean effective doses to patients vary between 2 and 12 mSv for intra-arterial procedures, and between 3 and 5 mSv for intravenous procedures, depending on the procedure type and the gender.

In summary, taking the large variations in exposure conditions into account, good agreement was found for E/DAP-conversion factors obtained from detailed measurements performed in this study and E/DAP-conversion factors derived from published non-specific data (Table 6.7), both for peripheral and abdominal arteriography. Some published effective dose estimates for peripheral angiography [WA88, ST93, CA95] show good agreement with our data. In all, it is concluded that, using an undercouch X-ray system, mean effective doses to the patient vary between 1 and 5 mSv from peripheral arteriography, and between 2 and 12 mSv from abdominal arteriography, depending on the procedure type.

Chapter 9

Entrance doses and effective dose to occupationally exposed persons in arteriography and interventional endovascular radiology

9.1 Introduction

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD) were used to infer occupational radiation doses to radiologist and assistants in vascular radiology in three hospitals: the University Hospital Maastricht (azM), the De Wever Hospital Heerlen (DW), and the Maasland Hospital Sittard (MA). Dosimetry techniques and computational methods for effective dose estimation can be found in Section 6.5. Background information on TL-dosimetry is provided in appendix A. Features of the imaging systems are summarised in Table 9.1, detailed descriptions are given in Section 3.4. Occupational exposure conditions are characterised in Section 3.6. Character codes used in this chapter to identify procedure types are given in Table 7.1.

Entrance doses were obtained for various measurement positions on the body of occupationally exposed persons. Many distributions of these entrance doses are skewed, showing a long tail at high dose levels. The Shapiro-Wilk test [SA89] showed that, at a 5% significance level, the majority of doses obtained in this study could not be considered as random samples from normal distributions. Because of non-normal characteristics of dose distributions, shape parameters such as standard deviation and standard error are not given; dose estimates are characterised by the location parameters arithmetic mean, median, and maximum. Associations between variables are examined with distribution free test statistics, such as the Spearman rank correlation coefficient.

As shown in Table 9.1, diagnostic projections in azM were always carried out with the X-ray tube under the patient table. In DW, the X-ray tube was generally used in undercouch positions too, but in some cases overcouch tube positions were applied as well. It was inferred from results of the real-time monitoring system (see Section 6.3) that in DW about 10% of the dose-area product, due to fluoroscopy, was associated with overcouch X-ray tube positions. Initially, all procedures in the MA hospital were carried out with overcouch tube positions; because of preliminary results of this work, undercouch tube positions were applied in a subsequent phase.

Due to system limitations, conventional arteriography (serial cut film imaging) in DW and MA was always carried out with overcouch tube positions.

During radiographic imaging, personnel in all three hospitals stayed usually behind protective barriers, or at least at two meters distance from the patient. Therefore, only

fluoroscopy during the catheterisation stage, and during fluoroscopic previewing, contributed to the occupational dose.

Table 9.1 Features of the angiography X-ray systems in three hospitals (summary)

Features of X-ray system	Hospitals ^a azM	DW	MA
- Type	Philips, Diagnost Arc	Philips, Poly Diagnost UPI	Philips, Poly Diagnost UPI
- Generator	Philips, Maximus CM100 12-pulse generator	Philips, Super Maximus 100 const. volt.	Philips, Optimus M200 const. voltage
- Beam filtration	4.5 mm Al	2.8 mm Al	2.8 mm Al
- First HVL at 80 kV	3.6 mm Al	2.9 mm Al	2.9 mm Al
- Stand	C-shape arm	U-shape arm	U-shape arm
- Rotation	-90° to +90°	-90° to 240 °	-90° to 240 °
- Angulation	-40° to +40°	0° to 35°	0° to 35°
- Tube position	undercouch	undercouch	(1) overcouch; (2) undercouch
- Floating table	yes	yes	yes
- Image intent.	6", 10", 14"	6", 9"	6", 9"
- DSA system	Technicare DR-960B	Philips DVI vascular	Philips DVI vascular
- Serial cut film	PUCK UD4 35x35 cm	PUCK U 35x35 cm	PUCK U 35x35 cm
- Stepping facility	cut film	no	no

^a azM, DW and MA denote three hospitals, see the introductory part.

Dose measurement protocols are briefly described in Section 9.2. Results of entrance dose measurements are given in Section 9.3.1-9.3.3. Section 9.3.4 summarises overall mean and median values of entrance doses at various measurement locations, weighted for the annual frequencies of the procedure types. Correlations between entrance doses and the X-ray output during fluoroscopy are discussed in Section 9.3.5. Compliance of entrance dose estimates for personnel with established occupational dose limits has been demonstrated in Section 9.3.6. In Section 9.4 the effectiveness of 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons is examined. Finally, effective dose estimates are derived in great detail in Section 9.5. In various subsections of 9.5, the relationships of effective doses with attenuation characteristics of aprons, with the X-ray output, and with entrance dose measurement positions are discussed as well.

9.2 Dose measurement procedures to assess occupational exposures

By applying different measurement protocols, various dosimeters (varying between five and sixty) were attached to the body of occupationally exposed persons. Each dosimeter comprised one or two thermoluminescent elements (TLD-100). Selection of measurement positions was based on the following criteria:

- Radiation sensitivity of tissues and organs.
- Potential dose level, taking exposure geometry and protective clothing into account.
- Anticipated variation in dose levels at positions in the vicinity of the measurement position.
- Relevance of the position to be able to demonstrate compliance with dose limits.

The construction and calibration procedure of the dosimeters are described in Section 6.5.1. TLDs were calibrated by means of the air kerma, free-in-air. As no additional correction factors were applied, dose measurements obtained in clinical practice include dose contributions from back scattered radiation.

Dose data were obtained from various combinations of measurements at the head, neck, upper arms, hands, chest, abdomen, back, thighs, and lower legs. Data about the measurement locations and the number of dosimeters applied per person are summarised in Table 9.2.

Table 9.2 Entrance dose measurements with TLDs in the University Hospital Maastricht (azM), the De Wever Hospital Heerlen (DW), and the Maasland Hospital Sittard (MA)

Position of Tl-dosimeter	Measurement protocols ^a						DW	MA ^c	
	azM							I	II
	1	2	3 ^b	4	5	6			
Head									
. forehead	Y	Y	-/Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
. sides	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-/Y	-
Trunk, on apron									
. neck	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
. sternum	Y	-	Y	-	-	Y	-	-	-
. abdomen	Y	Y	Y	-	-/Y	Y	-	-/Y	Y
. back	Y	-	-	-	Y	Y	-	-/Y	-
. thighs	Y	-	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trunk, under apron									
. sternum	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
. abdomen	Y	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
. thighs	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Upper extremities									
. middle fingers	Y	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
. ring fingers	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
. wrists	Y	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
. elbows	Y	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
. shoulder/upper arms	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Lower extremities									
. lower legs	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y
Personal dosimeter	Y	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
No. of dosimeters per person, per procedure	22	15	60	8	10	5	8	9	9

^a "Y" denotes that dosimeters were applied; "-" denotes that no measurements were performed;

^b Four strips, each containing 26 dosimeters, were attached parallel to the vertical axis, at the front and left sides of both the trunk and left leg; ^c Overcouch X-ray tube positions were used in the first period; undercouch positions in the second period.

Initially, ring dosimeters were worn at the middle finger and the ring finger of both hands; later only one dosimeter was used for each hand. Ring dosimeters were worn under sterile gloves. The TL-element of the middle finger dosimeter was positioned at the dorsal side of the hand, of the ring finger dosimeter at the palmar side. The dose to the neck was inferred from dosimeters which were attached to the skin with adhesive tape, or from dosimeters, constructed as a small collar. Dosimeters were worn under or on the

thyroid collar. It should be noted that dose estimates for the neck refer to occupational exposure conditions without thyroid collars. Apart from ring dosimeters and collar dosimeters, all dosimeters were fixed with adhesive tape. Body dosimeters were worn on the outside of sterile clothing. During the first two measurement periods in the azM, additional dosimeters were worn underneath the lead apron and on the personal dosimeter. In order to assess the entrance dose for the lower legs, dosimeters were attached at the front side of the trousers, about 20 cm or less above the ankle. It was ensured that the lead apron did not reduce the exposure level at that TLD measurement location.

Entrance doses were derived from TLD measurements, calibrated in terms of the air kerma, free-in-air, corrected for background radiation. According to Jones [JO85], the dose was multiplied by the mean ratio of mass energy absorption coefficients of tissue and air for diagnostic exposures (1.06).

Statistics on doses presented in this chapter were derived without the use of lower detection levels. Because of inaccuracies in the background correction and differences in the sensitivity per TL-element, negative dose estimates occurred at very low dose levels. Obviously, negative doses have no physical meaning. However, from a statistical point of view unbiased results are to be preferred above truncated dose distributions.

Missing value:

A number of measurement results were lost due to handling errors and errors in the TLD readouts. Furthermore, in some procedures dosimeters were not provided for all measurement locations that were used in effective dose calculations.

In the first four periods in the azM, 61 of 2530 dose measurements (2%) were judged as unreliable, another 53 dosimeters (2%) were not properly used. Of 1540 dose measurements in DW, 9 results (0.6%) were unreliable; 71 dosimeters (5%) were not properly used or were lost. Of 2490 dose measurements in the first period in MA, 13 results (0.5%) were rejected as unreliable, and 17 dosimeters (0.7%) were not used or were lost. In summary, about 1.3% of the dose estimates did not pass the quality check, and about 2.2% of the dosimeters were not properly used or were lost.

Missing and unreliable doses were replaced by dose estimates, inferred from doses measured at nearby locations. The average ratio of the dose at the location of the missing data by the dose at a nearby location was used to estimate the missing dose value. Average ratios were derived for operators and first assistants separately. Selection of the nearby location was based on the Spearman rank correlation coefficients, which were derived for each combination of two nearby locations. The completion of the dose data set has been discussed elsewhere [KI93]. Comparison of means of the incomplete data set with means of corresponding locations of the completed data set showed deviations of 15% or less. This was judged as satisfactory.

9.3 Entrance doses to occupationally exposed persons in the University Hospital Maastricht, the De Wever Hospital Heerlen, and the Maasland Hospital Sittard

The number of dose measurements and the annual frequencies of various procedure types are given in Table 9.3. The ratios of dose measurements and annual frequencies were used to estimate the annual occupational effective dose.

Table 9.3 Frequency of occupational dose measurements and annual frequency of procedure types in azM, DW, MA

<i>Hospital</i>	No. of procedures with measurements ^a			Annual no. of proc. ^b
Procedure type (+ procedure code)	operator	assistant	operator /assistant	
<i>azM:</i>				
CA cerebral arteriography	27	25	12	102 (20%)
EA upper extr. art.	3	-	-	16 (3%)
TA thoracic art.	5	4	2	30 (6%)
AA abdominal arteriography	20	17	5	103 (20%)
PAn iliac+limb art. (needle)	21	16	-	99 (19%)
PAs iliac+limbs art. (Seldinger)	24	19	4	46 (9%)
PTA perc. trans. angioplasty	15	12	6	61 (12%)
<i>DW:</i>				
CA cerebral arteriography	26	25	-	72 (10%)
EA upper extr. art.	11	11	-	33 (5%)
TA thoracic art.	3	2	-	23 (3%)
AA abdominal arteriography	4	3	-	38 (5%)
PAn iliac+limb art. (needle)	19	-	-	137 (20%)
PAs iliac+limbs art. (Seldinger)	4	3	-	99 (14%)
AAiv IV-DSA aorta+iliac vessels	5	1	3	100 (14%)
PTA perc. trans. angioplasty	25	26	3	72 (10%)
<i>MA^c:</i>				
CA1 cerebral art., one side	26; 1	26; 1	-	} 139 (39%)
CA2 cerebral art., two sides	42; 17	42; 17	-	
EA upper extr. art.	4; 1	4; 1	-	24 (7%)
AAA abdominal arteriography	11; 5	11; 5	-	} 41 (12%)
AAB abdominal art., selective	8; 1	8; 1	-	
PTA perc. trans. angioplasty	18; 3	18; 3	-	53 (15%)

^a Measurement periods: azM: June '91 - June '93; DW: January-June 1992; MA: August 1992 - January 1993; ^b Reference periods: azM: June '92-June '93; DW: 1992; MA: 1992. Percentage values refer to the frequencies per type, relative to the total number of procedures carried out in the angiography room. As various types have been excluded from this table (e.g. phlebography vena cava, phlebography of the spermatic vein, percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography), the sum values of the percentages are smaller than 100%; ^c MA: data refer to procedures carried out in the angiography room only (room 9). The numbers of procedures are denoted as xx;yy, where xx denotes the number of measurements in period I, and yy the number of measurements in period II.

As shown in Table 9.3, dose measurements were carried out during intra-arterial arteriography and during endovascular procedures. As noted before, the major part of the

occupational exposures results from fluoroscopy. Since fluoroscopy is only used during very short periods during intravenous DSA, except for IV-DSA of the abdomen in DW, these procedures were not included in this study. Results of dose estimates for various body parts of occupationally exposed persons are summarised in Table 9.4 to 9.6.

9.3.1 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in the azM

In the course of the dosimetry study project in the azM, two additional studies were carried out. One study was focused on hand dose measurements, the other study aimed at information about the dose level as a function of the height from the floor. Objectives, results and conclusions of these studies are described in the second part of this section.

Table 9.4 summarises the results of dose measurements in the azM for operators, first assistants, and for operators and assistants who switched their activities and positions. It should be noted again that dose data presented as "entrance dose" were inferred from Tl dosimeters which were calibrated in terms of air kerma, free-in-air; statistics were derived from measurement data, not corrected for backscatter, nor for negative results.

Comparison of median and mean values showed that means were nearly always larger, indicating a skewed dose distribution with a small number of high exposures. Except for a few cases, mean/median-ratios were less than two. The vast majority of maximum/median-ratios were between 1 and 10.

Relatively high dose levels were found for the lower legs. This finding corresponds with the undertable X-ray tube geometry exposure conditions. Maximum dose values found in this study were equal to about 1100 μGy per procedure. These high doses were inferred both for the lower legs and for the hands.

Dose levels on the forehead were less than doses to the neck (thyroid). Generally, forehead/neck-ratios were within the range of about 1 to 4. Average dose levels at the neck and at the chest (sternum on the apron) were nearly equal. Abdomen/chest dose ratios varied between about 1 and 4. In summary, along the longitudinal axis, average ratios of dose levels, normalised to the neck dose, varied between a factor 0.3 for the forehead, and a factor of 1 to 4 for the front of the trunk.

Non-symmetrical exposures resulted in differences in doses at the body side close to the radiation source and the other side. Average dose ratios for the highly exposed upper arm and the other upper arm varied from about 3 to 15. On average, the dose to the upper arm close to the imaging system was about a factor of 2 to 3 higher than the dose at the neck. Comparison of doses at both lower legs showed that these ratios were nearly always within a factor of 2.

Average doses to the hands of operators and assistants were less than the doses to the lower legs. However, doses to the hands of operators and assistants who switched their activities and positions, were significantly higher. This finding indicates that in complex catheterisations, which more often lead to task switching, and during catheterisations performed by registrars, manual activities during fluoroscopy viewing were prolonged, and/or the hands were relatively close to the X-ray field.

Table 9.4 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in the
azM^a

Position of the dosimeter Procedure ^b type	Entrance dose (microgray per procedure)								
	Operator			First assistant			Operator/assistant		
	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.
Forehead:									
CA	4	10	77	5	7	47	4	4	16
TA	5	7	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	7	10	40	5	7	28	7	13	39
PAn	5	5	17	4	3	8	-	-	-
PAs	6	10	82	4	7	31	5	8	22
PTA	6	7	18	6	6	10	10	13	28
Thyroid:									
CA	12	15	57	5	8	64	12	16	57
TA	14	13	25	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	20	41	275	10	14	65	33	51	155
PAn	8	9	24	4	4	13	-	-	-
PAs	16	18	42	6	10	50	19	21	33
PTA	19	22	65	10	11	38	26	46	110
Upper arm (high exposure):									
CA	30	32	87	18	23	74	24	27	96
TA	34	33	62	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	56	130	940	34	44	135	42	59	225
PAn	10	13	33	8	9	24	-	-	-
PAs	42	50	105	21	30	160	26	32	92
PTA	56	75	240	42	67	180	52	58	125
Upper arm (low exposure):									
CA	4	5	20	3	4	13	4	6	20
TA	4	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	5	8	36	5	9	72	8	19	50
PAn	3	4	15	1	3	12	-	-	-
PAs	7	7	14	2	5	18	5	6	15
PTA	5	5	16	3	5	18	6	15	55
Chest (on apron):									
CA	13	17	69	4	7	46	8	22	115
TA	17	18	39	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	24	50	330	8	11	52	14	18	48
PAn	10	10	22	3	5	20	-	-	-
PAs	20	25	110	7	8	24	16	24	65
PTA	24	29	82	8	14	47	21	26	53
Back (on apron):									
CA	4	4	6	7	7	13	3	6	20
TA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	-	-	-	11	9	30	8	22	74
PAn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	0	0	6	5	3	8	4	6	15
PTA	2	6	45	8	14	77	13	18	48
Abdomen (on apron):									
CA	14	22	83	18	18	91	7	13	38
TA	5	26	78	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	42	58	165	33	33	84	39	60	225
PAn	6	11	41	7	9	40	-	-	-
PAs	40	51	220	14	20	81	17	23	46
PTA	50	75	240	39	43	94	34	51	155

(continued on next page)

Table 9.4 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in the azM (continuation)

Position of the dosimeter Procedure type	Entrance dose (microgray per procedure)								
	Operator			First assistant			Operator/assistant		
	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.
<i>Lower leg (high exposure):</i>									
CA	78	98	255	38	50	170	42	63	195
TA	41	84	160	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	150	225	940	105	120	380	210	260	1120
PAn	17	18	36	11	16	47	-	-	-
PAs	96	110	265	41	69	400	110	125	265
PTA	225	250	630	170	200	555	195	225	460
<i>Lower leg (low exposure):</i>									
CA	37	51	160	22	25	81	28	37	125
TA	21	54	160	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	91	135	410	50	50	125	66	89	175
PAn	12	14	31	7	11	40	-	-	-
PAs	66	70	210	27	29	96	65	64	140
PTA	110	140	350	76	80	270	87	96	250
<i>Hand (high exposure):</i>									
CA	34	55	250	20	30	230	32	110	585
TA	21	20	24	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	66	125	920	34	40	120	155	270	810
PAn	24	31	81	15	19	49	-	-	-
PAs	67	96	345	29	31	160	195	180	330
PTA	75	100	325	41	46	105	235	340	1130
<i>Hand (low exposure):</i>									
CA	18	26	175	12	16	96	17	20	82
TA	11	11	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	27	34	135	18	26	65	39	66	145
PAn	15	16	33	8	10	23	-	-	-
PAs	23	35	170	12	20	115	44	47	88
PTA	31	39	115	21	25	60	59	78	225

^a Entrance dose= absorbed dose in soft tissue at body entrance position, backscatter inclusive. Median, mean, and maximum values which were greater than 100 μ Gy were rounded to the digit 0 or 5; ^b Procedure types are defined in Table 7.1 and Table 9.3; Number of observations: Operator: all positions, except the back: CA=27; TA=5; AA=20; PAn=21; PAs=24; PTA=15. back: CA=6; TA=0; AA=4; PAn=3; PAs=7; PTA=11. First assistant: all positions, except the back: CA=25; TA=4; AA=17; PAn=16; PAs=19; PTA=12. back: CA=6; TA=0; AA=5; PAn=3; PAs=6; PTA=11. Operator/f.ass.: all positions, except the back: CA=24; TA=4; AA=10; PAn=0; PAs=8; PTA=12. back: CA=12; TA=0; AA=10; PAn=0; PAs=8; PTA=10.

Comparison of various procedure types showed that abdominal arteriography procedures (AA) resulted in relatively high average exposures, followed by percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) and peripheral arteriography of both legs (PAs). Occupational exposures during cerebral arteriography (CA) and thoracic arteriography (TA) were relatively low. The lowest mean exposure was found for peripheral arteriography of one leg (PAn).

On average, exposures to operators were about a factor of two higher than for assistants. These findings correspond with visual observations that the effective distance of the assistant from the radiation source is larger than for the operator.

Dose measurements on the back of the trunk indicate that sometimes the back of the body was exposed. Visual observations showed that this exposure resulted from turning the back to the patient during viewing of fluoroscopy images displayed on a video monitor, positioned near the distal end of the patient table. Usually, the video monitor was

placed in a way that allowed convenient viewing by the operator. The potential for exposures to the back was therefore higher for assistants than for operators.

Dose measurements for the hands in the azM

At the start of this study, three dose measurements were performed simultaneously at each hand: ring dosimeters were worn at the middle finger and the ring finger, and a collar dosimeter was worn on the wrist. Wrist dosimeters were used because of the ease of assembling, relatively high precision (each wrist dosimeter contained three TL-elements versus one TL-element in a ring dosimeter), and comfort (some persons did not appreciate ring dosimeters). It was anticipated that for assessment of the dose to the fingers, which could be directly exposed in the primary beam, the accuracy of wrist dosimeters could be lower than the accuracy of ring dosimeters.

Results:

Ratios of the ring finger dose by the middle finger dose were derived for the left hand, and the right hand of both the operator and the assistant. Mean ratios per subgroup varied between 1.08 and 1.21, with standard errors of 0.12 ($n=27$) and 0.16 ($n=21$) respectively. Although mean values were practically equal, some single dose combinations showed large differences (see Figures 9.1 and 9.2); middle finger/ring finger dose ratios varied between about 0.1 and 5. No associations were found for differences between ring and middle finger doses and the dose level. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient derived for 112 pairs of doses to the middle finger and ring finger was equal to 0.85.

Figure 9.2 shows the distribution of ratios in doses to the fingers by doses to the wrist. Generally, wrist doses were lower than finger doses. At high dose levels, the wrist dosimeter underestimated the dose to the fingers by about 40%. Close to the X-ray beam the spatial dose gradient is quite steep. Therefore it was expected that the correlation between ring dosimeters and wrist dosimeters for the hand close to the X-ray beam would be less than for the other hand. However, correlation analysis showed that this correlation was much better for the hand close to the X-ray beam ($r_{\text{Spearman}}=0.82$, $n=60$) than for the other hand ($r_{\text{Spearman}}=0.59$, $n=60$). This conclusion was obtained both for the operator and the assistant. A possible source of error, a relatively large number of inaccurate doses near the detection level, was examined and found to be insignificant. It was concluded from the observations described above that the dose to the hands could be estimated with one ring dosimeter for each hand. Because of their potential for underestimation of the dose, the use of wrist dosimeters was not continued. In the following parts of this study project, ring dosimeters were worn at the middle finger, with the TL-element placed at the dorsal side of the hand.

Figure 9.1 Comparison of Tl dose measurements on the middle finger and ring finger in vascular radiology (see also legend of Figure 9.2).

Figure 9.2 Ratio of wrist dose to finger dose as a function of the finger dose

Numerical codes used in Figure 9.1 - 9.2:

1,2: Operator at the right side of the supine patient: 1=left hand, 2=right hand; 3: Operator, staying at the left side of the supine patient: left or right hand; 4,5: Assistant at the left side of the supine patient: 4=left hand, 5=right hand; 6: Assistant, staying at the right side of the supine patient: left or right hand; 7: Dose results for other exposure conditions.

Entrance dose measurements for the operator as a function of the height from the floor

In addition to the standard dose measurements, the occupational exposure was determined as a function of the height from the floor. During 20 procedures, including arteriography procedures and interventional procedures, the operator was provided with four strips, each containing 26 dosimeters. These strips were attached, parallel to the vertical axis, at the front and at the left side of both the trunk and the left leg. Dose measurement were also used to derive side/front ratios. Features and calibration of these dosimeters were equal to those of the standard dosimeters. Measurements were carried out during procedures with the operator at the right side of the supine patient, with his left side exposed to scatter radiation.

Results of entrance dose measurements:

Results of dose measurements at the front and left side of the operator are given as a function of the height from the floor in Figure 9.3. Dose data, normalised to the dose at the front side, 35 cm above the floor (about 20 cm above the ankle), are given in Figure 9.4. Both figures show a relatively consistent picture. Up to about 80 cm from the floor, doses at different heights were relatively constant. From about 80 cm to 180 cm the dose level gradually decreased by about a factor of 5-10.

Figure 9.3 Entrance doses at the front and left side of the operator during various procedures as a function of the height from the floor.

Figure 9.4 Mean entrance doses at the front and left side of the operator as a function of the height from the floor, normalised to the dose at the front of the lower leg at 35 cm above the floor.
(— : mean dose; □ : mean $\pm$ standard error)

Figure 9.5 Ratios of entrance doses at the left side and doses at the front of the operator as a function of the height from the floor.
(— : mean dose; □ : mean $\pm$ standard error; ♀ denotes ratios for single procedures)

Average ratios of the dose to the left side and the dose at the front at the same height, given in Figure 9.5, varied from about a factor of 0.8 to 2.5. Ratios derived for single procedures varied between 0.6 and 5. Up to a height of about 70 cm the dose to the side

was lower, over 70 cm height the dose to the side exceeded the front dose (ratio about 2-3).

Measurements for the neck have been compared with measurements for the forehead, thorax, left and right upper arm [KI92]. Dose ratios were estimated to be for:

- operators:
 - thorax : neck (thyroid) : forehead = 1 : 0.7 : 0.3
 - left upper arm : neck (thyroid) : right upper arm = 1 : 0.4 : 0.2
- first assistants:
 - thorax : neck (thyroid) : forehead = 1 : 1 : 1
 - left upper arm : neck (thyroid) : right upper arm = 0.3 : 0.3 : 1.

9.3.2 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in DW

Results of dose measurements for operators, first assistants, and persons who performed both as operator and first assistant in the course of single procedures in the DW hospital are summarised in Table 9.5. Mean values were nearly always higher than median values, indicating skewed dose distributions. Mean/median-ratios were almost always within the range of 1 to 2. Except for a small number of cases, maximum/median-ratios were less than 10. Dose levels over 1000 μGy were only found for the lower legs. Maximum doses for the highly exposed hand were all less than about 600 μGy , to the other hand less than 150 μGy . Similar to the exposure conditions in the azM, high doses to the lower leg are associated with undercouch X-ray tube applications.

Dose levels at the neck (thyroid) were higher than corresponding doses to the forehead. The dose to the most exposed upper arm was about a factor of two higher than the dose to the neck, and about a factor of five higher than the dose to the other arm. The average ratio of doses to the leg close to the X-ray beam, and the other leg, was about a factor of two. Exposures to persons who performed both as operator and as first assistant in a single procedure generally exceeded the exposures to operators and first assistants in "normal" procedures. Relatively high exposures were found for PTA-procedures and for peripheral arteriography of both legs (PAs).

Two measurements for the operator showed high doses to the hands. In both procedures the entrance hand dose was estimated to be about 2100 μGy . These results were assigned to exposures of the hand in the primary X-ray beam (these data have been excluded from the statistical calculations).

Measurements for the neck have been compared with measurements for the forehead, and the left and right upper arm [KI93b]. Dose ratios were estimated to be:

- for operators:
 - neck (thyroid) : forehead = 1 : 0.5
 - left upper arm : neck (thyroid) : right upper arm = 1 : 0.6 : 0.3
- for first assistants:
 - neck (thyroid) : forehead = 1 : 0.9
 - left upper arm : neck (thyroid) : right upper arm = 1 : 0.7 : 0.4.

Table 9.5 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in DW^a

Position of the dosemeter Procedure ^b type	Entrance dose (microgray per procedure)								
	Operator			First assistant			Operator/ass.		
	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.
Forehead:									
CA	6	9	59	3	5	22	-	-	-
EA	2	4	22	4	4	11	-	-	-
TA	4	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	1	1	4	5	4	6	-	-	-
AAiv	0	3	21	-	-	-	21	14	22
PAn	0	3	25	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	4	34	130	10	24	55	-	-	-
PTA	6	11	56	9	12	35	15	11	19
Thyroid:									
CA	13	20	120	5	7	25	-	-	-
EA	4	6	22	4	6	14	-	-	-
TA	7	14	34	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	6	10	27	5	4	6	-	-	-
AAiv	0	5	30	-	-	-	21	22	43
PAn	1	5	33	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	7	42	130	16	27	55	-	-	-
PTA	32	41	205	12	17	52	61	51	90
Upper arm (high exposure):									
CA	29	40	165	4	8	45	-	-	-
EA	10	11	29	4	5	21	-	-	-
TA	15	20	40	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	13	13	25	6	14	32	-	-	-
AAiv	0	4	22	-	-	-	18	32	78
PAn	1	6	36	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	11	37	125	20	30	56	-	-	-
PTA	66	92	310	14	25	165	155	150	290
Upper arm (low exposure):									
CA	2	7	65	1	3	20	-	-	-
EA	0	1	7	2	2	7	-	-	-
TA	2	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	1	4	21	2	2	4	-	-	-
AAiv	0	3	18	-	-	-	8	12	27
PAn	0	3	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	6	19	49	7	7	10	-	-	-
PTA	12	20	180	5	10	41	13	13	25
Lower leg (high exposure):									
CA	4	18	335	2	3	22	-	-	-
EA	3	11	64	5	6	15	-	-	-
TA	6	8	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	24	32	68	18	16	19	-	-	-
AAiv	32	29	37	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAn	11	14	37	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	17	16	25	6	12	24	-	-	-
PTA	89	185	1250	29	63	315	-	-	-
Lower leg (low exposure):									
CA	2	13	270	0	2	21	-	-	-
EA	2	5	29	3	3	13	-	-	-
TA	2	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	17	21	43	8	8	16	-	-	-
AAiv	15	17	27	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAn	7	10	32	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	12	11	21	3	8	18	-	-	-
PTA	60	110	940	19	46	240	-	-	-

(continued on next page)

Table 9.5 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in DW (continuation)

Position of the dosemeter Procedure type	Entrance dose (microgray per procedure)								
	Operator			First assistant			Operator/ass.		
	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.
<i>Hand (high exposure):</i>									
CA	18	31	100	10	10	50	-	-	-
EA	18	17	39	13	11	29	-	-	-
TA	11	19	39	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	8	8	16	7	7	7	-	-	-
AAiv	0	7	58	-	-	-	8	50	140
PAn	1	6	41	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	24	145	560	17	53	120	-	-	-
PTA	82	110	365	16	29	120	125	115	220
<i>Hand (low exposure):</i>									
CA	12	18	85	4	5	20	-	-	-
EA	3	6	21	3	5	16	-	-	-
TA	-1	4	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	2	4	13	3	2	4	-	-	-
AAiv	0	2	15	-	-	-	0	20	60
PAn	0	3	19	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	5	43	145	11	11	13	-	-	-
PTA	29	40	150	9	17	120	38	35	66

^a Entrance dose= absorbed dose in soft tissue at body entrance position, backscatter inclusive. Median, mean, and maximum values which were higher than 100 μ Gy were rounded to the digit 0 or 5; ^b Procedure types are defined in Table 7.1 and Table 9.3.

Number of observations: Operator: all positions: CA=26; EA=11; TA=3; AA=4; AAiv=5; PAn=19; PAs=4; PTA=25. First assistant: all positions: CA=25; EA=11; AA=3; PAs=3; PTA=26; other types <3. Operator/F.ass.: all positions: AAiv=3; PTA=3; other types <3.

9.3.3 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in MA

Results of Tl dose measurements for operators and first assistants in the MA hospital are summarised in Table 9.6. The exposure type "operator/first assistant", as introduced for the azM hospital and DW hospital, did not occur in the MA hospital. Table 9.6 contains measurements for both undercouch and overcouch tube positions. At a glance it can be seen that dose levels for these exposure conditions differ considerably.

Table 9.6 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in MA^a

Dosemeter position Procedure type ^b	Entrance dose for overcouch X-ray tube procedures (microgray per procedure)						Entrance dose for undercouch X-ray tube procedures (microgray per procedure)					
	Operator			First assistant			Operator			F. assistant		
	Med.	Mean	Max	Med.	Mean	Max	Med.	Mean	Max	Med.	Mean	Max
Forehead												
CA1	18	20	78	8	8	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	20	29	365	10	13	115	15	20	56	6	6	14
EA	6	7	12	3	5	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	35	43	105	18	24	69	16	13	28	3	4	11
AAB	75	110	365	36	41	75	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	115	130	445	105	115	395	8	8	10	8	10	14
Thyroid												
CA1	52	51	115	8	8	16	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	70	82	520	8	13	150	24	27	71	3	5	17
EA	7	10	23	4	5	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	66	100	300	18	23	74	8	27	74	5	6	11
AAB	210	300	1120	42	40	74	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	275	340	1220	140	150	610	18	21	28	12	13	17
Upper arm (high exp)												
CA1	80	88	185	11	12	23	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	120	140	865	10	19	250	33	34	80	5	11	33
EA	13	32	99	5	7	18	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	100	180	525	18	27	115	28	52	125	4	10	25
AAB	260	435	1580	47	56	120	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	425	590	2200	175	225	855	48	53	80	24	25	34
Upper arm (low exp)												
CA1	17	17	43	4	4	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	15	26	290	5	8	66	6	12	35	4	4	15
EA	2	5	12	3	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	27	34	72	8	10	32	8	17	51	3	3	7
AAB	61	97	360	13	14	27	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	125	145	460	34	70	305	11	11	15	3	3	5
Back:												
CA2	3	4	7	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAB	3	3	5	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Abdomen (on apron)												
CA1	17	18	55	3	3	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	20	23	87	2	3	8	24	30	100	3	5	17
EA	3	5	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	24	37	105	5	7	16	24	56	155	2	6	22
PTA	83	86	245	34	26	47	31	28	43	14	16	21

(continued on next page)

Table 9.6 Entrance dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in MA (continuation)

Dosemeter position Procedure type	Entrance dose for overcouch X-ray tube procedures (microgray per procedure)						Entrance dose for undercouch X-ray tube procedures (microgray per procedure)					
	Operator			First assistant			Operator			First assistant		
	Med.	Mean	Max.	Med.	Mean	Max.	Med.	Mean	Max.	Med.	Mean	Max.
<i>Lower leg (high exp)</i>												
CA1	10	9	21	1	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	18	22	74	4	4	21	52	66	175	12	21	76
EA	3	4	8	2	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	13	16	63	4	4	11	47	78	155	15	33	67
AAB	32	39	115	11	11	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	17	20	65	11	12	39	70	80	125	46	55	77
<i>Lower leg (low exp)</i>												
CA1	6	6	20	0	0	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	10	11	36	2	2	13	38	41	110	8	13	57
EA	1	1	2	1	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	8	9	25	1	2	8	33	60	135	12	19	46
AAB	20	27	91	4	5	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	11	14	43	3	3	15	38	45	75	31	36	54
<i>Hand (high exp)</i>												
CA1	56	79	440	8	14	45	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	56	155	3490	9	15	160	15	27	155	5	8	22
EA	31	29	50	7	18	54	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	91	165	545	21	22	43	48	38	53	8	11	25
AAB	285	340	720	32	34	89	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	635	795	2790	105	265	1040	48	53	67	11	13	23
<i>Hand (low exp)</i>												
CA1	21	23	74	3	4	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	17	32	1240	4	6	45	5	8	32	1	2	12
EA	5	8	21	2	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	31	36	115	10	11	29	6	10	29	1	5	23
AAB	100	115	365	13	17	33	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	225	300	1450	69	75	225	18	18	22	6	6	8
Dose measurements on the head during CA procedures:												
left	66	73	145	3	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
front	15	18	54	6	6	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
right	2	2	5	6	8	22	-	-	-	-	-	-

^a Entrance dose= absorbed dose in soft tissue at body entrance position, backscatter inclusive. Med. = median; Mean = arithmetic mean; Max. = maximum. Median, mean, and maximum values which were greater than 100 μ Gy were rounded to the digit 0 or 5; ^b Procedure types are defined in Table 7.1 and Table 9.3. Numbers of observations for the operator and for the first assistant: Overcouch tube positions: CA1=26; CA2=42; EA=4; AAA=11; AAB=8; PTA=18. Back: CA2=8; AAB=3. Undercouch tube positions: CA2=17; AAA=5; PTA=3. Entrance dose measurements for the head were performed during 12 CA-procedures.

Exposures to parts of the body above the abdominal region were significantly higher for overcouch tube positions than for undercouch tube positions. On the other hand, exposures to the leg were much lower for overcouch tube positions.

As shown in Table 9.6, significant exposures to the back were not found.

In procedures with overcouch tube positions, exposures to the hands and the upper arm, close to the X-ray source (denoted as *high exp*), were relatively high. It is useful to note that mean doses to the hand and upper arm, close to the X-ray source, were very similar.

Additional dose measurements for the head of the operator showed that the mean dose of the highly exposed side was about a factor of four more than the mean dose to the front, and about a factor of 35 more than the dose to the other side. Dose ratios for the first assistant were small, about a factor of two to three. Dose ratios front/left side and right side/left side were associated with the positions of the video display monitor, the operator and the radiation source. During viewing of the fluoroscopy image the face is turned away from the source, the exposure to the dosimeter on the forehead is consequently decreased. It should be noted that this effect is advantageous to reduce the dose to the eye lens. However, if dose measurements on the forehead are used in calculations of effective doses, results may underestimate actual exposures.

Measurements for the neck have been compared with measurements for the forehead, abdomen, left and right upper arm [KI93c]. Dose ratios were estimated to be for:

- operators:
 - abdomen : neck (thyroid) : forehead = 0.4 : 1 : 0.4
 - left upper arm : neck (thyroid) : right upper arm = 1 : 0.6 : 0.2.
- first assistants:
 - abdomen : neck (thyroid) : forehead = 0.4 : 1 : 1
 - left upper arm : neck (thyroid) : right upper arm = 0.5 : 0.8 : 1.

9.3.4 Summary of entrance doses to occupationally exposed persons in the azM, DW and MA hospitals

Summary of occupational exposure conditions

In a relatively large number of procedures, the catheter was inserted in the femoral artery (iliac region, above the femur head). During catheterisation, the operator (radiologist) stood next to the patient table, somewhere between the abdomen and the femoral region of the patient (distance between operator and field entrance position varied from about 30 to 150 cm).

Since very often power driven injection systems were applied (see Chapter 4), occupationally exposed persons stayed behind protective barriers or at a distance of more than 2 m from the patient during conventional radiography and DSA-imaging.

Due to high dose rates (e.g. 10 mGy/min), incidental exposures to the primary beam could result in high local doses to the fingers. However, dose data showed that prolonged exposures of the hands in the primary beam did not occur, or had a very low frequency only.

Characteristics of supportive actions by the first assistant depended on the procedure type, on local protocols, and on the preferences of the operator. In general, the first assistant stayed at some greater distance from the patient than the operator. Depending on local routines, the first assistant stayed at the same side as the operator (DW) or opposite to the operator (azM, MA). Occupational exposures to first assistants during radiographic imaging was quite rare; most of the time they stood behind protective barriers or at a distance of at least 2 m from the patient, like the operator.

All personnel wore 0.5 mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons. Although thyroid collars were available, almost nobody used them. Lead glasses were used very infrequently, lead gloves were never worn.

Summary of typical entrance doses in vascular radiology

Taking account of the annual frequencies and the number of measurements performed for various procedure types, frequency correction factors were derived for the three hospitals separately. These factors were used to compute typical exposure levels for the body parts encompassed in this study. Results are given in Table 9.7

Table 9.7 Entrance dose estimates for operators and first assistants in vascular radiology in the azM, DW, and MA^a

Dosemeter position ^b	Entrance dose (microgray per procedure)					
	azM		DW		MA ^c	
	Median	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	Mean
OPERATOR:						
- Forehead	5	8	4	16	18	43
- Thyroid	14	20	14	25	50	110
- Upper arm (h. exp)	31	56	17	31	84	180
- Upper arm (l. exp)	4	6	6	12	17	43
- Thorax	17	25	-	-	-	-
- Abdomen	27	37	-	-	21	39
- Lower leg (h. exp)	69	120	20	38	10	15
- lower leg (l. exp)	39	72	12	24	6	8
- Hand (h. exp)	43	72	16	64	55	215
- Hand (l. exp)	20	27	6	21	21	75
FIRST ASSISTANT:						
- Forehead	4	6	11	14	8	28
- Thyroid	6	9	15	17	8	34
- Upper arm (h. exp)	19	30	29	28	12	50
- Upper arm (l. exp)	2	5	2	5	4	15
- Thorax	6	8	-	-	-	-
- Abdomen	15	22	-	-	4	9
- Lower leg (h. exp)	38	77	15	21	2	5
- lower leg (l. exp)	22	34	7	14	1	1
- Hand (h. exp)	22	31	21	40	11	52
- Hand (l. exp)	18	18	10	10	3	16

^a Annual number of procedures used for extrapolation of measurement results: azM = 380; DW = 440; MA=360. ^b "h. exp" denotes upper arm, lower leg, and hand close to the radiation source, resulting in relatively high exposures. ^c "l. exp" denotes corresponding body parts far from the radiation source, resulting in relatively low exposures. Entrance doses to the thorax, abdomen, and thyroid refer to doses on the apron and thyroid collar; ^c Dose data for the MA hospital refer to overcouch tube positions, whereas azM and DW data refer to undercouch tube positions.

Conclusions:

- Exposure conditions per procedure varied widely, resulting in a broad range of dose estimates for the body parts monitored in this study. Measurement results varied from less than 10 μGy to more than 3000 μGy .
- The major part of the distributions of entrance doses, derived for specified positions on the body, appeared to be skewed, with long tails at the high dose level sides. Most of these data could not be considered as samples from normal distributions. Therefore, evaluation of measurements required nonparametric statistical methods, which complicated the interpretation of the results.
- In undercouch tube systems, the entrance dose to the trunk, measured on the protective apron, averaged per procedure type, varied from less than 10 to 75 μGy per procedure. The mean dose to the neck, head, and upper arms (these body parts were not protected by protective clothing) varied between about 1 and 130 μGy . Procedure type averaged doses to the lower leg were estimated to be 20 to 250 μGy . For overcouch tube exposure

to the lower leg were estimated to be 20 to 250 μGy . For overcouch tube exposure geometries, doses to the upper part of the body of occupationally exposed persons were significantly higher than for undercouch tube geometry. Measurement results clearly showed that the dose to the hands for an overcouch X-ray tube system was much higher than for an undercouch system.

9.3.5 Correlation of entrance doses in the azM, DW and MA hospitals with the X-ray output

Using the distribution free Spearman rank correlation coefficient, r_s , entrance doses to various positions on the body of occupationally exposed persons were associated with the exposure to scattered X-rays. Exposure conditions were quantified with the dose-area product from fluoroscopy, DAP_{fu} , and the fluoroscopy time, t_{fu} . As shown in Table 9.8, r_s -values for DAP_{fu} and entrance dose exceed r_s -values for t_{fu} and entrance dose. It follows that DAP_{fu} has more potential for predicting entrance doses than t_{fu} . Table 9.8 also shows relatively high correlation coefficients for the lower legs. For undercouch tube positions (hospitals azM and DW), r_s -values for the lower legs even exceed r_s -values for other positions (except for DW, operator, neck). On the other hand, in overcouch tube positions (the MA hospital), correlations were stronger for measurement positions above the waist than for the legs. Considering the data presented in Table 9.8, it is concluded that there is a readily correlation between DAP_{fu} and the entrance dose at various positions. This indicates that, if required, relationships between DAP_{fu} and entrance doses could be useful for dose assessments. Since Table 9.8 was composed without any relation to the effective dose, it obviously does not contain differences in the efficiency of the various measurement positions with respect to the personal dosimeter. This subject will be addressed in Section 9.5.4.

Table 9.8 Spearman rank correlation analyses for entrance doses at various positions on the body of occupationally exposed personnel and the exposure to X-rays

Hospital		Measurement position										
Occupationally exposed persons		Fore head		Neck	Thorax	Ab- domen	Upper arms left		Hands left		Lower legs left	
Thyroid collar							r.		r.		r.	
azM												
all personnel												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	.41	.45	.44	.60	.38	.52	.48	.53	.69	.83
	t _{flu}	r _s	.28	.31	.15	.37	.32	.39	.27	.33	.46	.56
		n	207	144	73	109	246	247	213	211	216	202
- operators												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	.43	.57	.57	.64	.78	.44	.55	.56	.86	.85
	t _{flu}	r _s	.29	.41	.10	.35	.62	.42	.28	.36	.62	.64
		n	96	65	28	41	92	93	105	103	106	89
- assistants												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	.48	.40	<	.68	.33	.76	.59	.55	.70	.83
	t _{flu}	r _s	.41	.27	<	.48	.24	.54	.43	.41	.46	.56
		n	87	61	9	26	94	94	85	84	86	89
- op./ass.												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	<	<	.39	.55	.30	.55	<	<	.54	.70
	t _{flu}	r _s	<	<	<	.32	.30	.37	<	<	<	<
		n	24	17	36	42	60	60	23	34	24	24
DW												
all personnel												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	.48	.50			.51	.40	.49	.44	.64	.64
	t _{flu}	r _s	.32	.30			.41	.23	.34	.28	.28	.28
		n	131	144	-	-	145	146	126	129	146	146
- operators												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	.41	.72			.67	.42	.63	.47	.63	.63
	t _{flu}	r _s	.23	.60			.65	.23	.49	.35	.27	.27
		n	70	81	-	-	82	81	70	70	82	81
- assistants												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	.49	.41			.50	.44	.44	.48	.71	.70
	t _{flu}	r _s	.41	.24			.31	.34	.32	.28	.31	.33
		n	58	57	-	-	57	59	55	53	59	59
MA-overcouch												
all personnel												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	.74	.66		.52	.55	.70	.52	.45	.53	.60
	t _{flu}	r _s	.53	.50		.40	.44	.54	.36	.59	.46	.51
		n	221	220	-	91	220	221	214	215	194	193
- operators												
	DAP _{flu}	r _s	.71	.77		.67	.79	.63	.67	.58	.62	.63
	t _{flu}	r _s	.51	.61		.50	.66	.47	.52	.48	.58	.56
		n	117	111	-	46	111	111	105	105	97	96
- assistants												
	DAP	r _s	.81	.78		.67	.76	.80	.64	.65	.52	.61
	t _{flu}	r _s	.58	.54		.43	.54	.62	.39	.48	.43	.52
		n	110	109	-	45	109	110	109	110	97	97

^a "r_s" denotes the Spearman rank correlation coefficient; n denotes the number of paired observations. Because of missing data the numbers of paired observations for DAP_{flu}-dose, and for t_{flu}-dose may differ. "n" is the minimum value. "<" indicates a probability >0.05 that r_s = 0.

9.3.6 Comparison of entrance doses in the azM, DW and MA hospitals with dose limits

Mean entrance dose data presented in Table 9.7 were used to estimates for the occupational equivalent dose to the eye lens, thyroid, hands, and lower legs. As a first approach, it was assumed that all procedures were performed by the same operator and assistant. Mean doses to the procedures listed in Table 9.7 were also taken to be representative for other procedures performed in the angiography room. Annual doses to

upper arm, hand, and lower leg were derived from the maximum value for the left and right extremity. Because of the high radiation attenuation by the aprons, doses to the trunk were not included. It should be noted that mean entrance doses to assistants in azM and DW were based on fewer procedures than to operators (see Table 9.4 and 9.5). Because most of the procedures involved a team of at least two persons, annual frequencies derived for the operator have been applied for assistants as well. Generally, only radiologists and registrars were involved as operator/assistant. Therefore, results obtained for operators/assistants have been assigned to the group of radiologists. As two operator/assistants were involved per procedure, the number of these procedures was multiplied by two. It is obvious that the final results (Table 9.9) will overestimate actual equivalent doses.

By comparing data presented in Table 9.9 with the currently used occupationally dose limits in the Netherlands (eye lens 150 mSv per year, other organs and tissue 500 mSv per year), it is easy to see that equivalent doses associated with radiological work in the angiography rooms in the hospitals azM, DW and MA were quite small. Even if in each angiography room all procedures were performed by one radiologist and one technologist, equivalent doses to these persons would be less than about 15% of the corresponding occupational dose limits.

Table 9.9 Estimates for annual equivalent doses associated with all procedures performed in the angiography rooms^a

Hospital	Operator					First assistant				
	No. [a ⁻¹]	Equivalent dose [mSv · a ⁻¹]				No. [a ⁻¹]	Equivalent dose [mSv · a ⁻¹]			
		lens	thyroid	hands	legs		lens	thyroid	hands	legs
azM	639	5	13	46	77	429	2.6	3.9	13	33
DW	766	12	19	49	29	680	11	13	31	16
MA	360	15	40	77	5	360	10	12	19	2

^a No. denotes the number of exposures used to calculate the annual dose.

9.4 Effectiveness of 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons in vascular radiology¹

9.4.1 Introduction

Because of the highly nonuniform exposure conditions in vascular radiology, a reliable estimation of the effective dose for occupationally exposed persons requires a number of dose measurements at various locations on the body. The nonuniform occupational exposure is mainly associated with the relatively short distance to the radiation source (scattered radiation

¹ This section is based on the publication: P.J. Kicken, A.J.J. Bos. Effectiveness of Lead Aprons in Vascular Radiology: Results of Clinical Measurements. Radiology. 1995; 197:473-478. Only editorial modifications were made.

from the patient) and the attenuation of the scattered radiation by protective clothing and shielding. In order to estimate the radiation exposure to organs and tissues of the trunk, the radiation dose underneath the protective clothing must be measured. As an alternative approach, these dose levels may be estimated by combining dose measurements obtained outside of the apron with physical data about the photon attenuation by the protective clothing. Because the radiation attenuation of lead aprons depends on the effective energy of the incident X-ray photons and geometric factors, the results of these model calculations should be verified with dose measurements obtained in clinical practice.

Various papers have been published about the occupational radiation exposure in diagnostic radiology [BO91, FA82, MC83, NI93, TR87]. Recently, a number of studies have been performed to determine the relationship between the effective dose and the dosimeter reading from radiologists and technical staff [FA88, FA93, HU94, NI94, RO94, WE89]. Most papers about occupational exposures in radiology do not include dose estimates for protected body regions under clinical conditions; instead, published data about X-ray transmission are usually used [FA82, FA88, FA93, HU94, NI94, RO94, TR87] or other methods are applied [NI93, WE89]. In some papers, unrealistically small attenuation factors for lead aprons have been used. McGuire et al. [MC83] applied a factor of 5, Tryhus et al. [TR87] a factor of 7-11.

In a study about occupational exposures in vascular radiology in a general hospital in the Netherlands, the total contribution of the dose equivalent of organs and tissues protected by a lead apron to the effective dose was derived for overcouch tube positions [KI93c]. By using transmission factors of 0.01 and 0.05 for the apron (no thyroid collar used), the total contribution of the doses of "protected tissues" (thorax, abdomen and thighs) was estimated to be 3% and 13% respectively. Transmission factors of 0.1 and 0.2 would have resulted in relative contributions of 23% and 38% respectively. These data indicate the need for reliable estimates of the radiation attenuation of aprons in clinical conditions.

In an additional study, the effectiveness of lead aprons of 0.5 mm lead-equivalent in vascular radiology in the azM was determined. This section presents the results of three clinical thermoluminescent dosimeter (TLD) studies and measurements of tube high voltage.

9.4.2 Materials and Methods

Dose measurements were carried out for various types of vascular examinations (cerebral, thoracic, abdominal and peripheral angiography) and during interventional vascular radiology (abdominal and peripheral percutaneous transluminal angioplasty procedures) by using fluoroscopy, digital subtraction angiography, and conventional radiographic imaging systems. During fluoroscopy, the operator and assistant are close to the patient, whereas in digital subtraction angiography and conventional angiography, they stay behind protective barriers. In our institution, as is common in The Netherlands, radiologists and technical staff wear 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent semi-closed aprons (in our institution: Burlington Medical Supplies, Amesbury, Mass). Aprons were not of the so-called light-weight type.

Phantom study

We used the angiographic X-ray system to obtain empirical data about the radiation attenuation of the lead aprons as a function of the tube high voltage with dose measurements in the high voltage range 60 to 110 kV (six measuring points at intervals of about 10 kV). A simple solid rectangular phantom constructed of acrylic (Lucite) (24 x 30 x 30 cm) was placed in the primary X-ray beam, 60 cm from the focus. The dose rate in the scattered radiation, perpendicular to the primary beam (broad-beam geometry), was measured with and without an apron between the phantom and the measuring device. The apron was placed approximately 10 cm to the side of the phantom. Dose measurements were carried out with a

300-ml ionisation chamber (Babyline 81; Nardeux, Loches, France), at a distance of about 0.5 cm to the apron. The backscatter dose to TLDs placed under aprons worn by radiologists (backscatter from the body) was assessed with additional measurements. With use of a broad-beam geometry, two series of dose measurements were carried out with a 30-ml ionisation chamber (PM-30; Capintec, Pittsburgh, Pa) positioned in the primary beam immediately behind the lead apron and connected to an electrometer (Exposure Rate Meter Model 192; Capintec) calibrated at the Dutch Standards Institute. The dose rate dependence [WA88c] was experimentally checked to be absent in our exposure conditions. In the first series of measurements, the detector was essentially free-in-air. In the second series, the detector was half encapsulated by a backscatter phantom made of perspex, measuring 24 x 30 x 15 cm³.

Clinical study

The clinical dosimetry study was carried out in three sessions. In the first session, TLDs of normal sensitivity were worn by radiology personnel for 1-4 weeks. In the second and third sessions, TLDs of high sensitivity were used. Dose data from the second session were obtained in single examinations, whereas those in the third session were obtained in one-week periods, thereby measuring the cumulated dose associated with a number of examinations. TLD measurements for single examinations were combined with real-time measurements of the tube high voltage, dose-area product and fluoroscopy time. All TLD measurements were corrected for environmental background radiation.

First session

In the first session, TLDs of normal sensitivity (TLD-100; Harshaw, Solon, Ill, USA; 3.2 x 3.2 x 0.9 mm³) were worn by two radiologists. Each dosimeter consisted of a thin plastic sachet measuring 2.5 x 5.0 cm², each containing three thermoluminescent elements; the dosimeters did not contain additional filters or absorbers. The dosimeters were worn in the centre of the abdominal region: one dosimeter was worn on the outside of the apron and two were worn on the inside. No changes in the use and storage of aprons were introduced. Dosimeters were worn for 1-4 weeks. The back of one of the dosimeters worn inside the apron was shielded with a 1-mm-thick lead sheet. This "shield" was attached to prevent the exposure of this dosimeter to scattered radiation due to patient examinations when the apron was stored in the preparation room, which was located next to the angiography room. Background measurements in the preparation room were performed at two apron hanger systems.

TLDs were calibrated in batches, with each batch containing up to approximately 50 elements with a homogeneous response (thermoluminescent signal of each element differs less than 5% of the mean value). Calibration was performed essentially in free-in-air exposure conditions by using a diagnostic X-ray system (tube high voltage 73 kV; distance-to-focus, 92 cm; total beam filtration, 2.8 mm Al, first half-value layer equal to 2.7 mm Al at 73 kV). The beam cross-section area at 92 cm from the focus (location of TLDs) was 20 x 25 cm². Kerma measurements were performed with the same 30-ml ionisation chamber applied in radiation transmission measurements. Experimental results showed that the exposure variation over the field surface in the beam cross-section area occupied by TLDs and the ionisation chamber (heel-effect) was less than 5%.

For background corrections the mean TL-signal of six randomly selected, unexposed TLDs was applied. The lower detection level (i.e. the dose level that results in a TL-signal equal to three times the standard deviation of that of background TL-elements) was calculated to be 10 μ Gy.

Second session

Two dosimeters of high sensitivity were worn in the centre of the abdominal region, one on the inside and one on the outside of the apron. Dosimeters were worn by 14 radiologists and registrars during 27 procedures. Each dosimeter consisted of a thin plastic sachet measuring $2.5 \times 5.0 \text{ cm}^2$, each containing two thermoluminescent elements (GR-200A; Beijing Shiying Radiation Detector Works, Beijing, China; diameter, 4.5 mm, thickness, 0.8 mm). The dosimeters did not contain additional filters or absorbers. New sets of dosimeters were used at the start of each patient procedure.

Thermoluminescent elements were processed in batches. In order to reduce the contribution of background radiation, the time between annealing and readout was kept as short as possible. Background corrections were carried out by subtracting the mean TL-signal of 10 randomly selected elements that were kept from X-ray exposures. TLDs used for background measurements were processed and stored in the same manner as those used for occupational dose measurements. Calibration was performed for individual TLD elements (in free-in-air exposure conditions) by using an X-ray beam with the following parameters (high voltage 60 kV; total beam filtration of 4 mm aluminum + 0.6 mm copper; first half-value layer at 60 kV, 0.24 mm copper; focus-TLD-distance of 115 cm). The lower detection level was calculated to be $1 \mu\text{Gy}$.

Because doses measured under the apron were often less than the lower detection level, a subset of dose data above the detection level was used to estimate the upper level of the transmission factor. First, all procedures with dose levels over the apron less than $10 \mu\text{Gy}$ were deleted from the data set. Then, doses under the apron less than the lower detection level ($1 \mu\text{Gy}$) were set equal to 0.5 times the lower detection level (this correction is explained in the Discussion).

Third session

In the third session, two dosimeters were worn in the centre of the abdominal region, one under and one on the outside of the apron (the same type of dosimeters were applied as in session 2). The number of staff members wearing these aprons and the number of vascular procedures performed by these persons were not recorded. The back of the dosimeter on the inside was shielded with a 1-mm-thick lead sheet (see session 1). To improve precision, each dosimeter contained four, instead of two, TL-elements. Dosimeters were renewed at the beginning of a week. Consequently, the measured dose is equal to the sum of the contributions of single vascular procedures carried out in that week. The methods used to process the thermoluminescent elements and determine the lower detection level were the same as those used in session 2.

Real-time Recording System

The X-ray system was equipped with a personal computer-based automated monitoring system that was developed for dosimetric studies during vascular radiology. Various system parameters were recorded in real time during fluoroscopy, digital subtraction angiography, and serial cut film angiography. This system is described in detail elsewhere [KI92].

In this study, we recorded data about the dose-area product, fluoroscopy time, and tube high voltage during fluoroscopy. The dose-area product was measured with a flat transmission ionisation chamber positioned in the primary beam (Diamentor; PTW, Freiburg, Germany). The dose-area product meter was linked digitally with the real-time monitoring system.

The X-ray tube high voltage was sampled during fluoroscopy in 35 examinations with a sample frequency of about 3 s^{-1} . The time averaged tube high voltage, the dose-area product, and the fluoroscopy time were determined for consecutive time intervals in which the monitored system parameters were constant (deviation from current averages less than 5%). The number of recorded time intervals per procedure varied from about 50 to 250.

Four different weighting methods were applied to assess the mean X-ray tube high voltage per patient examination.

In method 1, the fluoroscopy time was applied for weighting as follows:

$$HV_{flu.time} = \Sigma_i(HV_i \cdot \Delta t_{flu,i}) / \Sigma_i(\Delta t_{flu,i}) \quad (1)$$

where HV_i is the high voltage during fluoroscopy, as recorded in time interval i , and $\Delta t_{flu,i}$ is the duration of time interval i .

In method 2, the dose-area product was applied for weighting the tube high voltage. Dose-area product data were used because it has been shown that the occupational exposure to radiologists and staff is proportionally related to the dose-area product [KI92a, KI93c]. The dose-area product was applied for weighting as follows:

$$HV_{dose-area} = \Sigma_i(DAP_i \cdot HV_i) / \Sigma_i(DAP_i) \quad (2)$$

where DAP_i is the dose-area product, and HV_i is the high voltage recorded in time interval i .

In method 3, the dose-area product, combined with a transmission factor for lead aprons (derived from phantom studies), was applied for weighting, as follows:

$$HV_{dose-area,weighted} = \Sigma_i[DAP_i \cdot Tr(HV)_i \cdot HV_i] / \Sigma_i[DAP_i \cdot Tr(HV)_i] \quad (3)$$

where DAP_i is the dose-area product for time interval i , HV_i is the high voltage recorded in time interval i , and $Tr(HV)_i$ the transmission of scattered radiation through 0.5 mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons; transmission is a function of the tube high voltage HV_i .

In method 4, it is assumed that in consecutive time intervals the entrance skin dose underneath the apron (D_{skin}) is equal to the entrance dose at the outside of the apron (D_{apron}) multiplied with the transmission factor $Tr(HV)$. Backscatter and beam hardening are neglected. Furthermore it is assumed that D_{apron} and the dose-area product (DAP) are linearly related ($D_{apron} = c \cdot DAP$; $c = \text{constant}$). This method was used as the standard of reference. The total entrance skin dose in an examination, $D_{skin, total}$, was determined as follows:

$$D_{skin, total} = \Sigma_i[Tr(HV)_i \cdot D_{apron, i}] = \Sigma_i[Tr(HV)_i \cdot c \cdot DAP_i] \quad (4)$$

Introducing an effective transmission factor per examination Tr_{eff} , the total entrance skin dose may be calculated as follows:

$$D_{skin, total} = Tr_{eff} \cdot \Sigma_i(c \cdot DAP_i) \quad (5)$$

Combining Formulas (4) and (5) results in the following equation:

$$Tr_{eff} = \Sigma_i[Tr(HV)_i \cdot DAP_i] / \Sigma_i[DAP_i] \quad (6)$$

The calculated results for Tr_{eff} (Eq [6]) and the mathematical relationship between the radiation transmission and the tube high voltage $Tr(HV)$, which was applied in method 3, were used to derive the effective tube high voltage HV_{eff} . For this purpose, Equation (7) (given in the discussion) was solved analytically to estimate HV_{eff} for calculated values of Tr_{eff} .

9.4.3 Results

Figure 9.6 presents transmission factors for a 0.5-mm-thick lead equivalent apron as a function of tube high voltage. These factors were obtained from phantom studies. The transmission of the primary beam and the transmission reported by Rawlings et al. [RA90] have been included in Figure 9.6 as well. The transmission data reported by Rawlings and our data do not include backscatter from the body. In our phantom-based experiments, the backscatter contribution was estimated to be about 10% (range, 7% to 18%).

Our phantom-based transmission factors, shown in Figure 9.6, have been applied to calculate the weighted average tube high voltage per procedure according to method 3.

Statistics on TLD measurements from the first session are given in Table 9.10. These results refer to the integrated dose associated with various procedures performed in the measuring period. The uncovered TLDs at the outside of the apron have been corrected for contributions of scattered X-rays in the preparation room. The transmission factor (Tr) for consecutive measuring periods was calculated as the quotient of the dose of the TLD at the inside with 1-mm-thick lead covering and the dose at the outside. The derived transmission factor Tr per measuring period varied from 0.0034 to 0.020. The transmission factor was also derived from the sums of doses over and under the apron. From these figures, the overall transmission in the first session was calculated to be 0.0083 (attenuation factor = 120).

Figure 9.6 Reduction of X-ray dose rates by 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons as a function of the tube high voltage.

—□—□— transmission of radiation scattered 90°(experimentally derived, using a 12-pulse voltage generator); —○—○— transmission of radiation scattered 90° by a wax phantom (X-ray beam, fully rectified unsmoothed voltage waveform, beam filtration not specified) in the study by Rawlings et al. [RA90]; —△—△— primary beam attenuation experimentally derived for a narrow beam geometry by using a 12-pulse voltage generator; —×—×— primary beam attenuation experimentally derived for a broad beam geometry by using a 12-pulse voltage generator.

Table 9.11 presents results from the two-element dosimeters (GR-200A) applied in single vascular procedures in session 2. In all, dose data were obtained from 53 vascular procedures. A subset of dose data above the detection level was used to estimate the upper level of the transmission factor.

Table 9.12 presents results of the four-element dosimeters (GR-200A) that were worn for 1 week in session 3. As in session 1, these results refer to the cumulated dose of a number of vascular radiologic procedures. The total number of monitored procedures in session 3 was 50.

Statistics on tube high voltage during fluoroscopy, averaged per examination, are given in Table 9.13. Four different methods for calculating the high voltage were applied.

Table 9.10 Dose measurements obtained with TLDs of normal sensitivity in session 1^a

No. of Experiments	Dose outside apron (μGy)	Dose inside apron (μGy)		Background Dose ^b (μGy)
		With 1-mm-thick lead covering	Without 1-mm-thick lead covering	
1	587 (5)	5 (0.0)	10 (0.6)	7 ; 5
2	654 (7)	4 (1.2)	10 (1.5)	3 ; 8
3	894 (14)	3 (2.1)	9 (0.7)	7 ; 10
4	666 (19)	13 (0.6)	16 (2.6)	6 ; 5
5	397 (11)	7 (2.0)	8 (1.5)	6 ; 4
6	460 (23)	4 (1.7)	5 (0.6)	8 ; 8
7	619 (28)	6 (0.6)	27 (0.6)	7 ; 6
8	1,774 (36)	8 (1.0)	15 (1.7)	8 ; 9
Total	6,051	50	100	52 ; 55

^a Three dosimeters, each containing three thermoluminescent elements (TLD-100), were worn in the abdominal region. One dosimeter was worn outside and two dosimeters inside the lead apron. Numbers in parentheses are sample standard deviations which were calculated from dose results from three thermoluminescent elements; ^b Background dose was measured at two hanger systems in the preparation room, which was located next to the angiography room.

Table 9.11 Dose measurements obtained with TLDs of high sensitivity (GR-200A) in session 2^a

Dosimeter position	No. of vascular proc.	Dose (μGy)				90th perc.	max.
		min.	10th perc.	median	mean		
All data							
Over apron	53	0.1	1.6	13	28	92	162
Under apron	53	-1.5	-0.5	0.0	0.1	0.6	4.7
Selected and modified data ^b							
Over apron	29	11.1	13	27	49	126	162
Under apron	29	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	1.1	4.7
Ratio ^c	29	22	27	52	77	194	253

^a Statistics have been derived, without application of a lower detection limit, from the total data set, inclusive of negative values. Each dosimeter contained two TL-elements (GR-200A); ^b Procedures with outside-apron dose levels less than 10 μGy have been deleted. Doses under the apron less than the detection level (1 μGy) were set equal to 0.5 times the detection level (0.5 μGy); ^c Ratio refer to the quotient of paired dose levels over the apron and dose levels under the apron.

Table 9.12 Dose measurements obtained with TLDs of high sensitivity in session 3^a

No. of exp.	Dose outside apron (μGy)	Dose inside apron (μGy)	Average attenuation
1	343 (5)	1.6 (0.5)	214
2	218 (14)	0.8 (0.3)	272
3	53 (0.7)	0.3 (0.6)	177
4	75 (1.7)	0.2 (0.1)	375
5	572 (12)	2.1 (0.3)	272
6	69 (0.7)	0.4 (0.7)	172
7	65 (1.4)	0.7 (0.1)	93
8	316 (1.9)	1.6 (0.1)	198

^a Two dosimeters, each containing four TL-elements (GR-200A) were worn in the abdominal region. One dosimeter was worn outside the lead apron and one was worn inside. The measurement period was always equal to 1 week. Numbers in parentheses are the standard errors of the dose estimate per dosimeter and were calculated from the four TL-elements. The mean average attenuation was 222 (standard error, 30).

Table 9.13 Tube high voltage during fluoroscopy^a

Weighting method	No. of proc.	Tube high voltage (kV)				90th perc.	max.
		min.	10th perc.	median	mean		
1	35	66	69	72	75	88	91
2	35	68	69	75	77	88	93
3	35	70	70	79	80	90	96
4	35	69	70	76	78	88	94

^a Real-time measurements of the tube high voltage during fluoroscopy were recorded in time intervals of variable length. These recordings were averaged per examination by using four different weighting methods.

9.4.4 Discussion

Radiation attenuation

In the tube high voltage range of 60-110 kV, which is usually applied during fluoroscopy in vascular radiology, our phantom-based transmission factor varied between 0.07% and 2.2% (see Figure 9.6). Transmission factors derived from a broad-beam geometry were about two to three times higher, depending on the tube high voltage. In our phantom-based experiments, the backscatter contribution was experimentally determined to be about 10%. Figure 9.6 clearly shows that backscatter contributions are less important than differences introduced by variation in X-ray beam geometry. Therefore, backscatter contributions are negligible.

The results of the first session (Table 9.10) clearly demonstrates the protection provided by a lead apron. Table 9.10 indicates that, on average, there is good agreement in the estimated background dose due to scattered X-ray radiation and the difference in doses for TLDs attached on the inside of the lead apron with and without a 1-mm-thick lead covering. The broad range of transmission factors may be associated with actual variances in exposure conditions as well as with (relatively large) errors in the estimated dose at the inside of the apron.

In the second session, measurements of the radiation attenuation in single examinations were performed to obtain information about the variance in the derived attenuation factor (Table 9.11). Table 9.11 indicates that dose levels underneath the lead apron for single examinations are too low to obtain easily interpretable data, even if TLDs of high

In the second session, measurements of the radiation attenuation in single examinations were performed to obtain information about the variance in the derived attenuation factor (Table 9.11). Table 9.11 indicates that dose levels underneath the lead apron for single examinations are too low to obtain easily interpretable data, even if TLDs of high sensitivity (detection level, 1 μGy) are applied (more than 90% of the measurement results obtained under the apron were below 0.6 μGy). Because of very large relative uncertainties in the small doses under the apron, the average transmission factor is unreliable. The attenuation factor, derived from the summed doses of all results at the outside and inside the apron, was calculated to be 280.

In order to estimate the transmission factor from data given in Table 9.11, a subset of paired dose data was selected. Taking the lower detection level of 1 μGy into account and the conclusion that the attenuation factor is probably greater than 10 (Figure 9.6), this subset was composed of doses on the outside of the apron of at least 10 μGy . A dose of 0.5 times the lower detection level was assigned to the doses on the inside of the apron that were less than the lower detection level. This technique is used in the literature for censored data samples. Perkins et al. [PE90] have shown that, under the assumption of lognormality, this approach is quite reliable. The result obtained by Perkins et al. with this method was only 21% smaller than the more accurate (but also more elaborate) Cohen technique for estimating the mean. This simple method is therefore appropriate for our calculations. The average attenuation factor for this modified subset ($n=29$) is 77 (range, 20-250). The quotient of the summed doses outside and inside the apron was 69. The minimal level of the radiation attenuation, obtained by assigning the numerical value of lower detection level to doses less than the lower detection level, was 63.

Results of dose measurements with TLDs of high sensitivity during a number of examinations (session 3) are presented in Table 9.12. In order to obtain a higher precision, each dosimeter contained four Tl-elements instead of two. The average attenuation has been calculated as the average value for dose quotients per measuring period as well as the quotient of the cumulated doses. Table 9.12 shows that both methods result in an average value of 222. As a third method, a simple linear regression line with no zero intercept was fitted to the dose data obtained under the apron as a function of that measured over the apron in session 3 (method of least squares). The attenuation factor was calculated to be 236 ($n=8$, standard error=18, $R^2=.96$).

As TLDs of relatively low sensitivity were used in the first session and most measurements obtained in the second session refer to low dose levels, the transmission factor derived in session 3 is considered to be the most accurate one.

Tube high voltage during fluoroscopy:

Linear regression analysis on the transmission factor (Tr, given in percentages) and the tube high voltage (HV, given in kilovolts), obtained in the phantom study, resulted in the following simple mathematical equation:

$$\text{Tr} = 15.5 + 0.267 \cdot \text{HV} - 4.06 \sqrt{\text{HV}} \quad (n=6, R^2=0.999) \quad (7)$$

This relation has been used in the calculations of the effective tube high voltage according to method 3. An analytical approach was used to derive the effective tube high voltage from estimated values for the transmission according to method 4.

Statistics on the tube high voltage for fluoroscopy in vascular radiology, measured in 35 examinations, are given in Table 9.13. Comparison of the results per patient

examination for the four different calculation techniques shows a consistent picture: the time-weighted average high voltage is smaller than or equal to the dose-area product-weighted average high voltage, which is smaller than the average high voltage computed with method 3 (weighted with dose-area product and the lead apron attenuation factor, expressed as a function of high voltage). These results are quite logical when taking account of the superlinear relationship between high voltage and dose-area product per unit time and the decrease in attenuation at increasing high voltage. The average "weighted tube high voltage" was estimated to be between 75 and 80 kV, depending on the weighting technique applied. The median varied between 72 and 79 kV. In 90% of all examinations, the average tube high voltage was less than 90 kV.

On theoretical grounds, method 4 is thought to be more accurate than methods 1-3. Calculations of high voltage obtained according to method 1, 2, and 3 have been compared with the effective tube high voltage calculated according to method 4. It was expected that the best approximation for effective tube high voltage was provided by method 3, followed by method 2 and (at some distance) 1. However, it is concluded from both correlation analysis and linear regression analysis that method 2 (weighting with dose-area product) gives the best approximation for effective tube high voltage ($r = .90$, $.99$ and $.95$ for method 1, 2, and 3 respectively; Spearman rank test). Linear regression coefficients for method 1, 2, and 3 were 1.03 ± 0.006 , 1.01 ± 0.002 , and 0.97 ± 0.003 respectively. On average, method 2 underestimates the effective tube high voltage by only about 1% ($HV_{eff} = 1.01 \pm 0.002 \cdot HV_{DAP}$; $n=35$, $R^2=0.9999$).

On average, time-averaged estimates for the tube high voltage seem to underestimate the effective tube high voltage by 2.5 kV. We believe that if dose-area product data are not available, time-averaged values for high voltages are useful as well.

With real-time measurements, the average tube high voltage during fluoroscopic examinations in vascular radiology is estimated to be 78 kV (rounded to 80 kV). By using the transmission data presented in Figure 9.6, this high voltage level would result in a transmission of about 0.5%, corresponding to an attenuation factor of 200; Rawlings et al. [RA90] reported an attenuation factor of 125.

9.4.5 Conclusion

From real-time measurements a typical value of 80 kV was derived for the tube high voltage in fluoroscopy in vascular radiology. Comparison of different methods to calculate the average tube high voltage showed that the end result is relatively insensitive to the method applied. On the basis of tube high voltage settings and phantom-based derived transmission data, the typical attenuation factor of 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons is estimated to be 200. It is obvious that the average tube high voltage for other types of X-ray systems or in examinations with significant differences in the X-ray beam direction may differ. As shown in Figure 9.6, in this case the attenuation factor will deviate as well. In a study of radiation dose in vascular radiology performed in two other Dutch hospitals with different X-ray systems [KI93b, KI93c], the same numerical value for the effective tube high voltage was derived. Experimental determination of the attenuation factor of 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons in clinical practice is quite cumbersome, since most under-apron measurements result in doses below the detection level, even if TLDs of high sensitivity are used. Simple straightforward statistical methods are not applicable. TLD measurements obtained under and over a lead apron in three different

sessions resulted in estimated attenuation factors ranging from 70 to 280. Data from the most accurate measurements indicate a typical value of 220. From both the attenuation factors (derived from clinical TLD dose measurements) and the data recorded on tube high voltage, it is concluded that a factor of 200 (transmission 0.5%) may be used as a typical value for the radiation attenuation factor for 0.5-mm-thick lead equivalent aprons in vascular radiologic examinations performed at average tube high voltages of 80 kV.

The effectiveness of 0.5-mm-thick lead aprons, determined with clinical measurements, agrees with estimates based on generating potential.

9.5 Effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons

9.5.1 Introduction

By means of the results of dose measurements performed on the body (see Section 9.3), and taking the radiation attenuation by protective clothing into account, effective dose estimates were derived for operators and first assistants in the University Hospital Maastricht (azM), the De Wever Hospital Heerlen (DW), and the Maasland Hospital Sittard (MA).

During this study, two types of 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons were in use, semi-closed and wrap-around aprons (see Section 4.6.2). Semi-closed aprons protect the front and both sides of the trunk; wrap-around aprons protect the front, both sides and the back. Considering effective dose calculations, the attenuation factor of these aprons was estimated to be 130 (see Section 6.5.2). This factor applies for a tube high voltage of 80 kV, that was considered to be a typical value for vascular radiology [KI95], and includes a correction factor to allow for the increase of the effective photon energy. Thyroid collars and protective eye glasses were only used infrequently. Lead gloves were not used at all. Additional physical shielding, attached to the X-ray carrier, the patient support table or to the ceiling were not provided.

Results of effective dose calculations for conventional 0.5-mm-thick lead-equivalent aprons are discussed in Section 9.5.2. Also the contributions of doses to various regions to the effective dose will be reported. At the end of that subsection, the annual occupational effective dose, associated with procedures performed in the angiography suite, will be compared with established dose limits.

Additional effective dose calculations were performed for a range of attenuation factors for lead aprons. Calculations and results are discussed in Section 9.5.3. The relationship between the effective dose and the X-ray output is discussed in Section 9.5.4. The correlation between entrance doses at various measurement locations and the effective dose will be addressed in Section 9.5.5. In that subsection the relationship between the effective dose and the entrance dose to the neck will be quantified.

9.5.2 Estimates for the effective dose

Occupational exposures in the azM

As shown in Table 9.14, mean effective doses to operators in the azM varied between 0.8 and 3.4 μSv per procedure type. Occupational exposures during abdominal arteriography (AA) and percutaneous transluminal angioplasty procedures (PTA) were higher than

Maximum dose levels for the operator and assistant were associated with a long fluoroscopy time of 85 min (fluoroscopy time for operator/assistant was not available). Mean effective doses to the assistant varied between 0.4 and 1.5 μSv per procedure type. Ratios of the mean effective dose for assistants by the mean effective dose for operators varied between 0.33 and 0.75. Mean effective doses to operator/assistants were similar to the effective dose to operators for cerebral arteriography (CA), abdominal arteriography (AA) and peripheral arteriography (PAs) procedures, whereas the effective dose to operator/assistants in thoracic arteriography (TA) procedures was less, and in PTA higher, than to operators.

Comparison of median and mean values showed that means were equal to or higher than medians, indicating skewed distributions with a small number of relatively high doses. The Shapiro-Wilk test [SA89] showed that 9 of the 17 effective dose distributions presented in Table 9.14 could not be considered as random samples from normal distributions (the associated probabilities of being normally distributed were less than 5%).

Taking all procedures performed in the angiography room into account, the mean effective dose per standard procedure was estimated to be 2.2 μSv for the operator and 1.2 μSv to the assistant. In more complex procedures and during training stages of registrars, characterised by task switching by the operator and the first assistant, the mean effective dose was 2.7 μSv .

Table 9.14 Effective dose for occupationally exposed persons in arteriography and interventional endovascular procedures in the azM

Procedure ^a type	Effective dose (μSv per procedure)						Operator/F.assistant		
	Operator			First assistant					
	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.
CA	1.2	1.3	3.7	0.6	0.8	4.2	1.0	1.3	3.4
TA	1.0	1.2	2.2	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.5
AA	2.0	3.4	17	1.1	1.4	4.3	2.9	3.9	12
PAn	0.6	0.8	3.2	0.4	0.6	4.3	-	-	-
PAs	1.5	1.7	4.3	0.6	0.7	1.4	1.7	1.9	3.2
PTA	1.8	2.6	6.8	1.5	1.5	3.5	2.4	3.7	8.1
all ^b	1.2	2.2	46	0.6	1.2	28	1.6	2.7	25

^a Procedure types are defined in Table 7.1 and Table 9.3.

Number of observations: *Operator*: CA=27; TA=5; AA=20; PAn=21; PAs=24; PTA=15. *First assistant*: CA=25; TA=4; AA=17; PAn=16; PAs=19; PTA=12. *Operator/F.ass.*: CA=24; TA=4; AA=10; PAn=0; PAs=8; PTA=12.

^b Taking the annual frequency of each procedure type into account (see Table 9.3), statistics for "all procedures" were derived from measurements for operators ($n=140$), first assistants ($n=114$), and operator/assistants ($n=69$). Other procedures, such as percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography, were included too. In all, dose data were extrapolated to 429 procedures for operators, to 346 procedures for assistants, and to 105 procedures for operator/assistants.

Occupational exposures in the DW hospital

Table 9.15 shows that mean effective doses to operators in the DW hospital varied between 0.5 and 3.5 μSv per procedure type. During Seldinger procedures for peripheral arteriography (PAs), and during percutaneous transluminal angioplasty procedures (PTA), occupational exposures exceeded those in other procedures. Maximum values found for

values were obtained in the same procedure with a long fluoroscopy time (32 min). Mean effective doses to the assistant varied between 0.4 and 1.6 μSv per procedure (maximum = 4.8 μSv).

Depending on the procedure type, ratios of the mean effective dose for assistants by the mean effective dose for operators varied between 0.3 and 0.8. Means were equal to or higher than medians, indicating non-normal dose distributions, with a few results on the high dose level sides.

Considering all procedures annually performed in the angiography room, the mean effective dose per standard procedure was estimated to be 1.5 μSv for the operator and 1.0 μSv to the assistant. In more complex procedures, characterised by task switching by operators and assistants, the mean occupational effective dose was 2.1 μSv per procedure.

Table 9.15 Effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in arteriography and interventional endovascular procedures in DW

Procedure ^a type	Effective dose (μSv per procedure)						Operator/F.assistant		
	Operator			First assistant					
	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.	Median	Mean	Max.
CA	0.8	1.3	8.3	0.3	0.4	1.5	-	-	-
EA	0.4	0.5	1.6	0.3	0.4	0.9	-	-	-
TA	0.5	0.8	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
AA	1.0	1.0	1.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	-	-	-
AAiv	1.0	1.1	1.8	-	-	-	2.4	2.4	3.5
PAn	0.5	0.7	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs	2.1	3.2	8.1	1.0	1.6	3.2	-	-	-
PTA	2.7	3.5	18	0.8	1.3	4.8	6.9	6.9	8.2
all ^b	0.8	1.5	18	0.8	1.0	4.8	1.3	2.1	8.2

^a Procedure types are defined in Table 7.1 and Table 9.3. Number of observations: Operator: CA=26; EA=11; TA=3; AA=4; AAiv=5; PAn=19; PAs=4; PTA=25. F. assistant: CA=25; EA=11; TA<3; AA=3; AAiv<3; PAn<3; PAs=3; PTA=26. Oper./F.ass: AAiv=3; PTA=3; other procedures <3.

^b Statistics for "all procedures" were derived by extrapolating 107 results for the operator, 75 for the first assistant, and 8 for the operator/assistant to the annual frequency of each procedure type (see Table 9.3). Other procedures, such as cervical myelography, were included too. Dose data were extrapolated to 680 procedures for operators, to 454 procedures for assistants, and to 43 procedures for operator/assistants.

Occupational exposures in the MA hospital

During procedures with overcouch tube positions, mean effective doses to the operator varied between 0.8 and 22 μSv per procedure type (Table 9.16). Occupational exposures in selective abdominal arteriography (AAB) and percutaneous transluminal angioplasty procedures (PTA) were higher than in other procedures. The maximum effective dose to operators was 77 μSv . Maximum values for the operators and assistants were obtained in the same procedure, with 45 min fluoroscopy time (the maximum value included in the data set). Mean effective doses to the assistant during overcouch tube exposure conditions varied between 0.3 and 8.7 μSv per procedure type.

Ratios of mean effective doses for assistants by mean effective doses for operators varied between about 0.15 and 0.4. Generally, mean/median-ratios per procedure type were within the range of 1 to 1.5.

Taking all procedures performed in the angiography room into account, the mean effective dose per standard procedure was estimated to be 6.9 μSv for the operator and 2.0 μSv for the assistant.

In addition to the effective dose estimates for procedures performed in the angiography room, effective doses were derived for Seldinger catheterisations for peripheral arteriography (PAs) in an other X-ray room (overcouch X-ray tube system). From dose data obtained in eight procedures, the mean effective dose to the operator was estimated to be 3.5 μSv , to the assistant 0.4 μSv .

In the second stage of this study in the MA hospital, effective doses were estimated for undercouch X-ray tube applications. In addition to the deliberate change to undercouch tube position, one extra video monitor was used for fluoroscopy viewing by the operator [KI93c]. This monitor was placed near the operator, across the patient table. The regular monitor, a ceiling mounted device, was positioned above the distal end of the patient table. The purpose of the extra monitor was to prevent the operator from turning his back to the X-ray source. From clinical observations it was assumed that by losing sight of the X-ray source, the awareness of the presence of the source decreased, resulting in a reduction of the distance from the source.

Table 9.16 Effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in arteriography and interventional endovascular procedures in MA

Proc. type ^a	Effective dose estimates (μ Sv per procedure)											
	Overcouch X-ray tube proc.						Undercouch X-ray tube proc.					
	Operator			First assistant			Operator			F. assistant		
	Med.	Mean	Max	Med.	Mean	Max	Med.	Mean	Max	Med.	Mean	Max
CA1	3.1	3.2	7.3	0.5	0.5	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
CA2	4.3	5.1	41	0.5	0.8	8.7	1.6	1.8	4.5	0.3	0.4	1.3
EA	0.6	0.8	1.6	0.2	0.3	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
AAA	4.2	6.5	18	1.1	1.3	4.2	0.8	2.1	5.1	0.4	0.5	0.9
AAB	13	18	66	2.4	2.3	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PTA	18	22	77	8.1	8.7	34	1.4	1.6	2.2	0.9	1.0	1.4
all ^b	3.3	6.9	77	0.5	2.0	34	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAs ^c	3.1	3.5	8	0.3	0.4	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-

^a Procedure types are defined in Table 7.1 and Table 9.3. Numbers of observations for the operator and for the first assistant: Overcouch tube positions: CA1=26; CA2=42; EA=4; AAA=11; AAB=8; PTA=18. Undercouch tube positions: CA2=17; AAA=5; PTA=3.

^b Statistics include other procedures performed in the angiography room, such as cervical myelography. Results of 127 procedures with overcouch tube positions, were extrapolated to 360 procedures performed in 1992, both for the operator and the assistant; ^c Results were inferred from eight procedures performed with use of an overcouch system in X-ray room 8 (Telecommand system, Philips Diagnost 92, Philips MCM 100 generator, total beam filtration 2.8 mm Al).

Dose estimates obtained in the first and second stage were compared in detail elsewhere [KI93c]. Taking the X-ray output into account, which is characterised by the dose-area product, it was concluded that, due to the deliberate changes in working and exposure conditions, the effective dose to the operator was decreased by a factor 3 to 8, depending on the procedure type. For the assistant a reduction factor of 2 to 5 was obtained. Global comparison of mean effective dose estimates presented in Table 9.16 also shows that the occupational exposure in procedures with overcouch tube positions exceeded those in undercouch tube positions. Due to the changes discussed before, the mean effective dose to the operator was reduced from 5.1 to 1.8 μSv in cerebral arteriography (CA2), from

product, it was concluded that, due to the deliberate changes in working and exposure conditions, the effective dose to the operator was decreased by a factor 3 to 8, depending on the procedure type. For the assistant a reduction factor of 2 to 5 was obtained. Global comparison of mean effective dose estimates presented in Table 9.16 also shows that the occupational exposure in procedures with overcouch tube positions exceeded those in undercouch tube positions. Due to the changes discussed before, the mean effective dose to the operator was reduced from 5.1 to 1.8 μSv in cerebral arteriography (CA2), from 6.5 to 2.1 μSv in abdominal arteriography (AAA), and from 22 to 1.6 μSv in percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) procedures. Mean effective doses to the assistant were reduced in a more or less similar way. Differences were found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). It is useful to note that high reduction factors (6-8) were obtained in procedures with relatively high occupational exposures, selective abdominal arteriography (AAB) and PTA [KI93c].

As described before, two types of changes were introduced simultaneously in the second stage (undercouch tube instead of overcouch tube, and an additional monitor). Because of limitations to the resources, the influence of each single factor on the occupational exposure could not be assessed. In simulated exposure conditions, we performed additional dose-rate measurements to estimate the dose to the neck of the operator as a function of the X-ray tube position. Cylindrical and rectangular perspex phantoms were applied to simulate the head and trunk of the patient. By rotating the X-ray tube from AP to PA positions, the variation of the dose to the neck (assumed at about 50 cm above the abdominal region of our phantom, 25 cm lateral from the longitudinal axis) was studied. It was concluded from these measurements that, for tube voltage settings of about 80 kV, the dose rate to the neck will be about 3 to 10 times higher for overcouch than for undercouch tube positions. The variance in this ratio is mainly associated with variations in the angle of rotation. Taking these results and data by other investigators [FA82, BO91] into account, it was concluded that the major part of the dose reduction obtained in this study could be attributed to the change of X-ray tube position.

Contributions of various regions to the effective dose

Relative contributions of doses to various regions to the effective dose have been summarised in Table 9.17. Results are shown for the operators and the first assistants in the three hospitals separately. The effect of thyroid collars on the relative dose distributions has been demonstrated as well (the attenuation factor of these collars was assumed to be 100). It should be noted again that dose measurements were performed on persons who did not wear a thyroid collar. It follows from Table 9.17 that the major part of the effective dose resulted from the nonprotected neck region. This statement holds for all persons and for each exposure condition considered in this study. By introducing a thyroid collar this contribution will decrease with a factor of 10 (see Table 9.17). The corresponding effective dose will decrease with a factor of 2 to 3, depending on the exposure conditions. Table 9.17 also shows that, at least for undercouch tube positions, the dose contributions from the region "lower leg" are of significance. It follows that, after the introduction of thyroid collars, protection of the lower legs by using extended aprons can be considered as an additional option for further dose reduction.

Table 9.17 Mean contributions of various regions to the effective dose (μSv) for operators and assistants in vascular radiology

Hospital Region	Operator		First assistant	
	without thyr.collar	with collar ^a	without thyr.collar	with collar ^a
azM:				
head	0.06 (3%)	(5%)	0.05 (4%)	(7%)
neck	1.05 (48%)	0.10 (8%)	0.61 (50%)	0.06 (9%)
upper arms	0.09 (4%)	(7%)	0.05 (4%)	(7%)
hands	0.20 (9%)	(16%)	0.10 (8%)	(14%)
thorax	0.04 (2%)	(3%)	0.02 (2%)	(3%)
abdomen	0.16 (7%)	(13%)	0.10 (8%)	(14%)
thighs	0.01 (<)	(1%)	0.01 (1%)	(1%)
lower legs	0.56 (26%)	(46%)	0.30 (24%)	(43%)
-----	+	+	+	+
E (μSv)	2.17	1.22	1.24	0.68
DW:				
head	0.07 (5%)	(12%)	0.07 (7%)	(20%)
neck	1.04 (68%)	0.10 (17%)	0.66 (69%)	0.06 (17%)
upper arms	0.06 (4%)	(10%)	0.04 (4%)	(11%)
hands	0.10 (7%)	(17%)	0.04 (4%)	(11%)
thorax	0.03 (2%)	(5%)	0.02 (2%)	(6%)
abdomen	0.09 (6%)	(10%)	0.05 (5%)	(14%)
thighs	< 0.01 (<)	(1%)	< (<)	(<)
lower legs	0.13 (9%)	(22%)	0.07 (7%)	(20%)
-----	+	+	+	+
E (μSv)	1.52	0.58	0.95	0.35
MA-overcouch tube:				
head	1.02 (15%)	(42%)	0.17 (9%)	(30%)
neck	4.92 (72%)	0.48 (20%)	1.54 (78%)	0.15 (26%)
upper arms	0.27 (4%)	(11%)	0.08 (4%)	(14%)
hands	0.33 (5%)	(14%)	0.08 (4%)	(14%)
thorax	0.11 (2%)	(5%)	0.03 (2%)	(5%)
abdomen	0.16 (2%)	(7%)	0.05 (3%)	(9%)
thighs	< (<)	(<)	< (<)	(<)
lower legs	0.05 (7%)	(2%)	0.01 (1%)	(2%)
-----	+	+	+	+
E (μSv)	6.86	2.42	1.96	0.57

^a Effective dose contributions from regions not protected by the thyroid collar are equal to corresponding dose data listed in the column "without thyr. collar". To highlight the reduction of the dose to the neck by wearing a collar, dose data for other regions were not included in the column "with collar". Dose data were obtained for persons who did not wear thyroid collars regularly. Attenuation factor of thyroid collars was assumed to be 100 for the thyroid and 50 for all other tissues protected by the collar. Because of rounding errors, the sum of percent values does not always add up to 100%.

Annual occupational effective doses in the hospitals azM, DW and MA

Taking account of the annual frequency (Table 9.3) and the mean effective dose for various procedure types performed in the angiography rooms (Tables 9.14-9.16), estimates for the occupational effective dose to radiologists and technologists were derived. As a first approach, it was assumed that all procedures were performed by the same operator and assistant. Dose estimates for procedures not listed in the Tables 9.14-9.16 were taken into account as well. It should be noted that mean effective doses to assistants in azM and DW were based on fewer procedures than to operators (see Tables 9.14 and 9.15). Because most of the procedures involved a team of at least two persons, annual frequencies derived for the operator have been applied for assistants as well.

Generally, only radiologists and registrars were involved as operator/assistant. Therefore, results obtained for operators/assistants have been assigned to the group of radiologists.

Generally, only radiologists and registrars were involved as operator/assistant. Therefore, results obtained for operators/assistants have been assigned to the group of radiologists. As two operator/assistants were involved per procedure, the number of these procedures was multiplied by two.

The results are presented in Table 9.18. The ratio of effective dose by the currently used occupationally effective dose limit in the Netherlands (20 mSv per year), are given in parentheses. These figures clearly show that effective doses associated with radiological work in the angiography rooms studied were relatively small. Even if in each angiography room all procedures were performed by one radiologist and one technologist, the effective dose to these persons would be less than about 10% of the occupational dose limit.

Table 9.18 Estimates for the annual effective dose, E , associated with all procedures performed in the angiography rooms^a

Hospital	Operator ^b				First assistant		
	No. [a ⁻¹]	E_{mean} [μSv]	E_{total} [$\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$]		No. [a ⁻¹]	E_{mean} [μSv]	E_{total} [$\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$]
azM	op	429	2.2	944}	429	1.2	515 (2.6%)
	op/ass	210	2.7	567}			
				1511 (7.6%)			
DW	op	680	1.5	1020}	680	1.0	680 (3.4%)
	op/ass	86	2.1	181}			
				1201 (6.0%)			
MA	op	360	6.9	2484 (12%)	360	2.0	720 (3.6%)

^a No. denotes the number of exposures; E_{mean} denotes the mean effective per procedure, weighted for the relative frequencies of various types; E_{total} denotes the annual effective dose, derived by multiplying E_{mean} by No. Ratios of effective doses by currently applied dose limits in the Netherlands (20 mSv, a⁻¹) are given in parentheses; ^b *op* and *op/ass* refer to operators and to operator/assistants respectively.

9.5.3 Effective dose reduction by protective clothing

Quite regularly the question arises what type of apron should be used to optimise radiological protection. Aprons featuring a low radiation attenuation factor, or protecting only a small part of the body will not reduce the effective dose to a large extent. By increasing the mass of the radiation attenuation material, the effective dose will decrease as well. However, beyond a certain point, the additional protection will be insignificantly small. It follows that the loss of comfort of wearing, or the increased chance of back pain or injuries from heavy and large aprons, will not necessarily be compensated by a significant increase of health protection. Recently, optimisation of protection by aprons has been addressed by Marshall and Faulkner [MA95] and Niklason et al. [NI93].

In this section we will discuss the relationships between the effective dose and the radiation attenuation by (1) conventional lead aprons, (2) conventional aprons plus thyroid collars, and (3) extended lead aprons which protect the trunk, neck (thyroid) and the legs. Extended aprons were introduced because of the results presented in Table 9.17. The relationships were studied theoretically. In our effective dose calculations the decrease of the radiation dose behind the apron was dealt with by multiplying the entrance dose on the

apron by an attenuation factor. This attenuation factor was stepwise changed from 1 (no protection) to 100000 (1, 1.4, 2.5, 52, 10 etc). In these model calculations, AP-exposures to 80 kV X-rays were assumed. Entrance doses and effective dose calculation models applied, are discussed in the sections 9.1-9.3. In all, results were obtained from 360 calculations, involving 15 different attenuation factors, 3 types of protective clothing (conventional apron, conventional apron plus thyroid collar, extended apron), 4 exposure sites (azM, DW, MA-overcouch tube positions, MA-undercouch tube positions), and 2 persons (operator, first assistant). The numbers of procedures involved in these calculations are given in footnotes in Table 9.14-9.16. Effective doses, normalised to the effective dose to persons who did not wear protective clothing, are presented in Figure 9.7-9.9.

Figure 9.7 presents relative effective doses for persons wearing a lead apron of conventional size, with no thyroid protection. Figure 9.7 clearly shows that the effectiveness of conventional aprons depends on both the radiation transmission by the apron and the exposure conditions. The highest differential increase of protection was obtained for attenuation factors between 1 and about 10. The protection factor, defined as the $E_{\text{no apron}}/E_{\text{apron}}$ -ratio, at an attenuation factor of 10, was calculated to be 4.1 for exposures resulting from overcouch, and 5.6-6.3 for exposures from undercouch tube positions. For aprons featuring an attenuation factor of 100, the protection factor was estimated to be 6.0-6.1 for overcouch tube positions, and 10.5-13.6 for undercouch tube positions. The maximum protection factor (at an attenuation factor of 10000) was calculated to be 6.3-6.4 and 12-16 for overcouch and undercouch tube position respectively. It follows that aprons, loaded with additional material to obtain an attenuation factor that exceeds about 100, are quite ineffective.

Figure 9.8 depicts the relative effective dose to persons wearing a lead apron of conventional size, and an additional thyroid collar with an attenuation factor of 100. In our effective dose calculations it was assumed that the thyroid was completely covered by the collar, whereas other tissues in the neck region, such as red marrow, skin, and oesophagus were only partly covered (50%). Similar to the results presented in Figure 9.7, the data in Figure 9.8 show that the effectiveness of conventional aprons and thyroid collars depends on both the radiation transmission and on the exposure conditions. Comparison of Figure 9.7 and 9.8 shows that differences between overcouch tube and undercouch tube installations were smaller, if thyroid collars were to be used. The protection factor of aprons providing an attenuation factor of 10, combined with an additional thyroid collar, was estimated to be 6.6-7.1 for overcouch tube conditions, and 7.4-8.2 for undercouch tube positions. At an attenuation factor of 100, the protection factor was estimated to be 15-18 for overcouch tube positions, and 20-29 for undercouch tube positions. The maximum protection factor (attenuation factor 10000) was calculated to be 17-22, and 26-40 for overcouch and undercouch tube position respectively. Again, it follows that aprons, loaded with additional material to obtain an attenuation factor that exceeds about 100, are quite ineffective.

Figure 9.9 provides the relative effective dose for persons wearing an extended lead apron, featuring additional protection to the neck (thyroid), and the lower legs. In our calculations it was assumed that this apron provided the same radiation attenuation for tissues in the neck, in the lower legs, and in the trunk. For an attenuation factor of 10, these aprons provide a protection factor of 7.4-8.1, and 8.9-9.3 for overcouch and undercouch tube positions respectively. For an attenuation factor of 100, the

Corresponding maximum values for the protection factor were found to be 26-38, and 73-117 respectively.

The relative effectiveness of the protection measures described before, have been normalised to the effectiveness of conventional aprons. For each attenuation factor, ratios of effective doses associated with conventional aprons plus thyroid collars by effective doses for conventional aprons, and ratios of effective doses for extended aprons by effective doses for conventional aprons were calculated. Results, given in Figure 9.10, show that thyroid collars are quite effective. For example, for an attenuation factor for aprons of 100, the effective dose ratio, $E_{\text{apron+collar}}/E_{\text{apron}}$, was 0.33 to 0.6. In other words, by using a thyroid collar the effective dose was decreased with about a factor of 2. Figure 9.10 also shows that the effectiveness of thyroid collars decreases if the attenuation by the apron decreases. For instance, for an attenuation factor of 10, the effective dose ratio varied between 0.52 and 0.80. It also follows from Figure 9.10 that by extending the protective area to the leg region, the effective dose decreases. At an attenuation factor of 100, as used in this study, the additional protection provided by an extended apron, compared with a conventional apron would amount to about a factor of 3 to 5. Generally speaking, the protection factor for extended aprons is about a factor of two higher than for conventional aprons plus thyroid collars.

By using the mean protection factor derived for conventional aprons (attenuation factor 130) as a reference point (overcouch tube: 6x; undercouch: 12x), corresponding attenuation factors for conventional aprons plus thyroid collars were calculated to be 20 for undercouch and 8.3 for overcouch tube positions. Corresponding attenuation factors for extended aprons were calculated to be 14 for undercouch and 7 for overcouch tube positions.

In conclusion, the relative effective dose to operators and assistants in vascular procedures depends both on the radiation attenuation by the lead apron, and on the exposure conditions. Aprons featuring an attenuation factor in the range of about 30 to 10000 appeared to result in about the same effective dose. For attenuation factors between about 1 and 30, the effective dose increases more rapidly. The reduction of the effective dose, obtained by conventional aprons (no thyroid collar used) was calculated to be 6 to 14. With use of a thyroid collar the reduction factor was 15 to 29. It follows that thyroid collars can reduce the effective dose with about a factor of two. This result is similar to the effect of a thyroid collar on the effective dose found estimated by Niklason et al. [NI93] and Marshall and Faulkner [MA95].

Figure 9.7 Relative effective dose for operators and assistants in vascular radiology as a function of the attenuation factor for lead aprons of conventional size (no thyroid protection).
xyz:
x=a: azM hospital; x=d: DW hospital; x=m: MA hospital
y=a: assistant (—○—○—) ; y=o: operator (—△—△—).
z=o: overcouch tube positions; z=u: undercouch tube positions.

Figure 9.8 Relative effective dose of operators and assistants in vascular radiology, wearing conventional aprons plus thyroid collars (attenuation factor of collar, 100), as a function of the attenuation factor for lead aprons of conventional sizes (see Figure 9.7 for explanation of character codes).

Figure 9.9

Relative effective dose of operators and assistants in vascular radiology as a function of the attenuation factor for extended lead aprons, protecting the neck region (thyroid) and lower legs.

(see Figure 9.7 for explanation of lines and character codes)

Figure 9.10

Effective doses to persons wearing a conventional apron plus thyroid collar, or wearing an extended apron, normalised to the corresponding effective dose to persons wearing a conventional apron, as a function of the attenuation factor for lead aprons.

9.5.4 Relationships between occupational effective doses and the dose-area product

The nonparametric Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used to associate the effective dose estimates for operators and first assistants with the workload of the X-ray system during occupational exposures. Because of information about the working conditions as provided in Section 4.6.2, the workload was characterised by the dose-area product during fluoroscopy. In addition, the correlation between the effective dose and the fluoroscopy time was examined. Since our data showed that the use of thyroid collars could be considered as quite effective, correlations between exposure variables were extended with the effective dose for persons wearing a conventional apron and a thyroid collar. Results of the correlation analysis are given in Table 9.19. Figures 9.11-9.14 depict the effective dose to persons (no thyroid collar) and the dose-area product from fluoroscopy.

Table 9.19 clearly shows that the correlation between the effective dose and the dose-area product from fluoroscopy was quite high. Table 9.19 also indicates that the dose-area product has more potential as a determining parameter than the fluoroscopy time. Correlations between dose-area products and effective doses to persons with conventional aprons plus thyroid collars appeared to be similar to those for persons who wore conventional aprons only. It should be noted that correlation coefficients between effective doses and the total dose-area product per procedure (data not shown in Table 9.19) were higher than for the fluoroscopy time, but less than the dose-area product from fluoroscopy.

Table 9.19 Spearman rank correlation analyses for effective doses and fluoroscopy during vascular radiology

<i>Hospital</i>		Spearman rank correlation coefficients ^b		
Parameter ^a	Thyroid collar	Operator	First assistant	All personnel ^c
<i>azM</i>				
DAP _{flu} :	no	0.79 [115]	0.77 [94]	0.71 [269]
	yes	0.83 [115]	0.83 [94]	0.76 [269]
t _{flu} :	no	0.58 [111]	0.51 [94]	0.49 [265]
	yes	0.59 [111]	0.56 [94]	0.51 [265]
<i>DW</i>				
DAP _{flu} :	no	0.77 [82]	0.66 [59]	0.66 [147]
	yes	0.77 [82]	0.70 [59]	0.69 [147]
t _{flu} :	no	0.55 [91]	0.43 [64]	0.44 [161]
	yes	0.53 [91]	0.42 [64]	0.43 [161]
<i>MA-overcouch</i>				
DAP _{flu} :	no	0.77 [111]	0.78 [111]	0.65 [222]
	yes	0.76 [111]	0.82 [111]	0.64 [222]
t _{flu} :	no	0.60 [120]	0.55 [120]	0.49 [240]
	yes	0.59 [120]	0.58 [120]	0.48 [240]

^a DAP_{flu} and t_{flu} denote the dose-area product from fluoroscopy and the fluoroscopy time respectively; ^b The figures in brackets indicate the numbers of paired observations; ^c The column "All personnel" also comprises data about operator/assistants.

Taking advantage of the results of the correlation analyses, a simple linear regression model (method of least squares) was applied to determine the relationship between the effective dose and the dose-area product from fluoroscopy. As a DAP value of zero would have to correspond with an effective dose of zero, it was assumed a priori that the fitted line passed through the origin (i.e. that the intercept is zero). Interactively, in a multi-pass procedure, data points that appeared to have a large influence on the parameter estimates were deleted. As a measure of influence, Cook's D was used [MO92, SA89]. Points for which Cook's D was greater than unity were excluded. Results of various regression analyses are summarised in Table 9.20. Parameter estimates for the full data set were compared with those for the restricted data sets (excluding observations with Cook's $D > 1$). Ratios of the regression coefficient β ($\beta_{\text{restricted}}/\beta_{\text{full data}}$) varied between 0.6 and 1.7. It can be concluded from Table 9.20 that effective dose estimates for assistants (μSv) can be reasonably derived by multiplying the dose-area product from fluoroscopy ($\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$) by a factor of $0.07 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ for undercouch tube positions, and by a factor of $0.25 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ for overcouch tube positions. Derived relationships for operator with undercouch tube positions varied more widely than those for assistants. Nevertheless, the conversion factors for undercouch and for overcouch tube positions can be estimated to be $0.1\text{--}0.2 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$, and $0.8 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ respectively.

Figure 9.11 Effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons and corresponding dose-area products from fluoroscopy in the azM hospital.

Figure 9.12 Effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons and corresponding dose-area products from fluoroscopy in the DW hospital.

Figure 9.13 Effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons and corresponding dose-area products from fluoroscopy in the MA hospital. (overcouch tube positions)

Figure 9.14 Effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons and corresponding dose-area products from fluoroscopy in the MA hospital. (undercouch tube positions)

Table 9.20 Linear regression analyses^a for effective doses and the dose-area product from fluoroscopy during vascular radiology

Hospital	Occupationally exposed persons	No. of observations ^b	β [$\mu\text{Gy} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$]	se(β)	R ²
azM	all personnel	259 (-2)	0.103	0.005	0.59
	- operators	110 (-3)	0.109	0.007	0.70
	- assistants	86 (-3)	0.069	0.006	0.65
	- op./assist.	55 (-4)	0.115	0.009	0.75
DW	all personnel	128 (-6)	0.110	0.009	0.56
	- operators	74 (-4)	0.148	0.015	0.57
	- assistants	46 (-5)	0.055	0.007	0.55
MA-over	all personnel	202 (-2)	0.578	0.032	0.61
	- operators	102 (-3)	0.828	0.041	0.80
	- assistants	96 (-3)	0.234	0.022	0.54
MA-under	all personnel	53 (0)	0.136	0.015	0.60
	- operators	26 (-1)	0.227	0.021	0.80
	- assistants	25 (-1)	0.060	0.005	0.84

^a Regression model: $E = \beta \cdot \text{DAP}_{\text{fluoro}} + \epsilon$, where E = effective dose [μSv], $\text{DAP}_{\text{fluoro}}$ = dose-area product from fluoroscopy [$\text{Gy} \cdot \text{cm}^2$], and ϵ = a random error component. se(β) and R^2 denote the standard error of β and the coefficient of determination respectively; ^b Number of observations included in the regression analysis. The numbers of observations excluded from the calculations, because of a large influence on the parameter estimates (Cook's $D > 1$), are given in parentheses.

9.5.5 Relationships between occupational effective doses and entrance dose measurements performed at various positions on the body

It is of interest to know which measurement positions provide dose information that highly correlates with the effective dose. These positions could be considered as appropriate for personal dosimeters, designated to exposed workers in vascular radiology.

The relationship between personnel dosimeter(s) readings and effective dose equivalent (H_E) and effective dose (E) has been addressed in various papers [FA88, WE89, FA93, NI93, HU94, NI94, RO94]. Faulkner and Harrison [FA88] estimated the neck dosimeter to read about 15 times higher than H_E (0.5 mm lead apron, overcouch tube system, 90 kV). It was recommended that, if one dosimeter was used, this dosimeter was positioned under the lead apron. Webster [WE89] recommended two dosimeters, one waist dosimeter under the apron and one neck dosimeter above the apron. According to Webster, H_E could be assessed with the formula, $H_E = 1.5 \cdot D_{\text{waist, under apron}} + 0.04 \cdot D_{\text{neck}}$. Assuming an attenuation factor for 0.5-mm lead-aprons of 200 (Section 9.4.5), and a figure of 2 for the $D_{\text{waist, on apron}}/D_{\text{neck}}$ -ratio (see Section 9.3), H_E can be estimated to be $0.055 \cdot H_{\text{neck}}$. It follows that the neck dosimeter is 18 times higher than H_E . Faulkner and Harrison [FA93] estimated the D_{neck}/E -ratio for 0.5 mm lead aprons (tube high voltage 70-90 kV) to be 50-65 for overcouch (linear interpolation results in 58 for 80 kV), and 32 for undercouch X-ray tube systems. Niklason et al. [NI93] concluded that over-collar dosimeter measurement results were larger than the effective dose by a factor of 11 when no thyroid collars were used. Huyskens et al. [HU94] concluded that a reduction factor of 5 could be used to convert the unshielded dose at 10 mm tissue depth, measured at the front of the body, into an estimate for the effective dose. Calculations showed that the reduction factor was dependent on the size and fit of the apron and the exposure orientation. The authors stressed that a wide range of uncertainty for the reduction factor by aprons hampers the allocation of a generally applicable correction factor, emphasizing that single badge monitoring is often not sufficient. In order to estimate E for personnel not wearing a thyroid collar, Niklason et al. [NI94] used the equation $E = 0.06 \cdot (H_{\text{os}} - H_u) + H_u$, where H_{os} denotes the shallow dose at the neck above the apron, and H_u denotes the under-apron dose. If the same factors as described before are applied (attenuation by apron, 200; neck/waist-dose ratio, 2), this equation can be converted to $E = 0.07 \cdot H_{\text{os}}$. From this relationship, the D_{neck}/E -ratio is calculated to be 14. By using data presented by Faulkner and Marshall [FA93], Rosenstein and Webster [RO94] derived an empirical relationship. They concluded that E could be estimated by using the relationship, $E = 0.5 \cdot D_{\text{waist, under apron}} + 0.025 \cdot D_{\text{neck, above apron}}$. If the factors mentioned before are used, this relationship results in a D_{neck}/E -ratio of 33.

In conclusion, D_{neck}/E -ratios derived in various papers discussed before, made applicable to vascular radiology, vary between 5 and 60.

By using Spearman rank correlation coefficients, we quantified associations of effective dose estimates for operators and assistants with the entrance doses obtained at various locations on the body. Calculations of correlation were extended to entrance doses and effective doses for persons wearing a conventional apron and a thyroid collar. Results of the correlation analyses are given in Table 9.21. It follows from Table 9.21 that all measurement points show a high correlation with the effective dose, both for persons with and without thyroid collars. If no other data are available, even the entrance doses to the

hands could be useful to assess effective doses. Table 9.20 shows that the neck region provides the highest correlation. Generally, correlations for persons with and without thyroid collars were similar. Correlations for abdomen, hands and, especially, lower legs increased for undercouch tube positions (azM, DW). This change is obviously related to the increase of the relative contributions of these locations to the effective dose, due to a decrease of the contribution from the neck (see Table 9.17).

The relationship between the entrance dose to the neck and the effective dose for persons with conventional aprons (no thyroid collars) is depicted in the Figure 9.15-9.18.

The same approach as applied to determine the relationship between the effective dose and dose-area product was used to perform a simple linear regression analysis (no intercept) to quantify the relationship between the effective dose and the entrance dose to the neck. The results are summarised in Table 9.22. As indicated by Figure 9.15-9.18, and quantified by listed values for R^2 (Table 9.22), a relatively high accuracy could be obtained. This was to be expected because of high contributions of the neck area to the effective dose (see Table 9.17).

If no thyroid collars are worn, the range of conversion factor to derive effective doses from entrance doses to the neck, is equal to 0.06 to 0.1 $\mu\text{Sv}/\mu\text{Gy}$, both for undercouch and overcouch tube positions. It can be derived from these results as a rule of thumb, that the E/D_{neck} -conversion factor is equal to 0.1 $\mu\text{Sv}/\mu\text{Gy}$.

Conversion factors derived for personnel wearing aprons plus thyroid collars, varied between 0.02 and 0.06 $\mu\text{Sv}/\mu\text{Gy}$. Taking into account that the reduction factor for thyroid collars was estimated to be two (see Section 9.5.3), it is concluded from these results as a rule of thumb, that the $E/D_{\text{neck, on collar}}$ -conversion factor for staff wearing a thyroid collar is equal to 0.05 $\mu\text{Sv}/\mu\text{Gy}$.

In conclusion, effective dose estimates for operators in vascular radiology performed with remotely controlled contrast medium injectors can be estimated by multiplying the dose-area product from fluoroscopy by a conversion factor of 0.2 $\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ or 0.8 $\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ for undercouch or overcouch tube systems (Section 9.5.4). Corresponding conversion factors for assistant were estimated to be three times lower. If the personnel dosimeter is worn in the neck region above the apron, the effective dose can be estimated by multiplying the entrance dose to the neck region by a factor of 0.1 $\mu\text{Sv}/\mu\text{Gy}$. If a thyroid collar is worn, a conversion factor of 0.05 $\mu\text{Sv}/\mu\text{Gy}$ should be used.

Table 9.21 Spearman rank correlation analyses for effective doses and entrance doses at various positions on the body of occupationally exposed personnel^a

Hospital Staff		Measurement position									
	Thyroid collar	Fore head	Neck	Thorax	Ab-domen	Upper arms left	r.	Hands left	r.	Lower legs left	r.
<i>azM</i>											
all personnel											
no	r_s	.60	.89	.76	.81	.70	.46	.73	.66	.84	.79
yes	r_s	.58	.74	.75	.85	.68	.46	.76	.69	.89	.88
	n	254	187	105	156	299	298	264	264	272	253
operators											
no	r_s	.63	.87	.89	.84	.86	.58	.73	.67	.86	.82
yes	r_s	.59	.71	.84	.88	.87	.56	.79	.70	.93	.91
	n	119	88	42	64	117	118	132	131	135	113
assistants											
no	r_s	.61	.85	.61	.80	.60	.80	.68	.73	.82	.83
yes	r_s	.58	.64	.58	.85	.52	.82	.67	.72	.88	.92
	n	106	78	22	43	114	113	103	102	106	109
op./ass.											
no	r_s	.48	.95	.87	.85	.63	.69	.59	.69	.76	.80
yes	r_s	.49	.83	.85	.85	.61	.65	.74	.76	.89	.91
	n	29	21	41	49	68	67	29	31	31	31
<i>DW</i>											
all personnel											
no	r_s	.70	.92			.82	.76	.79	.64	.74	.77
yes	r_s	.66	.89			.81	.75	.82	.68	.81	.82
	n	168	185	-	-	188	188	166	169	189	188
operators											
no	r_s	.62	.96			.79	.71	.79	.67	.72	.75
yes	r_s	.59	.94			.78	.70	.82	.70	.77	.80
	n	91	106	-	-	107	105	93	93	107	106
assistants											
no	r_s	.88	.81			.88	.79	.76	.59	.73	.81
yes	r_s	.82	.77			.87	.80	.79	.63	.84	.87
	n	73	71	-	-	73	75	66	69	75	74
<i>MA-overcouch</i>											
all personnel											
no	r_s	.90	.99		.92	.92	.85	.86	.81	.72	.76
yes	r_s	.91	.97		.94	.93	.84	.87	.81	.73	.77
	n	253	252	-	121	252	253	246	247	224	223
operators											
no	r_s	.89	.99		.88	.94	.90	.84	.81	.78	.80
yes	r_s	.94	.96		.89	.91	.91	.86	.83	.75	.79
	n	127	127	-	61	127	127	121	121	112	111
assistants											
no	r_s	.94	.99		.79	.86	.92	.76	.79	.45	.61
yes	r_s	.97	.97		.86	.87	.94	.78	.82	.48	.63
	n	126	125	-	60	125	126	125	126	112	112

^a r_s denotes the Spearman rank correlation coefficient; n denotes the number of paired observations.

Figure 9.15 Entrance doses to the neck and effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in the azM hospital.

Figure 9.16 Entrance doses to the neck and effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in the DW hospital.

Figure 9.17 Entrance doses to the neck and effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in the MA hospital. (overcouch tube positions)

Figure 9.18 Entrance doses to the neck and effective dose estimates for occupationally exposed persons in the MA hospital. (undercouch tube positions)

Table 9.22 Linear regression analyses^a for effective doses and the entrance dose to the neck for occupationally exposed persons

Hospital	Staff	No. of obs ^b	β [$\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \mu\text{Gy}^{-1}$]	se(β)	R ²
<i>No thyroid collar</i>					
azM	all personnel	303 (7)	0.085	0.001	0.94
	- operators	127 (9)	0.105	0.003	0.91
	- assistants	99 (8)	0.103	0.003	0.91
	- op./assist.	63 (4)	0.082	0.001	0.98
DW	all personnel	173 (12)	0.075	0.001	0.98
	- operators	98 (8)	0.074	0.001	0.99
	- assistants	66 (6)	0.070	0.001	0.98
	- op./assist.	5 (2)	0.088	0.005	0.98
MA _{overcouch}	all personnel	228 (11)	0.066	< .001	0.99
	- operators	104 (17)	0.066	0.001	0.99
	- assistants	66 (17)	0.061	< .001	0.90
MA _{undercouch}	all personnel	50 (11)	0.076	0.001	0.99
	- operators	27 (0)	0.072	0.002	0.99
	- assistants	27 (0)	0.082	0.002	0.98
<i>with thyroid collar</i>					
azM	all personnel	293 (7)	0.041	0.001	0.79
	- operators	126 (9)	0.061	0.003	0.78
	- assistants	92 (8)	0.059	0.003	0.76
	- op./assist.	61 (4)	0.038	0.001	0.93
DW	all personnel	140 (11)	0.031	0.001	0.91
	- operators	88 (8)	0.030	0.001	0.94
	- assistants	46 (3)	0.031	0.002	0.85
	- op./assist.	-	-	-	-
MA _{overcouch}	all personnel	201 (13)	0.022	< .001	0.94
	- operators	104 (17)	0.022	0.001	0.94
	- assistants	80 (15)	0.017	< .001	0.98
MA _{undercouch}	all personnel	43 (4)	0.032	0.001	0.94
	- operators	26 (0)	0.029	0.002	0.93
	- assistants	21 (0)	0.038	0.002	0.93

^a Regression model: $E = \beta \cdot D_{\text{neck}} + \epsilon$, where E = effective dose [μSv], D_{neck} = entrance dose to the neck region [μGy], and ϵ = a random error component. $\text{se}(\beta)$ and R^2 denote the standard error of β and the coefficient of determination respectively. Regression analysis was performed for $E > 0.1 \mu\text{Sv}$, and $D_{\text{neck}} > 1 \mu\text{Gy}$; ^b No. of obs. = Number of observations included in the regression analysis. The numbers of observations excluded from the calculations, because of a large influence on the parameter estimates (Cook's $D > 1$), are given in parentheses.

Chapter 10

Conclusions and recommendations for dose control

10.1 Introduction

According to the National Radiological Protection Board [NR90], 87% of the population dose from man-made sources in the United Kingdom is due to medical radiology. It was stated in their report that "it would not be unreasonable to suggest that at least 20% of the X-ray examinations are clinically unhelpful". It was also claimed that if a number of appropriate methods were applied, the dose reduction could amount to nearly one-half of the current annual collective population dose from medical X-rays in the UK. It is obvious that if these statements also apply to the Netherlands, quantitative data about radiation exposures and the quality of the medical information of radiodiagnostic procedures are of great interest for justification and optimisation.

Various studies of exposures in vascular radiology have been published. Most of these studies, however, provide information about the entrance dose only; estimates for the effective dose to patients have only been inferred by a very few investigators. As the stochastic health risks of X-ray exposures should be assessed from effective doses rather than from entrance doses, the value of previously published data is restricted. This lack of data about effective doses is probably related to the complex nature of vascular imaging and endovascular radiology procedures. Generally, these procedures comprise many different diagnostic views with a large variation in settings of technique parameters. The assessment of doses to organs that are involved in effective dose calculations, requires information about e.g. the position, direction, size and quality of the X-ray beam for all imaging modes applied. Consequently, a large number of observations of various technique parameters and many dose measurements are needed to estimate effective doses to patients reliably.

During fluoroscopy and sometimes during radiographic imaging, operators and assistants have to stay close to the patient. As most of the vascular procedures involve considerable fluoroscopy time and multiple digital and/or radiographic images, these procedures can result in high occupational exposures. Although various studies of occupational exposures have been published, so far, estimates for the effective dose to staff are very scarce.

From measurement data collected in three hospitals, located in the south of the Netherlands, we quantified relevant radiation exposure conditions and doses both for the patient and staff during vascular radiology.

10.2 The extent of vascular radiology in The Netherlands

In a survey on vascular radiology in The Netherlands, data were collected for the year 1992 (Chapter 5). In all, vascular procedures were performed by about 360 radiologists,

in 120 hospitals, comprising 136 angiography rooms. Of these hospitals, 68% applied DSA systems, 53% conventional angiography, and 34% spot film systems. The mean age of the X-ray equipments and the imaging systems was about 7 and 6 years respectively. A relatively large number of angiography rooms applied X-ray tubes in overcouch tube positions (38%).

The total numbers of patients annually referred, were estimated to be 32100 for intra-arterial arteriography, 8900 for intravenous DSA (IV-DSA) arteriography, and 5000 for interventional endovascular procedures. The total numbers of the annually performed radiological examinations were estimated to be 61200 for intra-arterial arteriography, 12900 for intravenous DSA arteriography, and 6000 for interventional endovascular radiology. It follows from these data that, on average, about 2 examinations were carried out per arteriography procedure and 1.2 examinations per interventional procedure, the exact value depending on the procedure type.

Using the figure of 7.4 million for the annual frequency of radiological examinations in 1991 [VA92], the frequencies of diagnostic vascular radiology and endovascular examinations, relative to all medical diagnostic X-ray examinations (dentistry exclusive), are calculated to be 1.1% and 0.08% respectively. It should be noted that the sum value of 1.2% exceeds the earlier nation-wide estimate of 0.23% [KE90].

10.3 Results inferred for patients

Detailed information about diagnostic views was used to estimate effective doses to patients for three types of peripheral arteriography and for five types of abdominal arteriography procedures (Chapter 8). These estimations involved entrance doses or dose-area products and appropriate organ dose conversion coefficients for most relevant stages of the procedures. Sex-average estimates for the mean effective dose to patients were found to be similar to effective dose estimates inferred from less detailed DAP measurements and E/DAP-conversion factors, derived from general literature. Effective dose estimates for other procedures discussed were calculated from dose-area product measurements and appropriate conversion factors, found in literature. Estimates for mean effective doses, annual frequencies of various procedure types, and collective effective doses are summarised in Table 10.1.

The overall mean effective doses, calculated as the quotient of the collective dose divided by the frequency, for intra-arterial arteriography, IV-DSA and interventional endovascular procedures are estimated to be 4 mSv, 6 mSv and 12 mSv respectively. The collective effective dose from arteriography and endovascular radiology in the Netherlands was estimated to be about 250 man·sievert·year⁻¹. A mean effective dose from diagnostic radiology of 0.44 mSv per person [GE95] and a population size of 15 million people were used to estimate that the relative contribution of arteriography and endovascular procedures to the collective effective dose from radiodiagnostic procedures in the Netherlands was 3.8%.

Effective doses to individual patients varied considerably. This variance was associated with intra- and interprocedure type variations, and with differences between hospitals. In all, the effective dose range was estimated to be about 0.1 to 40 mSv. If, at worst, the highest measured DAP-value (250 Gy·cm²) was associated with vascular imaging of a prone patient with overcouch X-ray tube positions, the maximum effective dose to the patient was estimated to be 75 mSv.

On average, effective doses to female patients were higher than to males. Mean effective dose ratios (female/male) varied between 1.1 and 3.1 for abdominal arteriography, and between 0.9 and 1.6 for peripheral arteriography (Chapter 8).

In summary, effective dose estimates for patients referred for vascular radiology varied between about 0.1 mSv and 40 mSv. Mean effective doses were estimated to be about 4 mSv for intra-arterial arteriography, 6 mSv for IV-DSA, and 12 mSv for interventional endovascular procedures.

Table 10.1 Effective dose to patients in vascular radiology in the Netherlands

Procedure type	Mean effective dose ^a (mSv)	Annual frequency ^b (y ⁻¹)	Annual collective effective dose (Sv · y ⁻¹)
<i>Intra-arterial</i>			
<i>Arteriography</i>			
Cerebral	4.4	6334	28
Upper limbs	3.2	1360	4.4
Thoracic	3.7	1772	6.6
Abdominal	8.1	3696	30
Iliac/lower limbs	3.5	16054	56
Abdominal, iliac legs ^c	5.8	1697	9.8
Unspecified ^d	4.6	1175	5.4
		+ -----	+ -----
		32088	140
<i>Intravenous</i>			
<i>DSA-arteriography</i>			
Cerebral	1.5	2112	3.2
Thoracic	3.3	1070	3.5
Abdominal	9.9	766	7.6
Iliac/legs	7.2	2139	15
Abdominal, iliac legs ^c	8.6	1791	15
Unspecified ^d	5.5	1009	5.5
		+ -----	+ -----
		8887	50
<i>Interventional</i>			
<i>procedures (PTA):</i>			
Abdominal	12.7	431	5.5
Peripheral	12.3	2962	36
Abdominal, iliac legs ^c	12.5	915	11
Unspecified ^d	12.5	674	8.4
		+ -----	+ -----
		4982	61
TOTAL:			251

^a Mean effective doses for specified procedure types were calculated from mean effective doses for subtypes (E_i), performed with undercouch tube systems, as presented in Table 7.9, 8.16 and 8.25. The number of observations per subtype (N_i) was assumed to be representative for the total distribution. The relative frequency of overcouch procedures was estimated to be 38%. $E_{\text{overcouch}}$ was assumed to be equal to two times $E_{\text{undercouch}}$; ^b Data, taken from Table 5.2, refer to 1992.

^c Unspecified data for the abdominal and iliac/lower limbs regions. E_{mean} was estimated to be $0.5 \cdot (E_{\text{abdomen}} + E_{\text{iliac/limbs}})$. ^d Unspecified data. E_{mean} was assumed to be equal to the average value for specified types.

10.4 Results inferred for occupationally exposed persons

Entrance doses and effective doses to staff were estimated for various procedure types. Effective doses were estimated by means of entrance doses and appropriate organ dose conversion coefficients (DCCs). Entrance doses were determined for the forehead, neck, upper arms, hands, chest, abdomen and lower legs. It was inferred from measurements in clinical practice that 80 kV could be considered as a typical value for the tube high voltage during occupational exposures. The corresponding radiation attenuation factor of 0.5-mm lead-equivalent aprons was estimated to be 200. However, taking the increase in effective photon energy behind the apron into account, an effective attenuation factor of 130 was applied in our effective dose calculations.

Mean effective doses per procedure type for operators varied between about 0.5 and 3.5 μSv for undercouch X-ray tube positions and between about 0.5 and 20 μSv for overcouch tube positions. On average, effective doses to operators were about a factor of 1.5 higher for undercouch, and a factor of 3.5 higher for overcouch tube procedures, than corresponding doses to assistants. Maximum effective dose estimates per procedure found in this study were equal to 18 μSv for undercouch and 77 μSv for overcouch tube applications. It should be noted that non vascular interventional procedures performed in angiography rooms may even result in higher doses than vascular procedures. For instance, the overall maximum effective dose associated with an undercouch system was estimated to be 46 μSv , whereas the corresponding maximum effective dose for vascular procedures was estimated to be 17 μSv .

Taking the radiation attenuation by the aprons into account, the contributions of various regions (e.g. head, neck, limbs) to the effective dose were calculated. Substantial contributions were found to be associated with the unprotected neck region (thyroid), varying between 48% and 78%. In one hospital, performing procedures with undercouch tube positions, the relative contribution from the lower legs was noticeably high (about 25%).

The collective occupational effective dose of radiodiagnostic X-ray procedures in the Netherlands in 1990, not including cardiology, was estimated to be approximately 0.3 man·sievert·year⁻¹. Using the same annual frequencies as reported in Table 10.1, and again assuming 38% overcouch procedures, the collective effective dose to operators and assistants from arteriography was estimated to be 0.11 man·sievert·year⁻¹. The collective effective dose of IV-DSA and from interventional endovascular radiology were estimated to be 0.008 man·sievert·year⁻¹, and 0.07 man·sievert·year⁻¹ respectively. It follows from these data that the total collective effective dose from vascular radiology amounts to 0.2 man·sievert·year⁻¹, being equal to about 70% of the total occupational collective effective dose from radiology. These figures clearly indicate that, although the relative frequency is quite low, vascular radiology contributes substantially to the occupational effective dose of staff.

Calculations showed that conventional aprons of 0.5-mm lead-equivalence reduce the effective dose to staff by a factor of 6 for overcouch tube, and by a factor of about 12 for undercouch tube positions. From additional calculations it was inferred that the effective dose is reduced with at least 50% by wearing a thyroid collar (0.5 mm lead equivalent).

Regression analysis was used to derive the relationship between the effective dose and the dose-area product from fluoroscopy was derived. It was concluded that the effective dose can be estimated by multiplying the dose-area product from fluoroscopy by an appropriate conversion coefficient. Depending on the exposure conditions, estimated

conversion coefficients for persons not wearing a thyroid collar, varied between 0.07 and $0.8 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$. It follows from these data that, as a rule of thumb, a conversion coefficient of $1 \mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ can be used to estimate the occupational effective dose.

Regression analysis between the effective dose and the entrance dose to the neck showed that, if no thyroid collars are used, the effective dose can be estimated by multiplying the neck entrance dose (measured above the apron) by a conversion coefficient of 0.10 mSv/mGy.

By using remotely triggered contrast medium injection system, personnel can stay behind protective shields during serial cut film radiography and DSA. Therefore, the major part of the occupational exposures is associated with fluoroscopy. If personnel would have to stay near the patient table during all imaging activities, effective doses would increase to about three times the current estimates.

In summary, as a result of the dose reduction provided by lead aprons, the wide-spread use of remotely controlled contrast medium injection systems, the preferential use of the X-ray tube in undercouch positions, and the apparent awareness to keep the hands from the X-ray field, both the entrance dose and the effective dose to staff in vascular radiology is relatively low. Overall means of the effective doses to the operator are estimated to be about $3 \mu\text{Sv}$ per intra-arterial arteriography procedure, $0.7 \mu\text{Sv}$ per IV-DSA procedure, and $10 \mu\text{Sv}$ per interventional endovascular procedure. Maximum occupational effective doses found for vascular radiology were about $20 \mu\text{Sv}$ for undercouch and $80 \mu\text{Sv}$ for overcouch tube systems.

10.5 Recommendations for dose control

General applicable recommendations about the medical use of X-rays can be found elsewhere [e.g. BU94, IC90, NC89a, NC90, NR90, NR93]. The following recommendations, appropriate for vascular radiology, have been devised from observations and measurement results reported in this study.

1. Many hospitals in the Netherlands apply overcouch tube systems. This was not expected, since for some years now various investigators have published dosimetric studies, showing that overcouch tube positions are associated with higher effective doses than undercouch positions, both for the patient and staff. If overcouch tube systems were changed to undercouch tube positions, the effective dose to staff would decrease with a factor of 5 to 10. Effective doses to patients would decrease by about a factor of two. It is recommended that vascular radiology is performed more often with undercouch instead of overcouch tube systems.
2. Generally, 0.5-mm lead-equivalent aprons were used. Aprons with no protection of the back are in use in approximately 50% of the hospitals. In order to prevent exposure to the unprotected back, special care should be taken in choosing appropriate positions for the fluoroscopy display unit.
3. From additional effective dose calculations for aprons with various lead thickness, it was derived that currently used semi-closed aprons of 0.5-mm lead-equivalence, are sub-optimal. Aprons offering less lead-equivalent thickness, which protect a greater part of the body than the conventional aprons, especially the neck, would decrease the effective dose by at least a factor of two. Aprons that provide additional protection to

the back of the trunk and the lower legs are the most effective. It is recommended that thinner lead aprons with a more effective size and fitting are used.

4. Standardisation of the use of lead thyroid collars has not been achieved yet. Only 30% of the hospitals use thyroid collars on a regular basis. Effective dose calculations showed that thyroid collars can reduce the effective dose to members of staff, who wear a conventional sized lead apron, by a factor of two. It is recommended that besides conventional aprons, thyroid collars are introduced and used on a larger scale.
5. Clinical observations and dose measurements showed that thyroid collars sometimes were worn too low to protect the thyroid. It is recommended that the use of thyroid collars is accompanied with an adequate instruction and supervision.
6. Although various studies have shown that the relationship between dosimeter readings and effective dose heavily depends on the location of the dosimeter, standardisation in the position of wearing personal dosimeters has not been accomplished. Therefore, as long as standardisation in wearing personal dosimeters has not been achieved and (national) dosimetry record keeping systems do not contain information on the dosimeter location, reliable effective dose estimates cannot be calculated from dosimetry data in these systems. Therefore, it is recommended that appropriate instructions are supplied to radiation protection officers who supervise the monitoring of occupationally exposed staff.
7. Personal dosimeters should be worn outside of the apron. A position near the neck, above the apron, not protected by a thyroid collar should be preferred. If problems are anticipated, the upper arm/shoulder should be considered as an alternative. Dosimetry characteristics should be laid down in a code of practice, made available to all workers.
8. Mean sizes of patients referred for vascular radiology differ from the mathematical phantoms currently applied in Monte Carlo organ dose calculations. On average, patients are taller (female 3 cm, male 4 cm) and heavier (female 8 kg, male 4 kg). It is recommended that a study will be undertaken to assess the need to up-date current phantoms.
9. The patient population referred for vascular radiology is quite old. Taking the dependence of radiation risks on age into account, it is recommended that the age distribution of these patients is taken into account in risk assessments, carried out to justify and optimise vascular radiodiagnostic procedures.
10. Generally, a large entrance field mode of an image intensifier requires a lower entrance dose value at the input screen than a small field mode, resulting in a lower dose both to the patient and staff. It is recommended that large entrance field modes of the image intensifier with a well-collimated X-ray beam are used as much as possible, provided that the lower spatial resolution does not have adverse effects on the medical result.
11. During all imaging modes, the X-ray beam should be collimated to the smallest field that is necessary to obtain the diagnostic information required. Using the beam collimating system is of utmost importance, especially if large image input screens are selected. As a rule of thumb, one may adopt a linear relationship between the beam cross section area and the effective dose, both to patients and staff.
12. Because digital imaging requires lower image entrance doses than conventional radiography, in the advent of the use of DSA systems it was assumed that the patient dose would decrease. Probably because there is no penalty in collecting many DSA

frames, the numbers of DSA-frames produced exceed earlier expectations. Consequently, the total dose from DSA appeared to be higher than expected. A study is recommended to develop technologies and procedures that require a smaller number of DSA-frames. It is also recommended that operators will be provided with information about dose consequences of digital imaging.

13. In intra-arterial arteriography, fluoroscopy contributes substantially to the total dose-area product and hence to the dose to patient and staff. It is recommended that fluoroscopy should be kept to a minimum, and that it should be performed in an intermittent manner for short periods with as long intervals as possible, combined with memory facility of the fluoroscopy display unit (last image hold). As it can be expected that the more widespread use of pulsed X-ray generators, allowing a lower fluoroscopy frame rate, will reduce the patient dose and the occupational dose, maintaining the same level of image quality, the consequences of the introduction of the systems should be studied. Taking advantage of the experience gained with the introduction of DSA systems, where the expectations about lower doses were not full-filled, one should be aware of unexpected drawbacks.
14. Settings of tube high voltage and tube current for fluoroscopic control of manipulation of catheters, should be adapted to reduce the radiation dose, maintaining an adequate picture. This could be achieved by introducing a two settings system, with the system being programmed to start with the low dose and submaximal quality picture being programmed as the standard option. Manual setting of voltage and current should be avoided. Automatic control units can adapt these technique parameters faster and more reliably.
15. Taking operational aspects of the medical procedures into account, the influence of the (temporal) removal of the antiscatter grid on the image quality and the dose to patient and staff, should be studied in more detail, both for fluoroscopic actions and DSA imaging.
16. Dose-area product meters should be used more frequently to obtain information about the usage of the X-ray system. Measurement results should be discussed periodically in appropriate consultation situations. It is recommended that some members of the staff are appointed to perform the tasks of routine data acquisition, data presentation and data evaluation.
17. The survey response showed that the annual number of angiographic and interventional endovascular procedures in some hospitals was quite small. It was derived that at least 48 hospitals performed on average less than one vascular procedure a week. The question arises if operators in these hospitals can maintain the skill and experience needed for these complex procedures. A study is recommended to the consequences of the concentration of vascular radiology in a smaller number of hospitals with the prospect of better medical results and probably a reduction of radiation exposures.
18. In those hospitals where contrast media are still injected manually, reduction of the occupational dose can be achieved by using a remotely controlled power driven injection system.

Appendix A

Thermoluminescence dosimetry

A.1. Introduction

Thermoluminescence dosimetry is based on the physical phenomenon that various materials emit part of the absorbed ionising radiation energy as visible light upon heating the exposed material. This phenomenon is found in many insulators and semiconductors of natural and synthetic origin [MC81]. The process of light emission upon heating is called thermoluminescence (TL). Applications of thermoluminescence are found in solid state physics, archaeology, environmental studies, clinical dosimetry for medical therapeutic purposes, research in health physics, and last but not least in dosimetry for occupationally exposed persons.

By means of a photomultiplier, the low level of emitted light can be magnified and measured. The output signal of the photomultiplier, the TL-signal, may be applied as a measure for the radiation dose. Heating of the TL-element may be performed with hot inert gas, a heating planchet, a hot finger or by laser light. The heating of the TL-element and determination of the TL-signal is called the readout procedure. The TL-signal is integrated in a defined temperature range. As an alternative, the amplitude of a TL-peak in the TL glow curve is determined. The first method, the so-called integrated method, is applied more often than the second method, the peak height method. Nowadays, computerised approaches can be found frequently in the literature [BO93, BO94, DE90, DE92, LO93a, PE91]. By means of numerical methods the TL-signal is decomposed in a number of single peaks. It is claimed that the precision of low dose measurements substantially improves [DE90]. After the heating cycle, the absorbed radiation energy is lost. Unless complex dose reassessment procedures are applied, the thermoluminescent signal from one exposure can be determined only once. However, TL-elements are reusable. This means that the processes of exposure and readout may be repeated many times. In order to prevent disturbance of the TL-signal by early exposures, the TL-element is "reset" before the exposure by heating it to a much higher temperature than applied in the readout cycle. This heating process is called annealing. The integrated value as well as the amplitude of the TL-signal depend on the TL-material, heating procedure, radiation type, energy of the radiation, the radiation intensity, exposure time, and background radiation level. Other factors which may influence the dose assessment performance are e.g. the annealing procedure, the annealing and radiation exposure history, the time lap between annealing and exposure, and the time between the exposure and readout procedure. Each type of thermoluminescence material has its own features, characterised by e.g. the wave length of the emitted light, form of the glow curve, optimal annealing characteristics, fading (loss of TL-signal as a function of time after the radiation exposure), sensitivity and radiation energy dependence of sensitivity, decrease or increase of the TL-signal upon exposure to visible light and/or to ultra violet light, sensitivity to humidity and chemicals, supralinear response characteristics, and the lower detection level.

Since TL-dosimetry is a relative dose measurement method, TL-elements have to be calibrated. This involves that a number of TL-elements are placed in a well defined environment in which the type and energy of the radiation are known. The radiation intensity, expressed in a dosimetric quantity, is measured or calculated to a high degree of accuracy. If the exposure time and/or radiation intensity is varied, a relationship can be derived between the dosimetric quantity and the measured TL-signal. In the low dose range this relationship is generally quantified by linear regression.

Small TL-elements designed for radiation dosimetry are called TLDs. In the literature the term TLD may refer to the bare element as well as to a group of elements encapsulated in a holder. Generally, doseimeters for personal dosimetry consist of a plastic holder, in which removable sets of TL-elements are placed. Most of the time additional filters are placed in the holder, in front of the TL-elements. As these filters result in differences in the attenuation of the incident radiation and thereby in different TL-signals of the TL-elements placed behind these filters, these doseimeters can provide insight in the radiation quality.

A.2. Thermoluminescence dosimetry in vascular radiology

Dose measurements have been carried out with commercially available extruded chips of LiF, doped with small quantities of magnesium and titanium (TLD-100, Harshaw). LiF is nearly tissue equivalent (photon effective atomic number $Z_{\text{LiF}} = 8.2$; $Z_{\text{tissue}} = 7.2$). The dependence of the sensitivity on photon energy is relatively low. In the photon energy range 10 to 120 keV, as encountered in diagnostic radiology, the maximum deviation of the TL-signal/dose ratio is about 1.3 to 1.4 at 20-30 keV [OB81, MC81, NA93]. The TL response of LiF is proportional to the absorbed dose up to several gray [CH94, MC81, MO93]. The dose reading is practically independent of dose rate up to 10^9 Gy/s [OB81]. Studies into the fading characteristics of LiF have shown that appropriate pre-exposure annealing and read out procedures reduce the apparent fading of the "stored" TL-signal to a negligibly low level [MC81]. Indeed, our own experiments have confirmed that fading in measurement periods of three weeks or less is insignificant, for the TLD dose measurement system applied in this study. Background corrections were made by determining the average TL-signal from a subgroup of TLDs of the same reading cycle, as proposed by Zarand and Polgar [ZA83]. Reading out every TLD twice, resulting in an additional decrease in the variance of the background [ZA83], was considered but not put into practice.

In our study two types of doseimeters were used: (i) "body-doseimeters", doseimeters worn on the body, and (ii) "ringdoseimeters", doseimeters worn on the hands. Each "body-doseimeter" comprised two TLD-100 elements, dust-proof sealed in thin sachets with dimensions of 5 x 2.5 cm². The backing material consisted of a strip of thin sterilisation paper, covered with a layer of dry glue. A thin polyester/polyethylene foil was used as front cover (12 μm polyester; 50 μm polyethylene). The front cover and backing material were mutually attached at the four sides by heating. Each ringdoseimeter contained one TLD-100 element. The TLD was placed in a plastic ring and covered, dust-proof and waterproof, by a piece of paper (80 g/m²) and a thin, aluminized, plastic foil (50 μm), fixed to the ring by means of a layer of dry glue. In order to maintain sterile working conditions, ringdoseimeters were immersed in alcohol during five minutes before clinical applications.

TLDs were read out by means of a manual TLD-reader (Harshaw 4000B, Harshaw, Solon, USA), featuring planchet heating and a programmable temperature control unit. As the peak height method is dependent on the heating rate, while the integrated TL-signal is independent of it [NA93], we applied the integral method in our study. The readout cycle comprised a pre-read out temperature of 150 °C (5 s), followed by a TL-acquisition period, in which the temperature increased (25°C/s) to 230°C, this temperature level was maintained during 10 s. In order to reduce the background level of TL-signals, the heating chamber was flushed with nitrogen gas at room temperature (0.8 l/min). TL-signals were collected for evaluation purposes in a IBM-compatible personal computer (PC). All TL-signals were visualised on the PC display unit. TL glow curves which deviated significantly from the average picture were discarded from the data-set.

Selection of TLDs

At the start of the study more than one thousand TL-elements were cycled five times through the annealing procedure (see below). Next, these dosimeters were exposed to a radiation dose of 2 mGy and subsequently read out. All dosimeters within the range -5% to +5% of the arithmetic mean were selected. After repeating this process one more time, approximately 500 TLDs were selected for further applications. The annealing process, readout process, calibration procedure and the applications were documented in detail to obtain a very high degree of repeatability.

By means of the selected TLDs, calibration procedures and background subtraction were performed batchwise. Compared with individually calibrated TLD procedures, the administrative tasks and probability of errors in using calibration factors for groups of TLDs, were significantly reduced. The loss of precision was regarded acceptable.

Annealing

Annealing was carried out for batches of about 100 TLDs, placed on an aluminium holder and covered by a thin aluminium capping. As shown in Table A.1, the annealing process involved six steps.

Table A.1 Annealing of TLD-100

(1)	Maintain temperature of the empty oven at 400°C during 30 minutes before starting TLD annealing.	
(2)	Perform high temperature annealing of TLDs:	Temp. = 400°C; Time = 60 min; medium = air.
(3)	Cooling TLDs to room temperature:	Forced, fast cooling by placing the batch with TL-elements, outside of the oven, between two aluminium plates (dimension 30x30x2 cm; room temperature).
(4)	Maintaining the oven temperature at 105°C during 15 minutes.	
(5)	Performing low temp. annealing:	Temp. = 105°C; Time = 90 min.
(6)	Cooling TLDs to ambient temperature:	Unforced cooling down to ambient temperature in open air.

Every step was carried out with special attention to reproducibility. If one step failed to meet the prescribed criteria, the total procedure was repeated from the beginning. Step 3 especially was considered to be of importance [MA84].

It should be noted that low temperature annealing at 105 °C during 90 minutes deviates from the usually applied procedure (80°C during 24 hours). Our own experiments with several combinations of temperature and time showed that 90 min at 105°C was an adequate alternative, provided that temperature and time were kept within narrow margins. Maximal deviations allowed in our procedure were: temperature $\pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$, time ± 5 minutes). This alternative method was applied in our study to reduce the preparation time and to maintain a high throughput.

Calibration

It was known that TLD dosimeters would be attached to lead aprons, bony body parts (skull, fingers) as well as to body parts with substantial tissue volumes (trunk, upper legs, neck). These locations have very different backscatter characteristics. For example, the response of TLDs placed on a massive volume, such as the trunk is about 30% higher than the response of TLDs, placed free in air. Therefore, TLD dosimeters have been calibrated free in air, and backscatter corrections have been carried out in the dose calculation algorithms. Calibration exposures were performed for "body-dosimeters", only. The derived calibration factor was assigned without additional modifications to ring dosimeters.

In order to ensure high reliability of dose measurements, the calibration procedure was performed for each batchwise application of TLDs. After annealing the batch of TL-elements, two subgroups of TL-elements (each containing six TL-elements) were set apart. These TL-elements were taken randomly from the batch. The first group was stored in the dosimetry room. This group was used to estimate the background TL-signal of non exposed TL elements. The background signal ($\text{TL}_{\text{background}}$) includes the TL-signal introduced by natural radiation, caused by radioactivity in the building materials, terrestrial radiation, and cosmogenic radiation. About three days after annealing, the second group of TL-elements was exposed for calibration purposes.

Mean TL values for background, $\text{TL}_{\text{mean, background}}$, and calibration, $\text{TL}_{\text{mean, calibration}}$, were determined in the same readout batch process in which the TL-signal of occupationally exposed TL-elements were determined. Usually, the readout process was performed one week after the annealing procedure.

If the calibration is performed with high energy photon sources, LiF TLDs can over-respond in the diagnostic range of X-ray photons by as much as 40%. In order to minimise the systematic error introduced by the energy dependence of the response of LiF, calibration exposures were performed in an X-ray radiation field (Polymobil, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany; high frequency voltage generator) each time simultaneously quantified by measurement of the air kerma, free in air ($K_{\text{calibration}}$), with an ionisation chamber. Calibration exposures of TL elements were carried out essentially free in air, with the TL elements placed in the centre of an X-ray field. The characteristics are summarised in Table A.2. To improve the reliability, a test exposure was performed at the start of each calibration procedure (exposure criterion: K_{air} within range 1.9 to 2.0 mGy).

The constancy of the ionisation dose measurement system was checked each week by means of a $^{90}\text{Sr}/^{90}\text{Y}$ radiation source, temporally fixed to the chamber in a specially developed device.

Table A.2 **Characteristics of the calibration exposures for TLD-100**

Tube high voltage:	73 kV.
Tube load:	50 mAs.
Total beam filtration:	2.8 mm Al.
Focus-TLDs-distance:	92 cm.
TLDs supporting device:	Thin sheet of card board, distance between TLDs and backscatter material = 20 cm.
Field size:	24 cm x 30 cm.
Position of TL-elements:	Two cm left from the central field axis.
Dose measuring system:	Capintec PM-30 (30 cc ionisation chamber), connected to Capintec electrometer, Exposure Rate Model 192, traceable to the Dutch Standards Institute (Nederlands Meetinstituut, NMI, Bilthoven).
Position of the ion. chamber:	Central axis of chamber at 2 cm from the central axis of the field.

Directional dependence of the TLD-response has been studied by various investigators [OB81, WI91]. In nonperpendicular exposures, TL-readings per unit dose may decrease about 25 %, depending on photon energy and irradiation angle. We made no corrections in our dose measurements. In the calibration exposure, all TLDs were placed with their square faces perpendicular to the central beam axis.

In the diagnostic dose range, the relationship of TL-signal versus radiation dose is linear. Therefore, the following simple relationship could be applied:

$$\text{TL}_{\text{mean, calibration}} = c \cdot K_{\text{calibration}} + \text{TL}_{\text{mean, background}}$$

where c is the calibration factor (TL-response per unit of dose).

In order to estimate the air kerma of the applied TL-elements (K_{exp}) the following relationship was used:

$$K_{\text{exp}} = 1/c \cdot (\text{TL}_{\text{exp}} - \text{TL}_{\text{background}})$$

with c and $\text{TL}_{\text{background}}$ derived in the calibration process.

Appendix B

Distribution of red marrow in peripheral bones

Data concerning the distribution of red marrow in peripheral bones are controversial. Since the tissue weighting factor of red marrow is relatively height ($w_{\text{red marrow}} = 0.12$; see Table 2.1), the distribution could have a serious impact on dose estimates. Therefore, a literature review was undertaken. Conclusions are drawn both for patients and personnel.

B.1. Literature data

In anthropomorphic models, applied in Monte Carlo organ dose calculations, the distribution of red marrow in human bones is generally derived from data provided in ICRP Publication 23 [IC75] or as published by Cristy [CR80, CR81]. According to ICRP Publication 23, red marrow in adults is limited mainly to the bones in the trunk and head, and to the proximal ends of humerus and femur. In the anthropomorphic phantoms ADAM and EVA, used in this study, the active red marrow is localised in small cavities in all bones, except the lower arm bones and the lower legs bones [KR86].

ICRP Publication 23 comprises scientific information as available in the 1960s and early 1970s. In a review paper on bone marrow imaging in 1988 by Vogler [VO88], age related distributions of marrow were discussed. Comparison of Vogler's data, with information provided in ICRP Publication 23, showed that no major changes were mentioned. In 1988, the lack of red marrow in the adult distal femur was confirmed in an investigation by Deutsch [DE88], in which only ten out of 1400 patients (0.7%) showed haematopoietic marrow in the long bones in the knee region. A study into age-related marrow in the femur of 77 subjects by Moore et al. [MO90] confirmed that after birth, the conversion of red to yellow marrow started from the distal end of the femur, followed by the epiphysis and midshaft of the long bones. The adult pattern, showing red marrow in the proximal metaphysis, only, was seen at an age of 24 years. A study by Zawin and Jaramillo [ZA93a] into marrow in the humerus in 91 patients, with no malignancies or bone marrow abnormalities, concluded that the general patterns of the conversion of red to yellow marrow in the humerus were quite similar to those reported in [MO90] for the femur. In subjects older than 15 years, all of the humeral marrow was fatty, except for the proximal metaphysis.

These findings deviate from the distribution of red marrow, shown in a schematic drawing in a textbook on medical X-ray examinations, published in 1979 [SH79]. In this drawing, red marrow occurs in both distal and proximal ends of the long bones in the upper and lower limbs, and in bones in the ankle and hands. More recently, two other groups found evidence for high prevalences of red marrow in the distal femur. Shelloc et al. [SH92] estimated the prevalence of active marrow in the distal femur to be 30% (22/74) for healthy adults and 15% (8/54) for patients with knee disorders. In a group of

marathon runners the prevalence was estimated to be high as 45% (10/23). In all persons examined, no red marrow was detected in the epiphysis and the proximal tibia. Shellock postulated that the high prevalence in marathon runners was a response to "sports anaemia", commonly found in aerobically trained athletes. Lang et al. [LA93], also concluded that haematopoietic marrow in the adult distal femur, in the absence of haematopoietic abnormalities, is relatively high, especially in women of menstruating age. Out of 51 patients, active marrow was found in 56% (13/23) of female patients, and in 18% (5/28) of male patients. The prevalence of red marrow in the distal femur was related to residual red marrow areas, and/or marrow reconversion, probably caused by anaemia. These findings, quite dissimilar to the data presented in ICRP Publication 23, were explained by superiority of modern MR imaging over anatomic macrosectioning, as used in the past. Lang explained deviations in results of the other two studies by Deutsch and Shellock, with differences in the red marrow classification procedures (Deutsch), as well as with differences in the MR imaging technique (Deutsch, Shellock).

B.2. Summary/conclusion

After the introduction of MR imaging, three studies [DE88, MO90, ZA93a] confirmed the data presented in ICRP Publication 23. Two studies [LA93, SH92] deviated, indicating that the prevalence of red marrow in the knee region is much higher than determined in the past. Deviations were explained by high sensitivity of well defined windowed MR imaging. Data on positive prevalence of red marrow in lower arms, hands, lower legs and ankle/feet were not found. New estimates for the mass of red marrow in humerus and femur were not found either.

It is concluded that, for the time being, new findings probably do not warrant modifications in Monte Carlo anthropomorphic phantoms. In occupational exposure conditions, however, large differences occur in radiation intensities incident on body parts, protected by a lead apron, compared to unprotected body parts. Therefore, a comparison of the results of two calculations of effective dose estimates (one with and one without red marrow in distal bones) could be useful to assess the inaccuracy introduced by incomplete modelling of the red marrow distribution.

Appendix C

Lead aprons

Generally, a lead apron consists of one, two or three thin leaded rubber or vinyl sheets with nylon outer coverings (thin sheets are used to provide flexibility and handling ease). An apron usually protects the front and sides of the torso of the body and the thighs. Some types have a large neckhole and protect only a relatively small area of the upper part of the thorax. In a so-called wrap-around apron incident radiation on the back side is attenuated as well. Some specially designed aprons provide (partially) protection to the thyroid.

The lead equivalent thickness of aprons varies between 0.25 to 0.50 mm (1 mm lead equivalent has been mentioned as well). In general, wrap-around aprons provide more attenuation at the front side (e.g. 0.5 mm Pb-eq) than at the back side (e.g. 0.25 mm Pb-eq). Wrap-around aprons may provide in a vertical section in the front of 1 mm Pb-equivalent by overlapping of the left and right parts of the apron. Depending on the design of the apron and the size of the person, this section varies between a few centimetres and about 20 cm, thereby covering the main part of the front side.

The radiation attenuation of an apron depends on the energy of the incident (scattered) photon radiation as well as on the lead equivalent thickness. The energy of the scattered photons depends in the first place on the energy of the X-ray photons in the primary beam, and secondly on the scatter angle. Consequently, the attenuation factor of an apron depends on the tube high voltage and the beam filtration. With a high voltage range of approximately 50 kV to 120 kV, the radiation attenuation factor of an apron varies between approximately 1000 and 10.

The weight of the apron may become awkward in interventional procedures with prolonged fluoroscopic actions and may to some extent be a limiting factor in work performance.

The effective dose to persons wearing an apron depends on the radiation dose to organs and tissues protected by an apron and thyroid collar and the radiation dose to unprotected tissues. The body areas that are not protected by the apron include the head, neck, arms, hand, lower legs and feet. Depending on the design and the fitting of the apron, the protection of the side of the thorax, particularly the female breast may be poor.

Aprons with large armhole openings especially provide relatively less protection in lateral exposure conditions for the operator, a situation which frequently occurs in vascular imaging procedures. A thyroid collar protects the thyroid. The oesophagus, bone surface and red marrow contents of the cervical spine, skin and muscle of the neck area are partly protected as well.

Appendix D

Effective dose to the patient as a function of the X-ray beam direction

Because of differences in radiosensitivity of internal organs and tissues, the effective dose (E) depends on the exposure direction. As angiography involves a large number of different views and reduction of the number of views in the dosimetric calculations would be of advantage, we have investigated the influence of the beam direction on E. This issue has been addressed by various authors [IC88, KE80, RE94, YA94a]. Shrimpton et al. [SH86] estimated the AP/PA-ratio for abdominal films to be two. Gray et al. [GR94] reported AP/PA-ratios for abdominal radiography equal to 1.7-1.8. Data presented by Petoussi et al. [PE95] for large film sizes (40 x 40 cm²) were used to derive AP/PA-ratios of 1.7. Marshal et al. [MA94] concluded that effective doses resulting from PA abdominal projections are between two and five times lower than those from the corresponding AP projections. Huda and Bissessor [HU90a] found AP/PA-ratios of about unity for the abdomen, 1.8 for the chest and 1.2 for the head. The dissimilarity of these findings for the abdominal region with other workers is probably due to inaccurate modelling of the human anatomy in their mathematical phantom [MA94].

The XDOSE software package [LE94] was used to derive estimates for the effective dose, associated with perpendicular and oblique views in X-ray imaging. On the assumption that dose-area products for two identical, but reversed views (AP versus PA, L LAT versus R LAT, etc) are alike, ratios have been calculated for effective dose estimates, normalised to unit dose-area product (HV = 80 kV, total filtration = 4 mm Al). The results for AP versus PA views are given in Table D.1.

Table D.1 Effective dose for AP and PA views for a hermaphrodite adult phantom^a, normalised to dose-area product.

View	Effective dose, E, (mSv/(Gy · cm ²))		E _{AP} /E _{PA} ratio
	AP	PA	
Head	0.043	0.033	1.3
Chest	0.241	0.153	1.6
Heart	0.153	0.170	0.9
Upper stomach	0.562	0.257	2.2
Stomach	0.445	0.192	2.3
Kidneys	0.252	0.151	1.7
Small intestine	0.237	0.185	1.3
Abdomen	0.235	0.147	1.6

^a XDOSE (NRPB-SR262, 1994), [LE94, HA94]. Tube high voltage = 80 kV; Total beam filtration = 4 mm Al. AP = anterior-posterior view; PA = posterior-anterior view.

It is concluded that effective doses, associated with AP views (E_{AP}) are higher than with PA views (E_{PA}): E_{AP}/E_{PA} ratios vary between 0.9 and 2.3. Allowances for the patient support table have not been made. Incorporating the table in the dose calculations would yield an increased E_{AP}/E_{PA} ratio.

Effective doses for left and right oblique views, presented in Table D.2, indicate that views of the lower trunk, with X-rays entering the left side of the body (R LAT, RAO, RPO) result in higher effective dose values; ratios of right to left for this region vary between 0.4 and 0.8. The ratio of the effective doses of AP views of the right and left hips is equal to 0.6 (not shown in Table D.2). Right/left ratios of effective doses for oblique views in the upper part of the body are about unity (0.8 to 1), indicating that effective doses for this region, associated with lateral views, are insensitive to the beam direction. This finding indicates that dose assessments for complex examinations of the upper trunk, can be carried out by taking the dose of left oblique views equal to the dose of corresponding right oblique views. Dose estimates for oblique lower trunk views, with the X-ray beam entering the right side (L LAT, LAO, LPO) can be derived if dose estimates for R LAT, RAO and RPO views are multiplied with an appropriate correction factor (about 0.7).

Table D.2 Effective dose for various oblique views for a hermaphrodite adult phantom^a, normalised to dose-area product.

View ^b	Effective dose, E, for views with X-rays entering from aside (mSv/(Gy · cm ²))		E_{right}/E_{left} ratio
	Right side ^c	Left side ^d	
Head (L LAT, R LAT)	0.040	0.040	1.0
Throat (L LAT, R LAT)	0.142	0.142	1.0
Cervical spine (L LAT, R LAT)	0.041	0.045	0.9
Chest (L LAT, R LAT)	0.104	0.128	0.8
Oesophagus (LAO,RAO)	0.151	0.152	1.0
Heart (L LAT, R LAT)	0.203	0.209	1.0
Heart (LAO,RAO)	0.205	0.230	0.9
Thoracic spine (LAO,RAO)	0.111	0.121	0.9
Stomach (LAO,RAO)	0.162	0.211	0.8
Colon (LAO,RAO)	0.085	0.109	0.8
Lumbar spine (L LAT, R LAT)	0.084	0.136	0.6
Lumbar spine (LPO,RPO)	0.112	0.280	0.4
Lumbo-sacral joint (L LAT, R LAT)	0.073	0.105	0.7

^a XDOSE (NRPB-SR262, 1994) [LE94, HA94]. Tube high voltage=80 kV, Total beam filtration=4 mm Al; ^b Convention of views [LE94]: *L LAT*: X-rays enter right side of the body and form an image on the left side. *R LAT*: X-rays enter left side of the body and form an image on the right side. *LAO*: Left anterior oblique: X-rays enter right rear side and form an image on the left front side. *RAO*: X-rays enter left rear side and form an image on the right front side. *LPO*: Left posterior oblique: X-rays enter right front side and form an image on the left rear side. *RPO*: X-rays enter left front side and form an image on the right rear side; ^c *Right side*: This column comprises L LAT, LAO and LPO views. ^d *Left side*: This column comprises R LAT, RAO and RPO views.

References

- AS91 Ashmore JP, Sont WN, Davies BF. Analysis of medical workers dose records from the Canadian national dose registry. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1991; 36(2/4):79-83.
- BE84 Beentjes LB, Glas JA. An estimate of the somatically effective dose from diagnostic radiology in the Netherlands during 1976-1980. *Health Physics*. 1984;47(2):299-304.
- BE85 Beninson D, Sowby D. Age and sex dependent weighting factors for medical irradiation. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1985;11(1):57-60.
- BE90 BEIR-V, Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiations. Health effects of exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation. Washington DC (US), National Academy Press; 1990.
- BE90a Beentjes LB, Timmermans CWM. Age and sex specific radiographic examination frequency in the Netherlands. *Br J Radiol* 1990; 63: 691-697.
- BE91 Bennett BG. Exposures from medical radiation world-wide. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1991;36(2/4):237-242.
- BE91a Beentjes LB, Timmermans CWM. Patient doses in the Netherlands. *Rad Prot Dosimetry* 1991; 36: 265-268.
- BE91b Berthelsen B, Cederblad A. Radiation doses to patients and personnel involved in embolization of intracerebral arteriovenous malformations. *Acta Radiologica*. 1991;32(6):492-497.
- BO76 Boer den JA, Mohr H. Distribution of scattered radiation around the Cardio Diagnost. *Medicamundi*. 1976;21(2):121-128.
- BO91 Boone JM, Levin DC. Radiation exposure to angiographers under different fluoroscopic imaging conditions. *Radiology*. 1991;180:861-865.
- BO93 Bos AJJ, Piters TM, Gomez Ros JM, Delgado A. An intercomparison of glow curve analysis computer programs: I. Synthetic glow curves. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1993;47(1-4):473-477.
- BO94 Bos AJJ, Piters TM, Gomez Ros JM, Delgado A. An intercomparison of glow curve analysis computer programs: II. Measured glow curves. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1994;51(4):257-264.
- BR86 Briesmeister J. MCNP, A general Monte Carlo code for neutron and photon transport, version 3A. Los Alamos, NM: Los Alamos National Laboratory; LA-7396-M, Rev. 2. 1986.
- BR88 Britton CA, Wholey MH. Radiation exposure of personnel during digital subtraction angiography. *Cardiovascular Interventional Radiology*. 1988;11:108-110.
- BR91 Briesmeister J. MCNP-4 newsletter. Los Alamos, NM: Los Alamos National Laboratory. 1991.
- BR95 Broerse JJ. Personal communication. 1995.
- BU89 Bushong SC. Personnel monitoring in diagnostic radiology: revisited-again!. *Health Phys* 1989; 56: 565-566.
- BU94 Bushberg JT, et al. The essential of physics of medical imaging. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore (USA), 1994.
- CA63 Carlsson C. Determination of the integral absorbed dose from exposure measurements. *Acta Radiologica Therapy Physics Biology*. 1963;1:433-458.
- CA65 Carlsson C. Integral absorbed doses in roentgen diagnostic procedures. *Acta Radiologica Therapy Physics Biology*. 1965;3:311-326.
- CA86 Carlsson GA, Carlsson CA. Relations between effective dose equivalent and mean absorbed dose (energy imparted) to patients in diagnostic radiology. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1986;31(8):911-921.
- CA86a Carmody RF, et al. Digital subtraction angiography update 1986. *Investigative Radiology*. 1986;21:899-905.
- CA88 Casselden PA. Ocular lens dose in cerebral vascular imaging. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1988;61:202-204.

- CA95 Castellano A et al. Assessment of organ radiation doses and associated with digital bifemoral arteriography. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1995;68:502-507.
- CH80 Chopp M et al. Clinical dosimetry during cerebral arteriography. *Neuroradiology*. 1980;20:79-81.
- CH82 Christensen P, Botter-Jensen L, Majborn B. Thermoluminescence dosimetry applied to radiation protection. *Int. J. Appl. Rad. Isot.* 1982;33:1035-1050.
- CH94 Chen R, McKeever WS. Characterization of nonlinearities in the dose dependence of thermoluminescence. *Radiation Measurements*. 1994;23(4):667-673.
- CO88 Contento G et al. A comparison of diagnostic radiology practice and patient exposure in Britain, France and Italy. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1988;61:143-152.
- CR80 Cristy M. Mathematical phantoms representing children of various ages for use in estimates of internal dose. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, NUREG/CR-1159. 1980.
- CR80a Cruikshank JG et al. Finger doses received by Radiologist during Chiba Needle Percutaneous Cholangiography (Technical note). *British Journal of Radiology*. 1980;53:584-585.
- CR81 Cristy M. Active bone marrow distribution as a function of age in humans. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1981;26:389-.
- CU90 Curry T. Christensen's physics of diagnostic radiology- 4th edition. Lea & Febiger, Malvern (USA). 1990.
- DA88 Dawson P. Digital subtraction angiography - a critical analysis. *Clinical Radiology*. 1988; 39:474-477.
- DE88 Deutsch AL et al. Incidental detection of hematopoietic hyperplasia on routine knee mr imaging. *American Journal of Radiology*. 1988;152:333-335.
- DE90 Delgado A, Gomez Ros JM. A simple method for glow curve analysis improving TLD-100 performance in the dose region below 100 μ Gy. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1990;34(1-4):357-360.
- DE92 Delgado A, Gomez Ros JM, Muniz JL, Portillo JC. Application of glow curve analysis methods to improve TLD-100 dose reassessment performance. *Health Physics*. 1992;62(3):228-234.
- DR85 Driscoll CMH, McWhan A, Richards DJ. A comparative study of the sensitivity and fading characteristics of thermoluminescent LiF chips (technical note). *Rad. Prot. Dos.* 1985;11(2):119-121.
- DR90 Drexler G, Panzer W, Widenmann L, Williams G, Zankl M. The Calculation of Dose from External Photon Exposures Using Reference Human Phantoms and Monte Carlo Methods. Part III: Organ Doses in X-ray Diagnosis. GSF-Bericht 11/90, Munchen (Germany). 1990.
- DR93 Drexler G, Panzer W, Petoussi N, Zankl M. Effective dose- how effective for patients?. *Radiat Environ Biophys.* 1993;32:209-219.
- DR93a Drexler G, Panzer W, Stieve FE, Widenmann L, Zankl M. Die Bestimmung von Organdosen in der Röntgendiagnostik. Hoffman GmbH Verlag Berlin, 1993.
- EC84 European Communities. Richtlijn van de Raad van 3 september 1984 tot vaststelling van fundamentele maatregelen met betrekking tot de stralingsbescherming van personen die medisch worden onderzocht of behandeld. Publikatieblad van de Europese Gemeenschappen Nr. L 265 (84/466/Euratom). 1984.
- FA82 Faulkner K, Moores BM. An assessment of the radiation dose received by staff using fluoroscopic equipment. *British Journal of Radiology*. 1982;55:272-276.
- FA88 Faulkner K, Harrison RM. Estimation of Effective Dose Equivalent to Staff in Diagnostic Radiology. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1988;33(1):93-91.
- FA93 Faulkner K, Marshall NW. The relationship of effective dose to personnel and monitor reading for simulated fluoroscopic irradiation conditions. *Health Physics* 1993; 64: 502-508.
- FE91 Felmlee JP et al. Hand dose measurements in interventional radiology (Operational topics). *Health Physics*. 1991;60(2):265-267.
- FE92 Feygelman VM, Huda W, Peters KR. Effective dose equivalents to patients undergoing cerebral angiography. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*. 1992;13:845-849.
- FI95 Fisher H et al. Die strahlenexposition des Radiologen bei Angiographien: Dosismessungen ausserhalb der Bleischürze. *Fortschr. Röntgenstr.* 1995;162(2):152-156.
- FO79 Forster D, Mohr H. Radiation protection at urological fluoroscopy working stations. *Medicamundi*. 1979;24,2:54-58.

- GE91 Gezondheidsraad. Stralingsrisico's: evaluatie van wetenschappelijke gegevens over de gezondheidsrisico's van blootstelling aan ioniserende straling ten behoeve van normstelling. Den Haag. No 1991/22; 1991.
- GE92 Geleijns J et al. Amber and conventional chest radiography: comparison of radiation dose and image quality. *Radiology*. 1992;185:719-723.
- GE94 Geleijns J et al. Comparison of two methods for assessing patient dose from computed tomography. *British Journal of Radiology*. 1994;67:360-365.
- GE94a Geneeskundig Adresboek 93/94 Nederland, Nijgh Periodieken B.V., Schiedam, The Netherlands [Dutch].
- GE95 Geleijns J. Patient dosimetry in diagnostic radiology, chest examinations and computed tomography. Thesis. University of Leiden. 1995.
- GR81 Gray JE et al. Normalized organ doses for various diagnostic radiological procedures. *American Journal of Radiology*. 1981;137:463-470.
- GR85 Greening JR. Fundamentals of radiation dosimetry. Medical Physics Handbooks no 15 (sec. ed.). Adam Hilger Ltd, Bristol (UK); 1985.
- GR94 Gray N et al. A patient dose survey for three common diagnostic radiographic examinations using a dose-area product meter. *Australasian Physical & Engineering*. 1994;17(2):79-83.
- GU81 Gustafsson M, Lunderquist A. Personnel exposure to radiation at some angiographic procedures. *Radiology*. 1981;140:807-811.
- HA83 Harrison RM et al. A survey of radiation doses to patients in five common diagnostic examinations. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1986;56:383-395.
- HA94 Hart D, Jones DG, Wall BF. Normalised organ doses for medical X-ray examinations calculated using Monte Carlo techniques. National Radiological Protection Board. NRPB Report SR262. Chilton (UK). 1994.
- HA94a Hart D, Wall BF. Estimation of the effective dose from dose-area product measurements for barium meals and barium enemas. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1994;67:485-489.
- HE87 Health Physics. Special Issue on Radiation Hormesis. *Health Physics*. 1987;52(5):521-678.
- HE94 Hemelaar J. Occupational dosimetry (personal communications). 1994.
- HO91 Howe D et al. Patient effective dose equivalent from selected arteriograms. Presentation, 36th annual meeting of the Health Society (July 21-26, 1991), Sheraton, Washington. 1991.
- HU89 Huda W et al. Radiation dose and detriment from chest X-ray examinations. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1989;34(10):1477-1492.
- HU90 Huda W, Bews J. Population irradiation factors (PIFs) - in diagnostic medical dosimetry. *Health Physics*. 1990;59(3):345-347.
- HU90a Huda W, Bissessur K. Effective dose equivalents, H_E , in radiology. *Medical Physics*. 1990;17(6):998-1003.
- HU91 Huda W, McLellan J, McLellan Y. How will the new definition of 'effective dose' modify estimates of dose in diagnostic radiology? *J. Radiol. Prot.* 1991;11(4):241-247.
- HU94 Huyskens CJ, Franken Y, Hummel WA. Guidance on personal dosimetry for occupational exposure in interventional radiology. *J Radiol Prot* 1994;14:229-234.
- IC55 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. *British Journal of Radiology*, supplement 6. 1955.
- IC59 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection: Report of Committee II on permissible dose for internal radiation, ICRP Publication 2. Pergamon Press, London. 1959.
- IC62 ICRU, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Radiation Quantities and Units, ICRU Report 10a, National Bureau of Standards Handbook 84. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. (USA); 1962.
- IC75 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Report of the task group on reference man. ICRP Publication 23. Pergamon Press. Oxford (UK). 1975.
- IC77 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP Publication 26. Pergamon Press, Oxford (UK). 1977.

- IC78 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Statement from the 1978 Stockholm meeting of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, ICRP Publication 28. Pergamon Press, Oxford; 1978.
- IC80 ICRU, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Radiation Quantities and Units, ICRU Report 33. ICRU, Bethesda (USA); 1980.
- IC84 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Nonstochastic effects of ionizing radiation, ICRP Publication 41. Pergamon Press, Oxford; 1984.
- IC85 ICRU, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Determination of dose equivalents from external radiation sources, ICRU Report 39. ICRU, Bethesda (USA); 1985.
- IC88 ICRU, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Determination of dose equivalents from external radiation sources- part 2, ICRU Report 43. ICRU, Bethesda (USA); 1988.
- IC89 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Optimization and Decision-Making in Radiological Protection, ICRP Publication 55. Pergamon Press, Oxford; 1989.
- IC90 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Radiological protection of the worker in medicine and dentistry. ICRP Publication 57. Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK. 1990.
- IC91 ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP publication 60. Pergamon Press, Oxford (UK). 1991.
- IC91a ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. Risks Associated with ionising radiation. Annals of the ICRP, Pergamon Press, Oxford; 1991:22(1).
- IC91b ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection. The biological basis for dose limitation in the skin, ICRP Publication 59. Pergamon Press, 1991.
- IC92 ICRU, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Measurement of dose equivalents from external photon and electron radiations, ICRU Report 47. ICRU, Bethesda (USA); 1982.
- IC92a ICRU, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Phantoms and computational models in therapy, diagnosis and protection. ICRU-Report 48. Bethesda (USA). 1992.
- IC92b ICRU, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Photon, electron, proton and neutron interaction data for body tissues. ICRU-Report 46. Bethesda (USA). 1992.
- IC92c International Commission on Radiological Protection. Radiological Protection in Biomedical Research. Publication 62. Pergamon Press. Oxford (UK). 1992.
- IC93 ICRU, International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. Radiation Quantities and Units in Radiation Protection Dosimetry, ICRU Report 51. ICRU, Bethesda (USA); 1993.
- JE90 Jeans WD. Review article: the development and use of digital subtraction angiography. The British Journal of Radiology. 1990;63:161-168.
- JO85 Jones DG, Wall BF. Organ Doses from Medical X-ray Examinations Calculated Using Monte Carlo Techniques. NRPB-Report 186. National Radiological Protection Board. Chilton (UK). 1985.
- JO87 Johnsrude IS, Jackson DC, Dunnick NR. A practical approach to angiography (sec. ed.). Little Brown and Company, Boston. 1987.
- KA78 Kase KR, Nelson WR. Concepts of Radiation Dosimetry. Pergamon Press. New York, 1978.
- KA90 Kanal E, Shellock FG, Talagala L. Safety considerations in MR imaging. Radiology. 1990;176:593-606.
- KE80 Kerciakes JG, Rosenstein M. Handbook of Radiation Doses in Nuclear Medicine and Diagnostic X-Ray. CRC Press, USA (1980).
- KA82 Kauffmann GW, Flemming K, Friedburg H, Schattenberg S. Einflüsse der strahlenbelastung in der röntgendiagnostik. Radiologe. 1982;22:235-240.
- KE90 Kempen RJ van. Pattern of Diagnostic Procedures in Radiology in The Netherlands. Rad Prot Dosimetry 1990; 36: 257-259.
- KI88 Kicken PJH. Stralingsbelasting van radiologen en röntgenlaboranten bij CT-scanning en angio-onderzoeken (1987). Internal report SBD/CZ 6785. SBD, University of Technology Eindhoven. 1988.
- KI88a Kicken PJH et al. Radiation exposure during cardiac catheterization procedures. Proceedings of IRPA-7 Congress, Sidney. 1988.

- KI91 Kicken PJ, Walstra R, Kemerink GJ. Computational Dosimetry for Angiography of Aorto-Iliac and Peripheral Vessels, (input data for Monte Carlo computations). University Hospital of Maastricht, report fys204 (1991).
- KI92 Kicken PJH, Kemerink GJ, Vaessen PJ, Ackermans JJ. An automated measurement system for characterisation of patient exposure during angiography. *Rad Prot Dosimetry* 1992;43(1/4):165-169.
- KI92a Kicken PJH. Occupational exposure in vascular radiology in the University Hospital Maastricht The Netherlands. Internal report 1992, fys.281 (in Dutch).
- KI93 Kicken PJH. Occupational exposures in angiography and interventional radiology in the University Hospital Maastricht, part 2. Internal report 1993, fys.390 (in dutch).
- KI93b Kicken PJH. Occupational exposure in vascular radiology in the De Wever Hospital Heerlen The Netherlands. Internal report 1993, fys.353 (in Dutch).
- KI93c Kicken PJH. Occupational exposure in vascular radiology in the Maasland Hospital Sittard The Netherlands. Internal report 1993, fys.371 & fys.380 (in Dutch).
- KI95 Kicken PJH, Kemerink GJ, Engelshoven JMA van. Vascular radiology in the Netherlands in 1992: a quantitative approach. *European Journal of Radiology*. 1995;19:212-219.
- KR86 Kramer R, Zankl M, Williams G, Drexler G. The Calculation of Dose from External Photon Exposures Using Reference Human Phantoms and Monte Carlo Methods. Part I: The Male (Adam) and Female (Eva) Adult Mathematical Phantoms. GSF-Bericht S-885. Munchen (Germany). 1986.
- LA78 Lackner K, Ewen K, Felix R. Die Strahlenexposition des Untersuchers bei Angiography. *Fortschr. Röntgenstr.* 1978;128:86-90.
- LA85 Law J. Doses to head and arms of radiologists during fluoroscopy (short communication). *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1985;58:187-188.
- LA90 Laubenberger T. Technik der medizinischen radiologie. Deutscher Ärzte-Verlag Köln (Germany). 1990.
- LA93 Lang PH et al. Hematopoietic bone marrow in the adult knee: spin-echo and opposed-phase gradient-echo mr imaging. *Skeletal Radiology*. 1993;22:95-103.
- LA89 Law J et al. Radiation dose to urological surgeons during X-ray fluoroscopy for percutaneous stone extraction. *British Journal of Radiology*. 1989;62:185-187.
- LE86 Lee KR et al. Intraarterial digital subtraction arteriographic evaluation of extremity tumours: comparison with conventional arteriography. *Radiology* 1986;158:255-258.
- LE92 Le Heron J. Effective dose in diagnostic radiology. *Journal of Radiological Protection*. 1992;12:111-112.
- LE92a Le Heron J. Estimation of effective dose to the patient during medical X-ray examinations from measurements of the dose-area product. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*. 1992;37:2117-2126.
- LE94 Le Heron JC. XDOSE: a user's guide. National Radiation Laboratory, Ministry of Health. Christchurch (New Zealand). 1994.
- LI92 Lindsoug BA. The reference man in diagnostic radiology dosimetry. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1992;65:431-437.
- LO86 Lowe F et al. Monitoring radiation exposure to medical personnel during percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *Urology*. 1986;28:221-226.
- LO93 Loken MK, Feinendegen LE. Radiation Hormesis, its emerging significance in medical practice. *Investigative Radiology*. 1993;28(5):446-450.
- LO93a Lowry KA, Lee Y, Gorbics SJ, Johnson TL. Method for the rapid deconvolution of thermoluminescence glow curves. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1993;47(1-4):493-496.
- MA84 Marshall TO, Inst P. Accuracy and precision in thermoluminescence dosimetry. The Hospital Physicists Association, Practical Apects of Thermoluminesce Dosimetry (editor AP Hufton). HPA, London (UK). 1984.
- MA88 Maccia C, Benedittini M, Lefaire C. Doses to patients from diagnostic radiology in France. *Health Physics*. 1988;54(4):397-408.
- MA92 Marshall NW, Faulkner K. The dependence of the scattered radiation dose to personnel on technique factors in diagnostic radiology. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1992;65:44-49.
- MA93 Mansson LG, Wallstrom E, Mattsson S. Relations between effective dose, effective dose equivalent, area-kerma product, and energy imparted in chest radiography. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1993;49(4):421-431.

- MA94 Marshall NW et al. A comparison of radiation dose in examination of the abdomen using different radiological imaging techniques. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1994;67:478-484.
- MA95 Marshall NW, Faulkner K. Optimization of personnel shielding in interventional radiology. Proceedings of a BIR-CEC Meeting held on 6 December 1993, Radiation Protection in Interventional Radiology. BIR, London. 1995
- MA95a Marshall NW, Noble J, Faulkner K. Patient and staff dosimetry in neuroradiological procedures. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1995;68:495-501.
- MC81 Mckinlay AF. Thermoluminescence dosimetry. *Medical Physics Handbooks 5*. Adam Hilger Ltd, Bristol, UK. 1981
- MC83 McGuire EL, Baker ML, Vandergift JF. Evaluation of radiation exposures to personnel in fluoroscopic x-ray facilities. *Health Physics* 1983;45:975-980.
- MC90 McParland BJ, Nosil J, Burry B. A survey of radiation exposures received by the staff at two cardiac catheterization laboratories. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1990;63:885-888.
- MO80 Möller P, Fehrentz. Dosismessungen an patienten. *Therapiewoche*. 1980;30:3434-3440.
- MO90 Moore SG, Dawson KL. Red and yellow marrow in the femur: age-related changes in appearance at mr imaging. *Radiology*. 1990;175:219-223.
- MO92 Montgomery DC, Peck EA. Introduction to linear regression analysis (2nd ed). Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York. 1992.
- MO92 Moore B et al. The relationship between back pain and lead apron use in radiologists. *American Journal of Radiology*. 1992;158:191-193.
- MO93 Moscovitch M, Taylor PL, Rodgers JE, McRae DA. An algorithm for supralinearity correction applied to radiation therapy thermoluminescence dosimetry. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1993;47(1-4):505-508.
- MO95 Morrison GD, Underwood AC. Entrance doses during lateral lumbar spine and antero-posterior abdomen examinations: generator waveform dependence. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1995;68:491-494.
- MU89 Mustafa AA, Janeczek J. Organ doses from cardiac and carotid digital subtraction angiography. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1989;62:838-842.
- NA93 Nariyama N et al. Responses of LiF TLDs to 10-40 keV monoenergetic photons from synchrotron radiation. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1993;49(4):451-457.
- NC83 NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Biological effects of ultrasound: Mechanisms and clinical implications. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. NCRP Report No. 74. Bethesda (USA). 1983.
- NC89 NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Medical X-ray, electron beam and gamma-ray protection for energies up to 50 MeV. NCRP Report No. 102. NCRP, Bethesda (US). 1989.
- NC89a NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Radiation Protection for Medical and Allied Health Personnel. NCRP Report No. 105. NCRP, Bethesda (US). 1989.
- NC90 NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Implementation of the Principles of As Low as Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) for Medical and Dental Personnel. NCRP report No. 107. NCRP, Bethesda (USA); 1990.
- NC91 NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Conceptual basis for calculations of absorbed-dose distributions, NCRP report No. 108. NCRP, Bethesda (USA); 1991.
- NC92 NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Exposure criteria for medical diagnostic ultrasound: I. Criteria based on thermal mechanisms. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. NCRP Report No. 113. Bethesda (USA). 1992.
- NH91 NHMRC. Safety Guidelines for magnetic resonance diagnostic facilities. National Health and medical research council. Radiation Health Series No. 34. Canberra. (Australia). 1991.
- NI93 Niklason LT, Marx MV, Chan HP. Interventional radiologists: occupational radiation doses and risks. *Radiology*. 1993;187:729-733.
- NI94 Niklason LT, Marx MV, Chan HP. The estimation of occupational effective dose in diagnostic radiology with two dosimeters. *Health Physics* 1994;67:611-615.
- NR90 NRPB. Patient dose reduction in diagnostic radiology. Documents of the NRPB. Chilton, UK. 1990;1(3).

- NR91 NRPB. Board statement on clinical magnetic resonance diagnostic procedures. National Radiological Protection Board. Documents of the NRPB. 1991;2(1).
- NR93 NRPB. Occupational, public and medical exposure. Documents of the NRPB. Chilton, UK. 1993;4(2).
- OB81 Oberhofer M, Scharman A (editors). Applied Thermoluminescence dosimetry. Ispra course 1979. Adam Hilger Ltd. Bristol (UK). 1991.
- PA82 Pavlicek W, et al. Patient doses during digital subtraction angiography of the carotid arteries: comparison with conventional angiography. *Radiology*. 1982;145:683-685.
- PA83 Paix D. Backscatter from diagnostic x rays from metals. *Communications in Medical Physics*. 1983;10(1):112-113.
- PA83a Passariello R et al. Radiation exposure of the patient in conventional and digital intravenous angiography. *Ann Radiol*. 1983;26(7):548-550.
- PA87 Padovani R et al. Patient doses and risks from diagnostic radiology in North-east Italy. *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1987;60:155-165.
- PA91 Pratt TA, Sweeney JK. Occupational exposure in the national health service- the north western region. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1991;36(2/4):225-227.
- PA92 Page JE, Walker WJ. Complications attributable to the formation of the track in patients undergoing percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *Clinical Radiology*. 1992;45:20-22.
- PE90 Perkins JL, Cutter GN, Cleveland MS. Estimating the mean, variance, and confidence limits from censored (< limit of detection), lognormally distributed exposure data. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J*. 1990;51:416-419.
- PE91 Perks CA, Marshall M. Techniques for thermoluminescence glow curve analysis. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1991;38(4):261-269.
- PE93 Persliden J, Sandborg M. Conversion factors between energy imparted to the patient and air collision kerma integrated over beam area in pediatric radiology. *Acta Radiologica*. 1993;34:92-98.
- PE95 Petoussi-Henss, Panzer W, Zankl M, Drexler G. Dose-area product and body dose. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1995;57(1-4):363-366.
- PH85 Philips Medical Systems. Diagnost Arc U Product data. Philips Medical Systems Best (Netherlands). 1985.
- PH85a Philips Medical Systems. Diagnost Arc U, operator's manual. Philips Medical Systems Best (Netherlands). 1985.
- PH86 Philips Medical Systems. Digital Subtraction Angiography. Philips Medical Systems Best (Netherlands). 1986.
- PL86 Plunkett MB, Gray JE, Kispert DB. Radiation exposure from conventional and digital subtraction angiography of cerebral vessels. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*. 1986;7:665-668.
- PO93 Poel LCJ van der. Centraal Orgaan Tarieven Gezondheidszorg, PO Box 3017, 3502 GA Utrecht, document 5621/1500/93/I, February 15 1993 [Dutch].
- PR81 Pradhan AS. Thermoluminescence dosimetry and its applications. *Rad. Prot. Dos.* 1981;1(3):153-167.
- PY78 Pychlau P. Messen des flächendosisproduktes in R.cm². *Röntgenpraxis*. 1978;31(5):115-119.
- PY94 Pychlau P. Dose-area product meter calibration and use. Measurement Assurance in Dosimetry. Proceedings of a symposium in Vienna in 1993. IAEA, Vienna 1994.
- RA90 Rawlings DJ, Faulkner K, Harrison RM. Broad-beam transmission data in lead for scattered radiation produced at diagnostic energies (short communication). *Br J Radiology* 1990;64:69-71.
- RA90a Ramsdale ML, Walker WJ, Horton PW. Extremity doses during interventional radiology. *Clinical Radiology*. 1990;41:34-36.
- RE94 Reece WD, Poston JW, XU XG. Determining the effective dose equivalent for external photon radiation: calculational results for beam and point source geometries. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1994;55(1):5-21.
- RI72 Riley R et al. Exposure of Radiologists during Special Procedures. *Radiology*. 1972;104:679-683.
- RO78 Rosenbaum AE, Arnold BA. Postero-anterior radiography: a method for reduction of eye dose (technical note). *Radiology*. 1978;129:812.
- RO91 Roberts PJ, Temperton DH. Occupational exposures in UK hospitals. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1991;36(2/4):229-232.

- RO94 Rosenstein M, Webster EW. Effective dose to personnel wearing protective aprons during fluoroscopy and interventional radiology (correspondence). *Health Physics* 1994; 67:88-89.
- RO95 Röntgen WC. On a new kind of rays (preliminary communication). *Nature* 1896;53:274-276. (Originally published in Germany: *Sitzungsberichte der Würzburger Physikmed Gesellschaft*. 1895;17:132-141).
- SA75 Santen BC, et al. Exposure to the radiologist to scattered radiation during angiography. *Radiology*. 1975;115:447-450.
- SA89 SAS Institute Inc. SAS procedures Guides, SAS/STAT User's Guide, release 6.03, 1989.
- SC94 Schultz FW, Geleijns J, Zoetelief J. Calculation of dose conversion factors for PA chest radiography of adults with relatively high-energy X-ray spectrum. *British Journal of Radiology*. 1994;67:775-785.
- SC94a Schultz FW, Jansen JThM, van Unnik JG, Geleijns J, Teeuwisse W, Broerse JJ, Zoetelief J. Calculated factors to convert dose-area product into organ dose for x-ray examinations of colon and stomach of adults: dependence on phantoms used. *Proceedings of the 10th annual symposium of the Belgian Association of Hospital Physicists*. December 9-10, 1994.
- SC95 Schultz FW, Geleijns J, Zoetelief J. Effective doses for different techniques used for PA chest radiography. *Proc. of workshop Grado, Italy, Sept. 29- Oct. 1, 1993. Radiation Protection Dosimetry* 1995;57:371-376.
- SC95a Schultz FW. Personal communication.
- SE87 Seuntjes J, Thierens H, van de Plaetsen A, Segaert O. Conversion factor for x-ray beam qualities, specified by peak tube potential and HVL value. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1987;32(5):595-603.
- SE92 Servomaa A, Ranniko S, Ermakov I. Assessment of effective dose in x ray imaging in view of the proposed ICRP risk factors. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1992;43(1/4):225-227.
- SH79 Schinz HR et al (editors). *Lehrbuch der röntgendiagnostik*. Band II/Teil 1. Georg Thieme Verlag. Stuttgart (Germany). 1979.
- SH82 Shrimpton PC, Wall BF. An evaluation of the Diamantor transmission ionisation chamber in indicating exposure-area product ($R\text{ cm}^2$) during diagnostic radiological examinations. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1982;27(6):871-878
- SH84 Shrimpton PC, Wall BF, Jones DG, Fisher ES. The measurement of energy imparted to patients during diagnostic x-ray examinations using the Diamantor exposure-area product meter. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1984;29(10):1199-1208
- SH86 Shrimpton PC, et al. A national survey of patients undergoing a selection of routine X-ray examinations in English hospitals, National Radiological Protection Board Report R200. Chilton (UK); 1986.
- SH92 Shellock FG et al. Hematopoietic bone marrow hyperplasia: high prevalence on mr images of the knee in asymptomatic marathon runners. *American Journal of Radiology*. 1992;158:335-338.
- SI88 Siegel S, Castellan NJ. *Nonparametric statistics for the behavioral sciences* (second edition). McGraw-Hill Book Company Singapore. 1988.
- ST93 Steele HR, Temperton DH. Patient doses received during digital subtraction angiography (Technical note). *The British Journal of Radiology*. 1993;66:452-456.
- TA89 Taylor LS. 80 Years of quantities and units personal reminiscence (part I): from a variety of radiation units to the international standard. *ICRU News*. 1989;1:6-14.
- TA89a Taylor C, Cowen AR, Wilson IJ. Patient absorbed dose in digital subtraction angiography. *BIR Report 20. British Institute of Radiology, London*. 1989:200-202.
- TH88 Thijssen MAO, et al. Quality analysis of DSA equipment. *Neuroradiology*. 1988;30:561-568.
- TI92 Timmermans C, Beentjes L. Stralingsbelasting van de Nederlandse Bevolking t.g.v. de medische toepassing van ioniserende straling. *VROM rapport nr 54. VROM distributiecentrum, Zoetermeer*; 1992.
- TR87 Tryhus M, Mettler FA, Kelsey C. The radiologist and angiographic procedures: absorbed radiation dose. *Invest Radiology* 1987;22:747-750.
- TS90 TSuno MM, Gang Jian Shu. Posteranterior versus anteroposterior lumbar spine radiology. *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics*. 1990;13(3):144-151.
- UN82 United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of atomic radiation. *Ionizing radiation: Sources and biological effects. UNSCEAR 1982 Report to the General Assembly, with annexes*. United Nations, New York; 1982.

- UN86 United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of atomic radiation. Genetic and somatic effects of ionizing radiation. UNSCEAR 1986 Report to the General Assembly, with annexes. United Nations, New York; 1986.
- UN88 United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of atomic radiation. Sources, effects and risks of ionizing radiation. UNSCEAR 1988 Report to the General Assembly, with annexes. United Nations, New York; 1988.
- UN93 United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. UNSCEAR 1993 Report to the General Assembly, with annexes. United Nations, New York; 1993.
- UN94 United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. UNSCEAR 1994 Report to the General Assembly, with annexes. United Nations, New York; 1994.
- US70 US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Radiological Health Handbook (edition 1970).
- VA91 Vaas LH, Blauwboer RO, Leenhouts HP. Radiation sources, doses and dose distributions in the Netherlands. Radiation Protection Dosimetry. 1991;36(2/4):89-92.
- VA92 Valois de JC. Radiodiagnostiek in Nederland. Medisch Contact 1992; 47: 1383-1384 [Dutch].
- VE89 Veit R et al. Tomographic anthropomorphic models, part I: construction technique and description of models of an 8 week old baby and a 7 year old child. Institut für Strahlenschutz. GSF-Bericht-3/89. München (Germany). 1989.
- VE91 Vehmas T, et al. Radiation exposure during percutaneous nephrostomy. Fortschr. Röntgenstr. 1991;154,3:238-241.
- VE92 Vehmas T, Tikkanen H. Measuring radiation exposure during percutaneous drainages: can shoulder dosimeters be used to estimate finger doses? The British Journal of Radiology. 1992;66:1007-1010.
- VE93 Vehmas T. What factors influence radiologists' finger doses during percutaneous drainages under fluoroscopic Guidance? Health Physics. 1993;65(2):161-163.
- VE93a Veit R, Zankl M. Variation of organ doses in paediatric radiology due to patient diameter, calculated with phantoms of varying voxel size. Radiation Protection Dosimetry. 1993;1/3:353-356.
- VO88 Vogler JB, Murphy WA. Bone marrow imaging. Radiology. 1988;168:679-693.
- WA88 Wall BF, Russell JGB. The application of cost-utility analysis to radiological protection in diagnostic radiology. Journal of Radiological Protection. 1988;8(4):221-229.
- WA88a Wall BF, Harrison RM, Spiers FW (editors). Patient dosimetry techniques in diagnostic radiology. The Institute of Physical Sciences in Medicine. Report No. 53. York (UK). 1988.
- WA88b Wall BF, Russell JGB. Quantification of radiation harm from diagnostic X-rays. The Institute of Physical Sciences in Medicine. Report No. 55. York (UK). 1988: 7-18.
- WA88c Wagner LK et al. Energy and rate dependence of diagnostic x-ray exposure meters. Med. Phys. 1988;15(5):749-753.
- WA89 Wall BF. Quality control of dose-area product meters. British Institute of Radiology, BIR Report 18, London. 1989;140-142.
- WA89a Wall BF, Shrimpton. Patient exposure criteria. The British Institute of Radiology. BIR Report 20. London. 1989:239-241.
- WE89 Webster EW. Effective dose equivalent for exposure with protective aprons [correspondence]. Health Physics 1989; 56: 568-569.
- WE93 Webster EW. Hormesis and radiation protection. Investigative Radiology. 1993;28(5):451-453.
- WH90 Whitehouse GH, Worthington BS. Techniques in diagnostic imaging (sec. edition). Blackwell Scientific Publications. Oxford. 1990.
- WI91 Will W. Energy independent measurement of photon individual dose equivalents with LiF detectors behind combined filters. Radiation Protection Dosimetry. 1991;1:55-58.
- WI92 Winsen van J, et al. Stralingsbelasting van werkers in Nederland. NVS-Nieuws. Maastricht (Netherlands). 1992;17(4):8-13.
- WO89 Workman A, Cowen AR. A review of automatic exposure control of conventional and digital systems. BIR Report 18, Technical and physical parameters for quality assurance in medical diagnostic radiology. Alden Press, Oxford. 1989.
- WY95 Wyngaarden KE van, Pauwels EKJ. Hormesis: are low doses of ionizing radiation harmful or beneficial?. Eur J Nucl Med. 1995;22(5):481-486.

- YA94 Yamaguchi Y. Age-dependent effective doses for external photons. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1994;55(2):123-129.
- YA94a Yamaguchi Y. *Journal of nuclear science and technology*. 1994;31(7):716-725.
- ZA83 Zarand P, Polgar I. On the background subtractio in 'low-dose' measurements using thermoluminescence dosimeters. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1983; 28(2):161-168.
- ZA92 Zankl M, Petoussi N, Drexler G. Effective dose and effective dose equivalent- The impact of the new ICRP Definition for external photon irradiation. *Health Physics*. 1992;62(5):395-399.
- ZA93 Zankl M. Computational models employed for dose assessment in diagnostic radiology. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 1993;1/3:339-344.
- ZA93a Zawin JK, Jaramillo D. Conversion of bone marrow in the humerus, sternum and clavicle: changes with age on mri images. *Radiology*. 1993;188:159-164.
- ZA95 Zankl M, Drexler G. An analysis of the equivalent dose calculation for the remainder tissues. *Health Physics*. 1995; 69(3):346-355.
- ZA95a Zankl M. Personal communication. 1995.

Samenvatting

Röntgentoestellen worden op grote schaal toegepast bij medische diagnostiek en interventies. In het totaal van de radiologische verrichtingen in Nederland nemen angiografie en vasculaire interventie met relatieve frequenties van respectievelijk 1,1% en 0,8% een bescheiden plaats in. De tot 1990 gepubliceerde gegevens indiceerden echter dat de individuele stralingsdoses van patiënten en personeel bij deze stralingstoepassingen aanzienlijk hoger konden zijn dan bij standaard röntgenonderzoeken. Ook lieten deze gegevens grote variaties zien in de dosisschattingen. Teneinde meer inzicht te krijgen in de stralingsdoses en de mogelijke maatregelen voor dosisbeperking werd in drie ziekenhuizen een studie uitgevoerd.

In het proefschrift is een beschrijving gegeven van de methode en resultaten van de schatting van de stralingsdoses van zowel patiënten alsmede van catheteriseurs en assistenten. Tevens is de samenhang tussen enkele (dosis)gegevens onderzocht en zijn aanbevelingen gegeven voor de bepaling van de beroepsmatige blootstelling en voor stralingsbescherming van patiënten en personeel.

Bij de schatting van de patiëntdoses werd gebruik gemaakt van een geautomatiseerd meetsysteem dat elektronisch werd gekoppeld aan het röntgensysteem. Hiermee werd bij meer dan 1000 patiënten tijdsafhankelijke informatie verkregen over relevante toestelparameters zoals buisspanning, veldgrootte, afbeeldingstechniek (doorlichten, radiografie, digitale subtractie angiografie), aantal afbeeldingen en doorlichttijd. Met een in de primaire röntgenbundel geplaatste vlakke transmissie ionisatiekamer werd continu het dosis*oppervlakte produkt (DAP) geregistreerd. Berekeningen van de effectieve dosis voor de patiënt werden uitgevoerd m.b.v. zogenaamde orgaandosisconversiecoëfficiënten, die voor geselecteerde diagnostische projecties de verhouding weergeven tussen de orgaandosis en de intreedosis of de orgaandosis en DAP. De in de literatuur gevonden conversiecoëfficiënten werden door Monte Carlo dosisberekeningen bij TNO (Rijswijk) en GSF (München) uitgebreid. De doses voor het personeel werden geschat met thermoluminescentie dosimeters. Bij meer dan 350 patiëntonderzoeken werden dosimeters gedragen werden op diverse plaatsen op het lichaam (o.a. voorhoofd, handen, onderbenen, borst en hals). Evenals bij de patiënten werd voor schatting van de effectieve doses van het personeel gebruik gemaakt van dosisconversiecoëfficiënten. De resultaten hebben betrekking op meerdere typen angiografie en vasculaire interventie.

De effectieve dosis van de patiënt varieerde tussen 0,1 en 40 mSv per onderzoek. De gemiddelde effectieve dosis per patiëntonderzoek werd geschat op 4 mSv voor intra-arteriële arteriografie, op 6 mSv voor intraveneuze DSA en op 12 mSv voor vasculaire interventie radiologie. De relatieve bijdrage van arteriografie en vasculaire interventie in de collectieve effectieve dosis door radiologie werd geschat op 4%.

De per behandelingstype gemiddelde effectieve dosis van de catheteriseur varieerde tussen 0,5 en 3,5 μ Sv bij gebruik van toestellen met de röntgenbuis onder de patiënttafel en tussen 0,5 en 20 μ Sv voor boventafelbuissystemen. Voor ondertafelbuissystemen was de

effectieve dosis van de assistent gemiddeld een factor 1,5 kleiner dan voor de catheteriseur; bij boventafelbuissystemen was deze verhouding gelijk aan 3,5. De relatieve bijdrage van vasculaire onderzoeken en interventies in de beroepshalve ontvangen effectieve dosis door radiodiagnostiek werd geschat op circa 70%. Met modelberekeningen werd afgeleid dat de effectieve dosis met een factor twee kan worden verminderd door een schildklierkraag te dragen. Uit statistisch onderzoek bleek dat de effectieve dosis van de catheteriseur kan worden geschat door $DAP_{\text{doorlichten}}$ te vermenigvuldigen met een conversiefactor, die afhankelijk van de blootstellingscondities varieert tussen 0,07 en 0,8 $\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$; als vuistregel kan de omrekenfactor 1 $\mu\text{Sv} \cdot \text{Gy}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ worden gehanteerd. Wanneer de beroepshalve blootstelling wordt bepaald m.b.v. een intreedosis-meting in de halsstreek kan de effectieve dosis worden geschat door dit meetresultaat te vermenigvuldigen met een conversiecoëfficiënt van 0,1 $\text{mSv} \cdot \text{mGy}^{-1}$.

Samenvattend wordt gesteld dat uit deze studie blijkt dat zowel de medische alsmede de beroepshalve ontvangen stralingsbelasting bij arteriografie en vasculaire interventie radiologie, bij een goede werktechniek, niet onrustbarend hoog zijn. Hoewel voor digitale afbeelding een lagere stralingsintensiteit benodigd is dan voor radiografie, blijkt in de praktijk dat de stralingsdosis per patiëntonderzoek bij gebruik van digitale systemen hoger kan zijn. Dit wordt vooral veroorzaakt door de grote aantallen afbeeldingen die worden geproduceerd. Het verdient dan ook aanbeveling om (werk)methoden te ontwikkelen om het aantal digitale afbeeldingen te beperken. In combinatie met het stimuleren van het gebruik van röntgensystemen met een ondertafelbuis en het toepassen van elektrische contrastvloeistofinjectiesystemen, het beperken van de doorlichttijd en veldgrootte, en het vergroten van de stralingsbeschermingskennis bij de uitvoerenden zijn er goede mogelijkheden om de stralingsdosis van zowel de patiënt als de medewerker, met behoud van de medisch diagnostische kwaliteit, nog verder te beperken.

Acknowledgement

Dit proefschrift is gebaseerd op onderzoek bij de afdeling Radiodiagnostiek van het Academisch Ziekenhuis te Maastricht (azM). Bij dit onderzoek waren ook betrokken de afdeling Radiodiagnostiek van het Maasland Ziekenhuis te Sittard, de afdeling Radiodiagnostiek van het De Wever Ziekenhuis te Heerlen, TNO, Centrum voor Stralingsbescherming en Dosimetrie te Rijswijk, GSF-Institut für Strahlenschutz te München, en het IRI/Technische Universiteit Delft. Bij de uitvoering van de thermoluminescentie dosimetrie werd gebruik gemaakt van de faciliteiten van het gemeenschappelijk Dosimetrie-centrum van het azM, de Rijks Universiteit Limburg en het De Wever Ziekenhuis.

Het onderzoek werd financieel ondersteund door het Ministerie van Sociale Zaken en Werkgelegenheid, het Ministerie van Welzijn, Volksgezondheid en Cultuur, en de afdeling Radiodiagnostiek (azM).

Wetenschappelijk onderzoek in een klinische omgeving kan uitsluitend plaatsvinden wanneer het betrokken (para)medisch en ondersteunend personeel oog heeft voor de problematiek van dit onderzoek. In de drie ziekenhuizen waar dit onderzoek is uitgevoerd werd in ruime mate aan deze randvoorwaarde voldaan. De medewerking van het Maasland Ziekenhuis en het De Wever Ziekenhuis is zonder meer uitstekend te noemen.

In dit onderzoek hebben velen wetenschappelijk, technisch of mentaal ondersteuning geboden. Zonder anderen te kort te willen doen, wil ik enkele personen nadrukkelijk bedanken.

Prof. dr. J.M.A. van Engelshoven. Zeer geachte eerste promotor, beste Jos. Ik heb het zeer op prijs gesteld dat je mijn promotor wilde zijn. Jouw medische inbreng, aandacht voor hoofdlijnen en kritische benadering van stralingsdosimetrische informatie en stralingsbeschermingsaspecten hebben grote invloed gehad op de beschrijving van de studie-opzet en conclusies. Dat het proefschrift uiteindelijk is afgerond is voor een belangrijk deel te danken aan de beperkingen die je, vanuit een pragmatische benadering, aan de eindopdracht hebt meegegeven. Je adviezen hebben een belangrijke bijdrage geleverd aan de uiteindelijke vorm van dit proefschrift. Ik ben je dankbaar voor de gelegenheid die je mij hebt geboden om dit onderzoek binnen je afdeling te kunnen uitvoeren.

Prof. dr. J.J. Broerse. Zeer geachte tweede promotor, beste Johan. Een betere tweede promotor voor dit onderzoek had ik mij niet kunnen wensen. Jouw inzicht in wetenschappelijk onderzoek in de stralingsdosimetrie en verslaglegging is van groot belang geweest voor dit proefschrift. Je hebt ongetwijfeld meerdere correctie-potloodjes versleten in je pogingen om vraagstellingen, onderzoekopzet, resultaten en conclusies helder en correct geformuleerd te doen krijgen. Jouw kritische kanttekeningen en onze discussies over hoofdlijnen en punten en komma's heb ik erg gewaardeerd.

Dr. G.J. Kemerink, klinisch fysicus Radiodiagnostiek azM. Zeer geachte co-promotor, beste Gerrit. Jouw begeleiding en fysische inbreng zijn zeer belangrijk geweest voor de realisatie van nagenoeg alle onderdelen van dit project. Je wetenschappelijke benadering, maar ook je collegiale houding heb ik als zeer positief en stimulerend ervaren. Jouw kennis van elektronica, fysica en stralingsdosimetrie waren van onschatbare waarde. Zonder mankeren wist je de zwakke plekken in methoden en werkwijzen bloot te leggen, zodat onnodig onderzoek kon worden voorkomen. Bovendien droeg je met grote regelmaat oplossingen aan voor gesignaleerde problemen. In de afrondende fase heb je veel tijd besteed aan het bewerken van ruwe teksten. Heel veel dank.

De beoordelingscommissie bestaande uit prof. dr. A. Hasman (voorzitter), prof. dr. P.J.E.H.M. Kitslaar, dr. L.B. Beentjes en dr. J. Zoetelief, wil ik graag danken voor de tijd om dit proefschrift aan een kritisch onderzoek te onderwerpen.

Mevr. M. Frantzen, medewerkster Dosimetrie centrum azM. Beste Marij, zonder jouw inspanningen zouden de vele metingen ongetwijfeld aanzienlijk minder uitgebreid en betrouwbaar zijn uitgevoerd. Met je paramedische achtergrond en je opgewekt humeur was je in deze studie de ideale partner om 'handen en voeten' te geven aan variabele gedachten over opzet en uitvoering van vele verschillende (dosis)metingen. Ik denk met veel plezier terug aan onze goede samenwerking.

Mevr. H. Nelissen, coördinerend radiodiagnostisch laborant vaatkamer azM. Beste Hetty, jij hebt ondanks de hoge werkdruk vele uren vrijgemaakt om mij in de gelegenheid te stellen de benodigde experimenten en metingen in de vaatkamer te laten uitvoeren. Zonder jouw 'colleges' over angiografie en je ondersteuning bij de evaluatie van de geregistreerde gegevens was het onderzoek aanzienlijk stroever verlopen. Hetty en andere laboranten die bijdragen hebben geleverd, zeer veel dank voor jullie inzet.

Dr. R. Walstra en drs. D. Koster, werkzaam als radioloog in het azM gedurende respectievelijk de begin- en eindfase van het onderzoek. Beste Robert en Dick, met jullie hulp heb ik een klein beetje inzicht gekregen in de techniek van angiografie. Mijn dank hiervoor. Robert in het bijzonder ook dank voor je commentaar op de concepten.

Dr. F. Schulz en Dr. J. Zoetelief van TNO, CSD. Beste Frank, door jouw Monte Carlo berekeningen is de kwaliteit van de schattingen van de effectieve dosis aanzienlijk toegenomen. Beste Hans, dankzij jouw bereidwillige opstelling heb ik gebruik kunnen maken van jullie faciliteiten.

Dr. A. Bos van het IRI/TU Delft. Beste Adri, onze gezamenlijke inspanningen om met hoog gevoelige thermoluminescentie dosimeters metingen uit te voeren aan catheteriseurs en assistenten in de klinische praktijk hebben succes gehad. Mijn dank voor de beschikbaar gestelde diensten en discussie bij de evaluatie van de resultaten.

Ir. J. Hemelaar, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven. Beste Jos, jouw commentaar op concepten van teksten is zeer waardevol geweest. Ik verwacht dat we onze discussies over scheve verdelingen opnieuw kunnen gaan oppakken.

Ms. M. Zankl, GSF-Institut für Strahlenschutz. Dear Ms. Zankl, I am grateful to you for sharing your time and computer resources with me in order to provide organ dose conversion coefficients for patient dosimetry in vascular radiology. It was a great support. Many thanks for your discussion on dosimetric topics and your collaboration.

Van de anderen die niet met name zijn genoemd wil ik nog in het bijzonder bedanken:

Drs. G.O. Veldhuyzen van Zante (radioloog), Dr. Ph.J. De Korte (radioloog), J. Slot, I. Mertens en J. Laumen van het De Weverziekenhuis te Heerlen.

Drs. C. Kamps (radioloog), Drs. D. Touw (klinisch fysicus), H. Mentink en C. Hintzen van het Maasland Ziekenhuis te Sittard.

Peter Vaessen (HTS-afstudeerstage), Robert Peperkamp (HIO-stage), Richard Renkens (HIO-stage), Martin Jäkhel (RL-stage).

An Mordant, Christianne Schiffer, en Edith, Marcel, Ria, Mia, Peter, allen van de afdeling Radiodiagnostiek azM.

In de persoon van Evert Klein dank ik alle vrienden en kennissen die mij moreel ondersteund hebben bij de realisatie van dit proefschrift.

Tenslotte, maar zeker niet op de laatste plaats hoort hier ook een woord van dank aan mijn echtgenote en kinderen. Binnen de huiselijke kring hebben zij mij de afgelopen jaren regelmatig ontzien en gesteund bij het langdurig verblijf in de studeerkamer. Paulette, Thomas en Cécile, jullie verdienen de meeste dank, ik draag het proefschrift dan ook graag aan jullie op.

Curriculum vitae

5 juli 1952	Geboren te Simpelveld
1964 - 1969	R.K. HBS te Kerkrade
1969 - 1977	Studie chemische technologie aan de Technische Universiteit Eindhoven
1978 - 1990	Wetenschappelijk assistent/ambtenaar bij de Stralingsbeschermingsdienst, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven
1979	Opleiding stralingsdeskundige (niveau 3)
1981	Opleiding stralingsdeskundige (niveau 2)
1990 - 1993	Fysicus/wetenschappelijk onderzoeker bij de afdeling Radiodiagnostiek van het Academisch Ziekenhuis Maastricht
1991 - heden	Bestuurslid Nederlandse Vereniging voor Stralingshygiëne (secretaris)
1994 - heden	Algemeen stralingsdeskundige bij de Dr. Daniel den Hoed Kliniek, Academisch Ziekenhuis Rotterdam, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam.