Some aspects of the patient after total gastrectomy : clinical studies on symptomatology, nutrient malabsorption and medico-social performance after total gastrectomy

Citation for published version (APA):

Brägelmann, R. (1998). *Some aspects of the patient after total gastrectomy : clinical studies on symptomatology, nutrient malabsorption and medico-social performance after total gastrectomy*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. [s.n.]. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.19980925rb>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1998

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.19980925rb](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.19980925rb)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 26 Apr. 2023

**Some Aspects of the Patient
after Total Gastrectomy**

**Clinical Studies on Symptomatology, Nutrient
Malassimilation and Medico-Social Performance
after Total Gastrectomy**

° Brägelmann, Robert
ISBN 90-9011968-x

The scientific work was supported by grants from the pharmaceutical companies Astra Pharmaceutica BV, Tramedico BV, Yamanouchi Pharma BV, Novo Nordisk Farma BV and Novartis Pharma BV.

**Some Aspects of the Patient
after Total Gastrectomy**

**Clinical Studies on Symptomatology, Nutrient
Malassimilation and Medico-Social Performance
after Total Gastrectomy**

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit Maastricht,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus,
Prof. Dr. A.C. Nieuwenhuijzen Kruseman,
volgens het besluit van het College van Decanen,
in het openbaar te verdedigen
op vrijdag 25 september 1998 om 14.00 uur

door

Robert Brägelmann

geboren op 12 november 1960 te Vechta, Duitsland

Promotor

Prof dr RW Stockbrügger

Co-promotor

Dr. med. habil. U Armbrrecht (Marbachtalklinik, Bad Kissingen)

Beoordelingscommissie

Prof dr G Kootstra, voorzitter

Prof dr H Abrahamsson (Universitet Göteborg, Sweden)

Prof dr P Pop

Prof dr ir WHM Saris

Prof dr JPMA Smout (Universiteit Utrecht)

Aan: mijn ouders

Contents

Chapter I:	Introduction and Aims of the Study	9
Chapter II:	Patients and Methods	55
Chapter III:	Nutrient Malassimilation Following Total Gastrectomy	75
Chapter IV:	Total Gastrectomy: The influence of Preserved Duodenal Transit and of Pouch Reconstruction on Abdominal Symptoms, Nutrient Assimilation, and Medico-Social Functioning	95
Chapter V:	The Effect of Pancreatic Enzyme Supplementation in Patients with Total Gastrectomy and Steatorrhoea	113
Chapter VI:	The Influence of Rapid Oro-coecal Transit Time on Symptoms, Clinical Signs, and Nutrient Assimilation in Patients after Total Gastrectomy	127
Chapter VII:	Small Bowel Bacterial Overgrowth in Patients after Total Gastrectomy	147
Chapter VIII:	Determinants of Medico-Social Functioning after Total Gastrectomy	165
Chapter IX:	Coping Behaviour in Patients after Curative Total Gastrectomy	185

Chapter X: General Discussion 197

Chapter XI: Summary and Concluding Remarks 211

Chapter XII: Samenvatting en Conclusies 217

Chapter XIII: Zusammenfassung und Kommentar 223

Dankwoord 229

Curriculum vitae 233

Chapter I

Introduction and Aims of the Study

R Brägelmann

General Remarks

Stomach cancer incidence

The annual incidence and mortality rates of gastric cancer as a whole are declining in most countries^{1,2}, but stomach cancer is still the second most frequent cancer world-wide and a major cause of morbidity and mortality^{2,3}. **Table I.1** gives the age standardised incidence of stomach cancer for some countries³. The incidence of stomach cancer localised to the cardia on the other hand seems to be increasing^{3,6}.

Table I.1 Age-standardised incidence rates of stomach cancer for selected countries

Age-standardised incidence per 100.000 inhabitants	female	male
Japan	37	85
Germany	12	22
Netherlands	8	20
Kuwait	2	4

adapted from Neugut³

Therapeutic strategies

Surgery is the only possibility of cure in resectable gastric carcinoma. The surgical options with curative intention most often consist of partial gastrectomy⁷⁻⁹, subtotal gastrectomy^{10,11}, total gastrectomy¹²⁻¹⁹, and combined resection of stomach and adjacent organs^{12,20}. Endoscopic mucosal resection^{21,22}, endoscopic laser irradiation²², laparoscopic wedge resection²³, and pylorus-preserving gastrectomy²⁴ may be of interest in selected patients. The increase in proximal stomach cancers will probably lead to an increased frequency of total or subtotal gastrectomies^{6,13,19}. During gastrectomy, a D2 lymph node resection is performed most often^{8,9,25-28}, although there still is some discussion about the benefit of it²⁹⁻³³. More extended lymph node resection is under study³⁴⁻³⁶. Neoadjuvant^{37,38} and adjuvant chemotherapy³⁷⁻⁴⁰ is an additional option in patients with stomach cancer, whereas radiation therapy is experimental⁴¹.

Survival

Some authors state that survival after total gastrectomy has not improved at all in the last decades^{4,6,42}. Most authors, however, suggest a longer postoperative survival which is related to improved surgical techniques^{8,16,27,43-48} and to better and earlier diagnosis of gastric cancer^{8,45,49-58} leading to percentages of potentially curative resections of stomach cancer ranging from 53 to 98%^{13,45,59}. **Table I.2**

gives reported survival rates in different countries. Although survival after gastrectomy for gastric cancer has improved, in the majority of patients stomach cancer is still found in an advanced stage and survival is therefore poor⁶⁰. In a report by the American College of Surgeons in 1993 Wanebo reports 65% of the patients to have stage III or IV stomach cancer on diagnosis⁶¹. The low proportion of long-term survivors is one of the reasons why studies on metabolic problems after total gastrectomy are scarce.

Table I.2 Five-year survival rates of gastric cancer patients

Stage	United States	Germany	Japan
IA	59%	85%	100%
IB	44%	69%	89%
II	29%	44%	72%
IIIA	15%	29%	48%
IIIB	9%	18%	29%
IV	3%	9%	12%

data adapted from Maruyama⁹

History of gastric reconstruction

Since the first successful total gastrectomy by Schlatter in 1897 more than 50 different surgical approaches and types of reconstruction have been proposed for this operation in order to minimise post-operative complaints, to prevent weight loss, and to ensure the highest possible quality of life⁶²⁻⁶⁴. One of the latest, an interposition of the ileocecal segment as substitute after pylorus-preserving-near total gastrectomy, was described in March 1997⁶⁵.

In 1897 Schlatter restored continuity of the alimentary tract in a patient with gastrectomy with an antecolic end-to-side oesophagojejunostomy (**Figure I.1**). His primary interest after the operation were nutrition and digestion in the agastric patient.

In 1908 Goldschwend used a Y-anastomosis and introduced Roux's principle in reconstruction after total gastrectomy to prevent alkaline reflux oesophagitis. Since then the Roux-en-Y construction has been developed further during the years by several surgeons (**Figure I.2**).

Figure I.1

from Tittel⁶²

Figure I.2

The idea of jejunal interposition was first developed by Saccharow in 1939, whereas colonic interposition was reported by Hunicutt in 1949 for the first time. Various reconstructions with iso- and aniso-peristaltic jejunal- or colonic loops were described (Figures I.3 and I.4). The idea behind the concept of interposition was to preserve duodenal transit in order to improve digestion, whereas aniso-peristaltic loops were introduced to slow down small bowel transit.

Figure I.3

from Catarci⁶³

Figure I.4

To enlarge the gastric reservoir the concept of pouch-construction was introduced by Hoffmann in 1922. Like the other concepts, this idea was developed further in the following years (**Figure I.5**).

Figure I.5 from Herfarth⁶⁴

Functionally, the different types of operations can be divided into procedures which preserve a continuous duodenal transit and those with a duodenal bypass, both alternatives either with or without a construction of a reservoir as a stomach substitute (**Figure I.6**).

Figure I.6

General Consequences of Gastric Resection

Symptoms

After total gastrectomy, many patients suffer from a variety of abdominal complaints and systemic symptoms. The reported frequency of these symptoms in the literature varies widely, which partly is due to differences in symptom definition and assessment (Table I.3).

Table I.3 Frequency of symptoms reported after total gastrectomy

Author	year	n	dumping	nausea	bloating	dyspepsia	satiety	reflux	dysphagia	vomiting
Kelly ⁷¹	1953	26	nr	27	nr	46	nr	nr	23	27
Wiznitzer ⁷²	1966	25	76	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr
Adams ⁷³	1967	20	40	nr	75	50	5	60	10	5
Bradley ⁷⁴	1975	10	30	nr	40	40	90	30	10	10
Olbe ⁷⁵	1987	15	40	13	50	20	nr	0	nr	40
Herfarth ⁶⁵	1987	20	0	nr	nr	nr	10	20	0	nr
Schlag ⁷⁶	1988	23	13	nr	nr	nr	91	13	0	nr
		10	45	nr	nr	nr	82	45	9	nr
Miholic ⁷⁷	1989	20	35	nr	nr	25	15	25	25	nr
Miholic ⁷⁴	1990	41	25-29	nr	nr	17-19	13	7-17	13-19	nr
Bozzetti ⁷⁹	1990	23	36	17	nr	26	48	43	nr	9
Delbrück ⁸⁰	1991	11 0	35	30	45	40	25-50	30	14	22
Schmitz ⁸¹	1994	15	50	nr	nr	nr	48	22	nr	60
		17	18	nr	nr	nr	60	50	nr	30
Delbrück ⁸²	1994	nr	nr	30	36	33	51	25	14	21
Anderson ⁸³	1995	57	nr	8	nr	7	8	nr	8	8
Nakane ⁸⁴	1995	10	0	nr	nr	nr	14	14	nr	nr
		10	0	nr	nr	nr	0	0	nr	nr
		10	0	nr	nr	nr	40	0	nr	nr
range			0-76	17-30	36-75	7-50	0-91	0-60	0-25	5-60

n denotes number of patients; **dumping** denotes early and late dumping; **satiety** denotes early satiety; **nr** denotes not reported.

Early dumping, occurring in the first hour after meals in some patients after gastrectomy, causes systemic and abdominal symptoms. Systemic reactions are palpitations, fatigue, syncope, diaphoresis, dyspnoea, headaches, and flushing. Abdominal symptoms are epigastric fullness, diarrhoea, nausea, abdominal cramps, and borborygmia. An increase of more than 10 heartbeats/minute after an oral glucose challenge has been shown to be a good diagnostic criterion for early dumping⁶⁶.

Late dumping, occurring in the first three hour after meals in some patients after gastrectomy, is characterised by perspiration, lack of concentration, hunger,

decreased consciousness, and shakiness caused by hyperinsulinaemia and subsequent hypoglycaemia following fast delivery of meals to the intestine and rapid absorption of glucose.

Bloating, dyspepsia, and early satiety can be further symptoms of early dumping, but they are mentioned as independent entities by some authors. Early satiety is considered to be an anatomical capacity problem. The concept of pouch-construction is based primarily on the idea of enlarging the volume of the gastric substitute.

Alkaline reflux is caused by reflux of bile acid and reflux of pancreatic and/or other intestinal juices causing anastomosisitis with in some cases dysphagia resulting from stenosis. Reflux oesophagitis after gastrectomy is best diagnosed by endoscopy. The frequency of oesophagitis after total gastrectomy is reported to be in the range of five to 35 percent⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹. Therapeutic strategies developed for this problem are the construction of a long Roux-en-Y loop⁷⁰ or of a pouch⁶⁴. Vomiting after gastrectomy may be due to food retention in front of a stenosis or secondary to nausea.

Malnutrition

The primary problem of patients with stomach cancer is early diagnosis and curative resection leading to increased survival. In long-term survivors after gastrectomy malnutrition becomes of greater importance. It can be differentiated into overt macronutrient deficiency and subclinical micronutrient deficiency.

Weight loss

Most authors agree that weight loss is common after total gastrectomy, although in some studies no weight loss could be documented (**Table I.4**).

Comparing the results in the literature may have pitfalls, as study design and methods of reporting can vary. In **Table I.4** the results of ten studies with retrospective assessments and thirteen prospective longitudinal studies concerning weight loss are given. Weight loss since health comprises the pre-operative weight loss (including the pre-operative malnutrition and the possible catabolism due to malignancy) and the post-operative loss.

Overall, a weight loss of ten to 24 percent from pre-illness weight is reported. Most of the longitudinal studies point to a progressive weight loss after operation with a nadir and subsequent stabilisation of the body weight three to twelve months after operation (**Table I.4**). Pre-illness weight is, however, hardly ever reached again.

Table 1.4 Weight loss after total gastrectomy

Author	year	n	follow-up	study design	weight loss since		nadir at
			months	longitudinal	health	operation	
Kelly ⁷¹	1953	26	2-96	no	24 %		
Bradley ⁷⁴	1975	10	3-94	no	25 kg		
Basso ⁸⁵	1985	22	30	yes		5-15 %	3 months
Cristallo ⁸⁶	1986	12	36	no		0	
Troidl ⁸⁷	1987	38	6	yes	≈ 9 %		6 months
Sategna Guidetti ⁸⁸	1989	27	27	yes	13.7 %		
Miholic ⁷⁷	1989	11	33	no	22 %		
		9	38		11 %		
Miholic ⁷⁸	1990	15	36	no	21 %		
		26	43		12 %		
Bozzetti ⁷⁹	1990	44	36	yes	16 %		15 months
Crucitti ⁸⁹	1990	16	48	no	most lost	most gained	
Curran ⁹⁰	1990	6	45	yes	weight loss	no loss	
Delbrück ⁸⁰	1991	110	36	no	16 %		1 months
Miholic ⁹¹	1991	30		yes	27 %		with nadir
		31			23 %		
Köhler ⁹²	1992	38	12-36	no	10-15 %		
Tsuburaya ⁹³	1993	27	3-17	no	20 %		
Delbrück ⁸²	1994	nr	nr	no	21 %		
Fuchs ⁹⁴	1995	46	36	yes	10 %		plateau
Nakane ⁸⁴	1995	10	24	yes	18 %		6 months
		10	24		14 %		6 months
		10	24		22 %		12 months
Liedman ⁹⁵	1996	61	3-12	yes	≈ 7 kg		
Bozzetti ⁹⁶	1996	27	24	yes	≈ 20 %		6 months
Schwarz ⁹⁷	1996	24	6	yes	11 %		3 months
		36	6	yes	17 %		no nadir
Liedman ⁹⁸	1997	65	12	yes	10.5 %		6 months

n denotes number of patients

Body composition changes of patients after total gastrectomy have been described by Miholic⁹¹, Liedman⁹⁸, Bisballe⁹⁹, and Walther¹⁰⁰. All mentioned a decrease in the fat-mass, although Bisballe found this only in men whereas the women of his study showed a decrease of fat-free mass. Liedman attributes 90% of the weight loss after total gastrectomy to loss of body fat⁹⁸.

Steatorrhea

In 1898, one year after the first successful total gastrectomy, the first studies on

steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy were published^{101,102}. Since then various reports dealt with this subject, of which some are summarised in the following table (Table I.5).

The range of the reported fat malabsorption between the studies is rather wide extending from five to 50 percent of the intake, both in large and in small studies, pointing to a true wide range of fat malabsorption.

The studies of Schwartz¹⁰³ and Bradley⁷⁴ deserve further comment. Both were well-designed metabolic ward studies on a small number of patients. Whereas the absolute faecal fat output was directly proportional to the intake¹⁰³, relative fat malabsorption was not correlated to intake^{74,103}. Therefore studies giving faecal fat output in absolute figures without data on the intake are of limited use.

Walther is the only author providing data on fat malabsorption in patients before and after they were operated on. Two of his eleven patients had steatorrhoea pre-operatively¹⁰⁰. Post-operative steatorrhoea seems to normalise with increasing time after operation¹⁰⁴. There are no reports showing a correlation of steatorrhoea and weight loss.

Table I.5 Steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy

Author	year	n	fat malabsorption			comment
			mean	range	scale	
Breitenbach ¹⁰⁵	1929	3	6		%	
Farris ¹⁰⁶	1943	1	7		%	
Kelly ⁷¹	1953	26	14	7-25	%	calculated
Brintnall ¹⁰⁷	1956	6	8		%	
Schwartz ¹⁰³	1956	7	21	14-33	%	
Hays ¹⁰⁸	1960	4	15		%	
Adams ⁷³	1967	20		12-21	%	
Fischermann ¹⁰⁹	1967	12		25-79	%	calculated
Bradley ⁷⁴	1975	10	17	5-50	%	
Bradley ¹¹⁰	1977	5	19.2		%	
Gullo ¹¹¹	1979	12	13		%	
Herfarth ⁶³	1987	20	30	2-70	g/d	from figure
Sategna Guidetti ⁸⁸	1989	27	37		%	
Walther ¹⁰⁰	1989	11	36		%	
Curran ⁸⁰	1990	6	23		%	calculated

n denotes number of patients

Anaemia

Data on the prevalence of anaemia after gastrectomy varies and correlates with time past operation¹¹²⁻¹¹⁷. The degree of anaemia is moderate most of the time, as can be seen in **Table I.6**.

Iron deficiency was described in patients with gastrectomy as early as 1943¹⁰⁶. Most of the authors, however, evaluated patients with partial gastrectomy. Hobbs described iron deficiency anaemia in 50% of the patients, but also symptomatic sideropenia in 23% of the non-anaemic males¹¹². In a prospective longitudinal study in patients with partial gastrectomy without iron supplementation Tovey¹¹⁸ found an iron deficiency in 60% of his patients after the first decade. The development of the iron deficiency was positively correlated with the time past operation and reached a prevalence of 90% for women and 70% for men during the third decade. There are authors, however, who found no difference in pre-operative and post-operative iron stores¹¹⁹. Amaral et al. report an iron deficiency in 47% of his patients after gastric exclusion for morbid obesity whom he followed over 33 months¹¹⁷.

It has been suggested by many authors that impaired iron absorption is the main reason for iron deficiency after gastric surgery^{71,106,112,114,115,120,121}. In achlorhydria, as after total gastrectomy, the inorganic ferric iron (Fe^{3+}) is not converted into the absorbable ferrous state (Fe^{2+})¹⁰⁶. Furthermore, the duodenum, which is the main resorption site for iron, is either rapidly passed¹¹² or bypassed¹²⁰, and liberation of food-bound iron is decreased due to lack of proteolysis¹²⁰. Some investigators, however, could not find any difference in iron absorption¹²³ or found impaired absorption in only few of the iron-deficient patients¹⁰⁹. Gastro-intestinal bleeding resulting in iron deficiency can be caused by bacterial overgrowth of the small bowel¹²⁴ or oesophagitis and/or anastomosis^{114,115}. Iron deficiency after gastrectomy or gastric exclusion responds well to oral iron supplementation^{117,119}.

Table I.6 Data on anaemia in patients after partial or total gastrectomy or gastric exclusion surgery

Author	year	n	follow-up (month)	median Hb	anaemia (%)	IDA (%)	VBD (%)
Kelly ⁷¹	1953	26	2-96	13.5	41	8	35
Hobbs ¹¹²	1961	242	36-60	≈ 13.5	≈ 50	all	none
Fischer ¹⁰⁹	1967	12	6-15	13.9	17	17	nr
Hillman ¹¹³	1968	30	3-156	≈ 13.5	47	nr	nr
Adams ¹¹⁴	1968	20	12-242	10.6	nr	50	25
Tovey ¹¹⁵	1980	227	120-nr	nr	40	all	nr
Walter ¹¹⁶	1984	17	12-192	nr	nr	nr	6
Amaral ¹¹⁷	1985	150	12-72	13.7*	37	49	39

n denotes number of patients; IDA denotes iron deficiency anaemia; VBD denotes vitamin B₁₂ deficiency anaemia; nr denotes not reported; * calculated from figure.

Another potential factor leading to anaemia after gastric surgery is vitamin B₁₂ deficiency^{86,113,116-119}. As with iron deficiency anaemia, the prevalence of vitamin B₁₂ deficiency increases with time, but it takes longer to develop subnormal values. During the third decade after gastrectomy the prevalence of vitamin B₁₂ deficiency anaemia equals that of iron deficiency¹¹⁸. Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency after gastrectomy is due to the lack of intrinsic factor, but also to bacterial overgrowth^{118,125-127}. Intramuscular vitamin B₁₂ supplementation in a dose of 1000 µg every three month is thought to be an adequate treatment to prevent haematological and neurological complications of vitamin B₁₂ depletion¹¹⁹. Some authors recommend vitamin B₁₂ supplementation every two months¹¹⁶.

Folic acid deficiency^{114,117,119} may be a third important cause of anaemia. It is due to a substantially lower intake and absorption than necessary¹¹⁷. However, in the presence of small bowel bacterial overgrowth, folic acid concentration in the serum may be abnormally high, due to bacterial synthesis¹²⁸. Oral folic acid supplementation in a doses of five mg per day will correct a deficiency^{117,119}.

Osteopathy

There is still a debate as to whether osteomalacia and/or osteoporosis can develop as a consequence of partial or total gastrectomy.

Maier demonstrated a 83% reduction of calcium absorption, a 70% reduction of 25-OH-vitamin D absorption, a serum parathyroid hormone level increased by 90% and a reduced bone mineral density in growing mini-pigs totally gastrectomised. He concludes that osteopathy is due to a secondary hyperparathyroidism and calcium mobilisation from the bone¹²⁹. Rumenapf et al.¹³⁰ find osteopenia of the high turnover type but normal PTH levels in gastrectomised rats.

Bisballe reported 18% of the patients with partial or total gastrectomy to have osteomalacia and found that age, 25-(OH)-vitamin D levels, and the time since operation were determinants of osteomalacia after partial and total gastrectomy¹³¹. He found lower values for calcium, phosphor, and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in gastrectomised patients with the same energy intake as healthy controls and concludes that this difference must be due to malabsorption of vitamin D from the gut. He also states that supplementation with 10 µg of vitamin D per day secures normal 25-(OH)-D values in these patients⁹⁹.

In a prospective longitudinal study 25 years after a partial gastrectomy Tovey¹¹⁸ found osteomalacia in about 30% of the women and not in men. However, he used less sophisticated techniques for the evaluation than Bisballe. Krogsgaard compared patients operated on 20 years earlier for peptic disease with patients who had had peptic disease 20 years earlier too but were not operated on. A significantly lower bone mineral content was found in patients with Billroth II-resection, but all values were still in the normal range. The number of bone fractures was not significantly higher in women with Billroth II than in controls. Bone mineral content correlated with

the body mass index¹³².

Osteopathy may already start before gastrectomy. A relationship of calorie intake and bone mineral content has also been established for non-operated healthy elderly¹³³. Decreased nutritional intake might be the reason that Kirchner found a pathologically low bone mineral density pre-operatively in 53% of a prospective cohort of 37 stomach cancer patients compared to age-matched healthy controls¹³⁴.

Vitamins, electrolytes, and protein

The reports on the influence of gastrectomy on levels of fat-soluble vitamins are diverging. Some authors noted subnormal values for vitamin D, E, and A in normally nutritioned patients after gastrectomy^{99,120,135}. Olbe⁷⁵, however, did not find any patient with subnormal vitamin A levels. A pre-operative vitamin A deficiency with disturbance of dark-adaptation in patients with gastric carcinoma was documented by Kirchner¹³⁴.

Generally serum electrolyte status seems not to be altered by total gastrectomy^{74,103}. Calcium levels might be lower due to vitamin D malabsorption¹³⁵, although direct malabsorption has been described by some authors¹²⁹. Although total gastrectomy decreases protein absorption by about 22%, a positive nitrogen balance can be maintained^{74,103,110}.

Possible Causative Factors of Persistent Nutrient Malassimilation after Total Gastrectomy

Possible causative factors of nutrient malassimilation can be malnutrition as a result of anorexia^{71,73,74,86,88,89,104,107,134,136,137}, and maldigestion/malabsorption as a result of insufficient exocrine pancreatic functioning of any aetiology^{74,90,92,100,103,110,111,138-144}, rapid upper intestinal transit¹⁴⁵⁻¹⁴⁸, or upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth^{126,146,149-152}. All these variables might be influenced by the type of surgical reconstruction and can act in concert. In the following, some of these possibilities will be discussed in more detail.

Gastric resection and quantitative nutrient intake

Adams⁷³, Crucitti⁸⁹, Brintnall¹⁰⁷, and Roberts¹³⁶ point to a too low calorie intake as the main problem after gastrectomy. Sategna Guidetti⁸⁸ studied 27 patients and reports that 70% of them ate less than 31.7 kilocalories per kg body weight and day and 21% had a protein intake of less than 1 g/kg body weight and day. Harju¹⁵³ described symptom-induced low calorie intake in patients after total gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y reconstruction. Other authors report a calorie intake in patients after gastrectomy, which is as high as in the normal healthy

population^{90,95,99,100,108,135,154}.

Evaluating these data, one has to keep in mind that a patient after gastrectomy may need a hypercaloric diet to regain and to maintain weight. Fredrix et al. described a small but significant increase in resting energy expenditure in gastric and colorectal cancer patients 1.5 years after operation¹⁵⁵. In 1952 Everson recommended a diet of 50 kilocalories per kilogram body weight and day for patients after total gastrectomy¹⁵⁶. Wechsler, however, considers a diet with at least 40 kilocalories per kilogram body weight and day to be sufficient¹⁵⁷. Liedman reported a lower frequency of weight loss in patients eating more than 150 % of their basal metabolic requirements⁹⁸. Bozzetti had a positive linear correlation of change in body weight and energy intake in a subpopulation of his study group⁹⁶. Adaptive hyperphagia has been described in patients with malabsorption after small bowel resection¹⁵⁸ and after total gastrectomy^{108,137}. However, Leth et al. did not find any difference in body weight between patients meeting their energy requirements and those whose intake was considered to be unsatisfactory after a BI- or BII-resection¹³⁸. Bradley found a higher than recommended calorie intake while the patients were admitted to a hospital and a 15% lower intake when the people were at home again. The number of patients examined, however, was only ten⁷⁴.

Looking at the discrepancies among the studies mentioned above, there still is a need to describe energy intake in a larger number of patients after total gastrectomy and to examine, which factors determine it and what consequences nutrition has for the post-operative performance of the patient.

Gastric resection and pancreatic function

While there seems to be an agreement about the presence of steatorrhoea after partial and total gastrectomy, the pathophysiological mechanisms behind it are not clearly understood. Actually the number of patients evaluated for steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy is quite small in all studies, which compromises the usefulness of the data for the evaluation of causative factors.

In the discussion of the pathophysiology of steatorrhoea after gastric resection, one of the hypotheses is an inadequate secretion or insufficient action of pancreatic lipase. Assessment of pancreatic exocrine function after total gastrectomy is complicated: direct measurement of enzyme output is hindered by the Roux-en-Y reconstruction, and the PABA-test, the pancreolauryl test as well as determination of faecal chymotrypsin have all shown a low specificity¹⁵⁹. Unfortunately the amino acid consumption test seems to have a low sensitivity^{160,161}. It is important to remember that in exocrine pancreatic insufficiency due to chronic pancreatitis pathologically increased faecal fat output occurs only when more than 90% of the lipase function have been lost¹⁶².

Nonpancreatic lipolysis by lingual¹⁶³ and/or gastric lipase has been described. In 1993 Carriere et al.¹³⁹ showed that gastric lipase digested 17.5% of the

triglycerides in healthy volunteers. Deficiency of gastric lipase after total gastrectomy could be the first factor determining post-gastrectomy steatorrhoea.

A second possible explanation of post-gastrectomy steatorrhoea is a decreased exocrine pancreatic enzyme output. This is referred to in the literature as primary pancreatic insufficiency, which actually is not a correct terminology as decreased enzyme output is secondary to surgery on a different organ. In 1952 Annis and co-workers reported the observation of a diminished pancreatic secretory response to meals in four of five dogs after gastrectomy. These findings could, however, not be reproduced in a similar study design¹⁴⁰. In 1967 Fischermann et al.¹⁰⁹ found a reduced output for amylase, lipase, trypsin, and chymotrypsin in twelve patients with total gastrectomy. Gullo examined twelve patients 20 months post-operatively with the secretin-caerulein test and found a reduction of the bicarbonate, lipase, and chymotrypsin output of 47,39, 24%, respectively. However, no correlation of decrease in lipase and degree of steatorrhoea was found; the lipase deficiency was modest, and Gullo concluded that "primary pancreatic insufficiency does not play, by itself, a major role in the pathogenesis of steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy"¹¹¹. Friess et al.^{142,143} studied exocrine pancreatic function in 15 patients after total gastrectomy preoperatively and three months post-operatively and found a significant decrease in volume-, trypsin-, chymotrypsin-, and amylase output of 76%, 89%, 91% and 72% respectively. Lipase, however, was not measured. The fact, that post-operative examination was only possible in about half of the patients due to technical difficulties with the anatomical situation underlines the problems with assessing pancreatic function after total gastrectomy. According to the authors, primary exocrine pancreatic insufficiency after total or subtotal gastrectomy is mediated by diminished levels of gastrin which has a trophic effect on the pancreas, and deficient triggering after destruction of the vagal nerve and the gastro-pancreatic nerves during lymph node dissection. It was, however, not proven that decreased pancreatic function parallels steatorrhoea.

There is, however, no agreement that the integral exocrine pancreatic function after total gastrectomy is decreased. On the contrary, in rats a reactive pancreatic hypertrophy and cellular hyperplasia after total gastrectomy had been described. Whereas the total enzyme content increased, the lipase content remained the same in the first weeks after gastrectomy: a phenomenon referred to as "enzyme dissociation" by Büchler¹⁶⁴. However, four month after the operation this "enzymes dissociation" had vanished as lipase content has increased too¹⁶⁵. This hypertrophy has been shown to be CCK-mediated¹⁶⁶. However, it is not documented that the increase in intracellular enzyme content translates into higher enzyme secretion.

A third hypothesis explaining steatorrhoea after gastrectomy is an insufficient action/availability of normally excreted pancreatic enzymes. In the literature this is referred to as "secondary insufficiency" of the exocrine pancreatic function after

total gastrectomy^{92,144,167,168}. Possible reasons for this relative insufficiency are

- 1: bypass of the duodenum,
- 2: rapid transit of food through the small intestine with poor mixing of food and enzymes, also called pancreatocibal dys- or asynchrony,^{110,145,146,148,149},
- 3: negative feedback mechanisms of the ileum on the exocrine pancreas elicited by the presence of fat or carbohydrates^{169,170},
- 4: or bacterial overgrowth of the small intestine with bacterial degradation of pancreatic enzymes^{146,150}.

Based on the evident steatorrhoea and on the above mentioned hypotheses pancreatic enzyme supplementation has been tried after partial and total gastrectomy, but the data are scarce and not easy to interpret. After Billroth II partial gastrectomy pancreatic enzyme supplementation can reduce faecal fat excretion^{113,171} and abdominal symptoms¹⁷². In a previous smaller study Armbrecht et al. could show in a small number of patients that pancreatic enzyme therapy improved fat assimilation also after total gastrectomy¹⁷³. Bradley studied five patients with a Roux-en-Y construction after total gastrectomy with decreased levels of trypsin as well as lipase and delayed secretion of these enzymes compared with healthy controls. Supplementation with pancreatic enzymes resulted in an "improvement" of fat absorption, but the improvement is not quantified. He concludes that absolute or relative pancreatic insufficiency is present. However, no data are provided to characterise the patient or the control group. The attempt to control for the influence of bacterial overgrowth is insufficient and intestinal transit was not studied¹¹⁰. In spite of lacking scientific evidence, recommended dosages for pancreatic enzyme supplementation after total gastrectomy are 30,000 FIP lipase per meal, but this recommendation is based on experience with exocrine pancreatic insufficiency in chronic pancreatitis¹⁷⁴.

The hypothesis of a secondary pancreatic insufficiency due to pancreatocibal asynchrony is also one of the reasons for the surgical concept of preserving the duodenal transit by use of a jejunal or colonic interposition. Some authors^{92,138,144} found less steatorrhoea in patients after Billroth I gastrectomy compared with Billroth II gastrectomy, providing indirect evidence for the hypothesis of relative pancreas insufficiency after gastrectomy.

In conclusion, although putative pancreatic exocrine insufficiency after total gastrectomy has been amply investigated, there is still no final agreement about its pathophysiological mechanisms and its relevance for the patient, mainly due to the methodological problems in assessing pancreatic function after the profound change of the anatomical situation. This leads to the question whether pancreatic enzyme supplementation after total gastrectomy is really of any benefit for the patient.

Gastric resection and different types of reconstruction

During the last century surgeons have tried to optimise procedures of total gastric resection and post-operative reconstruction in order to minimise post-operative complaints, including weight loss, and to ensure the highest possible quality of life. Preservation of continuous duodenal transit should theoretically maintain normal entero-hormonal synergism better and preserve endocrine¹⁷⁵⁻¹⁷⁷ and exocrine pancreatic function compared with reconstruction based on a duodenal bypass^{78,94,97,138,146}. Furthermore, a higher degree of motility disturbances has been described in patients with duodenal bypass operations: 1) Faß et al.¹⁷⁸ described a dissociation of the duodenal pacemaker and a second pacemaker in the gastric substitute of patients with a Roux-en-Y construction. Patients with an interposition after total gastrectomy - and without pacemaker dissociation - had a better weight gain¹⁷⁹. 2) Bassotti et al.¹⁸⁰ also foster the idea of multiple pacemakers in the Roux-en-Y limb interfering with normal motility resulting in a functional obstructive moment. 3) van der Mijle et al.¹⁸¹ showed that the Roux-limb stasis is indeed caused by motility disorders of the Roux-limb. Whereas the reason for the Roux-limb stasis seems to be unravelled, it is still to be proven that Roux-limb stasis causes the so called "Roux-en-Y syndrome". Miedema et al.¹⁸² found motility abnormalities of the Roux-limb as frequent in asymptomatic patients with Roux-limb stasis as in symptomatic patients.

The pouch-reconstruction was introduced to supply a gastric substitute. It was expected that patients could eat larger volumes at a meal, that the oro-coecal transit time would be protracted, and that the pouch-construction would serve as a barrier against entero-oesophageal reflux^{64,76,81,84,87,96,97,108,183-190}.

Regarding the options of reconstruction one has to consider that preserving the duodenal transit or adding a pouch construction to a duodenal bypass operation increases operating time. Preserving the duodenal transit also adds one intestinal anastomosis and is not always applicable because of anatomical considerations⁸⁷.

Some authors have found patients with preserved duodenal transit to perform better compared with patients with duodenal bypass with regard to symptoms and weight gain^{78,91,179} pointing to disturbed intestinal motility^{146,179,191}, changed release of gastrointestinal hormones and of regulatory peptides¹⁷⁵⁻¹⁷⁷ and primary or secondary exocrine pancreatic insufficiency^{90,111,138,141-145,168} after the bypass operation. Some authors, however, could not demonstrate any benefit of the continuous duodenal transit⁹⁴.

The data regarding the benefit of a pouch-construction after total gastrectomy are not conclusive either. There are a number of prospective randomised studies comparing total gastrectomy with pouch-constructions with procedures without pouch. Troidl et al.⁸⁷ reported a better appetite and weight development in 38 patients with pouch-construction. However, the energy intake of the patients was not quantified and a great difference in survival time might point to problems with

comparability between the groups. Nakane et al.⁸⁴ found a higher food intake and less weight loss in patients with pouch, but patients were selected for the trial following unknown criteria, so that a patient bias cannot be excluded. Food intake was evaluated anamnestically, the number of patients per group was small, and the authors did not use a correction for multiple-group-comparison in the statistics. Schwarz et al.⁹⁷ compared patients with duodenal preservation and pouches of two different sizes, Rodino-construction with pouches of two different sizes, and an ordinary Roux-en-Y construction (five groups with twelve patients each) and found a better quality of life in the group with duodenal preservation. Stier et al.¹⁹² assessed 18 patients with interposition with pouch and 18 patients with an Roux-en-Y construction without pouch. The symptom score of the first group was better. Schmitz et al.⁸¹ evaluated 39 patients with an interposition with or without pouch, and found a better quality of life in the former. Schlag et al. concluded that a pouch-construction is of use, but their study has many methodological problems⁷⁶. In addition, there are retrospective studies¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁷ and experimental studies¹⁹³ showing benefit for a pouch-construction. However, in a prospective study by Liedman et al. on 89 patients⁹⁸, the patients with pouch had more postprandial problems than those without pouch and no benefit. Weight loss and changes in body composition were the same in both groups. In a prospective randomised controlled trial of 24 patients with a Roux-en-Y reconstruction and 24 patients with a Roux-en-Y construction with a Hunt-Lawrence-pouch Bozzetti found no difference in morbidity, mortality, emptying time of the loop or body weight after two years, and he concludes that there was no benefit of the pouch⁹⁶. Tanaka reported more reflux problems in patients with pouches than in those without, but this study was very small¹⁹⁴. De Almeida et al. had similar results in a retrospective study¹⁹⁵. Nakane et al.¹⁹⁶ found a correlation of delayed emptying of the pouch construction with epigastric fullness, nausea, and vomiting. Furthermore, there are some experimental studies showing no benefit of a pouch-construction compared to a reconstruction without pouch¹⁹⁷.

It remains to be evaluated whether or not preservation of the duodenal transit and/or pouch construction are of any influence on physical parameters and on post-operative performance of the patient after total gastrectomy.

Gastric resection and small bowel transit times

The passage of food from the oro-pharynx to the caecum is referred to as the oro-coecal transit time (OCTT). During this time nutrients are mixed, portioned, digested, and absorbed.

Oro-coecal transit time can be assessed using breath tests with different substrates such as lactulose^{198,199} and standard meals^{200,201}, or by scintigraphic investigations²⁰²⁻²⁰⁴. Whereas the average value for the oro-coecal transit time with standard meals^{200,201} and scintigraphic methods²⁰²⁻²⁰⁹ is about 4 hours, tests using lactulose

result in more rapid small intestinal transit^{199,210}.

Even in healthy individuals, the oro-coecal transit time is influenced by many variables, some of which are listed in **Table 1.7**.

Table 1.7 Variables possibly influencing oro-coecal transit time (OCTT) in patients after total gastrectomy

age
gender
qualitative and quantitative aspects of the diet
qualitative and quantitative function of other intestinal organs
tumour stage
type of reconstruction after gastrectomy
time since operation

According to Pilotto et al.²¹¹ oro-coecal transit time is age-dependent. Other authors, however, have not confirmed this finding^{203,212,213}. Intestinal transit is not directly related to gender, but measured with the H₂-breath test it is prolonged in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle²¹⁴. Increasing the volume of the meal delays oro-coecal transit time²¹⁵, as does decreasing the volume of gastric secretion²¹⁶. Proteolytic activity of the pancreas slows oro-coecal transit²¹⁷. Short²¹⁸ and medium chain fatty acids²¹⁹ in the ileum accelerate oro-coecal transit, whilst long chain fatty acids prolong it^{218,220-223}. This influence of long chain fatty acids on small bowel transit time could, however, not be shown by other authors^{219,224}. It is remarkable that the small intestine adapts to extended duration of lipid exposure^{225,226}. In post-gastrectomy patient there are profound motility changes^{88,106,178-181,191,192,227-230}. Tumour stage, type of surgical reconstruction, the time elapsed since operation and dietary habits are additional variables with a potential influence on small bowel transit time.

As a result of the multitude of factors influencing small intestinal transit time after total gastrectomy and of the small number of patients who survive operation long enough, reports on small bowel transit time after total gastrectomy are scarce and its relevance for the well-being of the patient is unclear.

Although the anatomical oro-coecal distance is shortened in gastrectomised patients, transit time is not necessarily faster than in healthy controls. Leth et al. examined 18 patients with Billroth I or Billroth II operation with a radiographic marker-follow-through-study and found a mean oro-coecal transit time of 150 minutes in both groups without any correlation to symptoms or nutrient malabsorption¹³⁸. In 1943 Farris reported a prolonged small bowel transit in patients after total gastrectomy applying a barium roentgenography¹⁰⁶. Sategna Guidetti reported no abnormal small intestinal transit times in 27 patients after total gastrectomy⁸⁸.

Using a scintigraphic technique Pellegrini compared small bowel transit in ten patients after total gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y reconstruction with that in five healthy controls and found a significantly slower transit of the meal in the operated patients²³¹. Miholic recorded a median small bowel transit time of 200 minutes in 61 patients after curative total gastrectomy with a scintigraphically controlled test meal. He found no correlation between small bowel transit and nutritional parameters⁹¹.

In a small study using a radiographically controlled H₂-breath test Armbrecht described four of eleven patients after total gastrectomy having an oro-coecal transit time of 60 minutes or less. A negative correlation of weight loss and oro-coecal transit was found¹⁴⁶. Possible consequences of rapid small intestinal transit are disturbed mixing of food and pancreatic enzymes^{148,149} and impaired glucose absorption^{147,222,232}. Morsiani reported a growth deficit in rats with rapid oro-coecal transit after total gastrectomy pointing to clinically relevant nutrient malassimilation²³³.

In summary, up to now there is little information as to the frequency of rapid oro-coecal transit time and its influence on symptomatology, nutrient malabsorption, and post-operative performance of patients after total gastrectomy.

Gastric resection and bacterial overgrowth

Under normal conditions the human upper and middle small bowel contains only a small number of bacteria. If more than 10⁵ colony forming units per ml of anaerobic or facultative anaerobic bacteria are found in a small bowel aspirate, one speaks of bacterial overgrowth²³⁴. Cultures of intestinal aspirates are the diagnostic "gold standard". Other diagnostic tests are based on breath-tests²³⁴⁻²³⁷ or urinary excretion²³⁸ using miscellaneous substrates for the assessment of bacterial fermentation.

There are a number of aetiologic factors for small bowel bacterial overgrowth: structural lesions altering motility²³⁴, functional motility disorders²³⁹⁻²⁴¹, an excessive load of bacteria through fistulas²⁴² or the resection of the ileo-coecal valve²⁴³, impaired gastric acid secretion^{244,245}, immune deficiencies²³⁴, exocrine pancreas insufficiency²³⁴, age²⁴⁶, undernutrition itself¹²⁶, or any combination of those.

In patients after total gastrectomy, lack of gastric acid and a profound alteration of motility are both immanent; exocrine pancreas insufficiency and malnutrition are possible. The combination of these factors results in a high risk of bacterial overgrowth.

Bacterial overgrowth can be asymptomatic^{126,240,247,248}. The term small bowel bacterial overgrowth syndrome, however, describes a varying clinical picture consisting of combinations of intermittent diarrhoea, abdominal symptoms such as meteorism, steatorrhoea and malabsorption of vitamins^{86,115,150,249} and/or macro-

nutrients, weight-loss^{234,237,241,250}, gastrointestinal bleeding with iron deficiency anaemia¹²⁴, and growth retardation in children²⁵¹.

The diarrhoea is assumed to be the result of fat malabsorption and the osmotic load of unabsorbed carbohydrates. Another interesting hypothesis¹²⁶ regarding the pathophysiology is that short chain fatty acids produced in fermentation processes by some intestinal bacteria²⁵² cause rapid intestinal transit²¹⁸. Steatorrhoea in small bowel overgrowth and consecutive malabsorption of fat soluble vitamins is probably due to a deficiency of conjugated bile acids^{150,253} but possibly also to a toxic effect of deconjugated bile acids on jejunal mucosa^{254,255}. Intraluminal bacterial utilisation of carbohydrates^{146,152} and diminished absorption^{256,257} are the causes of carbohydrate malabsorption. Protein malabsorption is mediated by intraluminal breakdown¹²⁶, diminished brush border enzyme activity¹⁵¹ and active excretory loss²⁵⁸. Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency in patients with small bowel bacterial overgrowth is caused by bacterial uptake of free or intrinsic factor-bound vitamin B₁₂¹²⁶. As can be seen from Table I.8, the data regarding the frequency of small bowel bacterial overgrowth after gastric surgery are scarce and divergent. They need to be commented on.

Browning reported that ten days after truncal vagotomy 24 of 25 patients had bacteria in the small bowel²⁶⁰. Leth examined 18 patients after Billroth I- or Billroth II-gastrectomy with a H₂-breath test and found no bacterial overgrowth at all¹³⁸. Sategna Guidetti found no bacterial overgrowth in 27 patients after total gastrectomy⁸⁸. Lock, however, found bacterial overgrowth in 35 of 38 (92%) patients after total gastrectomy²⁶³. However, he examined patients referred for endoscopy, and therefore a selection bias is possible.

Table I.8 Frequency of small bowel bacterial overgrowth after gastric surgery

Author	n	bacterial overgrowth in % of patients	with
Ambrecht ²³⁷	38	58	achlorhydria
Ambrecht ²⁵⁹	14	50	achlorhydria
Stockbrügger ²⁴⁸	19	42	pernicious anaemia
Browning ²⁶⁰	25	96	truncal vagotomy
Ambrecht ²⁵⁹	10	90	Billroth II
Leth ¹³⁸	18	0	Billroth I/Billroth II
Bradley ¹¹⁰	10	90	Billroth I/Billroth II
Bjørnekleit ²⁶¹	22	100	Billroth I/Billroth II
Drasar ²⁶²	43	30	polya-gastrectomy
Sategna ⁸⁸	27	0	total gastrectomy
Lock ²⁶³	38	92	total gastrectomy
Bradley ⁷⁴	10	100	total gastrectomy

n denotes number of patients

Bradley cultured anaerobes in all ten patients with total gastrectomy whom he examined⁷⁴. In patients with an Billroth II resection faecal type flora was found in nine out of ten patients in gastric juice¹¹⁰. Bjørneklett found small bowel bacterial overgrowth in all 22 patients after a Billroth II resection. However, the situation in half of the healthy controls was the same²⁶¹. Drasar assessed 43 patients with a partial gastrectomy and found small bowel bacterial overgrowth in 13 of them (30%)²⁶². In the gastric juice of patients with achlorhydria Armbrecht found faecal flora in 50-58% of the patients^{237,259} and Stockbrügger reported faecal type bacterial flora in duodenal biopsies in eight of 19 patients with pernicious anaemia²⁴⁵.

Therapy of post-surgical bacterial overgrowth is overshadowed by the risk of recurrence. Cyclic antibiotic treatment^{235,264}, prokinetics²⁶⁵, diet²⁶⁶, probiotics^{267,268} and surgery²⁴³ are possible therapeutic measures with varying success.

Looking at the possible sequelae for the patients, the frequency of small bowel bacterial overgrowth after total gastrectomy and its consequences for the patient need to be further elucidated.

Gastric resection and quality of life

Optimising quality of life in the gastrectomised patient has been recognised as a major point of concern^{7,269}. Definitions of the quality of life are difficult. Often an operational approach is used²⁷⁰. Scores with emphasis on physical parameters like the Visick score²⁷¹, the Karnofsky scale²⁷², the Spitzer score²⁷³ and Sakamoto activity index²⁷⁴ have been used to evaluate quality of life after gastrectomy. They have been criticised for being more an assessment of health status than of quality of life^{275, 276}. Therefore other scores encompassing physical, social, and emotional parameters were developed^{87,277,278}.

After gastrectomy for malignancy, tumour recurrence has the highest influence on the quality of life^{279,280}. However, until now the influence of specific factors on the quality of life in tumour-free patients is investigational. Pre-operative patient- and tumour-characteristics, duodeno-oesophageal reflux disease⁶³, small bowel bacterial overgrowth^{86,146,150}, shortened oro-coecal transit time¹⁴⁶, abdominal symptoms^{83,87,186,192}, nutrient malassimilation and diarrhoea^{87,185}, psychological^{269,275,281} and psycho-social factors²⁸¹ are discussed as possible pathophysiological factors.

Most studies regarding quality of life after total gastrectomy compare the influence of different types of gastric reconstruction namely pouch construction, preservation of duodenal transit, or both^{81,83,87,94,97,183,185-187,192,276,282-286}. An overview of these studies is given in **Table I.9**.

Whereas the pouch-construction seems to offer some better quality of life in most cases where it was studied, preserving duodenal transit does not seem to be of much influence. However, interpreting the results of the listed studies is difficult, because quite often the types of reconstruction differed in more than one parameter.

Another way to look at the quality of life after total gastrectomy might be to take into account specific pre- and post-operative variables and determine their influence on medico-social functioning after surgery, hoping to point out fields where intervention might be useful. Such studies do not exist so far.

Table I.9 Quality of Life after Total Gastrectomy

Author	year	prospective study	reconstructions compared			conclusion of the authors
Troidl ¹¹¹	1987	yes	P	vs	OEJ	pro pouch
Raab ²⁸⁵	1987	nr	I	vs	ReY	no difference
Roder ¹⁸⁵	1992	no	P+PLI	vs	OEJ (-PLI)	pro pouch
Schmitz ⁸¹	1994	yes	I+P	vs	I	pro pouch
Stier ¹⁹²	1994	yes	I+P	vs	ReY	pro interposition
Fuchs ⁹⁴	1995	yes	I+P	vs	ReY+P	no difference
Buhl ¹⁸⁷	1995	no	TG+P	vs	PART	no difference
Schwarz ⁹⁷	1996	yes	I+P	vs	ReY, ReY+P	pro interposition (+ pouch)
Svedlund ²⁸⁶	1997	yes	P	vs	OEL, PART	no difference

P denotes pouch; **OEJ** denotes oesophago-jejunostomy; **I** denotes interposition; **ReY** denotes standard Roux-en-Y; **PLI** denotes plication; **PART** denotes partial gastrectomy; **bold letters** denote what kind of reconstruction can be evaluated by the study

Gastric resection and coping behaviour

In addition to the somatic approach discussed above, psychological factors influencing the quality of life after the diagnosis and/or treatment of severe disease have been of increasing interest. Coping strategies are one facet of these factors.

Coping studies developed from the studies of stress reactions. Coping categories are not precisely defined, but different main coping styles have been described as "confrontative", "avoiding", "resigned", or a "non-dominant style"²⁸⁷. Coping behaviour has been described as being influenced by gender^{288,289} and age^{290,291} by some authors. The outcome of an operation or a therapy^{279,290,292}, especially when compared to the subjective expectancies of the patient²⁹³, have been found to be of importance for coping behaviour. The ascribed meaning of symptoms²⁹⁴ and causal psychosocial attributions²⁹⁵ will supposedly effect it. Coping strategies are not exclusively personal style²⁹⁶ but can be learnt²⁹⁷⁻³⁰². There does not seem to be a direct correlation between educational level and coping responses²⁹⁰, but educational status is discussed as a mediating factor²⁸¹. Some coping strategies are reported to correlate with better adjustment and less emotional distress than others^{293,295,302-305}. The information about the cancer shatters the self-concept of the patient, but this is the prerequisite to start the coping process³⁰⁶. The positive influence of disease-related education on the patient's post-operative coping and subsequent performance is acknowledged by many authors^{295,300-302,307-309}. Personal

interactive learning seems to be preferred by the majority of the patients³¹⁰. Whilst the importance of successful and unsuccessful coping strategies for the patient's well-being are recognised, is not well understood what motivates the single patient to choose certain types of coping behaviour and to avoid others. The patient's personal psychological profile certainly has the most important impact on his choice of coping strategies, but a very intriguing finding in the study of coping behaviour is a certain disease specificity. Holland et al.³¹¹ examined 107 patients with pancreatic cancer and 111 gastric cancer patients and found more depression, tension-anxiety, and anger in the first group. Patients with myocardial infarction or cancer seem to choose more often for a confrontative strategy than patients with arthritis²⁹⁶. In a large multicentre trial Muthny et al.³¹² compared patients with myocardial infarction, cancer, renal dialysis, and multiple sclerosis. Depressive coping discriminated between the patients with multiple sclerosis, who more often showed depressive behaviour, and patients with myocardial infarction. Life satisfaction was higher in patients with myocardial infarction and cancer than in the two other groups; whilst a fighting spirit was considered the most helpful coping tool by cancer and multiple sclerosis patients, in patients with myocardial infarction it was trust in the doctors. Using the same questionnaire as Muthny, Theissen³¹³ reported a preference for certain coping strategies among a small group of patients after total gastrectomy. Disease-specific coping patterns might result from objective disease parameters, symptoms, physical stigmata, therapeutical requirements or peer-group reactions³¹³. Reports, however, are not consistent^{304,306} and no correlation has been made between certain symptoms and coping strategies³⁰². Svedlund et al.³¹⁴ discuss the need for linking somatic factors to psychological performance after gastrectomy for gastric cancer. As there is a difference in coping patterns between *various* disorders, it is tempting to ask whether *objective differences within one condition* (prognosis, severity, chronicity, symptoms, etc.) influence the choice of certain coping strategies.

Aims of the study

The intentions of the following studies were:

1. To provide data on symptomatology and nutrient malassimilation of tumour-free patients after total gastrectomy (**Chapter III**).
2. To examine the pathophysiology of nutrient malassimilation and its influence on post-operative medico-social performance in tumour-free patients after total gastrectomy, with the following specific questions:
 - a. Are preservation of the duodenal transit and/or pouch construction of any influence on physical parameters and on post-operative performance of the patient after total gastrectomy? (**Chapter IV**)
 - b. Does pancreatic enzyme supplementation relieve symptoms and/or improve fat absorption in patients after total gastrectomy? (**Chapter V**)
 - c. What is the frequency and the clinical relevance of excessively rapid oro-coecal transit in these patients? (**Chapter VI**)
 - d. What is the frequency of small bowel bacterial overgrowth after total gastrectomy and what are the consequences for the patient? (**Chapter VII**)
 - e. Is it possible to identify pre-, peri-, or postoperative factors influencing post-operative medico-social performance? (**Chapter VIII**)
 - f. Do coping patterns applied by patients after a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy show a disease-specificity, and is it possible to identify parameters of potential influence on the predilection of the patients for certain coping patterns. (**Chapter IX**)

References

1. Parkin D, Laara E, Muir C. Estimate of the worldwide frequency of sixteen major cancers in 1980. *Int J Cancer* 1988;41:184-197
2. Davis DL, Hoel D, Fox J, Lopez A. Epidemiology: International trends in cancer mortality in France, West Germany, Italy, Japan, England and Wales, and the USA. *The Lancet* 1990;336:474-481
3. Neugut AI, Hayek M, Howe G. Epidemiology of Gastric Cancer. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:281-291
4. Diehl JT, Hermann RE, Cooperman AM, Hoerr SO. Gastric Carcinoma-A Ten-Year Review. *Ann Surg* 1983;198:9-12
5. Fortner JG, Lauwers GY, Thaler HT, Concepcion R, Friedlander-Klar H, Kher U, Maclean BJ. Nativity, complications, and pathology are determinants of surgical results for gastric cancer. *Cancer* 1994;73:8-14
6. Korenaga D, Moriguchi S, Orita H, Kakeji Y, Haraguchi M, Maehara Y, Sugimachi K. Trends in survival rates in Japanese patients with advanced carcinoma of the stomach. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1992;174:387-393
7. Bozzetti F. Total versus subtotal gastrectomy in cancer of the distal stomach: facts and fantasy. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 1992;18:572-579
8. Brennan MF, Karpeh MS Jr. Surgery for gastric cancer: The American view. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:352-359
9. Maruyama K, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Sano T, Katai H. Surgical treatment for gastric cancer: the Japanese approach. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:360-368
10. Winkler M, Jentschura D, Winter J, Schwall GE. Results of surgical therapy of early stomach cancer. *Zentralbl Chir* 1995;120:795-799
11. Menke H. Morbidität und Letalität nach Operationen wegen Magenkarzinom. Ergebnisse einer prospektiven Untersuchung zur Bedeutung unterschiedlicher Risikofaktoren. *Med Klin* 1992;87:300-304
12. Maruyama K, Okabayashi K, Kinoshita T. Progress in gastric cancer surgery in Japan and its limits of radicality. *World J Surg* 1987;11:418-425
13. Meyer HJ, Jähne J, Wilke H, Pichlmayr R. Surgical Treatment of Gastric Cancer: Retrospective Survey of 1,704 Operated Cases With Special Reference to Total Gastrectomy as the Operation of Choice. *Semin Surg Oncol* 1991;7:356-364
14. Bando T, Itoyama T, Toyoshima H. Total gastrectomy for gastric cancer in the elderly. *Surgery* 1991;109:136-142
15. Goux JL, Bloom E, Labbe F. Total versus subtotal gastrectomy for adenocarcinoma of the gastric antrum. *Dig Surg* 1994;11:58-63
16. Iida F, Koike S, Koide N. Extended total gastrectomy for carcinoma of the cardia. *Hepato-gastroenterology* 1993;40:103-106
17. McNeer G, Bowden L, Booher R, McPeak C. Elective Total Gastrectomy for Cancer of the Stomach: End Results. *Ann Surg* 1974;180:252-256

18. Xu L, Li Z, Zhang J, Sun C, Zhang Z, Ren H, Yu H. Total gastrectomy via thoracotomy for cancer of the cardia or fundus of the stomach. *Chin Med Sci J* 1993;8:243-245
19. Bittner R, Butters M, Ulrich M, Uppenbrink S, Beger HG. Total gastrectomy. Updated operative mortality and long-term survival with particular reference to patients older than 70 years of age. *Ann Surg* 1996;224:37-42
20. Kodama I, Takamiya H, Mizutani K, Ohta J, Aoyagi K, Kofuji K, Takeda J, Shirouzu K. Gastrectomy with combined resection of other organs for carcinoma of the stomach with invasion to adjacent organs: Clinical efficacy in a retrospective study. *J Am Coll Surg* 1997;184:16-22
21. Sano T, Kobori O, Muto T. Lymph node metastasis from early gastric cancer: endoscopic resection of tumour. *Br J Surg* 1992;79:241-244
22. Hiki Y, Shima H, Mieno H, Sakakibara Y, Kobayashi N, Saigenji K. Modified Treatment of Early Gastric Cancer: Evaluation of Endoscopic Treatment of Early Gastric Cancers with Respect to Treatment Indication Groups. *World J Surg* 1995;19:517-522
23. Goh PMY, Alponat A, Mak K, Kum CK. Early International Results of Laparoscopic Gastrectomies. *Surgical-Endoscopy-Ultrasound and Interventional Techniques* 1997;11:650-652
24. Kodama M, Koyama K. Indication for pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer located in the middle third of the stomach. *World J Surg* 1991;15:628-634
25. Korenaga D, Baba H, Kakeji Y, Orita H, Haraguchi M, Maehara Y, Saku M, Sugimachi K. Comparison of R1 and R2 gastrectomy for gastric cancer in patients over 80 years of age. *J Surg Oncol* 1991;48:136-141
26. Pacelli F, Doglietto GB, Bellatone R, Alfieri S, Sgadari A, Crucitti F. Extensive versus limited lymph node dissection for gastric cancer: a comparative study of patients. *Br J Surg* 1993;80:1153-1156
27. Roder JD, Böttcher K, Siewert JR, Busch R, Hermanek P, Meyer HJ. Prognostic factors in gastric carcinoma. Result of the German Gastric Carcinoma Study. *Cancer* 1993;72:2089-2097
28. Harrison LE, Karpeh MS, Brennan MF. Extended lymphadenectomy is associated with a survival benefit for node negative gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology* 1997;122:A1447
29. Bonekamp JJ, Songun I, Hermans, Sasako M, Welvaart K, Plukker JTM, van Elk P, Obertop H, Gouma DJ, Taat CW, van Lanschot J, Meyer S, de Graaf PW, von Meyenfeldt MF, Tilanus H, van de Velde CJH. Randomised comparison of morbidity after D1 and D2 dissection for gastric cancer in 996 Dutch patients. *The Lancet* 1995;345:745-748
30. Robertson CS, Chung SC, Woods SD, Griffin SM, Raimes SA, Lau JT, Li AK. A prospective randomized trial comparing R1 subtotal gastrectomy with R3 total gastrectomy for antral cancer. *Ann Surg* 1994;220:176-182

31. Roukos D, Schmit-Mathiesen A, Encke A. Adenocarcinoma of the gastric antrum: does D2 total gastrectomy with splenectomy improve prognosis compared to D1 subtotal gastrectomy? A long-term survival analysis with emphasis on Lauren classification. *Surg Oncol* 1995;4:323-332
32. Bunt AMG, Hermans J, Smit VTHBM, van de Velde CJH, Fleuren GJ, Bruijn JA. Surgical/pathological-stage migration confounds comparisons of gastric cancer survival rates between Japan and western countries. *J Clin Oncol* 1995;13:19-25
33. Siewert JR. Invited commentary. *World J Surg* 1995;19:553
34. Wu CW, Hsieh MC, Lo SS, Wang LS, Hsu WH, Lui WY, Huang MH, P'eng FK. Morbidity and mortality after radical gastrectomy for patients with carcinoma of the stomach. *J Am Coll Surg* 1995;181:26-32
35. Kitamura M, Arai K, Iwasaki Y. Clinico-pathological studies and problems on para-aortic lymph node dissection--D4 dissection. *Nippon-Geka-Gakkai-Zasshi* 1996;97:302-307
36. Adachi Y, Mimori K, Mori M, Maehara Y, Sugimachi K. Morbidity after D2 and D3 gastrectomy for node positive gastric cancer. *J Am Coll Surg* 1997;184:240-244
37. Fukushima M. Adjuvant Therapy of Gastric Cancer: The Japanese Experience. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:369-378
38. Kelsen DP. Adjuvant and Neoadjuvant Therapy for Gastric Cancer. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:379-389
39. Meyer HJ, Jahne J, Wilke H. Perspectives of surgery and multimodality treatment in gastric carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 1993;119:384-394
40. Roder JD, Stein HJ, Böttcher K, Siewert JR. Surgical therapy for gastric cancer. *J Infus Chemother* 1995;5:97-103
41. Minsky BD. The Role of Radiation Therapy in Gastric Cancer. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:390-396
42. Becker HD. Radikalitätsprinzipien beim Magencarcinom-eine kritische Betrachtung. *Chirurg* 1991;62:878-880
43. Gall FP, Altendorf A, Hermanek P, Gentsch HH. Chirurgische Therapie des Magenkrebses-Stagnation oder Fortschritte? Ergebnisse bei 2665 Magenkrebs-Patienten. *Fortschr Med* 1982;100:1876-1882
44. Koga S, Kishimoto H, Tanaka K, Miyano Y, Kawaguchi H, Takeda R, Nishidoi H, Kumura O. Results of total gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 1980;140:636-638
45. Sue-Ling HM, Johnston D, Martin IG, Dixon MF, Landsdown MRJ, McMahon MJ, Axon ATR. Gastric cancer: a curable disease in Britain. *BMJ* 1993;307:591-595
46. Takeda J, Koufuji K, Kodama I, Tsuji Y, Yokoyama T, Kawabata S, Suematsu T, Kakegawa T. Total gastrectomy for gastric cancer: 12-year data and review of the effect of performing lymphadenectomy. *Kurume Med J* 1994;41:15-21
47. Moriguchi S, Hayashi Y, Nose Y, Maehara Y, Korenaga D, Sugimachi K. A comparison of the logistic regression and the Cox proportional hazard models in retrospective studies on the prognosis of patients with gastric cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 1993;52:9-13

48. Schiessel R, Wenzl E, Pratschner T. Results of the surgical therapy of stomach cancer. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 1987;99:406-410
49. Hisamichi Shigeru. Screening for Gastric Cancer. *World J Surg* 1989;13:31-37
50. Takeda J, Koufujii K, Kodama I, Tsuji Y, Maruiwa M, Kawabata S, Soematsu T, Kagegawa T. Retrospective studies of synchronous double early gastric cancer. *Kurume Med J* 1993;40:53-57
51. Maruyama K. The most important prognostic factor for the gastric cancer patient. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:63-68
52. Moureaux J, Bougaran J. Early gastric cancer. A 25-year surgical experience. *Ann Surg* 1993;217:347-355
53. Pinto E, Roviello F, de Stefano A, Vindigni C. Early gastric cancer: report on 142 patients observed over 13 years. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1994;24:12-19
54. Spataro V, Genoni M, Maurer C, Müller W. Stomach cancer: 10 years experiences with surgical treatment and possibilities for improving the prognosis. *Helv Chir Acta* 1993;59:589-595
55. Maehara Y, Tomoda M, Tomisaki S, Ohmori M, Baba H, Akazawa K, Sugimachi K. Surgical Treatment and Outcome for Node Negative Gastric Cancer. *Surgery* 1997;121:633-639
56. Jatzko G, Lisborg Ph, Klimpfinger M, Denk H. Extended radical surgery against gastric cancer: low complication and high survival rates. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1992;22:102-106
57. Everett SM, Axon ATR. Early gastric cancer in Europe. *Gut* 1997;41:142-150
58. Hanazaki K, Sodeyama H, Wakabayashi M, Miyazawa M, Yokoyama S, Sode Y, Kawamura N, Miyazaki T, Ohtsuka M. Surgical treatment of gastric cancer detected by mass screening. *Hepato-Gastroenterology* 1997;44:1126-1132
59. Nakajima T, Nishi M, Kajitani T. Improvement in treatment results of gastric cancer with surgery and chemotherapy. Experience of 9700 cases in the Cancer Institute Hospital, Tokyo. *Semin Surg Oncol* 1991;7:365-372
60. Lawrence W Jr, Menk HR, Steele GD Jr, Winchester DP. The National Cancer Data Base report on gastric cancer. *Cancer* 1995;75:1734-1744
61. Wanebo HJ, Kennedy BJ, Chmiel J, Steele B, Winchester D, Osleen R. Cancer of the stomach. A patient care study by the American College of Surgeons. *Ann Surg* 1993;218:583-592
62. Tittel A, Schumpelick V. History of Gastric Replacement. *Hepato-gastroenterol* 1989;36:57-62
63. Catarci M, Proposito D, Guadagni S, Tuscano D, Flati G, Zaraca F, Scaccia M, Scardamaglia F, Carboni M. History of reconstruction following total gastrectomy. *Ann Ital Chir* 1995;66:319-328
64. Herfarth C, Schlag P, Buhl K. Surgical Procedures for Gastric Substitution. *World J Surg* 1987;11:689-698
65. Uras C, Yigitbasi R, Erturk S, Hamzaoglu I, Sayman H. Restorative caecogastroplasty reconstruction after pylorus-preserving-near total gastrectomy: a preliminary study. *Br J Surg* 1997;84:406-409

66. van der Kleij FGH, Vecht J, Lamers CBHW, Masclee AAM. Diagnostic value of dumping provocation in patients after gastric surgery. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:1162-1166
67. Domjan L, Simon L. Alkaline reflux esophagitis in gastrectomized patients. Objective detection with a simple isotope method. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 1984;92:245-249
68. Lantone G, Lorusso D, Pezzolla F, Lacatena M, Guerra V, Giorgio I. Reconstruction of the digestive tract after total gastrectomy. A comparison of Roux anastomosis with Nakayama's beta anastomosis. *Minerva Chir* 1991;46:885-888
69. Parrilla P, Liron R, Martinez de Haro LF, Ortiz A, Molina J, De Andrés B. Gastric surgery does not increase the risk of developing Barrett's esophagus. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92:960-963
70. Goldschwend F. Operations- und Dauerfolge bei bösartiger maligner Geschwulstbildung des Magens. *Arch Klin Chir* 1908;218-221
71. Kelly WD, MacLean LD, Perry JF, Wangenstein OH. Recent Advances in Surgery. A study of patients following total and near-total gastrectomy. *Surgery* 1954;35:964-982
72. Wiznitzer T, Rozin R, Menczel J, Aviram A. A comparative study of experimentally produced dumping syndrome after different types of gastric surgery. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1966;45:91-98.
73. Adams JF. The clinical and metabolic consequences of total gastrectomy. I. Morbidity, weight, and nutrition. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1967;2:137-149
74. Bradley EL, Isaacs J, Hersch T, Davidson ED, Milikan W. Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy. *Ann Surg* 1975;182:415-429
75. Olbe L, Lundell L. Intestinal Function after Total Gastrectomy and Possible Consequences of Gastric Replacement. *World J Surg* 1987;11:713-719
76. Schlag P, Buhl K, Wysocki ST, Schwartz R, Herfarth CH. Nutritional Consequences of Total Gastrectomy: Esophagojejunostomy vs. Jejunum Pouch as Reconstructive Procedures. *Nutrition* 1988;4:235-238
77. Miholic J, Meyer HD, Kotzerke J, Balks J, Aebert H, Jäne J, Weimann A, Pichlmayr R. Emptying of the Gastric Substitute After Total Gastrectomy: Jejunal Interposition versus Roux-en-Y. *Ann Surg* 1989;210:165-172
78. Miholic J, Meyer HJ, Müller MJ, Weimann A, Pichlmayr R. Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy. The relationship between mode of reconstruction, postprandial symptoms, and body composition. *Surgery* 1990;108:488-494
79. Bozzetti F, Ravera E, Cozzaglio L, Dossena G, Agradi E, Bonfanti G, Koukouras D, Gennari L. Comparison of nutritional status after total or subtotal gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1990;6:371-375
80. Delbrück H, Severin M, Jansen G. Postgastrectomy findings in the after care of 227 patients with stomach carcinoma. *Z Gastroenterol* 1991;29:222-226

81. Schmitz R, Moser KH, Treckmann J. Lebensqualität nach prograder Jejunuminterposition mit und ohne Pouch. Eine prospektive Studie bei Magencarcinompatienten zur Frage des Reservoirs als Rekonstruktionsprinzip nach totaler Gastrektomie. *Chirurg* 1994;65:326-332
82. Delbrück H, Kruck P, Braun G. Beschwerdebild nach partieller und totaler Gastrektomie: Zusammenhänge mit der Pankreasfunktion und Möglichkeit der therapeutischen Beeinflussung. In: Delbrück H, Layer P, Kaiser D, Bosseckert H, Ditschuneit H eds. *Die Gesichter der Pankreasinsuffizienz*. Einhorn Verlag Reinbeck, 1994:9-40
83. Anderson ID, MacIntyre IM. Symptomatic outcome following resection of gastric cancer. *Surg Oncol* 1995;4:35-40
84. Nakane Y, Okumura S, Akehira K, Okamura S, Boku T, Okusa T, Tanaka K, Hioki K. Jejunal pouch reconstruction after total gastrectomy for cancer. A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 1995;222:27-35
85. Basso N, Materia A, Gizzonio D, Marcelli M, De Marco M, Tamburrano G. Nutritional effects of total gastrectomy. A prospective randomized study of Roux-en-Y vs Longmire-Mouchet reconstruction. *Ital J Surg Sci* 1985;15:335-340
86. Cristallo M, Braga M, Agape D, Primignani M, Zuliani W, Vecchi M, Murone M, Sironi M, Di Carlo V, De Franchis R. Nutritional status, function of the small intestine and jejunal morphology after total gastrectomy for carcinoma of the stomach. *Surg Gyn Obst* 1986;163:225-230
87. Troidl H, Kusche J, Vestweber JH, Eypasch E, Maul U. Pouch versus oesophagojejunostomy after total gastrectomy: A randomized clinical trial *World J Surg* 1987;11:699-712
88. Sategna Guidetti C, Bianco L. Malnutrition and malabsorption after total gastrectomy. A pathophysiologic approach. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1989;11:518-524
89. Crucitti F, Pacelli F, Doglietto GB, Bellantone R, Perri V, Bossola M, Tommasini O, Miggiano G. Roux-en-Y Esophagojejunostomy in Reconstruction of the Alimentary Tract after Total Gastrectomy for Carcinoma of the Stomach. *Nutrition* 1990;6:261-263
90. Curran FT, Hill GL. Failure of nutritional recovery after total gastrectomy. *Br J Surg* 1990;77:1015-1017
91. Miholic J, Meyer HJ, Balks J, Kotzerke J. Einfluß der Rekonstruktionsmethode auf den Ernährungszustand nach Gastrektomie. Vergleich von Roux-en-Y-Ösophagojejunostomie und Jejunuminterposition. *Chirurg* 1991;62:300-305
92. Köhler H, Schafmayer A, Peiper HJ. Die sekundäre exokrine Pankreasinsuffizienz nach Magenresektion. In: Pankreas, Diagnostik, Therapie. Kozuschek W, Paquet KJ. eds. Freiburg, S. Karger GmbH, 1992:547-554
93. Tsuburaya A, Noguchi Y, Yoshikawa T, Nomura K, Fukuzawa K, Makino T. Long-term effect of radical gastrectomy on nutrition and immunity. *Surg Today* 1993;23:320-324
94. Fuchs KH, Thiede A, Engemann R, Deltz E, Stremme O, Hamelmann H. Reconstruction of the food passage after total gastrectomy: randomized trial. *World J Surg* 1995;19:698-705

95. Liedman B, Andersson H, Berglund B, Bosaeus I, Hugosson I, Olbe L, Lundell L. Food intake after gastrectomy for gastric carcinoma: the role of a gastric reservoir. *Br J Surg* 1996;83:1138-1143
96. Bozzetti F, Bonfanti G, Castellani R, Maffioli L, Rubino A, Diazzi G, Cozzaglio L, Gennari L. Comparing reconstruction with Roux-en-Y to a pouch following total gastrectomy. *J Am Coll Surg* 1996;183:243-248
97. Schwarz A, Büchler M, Usinger K, Rieger H, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Kunz R, Beger HG. Importance of the duodenal passage and pouch volume after total gastrectomy and reconstruction with the Ulm pouch: prospective randomized clinical study. *World J Surg* 1996;20:60-67
98. Liedman B, Andersson H, Bosaeus MD, Hugosson BSC, Lundell L. Changes in Body Composition after Gastrectomy: Results of a Controlled Prospective Clinical Trial. *World J Surg* 1997;21:416-421
99. Bisballe S, Buus S, Lund B, Hesselov I. Food Intake And Nutritional Status After Gastrectomy, *Human Nutrition: Clinical Nutrition* 1986;40:301-308
100. Walther B, Clementson C, Vallgren S, Ihse I, Åkesson B. Fat malabsorption in patients before and after total gastrectomy, studied by the triolein breath test. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1989;24:309-314
101. Wróblewski A. Eine chemische Notiz zur Schlatter'schen totalen Magenexstirpation. *Zentrabl f Physiol* 1898;11:665-668
102. Hofmann A. Stoffwechseluntersuchungen nach totaler Magenresektion. *München Med Wchnschr* 1898;45:560-564
103. Schwartz MK, Bodansky O, Randal L. Metabolism in surgical patients. II. Fat and mineral metabolism in totally gastrectomized patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1956;4:51-60
104. Lawrence W Jr. Reconstruction After Total Gastrectomy: What Is The Preferred Technique? *J Surg Onc* 1996;63:215-220
105. Breitenbach G. Stoffwechselversuch nach totaler Magenresektion. *München Med Wchnschr* 1929;46:1920-1921
106. Farris JM, Ransom HK, Collier FA. Total Gastrectomy: Effects upon Nutrition and Hematopoiesis. *Surgery* 1943;13:823-833
107. Brintnall ES, Daum K, Hickey RC, Tidrick RT, Wickstrom AP. Total Gastrectomy: An Evaluation. *J Int Coll Surg* 1956;24:409-420
108. Hays RP, Clark DA. Nutrition in Patients with Total Gastrectomy and a Jejunal Food Pouch. *Ann Surg* 1960;152:864-870
109. Fischermann K, Harly S, Worning H, Zacho A. Pancreatic function and the absorption of fat, iron, vitamin B₁₂, and calcium after total gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Gut* 1967;8:260-266
110. Bradley EL, Isaacs JT, Mazo JD, Hersch T, Chey WY. Pathophysiology and significance of malabsorption after Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Surgery* 1977;81:684-691
111. Gullo L, Costa L, Ventrucci M, Mattioli S, Vitti G, Labò G. Exocrine Pancreas Function after Total Gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroent* 1979;14:401-407
112. Hobbs JR. Iron deficiency after partial gastrectomy. *Gut* 1961;2:141-149
113. Hillman HS. Postgastrectomy malnutrition. *Gut* 1968;9:576-584

114. Adams JF. The clinical and metabolic consequences of total gastrectomy. II. Anaemia, Metabolism of Iron, Vitamin B₁₂ and Folic Acid. *Scand J Gastroent* 1968;3:145-151
115. Tovey FI, Clark CG. Anaemia after partial gastrectomy: a neglected curable condition. *The Lancet* 1980;956-957
116. Walter F, Adler K, Baumann J, Sorger D, Winkler E. Vitamin B₁₂ levels in the serum in gastrectomized patients. *Zentralbl Chir* 1984;109:803-808
117. Amaral JF, Thompson WR, Caldwell MD, Martin HF, Randall HT. Prospective hematologic evaluation of gastric exclusion surgery for morbid obesity. *Ann Surg* 1985;201:186-193
118. Tovey FI, Godfrey JE, Lewin MR. A gastrectomy population: 25-30 years on. *Postgrad Med J* 1990;66:450-456
119. Harju E. Metabolic problems after gastric surgery. *Int Surg* 1990;75:27-35
120. Schölmerich J, Holstege A. Micronutrients: Deficiency and treatment after Total Gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1988;4:317-319
121. Magnusson B, Faxen A, Cederblad A, Rosander L, Kewenter J, Hallber L. The effect of parietal cell vagotomy and selective vagotomy with pyloroplasty on iron absorption. A prospective randomized study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:177-182
122. Harju E, Larmi TKI. The Effects of Gastric Surgery on Serum ferritin Concentrations. *Int Surg* 1984;69:51-54
123. Schröder H, Winnefeld K, Splinter K. Untersuchungen zum Verhalten des Serumeisens und der totalen Eisenbindungskapazität nach Gastrektomie. *Dt Z Verdau u Stoffwechselkr* 1984;44:118-120
124. Giannella RA, Toskes PP. Gastrointestinal bleeding and iron absorption in the experimental blind loop syndrome. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1976;29:754-757
125. Husebye E. Gastrointestinal motility disorders and bacterial overgrowth. *J Internal Medicine* 1995;237:419-427.
126. King CE, Toskes PP. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *Gastroenterology* 1979;76:1035-1055
127. Sherman PM. Bacterial Overgrowth. In: Yamada T ed, *Textbook of Gastroenterology*, 1st edition, Philadelphia: Lippincott Company, 1991:1673-80
128. Hoffbrand AV, Tabaqchali S, Mollin DL. High serum-folate levels in intestinal blind loop syndrome. *The Lancet* 1966;1:1339-1342
129. Maier GW, Kreiss ME, Zittel TT, Becker HD. Calcium Regulation and Bone Mass Loss After Total Gastrectomy in Pigs. *Ann Surg* 1997;225:181-192
130. Rumenapf G, Schwille PO, Erben RG, Schreiber M, Fires W, Schmiedl A, Hohenberger W. Osteopenia following total gastrectomy in the rat: State of mineral metabolism and bone histomorphometry. *Eur Surg Res* 1997;29:209-221
131. Bisballe S, Eriksen EF, Melsen F, Mosekilde L, Sørensen OH, Hesse I. Osteopenia and osteomalacia after gastrectomy: interrelations between biochemical markers of bone remodelling, vitamin D metabolites, and bone histomorphometry. *Gut* 1991;32:1303-1307

132. Krogsgaard MR, Frølich A, Lund B, Lund B. Long-term Changes in Bone Mass after Partial Gastrectomy in a Well-Defined Population and Its Relation to Tobacco and Alcohol Consumption. *World J Surg* 1995;19:867-871
133. v d Wielen RPJ, Löwik MRH, van d Berg H, de Groot LCPGM, Haller J, Moreiras O, van Staveren WA. Serum vitamin D concentrations among elderly people in Europe. *The Lancet* 1995;346:207-210
134. Kirchner R, Schölmerich J, Schäfer U, Holstege A, Häring RU, Reinbold WD. Inwieweit sind Mangelzustände gastrektomierter Patienten der Gastrektomie anzulasten? In: Häring R et al. eds. *Chirurgisches Forum 1990 f. experim. u. klinische Forschung*. Springer Verlag, 1990:223-226
135. Stael-von-Holstein C, Ibrahimbegovic E, Walther B, Akesson B. Nutrient intake and biochemical markers of nutritional status during long-term follow-up after total and partial gastrectomy. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1992;46:265-272
136. Roberts KE, Randall HT, Bane HN, Medwid A, Schwartz MK. Studies of the physiology of dumping syndrome. *NY State J Med* 1955;55:2897-2902
137. Braga M, Zuliani W, Luciano F, Cristallo M, Carlo VD. Nutritional Follow-up after total Gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1990;6:177-178
138. Leth RD, Abrahamsson H, Kilander A, Lundell LR. Malabsorption of fat after partial gastric resection. A study of pathophysiological mechanisms. *Eur J Surg* 1991;157:205-208
139. Carriere F, Barrowman JA, Verger R, Laugier R. Secretion and Contribution to Lipolysis of Gastric and Pancreatic Lipases During a test Meal in Humans. *Gastroenterology* 1993;105:876-888
140. Annis D, Hallenbeck GA. The effects of partial gastrectomy on canine external pancreatic secretion. *Surgery* 1952;31:517-527
141. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Beger HG. Secondary Pancreatic Insufficiency Following Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1988;4:314-316
142. Friess H, Böhm J, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Müller M, Hofbauer B, Riepl RL, Büchler MW. Exokrine Pankreasinsuffizienz nach Gastrektomie: Eine primäre Funktionsstörung. *Chirurgische Gastroenterologie* 1994;10:324-330
143. Friess H, Böhm J, Müller MW, Glasbrenner B, Riepl RL, Malfertheiner P, Büchler MW. Maldigestion after total gastrectomy is associated with pancreatic insufficiency. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;91:341-347
144. Fuchs M, Kohler H, Schafmayer A. Einfluss verschiedener Resektionsverfahren am Magen auf die Freisetzung von Cholecystokinin, Neurotensin und die Pankreasfunktion. *Zentrbl Chir* 1995;120:472-477
145. MacKay C. Postgastrectomy steatorrhea. *Am J Surg* 1970;120:324-328
146. Armbrecht U, Lundell L, Lindstedt G, Stockbrügger RW. Causes of malabsorption after total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Acta Chir Scand* 1988;154:37-41
147. Bond JH, Levitt MD. Use of breath hydrogen (H₂) to quantitate small bowel transit time following partial gastrectomy. *J Lab Clin Med* 1977;90:30-36

148. Lundh G. Intestinal digestion and absorption after gastrectomy. *Acta Chir Scand* 1958;231:1-83
149. MacGregor I, Parent J, Meyer JH. Gastric emptying of liquid meals and pancreatic and biliary secretion after subtotal gastrectomy or truncal vagotomy and pyloroplasty in man. *Gastroenterology* 1977;72:195-205
150. Tabaqchali S. The Pathophysiological Role of Small Intestinal Bacterial Flora. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1970;6:139-163
151. Toskes PP, Giannella RA, Jervis HR, Rout WR, Takeuchi A. Small intestinal mucosal injury in the experimental blind loop syndrome: light and electron-microscopic and histochemical studies. *Gastroenterology* 1975;68:1193-1203
152. Toskes PP, King CE, Spivey JC, Lorenz E. Xylose catabolism in the experimental rat blind loop syndrome: studies including use of a newly developed *d*-[¹⁴C]xylose breath test. *Gastroenterology* 1978;74:691-697
153. Harju E. Dietary habits and eating related symptoms in out-patients following total gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y reconstruction for carcinoma of the stomach. *Anticancer Res* 1985;5:277-279
154. Raab M, Stützer H, Kotthoff G. Ernährungsanalyse nach vollständiger Entfernung des Magens wegen eines malignen Tumors. *Akt Ernähr* 1988;13:10-14
155. Fredrix EW, Soeters PB, Wouter EF, Deerenberg IM, von Meyenfeldt MF, Saris WH. Effect of different tumor types on resting energy expenditure. *Cancer Res* 1991;51:6138-6141
156. Everson TC. Nutrition following total gastrectomy, with particular reference to fat and protein assimilation. *Internat Abst Surg* 1952;95:209
157. Wechsler, JG. Ernährungsverhalten und Diät nach Gastrektomie. In: *Der gastrektomierte Patient*. Armbrrecht U, Stockbrügger RW eds. Freiburg, S. Karger GmbH 1992:79-87
158. Cosnes J, Lamy P, Beaugierie L, Le Quintrec M, Gendre JP, Le Quintrec Y. Adaptive hyperphagia in patients with postsurgical malabsorption. *Gastroenterology* 1990;99:1814-1819
159. Heptner G, Domschke S, Domschke W. Exocrine Pancreatic Function After Gastrectomy - Specificity of Indirect Tests. *Gastroenterology* 1989;97:147-153
160. Lembcke B, Konle O, Duan LP, Caspary WF. Lack of accuracy of plasma α -amino nitrogen profiles as an indicator of exocrine pancreatic function both after continuous and bolus stimulation of the pancreas with secretin and cholecystokinin-pancreozymin. *Z Gastroenterol* 1994;32:679-683
161. Maringhini A, Nelson DK, Jones JD, DiMagno EP. Is the plasma amino acid consumption test an accurate test of exocrine pancreatic insufficiency? *Gastroenterology* 1994;106:488-493
162. DiMagno EP, Go VLW, Summerskill WHJ. Relations between pancreatic enzyme outputs and malabsorption in severe pancreatic insufficiency. *N Eng J Med* 1973;288:813-815
163. Hamosh M, Burns WA. Lipolytic activity of human lingual glands (Ebner). *Lab Invest* 1977;37:603-608

164. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Beger HG. Rat exocrine pancreas function following total gastrectomy. *Int J Pancreatol* 1986;1:389-398
165. Glasbrenner B, Malfertheiner P, Büchler M, Brandle F, Friess H, Ditschuneit H. Long-term effect of growth promoting conditions on the exocrine pancreas of rats. *Int J Pancreatol* 1989;5:55-67
166. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Friess H, Nustede R, Feurle GE, Geger HG. Cholecystokinin influences pancreatic trophism following total gastrectomy in rats. *Int J Pancreatol* 1989;4:261-271
167. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Beger HG. Secondary Pancreatic Insufficiency Following Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1988;4:314-316
168. Friess H, Böhm J, Ebert M, Büchler M. Enzyme treatment after gastrointestinal surgery. *Digestion* 1993;54:48-53
169. Layer P, Holst JJ, Grandt D, Goebell H. Ileal release of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1). Association with inhibition of gastric acid secretion in Humans. *Dig Dis Sci* 1995;40:1074-1082
170. Layer P, Schlesinger T, Gröger G, Goebell H. Modulation of Human Periodic Interdigestive Gastrointestinal Motor and Pancreatic Function by the Ileum. *Pancreas* 1993;8:426-432
171. Worning H. The effect of enzyme substitution in patients with pancreatic insufficiency. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1980;15:529-533
172. Tillander H, Tveit E. Beeinflussung des Dumping-Syndroms mit Pankreon. *Münch Med Wschr* 1978;120:1717-1718
173. Armbrrecht U, Lundell L, Stockbrügger RW. The benefit of pancreatic enzyme substitution after total gastrectomy. *Aliment Pharmacol Therap* 1988;2:493-500
174. Lankisch PG. Enzyme Treatment of Exocrine Pancreatic Insufficiency in Chronic Pancreatitis. *Digestion* 1993;54:21-29
175. Heil TH, Etzrodt H, Mattes P, Peros G, Herfarth CH. Gastrectomy with and without duodenal transit: release of glucagon, insulin and somatostatin. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1981;16:83-87
176. Schwarz A, Friess H, Usinger K, Büchler MW, Beger HG. The influence of the type of reconstruction after total gastrectomy on the release of GIP and its importance for the release of insulin. *Langenbecks Arch Chir* 1996;6:81-84
177. Miholic J, Orskov C, Holst JJ, Kotzerke J, Meyer HJ. Emptying of the gastric substitute, glucagon-like peptide-I (GLP-I), and reactive hypoglycemia after total gastrectomy. *Dig Dis Sci* 1991;36:1361-1370
178. Faß J, Bares R, Hermsdorf V, Schumpelick V. Intestinal motility after jejunum interposition and Roux-Y construction - an animal experiment study. *Langenbecks Arch Chir* 1993;378:239-248
179. Faß J, Bares R, Staude M, Schumpelick V. Type of stomach replacement and intestinal motility after total gastrectomy. *Leber Magen Darm* 1993;194:197-203
180. Bassotti G, Chiarienalli ML, Germani U, Chiarioni G, Morelli A. Effects of Some Abdominal Surgical Operations on Small Bowel Motility in Humans: Our Experience. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1995;21:211-216

181. van der Mijle HC, Kleibeuker JH, Limburg AJ, Bleichrodt RP, Beekhuis H, van Schilfgaarde R. Manometric and scintigraphic studies of the relation between motility disturbances in the Roux limb and the Roux-en-Y syndrome. *Am J Surg* 1993;166:11-17
182. Miedema BW, Kelly KA, Camilleri M, Hanson RB, Zinsmeister AR, O'Connor MK, Brown ML. Human gastric and jejunal transit and motility after Roux gastrojejunostomy. *Gastroenterology* 1992;103:1133-43
183. Junginger TH, Walgenbach S. Postgastrectomy Reconstruction By Esophagojejunostomy Roux-en-Y. *Nutrition* 1988;4:239-242
184. Michielsen D, Van Hee R, Hendrickx L, Creve U, Peeters R. Functional results after total gastrectomy with enteric pouch reconstruction. A review of 34 cases. *Acta Chir Belg* 1996;96:155-157
185. Roder JD, Herschbach P, Henrich G, Nagel M, Bottcher K, Siewert JR. Lebensqualität nach totaler Gastrektomie wegen Magenkarzinoms. Ösophagojejunoplicatio mit Pouch versus Ösophagojejunostomie ohne Pouch. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1992;117:241-247
186. Roder JD, Stein HJ, Eckel F, Herschbach P, Henrich G, Bottcher K, Busch R, Siewert JR. Vergleich der Lebensqualität nach subtotaler und totaler Gastrektomie beim Magenkarzinom. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1996;121:543-549
187. Buhl K, Lehnert T, Schlag P, Herfarth C. Reconstruction after gastrectomy and quality of life. *World J Surgery* 1995;19:558-564
188. Thiede A. Magenersatzoperation und Lebensqualität. In: Fuchs KH, Hamelmann H eds. *Gastrointestinale Funktionsdiagnostik in der Chirurgie*. Berlin: Blackwell Wissenschaft, 1991:222-228
189. Broll R, Muller G, Burk C, Stefanovich P, Bruch HP. Disturbed esophageal motility after total gastrectomy. *Acta Chir Belg* 1993;93:78-82
190. McAleese P, Calvert H, Ferguson WR, Laird J. Evaluation of "gastric" emptying time in the J pouch compared with a standard esophagojejunal anastomosis. *World J Surg*. 1993;17:595-599
191. Behrns KE, Sarr MG. Diagnosis and management of gastric emptying disorders. *Adv Surg* 1994;27:233-255
192. Stier A, Hölscher AH, Schwaiger M, Siewert JR. Jejunumpouch nach totaler Gastrektomie-Klinische und szintigraphische Untersuchungen zu Funktion und Befindlichkeit. *Zentralbl Chir* 1994;119:838-844
193. Beese G, Fuchs KH, Thiede A. Experimental studies of the value of the pouch after gastrectomy. *Zentralbl Chir* 1994;119:904-910
194. Tanaka T, Fujiwara Y, Nakagawa K, Kusunoki M, Utunomiya J. Reflux Esophagitis after Total Gastrectomy with Jejunal Pouch Reconstruction: Comparison of Long and Short Pouches. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92:821-824
195. de Almeida AC, dos-Santos NH, Aldeia FJ. Long-term clinical and endoscopic assessment after total gastrectomy for cancer. *Surg Endosc* 1993;7:518-523
196. Nakane Y, Akehira K, Okumura S, Okamura S, Osawa T, Okusa T, Hioki K. Emptying of the jejunal pouch as a gastric substitute after total gastrectomy for cancer. *Hepato Gastroenterology* 1997;44:901-906

197. Li Destri G, Trombatore G, La Greca G, Rinzivillo C, Rodolico M, Desiderio C, Orsina N, Di Cataldo A, Puleo S. Total gastrectomy: nutritional status after three different reconstruction techniques. An experimental study. *J Surg Oncol* 1992;49:98-102
198. Corbett CL, Thomas S, Read NW, Hobson N, Bergman I, Holdsworth CD. Electrochemical detector for breath hydrogen determination: measurement of small bowel transit time in normal subjects and patients with the irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 1981;22:836-840
199. Bond JH Jr, Levitt MD, Prentiss R. Investigation of small bowel transit time in man utilizing pulmonary hydrogen (H_2) measurements. *J Lab Clin Med* 1975;85:546-555
200. Korth H, Müller I, Erkenbrecht JF, Wienbeck M. Breath hydrogen as a test for gastrointestinal transit. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1984;31:282-284
201. Armbrrecht U, Jensen J, Eden S, Stockbrügger RW. Assessment of Oro-coecal Transit Time by Means of a Hydrogen (H_2) Breath Test As Compared with a Radiologic Control Method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1986;21:669-677
202. von der Ohe MR, Camilleri M. Measurement of small bowel and colonic transit: indications and methods. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1992;67:1169-1179
203. Argenyi ES, Soffer EE, Madsen MT, Berbaum KS, Walkner WO. Scintigraphic evaluation of small bowel transit in healthy subjects: inter- and intrasubject variability. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995;90:938-942
204. Maurer AH, Krevsky B. Whole-gut transit scintigraphy in the evaluation of small-bowel and colon transit disorders. *Semin Nucl Med* 1995;25:326-338
205. Malagelada JR, Robertson JS, Brown ML, Remington M, Duenes JA, Thomforde GM, Carryer PW. Intestinal Transit of Solid and Liquid Components of a Meal in Health. *Gastroenterology* 1984;87:1255-1263
206. Read NW, Al-Janabi MN, Holgate AM, Barber DC, Edwards CA. Simultaneous measurement of gastric emptying, small bowel residence and colonic filling of a solid meal by the use of the gamma camera. *Gut* 1986;27:300-308
207. Camilleri M, Colemont LJ, Phillips SSF, Brown ML, Thomforde GM, Chapman N, Zinsmeister AR. Human gastric emptying and colonic filling of solids characterized by a new method. *Am J Physiol* 1989;257:284-290
208. Kerlin P, Byrnes O, Wong L. Radiolabelled unprocessed bran: Validation of a practical labelling technique and evaluation of gastrointestinal profiles in health. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1989;4:119-126
209. Camilleri M, Zinsmeister AR, Greydanus MP, Brown ML, Proano M. Towards a less costly but accurate test of gastric emptying and small bowel transit. *Dig Dis Sci* 1991;36:609-615
210. Miller MA, Parkman HP, Brown KL, Donahue DJ, Knight LC, Urbain J-L, Maurer AH, Fisher RS. The lactulose breath test is not a physiologic standard for oro-cecal transit: Lactulose delays gastric emptying and accelerates small bowel transit. *Gastroenterology* 1995;108:A650
211. Pilotto A, Franceschi M, Del Favero G, Fabrello R, Di Mario F, Valerio G. The effect of aging on oro-coecal transit time in normal subjects and patients with gallstone disease. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 1995;7:234-237

212. Kupfer RM, Heppel M, Haggith JW, Bateman DN. Gastric emptying and small bowel transit rate in the elderly. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1985;33:340-343
213. Wegener M, Borsch G, Schaffstein J, Luth I, Rickels R, Ricken D. Effect of aging on the gastrointestinal transit of a lactulose supplemented mixed solid-liquid meal in humans. *Digestion* 1988;39:40-46
214. Wald A, Van Thiel DH, Hoechstetter L, Gavalier JS, Egler KM, Verm R, Scott L, Lester R. Gastrointestinal transit: The effect of the menstrual cycle. *Gastroenterology* 1981;80:1497-1500
215. Read NW, Cammack J, Edwards C, Holgate AM, Cann PA, Brown C. Is the transit time of a meal through the small intestine related to the rate at which it leaves the stomach? *Gut* 1982;23:824-828
216. Armbrecht U, Dotevall G, Stockbrügger RW. The effect of gastric secretion on oro-cecal transit time measured with the hydrogen (H₂) breath test. *Z Gastroenterologie* 1987;25:145-150
217. Mizumoto A, Sarr MG, DiMagno EP. Do pancreatic enzymes regulate oroileal transit in canine pancreatic insufficiency? *Gastroenterology* 1995;108:A377
218. Richardson A, Delbridge AT, Brown NJ, Rumsey RD, Read NW. Short chain fatty acids in the terminal ileum accelerate stomach to caecum transit time in the rat. *Gut* 1991;32:266-269
219. Ledebøer M, Masclee AA, Jansen JB, Lamers CB. Effect of equimolar amounts of long-chain triglycerides and medium-chain triglycerides on small-bowel transit time in humans. *Jour Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1995;19:5-8
220. Dreznik Z, Brocksmith D, Meininger TA, Soper NJ. Inhibitory effect of ileal oleate on postprandial motility of the upper gut. *Am J Physiol* 1990;26:458-463
221. Read NW, McFarlane A, Kinsman RI, Bates TE, Blackhall NW, Farrar GBJ, Hall JC, Moss G, Morris AP, O'Neill B, Welch I, Lee Y, Bloom SR. Effect of Infusion of Nutrient Solutions Into the Ileum on Gastrointestinal Transit and Plasma Levels of Neurotensin and Enteroglucagon. *Gastroenterology* 1984;86:274-280
222. Holgate AM, Read NW. Effect of Ileal Infusion of Intralipid on Gastrointestinal Transit, Ileal Flow Rate, and Carbohydrate Absorption in Humans After Ingestion of a Liquid Meal. *Gastroenterology* 1985;88:1005-1011
223. Spiller RC, Trotman IF, Higgins BE, Ghatei MA, Grimble GK, Lee YC, Bloom SR, Misiewicz JJ, Silk DBA. The ileal brake - inhibition of jejunal motility after ileal fat perfusion in man. *Gut* 1984;25:365-374
224. Steed KP, Bohemen EK, Lamont GM, Evans DF, Wilson CG, Spiller RC. Proximal colonic responses and gastrointestinal transit after high and low fat meals. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38:1793-1800
225. Cunningham KM, Daly J, Horowitz M, Read NW. Gastrointestinal adaptation to diets of differing fat composition in human volunteers. *Gut* 1991;32:483-486
226. Brown NJ, Rumsey RD, Read NW. Gastrointestinal adaptation to enhanced small intestinal lipid exposure. *Gut* 1994;35:1409-1412
227. Thomas H, Heimbucher J, Fuchs KH, Freys SM, DeMeester TR, Peters JH, Bremner CG, Thiede A. The mode of Roux-en-Y reconstruction affects motility in the efferent limb. *Arch Surg* 1996;131:63-66

228. Gustavsson S. Transport of small bowel contents after interposition of an antiperistaltic jejunal segment in the rat. *Eur Surg Res* 1979;11:381-391
229. Pezzola F, Lantone G, Guerra V, Misciagna G, Prete F, Giorgio I, Lorusso D: Influence of the method of digestive tract reconstruction on gallstone development after total gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 1993;166:6-17
230. Takahashi T, Yamamura T, Yokohama E, Kantoh M, Kusunoki M, Ishikawa Y, Utsunomiya J. Impaired contractile motility of the gallbladder after gastrectomy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1986;81:672-677
231. Pellegrini CA, Deveney CW, Patti MG, Lewin M, Way LW. Intestinal transit of food after total gastrectomy and Roux-Y esophagojejunostomy. *Am J Surg* 1986;151:117-125
232. Sellin JH, Hart R. Glucose malabsorption associated with rapid intestinal transit. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1992;87:584-589
233. Morsiani E, Tassinati E, Rescazzi R, Benea G. Rapid intestinal transit and postgastrectomy malnutrition. An experimental study in growing rats. *Ital J Surg Sci* 1985;139-144
234. Toskes PP, Donaldson RM. Enteric Bacterial Flora and Bacterial Overgrowth Syndrom. In: Sleisinger MH, Fordtran JS eds. *Gastrointestinal disease: pathophysiology, diagnosis, management*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders 1993:1106-1118
235. Ellen Li. Bacterial Overgrowth. In: Yamada T ed. *Textbook of Gastroenterology*, 2nd edition, Philadelphia: Lippincott Company, 1995:1673-80
236. Corazza GR, Menozzi MG, Stocchi A, Rasciti L, Varia D, Lecchini R, Avanzini P, Chezzi C, Gasbaririni G. The diagnosis of small bowel bacterial overgrowth. Reliability of jejunal culture and inadequacy of breath hydrogen testing. *Gastroenterology* 1990;98:302-309
237. Armbrecht U, Eden S, Seeberg S, Stockbrügger RW. The Value of the Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test for the Diagnosis of Bacterial Overgrowth in Gastric Achlohydria. *Hepato-gastroenterol* 1987;34:219-222
238. Konishi T, Takahashi M, Ohta S. Basic studies on 5-(7-hydroxy-3-O-phosphonocholy) aminosalicilic acid for the evaluation of microbial overgrowth. *Biol Pharm Bull* 1997;20:370-375
239. Goldstein F, Wirts CW, Kowlessar OD. Diabetic diarrhea and steatorrhea: microbiology and clinical observations. *Ann Intern Med* 1970;72:215-218
240. Vantrappen G, Janssens J, Coremans G, Jian R. Gastrointestinal motility disorders. *Dig Dis Sci* 1986;31:5-25
241. Husebye E. Gastrointestinal motility disorders and bacterial overgrowth. *J Int Med* 1995;237:419-427
242. Atwater JS, Butt HR, Priestly JT. Gastrojejunocolic fistulae with special reference to associated nutritional deficiencies and certain surgical aspects. *Ann Surg* 1943;117:414-419
243. Griffin WO Jr, Richardson JD, Medley ES. Prevention of small bowel contamination by ileocecal valve. *South Med J* 1971;64:1056-1058

244. Drasar BS, Shiner M, McLeod GM. Studies on the intestinal flora. I. The bacterial flora of the gastrointestinal tract in healthy and achlorhydric persons. *Gastroenterology* 1969;56:71-79
245. Stockbrügger RW, Cotton PB, Eugenides N, Bartholomew BA, Hill MJ, Walters CL. Intra gastric nitrites, nitrosamines, and bacterial overgrowth during cimetidine treatment. *Gut* 1982;23:1048-54
246. Roberts SH, Janes O, Jarvis EH. Bacterial contamination of the small intestine is an important cause of occult malabsorption in the elderly. *The Lancet* 1977;2:1193-1195
247. Saltzman JR, Kowdley KV, Pedrosa MC, Sepe T, Golner B, Perrone G, Russel RM. Bacterial overgrowth without clinical malabsorption in elderly hypochlorhydric subjects. *Gastroenterology* 1994;107:1214-1215
248. Stockbrügger RW, Armbrrecht U, Rode JW, Teall AJ, Oberholzer VG, Croker JR, Cotton PB. The Bacterial Overgrowth Syndrome is Uncommon in Pernicious Anaemia: Results of a Follow-up Study. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease* 1990;3:209-215
249. Dawson AM, Isselbacher KJ, Bell VM. Studies on lipid metabolism in the small intestine with observations on the role of bile salts. *J Clin Invest* 1960;39:730-40
250. Haboubi NY, Montgomery RD. Small-bowel bacterial overgrowth in elderly people: clinical significance and response to treatment. *Age-Ageing* 1992;21:13-19
251. Khin-Maung U, Bolin TD, Ducombe VM, Myo Khin, Nyunt-Nyunt Wai, Pereira SP, Linklater JM. Epidemiology of small bowel bacterial overgrowth and rice carbohydrate malabsorption in Burmese (Myanmar) village children. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1992;47:298-304.
252. Miller TL, Wolin MJ. Fermentations by saccharolytic intestinal bacteria. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1979;332:164-172
253. Kim YS, Spritz M, Blum M, Terz J, Sherlock P. The role of altered bile acid metabolism in the steatorrhea of experimental blind loop. *J Clin Invest* 1966;45:956-962
254. Wanitschke R, Ammon HV. Effects of dihydroxy bile acids and hydroxy fatty acids on the absorption of oleic acid in the human jejunum. *J Clin Invest* 1978;61:178-186
255. Shimoda SS, O'Brien TK, Saunders DR. Fat absorption after infusing bile salts into the human small intestine. *Gastroenterology* 1974;67:7-18
256. Garcey M, Thomas J, Houghton M. Effect of stasis on intestinal enzyme activities. *Aust NZ J Med* 1975;5:141-144
257. Jonas A, Flanagan PR, Forstner GG. Pathogenesis of mucosal injury in the blind loop syndrome: brush border enzyme activity and glycoprotein degradation. *J Clin Invest* 1977;60:1321-1330
258. King C, Lorenz E, Toskes P. The pathogenesis of decreased serum protein levels in the blind loop syndrome: evaluation including a newly-developed ¹⁴C-amino acid breath test. *Gastroenterology* 1976;70:901-913

259. Armbrrecht U, Bosaeus I, Gillberg R, Seeberg S, Stockbrügger R. Hydrogen (H₂) breath test and gastric bacteria in acid-secreting subjects and in achlorhydric and postgastrectomy patients before and after antimicrobial treatment. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1985;20:805-813
260. Browning GG, Buchan KA, Mackay C. The effect of vagotomy and drainage on the small bowel flora. *Gut* 1974;15:139-142
261. Bjørneklett A, Fausa O, Midtvedt T. Small-Bowel Bacterial Overgrowth in the Postgastrectomy Syndrome. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1983;18:277-287
262. Drasar BS, Shiner M. Studies on the intestinal flora. Part II Bacterial flora of the small intestine in patients with gastrointestinal disorders. *Gut* 1969;10:812-819
263. Lock G, Hollerbach S, Linde HJ, Vogt W, Andus T, Schölmerich J, Holstege A. Bacterial overgrowth after gastric surgery: Comparison of endoscopic aspiration and glucose H₂-breath test. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A343
264. Kumar A, Forsmark CE, Toskes PP. The response of small bowel bacterial overgrowth to treatment: Effects of coexisting conditions. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A340
265. Venturi M, Zuccato E, Restelli A, Mazzoleni L, Mussini E, Doldi SB. Utility of hydrogen and methane breath tests in combination with X-ray after a barium meal in the diagnosis of small bowel bacterial overgrowth after jejuno-ileal bypass for morbid obesity. *Obes Surg* 1994;4:144-148
266. Willard MD, Simpson RB, Delles EK, Coben ND, Fossum TW, Kolp D, Reinhart G. Effects of dietary supplementation of fructo-oligosaccharides on small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 1994;55:654-659
267. Attar A, Bouhnik Y, Flourié B, Franchisseur C, Crenn P, Briet F, Ruszniewski P, Rambaud JC. Efficacy of two antibiotics and a probiotic in the treatment of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A310
268. Stotzer PO, Blomberg L, Conway PL, Henriksson A, Abrahamsson H. Probiotic treatment of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth by *Lactobacillus fermentum*. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1996;28:615-619
269. Troidl H. Lebensqualität: ein relevantes Zielkriterium der Chirurgie. *Chirurg* 1989;60:445-449
270. Beckmann J, Ditley G. Conceptual Views on Quality of Life: An Impossible Task or a Necessary Challenge? *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:83-86
271. Visick H. Measured radical gastrectomy-a review of 505 operations for peptic ulcer. *The Lancet* 1948;1:505-510
272. Karnofsky DA, Abermann WA, Craver LF, Burchenal JH. The use of nitrogen mustards in the palliative treatment of carcinomas. *Cancer* 1948;1:634-656
273. Spitzer WO, Dobson AJ, Hall J. Measuring quality of life in cancer patients: a concise QL-index for use by physicians. *J Chronic Dis* 1981;34:585-597
274. Sakamoto K, Nakano G, Hashimoto I, Nagamachi Y. Potential usefulness of a physical activity index in the assessment of quality of life of patients after gastrointestinal surgery. *Acta Chir Scand* 1989;155:305-312
275. Egli H. What constitutes Quality of Life? Methodological Considerations and Suggestions for Clinical Practice. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22 Suppl 133:87-89

276. Kusche J, Vestweber KH, Troidl H. Quality of Life after Total Gastrectomy for Stomach Cancer. Results of Three Types of Quality of Life Evaluative Methods. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:96-101
277. Buhl K, Schlag P, Herfarth C. Quality of life and functional results following different types of resection for gastric carcinoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 1990;16:404-409
278. Baron JH. What constitutes quality of life? A conceptualization of the Dimension of Life Quality in Cancer Patients. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:93-95
279. Zieren HU, Zippel K, Zieren J, Müller JM. Quality of Life after Surgical Treatment of Gastric Carcinoma. *Eur J Surg* 1998;164:119-125
280. Stützer H. Die Analyse von Längsschnittdaten zur Lebensqualität am Beispiel des Magenkarzinoms. Köln: Medizinische Fakultät, Universität Köln. 1992 (Thesis)
281. Siegrist K, Siegrist J. Psychosocial Factors in the Course of Gastric Cancer. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:90-92
282. Descottes B, Thognon P, Valleix D, Sodji M, Breuille G, Antarieu S. Gastrectomies totales et viscerosynthese. *J Chir Paris* 1995;132:3336-3341
283. Jentschura D, Strohmeier N, Winkler M, Sturm J. Comparative study of the quality of life after stomach resection. *Langenbecks Arch Chir Suppl* 1996;113:247-248
284. Korenaga D, Orita H, Okuyama T, Moriguchi S, Maehara Y, Sugimach K. Quality of life after gastrectomy in patients with carcinoma of the stomach. *Br J Surg* 1992;79:248-250
285. Raab M, van Maercke P, Stützer H. Quality of life following gastrectomy-a comparison of Longmire and Roux stomach replacement methods. *Zentralbl Chir* 1987;112:1140-1145
286. Svedlund J, Sullivan M, Liedman B, Lundell L, Sjodin I. Quality of Life after Gastrectomy for Gastric Carcinoma: Controlled Study of Reconstructive Procedures. *World J Surg* 1997;21:422-433
287. Shapiro DE, Rodrigue JR, Boggs SR, Robinson ME. Cluster analysis of the medical coping modes questionnaire: evidence for coping with cancer styles? *J Psychosom Res* 1994;38:151-159
288. Baider L, Perry S, Sison A, Holland J, Uuziely B, DeNour AK. The role of psychological variables in a group of melanoma patients. An Israeli sample. *Psychosomatics* 1997;38:45-53
289. Graupe F, Schwenk W, Bracht B, Kroner-Herwig B, Stock W. Psychological stress on patients in tumor after-care after R0 resection of colorectal carcinomas. *Chirurg* 1996;67:604-609
290. Gross RE, Burnett CB, Borelli M. Coping responses to the diagnosis of breast cancer in postmastectomy patients. *Cancer Pract* 1996;4:204-211
291. Jacobson PB, Butler RW. Relation of cognitive coping and catastrophizing to acute pain and analgesic use following breast cancer surgery. *J Behav Med* 1996;19:17-29
292. Hulme Moir I. Psychological, social, and surgical factors which influence success or failure after gastric operations. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:457-462
293. Wagner MK, Armstrong D, Laughlin JE. Cognitive determinants of quality of life after onset of cancer. *Psychol Rep* 1995;77:147-154

294. Barkwell DP. Ascribed meaning: a critical factor in coping and pain attenuation in patients with cancer-related pain. *J Palliat Care* 1991;7:5-14
295. Faller H, Lang H, Schilling S. Causal "cancer personality" attribution- an expression of maladaptive coping with illness? *Z Klin Psychol Psychopathol Psychother* 1996;44:104-116
296. Feifel H, Strack S, Nagy VT. Degree of life-threat and differential use of coping modes. *J Psychosom Res* 1987;31:91-99
297. Spiegel D. Health caring. Psychosocial support for patients with cancer. *Cancer* 1994;74:1453-1457
298. Cunningham AJ, Lockwood GA, Edmonds CV. Which cancer patients benefit most from a brief, group, coping skills program? *Int J Psychiatry Med* 1993;23:383-398
299. Finlay IG, Jones OL. Hypnotherapy in palliative care. *J R Soc Med* 1996;89:493-496
300. Grahm G. Coping with the cancer experience. I. Developing an education and support programme for cancer patients and their significant others. *Eur J Cancer Care Engl* 1996;5:176-181
301. Grahm G, Danielson M. Coping with the cancer experience. II. Evaluating an education and support programme for cancer patients and their significant others. *Eur J Cancer Care Engl* 1996;5:182-187
302. Grassi L, Rosti G. Psychosocial morbidity and adjustment to illness among long-term cancer survivors. A six-year follow-up study. *Psychosomatics* 1996;37:523-532
303. Classen C, Koopman C, Angell K, Spiegel D. Coping styles associated with psychological adjustment to advanced breast cancer. *Health Psychology* 1996;15:434-437
304. Dunkel-Schetter D, Feinstein LG, Taylor SE, Falke RL. Patterns of coping with cancer. *Health Psychol* 1992;11:79-87
305. Parle M, Jones B, Maguire P. Maladaptive coping and affective disorders among cancer patients. *Psychol Med* 1996;26:735-744
306. Wimmer H. Coping with disease by the patient. Results of a medico-sociological study of the coping process by cancer patients and possibilities for support by the physician. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 1991;141:90-95
307. Cella DF, Orav EJ, Kornblith AB, Holland JC, Silberfarb PM, Lee KW, Comis RL, Perry M, Cooper DF, Maurer LH. Socioeconomic status and cancer survival. *J Clin Oncol* 1991;9:1500-1509
308. Galloway SC, Graydon JE. Uncertainty, symptom distress, and information needs after surgery for cancer of the colon. *Cancer Nurs* 1996;19:112-117
309. Vinokur AD, Threatt BA, Vinokur-Kaplan D. The process of recovery from breast cancer for younger and older patients. Changes during the first year. *Cancer* 1990;65:1242-1254
310. Foltz A, Sullivan J. Reading level, learning presentation preference, and desire for information among cancer patients. *J Cancer Educ* 1996;11:32-38
311. Holland JC, Korzun AH, Tross S, Silberfarb P, Perry M, Comis R, Oster M. Comparative psychological disturbance in patients with pancreatic and gastric cancer. *Am J Psy* 1986;143:982-986

312. Muthny FA, Bechtel M, Spaete M. Lay eteologic theories and coping with illness in severe physical diseases. An empirical comparative study of female myodardial infarct, cancer, dialysis and multiple sclerosis patients. *Psychother Psyshosom Med Psychol* 1992;42:41-53
313. Theissen HH. Coping with illness in the postoperative phase following gastrectomy. *Z Gastroenterol* 1996;34:29-31
314. Svedlund J, Sullivan M, Sjodin I, Liedman B, Lundell L. Quality of life in gastric cancer prior to gastrectomy. *Qual Life Res* 1996;5:255-264

Chapter II

Patients and Methods

R Brägelmann

Patients

The “ideal” patient group

The prognosis of most gastric cancer patients is sombre. Only for the proportion of patients with a much better prognosis, are long-term consequences of gastrectomy of interest.

Following this idea, the ideal patient group for a study on symptoms and nutrient malassimilation caused by total gastrectomy would consist of prospectively recruited patients who are curatively operated by a standardised operative procedure for lymphnode-negative early gastric cancer confined to the gastric mucosa. This would ensure the least pre-operative catabolic changes and the smallest risk of recurrence to interfere with the evaluation. However, in the following studies we pursued a more realistic approach for the following reasons.

There are many patients with more advanced stage tumour who are curatively operated and a restriction to early tumour stages might have given a biased impression of the whole group of gastric cancer patients. Furthermore, only ten percent of all diagnosed gastric cancers in Europe are early gastric cancers¹. In combination with the other restrictive inclusion criteria the number of suitable study patients would have decreased considerably.

The restriction to a patient group with standardised operative procedure was not either desirable. The surgical heterogeneity of the patients has many positive aspects: standard procedures very often are not applicable because of anatomical reasons, decreasing recruitment percentage enormously². In addition, specialised surgical centres will not necessarily perform all different types of surgical reconstruction with the same skill. This would make randomisation procedures problematic. The surgical parameters in our patients vary independently and, therefore, they reflect an averaged group of patients after total gastrectomy performed in different hospitals.

It would also be desirable to perform pre- and post-operative examinations on all patients to elucidate more clearly the changes induced by operation. However, the final determination whether a tumour was curatively resected or not is made after operation under the microscope. In a prospective study, a great number of patients with non-curable disease would have undergone pre-operative examinations which were of no clinical use to them.

These were the reasons why we preferred a retrospective survey of patients with curative resection of a gastric malignancy irrespective of the tumour stage at operation. In the following the selection procedures and their specific advantages and drawbacks are discussed in detail.

Selection to the rehabilitation centre

During the period from May 1990 to January 1993, 196 consecutively admitted patients following a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were seen at three German gastroenterological rehabilitation hospitals in Bad Kissingen, Bad Driburg, and Bad Brückenau. The first clinic mainly serves blue-collar workers, whilst the latter two preferably deal with patients employed in the public sector.

In Germany patients of "productive age" have the opportunity of post-operative admission to a rehabilitative centre. The patients admitted to these hospitals are almost always between 18 and 65 years of age, because the hospitals are owned by social security organisations which do not provide health care to retired personnel. Whilst the rehabilitation clinics combine medical and psychological expertise regarding chronic gastroenterological conditions, they are less equipped to deal with acute medical problems. The patients of this study, therefore, were electively referred for rehabilitative purposes of longer lasting medical problems of different degrees and had no acute disease.

In the case of post-gastrectomy the admission to the rehabilitation centre occurs normally months to years after the operation. In the setting of this study, the referral was either done by the general practitioner or by specialists, who thought rehabilitation to be useful for their patient. The total number of patients who had undergone a curative total gastrectomy during the recruitment period in the region and the number of patients not referred to rehabilitation are not known as there is no central register for stomach cancer in Germany. This study, therefore, does not provide any epidemiological answers as the age-restriction, disease severity, and non-standardised referral practices of general practitioners and/or specialists result in selection biases for the admission to the rehabilitation clinics.

The advantages of the rehabilitative setting for this study are the combination of specific medical and psychological expertise and a special emphasis on the evaluation and the treatment of chronic medical problems compared to the standard clinical settings.

Selection to the study group

Only patients with a potentially curative resection as stated by the surgeon on the basis of macroscopic appearance and microscopic evaluation of the resection margins were considered as candidates for this study. This reflects the moment at study entry. There was no prospective follow-up of the included patients. Clearly, these patients constitute a subgroup of gastric cancer patients with a much better prognosis than the overall group of gastric cancer patients. However, such a selection was intended for the special purpose of this study; i.e. the investigation of the pathophysiology and therapy of nutrient malassimilation of patients after total

gastrectomy supposedly free of cancer recurrence. Demographic and medical data concerning the time before admission to the rehabilitation centres was allocated as completely as possible by contact with the hospital where the patient had been operated on. Special emphasis was given to study the surgical records. In a few patients, however, surgical procedures and reconstruction cannot be given in detail because of the recruitment procedure.

The time span between operation and admission in the revalidation centres was recorded to examine or to control for possible time-related adaptive changes in the patients.

Of the 196 patients, 14 were admitted for the second time to the rehabilitation centres, and in eight patients a cancer recurrence and/or metastasis was diagnosed shortly after admission. These 22 patients were not included in this study. The remaining 174 patients (f=63, m=111; median age 58 (IQR 50 to 66) years) had been admitted 206 days (median; IQR 56 to 691) after the potentially curative total gastrectomy (**Figure II.1**). 27% of the patients were admitted within the first two months after the total gastrectomy and 39% of the patients after more than one year.

Figure II.1

Time span since total gastrectomy in 168 patients in months. Given is the distribution of the time between operation and admission in the revalidation centres in 168 patients after total gastrectomy. In 6 cases values were missing.

Methods

Study design

After clinical, biochemical and haematological evaluation on admission, patients were put on a standardised diet for a period of five days during which symptoms, bowel habits, calorie intake and fat assimilation were evaluated. Thereafter, a H₂-breath test with radiopaque markers, and an oesophago-intestinal endoscopy were performed. Patients with a faecal fat output of ≥ 14 g/day were asked to participate in an intervention study with pancreatic enzyme supplementation. Informed consent was obtained. The intervention study was of randomised double-blind, placebo-controlled design. Participants of the intervention study started placebo or enzyme treatment on day 14 after admission. During the last five days of intervention, they were re-examined according to the same protocol as in the beginning. **Figure II.2** gives an outline of the study design.

Figure II.2 Study Design I denotes standardised interview for symptoms; B denotes standardised clinical, biochemical, and haematological evaluation; H denotes H₂-breath test with radiopaque markers; O denotes oesophago-intestinoscopy

Assessment of body mass index and weight development

Body mass was measured applying the Quetelet index (mass/height²; normal range 20.0 to 25.0 Kg/m²) using anamnestic data for weight in health and measured weight on operation and admission.

Registration of symptoms

Symptoms reported often after total gastrectomy according to the literature (see **Table I.3**, page 15) were evaluated. Symptoms were recorded in two different ways: 1) On admission and at discharge patients were asked for the presence of the following symptoms: dyspepsia, meteorism, early satiety, reflux, dysphagia, and

postprandial vomiting. 2) During the period of controlled diet faecal frequency (number/day) and faecal consistency (scale 0 to 3, “none” to “watery diarrhoea”) were registered, and symptoms were scored (0 to 3, “none at all” to “severe”) daily by the patients according to a questionnaire (**Figure II.3**). The means of the five-day score of all three parameters was reported in all patients. The means of the specific symptoms asked during the controlled-diet period were reported in 71 patients of one centre (Marbachtalklinik). In the following the data on symptoms of the period with controlled diet are referred to as “symptom score” in the distinction from the summarising symptom registration on hospital admission and at discharge.

DAY	1	2	3	4	5	mean
faecal frequency						
faecal consistency						
	1	2	3	4	5	mean
meteorism						
early satiety						
dyspepsia						
nausea						
dumping						
dysphagia						
vomiting						
sum of means						
sum of means / 7 = symptom score						

Figure II.3 Evaluation of the symptom score

Controlled diet period

Patients on pancreatic enzyme supplementation at the time of hospital admission were asked to discontinue this for at least three days. This wash-out time is sufficient, since proteolytic enzymes cause a marked loss of lipase activity³.

All patients were then put on a standardised diet for five days to ensure a stable “dietary equilibrium”. During the diet period, meals were composed of 48% fat, 17% protein, and 35% carbohydrates, respectively. The standardisation of the meals ensured that symptomatic and biochemical data acquired during this period could be related to energy intake in the statistical work-up. The individual intake was not restricted, but was quantified at every meal by an attending dietician and

summarised each day. In this way, the energy intake of the patients and the possible influence of intervention with pancreatic enzyme supplementation on energy intake could be evaluated at the same time. The evaluation of fat assimilation is not hindered by this non-restricted approach, as fat malassimilation is not proportional to intake over a large range of fat consumption^{4,5}.

Fat malassimilation

During the last three days of the controlled diet period faecal mass and faecal fat output were assessed, the latter according to the method of van de Kamer. The idea of fat balance studies lasting more than one day had already been mentioned by van de Kamer⁶.

Exocrine pancreatic function

In one centre, Bad Kissingen, chymotrypsin concentration and output per 72 hours was measured to evaluate the exocrine pancreatic function. Normal values for the latter are 504-34769 U/72 hours⁷. The value of faecal chymotrypsin concentration in the evaluation of severe exocrine pancreatic insufficiency has been documented by Ammann et al.⁸. Although the faecal chymotrypsin concentration after total gastrectomy has a low specificity for exocrine pancreatic insufficiency⁹, it was chosen because all the other alternative non-invasive tests have the same problem but are not as easy to perform. The faecal elastase-1 test¹⁰ was not described yet when this study was started. It might have been of great interest for the evaluation. Invasive tests to evaluate pancreatic function after total gastrectomy are hindered by post-operative anatomy and rule out any study in a large proportion of patients.

Endoscopy

An upper endoscopy was performed in each patient, unless it had been performed during the previous two months to evaluate for oesophagitis and to ensure control of local recurrence. In patients who had an endoscopy a short time before admission, it was attempted to allocate endoscopic records as completely as possible from hospitals and private practitioners who had performed the endoscopy according to the patients. However, in 90 patients (52%) no endoscopic records were available.

Oro-coecal transit time and bacterial overgrowth as assessed with the H₂-breath test in combination with radiopaque markers

A hydrogen breath test was chosen to assess the presence of rapid oro-coecal transit, as scintigraphic facilities were not available in all centres and as the presence of small bowel bacterial overgrowth could be assessed at the same time

with this method.

Theoretical background

Substrates

For the hydrogen breath test, substrates must contain an adequate amount of carbohydrate. To obtain reliable test results, the composition of the substrates should be tailored to the purpose of the investigation.

To study oro-coecal transit time by means of an H_2 -breath test, the substrates should contain unabsorbable or only partially absorbable carbohydrates and should not influence the transit time themselves. Lactulose accelerates small bowel transit time^{11,12} and should therefore not be used in this context. A more physiological approach has been described using standard meals¹³⁻¹⁶.

To study small bowel bacterial overgrowth, the substrate should be available for bacterial metabolism of the whole small intestine and not accelerate oro-coecal transit time, because this would hinder the interpretation of an early H_2 rise. Lactulose^{17,18}, glucose^{17,19-22}, xylose²³⁻²⁷, and standard meals rich in carbohydrate^{13-16,28} have been used.

Cut-off points

An increase of the H_2 -concentration 50% above the values at 90 and at 120 minutes has been described to correlate well with the entry of radiopaque markers in the coecum¹⁴. Sarno et al. described a very sophisticated method to determine coecal entry using shifting medians of H_2 -concentrations and reported a 100% rise above baseline values to be as good as the method mentioned above²⁹. Others have used "absolute values" in a range of five to 20 ppm H_2 to define entry of different substrates into the coecum^{20,22,24,28,30,31}.

Test qualities

Measurement of oro-coecal transit time with the H_2 -breath test compares well with scintigraphic methods, which must be considered the "gold standard". Bond et al.¹¹ found a close correlation ($r=0.97$) of the oro-coecal transit time assessed by the transit of polyethylene glycol and an H_2 -breath test using lactulose. Armbrrecht et al.¹⁴ compared an H_2 -breath test using a test meal with radiopaque markers and had comparable results ($r=0.90$). Similarly, Read et al. reported a correlation coefficient ($r=0.88$) between a scintigraphic method and a breath test using a solid meal³². Reproducibility of the measurements with lactulose is good and a coefficient of variation of 14% is documented¹¹. For tests using a test meal this value is reported to be below ten percent³³. The normal oro-coecal transit time measured with either scintigraphic methods or H_2 -breath test with meals is about four hours^{13,14,34-40}. Assessment of transit times below 60 minutes is problematic, because oral flora⁴¹ and the gastro-colic reflex can cause a slight transit rise of

H₂-concentration in the breath.

The following table (Table II.1) lists the reported sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of small bowel bacterial overgrowth compared to intestinal cultures as reference examination. As substrates and patient groups are different, these values vary to a certain degree.

Table II.1 Sensitivity and specificity of the H₂-breath test in the assessment of small bowel bacterial overgrowth

Author	year	small bowel bacterial overgrowth	
		sensitivity	specificity
Armbrecht ²⁸	1987	77	93
Chang ^{*23}	1995	60 (90)	90 (100)
Corazza ^{**17}	1990	68	44
Lewis ²⁶	1997	71	94
Lock ¹⁰	1995	73	66
Lock ²²	1996	74	94

* values without and with correction for gastric emptying rate; ** the examined patient group included patients with jejunio-ileal bypass. Bacterial overgrowth/coecal entry of the substrate was differentiated purely on the basis of the H₂-breath test

Although intestinal cultures are considered to be the "gold standard" for the diagnosis of bacterial contamination, there are some drawbacks to this method. Focal contamination of the bowel, which not necessarily is sampled with a proximal tube or endoscope, might be of influence. This especially might be important for post-operative situations with stagnant loops. Studies assessing this problem are scarce and results are diverging^{17,42}. The problem of segmental variation of bacterial density and subsequent sampling problems, therefore, still is under discussion²¹.

Limitations and problems of the H₂-breath test

There are a number of factors influencing the reliability of the H₂-breath test of which some are listed in Table II.2.

One problem with the H₂-breath test is, that some authors could not find any H₂-production in a few patients⁴³. These patients are referred to as "non-producers". The reported frequency of non-producers ranged from "occasionally" to 20%⁴⁴. In the study by Gilat⁴⁴, however, patients who had had antibiotic treatment were included which makes interpretation difficult. Furthermore, diets rich in cereals might exert an inhibiting effect on colonic bacterial flora⁴⁵.

Table II.2 Factors interfering with the H₂-breath test

	H ₂ -concentration
Antimicrobial therapy	decreased
Preparation for colonoscopy	decreased
Diarrhoea	decreased
Acidic pH of the faeces	decreased
Hyperventilation	decreased
Smoking	increased
Strach containing diet the day before the examination	increased
H ₂ -non-producer	decreased to none

adapted from Armbricht⁴³

Definition of rapid intestinal transit

The time point of 75 minutes to define rapid intestinal transit was chosen for two reasons: 1. In a pilot study with a small group of patients after total gastrectomy⁴⁶, relevant weight loss was seen in those patients with a transit below 60 minutes. 2. The methodological problems of the H₂-breath test with transit times below 60 minutes as mentioned above.

Description of the test procedure in detail

Rapid upper intestinal transit - defined as an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes⁴⁶ - and small bowel bacterial overgrowth were evaluated simultaneously using a modification of the H₂-breath test described by Armbricht et al.¹⁴. Medical records were scrutinised to ensure that no antimicrobial treatment had been given during the two previous weeks. After a low-fibre diet during the day before the test and an overnight fast⁴⁷, end-expiratory H₂-concentrations were serially analysed every 15 minutes, starting 15 minutes prior to the test breakfast, the composition of which is shown in **Table II.3**.

Ten radiopaque markers (6.0x1.8 mm) were added to the test meal to indicate transit into the caecum. H₂-concentrations were measured with a GMI Exhaled H₂ Monitor (GMI Medical Ltd., Inchinnan Estate, Renfrew, Scotland). Samples were taken by direct insufflation via a Y-piece with flow reduction⁴⁷. Duplicate samples were taken at each time point, and the arithmetic mean of these two measurements was used for evaluation. Seventy-five minutes after the start of the breakfast a plain abdominal x-ray was taken to detect oro-coecal transit shorter than 75 minutes. If the first radiopaque marker(s) had reached the caecal area or the ascending colon, the patient was assumed to have a rapid oro-coecal transit.

Table II.3 Composition of the standard test meal for the combined assessment of the oro-coecal transit time and upper gastrointestinal bacterial overgrowth

Water	150	ml
Porridge made from	water	200 ml
	milk	50 ml
	rolled oats	36 g
White bread	50	g
Margarine	10	g
Cheese (16% fat)	13	g
Smoked medwurst	12	g
Total energy content	2.0	MJ
Energy contribution from carbohydrate	39	%
10 radiopaque markers		

In this case the further examination was stopped. In all other cases a second radiograph was taken when a 100% rise occurred above base line values of breath H_2 -concentration, in order to differentiate between upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth and transit of the test meal into the colon. If markers were identified in the colon, the time point was recorded as the oro-coecal transit time. If markers were still in the small intestine, it was concluded that oro-coecal transit was longer than the time period measured and that patients had small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. The test was ended at 210 minutes irrespective of markers having reached the colon or not. Therefore, absolute values for transit time below 75 minutes and above 210 minutes cannot be given in this paper. Patients with an oro-coecal transit time longer than 210 minutes were evaluated mathematically as having an oro-coecal transit time of 210 minutes. **Figure II.4** exemplifies four possible test results.

Medico-social functioning as an assessment of quality of life

In order to assess medico-social functioning the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) was applied on admission by one of the authors on the day of admission. The ERSS ranges from 0 to 28 points, with higher figures indicating a poorer medico-social functioning. The ERSS measures the dimensions of medico-social performance in four different subscales: Independence/dependence, activity/inactivity, social integration/social isolation, and effects of symptoms on lifestyle. These subscale points are summed up to the final score. In one centre the specific subscales were recorded as well.

The Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale was chosen for this study for several

reasons: 1) It has been tested in several somatic patient groups in rehabilitative medicine including patients after gastrectomy for gastric cancer⁴⁸⁻⁵³. 2) It encompasses physical, psychological, as well as social parameters. 3) The inter-rater reliability is good⁵⁰ and there is good correlation to the Barthel Index and the Pulses profile⁵². 4) It is easy to perform and very time efficient.

Table II.4 gives the final scores for different patient groups as reported by Affleck et al.⁵⁰.

Figure II.4 Measurement of oro-coecal transit time in patients after total gastrectomy with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes). Examples of H₂-breath tests with a test breakfast as used in this study. The line with the filled circles denotes a patient without bacterial overgrowth and an oro-coecal transit time exceeding 210 minutes. The line with the black squares denotes a patient without bacterial overgrowth in whom markers were identified in the ascending colon at 150 minutes. The line with the white squares denotes a patient with bacterial overgrowth. At 120 minutes there is a rise in H₂-concentration, but markers were identified in the small intestine; the OCTT could therefore not be measured. The line with the white circles denotes a patient with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes as the H₂-values were already rising and radiopaque markers were identified in the ascending colon at 75 minutes.

Table II.4 Mean Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) scores in different patient groups

Patient groups	ERSS
Upper limb & neck lesions	7.7
Back-pain syndromes	9.8
Arthritis	10.0
Cardiac	14.9
Amputation	15.4
CNS excluding CVA	16.7
CVA	18.0
Multiple impairments	18.3

Assessment of coping behaviour

All patients included in the study at Bad Kissingen were invited to see the clinical psychologist for a personal or, if preferred, written interview to evaluate coping behaviour. The patients were totally free in their decision to accept this invitation or to decline the offer. No reasons were inquired if patients choose not to participate.

In order to assess coping behaviour the "Freiburger Fragebogen zur Krankheitsverarbeitung - FKV 102" of Muthny et al.⁵⁴ was used. This questionnaire has been developed to assess coping and social support. It can either focus retrospectively on the moment when the patient was told the diagnosis, or on the week before the interview. The latter procedure was followed in this study. The questionnaire consists of 102 items which have to be rated by the patient in a range from one to five reflecting "not fitting at all" to "fits perfectly". The items are related to twelve scales which are given in the following table (Table II.5). Higher numbers indicate that a pattern is more important for the patient.

Primarily, the predilection of the patients for the specific patterns was described with an intra-scale comparison. Thereafter, the degree to which the individual scales are applied by our patients was compared with a disease-control group from the literature consisting of patients with chronic renal insufficiency (n=212) and patients with breast cancer (n=107)⁵⁴. Finally, the following variables were analysed with regard to the possible influence on coping strategies: tumour stage, time past operation, gender, weight loss since operation, and energy intake per kilogram body weight and day. For this evaluation the patients were re-grouped into three tumour groups, as prognosis and/or therapy of these groups differ substantially: group 1 with stage IA gastric cancer (n=17), group 2 with stage IB to IV gastric cancer (n=27), and group 3 with gastric lymphoma (n=6).

Table II.5 Scales of the "Freiburger Fragebogen zur Krankheitsverarbeitung" (FKV 102) and examples of some items

Scales of the FKV 102	Example of an item*	range
1 problem analysis & problem solving	I tried to find the cause of my disease	13 65
2 depression	I was depressed and sad	16 80
3 hedonism	I did something nice today	11 55
4 religion & search for reason	Through the disease, I found myself	8 40
5 pessimistic behaviour & distrustfulness	I felt dominated by the doctors	7 35
6 cognitive denial & dissimulation	I wanted to hear that it was not all that bad	9 45
7 distraction & self-appraisal	I tried to forget	8 40
8 emotional control & social isolation	I wanted to be alone	7 35
9 regressive tendency	I wished that I were allowed to be weak	5 25
10 relativation by comparison	I got strength, because others lived through it	4 20
11 compliance strategy & trust in doctors	I did exactly what my doctor told me	4 20
12 self-support	I relied on my optimism and lust for life	5 25

* Translation from the German by the author

Statistics and figures

Throughout this thesis continuous variables are given as means with standard error of the mean (SEM) or medians with the interquartile range (IQR). A p-value of 0.05 was used to indicate significance unless stated otherwise.

In chapter III the Students' T-test for independent samples together with Levene's test for equality of variances, and the χ^2 -test with Fisher's exact test where necessary were used.

Statistical calculations in chapter IV consisted of the χ^2 -test and ANOVA-models using a Bonferroni correction for three-group-comparisons with a p-value of 0.017 indicating significance.

For chapter V statistical calculations included the Mann-Whitney-U test for independent samples, the Wilcoxon-test for paired samples, and the χ^2 -test, with Fisher's exact test in cases necessary. An ANOVA model was applied to assess the influence of pre-treatment differences in the test groups.

Statistical calculations used in chapter VI were the χ^2 -tests and Mann-Whitney U test.

In chapter VII statistical calculations included the χ^2 -tests. General factorial ANOVA-models and multiple logistic regression models were used to control for difference in time since operation.

To elucidate the factors influencing medico-social functioning, a linear regression analysis (mode enter) was performed with all the available variables. To account for missing values we substituted the mean value (continuous values) or zero (categorical variables) for the missing value and included a missing indicator

variable in the analysis.

In chapter IX, the specific scales of the FKV 102 were compared with each other by means of a Wilcoxon Matched-Pairs Signed-Ranks Test with a Bonferroni correction. A p-value of 0.013 (0.05/4) was used to indicate significance. The possible influence of five variables on coping strategies was analysed in linear regression models with a Bonferroni correction. A p-value of 0.004 (0.05/12) was used to indicate significance.

Figures used were whisker-boxplots, scatter plots, column scatter plots, histograms and line diagrams.

References

1. Everett SM, Axon ATR. Early gastric cancer in Europe. *Gut* 1997;41:142-150
2. Troidl H, Kusche J, Vestweber JH, Eypasch E, Maul U. Pouch versus oesophagojejunostomy after total gastrectomy: A randomized clinical trial *World J Surg* 1987;11:699-712
3. DiMagno EP. Patterns of Human Exocrine Pancreatic Secretion and Fate of Human Pancreatic Enzymes During Aboral Transit. In: Lankisch PG ed. *Pancreatic Enzymes in Health and Disease*. Berlin, Springer Verlag 1991:1-10
4. Schwartz MK, Bodansky O, Randal L. Metabolism in surgical patients. II. Fat and mineral metabolism in totally gastrectomized patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1956;4:51-60
5. Bradley EL, Isaacs J, Hersch T, Davidson ED, Milikan W. Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy. *Ann Surg* 1975;182:415-429
6. van de Kamer JH, ten Bokkel Huinink H, Weyers HA. Rapid method for the determination of fat in faeces. *J Biol Chem* 1949;177:347-355
7. Stockbrügger RW, Armbrecht U. Determination of Faecal Chymotrypsin Concentration and 72-Hour Faecal Chymotrypsin Output in the Detection of Pancreatic Steatorrhoea. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1991;26:13-19
8. Ammann R, Tragwercher E, Kashiwagi H, Rosemund H. Diagnostic value of fecal chymotrypsin and trypsin assessment for detection of pancreatic disease. *Am J Dig Dis* 1968;13:123-146
9. Heptner G, Domschke S, Domschke W. Exocrine Pancreatic Function After Gastrectomy - Specificity of Indirect Tests. *Gastroenterology* 1989;97:147-153
10. Stein J, Schoonbroodt D, Jung M, Lembcke B, Caspary WF. Measurement of fecal elastase 1 by immunoreactivity: a new indirect test of the pancreatic function. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 1996;20:424-429
11. Bond JH Jr, Levitt MD, Prentiss R. Investigation of small bowel transit time in man utilizing pulmonary hydrogen (H_2) measurements. *J Lab Clin Med* 1975;85:546-555
12. Miller MA, Parkman HP, Brown KL, Donahue DJ, Knight LC, Urbain J-L, Maurer AH, Fisher RS. The lactulose breath test is not a physiologic standard for orocoecal transit: Lactulose delays gastric emptying and accelerates small bowel transit. *Gastroenterology* 1995;108:A650
13. Korth H, Müller I, Erkenbrecht JF, Wienbeck M. Breath hydrogen as a test for gastrointestinal transit. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1984;31:282-284
14. Armbrecht U, Jensen J, Eden S, Stockbrügger RW. Assessment of Oro-coecal Transit Time by Means of a Hydrogen (H_2) Breath Test As Compared with a Radiologic Control Method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1986;21:669-677
15. Malagelada JR, Robertson JS, Brown ML, Remington M, Duenes JA, Thomforde GM, Carryer PW. Intestinal Transit of Solid and Liquid Components of a Meal in Health. *Gastroenterology* 1984;87:1255-1263
16. Read NW, Cammack J, Edwards C, Holgate AM, Cann PA, Brown C. Is the transit time of a meal through the small intestine related to the rate at which it leaves the stomach? *Gut* 1982;23:824-828

17. Corazza GR, Menozzi MG, Stocchi A, Rasciti L, Varia D, Lecchini R, Avanzini P, Chezzi C, Gasbaririni G. The diagnosis of small bowel bacterial overgrowth. Reliability of jejunal culture and inadequacy of breath hydrogen testing. *Gastroenterology* 1990;98:302-309
18. Haboubi NY, Montgomery RD. Small-bowel bacterial overgrowth in elderly people: clinical significance and response to treatment. *Age-Ageing* 1992;21:13-19
19. Lock G, Hollerbach S, Linde HJ, Vogt W, Andus T, Schölmerich J, Holstege A. Bacterial overgrowth after gastric surgery: Comparison of endoscopic aspiration and glucose H₂-breath test. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A343
20. Casellas F, Guarner L, Vaquero E, de Gracia X, Antolín M, Malagelada J-R. Intestinal bacterial overgrowth in pancreatic insufficiency. *Gastroenterology* 1995;108:A346
21. Lembcke, B. Current Role of Breath Tests in Gastroenterology. *Z Gastroenterol* 1996;4:46-53
22. Lock G, Hollerbach S, Linde HJ, Vogt W, Andus T, Schölmerich J, Holstege A. Bacterial overgrowth after gastric surgery: Comparison of endoscopic aspiration and glucose H₂-breath test. *Gastroenterology* 1996;4:A343
23. Chang CS, Chen GH, Kao CH, Wang SJ, Peng SN, Huang CK, Poon SK. Increased accuracy of the carbon-14 D-xylose breath test in detecting small-intestinal bacterial overgrowth by correction with the gastric emptying rate. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1995;22:1118-1122
24. Briet F, Attar A, Flourié B, Bouhnik, Maurel M, Franchisseur C, Descos L, Rambaud JC. Does the ¹³C-xylose breath test improve the accuracy of the H₂-breath test for the diagnosis of bacterial overgrowth of the small intestine. *DDW A 4014*
25. Dellert SF, Nowicki MJ, Farrell MK, Delente J, Heubi JE. The ¹³C-xylose breath test for the diagnosis small bowel bacterial overgrowth in children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 1997;25:153-158
26. Lewis SJ, Young G, Mann M, Franco S, O'Keefe SJD. Improvement in specificity of [¹⁴C]d-xylose breath test for bacterial overgrowth. *Dig Dis Sci* 1997;42:1587-1592
27. Riordan SM, McIver CJ, Duncombe VM, Bolin TD, Thomas MC. Factors influencing the 1-g ¹⁴C-D-Xylose breath test for bacterial overgrowth. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995;90:1455-1460
28. Armbrrecht U, Eden S, Seeberg S, Stockbrügger RW. The Value of the Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test for the Diagnosis of Bacterial Overgrowth in Gastric Achlohydria. *Hepato-gastroenterol* 1987;34:219-222
29. Sarno S, Erasmus LP, Halsbeck M, Hölzl R. Orocaecal transit-time by the H₂ method: effects of definitions of caecal entry and test meal. *Ital J Gastroenterol* 1993;25:55-64
30. Saltzman JR, Kowdley KV, Pedrosa MC, Sepe T, Golner B, Perrone G, Russel RM. Bacterial overgrowth without clinical malabsorption in elderly hypochlorhydric subjects. *Gastroenterology* 1994;107:1214-1215

31. Palitzsch K-D, Holstege A, Braun B, Lock G, Zietz B, Schölmerich J. Frequency of small bowel bacterial overgrowth in diabetes mellitus type I and type II. *Gastroenterology* 1996;4:A352
32. Read NW, Al-Janabi MN, Holgate AM, Barber DC, Edwards CA. Simultaneous measurement of gastric emptying, small bowel residence and colonic filling of a solid meal by the use of the gamma camera. *Gut* 1986;27:300-308
33. La Brooy SJLA, Male PJ, Beavis AK, Misiewicz JJ. Assessment of the reproducibility of the lactulose H₂-breath test as a measure of mouth to caecum transit time. *Gut* 1983;23:893-896
34. Argenyi ES, Soffer EE, Madsen MT, Berbaum KS, Walkner WO. Scintigraphic evaluation of small bowel transit in healthy subjects: inter- and intrasubject variability. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995;90:938-942
35. Maurer AH, Krevsky B. Whole-gut transit scintigraphy in the evaluation of small-bowel and colon transit disorders. *Semin Nucl Med* 1995;25:326-338
36. Malagelada JR, Robertson JS, Brown ML, Remington M, Duenes JA, Thomforde GM, Carryer PW. Intestinal Transit of Solid and Liquid Components of a Meal in Health. *Gastroenterology* 1984;87:1255-1263
37. von der Ohe MR, Camilleri M. Measurement of small bowel and colonic transit: indications and methods. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1992;67:1169-1179
38. Camilleri M, Colemont LJ, Phillips SSF, Brown ML, Thomforde GM, Chapman N, Zinsmeister AR. Human gastric emptying and colonic filling of solids characterized by a new method. *Am J Physiol* 1989;257:284-290
39. Kerlin P, Byrnes O, Wong L. Radiolabelled unprocessed bran: Validation of a practical labelling technique and evaluation of gastrointestinal profiles in health. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1989;4:119-126
40. Camilleri M, Zinsmeister AR, Greydanus MP, Brown ML, Proano M. Towards a less costly but accurate test of gastric emptying and small bowel transit. *Dig Dis Sci* 1991;36:609-615
41. Read NW, Al Janabi MN, Bates TE, Hogate AM, Cann PA, Kinsman RI, McFarlane A, Brown C. Interpretation of the breath hydrogen profile obtained after ingesting a solid meal containing unabsorbable carbohydrate. *Gut* 1985;26:834-842
42. Tillman R, King C, Toskes P. Continued experience with the xylose breath test: evidence that the small bowel culture as the gold standard for bacterial overgrowth may be tarnished. *Gastroenterology* 1981;80:1304
43. Armbrrecht U. Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test in Man. Studies under experimental conditions and in clinical practice. Göteborg, 1988 (Thesis)
44. Gilat T, Ben Hur H, Gelman-Malachi E, Terdiman R, Peled Y. Alterations of the colonic flora and their effect on the hydrogen breath test. *Gut* 1978;19:602-605
45. Vogelsang H, Ferenci P, Frotz S, Meryn S, Gangl A. Acidic colonic microclimate-possible reason for false negative hydrogen breath test. *Gut* 1988;29:21-26
46. Armbrrecht U, Lundell L, Lindstedt G, Stockbrügger RW. Causes of malabsorption after total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Acta Chir Scand* 1988;154:37-41

47. Brummer RJM, Armbrrecht U, Bosaeus I, Dotevall G, Stockbrügger RW. The Hydrogen (H₂) Breath test. Sampling Methods and the Influence of Dietary Fibre on Fasting Level. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1985;20:1007-1013
48. Gutschmidt S, Hänisch S, Wurthner K. Verlaufsbeschreibung stationärer Rehabilitation bei Verdauungs-und Stoffwechselkrankheiten unter zunehmender Integration von Verhalten und Erleben. *Rehabilitation* 1993;32:185-196
49. Gutschmidt S, Hänisch S. Integrativer Therapieansatz in der stationären Rehabilitation von Frauen und Männern mit totaler Gastrektomie wegen Magenkarzinom. *Rehabilitation* 1994;33:228-236
50. Affleck JW, Aitken RCB, Hunter JAA, McGuire RJ, Roy CW. Rehabilitation Status: A Measure of Medicosocial Dysfunction. *Lancet* 1988;1:230-233
51. Disler PB, Christopher WR, Smith BP. Predicting Hours of Care Needed. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993;74:139-143
52. Mattison PG, Aitken CB, Prescott RJ. Rehabilitation status-the relationship between the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS), Barthel Index, and PULSES profile. *Int Disabil Studies* 1991;13:9-11
53. Mattison PG, Aitken CB, Prescott RJ. Rehabilitation status in multiple handicap. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1992;73:926-929
54. Muthny FA. Freiburger Fragebogen zur Krankheitsverarbeitung. Manual. Verlag Beltz, Weinheim 1989

Chapter III

Nutrient Malassimilation Following Total Gastrectomy

R Brägelmann, U Armbrecht, D Rosemeyer,
B Schneider, W Zilly, R Stockbrügger

Scand J Gastroenterol 1996; 31 Suppl 218: 26-33

Abstract

Background

The aim of the study was to elucidate the degree and the pathophysiology of abdominal symptoms, malnutrition and malassimilation after total gastrectomy.

Methods

In 174 consecutive patients, with potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy, subjective symptoms and objective parameters of malassimilation were evaluated.

Results

Abdominal symptoms were present in 86% of the patients. In spite of a high daily calorie intake (median 37.8 kcal/kg body weight) mean body mass index had been decreasing since good health. Anaemia was found in 46%, sideropenia in 31%, and oesophagitis in 26%. Mean faecal fat excretion was 17.4 (1.4) grams/day and mean fat malassimilation 14.8% (SEM 1.1) of the intake. A shortened small bowel transit was measured in 21.7% of the patients, and bacterial overgrowth was present in 37.7%.

Conclusions

Malassimilation post total gastrectomy seems to be multifactorial. Shortened small bowel transit and subsequent dyssynchrony of pancreatic enzyme supply seem to be of major importance.

Introduction

After total gastrectomy anorexia, abdominal symptoms, and weight loss caused by tumour recurrence and/or nutrient malassimilation are frequently feared by patients and physicians. Nutrient malassimilation can be caused by malnutrition and maldigestion/malabsorption as a result of anorexia¹⁻⁴, rapid upper intestinal transit⁵, the type of operation⁶⁻¹², upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth⁵, lack of gastric lipase¹³, primary or secondary exocrine pancreatic insufficiency¹⁴⁻¹⁸, and pancreatico-cibal dyssynchrony¹⁹⁻²⁵. The aim of this study was to evaluate a large group of patients after potentially curative total gastrectomy with special reference to dietary habits, nutritional status, and objective parameters of nutrient malassimilation, and to present a preliminary analysis of possible pathophysiological mechanisms.

Patients and Methods

During the period from May 1990 to January 1993 182 consecutive patients who had had a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy, were evaluated in a prospective study at three German gastroenterological rehabilitation hospitals in Bad Kissingen, Bad Driburg, and Bad Brückenau. In eight patients (4.4%) a recurrence and/or metastasis was diagnosed shortly after admission. The remaining 174 patients (f=63, m=111, mean age 57.6 (SEM 0.8) years) were included in further evaluation.

Study Design

After clinical evaluation on admission, participants were put on a standardised diet for a period of five days during which symptoms, bowel habits, calorie intake and fat assimilation were evaluated. Thereafter, a H₂-breath test with radiopaque markers, and an oesophago-intestinal endoscopy were performed.

History, physical and biochemical examination

On admission a standardised history was taken and an attempt was made to obtain detailed surgical reports. A careful physical examination and a standardised biochemical evaluation were performed.

Registration of symptoms and signs

Symptoms were recorded in two different ways. When admitted to hospital patients were presented with a standardised questionnaire asking whether they suffered from

a number of abdominal symptoms. During the period of controlled diet abdominal complaints, faecal frequency, and faecal consistency were scored daily according to a questionnaire in all patients. A five-day-score of each specific parameter was reported in 71 patients of one centre (**Figure III.1**).

DAY	1	2	3	4	5	mean
faecal frequency						
faecal consistency						
	1	2	3	4	5	mean
meteorism						
early satiety						
dyspepsia						
nausea						
dumping						
dysphagia						
vomiting						
sum of means						
sum of means / 7 = symptom score						

Figure III.1

Evaluation of the symptom score. On every day of the controlled diet period patients were questioned. Faecal frequency per day was noted. Faecal consistency was ranked 0=no faeces, 1=normally formed, 2=soft, 3=watery diarrhoea and the five-day-mean is given. Symptoms were ranked: 0=no, 1=few, 2=intermediate, 3=severe symptoms. The five-day-mean of these parameters was noted. The symptom score was calculated as the sum of the means of the specific symptoms divided by seven.

Dietary evaluation and controlled diet period

Patients who were on pancreatic enzyme supplementation at the time of hospital admission were asked to discontinue this. The controlled diet period of five days started three to five days following admission. The diet was composed of 48% fat, 17% protein and 35% carbohydrates respectively, and was not limited quantitatively. However, individual nutritional intake was quantified during the controlled diet period at every meal by an attending dietician and summarised each day.

Faecal analyses and fat assimilation

Faecal fat output was assessed during the last three days of the controlled diet period according to the method of van de Kamer²⁶. Fat assimilation was calculated as the proportion (%) of fat excreted compared with the intake.

H₂-breath test

Oro-coecal transit time and upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth were determined by an H₂-breath test using a standardised breakfast with ten radiopaque plastic markers added to it according to Armbricht et al.²⁷ using a GMI Exhaled Monitor with H₂ selective and sensitive electrochemical cells (GMI Medical Ltd, Inchinnan Estate, Renfrew, Scotland). 15 minutes prior to the breakfast basal H₂-breath concentrations were determined. After the breakfast a double sample of end-expiratory air was examined, and thereafter every 15 minutes. 75 minutes after breakfast a radiograph was taken to determine whether the plastic markers had reached the colon. If the markers were not in the colon a second radiograph was taken when the H₂-concentration was 100% above the mean of the baseline concentration, or 210 minutes after breakfast, when the examination was ended.

Endoscopy

The upper endoscopy was performed in each patient, unless it had been performed during the previous two months. In these cases the endoscopic diagnosis from the last examination was noted.

Weight development

Body mass was evaluated using the Quetelet index (weight/height, kg/m²). The normal range was assumed to be 20.0 to 25.0. Pre-operative body mass was estimated according to anamnestic data, body mass index at the time of operation was taken from the hospital records, and on admission the body mass index was measured.

Statistics

Statistical calculations included the Students' T-test for independent samples together with Levene's test for equality of variances, and the χ^2 -test with Fisher's exact test where necessary. A p-value of <5% was assumed to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Demographical parameters

Patient characteristics and postoperative care are given in **Table III.1**. More than half of the patients were admitted during the first post-operative year. 75 percent of the patients with gastric cancer had been operated on for UICC tumour stages²⁸ better than III. The most frequent operation was an oesophago-jejunostomy with a jejuno-jejunostomy Roux-en-Y without pouch formation (n=80, 48.8%).

Table III.1 Gender, age, time since operation, cancer stage, type of operation in 174 patients after total gastrectomy

	mean	SEM	n	%
Gender			174	
Male			111	63.8
Female			63	36.2
Age (in years)	57.6	0.8	172	
Time since OP (days)	567	82	168	
Tumourstage (UICC)			174	
0			4	2.3
IA			48	27.6
IB			38	21.8
II			23	13.2
IIIA			22	12.6
IIIB			8	4.6
IV			7	4.0
None (lymphomas)			24	13.8
Type of operation				
pouch and continuous duodenal passage			4	2.3
pouch without continuous duodenal passage			27	15.5
no pouch without continuous duodenal passage			88	50.6
no pouch with continuous duodenal passage			22	12.6
other			22	12.6
unknown			11	6.3

Symptoms and bowel habits

On admission 86% of the patients complained of abdominal symptoms, consisting of meteorism, dyspepsia, early satiety, reflux, dysphagia, and vomiting (Table III.2).

Table III.2 Frequency of symptoms on admission in 174 patients after total gastrectomy

Total	150	86.2%
Dyspepsia	115	65.5%
Early Satiety	96	55.2%
Reflux	46	26.4%
Dysphagia	36	20.7%
Vomiting	21	12.1%
Meteorism*	48	67.6%

* Meteorism was only asked for in 71 patients

During the period of controlled diet meteorism and early satiety were the most frequent and severe symptoms. However, the overall symptom score was low (Table III.3).

Table III.3 Frequency and severity of symptoms as expressed in total symptom score and specific symptom scores during the controlled diet period in 71 patients after total gastrectomy

	n	%	mean*	SEM
Total symptom score	71		0.414	0.039
Specific symptom score				
Meteorism	54	76	1.331	0.128
Early satiety	35	49	0.742	0.108
Dyspepsia	18	25	0.304	0.079
Nausea	13	18	0.188	0.062
Dumping	12	17	0.206	0.065
Dysphagia	9	13	0.137	0.054
Vomiting	4	6	0.046	0.031

* range 0.000-3.000

23% of the patients had more than two stools per day. In 46.5% the faecal consistency was reported as being soft to watery.

Haematological and biochemical analysis

Haematological and biochemical data are given in **Table III.4**.

Table III.4 Haematological and biochemical parameters on hospital admission

	normal range	unit	n	mean	SEM
Haemoglobin	133-177	g/l	170	134.2	1.2
Ferritin	20-400	$\mu\text{g/l}$	165	95.0	12.7
Albumin	35.2-50.4	g/l	164	43.7	0.5
Calcium corrected for albumin	2.2-2.5	mmol/l	163	2.40	0.02
Chymotrypsin output	504-34769*	U/72 hrs	61	3246	303

* according to Stockbrügger et al²⁹

In patients without iron supplementation (n=138) anemia was present in 48.6 % of the cases. Ferritin was subnormal in 26.7% and decreasing with time (**Figure III.2**). When the ten patients with iron supplementation on admission were included in the evaluation, those values were 46 and 31% respectively.

Figure III.2 Development of iron deficiency in 130 patients without iron supplementation after total gastrectomy. In this whisker-boxplot the lower boundary of the boxes is the 25th percentile, the upper boundary is the 75th percentile, the line in the box represents the median. The O denotes an outlier, which is defined as a case with a value 1.5 to 3 box-lengths from the edge of the box. Cases more than 3 box-lengths from the upper or lower end of the box are designated with an asterisk. The whiskers show the highest and lowest values that are not outliers. Ferritin values are in $\mu\text{g/l}$ (on a logarithmic scale) and time after operation is given in days. The horizontal line denotes the lower normal ferritin value of 20 $\mu\text{g/l}$. Of the 174 patients, ten patients had iron supplementation on admission, the iron supplementation status of 22 patients was not known, ferritin values of 9 patients were missing, and the time after operation of five patients was not exactly known. These values are not given in the figure.

Hypocalcaemia was found in 7% of the patients and hypercalcaemia in 20%. The mean chymotrypsin output was in the lower normal range with 19% of the patients showing subnormal values²⁹.

Dietary habits and controlled diet period

62.6% of the patients had had dietary advice after operation. The number of meals per day exceeded three in 90% of the cases with 75% having at least five meals a day. Food intolerance was present in 62.4%, with milk as the most frequently addressed single agent in 18%. Half of the patients had a caloric intake of more than 2347 kilocalories (median 37.8 kilocalories per kg body weight per day). 25% of the patients consumed even more than 43.1 kcal/kg body weight per day (**Figure III.3**).

Figure III.3 Calorie intake of 162 patients after total gastrectomy. The distribution of the mean calorie intake per kilogram body weight per day during the controlled diet period is shown. The bars denote a range of 2.5 kilocalories/kg body weight beginning with the number given. The median was 37.8, the 25th percentile 30.4 and the 75th percentile 43.1 kilocalories/kg body weight. Values were missing with regards to 12 patients.

Faecal analysis and fat assimilation

Mean faecal mass was 252 (SEM 13) grams/day. Mean faecal fat excretion was 17.4 (SEM 1.4) grams/day and mean fat malabsorption was 14.8 (SEM 1.1) % (**Figures III.4-6**).

Figure III.4 Faecal mass per day in 153 patients after total gastrectomy. Faeces was collected over 72 hours and faecal mass was measured. The bars denote a range of 50 grams beginning with the number given. The median was 219, the 25th percentile 139, and the 75th percentile 322 grams per day. Values were missing for 21 patients.

Figure III.5 Faecal fat excretion per day in 166 patients after total gastrectomy. The bars denote a range of 5 grams beginning with the number given. The median was 12.9, the 25th percentile 6.2, and the 75th percentile 21.6 grams per day. Values were missing for 8 patients.

Figure III.6 Fat malassimilation in 159 patients after total gastrectomy. Fat malassimilation was calculated as fat excretion divided by fat intake multiplied with 100. The bars denote a range of 5% beginning with the number given. The median was 11.6, the 25th percentile 5.1, and the 75th percentile 19.6%. The values for fat excretion or fat intake were missing for 15 patients and the calculation could not be made.

Endoscopy and H₂-breath test

Endoscopic data were available in 84 of the 174 patients (48.2 %). At endoscopy 67% had a normal postoperative anatomy, 26% oesophagitis, 4% retention of food, and 4% a stenosis. Patients in whom endoscopic findings were present significantly more often had reflux symptoms ($p < 0.01$) compared with the others.

Using the H₂-breath test an oro-coecal transit shorter than 75 minutes was found in 21.7 % of the patients, while the mean transit time in the others was 202 (SEM 4.0) minutes (**Table III.5**). 37.7 % of the evaluated patients showed signs of bacterial overgrowth of the upper intestine (**Table III.5**).

Table III.5 Frequency of bacterial overgrowth and rapid oro-coecal transit (according to the H₂-breath test with radiopaque markers) after total gastrectomy

Bacterial overgrowth	n = 174	%
yes	49	37.7
no	81	62.3
not evaluable	44	25.2
Rapid oro-coecal transit	n = 174	
yes	35	21.7
no	130	78.3
not evaluable	9	5.2

Weight development

Mean body mass index according to Quetelet (kg/m^2) was 26.61 (0.31) pre-operatively, 24.17 (0.33) at operation, and 22.06 (0.23) on admission. 96% of the patients had lost weight pre-operatively, and 67% had lost weight since the operation. While 13.4% had a body mass index of less than 20 Quetelet-points at operation, this was the case with 25% of the patients on admission (**Figure III.7**).

Figure III.7 Development of the body mass index of 170 patients after total gastrectomy. The body mass index (BMI) according to Quetelet ($\text{weight}/\text{height}^2$) is given. BMI in health is anamnestically estimated, at operation taken from the hospital records, and on admission measured in the rehabilitation centers. The definition of the whisker-boxplots is as in figure III.1.

Preliminary analysis of possible pathophysiological mechanisms of nutrient malassimilation after total gastrectomy

Calorie intake

In a univariate subgroup analysis of patients with low calorie intake (<30 kcal/kg body weight per day) and of patients with higher calorie intake (≥ 30 kcal/kg body weight per day) it was found that the latter reported significantly less early satiety and vomiting, whilst meteorism was more frequent. Faecal mass, faecal fat, and bowel frequency were significantly higher in the group with higher calorie intake. The percentage of fat malassimilation was, however, similar. Body mass index when healthy and on admission were significantly higher in the group with low calorie intake, but this was not the case at the time of operation. Patients with a higher calorie intake had lost more weight since being operated on. (Table III.6).

Table III.6 Differences in patients after total gastrectomy divided according to low and high calorie intake per Kg body weight and day

		<30 kcal/kg body weight			≥ 30 kcal/kg body weight			p
		n	mean	SEM	n	mean	SEM	
BMI	in health	36	28.1	0.7	117	26.0	0.4	**
	at operation	36	25.2	0.7	118	23.4	0.4	ns
	on admission	36	24.1	0.6	118	21.3	0.2	***
Change	in BMI							
	operation - admission	36	1.0	0.6	118	2.3	0.3	*
% change in BMI								
	operation - admission	36	2.7	2.6	118	8.4	1.1	*
Severity of early satiety#		20	1.19	0.21	49	0.56	0.12	**
Faecal fat (grams/day)		32	11.5	1.3	119	18.5	1.6	**
Fat malassimilation in %		32	14.2	2.6	119	14.8	1.2	ns
Faecal mass (grams/day)		31	180	17	109	274	17	***
Bowel frequency per day		35	1.4	0.1	115	1.7	0.1	*
Frequency of		n	yes	%	n	yes	%	
	early satiety	38	29	76	125	63	50	**
	meteorism	20	9	45	46	36	78	**
	vomiting	38	10	26	125	9	7	**

range is from 0.000 to 3.000

* denotes $p < 0.05$; ** denotes $p < 0.01$; *** denotes $p < 0.001$

Steatorrhoea

The significant differences in patients with normal faecal fat output to moderate steatorrhoea (faecal fat < 14 grams/day) and severe steatorrhoea (faecal fat $\geq$ 14 grams/day) are given in **Table III.7**. It was found that patients with higher faecal fat excretion had lost significantly more weight since health, whilst the difference in weight loss since operation was non-significant. Patients with severe steatorrhoea had a significantly higher incidence of oro-coecal transit shorter than 75 minutes and were admitted earlier after operation (**Table III.7**).

Table III.7 Differences in patients after total gastrectomy divided according to normal faecal fat output / moderate steatorrhoea and severe steatorrhoea

	faecal fat < 14 g/d			faecal fat $\geq$ 14 g/d			p
	n	mean	SEM	n	mean	SEM	
Time past operation (days)	87	706	140	73	384	54	*
Weight loss since health (BMI)	88	2.03	0.23	75	2.94	0.28	*
Ferritin (μ g/l)	83	66.4	10.8	77	125.8	24.1	*
Albumine (g/l)	85	45.2	0.8	74	42.3	0.6	**
Chymotrypsin (U/l)	30	7.3	1.0	34	3.5	0.3	**
Faecal mass (g/d)	83	181	11	69	340	21	***
Faecal frequency (per day)	83	1.49	0.10	76	1.86	0.12	*
Faecal consistency#	88	1.21	0.06	78	1.54	0.06	***
Rapid oro-coecal transit##	n	yes	%	n	yes	%	
	85	12	14	72	22	31	*

faecal consistency is rated 0=no stool, 1=normally formed, 2=soft, 3=watery diarrhoea; ## < 75 minutes

* denotes $p < 0.05$, ** denotes $p < 0.01$, *** denotes $p < 0.001$

Body mass index

In a univariate subgroup analysis of patients with normal weight (body mass index ≥ 20) and patients with underweight on admission (body mass index < 20) it was found that patients with a body mass index below 20 suffered significantly more often from symptoms ($p=0.02$). A specific symptom, however, could not be indicated. Neither the weight development since health and since operation, the calorie intake during the controlled diet period, the types of operations (with or without continuous duodenal passage and/or pouch), the frequency of bacterial overgrowth and/or rapid upper intestinal transit, nor the degree of steatorrhoea were significantly different in these two groups.

Patients who had lost much weight since operation ($\geq 9.5\%$ of body mass index at operation) had been heavier during health and at operation, but lighter on admission, compared with patients with weight loss < 9.5%. Calorie intake was

similar, resulting in a significantly higher calorie intake per kg body weight in this group. The mean number of meals was lower in the group with greater weight loss (Table III.8).

Table III.8 Differences in patients after total gastrectomy divided according to the amount of weight loss since operation

	weight loss <9.5%			weight loss ≥9.5%			p
	n	mean	SEM	n	mean	SEM	
BMI in health	85	25.8	0.4	85	27.4	0.5	**
BMI at operation	86	22.2	0.4	86	26.2	0.4	***
BMI on admission	86	22.6	0.4	86	21.5	0.3	*
Change in BMI between							
health and operation	85	3.6	0.3	85	1.3	0.2	**
health and admission	85	3.2	0.3	85	5.9	0.3	**
Number of meals/day	82	5.9	0.2	85	5.4	0.1	*
Kcal/day	79	2280	58	83	2300	46	ns
Kcal/kg body weight/day	78	35.8	1.1	76	39.2	1.0	*

* denotes $p < 0.05$, ** denotes $p < 0.01$, *** denotes $p < 0.001$

Discussion

In the future, the number of patients surviving gastric cancer can be expected to increase due to earlier diagnosis³⁰⁻³³, improved surgical techniques³⁴⁻⁴², and adjuvant chemotherapy³⁴. The problems arising after potentially curative total gastrectomy are therefore of great clinical importance.

Possible causative factors for malnutrition and weight loss after total gastrectomy are: tumour recurrence, anorexia¹⁻⁴, rapid upper intestinal transit⁵, the type of operation⁶⁻¹², upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth⁵, lack of gastric lipase¹³, exocrine pancreatic insufficiency¹⁴⁻¹⁸ or pancreatocobal dyssynchrony¹⁹⁻²⁵.

In our study group lower tumour stages were predominant and patients with recurrences and/or metastasis were excluded. The risk that unnoticed tumour recurrence influenced the evaluation is therefore very low.

The vast majority of the study patients complained of a variety of abdominal symptoms of which meteorism, dyspepsia, and early satiety were the most common and most severe. Although the overall symptomatic burden, estimated with the symptom score, was low, symptoms seemed to be a limiting factor with regards to

the daily calorie intake.

The patients in our study group had lost weight before and after the potentially curative operation. Considering that underweight patients complained more often of abdominal symptoms and patients who had recently lost more weight consumed more kilocalories per kg body weight and day, one possible conclusion is that the patients troubled by the weight loss attempt in vain to overcome the phenomenon by eating more.

Some of the symptoms, e.g. dysphagia, have an easily identifiable cause: Oesophagitis was common in this study, as is reported by other authors⁴³, and should be investigated at the least clinical suspicion. The frequency of oesophagitis reported by us may, however, be too high, as the patients with known endoscopic data had more reflux symptoms. This might be due to a selection bias from the endoscopic examination performed before admission. Treatment of post-gastrectomy oesophagitis is difficult as the inflammation is per definition of the alkaline type.

The calorie intake of our patients during the period of controlled diet was surprisingly high, almost reaching the recommended value of 40 kilocalories/kg body weight⁴⁴. Though calorie intake at home probably had been lower, these results do not support the idea that the weight loss is mainly caused by low intake. On the contrary, patients suffering from greater weight loss seem to consume more calories per kg body weight as a (subconscious) reaction.

Looking at the biochemical values reflecting malabsorption we found anaemia to be frequent, but most of the time it was not severe. Iron deficiency was increasing with time after operation, but it was not until more than 800 days post-operatively that the majority of the patients had subnormal ferritin values.

The incidence and severity of fat malassimilation is high in patients after total gastrectomy, resulting in higher faecal mass and more frequent and softer stools. Weight loss since health was higher in the group with severe steatorrhoea and these patients also had been admitted sooner after surgery.

Using univariate analysis, neither the type of operation nor the prevalence of bacterial overgrowth of the upper intestine seemed to be of marked importance as pathophysiological mechanisms of steatorrhoea. The same is true of the exocrine pancreatic function: mean faecal chymotrypsin output was similar compared with normal controls²⁹. However, in a separate intervention study, the effect of large doses of pancreatic enzymes was compared with placebo treatment in patients with severe steatorrhoea. Enzyme supplementation resulted in an overall symptomatic improvement of the patients, a small but significant improvement of fat assimilation within the group of patients with moderate steatorrhoea (<28 g/day), and a lower caloric demand to maintain body weight⁴⁵.

Considering the rather high prevalence of rapid oro-coecal transit in the study patients the hypothesis of pancreatoco-cibal dyssynchrony as a main cause of fat

malassimilation is supported by our results.

In conclusion, malassimilation after total gastrectomy does not have one single cause. Possible factors have to be analysed by means of multivariate techniques. Symptom-induced malnutrition and rapid upper intestinal transit, resulting in pancreatico-cibal dyssynchrony, seem to be major determinants.

References

1. Adams JF. The clinical and metabolic consequences of total gastrectomy. I. Morbidity, weight, and nutrition. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1967;2:137-149
2. Roberts KE, Randall HT, Bane HN, Medwid A, Schwartz MK. Studies of the physiology of the dumping syndrome. *NY State J Med* 1955;55:2897-2902
3. Delbrück H, Severin M, Lindenbeck U. Diätetische Aspekte und Befunde bei 227 gastrektomierten Magenkarzinompatienten. *Akt Ernähr* 1990;15:17-19
4. Sategna-Guidetti C, Bianco L. Malnutrition and malabsorption after total gastrectomy. A pathophysiologic approach. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1989;11/5:518-524
5. Armbrrecht U, Lundell L, Lindstedt G, Stockbrügger RW. Causes of malabsorption after total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Acta Chir Scand* 1988;154:37-41
6. Fass J, Bares R, Staude M, Schumpelick V. Type of stomach replacement and intestinal motility after total gastrectomy. *Leber Magen Darm* 1993;23:194-203
7. Fass J, Bares R, Hermsdorf V, Schumpelick V. Intestinal motility after jejunum interposition and Roux-Y construction - an animal experiment study. *Langenbecks Arch Chir* 1993;378:239-248
8. van der Mijle HC, Kleibeuker JH, Limburg AJ, Bleichrodt RP, Beekhuis H, van Schilfgaarde R. Manometric and scintigraphic studies of the relation between motility disturbances in the Roux limb and the Roux-en-Y syndrome. *Am J Surg* 1993;166:11-17
9. Woodward A, Sillin Lf, Bortoff A. Migrating myoelectric complex and jejunal slow-wave propagation after Roux-gastrectomy in dogs. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38:1073-1078
10. Takahashi T, Yamamura T, Yokohama E, Kanto M, Kusunoki M, Ishikawa Y, Utsunomiya J. Impaired contractile motility of the gallbladder after gastrectomy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1986;81:672-677
11. Miholic J, Meyer HD, Kotzerke J, Balks J, Aebert H, Jäne J, Weimann A, Pichlmayr R. Emptying of the Gastric Substitute After Total Gastrectomy: Jejunal Interposition versus Roux-en-Y. *Ann Surg* 1989;210/2:165-172
12. Li Destri G, Trombatore G, La Greca G, Rinzivillo C, Rodolico M, Desiderio C, Orsina N, Di Cataldo A, Puleo S. Total gastrectomy: Nutritional status after different reconstruction techniques. An experimental study. *J Surg Oncol* 1992;49:98-102
13. Carriere F, Barrowman JA, Verger, Laugier R. Secretion and Contribution to Lipolysis of Gastric and Pancreatic Lipases During a Test Meal in Humans. *Gastroenterology* 1993;105:876-888
14. Friess H, Böhm J, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Müller M, Hofbauer B, Riepl RL, Büchler MW. Exokrine Pankreasinsuffizienz nach Gastrektomie: Eine primäre Funktionsstörung. *Chirurgische Gastroenterologie* 1994;10:324-330
15. Gullo L, Costa L, Ventrucci M, Mattioli S, Vitti G, Labò G. Exocrine Pancreas Funktion after Total Gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:401-407

16. Köhler H, Schafmayer A, Peiper HJ. Die sekundäre exokrine Pankreasinsuffizienz nach Magenresektion. In: Kozuschek W, Paquet KJ eds. Pankreas Diagnostik, Therapie. Freiburg: S. Karger GmbH 1992:547-554
17. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Beger HG. Secondary Pancreatic Insufficiency Following Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1988;4:314-316
18. Walther B, Clementson C, Vallgren S, Ihse I, Åkesson B. Fat malabsorption in patients before and after total gastrectomy, studied by the triolein breath test. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1989;24:309-314
19. MacKay C. Postgastrectomy steatorrhea. *Am J Surg* 1970;120:324-328
20. Curran FT, Hill GL. Failure of nutritional recovery after total gastrectomy. *Br J Surg* 1990;77:1015-1017
21. Tabaqchali S. The Pathophysiological Role of Small Intestinal Bacterial Flora. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1970;6:139-163
22. Armbrrecht U, Lundell L, Stockbrügger RW. The benefit of pancreatic enzyme substitution after total gastrectomy. *Aliment Pharmacol Therap* 1988;2:493-500
23. Armbrrecht U, Brägelmann R, Baumgart I, Stockbrügger RW. Fecal Chymotrypsin Output in Relation To Fecal Fat after Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Gastroenterology* 1994;106:A219
24. Worning H. The effect of enzyme substitution in patients with pancreatic insufficiency. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1980;15:529-533
25. Tillander H, Tveit E. Beeinflussung des Dumping-Syndroms mit Pankreon. *Münch Med Wschr* 1978;120:1717-1718
26. van de Kamer JH, ten Bokkel Huinink H, Weyers HA. Rapid method for the determination of fat in faeces. *J Biol Chem* 1949;177:347-355
27. Armbrrecht U, Jensen J, Eden S, Stockbrügger RW. Assessment of Oro-coecal Transit Time by Means of a Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test As Compared with a Radiologic Control Method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1986;21:669-677
28. TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours, Hermanek P, Sobin L.H. eds, 4th edition, 2nd revision, Springer Verlag 1992:45-48
29. Stockbrügger RW, Armbrrecht U. Determination of Faecal Chymotrypsin Concentration and 72- Hour Faecal Chymotrypsin Output in the Detection of Pancreatic Steatorrhea. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 199;188:13-19
30. Sue-Ling HM, Johnston D, Martin IG, Dixon MF, Lansdow MR, McMahon MJ, Axon AT. Gastric cancer: a curable disease in Britain. *BMJ* 1993;307:591-596
31. Spataro V, Genoni M, Maurer C, Muller W. Stomach cancer: 10 years experiences with surgical treatment and possibilities for improving the prognosis. *Helv Chir Acta* 1993;59:589-595
32. Guadagni S, Reed PI, Johnston BJ, De-Bernardinis G, Catarci M, Valenti M, di-OrioF, Carboni M. Early gastric cancer: follow-up after gastrectomy in 159 patients. *Br J Sur* 1993;80:325-328
33. Moureaux J, Bougaran J. Early gastric cancer. A 25-year surgical experience. *Ann Surg* 1993;217:347-355

34. Meyer HJ, Jahne J, Wilke H. Perspectives of surgery and multimodality treatment in gastric carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 1993;119:384-394
35. Pacelli-F, Doglietto-GB, Bellatone-R, Alfieri-S, Sgadari-A, Crucitti-F. Extensive versus limited lymph node dissection for gastric cancer: a comparative study of patients. *Br J Surg* 1993;80:1153-1156
36. Bonenkamp JJ, Van den Velde CJ, Kampschoer GH, Hermans J, Hermanek P, Bemelmans M, Gouma DJ, Sasako M, Maruyama K. Comparison of factors influencing the prognosis of Japanese, German, and Dutch gastric cancer patients. *World J Surg.* 1993;17:410-414
37. Roder JD, Bottcher K, Siewert JR, Busch R, Hermanek P, Meyer HJ. Prognostic factors in gastric carcinoma. Result of the German Gastric Carcinoma Study. *Cancer* 1993;72:2089-2097
38. Wanebo HJ, Kennedy Bj, Chmiel J, Steele B, Winchester D, Osleen R. Cancer of the stomach. A patientent care study by the American College of Surgeons. *Ann Surg* 1993;218:583-592
39. Junginger TH, Walgenbach S. Postgastrectomy Reconstruction By Esophagojejunostomy Roux-en-Y. *Nutrition* 1988;4:239-242
40. Meyer HJ, Jähne J, Wilke H, Pichlmayr R. Surgical Treatment of Gastric Cancer: Retrospective Survey of 1,704 Operated Cases With Special Reference to Total Gastrectomy as the Operation of Choice. *Semin Surg Oncol* 1991;7:356-364
41. McNeer G, Bowden L, Booher R, McPeak C. Elective Total Gastrectomy for Cancer of the Stomach: End Results. *Ann Surg* 1974;180/2:252-256
42. Menke H. Morbidität und Letalität nach Operationen wegen Magenkarzinom. Ergebnisse einer prospektiven Untersuchung zur Bedeutung unterschiedlicher Risikofaktoren. *Med Klin* 1992;87:300-304
43. Domjan L, Simon L. Alkaline reflux esophagitis in gastrossected patients. Objective detection with a simple isotope method. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl.* 1984;92:245-249
44. Wechsler, JG. Ernährungsverhalten und Diät nach Gastrektomie. In: *Der gastrektomierte Patient.* Armbrrecht U, Stockbrügger RW eds. Freiburg, S. Karger GmbH 1992:79-87
45. Brägelmann R, Armbrrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. The Effect of Pancreatic Enzyme Supplementation in Patients with Total Gastrectomy and Steatorrhea. *Gastroenterology* 1995;108/4:A451

Chapter IV

Total Gastrectomy: The Influence of Preserved Duodenal Transit and of Pouch Reconstruction on Abdominal Symptoms, Nutrient Assimilation, and Medico-Social Functioning

R Brägelmann, U Armbrrecht, D Rosemeyer,
B Schneider, W Zilly, RW Stockbrügger

Accepted for publication in the Italian Journal of Gastroenterology

Abstract

Background/Aims

The aim of this retrospective study was to elucidate whether patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy (duodenal bypass without pouch (subgroup Ia, n=88); duodenal bypass with pouch (subgroup Ib, n=27); continuous duodenal transit (subgroup II, n=27)) differ concerning abdominal symptoms, nutrient assimilation, and medico-social functioning.

Methods

The 142 patients (f=49, m=93; mean age 57.2 years, (95% confidence interval 55 to 59)) after potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy 500 days earlier (mean; 95% confidence interval 334 to 666) were evaluated for abdominal symptoms, biochemical and haematological parameters, endoscopic findings, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth, oro-coecal transit time, objective signs of malassimilation, and the degree of medico-social functioning.

Results

There were no significant differences between the subgroups in any of the examined parameters.

Conclusion

In this study, neither subjective nor objective patient data support preference for any single mode of the examined reconstructions after total gastrectomy. However, small patient numbers, unstandardised reconstruction procedures and a recruitment bias might influence these findings.

Introduction

Since the first successful total gastrectomy in 1897 by Schlatter more than 50 different surgical approaches and types of reconstruction have been proposed for this operation. This has caused a continuous discussion about the best procedure to minimise postoperative abdominal symptoms and malassimilation¹.

Functionally, the different types of operations can be divided into procedures which preserve a continuous duodenal transit and procedures with a duodenal bypass, both alternatives either with or without a construction of a reservoir as a stomach substitute.

Some authors have found patients with preserved duodenal transit to perform better compared with patients with duodenal bypass²⁻⁶, pointing to disturbed intestinal motility⁷⁻⁹, changed release of hormones and of regulatory peptides^{5,10} and primary or secondary exocrine pancreatic insufficiency^{9,11-17} after the bypass operation. Evaluation of exocrine pancreatic function after total gastrectomy, however, is very problematic¹⁸. Some authors could not demonstrate any benefit of the continuous duodenal transit¹⁹. Authors favouring pouch-constructions underline the necessity of a reservoir for an adequate digestive function²⁰⁻³², while others could not demonstrate any benefit of the pouch construction³³⁻³⁶.

The aim of the study was to elucidate whether after total gastrectomy patients with duodenal bypass without pouch-construction, or with duodenal bypass with pouch-construction, or with preserved continuous duodenal transit differ in symptoms, nutrient assimilation, and medico-social functioning. Other aspects of these data have been evaluated on different occasions^{9,37}.

Patients and methods

During the period from May 1990 to January 1993, 196 consecutively admitted patients following a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were investigated according to a standardised protocol at three German gastroenterological rehabilitation hospitals in Bad Kissingen (n=95), Bad Driburg (n=79), and Bad Brückenau (n=22). In Germany patients after major surgery have the opportunity of a post-operative admission to a rehabilitative centre. These patients, therefore, were electively admitted for rehabilitative purposes and had no acute or severe disease. Of the 196 patients, 14 were evaluated for the second time, and in eight patients a recurrence and/or metastasis was diagnosed shortly after admission. In eleven patients it could not exactly be established whether they had continuous duodenal transit or not. In 21 patients it was unknown whether they had a pouch construction. These 54 patients were not included in this study. The remaining 142 patients were evaluated (Bad Kissingen n=77, Bad Driburg n=52,

and Bad Brückenau $n=13$). They were 49 females and 93 males with a mean age of 57.2 years (95% confidence interval 55.4 to 59.0) after potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy 500 days earlier (mean; 95% confidence interval 334 to 666). Three groups were compared: patients with duodenal bypass without pouch (subgroup Ia, $n=88$), patients with duodenal bypass and pouch (subgroup Ib, $n=27$), and patients with continuous duodenal transit without pouch (subgroup II, $n=27$).

Study Design

After clinical and biochemical evaluation on admission, all patients were put on a standardised diet for a period of five days during which symptoms, bowel habits, energy intake and fat assimilation were evaluated. Thereafter, a H_2 -breath test with radiopaque markers and an oesophago-intestinal endoscopy were performed. Symptoms were recorded in two different ways: 1) When admitted to the hospital patients were asked by the investigators whether they suffered from the following abdominal symptoms: dyspepsia, meteorism, early satiety, reflux, dysphagia, dumping, vomiting; also overall well-being was evaluated. 2) Additionally, meteorism, early satiety, dyspepsia, nausea, dumping, dysphagia, and vomiting were scored daily in all patients according to a questionnaire during the period of controlled diet and the sum of a five-day-score was reported. In one centre (Marbachklinik) the specific scores for the abdominal complaints were noted in 66 patients (11 missing values). Faecal frequency and faecal consistency were scored in a similar way for all patients. Patients who were on pancreatic enzyme supplementation at the time of hospital admission had been asked to discontinue this. During the diet period, meals were composed of 48% fat, 17% protein, and 35% carbohydrates respectively, and were not limited quantitatively. However, individual nutritional intake was quantified at every meal by an attending dietician and summarised each day. Faecal fat output was assessed during the last three days of the controlled diet period according to the method of van de Kamer³⁸.

Using a standardised breakfast with ten radiopaque plastic markers added to it according to Armbricht et al.³⁹, the frequency of a shortened oro-coecal transit time was diagnosed radiographically, when the first markers had passed into the colon at 75 minutes. Upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth was evaluated by an H_2 -breath test in combination with a second radiograph which was taken when a 100% rise above baseline values occurred in H_2 -concentration in breath.

An upper endoscopy was performed in each patient, except when it had been done during the previous two months. In these cases the endoscopic diagnosis from the last examination was recorded. Body mass was evaluated using the Quetelet index (weight/height²; normal range 20.0 to 25.0 Kg/m²).

In order to assess medico-social dysfunction the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) was applied to all patients on admission by one of the authors, and

the employment status was noted. The ERSS scale ranges from 0 to 28 points, with higher points indicating a poorer medico-social functioning. The scale measures the dimensions of medico-social performance in four different subscales: independence, activity, social integration, and effects of symptoms on lifestyle. The Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale has been tested in several somatic patient groups in rehabilitative medicine⁴⁰. In one centre (Marbachtalklinik; n=77) the ERSS-subscores were analysed.

Statistical calculations included the χ^2 -test and ANOVA-models using a Bonferroni correction for three-group-comparisons with a p-value of 0.017 indicating significance⁴¹. The protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the Bayerische Landesärztekammer, Germany.

Results

Patient characteristics

Gender, age, time since operation, tumour stage, and type of operation are given in Table IV.1 and Table IV.2.

Table IV.1 Gender, age, time since operation, and tumour stage in 142 patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy

	duodenal bypass		jejunal interposition
	without pouch	with pouch	
Gender			
Female	31	9	9
Male	57	18	18
Age (years)*	58 (57-60)	57 (55-63)	53 (49-57)
Time past operation**	573 (318-829)	37 (221-519) 0	395 (144-646)
Tumour stage (UICC)			
0	3	1	0
IA	26	3	11
IB	21	5	5
II	15	2	0
IIIA	10	5	6
IIIB	3	3	2
IV	2	1	1
Lymphomas	8	7	2

* means and 95% confidence intervals; ** in days

Table IV.2 Type of operation in 142 patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy

Type of operation	duodenal bypass		jejunal interposition
	without pouch	with pouch	
Roux-en-Y	80	8	
Rodino	0	2	
Omegaloop	2	0	
Schloffer	1	0	
Siewert-Peiper	0	3	
Kremer	0	2	
Graham	0	1	
Oesophagojejunostomy*	5	11	
Jejunal interposition			27

* not further specified

Biochemistry

Laboratory tests are given in **Table IV.3**. Patients with continuous duodenal transit had a higher faecal chymotrypsin concentration and output than patients with duodenal bypass. However, these differences were not significant.

Table IV.3 Haematological and biochemical data on hospital admission in 142 patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy

	duodenal bypass (n=115)						jejunal interposition (n=27)		
	without pouch (n=88)			with pouch (n=27)					
	n	m	CI	n	m	CI	n	m	CI
Haemoglobin (mmol/L)	86	83.1	80.6-84.9	27	85.6	80.6-90.5	27	81.8	78.1-85.6
Ferritin (µg/L)	83	91	67-116	27	159	29-288	26	83	43-123
Albumin (g/L)	81	43.3	41.8-44.7	27	43.4	41.0-45.6	27	42.0	38.6-45.4
Calcium* (mmol/L)	80	2.41	2.35-2.47	27	2.33	2.28-2.39	27	2.46	2.37-2.56
Chymotrypsin** (U/g)	38	4.1	3.4-4.9	10	6.6	2.9-10.3	13	7.3	2.9-11.7
Chy-output (U/72h)	37	2799	2208-3390	9	3117	2121-4113	13	4521	2244-6798

m=mean, CI=confidence interval; * corrected for albumin values, ** faecal chymotrypsin was measured in only 61 patients; Chy-output denotes chymotrypsin output

Endoscopy

Forty-nine of the 75 patients undergoing endoscopy (65%) showed a normal postoperative appearance. Twenty-seven percent had an oesophagitis, and three

patients (4%) had food retention proximal to the anastomosis. Three other patients had a stenosis of the anastomosis. There was no significant difference between the study groups regarding endoscopic findings (Table IV.4).

Table IV.4 Endoscopic findings on admission in 75 patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy

		duodenal bypass				jejunal interposition	
		without pouch		with pouch			
		n	%	n	%	n	%
Endoscopy performed		45/88	51	17/27	63	13/27	48
Diagnosis	normal	31	69	7	41	11	85
	oesophagitis	9	20	9	53	2	15
	retention	2	4	1	6	0	0
	stenosis	3	7	0	0	0	0

Bacterial overgrowth and oro-coecal transit time

The H₂-breath test concerning bacterial overgrowth was unreliable in 31 patients, as they had raised H₂-values in combination with rapid oro-coecal transit time. Three patients were H₂-non-producers, and in six patients the test was unreliable because of technical reasons. The assessment of oro-coecal transit time was unreliable in six patients because of technical reasons (Table IV.5).

Table IV.5 Frequency of small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBBO) and rapid oro-coecal transit (<75 minutes, ROCT) according to the H₂-breath test with radiopaque markers in 142 patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy

		duodenal bypass				jejunal interposition	
		without pouch		with pouch			
		n	% ^a	n	%	n	%
SBBO	unreliable	28*		4**		8***	
	yes	21	35	10	42	10	53
	no	39	65	13	58	9	47
ROCT	unreliable	4		1		1	
	yes	22	26	2	8	7	27
	no	62	74	24	92	19	73

^a denotes percentages of evaluable patients; * denotes 22 patients with fast transit, 2 H₂-non-producers, and 4 with technical problems; ** denotes 2 patients with fast transit, 1 H₂-non-producer, and 1 with technical problems; *** denotes 7 patients with fast transit and 1 with technical problems

There were no significant differences regarding the frequency of small bowel bacterial overgrowth between the study groups. Patients with a pouch reconstruction had less often a shortened oro-coecal transit time than patients without, but this difference was not significant.

Symptoms

The study groups were similar concerning the frequency of abdominal symptoms. Meteorism, dyspepsia and early satiety were the symptoms mentioned most often (Table IV.6).

During the controlled diet period there were no significant differences in frequency and severity of total symptom score or of the specific abdominal symptoms between the study groups. The total symptom score was non-significantly lower in the patients with a pouch-construction (subgroup Ib) compared with those without (subgroup Ia and II; $p=0.07$) (Figure IV.1). The specific symptoms scores that were recorded in a subgroup of patients were not different either (Figure IV.2).

Table IV.6 Endoscopic findings on admission in 75 patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy

	duodenal bypass				jejunal interposition	
	without pouch		with pouch		n	%
	n	%	n	%		
Dyspepsia	61	69	18	67	18	67
Early satiety	46	52	12	44	20	74
Reflux	24	27	6	22	109	33
Dysphagia	18	20	6	22	93	11
Vomiting	12	14	5	19	2	7
Meteorism*	28/44	64	12/17	71	6/8	75
Any symptom	79	90	20	74	25	93

* meteorism was only asked in 69 patients

Figure IV.1 Total symptom score in subgroup Ia (duodenal bypass without pouch), subgroup Ib (duodenal bypass with pouch), and subgroup II (continuous duodenal transit) given in whisker-boxplots. The lower boundary of the boxes is the 25th percentile, the upper boundary is the 75th percentile, the line in the box represents the median. The circles denote outliers, which are defined as cases with a value 1.5 to 3 box-lengths from the edge of the box. Cases more than 3 box-lengths from the upper or lower end of the box are designated with an asterisk. The whiskers show the highest and lowest values that are not outliers. The scale is from 0.000 to 3.000. There were three missing values in subgroup Ia, and three missing values in subgroup II.

Figure IV.2 Total symptom score and specific symptom scores in subgroup Ia (duodenal bypass without pouch), subgroup Ib (duodenal bypass with pouch), and subgroup II (continuous duodenal transit) given in whisker-boxplots. (For explanation of the boxplots see figure IV.1). 1=meteorism, 2=early satiety, 3=dyspepsia, 4=nausea, 5=dumping, 6=dysphagia, 7=vomiting, 8=total symptom score. Symptoms are ranked: 0=no, 1=light, 2=moderate, 3=severe. The scale is from 0.000 to 3.000. In some cases the 75th percentile was zero.

Body mass and energy intake

On admission the mean body mass index of all patients was 22.2 kg/m² (95% confidence interval 21.6 to 22.7) and mean weight loss since operation was 6.5% (95% confidence interval 4.4 to 8.5). Mean energy intake was 155 kilojoules per kilogram body weight per day (95% confidence interval 148 to 162) and the number of meals 5.7 (95% confidence interval 5.4 to 5.8). Neither the continuous duodenal transit nor the presence of a pouch-construction after duodenal bypass was of significant influence on any of these parameters (Table IV.7).

Table IV.7 Weight development and energy intake in 142 patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy

	duodenal bypass		jejunal interposition
	without pouch	with pouch	
number	88	27	27
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.9 (21.3-22.5)	22.8 (21.6-24.7)	22.2 (20.9-23.5)
number	88	27	27
Weight loss*	5.7 (2.8-8.6)	5.5 (1.1-9.9)	9.9 (6.1-13.7)
number	80	25	22
Energy intake**	156 (147-165)	158 (137-179)	148 (136-160)

means and 95% confidence intervals; BMI denotes body mass index on admission; * weight loss in % since operation; ** kilojoule intake in kJ/kg body weight and day of a 5-day-period

Bowel habits and steatorrhoea

In the total patient population faecal consistency was reported to be normal to soft with a mean daily faecal frequency of 1.6 (95% confidence interval 1.4 to 1.7). Mean faecal mass was 247 grams/day (95% confidence interval 221 to 273). Mean faecal fat output was 16.5 grams/day (95% confidence interval 13.9 to 19.1) accounting for a mean fat malassimilation of 14.4% (95% confidence interval 12.2 to 16.6), the median of which was 11.7%. There were no significant differences between the three subgroups concerning faecal consistency, faecal frequency, faecal mass, faecal fat output and fat malassimilation (Table IV.8).

Medico-social functioning

On admission, there were no significant differences between the subgroups regarding the sum ERSS-score (Figure IV.3). The mean score of all patients (n=141) was 4.4 (95% confidence interval 3.8 to 4.9), which shows a high degree of medico-social functioning. In the subgroup of patients with analysis of the ERSS-subscores the mean sum score was 5.4 (95% confidence interval 4.5 to 6.3).

“Inactivity” and “symptom induced lifestyle changes” scored highest.

Table IV.8 Bowel habits, faecal mass, faecal fat output and fat malassimilation in 142 patients with different reconstruction after total gastrectomy

		duodenal bypass		jejunal interposition
		without pouch	with pouch	
Faecal consistency*	n=	88	27	27
(per day; 5 days)		1.3 (1.2-1.4)	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	1.2 (0.9-1.5)
Faecal frequency	n=	85	25	23
(per day; 5 days)		1.6 (1.4-1.8)	1.6 (1.3-1.9)	1.5 (1.2-1.9)
Faecal mass	n=**	81	24	27
(g/d; 3 days)		249 (221-277)	258 (167-350)	231 (167-296)
Faecal fat output	n=	85	25	27
(g/d; 3 days)		18.3 (14.5-22.0)	14.1 (9.9-18.4)	13.0 (8.7-17.3)
Fat malassimilation	n=	82	25	24
(%; 3 days)		16.0 (12.9-19.1)	11.5 (8.3-14.7)	11.9 (7.7-16.0)

* faecal consistency is ranked: 0=no faeces, 1=normally formed, 2=soft, 3=watery diarrhoea; scale from 0.0 to 3.0; ** one centre (Hartwaldklinik) reported no faecal mass; given are the means and 95% confidence intervals

Figure IV.3 Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale on admission in subgroup Ia (duodenal bypass without pouch), subgroup Ib (duodenal bypass with pouch), and subgroup II (continuous duodenal transit) given in whisker-boxplots. (For explanation of the boxplots see figure IV.1) The range of the summarising score is 0 to 28 with higher numbers indicating greater severity of dysfunction. There was one missing value in subgroup Ia.

There were no significant differences between the study groups regarding the ERSS-subscores (**Figure IV.4**). Of those patients, who had been in active working life before operation, 52% of the patients with duodenal bypass without pouch, 54% of the patients with duodenal bypass with pouch, and 64% of the patients with continuous duodenal transit were temporarily not working or were in early retirement due to ill health; these differences were not significant.

Figure IV.4 Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale and subscales on admission in subgroup Ia (duodenal bypass without pouch), subgroup Ib (duodenal bypass with pouch), and subgroup II (continuous duodenal transit) given in whisker boxplots. (For explanation of the boxplots see Figure IV.1) Shown are the subscales and the total scale. 1=independence/dependence, 2=activity/inactivity, 3=social integration/isolation, 4=effects of symptoms on lifestyle, 5=sum score. Each subscale has a range of zero to seven points with higher numbers indicating greater severity of dysfunction. The range of the summarising score is 0 to 28. In some cases the 75th percentile was zero.

Discussion

During the last century surgeons have tried to optimise procedures of total gastric resection and post-operative reconstruction in order to minimise post-operative complaints, weight loss, and to ensure the highest possible quality of life.

Preservation of continuous duodenal transit should theoretically maintain normal entero-hormonal synergism better, and exocrine pancreatic function should change less compared with reconstruction based on a duodenal bypass^{4,7-10,12}. Furthermore, a higher degree of motility disturbances has been described in patients with duodenal bypass operations^{2,3,5,6}.

The pouch-reconstruction was introduced to supply a gastric substitute. It was

expected that patients could eat larger volumes at a meal, that the oro-coecal transit time would be longer, and that the pouch-construction would serve as a barrier against entero-oesophageal reflux²⁰⁻³². However, preserving the duodenal transit or performing a duodenal bypass operation with construction of a pouch increases operating time. Preserving the duodenal transit also adds one intestinal anastomosis and is not always applicable because of anatomical considerations²⁰.

The data regarding the benefit of a pouch-construction after total gastrectomy are not conclusive. There are a number of prospective randomised studies comparing total gastrectomy with pouch-constructions with procedures without pouch. Troidl et al.²⁰ reported a better weight development and appetite in patients with pouch-construction. However, the energy intake of the patients was not quantified and the great difference in survival time might point to problems with comparability between the groups. Nakane et al.²¹ found a higher food intake and less weight loss in patients with pouch. However, patients for the trial were selected following unknown criteria, so that a patient bias is possible. Food intake was only anamnestically evaluated, the number of patients per group was very small, and the authors did not use a correction for three-group-comparison in the statistics. Applying such a correction to the data eliminates some of the reported differences. Schwarz et al.²² compared patients with duodenal preservation and pouches of two different sizes, Rodino-construction with pouches of two different sizes, and an ordinary Roux-en-Y construction (five groups with twelve patients each) and found a better quality of life in the first group. Stier et al.²³ assessed 18 patients with interpositions with pouch and 18 patients with an Roux-en-Y construction without pouch. Patients with pouch-construction (and interposition) judged their own situation better on a symptom score. The study of Schmitz et al.²⁴, who evaluated patients with an interposition and patients with an interposition with pouch, illustrates a major problem of prospective studies, because only 39 of the 74 patients fulfilled the criteria for the trial. Schlag et al. conclude that a pouch-construction is of use, but this study has many methodological problems²⁵. Additionally there are retrospective studies²⁶⁻³⁰ showing benefit for a pouch-construction. However, in a prospective study by Liedman et al.³³ on 89 patients, the patients with pouch had more postprandial problems than those without pouch and no benefit. De Almeida et al. had similar results in a retrospective study³⁴. Furthermore, there are some experimental studies showing no benefit of a pouch-construction compared to a construction without pouch^{35,36}.

In this survey in three gastroenterological rehabilitation centres we evaluated a large group of patients with different types of reconstruction after potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy. The patients had in general been operated on for early stage tumour. In this group of patients a gastric reconstruction was regarded useful despite the surgical difficulties. The selection of the patients to a rehabilitation centre made sure that there was no current morbidity

due to the post-operative situation, and excluded cancer recurrence in most of the cases.

A randomised prospective study with few centres entering patients would have ensured a homogenous group regarding the surgical procedures. Due to the selection procedure of the patients to a rehabilitation centre, surgical parameters and modifications were not standardised and cannot be given in detail as many different centres were admitting patients. Although this creates a certain heterogeneity of the patients, it also has positive aspects. Specialised surgical centres will not necessarily perform all different types of surgical reconstructions with the same skill. This would make randomisation procedures problematic. The surgical parameters in our patients vary independently and, therefore, they constitute an averaged group of patients after total gastrectomy performed in different hospitals.

A further problem might be a possible recruitment bias, as patients performing very well and patients performing very badly might not have applied for rehabilitation. As patients after total gastrectomy in Germany have the right for an admission to the rehabilitation centres irrespectively of their performing status the risk of bias does not seem to be very large.

The present comparison of three types of surgical reconstruction after total gastrectomy includes sufficient numbers of patients and used a uniform investigation of subjective symptoms and objective findings. In spite of this approach, no clinically significant differences could be found between the operation types.

Abdominal symptoms were common in all study groups and of similar frequency and intensity. Meteorism, dyspepsia, and early satiety were the complaints mentioned most often and causing the greatest discomfort. The overall symptom intensity, though, was low. This is possibly due to the above-mentioned selection.

After total gastrectomy, oesophagitis is frequent. In contrast to the results of other authors^{20,21} our patients with pouch-constructions had non-significantly more often oesophagitis than patients without pouch and patients with continuous duodenal transit. This might be due to the stasis of the bowel fluids in the pouch⁶. The frequency of a shortened oro-coecal transit time tended to be lower in patients with pouch than in those without, but also this difference was not significant.

Looking at exocrine pancreatic function, there was a higher faecal chymotrypsin output in patients with continuous duodenal transit compared with patients with duodenal bypass, but this was statistically not significant. Correspondingly, other authors could not find any differences in body weight and quality of life in patients with an jejunal interposition and patients with a Roux-en-Y operation both with pouch¹⁹.

We did not find any significant difference in parameters concerning post-operative weight development, dietary habits, and energy intake. This is in agreement with

the similarity of the three study groups concerning bowel habits and signs of malassimilation.

The Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale has so far not been applied to patients with malignant disease. However, it seems applicable to use for comparison of our patients, since they were free of recurrence and/or metastasis, and the point of interest was the post-operative situation and its influence on medical and social functioning. All study groups had a high degree of medico-social functioning according to the ERSS compared to other patient groups in the literature⁴⁰. It was not expected that medico-social function parameters would be affected by the type of operation, as we could not find any differences between the study groups regarding the principal somatic parameters.

In conclusion, in this large study of randomly-allotted cases neither subjective nor objective data support preference for any single mode of reconstruction after total gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

References

1. Tittel A, Schumpelick V. History of Gastric Replacement. *Hepato-gastroenterol* 1989;36:57-62
2. Fass J, Bares R, Staude M, Schumpelick V. Type of stomach replacement and intestinal motility after total gastrectomy. *Leber Magen Darm* 1993;194:197-203
3. Miholic J, Meyer HD, Kotzerke J, Balks J, Aebert H, Jäne J, Weimann A, Pichlmayr R. Emptying of the Gastric Substitute After Total Gastrectomy: Jejunal Interposition versus Roux-en-Y. *Ann Surg* 1989;210:165-172
4. Miholic J, Meyer HJ, Müller MJ, Weimann A, Pichlmayr R. Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy: The relationship between mode of reconstruction, postprandial symptoms, and body composition. *Surgery* 1990;108:488-494
5. Basso N, Materia A, Gizzonio D, Marcelli M, De Marco M, Tamburrano G. Nutritional effects of total gastrectomy. A prospective randomized study of Roux-en-Y vs Longmire-Mouchet reconstruction. *Ital J Surg Sci* 1985;15:335-340
6. Behrns KE, Sarr MG. Diagnosis and management of gastric emptying disorders. *Adv Surg* 1994;27:233-255
7. van der Mijle HC, Kleibeuker JH, Limburg AJ, Bleichrodt RP, Beekhuis H, van Schilfgaarde R. Manometric and scintigraphic studies of the relation between motility disturbances in the Roux limb and the Roux-en-Y syndrome. *Am J Surg* 1993;166:11-17
8. Armbrrecht U, Lundell L, Lindstedt G, Stockbrügger RW. Causes of malabsorption after total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Acta Chir Scand* 1988;154:37-41
9. Brägelmann R, Armbrrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Nutrient malassimilation following total gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:26-33
10. Heil TH, Etzrodt H, Mattes P, Peros G, Herfarth CH. Gastrectomy with and without duodenal transit: release of glucagon, insulin and somatostatin. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1981;16:83-87
11. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Beger HG. Secondary Pancreatic Insufficiency Following Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1988;4:314-316
12. Fuchs M, Kohler H, Schafmayer A. Einfluss verschiedener Resektionsverfahren am Magen auf die Freisetzung von Cholecystokinin, Neurotensin und die Pankreasfunktion. *Zentrbl Chir* 1995;120:472-477
13. Friess H, Böhm J, Müller MW, Glasbrenner B, Riepl RL, Malfertheiner P, Büchler MW. Maldigestion after total gastrectomy is associated with pancreatic insufficiency. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;91:341-347
14. Gullo L, Costa L, Ventrucci M, Mattioli S, Vitti G, Labò G. Exocrine Pancreas Function after Total Gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:401-407

15. Köhler H, Schafmayer A, Peiper HJ. Die sekundäre exokrine Pankreasinsuffizienz nach Magenresektion. In: Pankreas, Diagnostik, Therapie. Kozuschek W, Paquet KJ. eds. 1992:547-554
16. MacKay C. Postgastrectomy steatorrhoea. *Am J Surg* 1970;120:324-348
17. Curran FT, Hill GL. Failure of nutritional recovery after total gastrectomy. *Br J Surg* 1990;77:1015-1017
18. Heptner G, Domschke S, Domschke W. Exocrine Pancreatic Function After Gastrectomy - Specificity of Indirect Tests. *Gastroenterology* 1989;97:147-153
19. Fuchs KH, Thiede A, Engemann R, Deltz E, Stremme O, Hamelmann H. Reconstruction of the food passage after total gastrectomy: Randomized trial. *World J Surg* 1995;19:698-705
20. Troidl H, Kusche J, Vestweber JH, Eypasch E, Maul U. Pouch versus oesophagojejunostomy after total gastrectomy: A randomized clinical trial. *World J Surg* 1987;11:699-712
21. Nakane Y, Okumura S, Akehira K, Okamura S, Boku T, Okusa T, Tanaka K, Hioki K. Jejunal pouch reconstruction after total gastrectomy for cancer. A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 1995;222:27-35
22. Schwarz A, Büchler M, Usinger K, Rieger H, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Kunz R, Beger HG. Importance of the duodenal passage and pouch volume after total gastrectomy and reconstruction with the Ulm pouch: prospective randomized clinical study. *World J Surg* 1996;20:60-67
23. Stier A, Holscher AH, Schwaiger M, Siewert JR. Jejunum pouch after total gastrectomy. Clinical and scintigraphic studies of function and quality of life. *Zentralbl/Chir* 1994;119:838-844
24. Schmitz R, Moser KH, Treckmann J. Lebensqualität nach prograder Jejunuminterposition mit und ohne Pouch. Eine prospektive Studie bei Magencarcinompatienten zur Frage des Reservoirs als Rekonstruktionsprinzip nach totaler Gastrektomie. *Chirurg* 1994;65:326-332
25. Schlag P, Buhl K, Wysocki ST, Schwartz R, Herfarth CH. Nutritional Consequences of Total Gastrectomy: Esophagojejunostomy vs. Jejunum Pouch as Reconstructive Procedures. *Nutrition* 1988;4:235-8.
26. Junginger TH, Walgenbach S. Postgastrectomy Reconstruction By Esophagojejunostomy Roux-en-Y. *Nutrition* 1988;4:239-242
27. Michielsen D, Van Hee R, Hendrickx L, Creve U, Peeters R. Functional results after total gastrectomy with enteric pouch reconstruction. A review of 34 cases. *Acta Chir Belg* 1996;96:155-157
28. Roder JD, Stein HJ, Eckel F, Herschbach P, Henrich G, Böttcher K, Busch R, Siewert JR. Vergleich der Lebensqualität nach subtotaler und totaler Gastrektomie beim Magenkarzinom. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1996;121:543-549
29. Buhl K, Lehnert T, Schlag P, Herfarth C. Reconstruction after gastrectomy and quality of life. *World J Surg* 1995;19:558-564

30. Roder JD, Herschbach P, Henrich G, Nagel M, Böttcher K, Siewert JR. Lebensqualität nach totaler Gastrektomie wegen Magenkarzinoms. Ösophagojejunoplicatio mit Pouch versus Ösophagojejunostomie ohne Pouch. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1992;117:241-247
31. Thiede A. Magenersatzoperation und Lebensqualität. In: Fuchs KH, Hamelmann H, eds. *Gastrointestinale Funktionsdiagnostik in der Chirurgie*. Berlin: Blackwell Wissenschaft, 1991:222-228.
32. Herfarth C, Schlag P, Buhl K. Surgical Procedures for Gastric Substitution. *World J Surg* 1987;11:689-698
33. Liedman B, Andersson H, Berglund B, Bosaeus I, Hugosson I, Olbe L, Lundell L. Food intake after gastrectomy for gastric carcinoma: the role of a gastric reservoir. *BJS* 1996;83:1138-1143
34. de Almeida AC, dos-Santos NH, Aldeia FJ. Long-term clinical and endoscopic assessment after total gastrectomy for cancer. *Surg Endosc* 1993;7:518-23.
35. Heimbucher J, Fuchs KH, Freys SM, Clark GW, Incarbone R, DeMeester TR, Bremner CG, Thiede A. Motility in the Hunt-Lawrence pouch after total gastrectomy. *Am J Surg* 1994;168:622-625
36. Li Destri G, Trombatore G, La Greca G, Rinzivillo C, Rodolico M, Desiderio C, Orsina N, Di Cataldo A, Puleo S. Total gastrectomy: nutritional status after three different reconstruction techniques. An experimental study. *J Surg Oncol* 1992;49:98-102
37. Brägelmann R, Armbrrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Small bowel bacterial overgrowth in patients after total gastrectomy. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1997;27:409-416
38. van de Kamer JH, ten Bokkel Huinink H, Weyers HA. Rapid method for the determination of fat in faeces. *J Biol Chem* 1949;177:347-355
39. Armbrrecht U, Jensen J, Eden S, Stockbrügger RW. Assessment of Oro-coecal Transit Time by Means of a Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test As Compared with a Radiologic Control Method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1986;21:669-677
40. Affleck JW, Aitken RCB, Hunter JAA, McGuire RJ, Roy CW. Rehabilitation Status: A Measure of Mediosocial Dysfunction. *Lancet* 1988;1:230-233
41. Lindeman RH, Merenda PF, Gold RZ. *Introduction to Bivariate and Multivariate Analysis*. London: Scott, Foresman and Company, 1980.

Chapter V

The Effect of Pancreatic Enzyme Supplementation in Patients with Total Gastrectomy and Steatorrhoea

R Brägelmann, U Armbrecht, D Rosemeyer,
B Schneider, W Zilly, RW Stockbrügger

Abstract

Objective

To assess the influence of pancreatic enzyme supplementation on symptoms, energy intake, bowel habits and fat malassimilation in patients after total gastrectomy.

Design

A prospective, double-blind, randomised, parallel, placebo-controlled multi-centre trial.

Setting

Institutionalised patients in three gastroenterological rehabilitation clinics.

Participants

52 institutionalised patients with a faecal fat output ≥ 14 g/day, operated on for malignant gastric disease 198 (median, interquartile range (IQR) 47 to 608) days previously, and free from recurrence and/or metastasis.

Interventions

Nine sachets of pancreatic enzymes per day (each containing lipase 36.000, amylase 27.000, protease 2.400 FIP), or identical-looking placebo were given for 14 days.

Main outcome measures

Abdominal symptoms, energy intake, bowel habits and fat malassimilation.

Results

After treatment, patients on enzyme therapy felt overall better ($p=0.006$), but no improvement in a specific symptom could be identified. During the intervention the median kilojoule intake per kilogram body weight and day was 9% higher in the placebo group (170.8 (IQR 146.9 to 202.6)) than in the enzyme treated group (157.0 (IQR 134.8 to 170.4)) ($p=0.03$). Enzyme treatment did not result in a significant difference between the placebo and the enzyme treated group regarding bowel habits or fat malassimilation.

Conclusions

The effect of high dose pancreatic enzyme supplementation on symptoms and steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy is marginal and does not justify its routine use.

Introduction

The annual incidence and mortality rates of gastric cancer are declining in most countries, but stomach cancer is still a major cause of morbidity and mortality world-wide¹. Survival rates for patients with gastric cancer are improving^{2,3}, due to improved surgical technique^{3,5} and earlier diagnosis^{2,6,7}. If in the future an increasing number of patients is to survive gastric cancer more attention has to be directed to the post-gastrectomy situation with the problems of abdominal symptoms, anorexia, malabsorption and weight loss.

The persisting malassimilation syndrome has been attributed to changed eating habits^{8,9}, bacterial overgrowth¹⁰, rapid upper intestinal transit¹⁰⁻¹², primary¹³ or secondary pancreatic insufficiency^{14,15}, deficiency of gastric lipase after total gastrectomy¹⁶, pancreatoco-cibal asynchrony^{17,18}, or other gastrointestinal motility disorders^{19,20}. It has been shown in patients with a Billroth II partial gastrectomy that pancreatic enzyme supplementation can reduce faecal fat excretion^{21,22} and abdominal symptoms²³. In spite of the discussion around the exocrine pancreatic insufficiency after total gastrectomy, there is little data concerning therapeutic intervention with enzyme supplementation. In a previous study with a small number of patients we could show that pancreatic enzyme therapy improved fat assimilation after total gastrectomy²⁴. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of pancreatic enzyme supplementation on symptoms, energy intake, bowel habits and fat malassimilation in a larger and well characterised group of patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

This prospective study was performed at three different gastroenterological clinics in Bad Kissingen, Bad Driburg, and Bad Brückenau (all in Germany) engaged in postoperative rehabilitation. During the period from May 1990 to January 1993 196 patients were seen at the three hospitals following a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy ($m=124$, $f=72$, $m/f=1.7$; median age 57.0, interquartile range (IQR) 50 to 65 years). Eighty-eight patients with faecal fat of 14 grams or more per day and free from recurrence of their malignant disease were asked to participate in a prospective, randomised, parallel, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-centre trial of pancreatic enzyme supplementation. Two patients had a recurrence diagnosed shortly after randomisation, one suffered from an acute pancreatitis, and 33 refused to participate. The remaining 52 patients were included in the study.

Study Design

On hospital admission a standardised history was taken, detailed surgical reports were obtained, a physical examination and standardised biochemical evaluation were performed. Body mass index according to Quetelet (mass/height^2 in kg/m^2 , normal range 20.0 to 25.0) was calculated. Then the patients were put on a standardised diet for a period of five days during which symptoms, bowel habits, energy intake and fat assimilation were evaluated. Thereafter an H_2 -breath test with a standard meal including radiopaque markers was performed. The subsequent drug intervention lasted for 14 days. During the last five days of the intervention period the investigations of the initial dietary period were repeated. Two days after the intervention a second standardised interview was performed.

Registration of symptoms

Symptoms were recorded in two different ways: 1) On admission and after the intervention patients had a standardised registration of abdominal symptoms. 2) During the two periods of controlled diet in all patients faecal frequency (number/day) and faecal consistency (scale 0 to 3, "none" to "watery diarrhoea") were registered, and symptoms were scored ("symptom score", scale 0 to 3, "none at all" to "severe") daily by the authors according to a questionnaire. The five-day score of all three parameters was reported.

Controlled diet period

If patients used pancreatic enzymes on hospital admission they were asked to discontinue these. The period of controlled diet started three days later. The diet consisted of 48% fat, 17% protein, and 35% carbohydrates, respectively, and was not limited quantitatively. Individual nutritional intake was quantified during the controlled diet period at every meal by an attending dietician.

Oro-coecal transit time and small bowel bacterial overgrowth

The frequency of rapid upper intestinal transit and small bowel bacterial overgrowth were evaluated simultaneously using a modification of the H_2 -breath test described by Ambrecht et al. with a standard test meal and radiopaque markers²⁵. The application of the test in the post-gastrectomy situation has been described elsewhere²⁶.

Faecal analyses and fat assimilation

Faecal analysis was performed during the last 72 hours of the two controlled diet periods. Mean 24-hour values for faecal mass and faecal fat (according to van de Kamer²⁷) were calculated. Fat assimilation was calculated as the proportion of fat

excreted in relation to the intake. Faecal chymotrypsin concentration and faecal chymotrypsin output were registered in one centre (normal values 504-34769 U/72 hrs²⁸)

Intervention

During 14 days participants received daily in total nine sachets of pancreatin (Pankreon Granulat). Two sachets were given with every large meal, and one sachet was given with every smaller meal. Each sachet contained lipase 36.000, amylase 27.000, protease 2.400 FIP, or identical-looking placebo. The pancreatic enzyme preparation used is not "enteric-coated" as all patients were achlorhydric following the total gastrectomy.

Ethical considerations

The protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the Bayerische Landesärztekammer, Germany (90109). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients after information about the study.

Statistics

The study was designed to have a statistical power of 80 %. Statistical calculations included the Mann-Whitney-U test for independent samples, the Wilcoxon-test for paired samples, and the χ^2 -test, with Fisher's exact test in cases necessary. An ANOVA model was used to assess the influence of pre-treatment differences in the test groups on following target variables: body mass index, kilojoule intake, fat intake, faecal consistency, faecal frequency, faecal mass, faecal fat excretion, fat malassimilation the summarising symptoms asked on admission and after intervention, and the specific symptoms that were asked during the controlled diet period. A p-value of <5% was assumed to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Tumour characteristics, operations, and post-operative care.

Demographical data of the patients are given in **Table V.1**. There were 25 patients in the placebo group and 27 patients in the enzyme treated group. The time span since operation was significantly shorter in the enzyme treated group ($p=0.03$). In spite of intensive inquiries the exact tumour stage could not be identified in five of the 52 patients.

Table V.1 Demographical parameters in 52 patients entering the study

		Placebo	Enzymes
Male / female		17/8	21/6
Age (years)*		57.5 (50.5-61.8)	56.0 (50.0-68.0)
Time past operation (days)**		390 (69-869)	123 (39-402)
Tumor stage (UICC)	IA	8	7
	IB	5	3
	II	2	3
	IIIA	5	8
	IIIB	0	3
	IV	2	1
	unknown	2	3
Type of operation	Roux-en-Y with pouch	2	2
	Roux-en-Y without pouch	14	16
	Longmire	2	8
	Siewert-Peiper	0	1
	Schloffer	2	0
	oesophago-jejunostomy	3	0
	unknown	0	2

* age and time past operation are given as medians with the interquartile ranges; ** = p 0.03

Biochemistry

Pre-study laboratory tests included haemoglobin, ferritin, albumin, calcium, faecal chymotrypsin concentration, and faecal chymotrypsin output per 72 hours. There were no significant differences regarding these parameters in the two study groups.

Oro-coecal transit time and small bowel bacterial overgrowth

The H₂-breath test was unreliable regarding the diagnosis of small bowel bacterial overgrowth in two patients, because they were H₂-non-producers, and in eighteen, because they had raised H₂-values in combination with a rapid oro-coecal transit time. According to the H₂-breath test, signs of bacterial overgrowth were present in 17 of 32 evaluable patients (placebo 8/15 vs. enzymes 9/17). A rapid oro-coecal transit time was diagnosed in 18 of 50 patients (placebo 9/23 vs. enzymes 9/25). In two patients transit time assessment was unreliable because of technical reasons. There were no significant differences between the study groups regarding the frequency of small bacterial overgrowth or rapid oro-coecal transit.

Premature termination of the study

During the intervention period the participation was prematurely ended in six

patients (placebo $n=1$, enzyme=5): two patients complained of bloating (on enzymes), one had unspecified complaints (on enzymes), one had social reasons for an early hospital discharge (on enzymes), one was accidentally not evaluated during intervention (placebo), and in one patient faeces were accidentally not collected during the intervention (on enzymes). These six patients were excluded from the evaluation of malassimilation and calorie intake. In the last patient the data concerning symptoms were evaluated.

Symptoms

On admission there was no difference in symptom frequency between the placebo and the enzyme treated group (Table V.2).

Table V.2 Frequency of symptoms before and after intervention

		placebo $n=24$	enzymes $n=23$
Dyspepsia	before intervention	17	16**
	after intervention	13	8**
Bloating*	before intervention	12/18	11/18
	after intervention	10/18	7/8
Early satiety	before intervention	10**	10
	after intervention	4**	10**
Reflux	before intervention	6	8
	after intervention	3	7
Dysphagia	before intervention	3	2
	after intervention	1	3
Postprandial vomiting	before intervention	3	2
	after intervention	2	1
Overall improvement of symptoms			
	after intervention not better	18***	8***
	after intervention better	6***	15***

* bloating was asked in 36 patients only; ** denotes $p < 0.05$; *** denotes $p < 0.01$

After the intervention, dyspepsia had improved significantly ($p=0.012$) within the enzyme treated group, but there was no significant difference when compared to placebo. The symptom of early satiety significantly decreased in the group

receiving placebo. There was no significant effect by either treatment on any of the other symptoms. However, after intervention patients who had been on enzyme therapy reported an improvement of overall well-being significantly more often than patients on placebo ($p=0.006$). The total symptom scores before and during the intervention period were not different between the study groups (median 0.340 on a scale from 0.000 to 3.000 in both).

Body mass and energy intake

From operation to hospital admission the median (IQR) decrease in body mass index in the total group of study patients had been 2.04 kg/m^2 (-1.04 to 5.13). This weight loss was significant in both the placebo ($p=0.04$) and the enzyme treated ($p=0.002$) group. At time of operation, on admission, at the start and at the end of the two weeks' intervention period there were no significant differences between the enzyme treated and the placebo treated group regarding body mass; neither was there any significant change in body mass index during therapy within either of the trial groups.

During the first dietary registration the median kilojoule intake was 10101 (IQR 8996 to 10817) kilojoules per day or 166.2 (IQR 134.4 to 181.3) kilojoules/kg body weight and day for all patients, with no significant difference between the two study groups. During the intervention the median kilojoule intake per kilogram body weight was 9% higher in the placebo group (170.8 (IQR 146.9 to 202.6)) than in the enzyme treated group (157.0 (IQR 134.8 to 170.4)) ($p=0.03$). This difference was due to a significant increase of the median kilojoule intake in the placebo group and a non-significant decrease of kilojoule intake in the enzyme treated group. The fat intake was not significantly different between the two study groups before and during intervention.

Bowel habits and steatorrhoea

In the whole group of patients, faecal consistency was reported to be normal to soft with a median bowel frequency of 1.9 bowel movements per day. There were no significant differences of either faecal consistency or faecal frequency before and during intervention between the two groups.

In the total patient group, the median (IQR) for faecal mass and faecal fat output per day was 316 (236 to 443) grams and 23.0 (16.3 to 35.2) grams, respectively. During the intervention there was a non-significant decrease in median faecal mass and median faecal fat output in the enzyme treated group resulting in a non-significant trend to improved fat assimilation (20.8% (16.4-30.4); 15.5% (9.2-24.9), $p=0.1$), whereas these parameters did not change in the placebo group (19.4% (14.3-27.1); 18.7% (11.7-29.1), $p=0.4$). However, neither faecal mass, faecal fat output, nor fat assimilation were significantly different between the

placebo group and the enzyme treated group before and during intervention (Figures V.1-3).

Figure V.1 Faecal mass in patients after total gastrectomy without and with pancreatic enzyme supplementation. Given are the individual mean values of faecal mass per day in a 72 hour-period before and during pancreatic enzyme supplementation. The number of patients was 24 in the placebo and 22 in the enzyme group.

Figure V.2 Faecal fat output in patients after total gastrectomy without and with pancreatic enzyme supplementation. Given are the individual mean values of faecal fat output per day in a 72 hour-period before and during pancreatic enzyme supplementation. The number of patients was 24 in the placebo and 22 in the enzyme group.

Figure V.3 Fat malabsorption in patients after total gastrectomy without and with pancreatic enzyme supplementation. Given are the individual mean values of fat malabsorption per day in a 72 hour-period before and during pancreatic enzyme supplementation. The number of patients was 20 in the enzyme and 22 in the placebo respectively, due to two missing values in each group.

DISCUSSION

Post-gastrectomy malabsorption probably has many causes including pancreatic maldigestion^{13-15,17,18}. Although pancreatic exocrine insufficiency after total gastrectomy has been much investigated, there is no final agreement about its pathophysiological mechanisms, mainly due to the methodological problems in assessing pancreatic function after the profound change of the anatomical situation: direct measurement of enzyme output is hindered by the Roux-en-Y reconstruction, and the PABA-test, the pancreolauryl test as well as determination of faecal chymotrypsin have all shown a relatively low specificity²⁹. The amino acid consumption test seems to have a low sensitivity³⁰⁻³². The faecal elastase test might be of interest for this topic, but was not described yet when this study was started³³. The question remains whether steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy is due to primary or secondary pancreatic insufficiency combined with pancreatico-cibal dyssynchrony.

It is important to remember that in exocrine pancreatic insufficiency due to chronic pancreatitis pathologically increased faecal fat output occurs only when more than 90% of the lipase function has been lost³⁴. If exocrine pancreatic insufficiency were

the principal causative factor in post-gastrectomy fat malassimilation supplementation with large doses of pancreatic enzymes should change this situation. In this trial we studied a group of patients - well defined regarding their symptoms, dietary habits, and weight development - with the aim of analysing the overall impact of pancreatic enzyme supplementation. To our knowledge this is the only controlled study published so far regarding this question.

After total gastrectomy, symptoms and weight loss are of major concern to patients and physicians. Frequently weight stabilises on a low level, with the risk of rapid deterioration at minor somatic or psychological stress. We did not expect that pancreatic enzyme supplementation would be able to significantly revert weight loss during a fortnight's intervention. However, if pancreatic enzyme supplementation had any effect on weight loss after total gastrectomy, the most probable ways of action were either by increasing energy intake due to less eating related symptoms^{11,35} and/or by improving fat absorption³⁶. Neither of these events could be confirmed in this study.

The placebo and enzyme treated groups were similar regarding their subjective and objective pre-study parameters except for a significantly shorter time span since operation in the enzyme treated group. In an ANOVA model, the time span since operation did not influence any of the target variables and therefore does not disturb the interpretation of the main results.

The spectrum of symptoms reported by the patients was similar to what has been reported by others. Symptoms were frequent, but of low degree. This fact may have contributed to the small effect of enzyme supplementation on every single symptom investigated. The reason for the significant improvement of the overall well-being during enzyme supplementation therefore remains unclear.

The diet during the controlled diet period was not limited quantitatively, because we also wanted to assess the impact of pancreatic enzymes on energy intake, being well aware that relative fat malabsorption after total gastrectomy is independent of intake^{8,37}.

Looking in synopsis at the effect of pancreatic enzyme supplementation after total gastrectomy in this study, it cannot be denied that there are some marginal advantages regarding subjective symptoms. However, enzyme supplementation in the high dosage applied did not lead to an increased energy intake and did not significantly alter fat malabsorption.

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency, therefore, seems not to be the most important contributor to nutrient malassimilation after total gastrectomy. Other than in chronic pancreatitis, where enzyme supplementation can have considerable effects, these are inconspicuous after total gastrectomy.

References

1. Parkin D, Laara E, Muir C. Estimate of the world-wide frequency of sixteen major cancers in 1980. *Int J Cancer* 1988;41:184-197
2. Sue-Ling HM, Johnston D, Martin IG, Dixon MF, Landsdown MRJ, McMahon MJ, Axon ATR. Gastric cancer: a curable disease in Britain. *BMJ* 1993;307:591-595
3. Maruyama K, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Sano T, Katai H. Surgical treatment for gastric cancer: the Japanese approach. *Semin Oncol* 1996;23:360-368
4. Pacelli F, Doglietto GB, Bellatone R, Alfieri S, Sgadari A, Crucitti F. Extensive versus limited lymph node dissection for gastric cancer: a comparative study of patients. *Br J Surg* 1993;80:1153-1156
5. Harrison LE, Karpeh MS, Brennan MF. Extended lymphadenectomy is associated with a survival benefit for node negative gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology* 1997;122:A1447
6. Farley DR, Donohue JH, Nagorney DM, Carpenter HA, Katzmann JA, Ilstrup DM. Early gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 1992;79:539-542
7. Hisamichi S. Screening for Gastric Cancer. *World J Surg* 1989;13:31-37
8. Bradley EL, Isaacs J, Hersch T, Davidson ED, Milikan W. Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy. *Ann Surg* 1975;182:415-429
9. Cristallo M, Braga M, Agape D, Primignani M, Zuliani W, Vecchi M, Murone M, Sironi M, Di Carlo V, De Franchis R. Nutritional status, function of the small intestine and jejunal morphology after total gastrectomy for carcinoma of the stomach. *Surg Gyn Obst* 1986;163:225-230
10. Armbrrecht U, Lundell L, Lindstedt G, Stockbrügger RW. Causes of malabsorption after total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Acta Chir Scand* 1988;154:37-41
11. Brägelmann R, Armbrrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Nutrient malassimilation following total gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:26-33
12. Bond JH, Levitt MD. Use of breath hydrogen (H_2) to quantitate small bowel transit time following partial gastrectomy. *J Lab Clin Med* 1977;90:30-36
13. Friess H, Böhm J, Müller MW, Glasbrenner B, Riepl RL, Malfethertheiner P, Büchler MW. Maldigestion after total gastrectomy is associated with pancreatic insufficiency. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;91:341-347
14. Büchler M, Malfethertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Beger HG. Rat exocrine pancreas function following total gastrectomy. *Int J Pancreatol* 1986;1:389-398
15. Gullo L, Costa L, Ventrucchi M, Mattioli S, Vitti G, Labò G. Exocrine Pancreas Function after Total Gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:401-407
16. Carriere F, Barrowman JA, Verger R, Laugier R. Secretion and Contribution to Lipolysis of Gastric and Pancreatic Lipases During a Test Meal in Humans. *Gastroenterology* 1993;105:876-888

17. Leth RD, Abrahamsson H, Kilander A, Lundell LR. Malabsorption of fat after partial gastric resection. A study of pathophysiological mechanisms. *Eur J Surg* 1991;157:205-208
18. Armbrecht U, Brägelmann R, Baumgart I, Stockbrügger RW. Fecal Chymotrypsin Output in Relation To Fecal Fat after Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Gastroenterology* 1994;106:A219
19. Faß J, Bares R, Staude M, Schumpelick V. Type of stomach replacement and intestinal motility after total gastrectomy. *Leber Magen Darm* 1993;23:194-203
20. Miholic J, Meyer HJ, Müller MJ, Weimann A, Pichlmayr R. Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy. The relationship between mode of reconstruction, postprandial symptoms, and body composition. *Surgery* 1990;108:488-494
21. Hillman HS. Postgastrectomy malnutrition. *Gut* 1968;9:576-584
22. Worning H. The effect of enzyme substitution in patients with pancreatic insufficiency. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1980;15:529-533
23. Tillander H, Tveit E. Beeinflussung des Dumping-Syndroms mit Pankreon. *Münch Med Wschr* 1978;120:1717-1718
24. Armbrecht U, Lundell L, Stockbrügger RW. The benefit of pancreatic enzyme substitution after total gastrectomy. *Aliment Pharmacol Therap* 1988;2:4493-4500
25. Armbrecht U, Jensen J, Eden S, Stockbrügger RW: Assessment of Oro-coecal Transit Time by Means of a Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test As Compared with a Radiologic Control Method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1986;21:669-677
26. Brägelmann R, Armbrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Small bowel bacterial overgrowth in patients after total gastrectomy. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1997;27:409-16
27. van de Kamer JH, ten Bokkel Huinink H, Weyers HA. Rapid method for the determination of fat in faeces. *J Biol Chem* 1949;177:347-355
28. Stockbrügger RW, Armbrecht U. Determination of Faecal Chymotrypsin Concentration and 72- Hour Faecal Chymotrypsin Output in the Detection of Pancreatic Steatorrhoea. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1991;188:13-19
29. Heptner G, Domschke S, Domschke W. Exocrine Pancreatic Function After Gastrectomy - Specificity of Indirect Tests. *Gastroenterology* 1989;97:147-153
30. Lembcke B, Konle O, Duan LP, Caspary WF. Lack of accuracy of plasma α -amino nitrogen profiles as an indicator of exocrine pancreatic function both after continuous and bolus stimulation of the pancreas with secretin and choecystokinin-pancreozymin. *Z Gastroenterol* 1994;32:679-683
31. Maringhini A, Nelson DK, Jones JD, DiMagno EP. Is the plasma amino acid consumption test an accurate test of exocrine pancreatic insufficiency? *Gastroenterology* 1994;106:488-493
32. Kemmer TP, Malfertheiner P, Häberle H, Pohlandt F, Friess H, Büchler M. Die diagnostische Wertigkeit des Aminosäurenabsorptionstest beim Nachweis einer exokrinen Pankreasfunktionsstörung. *Z Gastroenterol* 1992;30:391-396
33. Stein J, Schoonbroodt D, Jung M, Lembcke B, Caspary WF. Measurement of fecal elastase I by immunoreactivity: a new indirect test of the pancreatic function. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 1996;20:424-429

34. DiMagno EP, Go VLW, Summerskill WHJ. Relations between pancreatic enzyme output and malabsorption in severe pancreatic insufficiency. *N Eng J Med* 1973;288:813-815
35. Bozzetti F, Bonfanti G, Castellani R, Maffioli L, Rubino A, Diazzi G, Cozzaglio L, Gennari L. Comparing reconstruction with Roux-en-Y to a pouch following total gastrectomy. *J Am Coll Surg* 1996;183:243-248
36. Liedman B, Andersson H, Bosaeus MD, Hugosson BSC, Lundell L. Changes in Body Composition after Gastrectomy: Results of a Controlled Prospective Clinical Trial. *World J Surg* 1997;21:416-421
37. Schwartz MK, Bodansky O, Randal L. Metabolism in surgical patients. II. Fat and mineral metabolism in totally gastrectomized patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1956;4:51-60

Chapter VI

The Influence of Rapid Oro-coecal Transit Time on Symptoms, Clinical Signs, and Nutrient Assimilation in Patients after Total Gastrectomy

R Brägelmann, U Armbrecht, D Rosemeyer,
B Schneider, W Zilly, RW Stockbrügger

Submitted for publication

Abstract

Purpose

To determine the influence of rapid oro-coecal transit time on symptomatology, clinical signs and nutrient malassimilation after total gastrectomy.

Patients and Methods

97 patients after potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were evaluated for symptoms and signs of malassimilation. Oro-coecal transit time was assessed with a radiographically controlled H₂-breath-test. 62 patients had a normal transit time and 35 of the patients had an oro-coecal transit time <75 minutes.

Results

Bloating was significantly more frequent in patients with a rapid oro-coecal transit ($p=0.03$). They also had a significantly greater faecal fat output ($p<0.02$) and malassimilation of ingested fat ($p<0.05$) than patients with normal transit.

Conclusions

After total gastrectomy, a small bowel transit time shorter than 75 minutes is associated with marked fat malassimilation. In these patients studies are needed on medical intervention aiming at prolonging oro-coecal transit.

Introduction

The oro-coecal transit time (OCTT) covers the passage of food through the oesophagus, the stomach and the small intestine. During this time nutrients are mixed, portioned, digested and absorbed.

Oro-coecal transit time can be assessed using H₂-breath tests with different substrates such as lactulose^{1,2} and standard meals^{3,4}, or by scintigraphic investigations^{5,7}. The average value for the oro-coecal transit time with standard meals^{3,4} and scintigraphic methods^{6,12} is about 4 hours. Tests using lactulose result in more rapid small intestinal transit, which may render physiological assessment difficult^{2,13}.

Various factors influence the oro-coecal transit time. Whereas the influence of the age on oro-coecal transit time is controversial^{6,14-16}, intestinal transit as measured with the H₂-breath test is prolonged in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle¹⁷. Size and composition of gastric contents¹⁸ as well as volume of gastric secretion¹⁹ seem to be of importance. Proteolytic activity of the pancreas slows oro-coecal transit²⁰. Short²¹ and medium chain fatty acids²² in the ileum accelerate oro-coecal transit, whilst long chain fatty acids prolong it^{21,23-26}. However, some authors could not show an influence of long chain fatty acids on small bowel transit time^{22,27}. Extended duration of lipid exposure may lead to adaptation of the small intestine^{28,29}.

In the situation after total gastrectomy there are profound motility changes³⁰⁻⁴⁰. Although the anatomical oro-coecal distance is shortened, transit time is not necessarily faster than in healthy controls^{30,31,41}. The frequency of an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes after total gastrectomy as assessed with a radio-graphically controlled H₂-breath test is by our group reported to be around 36%⁴². A rapid oro-coecal transit time can cause malabsorption of all nutrients^{43,44}. In our own previous small study oro-coecal transit time was inversely correlated to post-operative weight loss after total gastrectomy⁴². The aim of the present study was to elucidate in a large representative patient population whether rapid oro-coecal transit influences symptoms, biochemical parameters, and - most importantly - nutrient assimilation after total gastrectomy.

Patients and methods

During the period from May 1990 to January 1993, 196 consecutively admitted patients following a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were seen at three German gastroenterological rehabilitation hospitals in Bad Kissingen, Bad Driburg, and Bad Brückenau. In Germany after major surgery, patients have the opportunity of one or several post-operative admissions to a

rehabilitative centre. Therefore, the patients of the present study were electively referred for rehabilitative purposes without known other serious disease. Of the 196 patients, 14 were admitted for the second time, and in eight patients a recurrence and/or metastasis was diagnosed shortly after admission. These patients were excluded from this study. The remaining 174 consecutive patients were considered for this study.

Basic evaluation

After clinical, haematological and biochemical evaluation on admission, all patients were asked whether they suffered from the following abdominal symptoms: dyspepsia, bloating, early satiety, reflux, dysphagia, dumping, vomiting; also overall well-being was evaluated. Body mass was evaluated using the Quetelet index (weight/height²; normal range 20.0 to 25.0 Kg/m²).

Employment Status and Medico-social functioning

The employment status was recorded on admission. In order to assess medico-social functioning the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) was applied on admission by one of the authors. The Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale has been tested in several somatic patient groups in rehabilitative medicine⁴⁵. The scale ranges from 0 to 28 points, with higher figures indicating a poorer medico-social functioning. The ERSS measures the dimensions of medico-social performance in four different subscales: Independence, activity, social integration, and effects of symptoms on life style. Subscale points are summed up to the final score.

Controlled diet period

Patients on pancreatic enzyme supplementation at the time of hospital admission were asked to discontinue this at least three days before the following evaluation started. All patients were then put on a standardised diet for five days. During the diet period, meals were composed of 48% fat, 17% protein, and 35% carbohydrates, respectively. The individual nutritional intake was quantified at every meal by an attending dietician and summarised each day. During the period of controlled diet abdominal complaints, faecal frequency, and faecal consistency were scored daily according to a questionnaire-interview (score 0 to 3) and a five-day average score of each parameter was reported.

Fat malassimilation

During the last three days of the controlled diet period faecal mass and faecal fat output were assessed, the latter according to the method of van de Kamer⁴⁶. Fat assimilation was calculated as the proportion of fat output compared to the intake.

In one centre, Bad Kissingen, chymotrypsin concentration and output per 72 hours were measured. Normal values for the latter are 504-34769 U/72 hours⁴⁷.

Endoscopy

An upper endoscopy was performed in each patient, unless it had been performed during the previous two months. In these cases the endoscopic diagnosis from the last examination was recorded.

Oro-coecal transit time as assessed with the H₂-breath test with radiopaque markers

Rapid upper intestinal transit - defined as an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes⁴² - and small bowel bacterial overgrowth were evaluated simultaneously using a modification of the H₂-breath test described by Ambrecht et al⁴: Medical records were scrutinised to ensure that no antimicrobial treatment had been given during the two previous weeks. After a low-fibre diet during the day before the test and an overnight fast⁴⁸, end-expiratory H₂-concentrations were serially analysed every 15 minutes, starting 15 minutes prior to the test breakfast, the composition of which is shown in **Table VI.1**. Ten radiopaque markers (6.0x1.8 mm) were added to the test meal to indicate transit into the caecum. H₂-concentrations were measured with a GMI Exhaled H₂ Monitor (GMI Medical Ltd., Inchinnan Estate, Renfrew, Scotland). Samples were taken by direct insufflation via a Y-piece with flow reduction⁴⁸. Duplicate samples were taken at each time point, and the arithmetic mean of these two measurements was used for evaluation.

Table VI.1 Composition of the standard test meal for the combined assessment of the oro-coecal transit time and upper gastrointestinal bacterial overgrowth

Water		150	ml
Porridge made from	water	200	ml
	milk	50	ml
	rolled oats	36	g
White bread		50	g
Margarine		10	g
Cheese (16% fat)		13	g
Smoked medwurst		12	g
Total energy content		2.0	MJ
Energy contribution from carbohydrate		39	%
10 radiopaque markers			

Seventy-five minutes after the start of the breakfast a plain abdominal x-ray was taken to detect oro-coecal transit shorter than 75 minutes. If the first radiopaque marker(s) had reached the coecal area or the ascending colon, the patient was assumed to have a rapid oro-coecal transit. In this case the further examination was stopped. In all other cases a second radiograph was taken when a 100% rise occurred above base line values of breath H_2 -concentration, in order to differentiate between upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth and transit of the test meal into the colon. If markers were identified in the colon, the time point was recorded as the oro-coecal transit time. If markers were still in the small intestine, it was concluded that oro-coecal transit was longer than the time period measured and that patients had small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. The test was ended at 210 minutes irrespective of markers having reached the colon or not. Therefore, absolute values for transit times below 75 minutes and above 210 minutes cannot be given in this paper. Patients with an oro-coecal transit time longer than 210 minutes were evaluated mathematically as having an oro-coecal transit time of 210 minutes. Figure VI.1 exemplifies four possible test results.

Figure VI.1 Measurements of oro-coecal transit time in patients after total gastrectomy with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes). Examples of H_2 -breath tests with a test breakfast as used in this study. The line with the filled circles denotes a patient without bacterial overgrowth and an oro-coecal transit time exceeding 210 minutes. The line with the black squares denotes a patient without bacterial overgrowth in whom markers were identified in the ascending colon at 150 minutes. The line with the white squares denotes a patient with bacterial overgrowth. At 120 minutes there is a rise in H_2 -concentration, but markers were identified in the small intestine; the OCTT could therefore not be measured. The line with the white circles denotes a patient with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes as the H_2 -values were already rising and radiopaque markers were identified in the ascending colon at 75 minutes.

Statistics

Statistical calculations included the χ^2 -tests and Mann-Whitney U test. A p-value of 0.05 was considered to be significant. The protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the Bayerische Landesärztekammer, Germany.

Results

The radiographic evaluation of the complete oro-coecal transit could not be performed in 30 patients (f=9, m=21; median age=53 years, interquartile range (IQR)=50 to 63) because of technical reasons. Another forty-seven patients had small bowel bacterial overgrowth. A detailed report regarding these patients is given elsewhere⁴⁹. These two patient groups were excluded from the further analysis. The remaining 97 patients (f=33, m=64; median age=58 years, interquartile range (IQR)=50 to 67) were evaluable. In these, the total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy had taken place 226 days earlier (median; IQR 63 to 727). Patients with a transit time ≥ 75 minutes (n=62; 64%) were compared with patients with rapid oro-coecal transit defined as <75 minutes (n=35; 36%). **Figure VI.2** gives the distribution of the oro-coecal transit times for the patients of the three different clinics; the frequency of rapid and normal oro-coecal transit times did not differ between the three clinics. 46 patients (47%) had an oro-coecal transit time of 210 minutes or more.

Figure VI.2 Oro-coecal transit time in patients after total gastrectomy with normal and with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT <75 minutes). Given are the oro-coecal transit time for 97 patients after total gastrectomy with normal and with rapid (<75 minutes) oro-coecal transit from Bad Kissingen, Bad Driburg, and Bad Brückenau. The ≥ 210 -minutes-line denotes values that are ≥ 210 minutes and the 75-minute-line denotes values that are <75 minutes. Absolute values for transit times above 210 minutes and below 75 minutes cannot be given because of methodological reasons.

There were no significant differences between the two transit-time groups regarding gender, age, time since operation, tumour stage, and type of operation (**Table VI.2**).

Table VI.2 Gender, age, time since operation, tumour stage and type of operation in patients with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes)

	OCTT ≥ 75 minutes (n = 62)		OCTT < 75 minutes (n = 35)	
Male/Female	41/21		23/12	
Age (years)*	n=61	57 (50-67)	n=35	59 (52-68)
Time past operation (days)**	n=60	309 (86-747)	n=34	164 (55-656)
Tumour stage 0	1		0	
IA	18		13	
IB	12		9	
II	9		3	
IIIA	9		3	
IIIB	1		2	
IV	2		3	
Lymphomas	10		2	
Roux-en-Y without pouch	30	48%	22	62%
Roux-en-Y with pouch	13	21%	2	6%
Small bowel interposition	6	10%	7	20%
Unknown	13	21%	4	11%

* median and interquartile range (IQR); one missing value; ** for time past operation three missing values

Symptoms were present in almost all patients (**Table VI.3**). Bloating and dyspepsia were the most common complaints. Bloating was significantly more frequent in patients with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes than in those with longer transit time (82% vs. 52%; $p=0.03$). There were no other significant differences in symptom frequency and symptom severity between the groups.

Table VI.3 Frequency and severity of symptoms on admission in patients with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes)

	OCTT ≥ 75 minutes (n=62)		OCTT < 75 minutes (n=35)	
	n	%	n	%
Bloating*	13/25	52	18/22	82
Dyspepsia	37	60	27	77
Early satiety	36	58	20	57
Reflux	16	26	10	29
Dysphagia	9	15	7	20
Postprandial vomiting	10	16	3	9
Any symptoms	51	82	33	94
		med***		med***
		IQR		IQR
Symptomscore**	61	0.290	35	0.450
		0.030-0.715		0.280-0.570

* bloating was asked in 47 patients, $p=0.03$; ** scale from 0.000 to 3.000; *** med denotes median; IQR denotes interquartile range

The Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) used as a measure of medico-social functioning and the employment status were not different between the two groups (Table VI.4).

Table VI.4 Employment status and Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) on admission in patients with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes)

	OCTT ≥ 75 minutes		OCTT < 75 minutes	
	n=62	%	n=35	%
Employment status				
Working	8	13	4	11
Not working due to ill health	16	26	12	34
Early retirement due to ill health	5	8	0	0
Retirement due to age	19	31	15	43
Unemployed	14	23	4	11
Working/potentially working	8/29	28	4/16	25
		med*		med*
		IQR		IQR
ERSS (0 to 28, best to worst)	62	3.0	34	3.5
		1.0-5.0		1.0-6.0

* med denotes median; IQR denotes interquartile range

Endoscopic findings in patients with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes were not different from findings in patients with normal transit.

In the total patient population the median body mass index on admission was 21.9 kg/m² (IQR 20.0 to 24.0). The median weight loss since operation had been 9.2% (IQR -2.6 to 16.3). The median calorie intake during the controlled diet period was 2347 kilocalories per day (median, IQR 2004 to 2600), accounting for a median calorie intake of 38.0 (IQR 30.0 to 43.1) kilocalories per kilogram body weight and day (Table VI.5).

Table VI.5 Weight development and energy intake in patients with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes)

	OCTT ≥ 75 minutes			OCTT < 75 minutes		
	n	median	IQR	n	median	IQR
BMI (kg/m ²)	62	22.0	20.0-24.0	35	22.4	20.0-24.2
Weight loss (%)	62	8.7	-4.8-14.2	35	10.3	-1.7-16.7
Calorie intake (kcal/day)*	61	2378	1946-2600	34	2380	1973-2582
Calorie intake**	58	38.0	29.6-41.9	30	39.4	29.8-44.0
Fat intake (g/day)*	61	121	99-133	34	122	101-132

IQR denotes interquartile range; * during a five day period; ** denotes kilocalories per kg body weight and day

Haematological and biochemical data on hospital admission were comparable in patients with normal transit and patients with rapid oro-coecal transit (Table VI.6).

Table VI.6 Haematological and biochemical data on hospital admission in patients with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes)

	normal range	OCTT ≥ 75 minutes			OCTT < 75 minutes		
		n	median	IQR	n	median	IQR
Haemoglobin (g/L)	133-177	61	137	127-149	35	133	124-140
Ferritin (µg/L)	20-400	60	38	16-92	33	61	18-197
Albumin (g/L)	35.2-50.4	60	44	41-48	32	45	40-48
Calcium* (mmol/L)	2.20-2.50	60	2.35	2.28-2.48	31	2.34	2.27-2.48
FOBT			2/59	3%		1/35	3%

IQR denotes interquartile range; * corrected for albumin; FOBT denotes faecal occult blood test (at least 1 of 3 slides positive)

Bowel habits were not different between the two groups. Median faecal mass tended to be higher in the patients with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes compared to patients with a normal oro-coecal transit time. In none of these parameters was there a significant difference between the two study groups (**Table VI.7**).

Table VI.7 Bowel habits in patients with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes)

	OCTT ≥ 75 minutes			OCTT < 75 minutes		
	n	median	IQR	n	median	IQR
Faecal consistency*	62	1.0	1.0-2.0	35	1.2	1.0-2.0
Faecal frequency per day	59	1.2	1.0-2.0	35	1.6	1.0-2.0
Faecal mass**(g/d)	57	208	140-302	33	262	143-373

IQR denotes interquartile range; * faecal consistency is ranked: 0=no faeces, 1=normally formed, 2=soft, 3=watery diarrhoea, scale from 0.0 to 3.0; ** one centre reported no faecal mass

The median faecal chymotrypsin concentration was significantly lower in patients with oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes compared to the remainder (2.7 (IQR 2.1 to 4.6) vs. 4.9 (IQR 2.9 to 7.2) U/g; $p < 0.05$). Also, median faecal chymotrypsin output during 72 hours tended to be lower in the patients with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes. However, this difference was statistically not significant ($p = 0.2$) (**Table VI.8**).

Table VI.8 Faecal chymotrypsin concentration and faecal chymotrypsin output on hospital admission in 35 patients with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes)

	normal range	OCTT ≥ 75 minutes			OCTT < 75 minutes		
		n	median	IQR	n	median	IQR
Chym (U/g)*	> 6	20	4.9	3.2-7.5	15	2.7	2.1-4.6
Chym-out (U/72hrs)**	504-34769***	20	2916	1572-3942	15	2097	1167-3699

IQR denotes interquartile range; * Chym denotes chymotrypsin concentrations in faeces, $p < 0.05$; ** Chym-out denotes faecal chymotrypsin output during 72 hours, $p = 0.2$; *** according to Stockbrügger et al.⁴⁷

Patients with rapid oro-coecal transit had a 50 % higher median daily faecal fat output ($p < 0.02$) (**Figure VI.3**) and a 47% higher fat malassimilation ($p < 0.05$) (**Figure VI.4**) compared to patients with normal transit. The number of patients

with a daily faecal fat output exceeding 14 grams was 24/62 (39%) in the group with normal oro-coecal transit time versus 22/34 (65%) in those patients with rapid oro-coecal transit ($p=0.02$).

Figure VI.3 Faecal fat output in patients after total gastrectomy with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes). Faecal fat output of patients without (n=62) and patients with (n=34) an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes in whisker-boxplots. The lower boundary of the boxes is the 25th percentile, the upper boundary is the 75th percentile, the line in the box represents the median. The triangles denote outliers, which are defined as cases with a value 1.5 to 3 box-lengths from the edge of the box. The whiskers show the highest and lowest values that are not outliers. In one patients data was missing.

Figure VI.4 Fat malassimilation in patients after total gastrectomy with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes). Fat output in % of intake in patients without (n=61) and patients with (n=33) an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes in whisker-boxplots. The definition of the box plots is as in figure VI.3. In three patients data was missing.

Discussion

Patients with a potentially curative total gastrectomy frequently experience weight-loss, diarrhoea and abdominal symptoms. This malassimilation syndrome has been attributed to changed eating habits⁵⁰⁻⁵⁹, bacterial overgrowth⁴², rapid upper intestinal transit⁴²⁻⁴⁴, primary^{60,61} or secondary pancreatic insufficiency⁶²⁻⁶⁴, deficiency of gastric lipase after total gastrectomy⁶⁵, pancreatoco-cibal asynchrony⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸, or other gastrointestinal motility disorders^{34,35,39,69}.

Oro-coecal transit time in healthy persons is influenced by various factors including size and composition of gastric contents¹⁸, as well as volume of gastric secretion¹⁹, diet composition²¹⁻²⁹, and proteolytic activity of the pancreas²⁰. After total gastrectomy for gastric cancer tumour stage, type of surgical reconstruction, the time elapsed since operation and nutritional factors are of potential impact.

Studies on intestinal motility changes after curative total gastrectomy and their consequences are rare⁴². The aim of the present multicenter study was, therefore, to elucidate whether rapid oro-coecal transit influences symptoms, biochemical parameters, and nutrient assimilation controlling for some of the confounding variables mentioned above.

The patients of the present study had in general been operated on for early stage cancer and the selection of the patients to care in a rehabilitation centre remote from home made it probable that there was limited comorbidity. In all cases persisting or recurrent malignancy was excluded by thorough clinical investigation.

Assessing oro-coecal transit time after total gastrectomy poses a problem. An H₂-breath test with lactulose seemed not feasible, because this substance accelerates transit time in healthy persons^{2,3,13} and might even cause dumping problems after total gastrectomy. Radio-nuclear methods were not available in all participating hospitals. We therefore used a radiographically controlled H₂-breath test with a standard meal in a modification described by Armbrecht et al.⁴ to look for rapid oro-coecal transit and to simultaneously control for bacterial overgrowth of the small bowel. Rapid oro-coecal transit was defined as being faster than 75 minutes⁴². This value is lower than the lower normal limit for oro-coecal transit in healthy persons^{3,4,6-12}. The test, however, does not give absolute figures for transit times below 75 minutes, and also, in patients with bacterial overgrowth the transit time cannot be assessed. Patients with bacterial overgrowth were therefore excluded from the study.

After total gastrectomy, the frequency of an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes as assessed with this method has previously been described as 21.7%⁴³. The frequency of an oro-coecal transit below 75 minutes described in this study (36%), is probably falsely high, as 47 patients with small bowel bacterial overgrowth and normal oro-coecal transit time were left out of the analysis to exclude bacteria as a possible aetiopathogenic factor for alterations in symptoms,

nutrient malassimilation, and medico-social functioning⁴⁹. In 30 other patients there were technical problems with the second radiograph and oro-coecal transit time could not be measured precisely. These patients were not included in this study either.

On first sight it might be surprising that not all patients after total gastrectomy have a shortened oro-coecal transit time, since the anatomical oro-coecal distance is shortened. However, transit time after gastrectomy is not necessarily faster than in healthy controls³⁰⁻⁴¹.

The prevalence of symptoms of the patients was high. Bloating was significantly more frequent in patients with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes. Colonic bacterial fermentation of malabsorbed carbohydrates with gas production is the probable explanation for this.

Although the overall symptom-score of the patients with a rapid OCTT was 55% higher than the score of the patients with normal OCTT, this difference was not significant. Since overall symptom intensity as well as the medico-social functioning were similar in both groups, it is not surprising that the employment status of the two study groups did not differ essentially.

Body mass index and weight development were nearly identical in patients with normal oro-coecal transit time and in patients with a transit faster than 75 minutes; also the energy intake of the two groups was comparable. This seems to be in contrast to a smaller previous study, which showed a negative correlation between weight loss and oro-coecal transit time. However, in contrast to the present study, in that study by Armbrrecht et al.⁴² oro-coecal transit times below 75 minutes were further specified. Three of the eleven patients analysed had an oro-coecal transit of less than 60 minutes and in one additional patient oro-coecal transit was faster than 50 minutes. Two of these four patients had by far the greatest weight loss of the whole study group.

Bowel habits were not different between the study groups. This is understandable, since a healthy colon is able to compensate for moderate malabsorption. Anamnestical data regarding bowel habits will therefore give little clue as to the presence or absence of rapid oro-coecal transit in patients after total gastrectomy.

Whereas most biochemical values did not differ between the study groups, the chymotrypsin concentration of the faeces was significantly lower in patients with short oro-coecal transit, and faecal chymotrypsin output also tended to be lower. The most probable explanation is a decreased stimulation of the exocrine pancreas in patients with rapid oro-coecal transit compared to those with normal transit times.

Patients with rapid OCTT had a significantly greater fat malassimilation than those with normal OCTT. Two main factors seem to be responsible: at the first the spill-over to the colon of considerable amounts of nutrient substrate, secondly the impaired intraluminal digestion of the substrate due to lack of lipolytic capacity. If

the chymotrypsin concentrations and output measured in this study can be supposed to reflect exocrine pancreatic function, then the values obtained not only suggest a decreased pancreatic function (due to insufficient stimulation) but also a decreased intraluminal availability (due to pancreatoco-cibal dyssynchrony).

The findings of the present study seem to explain why after total gastrectomy supplementation of pancreatic enzymes in its own has little effect on nutrient malassimilation (as recently indicated⁷⁰). We suggest, therefore, that in patients with nutrient malassimilation and shortened OCTT after total gastrectomy pancreatic enzymes should be combined with measures prolonging small-intestinal transit.

References

1. Corbett CL, Thomas S, Read NW, Hobson N, Bergman I, Holdsworth CD. Electrochemical detector for breath hydrogen determination: measurement of small bowel transit time in normal subjects and patients with the irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 1981;22:836-840
2. Bond JH Jr, Levitt MD, Prentiss R. Investigation of small bowel transit time in man utilizing pulmonary hydrogen (H_2) measurements. *J Lab Clin Med* 1975;85:546-555
3. Korth H, Müller I, Erkenbrecht JF, Wienbeck M. Breath hydrogen as a test for gastrointestinal transit. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1984;31:282-284
4. Armbrrecht U, Jensen J, Eden S, Stockbrügger RW. Assessment of Oro-coecal Transit Time by Means of a Hydrogen (H_2) Breath Test As Compared with a Radiologic Control Method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1986;21:669-677
5. von der Ohe MR, Camilleri M. Measurement of small bowel and colonic transit: indications and methods. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1992;67:1169-1179
6. Argenyi ES, Soffer EE, Madsen MT, Berbaum KS, Walkner WO. Scintigraphic evaluation of small bowel transit in healthy subjects: inter- and intrasubject variability. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995;90:938-942
7. Maurer AH, Krevsky B. Whole-gut transit scintigraphy in the evaluation of small-bowel and colon transit disorders. *Semin Nucl Med* 1995;25:326-338
8. Malagelada JR, Robertson JS, Brown ML, Remington M, Duenes JA, Thomforde GM, Carryer PW. Intestinal Transit of Solid and Liquid Components of a Meal in Health. *Gastroenterology* 1984;87:1255-1263
9. Read NW, Al-Janabi MN, Holgate AM, Barber DC, Edwards CA. Simultaneous measurement of gastric emptying, small bowel residence and colonic filling of a solid meal by the use of the gamma camera. *Gut* 1986;27:300-308
10. Camilleri M, Colemont LJ, Phillips SSF, Brown ML, Thomforde GM, Chapman N, Zinsmeister AR. Human gastric emptying and colonic filling of solids characterized by a new method. *Am J Physiol* 1989;257:284-290
11. Kerlin P, Byrnes O, Wong L. Radiolabelled unprocessed bran: Validation of a practical labelling technique and evaluation of gastrointestinal profiles in health. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1989;4:119-126
12. Camilleri M, Zinsmeister AR, Greydanus MP, Brown ML, Proano M. Towards a less costly but accurate test of gastric emptying and small bowel transit. *Dig Dis Sci* 1991;36:609-615
13. Miller MA, Parkman HP, Brown KL, Donahue DJ, Knight LC, Urbain J-L, Maurer AH, Fisher RS. The lactulose breath test is not a physiologic standard for orocecal transit: Lactulose delays gastric emptying and accelerates small bowel transit. *Gastroenterology* 1995;108:A650
14. Pilotto A, Franceschi M, Del Favero G, Fabrello R, Di Mario F, Valerio G. The effect of aging on oro-coecal transit time in normal subjects and patients with gallstone disease. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 1995;7:234-237

15. Kupfer RM, Heppel M, Haggith JW, Bateman DN. Gastric emptying and small bowel transit rate in the elderly. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1985;33:340-343
16. Wegener M, Borsch G, Schaffstein J, Luth I, Rickels R, Ricken D. Effect of aging on the gastrointestinal transit of a lactulose supplemented mixed solid-liquid meal in humans. *Digestion* 1988;39:40-46
17. Wald A, Van Thiel DH, Hoechstetter L, Gavalier JS, Egler KM, Verm R, Scott L, Lester R. Gastrointestinal transit: The effect of the menstrual cycle. *Gastroenterology* 1981;80:1497-1500
18. Read NW, Cammack J, Edwards C, Holgate AM, Cann PA, Brown C. Is the transit time of a meal through the small intestine related to the rate at which it leaves the stomach? *Gut* 1982;23:824-828
19. Armbrrecht U, Dotevall G, Stockbrügger RW. The effect of gastric secretion on orocecal transit time measured with the hydrogen (H₂) breath test. *Z Gastroenterologie* 1987;25:145-150
20. Mizumoto A, Sarr MG, DiMagno EP. Do pancreatic enzymes regulate oroileal transit in canine pancreatic insufficiency? *Gastroenterology* 1995;108:A377
21. Richardson A, Delbridge AT, Brown NJ, Rumsey RD, Read NW. Short chain fatty acids in the terminal ileum accelerate stomach to caecum transit time in the rat. *Gut* 1991;32:266-269
22. Ledebøer M, Masclee AA, Jansen JB, Lamers CB. Effect of equimolar amounts of long-chain triglycerides and medium-chain triglycerides on small-bowel transit time in humans. *Jour Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1995;19:5-8
23. Drenzik Z, Brocksmith D, Meininger TA, Soper NJ. Inhibitory effect of ileal oleate on postprandial motility of the upper gut. *Am J Physiol* 1990;26:458-463
24. Read NW, McFarlane A, Kinsman RI, Bates TE, Blackhall NW, Farrar GBJ, Hall JC, Moss G, Morris AP, O'Neill B, Welch I, Lee Y, Bloom SR. Effect of Infusion of Nutrient Solutions Into the Ileum on Gastrointestinal Transit and Plasma Levels of Neurotensin and Enteroglucagon. *Gastroenterology* 1984;86:274-280
25. Holgate AM, Read NW. Effect of Ileal Infusion of Intralipid on Gastrointestinal Transit, Ileal Flow Rate, and Carbohydrate Absorption in Humans After Ingestion of a Liquid Meal. *Gastroenterology* 1985;88:1005-1011
26. Spiller RC, Trotman IF, Higgins BE, Ghatei MA, Grimble GK, Lee YC, Bloom SR, Misiewicz JJ, Silk DBA. The ileal brake - inhibition of jejunal motility after ileal fat perfusion in man. *Gut* 1984;25:365-374
27. Steed KP, Bohemen EK, Lamont GM, Evans DF, Wilson CG, Spiller RC. Proximal colonic responses and gastrointestinal transit after high and low fat meals. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38:1793-1800
28. Cunningham KM, Daly J, Horowitz M, Read NW. Gastrointestinal adaptation to diets of differing fat composition in human volunteers. *Gut* 1991;32:483-486
29. Brown NJ, Rumsey RD, Read NW. Gastrointestinal adaptation to enhanced small intestinal lipid exposure. *Gut* 1994;35:1409-1412
30. Farris JM, Ransom HK, Collier FA. Total Gastrectomy: Effects upon Nutrition and Hematopoiesis. *Surgery* 1943;13:823-833

31. Behrns KE, Sarr MG. Diagnosis and management of gastric emptying disorders. *Adv Surg* 1994;27:233-255
32. Thomas H, Heimbucher J, Fuchs KH, Freys SM, DeMeester TR, Peters JH, Bremner CG, Thiede A. The mode of Roux-en-Y reconstruction affects motility in the efferent limb. *Arch Surg* 1996;131:63-66
33. Gustavsson S. Transport of small bowel contents after interposition of an antiperistaltic jejunal segment in the rat. *Eur Surg Res* 1979;11:381-391
34. Faß J, Bares R, Hermsdorf V, Schumpelick V. Intestinal motility after jejunum interposition and Roux-Y construction - an animal experiment study. *Langenbecks Arch Chir* 1993;378:239-248
35. Faß J, Bares R, Staude M, Schumpelick V. Type of stomach replacement and intestinal motility after total gastrectomy. *Leber Magen Darm* 1993;194:197-203
36. Pezzola F, Lantone G, Guerra V, Misciagna G, Prete F, Giorgio I, Lorusso D. Influence of the method of digestive tract reconstruction on gallstone development after total gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 1993;166:6-17
37. Stier A, Hölscher AH, Schwaiger M, Siewert JR. Jejunumpouch nach totaler Gastrektomie-Klinische und szintigraphische Untersuchungen zu Funktion und Befindlichkeit. *Zentralbl Chir* 1994;119:838-844
38. Takahashi T, Yamamura T, Yokohama E, Kantoh M, Kusunoki M, Ishikawa Y, Utsunomiya J. Impaired contractile motility of the gallbladder after gastrectomy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1986;81:672-677
39. van der Mijle HC, Kleibeuker JH, Limburg AJ, Bleichrodt RP, Beekhuis H, van Schilfgaarde R. Manometric and scintigraphic studies of the relation between motility disturbances in the Roux limb and the Roux-en-Y syndrome. *Am J Surg* 1993;166:11-17
40. Woodward A, Sillin LF, Bortoff A. Migrating myoelectric complex and jejunal slow-wave propagation after Roux-gastrectomy in dogs. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38:1073-1078
41. Pellegrini CA, Deveney CW, Patti MG, Lewin M, Way LW. Intestinal transit of food after total gastrectomy and Roux-Y esophagojejunostomy. *Am J Surg* 1986;151:117-125
42. Armbrrecht U, Lundell L, Lindstedt G, Stockbrügger RW. Causes of malabsorption after total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Acta Chir Scand* 1988;154:37-41
43. Brägelmann R, Armbrrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Nutrient malassimilation following total gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:26-33
44. Bond JH, Levitt MD. Use of breath hydrogen (H₂) to quantitate small bowel transit time following partial gastrectomy. *J Lab Clin Med* 1977;90:30-36
45. Affleck JW, Aitken RCB, Hunter JAA, McGuire RJ, Roy CW. Rehabilitation Status: A Measure of Mediosocial Dysfunction. *Lancet* 1988;1:230-233
46. van de Kamer JH, ten Bokkel Huinink H, Weyers HA. Rapid method for the determination of fat in faeces. *J Biol Chem* 1949;177:347-355

47. Stockbrügger RW, Armbrecht U. Determination of Faecal Chymotrypsin Concentration and 72-Hour Faecal Chymotrypsin Output in the Detection of Pancreatic Steatorrhoea. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1991;26:13-19
48. Brummer RJM, Armbrecht U, Bosaeus I, Dotevall G, Stockbrügger RW. The Hydrogen (H₂) Breath test. Sampling Methods and the Influence of Dietary Fibre on Fasting Level. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1985;20:1007-1013
49. Brägelmann R, Armbrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Small bowel bacterial overgrowth in patients after total gastrectomy. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1997;27:409-16
50. Adams JF. The clinical and metabolic consequences of total gastrectomy. I. Morbidity, weight, and nutrition. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1967;2:137-149
51. Roberts KE, Randall HT, Bane HN, Medwid A, Schwartz MK. Studies of the physiology of dumping syndrome. *NY State J Med* 1955;55:2897-2902
52. Sategna-Guidetti C, Bianco L. Malnutrition and malabsorption after total gastrectomy. A pathophysiologic approach. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1989;11/5:518-524
53. Bradley EL, Isaacs J, Hersch T, Davidson ED, Milikan W. Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy. *Ann Surg* 1975;182:415-429
54. Braga M, Zuliani W, Luciano F, Cristallo M, Carlo VD. Nutritional follow-up after total gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1990;6:177-178
55. Cristallo M, Braga M, Agape D, Primignani M, Zuliani W, Vecchi M, Murone M, Sironi M, Di Carlo V, De Franchis R. Nutritional status, function of the small intestine and jejunal morphology after total gastrectomy for carcinoma of the stomach. *Surg Gyn Obst* 1986;163:225-230
56. Crucitti F, Pacelli F, Doglietto GB, Bellantone R, Perri V, Bossola M, Tommasini O, Miggiano G. Roux-en-Y Esophagojejunostomy in Reconstruction of the Alimentary Tract after Total Gastrectomy for Carcinoma of the Stomach. *Nutrition* 1990;6:261-263
57. Delbrück H, Severin M, Lindenbeck U. Diätetische Aspekte und Befunde bei 227 gastrektomierten Magenkarzinompatienten. *Akt Ernähr* 1990;15:17-19
58. Nakane Y, Okumura S, Akehira K, Okamura S, Boku T, Okusa T, Tanaka K, Hioki K. Jejunal pouch reconstruction after total gastrectomy for cancer. A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 1995;222:27-25
59. Wechsler JG. Ernährungsverhalten und Diät nach Gastrektomie. In: *Der gastrektomierte Patient*. U Armbrecht, RW Stockbrügger eds. Freiburg, S. Karger GmbH 1992:79-87
60. Friess H, Böhm J, Müller MW, Glasbrenner B, Riepl RL, Malfertheiner P, Büchler MW. Maldigestion after total gastrectomy is associated with pancreatic insufficiency. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;91:341-347
61. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Beger HG. Rat exocrine pancreas function following total gastrectomy. *Int J Pancreatol* 1986;1:389-398
62. Gullo L, Costa L, Ventrucci M, Mattioli S, Vitti G, Labò G: Exocrine Pancreas Function after Total Gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:401-407

63. Köhler H, Schafmayer A, Peiper HJ. Die sekundäre exokrine Pankreasinsuffizienz nach Magenresektion. In *Pankreas Diagnostik, Therapie*. W Kozuschek, KJ Paquet. eds. Freiburg, S. Karger GmbH 1992:547-554
64. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Beger HG. Secondary Pancreatic Insufficiency Following Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 1988;4:314-316
65. Carriere F, Barrowman JA, Verger R, Laugier R. Secretion and Contribution to Lipolysis of Gastric and Pancreatic Lipases During a Test Meal in Humans. *Gastroenterology* 1993;105:876-888
66. MacKay C. Postgastrectomy steatorrhoea. *Am J Surg* 1970;120:324-328
67. Curran FT, Hill GL. Failure of nutritional recovery after total gastrectomy. *Br J Surg* 1990;77:1015-1017
68. Armbrrecht U, Brägelmann R, Baumgart I, Stockbrügger RW. Fecal Chymotrypsin Output in Relation To Fecal Fat after Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Gastroenterology* 1994;106:A219
69. Miholic J, Meyer HD, Kotzerke J, Balks J, Aebert H, Jäne J, Weimann A, Pichlmayr R. Emptying of the Gastric Substitute After Total Gastrectomy: Jejunal Interposition versus Roux-en-Y. *Ann Surg* 1989;210:165-172
70. Brägelmann R, Armbrrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. The Effect of Pancreatic Enzyme Supplementation in Patients with Total Gastrectomy and Steatorrhea. *Gastroenterology* 1995;108:A451

Chapter VII

Small Bowel Bacterial Overgrowth in Patients after Total Gastrectomy

R Brägelmann, U Armbrecht, D Rosemeyer,
B Schneider, W Zilly, RW Stockbrügger

Eur J Clin Invest 1997; 27:409-416

Abstract

Purpose

To elucidate the consequences of small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBBO) after total gastrectomy.

Methods

127 patients evaluated for SBBO with a radiographically controlled H₂-breath-test (subgroup I, without SBBO, n=80; subgroup II, with SBBO, n=47) after potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were uniformly evaluated.

Results

Mean time since operation was significantly shorter in subgroup II than in subgroup I ((370, confidence interval=CI 96-645 vs. 687, CI 397-976) days; $p < 0.01$). Controlling for this difference, there were no other significant differences in symptoms and signs between the subgroups except for the medico-social functioning measured with the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS). The mean ERSS showed significantly better medico-social functioning in subgroup I than in subgroup II (3.7 CI (2.2-5.2) vs. 5.1 CI (3.0-7.0); $p < 0.05$).

Conclusions

After total gastrectomy, patients without SBBO did not significantly differ from patients with SBBO in most parameters. Medico-social functioning was significantly poorer in the latter.

Introduction

Under normal conditions the human small bowel contains only a small number of bacteria. If more than 10^5 CFU per ml of anaerobic or facultative anaerobic bacteria are found in a small bowel aspirate, one speaks of bacterial overgrowth¹. Cultures of intestinal aspirates are the diagnostic "gold standard". Other diagnostic tests are based on breath-tests using miscellaneous substrates^{1,2,4}.

There are a number of different etiological factors for small bowel bacterial overgrowth: Structural lesions altering motility¹, functional motility disorders⁵⁻⁷, an excessive load of bacteria through fistulas⁸ or the resection of the ileo-coecal valve⁹, impaired gastric acid secretion^{10,11}, immune deficiencies¹, exocrine pancreas insufficiency¹, age¹², undernutrition itself¹³, or any combination of those, are thought to be causative related to bacterial overgrowth.

Bacterial overgrowth can be asymptomatic^{7,14}. The term small bowel bacterial overgrowth syndrome, however, describes a varying clinical picture consisting of intermittent diarrhoea, abdominal symptoms, steatorrhoea and malabsorption of vitamins and/or micronutrients, weight-loss^{2,7,15}, and -in children- growth retardation¹⁶.

In patients after total gastrectomy, lack of gastric acid and motility disorders both are immanent, making bacterial overgrowth very frequent¹⁷. Patients after total gastrectomy often suffer from abdominal symptoms, malabsorption, steatorrhoea, and weight loss¹⁸. Possible factors influencing this post-gastrectomy syndrome are rapid upper intestinal transit¹⁹, the type of operation²⁰⁻²³, lack of gastric lipase²⁴, primary or secondary exocrine pancreatic insufficiency²⁵⁻²⁷, pancreatocobal dyssynchrony^{18,19,28-30}, and possibly also small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBO)^{4,9,19,31,32}.

The aim of this study was to elucidate whether in patients after total gastrectomy there are any differences in symptoms, nutrient assimilation, and medico-social functioning between those with small bowel bacterial overgrowth and those without.

Patients and methods

During the period from May 1990 to January 1993, 196 consecutive patients following a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were seen in a retrospective study at three German gastroenterological rehabilitation hospitals in Bad Kissingen, Bad Driburg, and Bad Brückenau. Of these, 14 patients were evaluated for the second time and in eight patients (4.4%) a recurrence and/or metastasis was diagnosed shortly after admission. These patients were excluded from this study. The remaining 174 consecutive patients were evaluated in study.

Basic evaluation

After clinical and biochemical evaluation on admission, all patients were asked whether they suffered from the following abdominal symptoms: dyspepsia, bloating, early satiety, reflux, dysphagia, dumping, vomiting; also overall well-being was evaluated. Body mass was evaluated using the Quetelet index (weight/height²; normal range (20.0 to 25.0) Kg/m²).

Medico-social functioning

In order to assess medico-social functioning the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS)³³ was applied on admission and the employment status was noted. The ERSS scale ranges from 0 to 28 points, with higher points indicating a poorer medico-social functioning. The scale measures the dimensions of medico-social performance in four different subscales: Independence, activity, social integration, and effects of symptoms on lifestyle. These subscales are summed up in the sumscore. This was done in all patients.

Controlled diet period

Patients who were on pancreatic enzyme supplementation at the time of hospital admission were asked to discontinue this. Thereafter, all patients were put on a standardised diet for five days. The controlled diet period of five days started three to five days following admission. The diet was composed of 48% fat, 17% protein, and 35% carbohydrates, respectively, and was not limited quantitatively. However, individual nutritional intake was quantified during the controlled diet period at every meal by an attending dietician and summarised each day. During the period of controlled diet abdominal complaints, faecal frequency and faecal consistency were scored daily according to a questionnaire and a five-day-score of each parameter was reported.

Fat malassimilation

During the last three days of the controlled diet period faecal mass and faecal fat output were assessed. The latter according to the method of van de Kamer³⁴. Fat assimilation was calculated as the proportion of fat excreted compared with intake.

Endoscopy

An upper endoscopy was performed on each patient, except when it had been performed during the previous two months. In these cases the endoscopic diagnosis from the last examination was noted.

H₂-breath test with radiopaque markers

The frequency of rapid upper intestinal transit and small bowel bacterial overgrowth were evaluated simultaneously using a modification of the H₂-breath test described by Ambrecht et al.⁴: Medical records were scrutinised to ensure that no antimicrobial treatment had been given during the previous 2 weeks. After a low-fibre diet during the day before the test and an overnight fast³⁵, end-expiratory H₂-concentrations were analysed serially every 15 minutes, starting 15 minutes prior to the breakfast, the composition of which is shown in **Table VII.1**.

Table VII.1 Composition of the standard test meal

Water		150	ml
Porridge made from	water	200	ml
	milk	50	ml
	rolled oats	36	g
White bread		50	g
Margarine		10	g
Cheese (16% fat)		13	g
Smoked medwurst		12	g
Total energy content		2.0	MJ
Energy contribution from carbohydrate		39	%
10 radiopaque markers			

Ten radiopaque markers (6.0x1.8 mm) were added to the test meal to evaluate oro-coecal transit time. H₂-concentrations were measured with a GMI Exhaled H₂ Monitor (GMI Medical Ltd., Inchinnan Estate, Renfrew, Scotland). Samples were taken by direct insufflation via a Y-piece with flow reduction³⁵. Samples were taken at each time point, and the arithmetic mean of these two measurements was used for evaluation. 75 minutes after the beginning of the breakfast a plain abdominal x-ray was taken to diagnose oro-coecal transit shorter than 75 minutes. In patients with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes it is not possible to diagnose bacterial overgrowth with a breath test, because rise in H₂-concentration can be due to oral flora or movement of ileal contents into the caecum by the gastro-intestino-colic reflex^{4,36}. When there was a 100% rise above base line values in breath H₂-concentration a second radiograph was taken to differentiate between upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth or transit of the test meal into the colon. **Figure VII.1** exemplifies a normal test and a test showing small bowel bacterial overgrowth.

Figure VII.1 Measurements of oro-coecal transit time in patients after total gastrectomy with normal or with rapid oro-coecal transit (OCTT < 75 minutes). Examples of H₂-breath tests with a test breakfast as used in this study. The line with the filled circles denotes a patient without bacterial overgrowth and an oro-coecal transit time exceeding 210 minutes. The line with the black squares denotes a patient without bacterial overgrowth in whom markers were identified in the ascending colon at 150 minutes. The line with the white squares denotes a patient with bacterial overgrowth. At 120 minutes there is a rise in H₂-concentration, but markers were identified in the small intestine; the OCTT could therefore not be measured. The line with the white circles denotes a patient with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes as the H₂-values were already rising and radiopaque markers were identified in the ascending colon at 75 minutes.

Statistics

Statistical calculations included the χ^2 -tests. General factorial ANOVA-models and multiple logistic regression models were used to control for difference in time since operation. A p-value of 0.05 was considered to be significant³⁷.

Results

The H₂-breath test was not evaluable for the diagnosis of bacterial overgrowth in 35 patients, because the oro-coecal transit time was shorter than 75 minutes, and in nine patients, because of technical difficulties and/or prior antibiotic treatment. Three patients were classified as H₂-nonproducers. These 47 patients were excluded from further analysis. The remaining 127 patients (f=47, m=80; mean age 56.9 (95% confidence interval=CI (55.1 to 58.7)) years) after potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy 573 days earlier (mean; CI 364 to 782) were evaluated. Patients without SBBO (n=80, 63%) were compared with patients with SBBO (n=47, 37%).

In patients with small bowel bacterial overgrowth the time period between

operation and hospital admission was significantly shorter (370 (96-645) days, CI) than in patients without bacterial overgrowth (687 (397-976) days, CI) (**Table VII.2**). Controlling for the difference in time span since operation, there were no differences between the two study groups regarding gender, age, tumour stage and type of operation and/or reconstruction.

Table VII.2 Gender, age, time since operation, tumour stage, and type of operation in 127 patients without or with small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBBO)

	no SBBO (n=80)		SBBO (n=47)	
Gender				
Male	55		25	
Female	25		22	
Age (years)*	55.7 (53.4-58.0)		59.0 (56.1-61.8)	
Time past operation (days)*	687 (397-976)**		370 (96-645)**	
Tumour stage (UICC)				
0	2		2	
IA	23		10	
IB	18		5	
II	9		10	
IIIA	11		6	
IIIB	2		4	
IV	2		1	
lymphomas	13		9	
Type of operation				
Roux-en-Y without pouch	39	49%	21	45%
Roux-en-Y with pouch	13	16%	10	21%
small bowel interposition	9	11%	10	21%
unknown	19	24%	6	13%

* means and confidence intervals; ** $p=0.0039$

Mean basic haematological and biochemical values were in the normal range and did not differ significantly between the study groups (**Table VII.3**). The frequencies of pathological haematological or biochemical values were similar in both groups for all parameters. Mean ferritin values were lower in patients with bacterial overgrowth compared with patients without bacterial overgrowth and positive faecal occult blood tests were more frequent in patients with bacterial

overgrowth compared with patients without bacterial overgrowth. Neither of these differences, however, was significant.

Table VII.3 Haematological and biochemical data on hospital admission in 127 patients without or with small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBBO)

	normal range	no SBBO			SBBO		
		n	mean	CI	n	mean	CI
Haemoglobin (mmol/L ⁻¹)	8.2-11.0	76	8.4	8.2-8.7	47	8.3	8.0-8.6
Ferritin (μg/L ⁻¹)	20-400	74	106	54-158	46	72	52-92
Albumin (g/L ⁻¹)	35.2-50.4	75	44	43-46	46	42	40-44
Calcium (mmol/L ⁻¹)	2.20-2.50	75	2.40	2.34-2.47	46	2.42	2.35-2.48
Chymotrypsin output (U/72hrs)	504-34769*	25	3441	2196-4686	18	3864	3132-4596
FOBT**			2/77	2.6%		4/47	8.5

CI denotes confidence interval; * according to Stockbrügger et al³⁸; ** faecal occult blood test (at least 1 of 3 slides positive); chymotrypsin output was assessed in 43 patients only

Symptoms were reported by 83% of the patients. Bloating, dyspepsia, and early satiety were the most frequent. The greatest difference between the patients with bacterial overgrowth and those without bacterial overgrowth was more bloating in the former (71% vs 53%). This difference, however, was not significant (p=0.25). The symptom score was low in both study groups. (Table VII.4).

Table VII.4 Frequency and severity of symptoms on admission in 127 patients without or with small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBBO)

	no SBBO			SBBO		
	number	%		number	%	
	80		47			
Bloating*	16/30	53	12/17	71		
Dyspepsia	45	56	32	68		
Early satiety	42	53	25	53		
Reflux	21	26	12	26		
Dysphagia	15	19	9	19		
Postprandial vomiting	11	14	5	11		
Any symptom	65	81	41	87		
		mean	CI		mean	CI
Symptomscore**	74	0.567	0.392-0.743	46	0.540	0.354-0.726

* bloating was asked in 47 patients only; ** scale from 0.000 to 3.000; CI denotes confidence interval

There were no significant differences between patients with and without small bowel bacterial overgrowth regarding the employment status or the Karnovsky index. The Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale-score (ERSS) was significantly higher (38%) in patients with bacterial overgrowth than in those without (**Table VII.5**).

There was no difference between the study groups regarding the frequency of upper intestinal endoscopic examination, the findings at endoscopic examination, and the number of pathological upper intestinal endoscopic examinations.

Table VII.5 Employment status, Karnovsky index, and Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) on admission in 127 patients without or with small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBBO)

	no SBBO		SBBO	
	number	%	number	%
Employment status	80		47	
working	10	12.5	4	8.5
not working due to ill health	22	27.5	11	23.4
temporary retirement due to ill health	1	1.3	4	8.5
early retirement due to ill health	8	10.0	1	2.1
retirement due to age	22	27.5	19	40.4
unemployed	17	21.3	8	17.0
ratio working/potentially working population	10/41	24	4/20	20
Karnovsky index*	84	80 - 89	80	75 - 86
ERSS* (0 to 28, best to worst)	3.7**	2.2 - 5.2	5.1**	3.0 - 7.0

* means and confidence intervals; ** $p=0.038$

In the whole group of patients the mean body mass index on admission was 22.1 kg/m² (CI 21.5 to 22.7). The patients had lost a mean of 6.4 (CI 4.1 to 6.7) % of their weight since the operation. Mean kilojoule intake per kilogram body weight and day was 156 (CI 148 to 164) in all patients with a mean fat intake of 117 (CI 112 to 121) gram per day during the controlled diet period. There was no significant difference in any of these parameters between the two study groups (**Table VII.6**).

Table VII.6 Weight development and calorie intake in patients without or with small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBBO)

	no SBBO			SBBO		
	n	mean	CI	n	mean	CI
BMI (kg m ⁻²)	78	22.1	21.4-22.8	47	22.0	21.1-23.0
Weight loss (%) [*]	78	5.6	2.4-8.8	47	7.6	4.4-10.7
Calorie intake (kJ/day)**	73	9669	9180-10163	44	9397	8837-9954
Calorie intake (kJ/kg body weight and day)**	70	154	144-164	43	158	145-172
Fat intake (g/day)**	73	118	112-124	44	115	108-121

CI denotes confidence interval; ^{*} denotes weight loss since operation in %; ^{**} during a five-day-period

Bowel habits and faecal mass were not different between the two groups. Compared with patients without small bowel bacterial overgrowth, patients with small bowel bacterial overgrowth had a higher mean faecal fat excretion and fat malassimilation, but these differences were not statistically significant (Table VII.7).

Table VII.7 Bowel habits, faecal mass, faecal fat excretion, and fat malassimilation in 127 patients without or with small bowel bacterial overgrowth (SBBO)

	no SBBO		SBBO	
	mean	CI	mean	CI
number	80		47	
Faecal consistency [*] (5-day-period)	1.2	1.1-1.4	1.3	
number	71		45	
Faecal frequency per day (5-day-period)	1.6	1.4-1.8	1.5	1.2-1.7
number	66		43	
Faecal mass (g/d, 3-day-period)	249	205-293	233	1.1-1.7
number	76		44	
Faecal fat excretion (g/d, 3-day-period)	14.8	11.2-18.5	19.3	13.1-25.6
number	73		41	
Fat malassimilation (% of fat intake, 3-day-period)	12.2	9.5-15.0	17.2	12.0-22.3

CI denotes confidence interval; ^{*} faecal consistency is ranked: 0=no faeces, 1=normally formed, 2=soft, 3=watery diarrhoea; scale from 0.0 to 3.0; ^{**} one centre reported no faecal mass

Discussion

After gastrectomy, the combination of impaired gastric acid secretion and the altered gastric and intestinal motility facilitate bacterial overgrowth^{2,17}. Bacterial overgrowth, however, can be asymptomatic. In patients with pernicious anaemia bacterial overgrowth with gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria is common, but the bacterial overgrowth syndrome is rare¹⁴.

The frequency of a bacterial overgrowth syndrome after total gastrectomy is not known. The small bowel bacterial overgrowth syndrome and the post-gastrectomy syndrome have many clinical and biochemical features in common. It is therefore tempting to suspect that some symptoms and clinical signs after total gastrectomy could be caused by bacterial overgrowth of the small intestine^{2,7,19,31,32}.

In this multicentre study of patients after a potentially curative total gastrectomy we evaluated patients with and without small bowel bacterial overgrowth regarding subjective symptoms and objective signs of nutrient malassimilation. Our patient population had in general been operated on for early tumour stages. The selection of our patients for care in a rehabilitation centre also made it probable that there currently was little comorbidity and excluded cancer recurrence or other disease. Cultures of duodenal or jejunal aspirates are the "gold standard" in the diagnosis of bacterial overgrowth. However, they have shortcomings. Distal small bowel bacterial overgrowth and focal overgrowth in post-operative loops may be out of reach for the endoscope or another suction device and could therefore be missed on diagnosis. Furthermore, rapid transit of food to the bacteria-containing lower gut can cause symptoms very similar to the small bowel bacterial overgrowth syndrome⁶. Using lactulose or glucose as a substrate for a breath test might possibly have given false values, because both substrates accelerate small bowel transit^{39,41}; especially in patients after total gastrectomy. For this reason we used an H₂-breath test to diagnose bacterial overgrowth of the small bowel in a modification described by Armbricht et al.^{35,36,42}, which controls for fastened oro-coecal transit. This modified H₂-breath test has been shown to be sufficiently sensitive and specific in the diagnosis of upper gastrointestinal bacterial overgrowth in the operated and non-operated gastrointestinal tract⁴. This test, however, is not applicable in patients who have an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes. Rise in H₂-concentration in breath during the first 90 minutes can be due to oral flora or movement of ileal contents into the caecum by the gastro-intestino-colic reflex^{4,36}. This is why we had to exclude the patients with rapid small bowel transit from further analysis.

In our patients small bowel bacterial overgrowth was diagnosed in 37 %. The data regarding the frequency of small bowel bacterial overgrowth after total or partial gastrectomy are scarce and vary. Lock found bacterial overgrowth in 35 of 38 (92 %) patients after total gastroscopy¹⁷. However, he examined patients referred

for endoscopy and a selection bias is possible. Bradley cultured anaerobes in all ten patients with total gastrectomy who he examined⁴³. In patients with an Billroth II resection faecal flora was found in nine out of ten patients in gastric juice³⁶. Bjørneklett studied 22 patients after a Billroth II resection, all of whom had small bowel bacterial overgrowth. However, so did half of the healthy controls⁴⁴. Drasar assessed 43 patients with a partial gastrectomy and found small bowel bacterial overgrowth in 13 of them (30%)⁴⁵. In the gastric juice of patients with achlorhydria Armbrecht found faecal flora in 60% of the patients⁴ and Stockbrügger reported faecal flora in duodenal biopsies in eight of 19 patients with pernicious anaemia¹⁴.

In our study population, the time period since operation was shorter in patients with bacterial overgrowth than in those patients without bacterial overgrowth. The reason for this difference is not obvious. Earlier admission after operation to the rehabilitation hospital could be the result of complaints or dysfunctioning motivating patients to request care. However, the symptomatology in patients with SBBO was only marginally more severe than in patients without.

Symptoms were reported by the patients in both groups without any significant differences regarding the frequency and the severity of the symptoms. There was a trend for bloating to be more frequent in the patients with bacterial overgrowth. It might be that the number of patients questioned for bloating in our study was insufficient to demonstrate a difference.

There were no essential differences between patients with and patients without bacterial overgrowth in biochemical and haematological parameters. After total gastrectomy, the development of iron deficiency correlates positively with time^{18,46}. It has been suggested by some authors that gastric surgery impairs iron absorption⁴⁷, while others could not find any difference in absorption^{48,49}. Bacterial overgrowth can also cause gastro-intestinal bleeding resulting in iron deficiency⁵⁰. In this study, controlling for the difference in time since operation, bacterial overgrowth was associated with a trend to lower ferritin values and/or a higher frequency of positive faecal occult blood tests.

Weight, weight development since operation, bowel habits and fat malassimilation were not significantly different between the study groups. Although patients with bacterial overgrowth scored worse in all parameters, especially those regarding fat assimilation, the confidence intervals overlapped extensively.

Considering the similarities in all the basic somatic parameters, it comes as no surprise that the Karnovsky-index between the groups did not differ.

On the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale the total group of patients scored low, which corresponds to a "high degree of medico-social functioning"³³. This fact may be a result of the patient selection, as our patients had to be able to travel to the respective rehabilitation centres and to stay away from home for at least four weeks. However, patients with small bowel bacterial overgrowth had a significantly worse ERSS-score than patients without bacterial overgrowth. As mentioned above,

a possible explanation for this phenomenon as well as for the shorter time span between operation and hospital admission, might be the integral effect of the negative trends seen in some of the post-operative data.

Therapy of post-surgical bacterial overgrowth is overshadowed by the risk of recurrence. Cyclic antibiotic treatments^{2,51}, prokinetics⁵², diet⁵³, probiotics⁵⁴, and surgery⁹ are possible therapeutic measures with varying success. None of the patients in the present study population had to receive antibiotic treatment during the actual sojourn. In conclusion, in the studied patients after total gastrectomy of this investigation the subgroup with small bowel bacterial overgrowth and the subgroup without bacterial overgrowth did not essentially differ in such parameters, symptoms, and signs, which are normally associated with bacterial overgrowth. The notion that a bacterial overgrowth syndrome is not a necessary consequence of extended gastric resection is also supported by other authors^{7,45,55}.

References

1. Toskes PP, Donaldson RM. Enteric Bacterial Flora and Bacterial Overgrowth Syndrom. In: Sleisenger MH, Fordtran JS, eds. *Gastrointestinal disease: pathophysiology, diagnosis, management*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1993;1106-18
2. Ellen Li. Bacterial Overgrowth. In: Yamada T ed, *Textbook of Gastroenterology*, 2nd edition, Philadelphia: Lippincott Company, 1995;1673-80
3. Corazza GR, Menozzi MG, Stocchi A, Rasciti L, Varia D, Lecchini R, Avanzini P, Chezzi C, Gasbarrini G. The diagnosis of small bowel bacterial overgrowth. Reliability of jejunal culture and inadequacy of breath hydrogen testing. *Gastroenterology* 1990;98:302-309
4. Armbricht U, Eden S, Seeberg S, Stockbrügger RW. The Value of the Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test for the Diagnosis of Bacterial Overgrowth in Gastric Achlohydria. *Hepato-gastroenterol* 1987;34:219-222
5. Goldstein F, Wirts CW, Kowlessar OD. Diabetic diarrhea and steatorrhea: microbiology and clinical observations. *Ann Intern Med* 1970;72:215-218
6. Vantrappen G, Janssens J, Coremans G, Jian R. Gastrointestinal motility disorders. *Dig Dis Sci* 1986;31:5S-25S
7. Husebye E. Gastrointestinal motility disorders and bacterial overgrowth. *J Int Med* 1995;237:419-427
8. Atwater JS, Butt HR, Priestly JT. Gastrojejunocolic fistulae with special reference to associated nutritional deficiencies and certain surgical aspects. *Ann Surg* 1943;117:414-419
9. Griffin WO Jr, Richardson JD, Medley ES. Prevention of small bowel contamination by ileocecal valve. *South Med J* 1971;64:1056-1058
10. Drasar BS, Shiner M, McLeod GM. Studies on the intestinal flora. I. The bacterial flora of the gastrointestinal tract in healthy and achlorhydric persons. *Gastroenterology* 1969;56:71-79
11. Stockbrügger RW, Cotton PB, Eugenides N, Bartholomew BA, Hill MJ, Walters CL. Intra-gastric nitrites, nitrosamines, and bacterial overgrowth during cimetidine treatment. *Gut* 1982;23:1048-1054
12. Roberts SH, Janes O, Jarvis EH. Bacterial contamination of the small intestine is an important cause of occult malabsorption in the elderly. *Lancet* 1977;2:1193-1195
13. King CE, Toskes PP. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *Gastroenterology* 1979;76:1035-55
14. Stockbrügger RW, Armbricht U, Rode JW, Teall AJ, Oberholzer VG, Croker JR, Cotton PB. The Bacterial Overgrowth Syndrome is Uncommon in Pernicious Anaemia: Results of a Follow-up Study. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease* 1990;3:209-215
15. Toskes PP. Bacterial Overgrowth of the Gastrointestinal Tract. In: Stollerman GH, ed. *Advances in Internal medicine*. St. Louis: Mosby Year Book. 1993;38:387-407.

16. Khin-Maung U, Bolin TD, Ducombe VM, Myo Khin, Nyunt-Nyunt Wai, Pereira SP, Linklater JM. Epidemiology of small bowel bacterial overgrowth and rice carbohydrate malabsorption in Burmese (Myanmar) village children. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1992;47:298-304.
17. Lock G, Hollerbach S, Linde HJ, Vogt W, Andus T, Schölmerich J, Holstege A. Bacterial overgrowth after gastric surgery: Comparison of endoscopic aspiration and glucose H₂-breath test. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A343
18. Brägelmann R, Armbrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Nutrient malassimilation following total gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:26-33.
19. Armbrecht U, Lundell L, Lindstedt G, Stockbrügger RW. Causes of malabsorption after total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Acta Chir Scand* 1988;154:37-41
20. Fass J, Bares R, Hermsdorf V, Schumpelick V. Intestinal motility after jejunum interposition and Roux-Y construction - an animal experiment study. *Langenbecks Arch Chir* 1993;378:239-248
21. van der Mijle HC, Kleibeuker JH, Limburg AJ, Bleichrodt RP, Beekhuis H, van Schilfgaarde R. Manometric and scintigraphic studies of the relation between motility disturbances in the Roux limb and the Roux-en-Y syndrome. *Am J Surg* 1993;166:11-17.
22. Woodward A, Sillin Lf, Bortoff A. Migrating myoelectric complex and jejunal slow-wave propagation after Roux-gastrectomy in dogs. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38:1073-1078
23. Miholic J, Meyer HD, Kotzerke J, Balks J, Aebert H, Jäne J, Weimann A, Pichlmayr R. Emptying of the Gastric Substitute After Total Gastrectomy: Jejunal Interposition versus Roux-en-Y. *Ann Surg* 1989;210:165-172
24. Carriere F, Barrowman JA, Verger R, Laugier R. Secretion and Contribution to Lipolysis of Gastric and Pancreatic Lipases During a Test Meal in Humans. *Gastroenterology* 1993;105:876-888
25. Friess H, Böhm J, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Müller M, Hofbauer B, Riepl RL, Büchler MW. Exokrine Pankreasinsuffizienz nach Gastrektomie: Eine primäre Funktionsstörung. *Chirurgische Gastroenterologie* 1994;10:324-330
26. Gullo L, Costa L, Ventrucchi M, Mattioli S, Vitti G, Labò G. Exocrine Pancreas Function after Total Gastrectomy. *Scand J Gastroent* 1979;14:401-407
27. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Beger HG. Secondary Pancreatic Insufficiency Following Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Nutrition* 4:314-316
28. MacKay C. Postgastrectomy steatorrhea. *Am J Surg* 1970;120:324-328
29. Curran FT, Hill GL. Failure of nutritional recovery after total gastrectomy. *Br J Surg* 1990;77:1015-1017
30. Tabaqchali, S. The Pathophysiological Role of Small Intestinal Bacterial Flora. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1970;6:139-163

31. Cristallo M, Braga M, Agape D, Primignani M, Zuliani W, Vecchi M, Murone M, Sironi M, Di Carlo V, De Franchis R. Nutritional status, function of the small intestine and jejunal morphology after total gastrectomy for carcinoma of the stomach. *Surgery, Gynecology, and Obstetrics* 1986;163:225-230
32. Olbe L, Lundell L. Intestinal Function after Total Gastrectomy and Possible Consequences of Gastric Replacement. *World J Surg* 1987;11:713-719
33. Affleck JW, Aitken RCB, Hunter JAA, McGuire RJ, Roy CW. Rehabilitation Status: A Measure of Mediosocial Dysfunction. *Lancet* 1988;1:230-233
34. van de Kamer JH, ten Bokkel Huinink H, Weyers HA. Rapid method for the determination of fat in faeces. *J Biol Chem* 1949;177:347-355
35. Brummer RJM, Armbrecht U, Bosaeus I, Dotevall G, Stockbrügger RW. The Hydrogen (H₂) Breath test. Sampling Methods and the Influence of Dietary Fibre on Fasting Level. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1985;20:1007-1013
36. Armbrecht U, Bosaeus I, Gillberg R, Seeberg S, Stockbrügger R. Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test and Gastric Bacteria in Acid-Secreting Subjects and in Achlorhydric and Postgastrectomy Patients before and after Antimicrobial Treatment. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1985;20:805-813
37. Lindeman RH, Merenda PF, Gold RZ. Introduction to Bivariate and Multivariate Analysis, Scott, Foresman and Company, London, 1980.
38. Stockbrügger RW, Armbrecht U. Determination of Faecal Chymotrypsin Concentration and 72- Hour Faecal Chymotrypsin Output in the Detection of Pancreatic Steatorrhea. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1991;188:13-19
39. Lembcke, B. Current Role of Breath Tests in Gastroenterology. *Z Gastroenterol* 1996;4:46-53
40. Bond JH Jr, Levitt MD, Prentiss R. Investigation of small bowel transit time in man utilizing pulmonary hydrogen (H₂) measurements. *J Lab Clin Med.* 1975;85:546-555
41. Read NW, Cammack J, Edwards C, Holgate AM, Cann PA, Brown C. Is the transit time of a meal through the small intestine related to the rate at which it leaves the stomach? *Gut* 1982;23:824-828
42. Armbrecht U, Jensen J, Eden S, Stockbrügger RW. Assessment of Oro-coecal Transit Time by Means of a Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test As Compared with a Radiologic Control Method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1986;21:669-677
43. Bradley EL, Isaacs J, Hersch T, Davidson ED, Milikan W. Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy. *Ann Surg* 1975;182:415-429
44. Bjørneklett A, Fausa O, Midtvedt T. Small-Bowel Bacterial Overgrowth in the Postgastrectomy Syndrome. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1983;18:277-287
45. Drasar BS, Shiner M. Studies on the intestinal flora. Part II Bacterial flora of the small intestine in patients with gastrointestinal disorders. *Gut* 1969;10:812-819
46. Tovey FI, Godfrey JE, Lewin MR. A gastrectomy population: 25-30 years on. *Postgrad Med J* 1990;66:450-456

47. Magnusson B, Faxen A, Cederblad A, Rosander L, Kewenter J, Hallber L. The effect of parietal cell vagotomy and selective vagotomy with pyloroplasty on iron absorption. A prospective randomized study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:177-182
48. Amaral JF, Thompson WR, Caldwell MD, Martin HF, Randall HT. Prospective hematologic evaluation of gastric exclusion surgery for morbid obesity. *Ann Surg* 1985;201:186-193
49. Harju E. Metabolic problems after gastric surgery. *Int Surg* 1990; 75:27-35
50. Giannella RA, Toskes PP. Gastrointestinal bleeding and iron absorption in the experimental blind loop syndrome. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1976;29:754-757
51. Kumar A, Forsmark CE, Toskes PP. The response of small bowel bacterial overgrowth to treatment: Effects of coexisting conditions. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A340
52. Venturi M, Zuccato E, Restelli A, Mazzoleni L, Mussini E, Doldi SB. Utility of hydrogen and methane breath tests in combination with X-ray after a barium meal in the diagnosis of small bowel bacterial overgrowth after jejuno-ileal bypass for morbid obesity. *Obes Surg* 1994;4:144-148
53. Willard MD, Simpson RB, Delles EK, Coben ND, Fossum TW, Kolp D, Reinhart G. Effects of dietary supplementation of fructo-oligosaccharides on small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in dogs. *Am J Vet Res* 1994;55:654-659
54. Attar A, Bouhnik Y, Flourié B, Franchisseur C, Crenn P, Briet F, Ruzsiewicz P, Rambaud JC. Efficacy of two antibiotics and a probiotic in the treatment of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A310
55. Kumar A, Forsmark CE, Toskes PP. Small bowel bacterial overgrowth: The changing face of an old disease. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A340

Chapter VIII

Determinants of Medico-Social Functioning after Total Gastrectomy

R Brägelmann, U Armbrecht, D Rosemeyer,
A Volovics, W Zilly, RW Stockbrügger

(Accepted for publication in Disability and Rehabilitation)

Abstract

Aim

To describe medico-social functioning after total gastrectomy and the factors determining it.

Patients and methods

In three medical rehabilitation centres, 173 consecutive patients after potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were evaluated for pre- and post-operative parameters with potential influence on post-operative medico-social functioning as measured with the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS). Independent influential factors for the ERSS were identified in a linear regression analysis.

Results

The median ERSS-score was 4 (IQR 2 to 6) on a scale from 0 (best) to 28 (worst). Independent factors influencing medico-social performance after total gastrectomy were blue collar work (vs. white collar work), time after operation, dyspepsia, dysphagia and intestino-oesophageal reflux.

Conclusion

Medico-social functioning was acceptable in this patient population. After total gastrectomy, dyspepsia, dysphagia, and intestinal reflux into the oesophagus need special attention.

Introduction

The annual incidence and mortality rates of gastric cancer are declining in most countries¹, but stomach cancer is still a major cause of morbidity and mortality world-wide²⁻⁴. Survival rates have been low⁵⁻⁷, but in patients operated on, they are improving⁸⁻¹⁰, possibly due to improved surgical technique¹¹⁻²⁰ and earlier diagnosis^{9,21-29}.

Optimising quality of life in the gastrectomised patient has been recognised as a point of concern^{30,31}. Most studies regarding quality of life after total gastrectomy compare the influence of different types of gastric reconstruction. The results of these studies are diverging³²⁻⁴⁸.

No single factor is known determining medico-social functioning after gastrectomy. Pre-operative patient and tumour characteristics, intestino-oesophageal reflux⁴⁹, small bowel bacterial overgrowth⁵⁰⁻⁵³, shortened oro-coecal transit time⁵², abdominal symptoms^{32,40,43,45}, nutrient malassimilation and diarrhoea^{39,45}, and psychological factors⁵⁴ are discussed as possible influential factors.

The aim of this study was to describe medico-social functioning after total gastrectomy and to elucidate which factors are determining it.

Patients and methods

During the period from May 1990 to January 1993, 196 consecutively admitted patients following a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were seen at three German gastroenterological rehabilitation hospitals in Bad Kissingen, Bad Driburg, and Bad Brückenau. The first clinic mainly serves blue-collar workers, whilst the latter two preferably deal with patients employed in the public sector as administrators. In Germany patients after major surgery have the opportunity of admission to a rehabilitative centre. The study patients, thus, were electively referred for rehabilitative purposes without known acute or serious disease at that moment. Of the 196 patients, 14 had been admitted for the second time, and in eight patients a cancer recurrence and/or metastasis was diagnosed shortly after admission. In one of the remaining 174 patients, medico-social functioning was accidentally not assessed on admission. These 23 patients were not included in the study. The remaining 173 patients (f=62, m=111; median age 58 (IQR 50 to 66) years) were evaluated on the average 206 days (median; IQR 56 to 644) after the potentially curative total gastrectomy.

Basic evaluation

After clinical and biochemical investigation on admission, all patients were asked regarding the presence of the following abdominal symptoms: dyspepsia, bloating, early satiety, reflux, dysphagia, dumping, vomiting; also overall well-being was evaluated. Body mass was measured applying the Quetelet index (weight/height^2 ; normal range 20.0 to 25.0 Kg/m^2), using anamnestic data for weight in health and reported data at the time of operation and admission.

Controlled diet period

Patients who were on pancreatic enzyme supplementation at the time of hospital admission were asked to discontinue this. All patients were then put on a standardised diet for five days. During the diet period, meals were composed of 48% fat, 17% protein, and 35% carbohydrates respectively. The individual nutritional intake was quantified at every meal by an attending dietician and summarised each day. During the period of controlled diet abdominal complaints, faecal frequency, and faecal consistency were scored daily according to a questionnaire-interview and a five-day-score of each parameter was reported. The scale of the symptom score ranged from 0.000 (no complaints) to 3.000 (worst possible complaints).

Fat malassimilation

During the last three days of the controlled diet period faecal mass and faecal fat output were assessed, the latter according to the method of van de Kamer⁵⁵. Fat assimilation was calculated as the proportion of fat output compared to the intake.

Endoscopy

An upper endoscopy was performed on each patient, unless it had been performed during the previous two months. In these cases the endoscopic diagnosis from the last examination was recorded. Endoscopic diagnosis was coded: 0=normal, 1=oesophagitis I, 2=oesophagitis II, 3=oesophagitis III, 4=food retention without evident stenosis, 5=fibrotic stenosis.

Oro-coecal transit time and small bowel bacterial overgrowth

The frequency of rapid upper intestinal transit and small bowel bacterial overgrowth were evaluated simultaneously using a modification of the H_2 -breath test described by Armbricht et al. with a standard test meal and radiopaque markers⁵⁶. This modification has been described in detail before⁵¹.

Medico-social functioning

In order to assess medico-social dysfunction the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) was recorded by one of the authors on the day of admission⁵⁷. The ERSS ranges from 0 to 28 points, with higher figures indicating a poorer medico-social functioning. The ERSS measures dimensions of medico-social performance in four different subscales: Independence/dependence, activity/inactivity, social integration/social isolation, and effects of symptoms on lifestyle. These subscale points are summed up to the final score which is reported here. In one centre, however, the specific subscales were recorded as well. The Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale has been tested in several somatic patient groups in rehabilitative medicine⁵⁷⁻⁶². The inter-rater reliability is good⁵⁷ and there is good correlation to the Barthel Index and the Purses profile⁶¹. **Table VIII.1** gives the final scores for different patient groups as reported by Affleck et al.⁵⁷.

Table VIII.1 Mean Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) scores in different patient groups according to Affleck et al.⁵⁷

Patient groups	ERSS
Upper limb & neck lesions	7.7
Back-pain syndrome	9.8
Arthritis	10.0
Cardiac	14.9
Amputation	15.4
CNS excluding CVA	16.7
CVA	18.0
Multiple impairment	18.3

Adapted from Affleck et al.⁵⁷; scale 0-28 (best to worst)

Statistics

First, a description of the whole group using medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) for continuous parameters is given. To elucidate the factors influencing medico-social functioning, a linear regression analysis was performed with all the available variables. The diagnosis of the endoscopic examination was not included in the analysis, because only 48% of our patients had an endoscopic examination. To account for missing values in other variables we substituted the mean value (continuous values) or zero (categorical variables) for the missing value and included a missing indicator variable in the analysis⁶³.

Ethics

The protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the Bayerische Landesärztekammer, Germany.

Results

Patient characteristics

Gender, age, time since operation, tumour stage, type of operation, and the distribution according to clinical centre are given in **Table VIII.2**.

Table VIII.2 Demographical parameters, tumour, and surgical data in 173 patients after total gastrectomy

	number of patients	median	IQR	
Female/male	62/111			
Age (years)	173	58	50	66
Time since operation (days)	167	206	56	644
Tumour stage				
0	4			
IA	48			
IB	37			
According to				
II	23			
IIIA	22			
IIIB	8			
UICC				
IV	7			
Lymphomas	24			
Duodenal bypass without pouch	87			
Duodenal bypass with pouch	27			
Continuous duodenal transit	27			
Other type of reconstruction	32			
Clinic				
Bad Kissingen	78			
Bad Driburg	73			
Bad Brückenau	22			

Biochemistry

Haematological and biochemical data is shown in **Table VIII.3**. Anaemia (haemoglobin <133 g/L) and sideropenia (ferritin <20 µg/L) were present in 46% and 31%, respectively.

Table VIII.3 Haematological and biochemical data in patients after total gastrectomy

	number of patients		median	IQR	
Haemoglobin (g/L)	169		134	125	144
Patients with < 133 g/L	78	46%			
Ferritin (μ g/L)	164		45	17	114
Patients with < 20	51	31%			
Albumin (g/l)	163		43.5	39.9	47.6
Patients with < 35 g/L	10	6.1%			

Endoscopy

In 83 of the 173 patients (48%) an upper intestinal endoscopy was performed. Pathological findings were present in 34% of the patients. Of these patients, most had mild to moderate oesophagitis (**Table VIII.4**).

Table VIII.4 Frequency of findings at upper intestinal endoscopy, of small bowel bacterial overgrowth, and of shortened oro-coecal transit in patients after total gastrectomy

	number of patients evaluable	yes	%
Upper intestinal endoscopy	83		
Normal		55	66
oesophagitis I		11	13
oesophagitis II		7	8
oesophagitis III		4	5
food retention without evident stenosis		3	4
fibrotic stenosis		3	4
Pathological findings		28	34
Small bowel bacterial overgrowth*		47	37
Oro-coecal transit time < 75 minutes*		34	21

* 34 patients with fast transit, 3 H₂-non-producers; ° 9 patients with technical problems

Small bowel bacterial overgrowth and oro-coecal transit time

The test was not evaluable for bacterial overgrowth in 34 patients because of fast transit, in three patients because they were H₂-non-producers, and in nine patients because of technical problems. Small bowel bacterial overgrowth was diagnosed in

37% of the remaining 127 patients (Table VIII.4).

In nine patients the test was unreliable for the evaluation of fast transit because of technical problems. An oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes was seen in 21% of the patients (Table VIII.4).

Symptoms

Symptoms were reported by 86% of the patients. Meteorism, dyspepsia, and early satiety were the complaints most commonly described by 68%, 65% and 55% of the patients, respectively. Reflux and dysphagia were present in 27 and 21% respectively. The median total symptom score was 0.365 on a scale from 0.000 to 3.000 (Table VIII.5).

Table VIII.5 Frequency and severity of symptoms in 173 patients after total gastrectomy

	number of patients	yes	%	
Any symptom	173	149	86	
Meteorism*	71	48	68	
Dyspepsia	173	113	65	
Early satiety	173	95	55	
Reflux	173	46	27	
Dysphagia	173	36	21	
Vomiting	173	21	12	
		median	IQR	
Symptom score				
scale from 0.000-3.000	163	0.365	0.163	0.692

* meteorism was asked in 71 patients only

Body mass, calorie intake, bowel habits, and fat malassimilation

Median body mass in health, at operation, and on admission were 26.2, 23.8, and 22.0 kg/m², respectively. Twenty-five % of the patients were underweight.

Median weight loss since operation was 9.5%. The median calorie intake per kilogram body weight and day was 37.7. Only 25% of the patients consumed less than 30.3 calories per Kg body weight and day (Table VIII.6).

In general, the patients had a normal faecal frequency and faecal consistency. The faecal mass was slightly elevated. Median faecal fat excretion was 13.0 g/day, with steatorrhoea (defined as >7 gram per day) present in 73% of the patients (Table VIII.6).

Table VIII.6 Weight development, calorie intake, and bowel habits in 173 patients after total gastrectomy

	number of patients	median	IQR	
Weight development				
Body mass index in health	170	26.2	24.1	29.0
Body mass index at operation	170	23.8	21.3	26.9
Body mass index on admission	170	22.0	20.0	24.0
Loss of body mass since operation in %	170	9.5	-2.0	16.4
Calorie intake per kg body weight and day*	154	37.7	30.3	43.1
Bowel habits during the controlled diet period				
Faecal frequency per day	162	1.2	1.0	2.0
Faecal consistency** scale 0.0-3.0	173	1.0	1.0	2.0
Faecal mass grams per day	152	219	138	324
Faecal fat grams per day	165	13.0	6.2	21.9
Fat malassimilation % of intake	158	11.6	5.1	19.6

* denotes calorie intake per Kg body weight per day; ** faecal consistency is ranked: 0=no stool, 1=normally formed, 2=soft, 3=watery diarrhoea

Medico-social performance

On admission the whole group of patients had a median ERSS-score of four (IQR 2 to 6) with a range from zero to 17 points (**Figure VIII.1**). Affleck⁵⁷ described a score ≤ 8 as a high level of medico-social functioning; only 10.4 percent of the patients had a higher score than eight. Looking at the subscales, the fields *inactivity* and *effects of symptoms on lifestyle* indicated most severe dysfunction (**Figure VIII.2**).

Figure VIII.1

Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) on admission in 173 patients after total gastrectomy. Given are the percentages of patients (vertical axis) with a distinct total ERSS-score (horizontal axis, scale 0 (best) to 28 (worst)).

Figure VIII.2 Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) subscores on admission measured in a subset of 82 patients after total gastrectomy. Scale 0 (best) to 7 (worst). The horizontal lines denote the means.

Linear regression analysis of factors influencing medico-social functioning

The results of the linear regression analysis are given in **Table VIII.7**.

There was a remarkable difference in the ERSS-scores between the three different clinics. Patients in Bad Kissingen had significantly higher scores than patients from Bad Driburg and almost significantly higher scores than patients from Bad Brückenau. Assuming all other variables to be stable, the average ERSS-score would be 2.13 points higher for patients in Bad Kissingen and 0.87 points higher for patients in Bad Brückenau compared to patients in Bad Driburg.

Female patients had higher scores than male patients and older patients had higher scores than younger patients, but these differences were not statistically significant.

The ERSS-scores improved significantly with time since operation. Assuming all other variables to be stable, the average ERSS-score would be 22% lower after one year compared to the situation directly after operation.

Higher albumin values were significantly correlated with lower ERSS-scores and higher haemoglobin levels were significantly associated with higher ERSS-scores.

Some symptoms had a significant relationship with higher ERSS-scores, i.e. impaired medico-social functioning. Dyspepsia was related to 65% and dysphagia to 62% higher average ERSS-scores, respectively. Intestino-oesophageal reflux was associated with 71% higher ERSS-scores. Increasing degrees of pathological findings at upper intestinal endoscopy were positively correlated with the ERSS-scores in a univariate analysis ($p < 0.0001$, **Figure VIII.3**).

Table VIII.7 Independent factors influencing medico-social functioning in 173 patients after total gastrectomy as identified in a linear regression analysis

Variable	coefficient	STD.err	p	95% conf.interval	
Clinic			<0.0001		
Bad Kissingen (ref.)					
Bad Driburg	-2.23	0.48		-3.18	-1.28
Bad Brückenau	-1.26	0.66		-2.57	0.04
Gender Female	0.83	0.44	0.058	-0.03	1.69
Male (ref.)					
Age (per year)	0.035	0.02	0.067	0.00	0.07
Time since operation (years)	-0.22	0.07	0.002	-0.36	-0.08
Laboratory findings Haemoglobin (g/L)	0.03	0.10	0.032	0.00	0.06
Albumin (g/L)	-0.10	0.04	0.0006	-0.18	-0.03
Symptoms no symptoms (ref.)					
Reflux	1.52	0.46	0.001	0.60	2.43
Dysphagia	1.31	0.50	0.010	0.31	2.30
Dyspepsia	1.38	0.44	0.002	0.50	2.25
Constant	2.13	2.41	0.378	-2.63	6.89

Figure VIII.3

Endoscopic diagnosis in relation to Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) in 83 patients after total gastrectomy. Endoscopic diagnosis was coded: 0=normal, 1=oesophagitis I, 2=oesophagitis II, 3=oesophagitis III, 4=food retention without evident stenosis, 5=fibrotic stenosis. The ERSS-score ranges from 0 (best) to 28 (worst). The horizontal lines denotes the means.

Discussion

Many patients and physicians consider total or subtotal gastrectomy a catastrophe. The denomination "gastric cripple" reflects the presumed quality of life in a illustrative way.

Studying specific pre- and post-operative variables with regard to their influence on medico-social functioning after total gastrectomy might elucidate areas where preventive or therapeutic action might be useful.

In this retrospective multicentre study in three gastroenterological rehabilitation centres we evaluated a large homogeneous group of patients after potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy. The patient population had in general been operated on for early stage tumour. Patients with persisting or recurrent malignancy was excluded by thorough clinical investigation in all cases. The patient group, therefore, per definition constitutes a selected subpopulation after gastric cancer surgery which has a fairly good prognosis. To us it seemed important to assess medico-social functioning in this group which possibly will be a subject for medico-social care on longer terms.

Overall, somatic parameters in our patients were frequently impaired, but not to a severe degree. This is reflected by the generally low ERSS-score on admission and is in accordance with findings of other authors^{54,58,64}. Looking at the subscales of the ERSS it is remarkable that *inactivity* and *symptom induced lifestyle changes* scored highest, whilst *social isolation* after surgery for malignancy, often considered to be of importance⁶⁵, did not seem to be a major problem. This, however, might be due to the specific type of post-therapeutic handicap after treatment for other types of diseases (e.g. breast cancer).

The ERSS-scores were significantly different in the three clinics. One possible explanation is a difference due to the doctors performing the rating, but the inter-rater reliability of the ERSS is reported to be very good⁵⁷. Another possible explanation is a difference in social background of the patients of the three clinics. The first centre (Bad Kissingen) serves mostly blue-collar workers who might define their conception of well-being more on physical ability, whereas the latter two centres preferably deal with administrative employees. Body self-esteem has been reported to be important in cancer patients⁶⁶. Psychological counselling might be of use for patients with severe disturbance of their body self-esteem. It may be that the impact of a decreased physical strength is different for the two working situations. In this case, social advice regarding re-integration into the working force and necessary and/or possible changes in working life might be useful.

The improvement of medico-social functioning with time since operation probably reflects recovery after the operation, as well as physical, psychological and social adaptation to the post-operative situation. This is consistent with the literature⁶⁷. However, also the positive selection of tumour-free survivors may play a role.

As is to be expected, higher albumin values, indicative of a better health, correlated with better medico-social performance. On the other hand, it is not really clear, why higher haemoglobin values were associated with a higher ERSS-score, i.e. impaired medico-social functioning. Smoking habits with subsequent polyglobulinaemia might interfere with this evaluation. They were, however, not recorded.

Symptoms of dyspepsia, dysphagia, and reflux into the oesophagus had a great impact on medico-social performance after total gastrectomy, although they were not necessarily the most frequent events. While dyspepsia is a common complaint of the patient after total gastrectomy, dysphagia and reflux were of relatively low frequency in our study group. Nevertheless, they interfered with post-operative performance to a substantial extent. The influence of dysphagia and reflux on the ERSS is reflected by the positive correlation of the severity of pathological findings at upper intestinal endoscopy, namely oesophagitis of different degrees and/or stenosis, and the ERSS-score. However, the small number of patients with severe endoscopic findings and the wide range of the corresponding ERSS-scores should be noted and the interpretation has to be cautious.

Treatment for dyspepsia after total gastrectomy is hampered because the pathophysiology is not clearly understood. Dyspepsia, a relatively vague denomination for upper GI-symptoms can have a multitude of causes, either alone or in combination. Some regard dyspepsia after total gastrectomy to be due to pancreatic insufficiency. Abdominal cramps can also be due to early dumping. Van der Kleij discussed the possibility that this is caused by accelerated small intestinal transit⁶⁸. In our data, no significant correlation between medico-social functioning and oro-coecal transit times were found. Dyspepsia after total gastrectomy, therefore, remains unexplained.

Dysphagia after total gastrectomy can be due to a fibrotic stenosis of the oesophago-intestinal anastomosis or an inflammatory stenosis in reflux patients. The former can be treated locally with dilatation, the latter faces the more difficult problem of reflux. Treatment for intestinal reflux into the oesophagus after total gastrectomy is difficult as the reflux is alkaline in nature. A Roux-en-Y construction with a 40- to 50-cm long Roux-segment is most often the only solution⁶⁹.

It is not only interesting to note which factors influence post-operative performance in patients with total gastrectomy, but also those that do not. Preserved duodenal transit and/or pouch reconstruction were not of any influence⁴⁸. In a previous evaluation, using a univariate approach, the presence of small bowel bacterial overgrowth in patients with total gastrectomy was associated with a worse medico-social functioning⁵¹. In the present study, however, this finding could no longer be demonstrated. Although early satiety is frequently experienced by the patients, it is not reported as a major complaint. This is also reflected in the high calorie intake

and the small number of underweight patients. Bowel habits did not influence the post-operative medico-social functioning, although faecal mass was slightly and faecal fat substantially elevated in patients after total gastrectomy.

In conclusion, the study group - who constitutes a subpopulation with a potentially good prognosis - had a very good medico-social functioning after curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy. Medico-social functioning was influenced by a number of different factors reflecting the social situation and upper abdominal symptoms. Clinical and outpatient rehabilitative centra with special interest in chronic gastrointestinal disorders form an ideal environment to take care of these patients, as physical findings, psychological factors, and the social situations of the patient can be assessed simultaneously and can be influenced by the team approach of different health professionals.

References

1. Parkin D, Laara E, Muir C. Estimate of the world-wide frequency of sixteen major cancers in 1980. *Int J Cancer* 1988;41:184-197
2. Davis DL, Hoel D, Fox J, Lopez A. Epidemiology: International trends in cancer mortality in France, West Germany, Italy, Japan, England and Wales, and the USA. *Lancet* 1990;336:474-481
3. Boland CR, Scheiman JM. Tumours of the Stomach. In: Yamada T, Alpers DH, Owyang C, Powell D, Silverstein F (eds). *Textbook of Gastroenterology*. Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott, 1995;1:1494-1523
4. Neugut AI, Hayek M, Howe G. Epidemiology of Gastric Cancer. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:281-291
5. Becker HD. Radikalitätsprinzipien beim Magencarcinom-eine kritische Betrachtung. *Chirurg* 1991;62:878-880
6. Diehl JT, Hermann RE, Cooperman AM, Hoerr SO. Gastric Carcinoma-A Ten-Year Review. *Ann Surg* 1983;198:9-12
7. Wanebo HJ, Kennedy BJ, Chmiel J, Steele B, Winchester D, Osleen R. Cancer of the stomach. A patient care study by the American College of Surgeons. *Ann Surg* 1993;218:583-592
8. Gall FP, Altendorf A, Hermanek P, Gentsch HH. Chirurgische Therapie des Magenkrebses-Stagnation oder Fortschritte? Ergebnisse bei 2665 Magenkrebs-Patienten. *Fortschr Med* 1982;100:1876-1882
9. Sue-Ling HM, Johnston D, Martin IG et al. Gastric cancer: a curable disease in Britain. *BMJ* 1993;307:591-595
10. Takeda J, Koufuji K, Kodama I et al. Total gastrectomy for gastric cancer: 12-year data and review of the effect of performing lymphadenectomy. *Kurume Med J* 1994;41:15-21
11. Iida F, Koike S, Koide N. Extended total gastrectomy for carcinoma of the cardia. *Hepato-gastroenterology* 1993;40:103-106
12. Jatzko G, Lisborg Ph, Klimpfinger M, Denk H. Extended radical surgery against gastric cancer: low complication and high survival rates. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1992;22:102-106
13. Maruyama K, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Sano T, Katai H. Surgical treatment for gastric cancer: the Japanese approach. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:360-368
14. McNeer G, Bowden L, Booher R, McPeak C. Elective Total Gastrectomy for Cancer of the Stomach: End Results. *Ann Surg* 1974;180:252-256
15. Menke H. Morbidität und Letalität nach Operationen wegen Magenkarzinom. Ergebnisse einer prospektiven Untersuchung zur Bedeutung unterschiedlicher Risikofaktoren. *Med Klin* 1992;87:300-304
16. Meyer C, Perraud V, Rohr S, De-Manzini N, Thiry LC. Surgical treatment of adenocarcinoma of the stomach: 1969-1994. Apropos of 261 cases. *J Chir Paris* 1995;132:423-429

17. Meyer HJ, Jähne J, Wilke H, Pichllmayr R. Surgical Treatment of Gastric Cancer: Retrospective Survey of 1,704 Operated Cases With Special Reference to Total Gastrectomy as the Operation of Choice. *Semi Surg Oncol* 1991;7:356-364
18. Meyer HJ, Jähne J, Wilke H. Perspectives of surgery and multimodality treatment in gastric carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 1993;119:384-394
19. Pacelli F, Doglietto GB, Bellatone R, Alfieri S, Sgadari A, Crucitti F. Extensive versus limited lymph node dissection for gastric cancer: a comparative study of patients. *Br J Surg* 1993;80:1153-1156
20. Harrison LE, Karpeh MS, Brennan. Extended lymphadenectomy is associated with a survival benefit for node negative gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology* 1997;122:A1447
21. Dietl F, Rumpf KD. Früh- und Spätergebnisse der Gastrektomie de principe. *Zentrbl Chir* 1995;120:800-803
22. Guadagni S, Reed PI, Johnston BJ et al. Early gastric cancer: follow-up after gastrectomy in 159 patients. *Br J Surg* 1993;80:325-328
23. Maruyama K. The most important prognostic factor for the gastric cancer patient. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:63-68
24. Moriguchi S, Hayashi Y, Nose Y, Maehara Y, Korenaga D, Sugimachi K. A comparison of the logistic regression and the Cox proportional hazard models in retrospective studies on the prognosis of patients with gastric cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 1993;52:9-13
25. Moureaux J, Bougaran J. Early gastric cancer. A 25-year surgical experience. *Ann Surg* 1993;217:347-355
26. Pinto E, Roviello F, de Stefano A, Vindigni C. Early gastric cancer: report on 142 patients observed over 13 years. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1994;24:12-19
27. Spataro V, Genoni M, Maurer C, Müller W. Stomach cancer: 10 years experiences with surgical treatment and possibilities for improving the prognosis. *Helv Chir Acta* 1993;59:589-595
28. Farley DR, Donohue JH, Nagorney DM, Carpenter HA, Katzmman JA, Ilstrup DM. Early gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 1992;79:539-542
29. Hisamichi S. Screening for Gastric Cancer. *World J Surg* 1989;13:31-37
30. Troidl H. Lebensqualität: ein relevantes Zielkriterium der Chirurgie. *Chirurg* 1989;60:445-449
31. Bozzetti F. Total versus subtotal gastrectomy in cancer of the distal stomach: facts and fantasy. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 1992;18:572-579
32. Anderson ID, MacIntyre IM. Symptomatic outcome following resection of gastric cancer. *Surg Oncol* 1995;4:35-40
33. Buhl K, Schlag P, Herfarth C. Quality of life and functional results following different types of resection for gastric carcinoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 1990;16:404-409
34. Buhl K, Lehnert T, Schlag P, Herfarth C. Reconstruction after gastrectomy and quality of life. *World J Surg* 1995;19:558-564

35. Descottes B, Thognon P, Valleix D, Sodji M, Breuille G, Antarieu S. Gastrectomies totales et viscerosynthese. *J Chir Paris* 1995;132:3336-3341
36. Jentschura D, Strohmeier N, Winkler M, Sturm J. Comparative study of the quality of life after stomach resection. *Langenbecks Arch Chir Suppl* 1996;113:247-248
37. Korenaga D, Orita H, Okuyama T, Moriguchi S, Maehara Y, Sugimach K. Quality of life after gastrectomy in patients with carcinoma of the stomach. *Br J Surg* 1992;79:248-250
38. Raab M, van Maercke P, Stützer H. Quality of life following gastrectomy-a comparison of Longmire and Roux stomach replacement methods. *Zentralbl Chir* 1987;112:1140-1145
39. Roder JD, Herschbach P, Henrich G, Nagel M, Böttcher K, Siewert JR. Lebensqualität nach totaler Gastrektomie wegen Magenkarzinoms. Ösophagojejunoplicatio mit Pouch versus Ösophagojejunostomie ohne Pouch. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1992;117:241-247
40. Roder JD, Stein HJ, Eckel F et al. Vergleich der Lebensqualität nach subtotaler und totaler Gastrektomie beim Magenkarzinom. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1996;121:543-549
41. Schmitz R, Moser KH, Treckmann J. Lebensqualität nach prograder Jejunuminterposition mit und ohne Pouch. Eine prospektive Studie bei Magencarcinompatienten zur Frage des Reservoirs als Rekonstruktionsprinzip nach totaler Gastrektomie. *Chirurg* 1994;65:326-332
42. Schwarz A, Büchler M, Usinger K et al. Importance of the duodenal passage and pouch volume after total gastrectomy and reconstruction with the Ulm pouch: prospective randomized clinical study. *World J Surg* 1996;20:60-67
43. Stier A, Hölscher AH, Schwaiger M, Siewert JR. Jejunumpouch nach totaler Gastrektomie-Klinische und szintigraphische Untersuchungen zu Funktion und Befindlichkeit. *Zentralbl Chir* 1994;119:838-844
44. Svedlund J, Sullivan M, Liedman B, Lundell L, Sjodin I. Quality of Life after Gastrectomy for Gastric Carcinoma: Controlled Study of Reconstructive Procedures. *World J Surg* 1997;21:422-433
45. Troidl H, Kusche J, Vestweber JH, Eypasch E, Maul U. Pouch versus oesophagojejunostomy after total gastrectomy: A randomized clinical trial. *World J Surg* 1987;11:699-712
46. Junginger TH, Walgenbach S. Postgastrectomy Reconstruction By Esophagojejunostomy Roux-en-Y. *Nutrition* 1988;4:239-242
47. Fuchs KH, Thiede A, Engemann R, Deltz E, Stremme O, Hamelmann H. Reconstruction of the food passage after total gastrectomy: randomized trial. *World J Surg* 1995;19:698-705
48. Brägelmann R, Armbrrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Total Gastrectomy: The Influence of Preserved Duodenal Transit and of Pouch Reconstruction on Abdominal Symptoms, Nutrient Assimilation, and Medico-Social Functioning. *German J Gastroenterol* 1996;9:555
49. Herfarth C, Schlag P, Buhl K. Surgical Procedures for Gastric Substitution. *World J Surg* 1987;11:689-698

50. Cristallo M, Braga M, Agape D, Primignani M, Zuliani W, Vecchi M, Murone M, sironi M, Di Carlo V, De Franchis R. Nutritional status, function of the small intestine and jejunal morphology after total gastrectomy for carcinoma of the stomach. *Surg Gyn Obst* 1986;163:225-230
51. Brägelmann R, Armbrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Small bowel bacterial overgrowth in patients after total gastrectomy. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1997;27:409-416
52. Armbrecht U, Lundell L, Lindstedt G, Stockbrügger RW. Causes of malabsorption after total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction. *Acta Chir Scand* 1988;154:37-41
53. Tabaqchali S. The Pathophysiological Role of Small Intestinal Bacterial Flora. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1970;6:139-163
54. Siegrist K, Siegrist J. Psychosocial Factors in the Course of Gastric Cancer. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:90-92
55. van de Kamer JH, ten Bokkel Huinink H, Weyers HA. Rapid method for the determination of fat in faeces. *J Biol Chem* 1949;177:347-355
56. Armbrecht U, Jensen J, Eden S, Stockbrügger RW. Assessment of Oro-coecal Transit Time by Means of a Hydrogen (H₂) Breath Test As Compared with a Radiologic Control Method. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1986;21:669-677
57. Affleck JW, Aitken RCB, Hunter JAA, McGuire RJ, Roy CW. Rehabilitation Status: A Measure of Medicosocial Dysfunction. *Lancet* 1988;1:230-233
58. Gutschmidt S, Hänisch S, Wurthner K. Verlaufsbeschreibung stationärer Rehabilitation bei Verdauungs-und Stoffwechselkrankheiten unter zunehmender Integration von Verhalten und Erleben. *Rehabilitation* 1993;32:185-196
59. Gutschmidt S, Hänisch S. Integrativer Therapieansatz in der stationären Rehabilitation von Frauen und Männern mit totaler Gastrektomie wegen Magenkarzinom. *Rehabilitation* 1994;33:228-236
60. Disler PB, Christopher WR, Smith BP. Predicting Hours of Care Needed. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993;74:139-143
61. Mattison PG, Aitken CB, Prescott RJ. Rehabilitation status-the relationship between the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS), Barthel Index, and PULSES profile. *Int Disabil Studies* 1991;13:9-11
62. Mattison PG, Aitken CB, Prescott RJ. Rehabilitation status in multiple handicap. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1992;73:926-929
63. Dawson-Saunders B, Trapp RG. Basic and Clinical Biostatistics. East Norwalk: Appleton & Lange, 1990
64. Egli H. What constitutes Quality of Life? Methodological Considerations and Suggestions for Clinical Practice. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:87-89
65. Bloom JR, Spiegel D. The relationship of two dimensions of social support to the psychological well-being and social functioning of women with advanced breast cancer. *Soc Sci Med* 1984;19:831-837
66. Katz MR, Rodin G, Devins GM. Self-esteem and cancer: theory and research. *Can J Psychiatry* 1995;40:608-615

67. Zieren HU, Zippel K, Zieren J, Müller JM. Quality of Life after Surgical Treatment of Gastric Carcinoma. *Eur J Surg* 1998;164:119-125
68. van der Kleij FGH, Vecht J, Lamers CBHW, Masclee AAM. Diagnostic value of dumping provocation in patients after gastric surgery. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:1162-1166
69. Debas HT, Orloff SL. Surgery for Peptic Ulcer Disease and Postgastrectomy Syndromes. In: Yamada T, Alpers DH, Owyang C, Powell D, Silverstein F (eds). *Textbook of Gastroenterology*. Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott 1995;1:1523-1543

Chapter IX

Coping Behaviour in Patients after Curative Total Gastrectomy

R Brägelmann, HH Theissen, U Armbrecht,
RW Stockbrügger

(Submitted for publication)

Abstract

Background

The aim of this study was to describe and examine coping patterns applied by the patients after a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy.

Patients and Methods

78 consecutive patients (f=25, m=53, median age 57 years, (interquartile range=IQR 50-65)) were seen 144 days (IQR 41-546) after a curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy. Patients were invited to be interviewed by a psychologist, who assessed coping strategies using a validated questionnaire. The predilection for certain strategies was compared within the group and with a disease-control group from the literature. The possible relationship of tumour stage, time past operation, gender, weight loss since operation, and energy intake per kilogram body weight and day with the coping strategies was examined.

Results

Fifty of the 78 patients (64%) agreed to be assessed by the clinical psychologist. These patients (f=19, m=31; f/m=0.62) with a median age of 53 years (IQR 49-63) were investigated 187 days (IQR 41-573) after the total gastrectomy. The pattern *compliance strategy and trust in doctors* scored significantly higher than all the other fields ($p<0.0001$). The patterns *self-support* and *relativation by comparison* had significantly higher ratings than all the other fields ($p=0.0001$) with the exception of the field *compliance strategy and trust in doctors*. *Problem analysis and problem solving* and *hedonism* scored significantly higher ($p=0.0003$) than *cognitive denial and dissimulation* or the remaining seven fields not mentioned. The fields *compliance strategy and trust in doctors*, *hedonism*, *regressive tendency*, *relativation by comparison* and *self-support* had at least ten patients (20%) above the mean score plus the standard deviation of the disease-control group, whereas only the field *emotional control and social isolation* had ten patients below the mean minus the standard deviation of the disease-control group. There was a positive correlation of the field *hedonism* with time past operation ($r^2=0.5$, $p<0.0001$).

Conclusion

Active and problem-oriented coping patterns were predominant in a group of supposedly cancer-free patients after total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy. If these coping patterns are recognised by the managing physician(s), post-operative rehabilitation can probably be further improved.

Introduction

Survival rates for patients with gastric cancer are improving¹, due to improved surgical technique² and earlier diagnosis^{1,3}. Optimising quality of life in the gastrectomised patient has been recognised as a point of concern^{4,5}. Studies regarding quality of life after total gastrectomy mainly compare the influence of different types of gastric reconstruction⁶⁻¹². Apart from this somatic approach, psychological factors influencing quality of life after the diagnosis and/or treatment of severe disease have been of growing interest. Coping strategies are one facet of these factors.

Coping studies developed from the studies of stress reactions. Coping categories are not precisely defined, but coping styles have mainly been described as "confrontative", "avoiding", "resigned", or a "non-dominant style"¹³. Coping behaviour has by some authors been described to be influenced by gender^{14,15} and age^{16,17}. The outcome of an operation or other therapy^{16,18}, related to the subjective expectancies of the patient¹⁹, have been found to be of importance for coping behaviour especially. Supposedly, the ascribed meaning of symptoms²⁰ and causal psychosocial attributions²¹ will effect it. Coping strategies are not exclusively a consequence of personal style²² but can also be learnt²³⁻²⁷. There seems to be a certain disease specificity of coping behaviour^{22,28,29}. Disease-specific coping patterns might result from objective disease parameters, symptoms, physical stigmata, therapeutical requirements, or peer-group reactions²⁹. Reports, however, are not consistent^{27,30,31,32}, and hitherto no correlations have been made between disease-related parameters and coping strategies. Some coping strategies are reported to correlate with better adjustment and less emotional distress than others^{19,21,27,31,33}. Svedlund et al.³⁴ discuss the need for linking somatic factors to psychological performance after gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

The aim of this study was to describe the coping patterns applied by the patients after a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy, to examine disease-specificity, and to identify parameters of possible influence on the predilection of the patients for certain coping patterns.

Patients and methods

During the period from May 1990 to January 1993, 95 consecutively admitted patients following a potentially curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy were seen at a gastroenterological rehabilitation hospital in Bad Kissingen, Germany. Of the 95 patients, 13 had been admitted for the second time, and in four patients a cancer recurrence and/or metastasis was diagnosed shortly after admission. These patients were not included in the evaluation, because the intention

of the study was to examine tumour-free patients and repeated evaluation of the same patient might falsify the results. 78 patients (f=25, m=53; f/m=0.47) with a median age of 57 years (IQR 50-65) were investigated 144 days (IQR 41-546) after the total gastrectomy.

Basic evaluation

Surgical records were scrutinised for data regarding tumour stage and outcome of the operation. On admission cancer recurrence had been excluded by thorough physical, biochemical, and when necessary by endoscopic investigation. Body mass was measured applying the Quetelet index (weight/height²; normal range 20.0 to 25.0 Kg/m²).

Controlled diet period

All patients were put on a standardised diet for five days. During the diet period, meals were composed of 48% fat, 17% protein, and 35% carbohydrates, respectively. The individual nutritional intake was quantified at every meal by an attending dietician and summarised each day.

Coping behaviour

All patients included in the study were invited to see the clinical psychologist for a personal or, if preferred, written interview to evaluate coping behaviour. The patients were totally free in their decision to accept this invitation or to decline the offer. No reasons were inquired if patients choose not to participate.

In order to assess coping behaviour the "Freiburger Fragebogen zur Krankheitsverarbeitung - FKV 102" of Muthny et al.³⁵ was used. This questionnaire has been developed to assess coping and social support. It can either focus retrospectively on the moment when the patient was told the diagnosis, or on the week before the interview. The latter procedure was followed in this study. The questionnaire consists of 102 items which have to be rated by the patient in a range from one to five reflecting "not fitting at all" to "fits perfectly". The items are related to twelve scales which are given in the following table (**Table IX.1**). Higher numbers indicate that a pattern is more important for the patient.

Primarily, the predilection of the patients for the specific patterns is described with an intra-scale comparison. Thereafter, the degree to which the individual scales are applied by our patients is compared with a disease-control group from the literature consisting of patients with chronic renal insufficiency (n=212) and patients with breast cancer (n=107)³⁵. Finally, the following variables were analysed with regard to the possible influence on coping strategies: tumour stage, time past operation, gender, weight loss since operation, and energy intake per kilogram body weight and day. For this evaluation the patients were re-grouped into three tumour groups,

as prognosis and/or therapy of these groups differ substantially: group 1 with stage IA gastric cancer (n=17), group 2 with stage IB to IV gastric cancer (n=27), and group 3 with gastric lymphoma (n=6).

Table IX.1 Scales of the “Freiburger Fragebogen zur Krankheitsverarbeitung“ (FKV 102) and examples of some items

Scales of the FKV 102		example of an item*	range	mean	S
1	Problem analysis & problem solving	I tried to find the cause of my disease	13 65	39	12
2	Depression	I was depressed & sad	16 80	38	16
3	Hedonism	I did something nice today	11 55	32	9
4	Religion & search for reason	Through the disease, I found myself	8 40	19	8
5	Pessimistic behaviour & distrustfulness	I felt dominated by the doctors	7 35	18	7
6	Cognitive denial & dissimulation	I wanted to hear that it was not that bad	9 45	22	8
7	Distraction & self-appraisal	I tried to forget	8 40	21	7
8	Emotional control & social isolation	I wanted to be alone	7 35	18	6
9	Regressive tendency	I wished that I were allowed to be weak	5 25	10	4
10	Relativation by comparison	I got strength, because other lived	4 20	14	4
11	Compliance strategy & trust in doctors	I did exactly what my doctor told me	4 20	17	3
12	Self-support	I relied on my optimism & lust for life	5 25	18	5

*Translation from the German by the author; °mean values and standart deviation (S) for a disease-control group according to Muthny et al.³⁵

Statistics

The specific scales of the FKV 102 were compared with each other by means of a Wilcoxon Matched-Pairs Signed-Ranks Test with a Bonferroni correction. A p-value of 0.013 (0.05/4) was used to indicate significance. The possible influence of five variables on coping strategies was analysed in linear regression models with a Bonferroni correction. The time past operation was transformed into the logarithmic value ($\log_{10}$) to account for the skewed distribution of the values. A p-value of 0.004 (0.05/12) was used to indicate significance.

Results

Fifty of the 78 patients (64%) agreed to be interviewed by the clinical psychologist. These patients (f=19, m=31; f/m=0.62) with a median age of 53 years (IQR 49-63) were investigated 187 days (IQR 41-573) after the total gastrectomy.

The overall coping patterns are given in **Figure IX.1** as the percentage of the maximum possible value for each individual coping pattern. The pattern *compliance*

strategy and trust in doctors scored significantly higher than all the other fields ($p < 0.0001$). The patterns *self-support* and *relativation by comparison* had significantly higher ratings than all the other fields ($p = 0.0001$) with the exception of the field *compliance strategy and trust in doctors*. *Problem analysis and problem solving* and *hedonism* scored significantly higher ($p = 0.0003$) than *cognitive denial and dissimulation* or the remaining seven fields.

Figure IX.1 Coping patterns after curative total gastrectomy. Given is the distribution of the percentages of the maximal possible values for the 12 coping patterns in 50 patients after curative total gastrectomy in a whisker-boxplot. The lower boundary of the boxes is the 25th percentile, the upper boundary is the 75th percentile, the line in the box represents the median. The circles denote outliers, which are defined as cases with a value 1.5 to 3 box-lengths from the edge of the box. The whiskers show the highest and lowest values that are not outliers. 1=problem analysis and problem solving, 2=depression, 3=hedonism, 4=religion and search for reason, 5=pessimistic behaviour and distrustfulness, 6=cognitive denial and dissimulation, 7=distracton and self-appraisal, 8=emotional control and social isolation, 9=regressive tendency, 10=relativation by comparison, 11=compliance strategy and trust in doctors, 12=self-support.

The number of patients with values below or above the mean values ($\pm$ standard deviation) of a disease-control group³⁵ is given in **Table IX.2**. The fields *compliance strategy and trust in doctors*, *hedonism*, *regressive tendency*, *relativation by comparison* and *self-support* had at least ten patients (20%) above the mean score of the disease-control group, whereas only the field *emotional control and social isolation* had ten patients below the mean of the disease-control group.

Table IX.2 The number of patients after total gastrectomy with scores below or above the mean scores (+/- standard deviation) for a disease-control group*

Scales of the FKV 102	< mean of disease controls		> mean of disease controls	
	n	%	n	%
1 Problem analysis & problem solving	4	8	8	16
2 Depression	5	10	7	14
3 Hedonism	5	10	13	26
4 Religion & search for reason	5	10	7	14
5 Pessimistic behaviour & distrustfulness	5	10	8	16
6 Cognitive denial & dissimulation	1	2	4	8
7 Distraction & self-appraisal	6	12	8	16
8 Emotional control & social isolation	10	20	7	14
9 Regressive tendency	9	18	11	22
10 Relativation by comparison	4	8	10	20
11 Compliance strategy & trust in doctors	0	0	23	46
12 Self-support	2	4	10	20

* according to Muthny³⁵

The patients with stage IA cancer did not differ in any of the twelve coping parameters compared with the patients with a worse cancer stage (IB to IV). Cancer patients and those with gastric lymphoma differed in the field *emotional control and social isolation* which was slightly higher in the group with the lymphomas compared to the cancer patients ($p=0.004$). Whilst this might be due to the difference in therapy (e.g. chemo-therapy), the small size of the lymphoma-group ($n=6$) limits the usefulness of this finding. There was a positive correlation of the field *hedonism* with time past operation in a linear regression model ($r^2=0.5$, $p<0.0001$, **Figure IX.2**). Neither gender, weight loss nor energy intake per kilogram body weight and day were associated with any specific coping pattern.

Figure IX.2 The influence of time past operation on the degree of hedonistic coping. Given is the relationship of the time past operation (logarithmic scale) and the rating for hedonistic coping in 50 patients after curative total gastrectomy. $r^2=0.5$ $p<0.0001$

Discussion

Whilst the importance of successful and unsuccessful coping strategies for the patient's well-being is recognised, it is not well understood why some patients succeed in their coping process whereas others fail. A predilection for certain coping strategies after or during disease can be due to sociodemographic factors, personality traits, situational factors, and characteristics of the disease and/or therapy. As there seems to be a difference in coping patterns between various disorders, it is tempting to ask whether objective differences within one condition (prognosis, severity, chronicity, symptoms, etc.) influence the choice of certain coping strategies.

In this study we described coping behaviour of patients with gastric malignancy after curative total gastric resection and tried to relate it to a number of pre-selected disease-related parameters. Only the most plausible variables were examined, because of the small number of patients. Besides tumour stage and tumour type as well as time elapsed since operation and gender, we choose to evaluate weight loss since operation and current energy intake per kilogram body weight and day. Weight loss and low energy intake are very common problems after total gastrectomy. Age, which sometimes seems to be of influence on coping patterns^{16,17}, was not considered as an interesting variable, as the range of age in our patient group was very narrow.

This study is a rather crude assessment of trends as the number of patients examined was small in relation to the number of evaluated parameters. Moreover, the selection bias created by the decision of 36% of the patients not to accept the invitation of the psychological assessment is of unknown impact.

Comparing the individual scales with each other and with the values of a disease-control group³⁵, a predilection for certain coping patterns in our patients can be discussed.

Compliance strategy and trust in doctors reflects the positive experience of surviving a life-threatening disease by medical intervention without major complications, free of recurrences, and without major post-operative chronic impairment. In our patient group, a great number of patients had higher ratings for this strategy compared to the disease-control group, although patients with chronic renal insufficiency or coronary insufficiency have a predilection for this pattern themselves³⁰. This probably is due to the impression of our patients that they were saved, but may partly be due to the difference in chronicity or to the difference in daily disease-related problems between our patients and the disease-control group.

Hedonism describes the patients' interest and self-study of dietary habits, digestion, bowel movements, enjoyment of life and well-being. In contrast to the field *problem analysis and problem solving*, which is a more theoretical approach, the term *hedonism* covers the concept of focusing on minor improvements in the

culinary field. It is remarkable but also understandable that patients, whose major handicap is enjoying eating, apply this technique in such a frequency especially when compared to the disease-control group.

Looking at the results of the intra-scale analysis, *regressive tendency*, an intrapsychic retreat of the patient to cope without external support, was not one of the major coping patterns of our patients. This is consistent with the high scores for the pattern discussed so far, which all indicate a rather active role of the patient. However, compared to the disease-control group of Muthny³⁵, a relatively large proportion of our study group applied this coping technique.

Self-support describes the patients possibility of overcome moments of suspicion and depression and to notice objective improvements in disease parameters.

Relativation by comparison probably is a highly attractive coping pattern to patients after curative total gastrectomy, because they survived while others died.

It is positive that the field *problem analysis and problem solving* is a major feature of patients after gastric resection. Many patients seem to have realised, that own assessment of disease- and operation-related problems, e.g. food intolerance, and the initiative to adapt accordingly are necessary prerequisites to improve their quality of life. The realisation that they can actively influence their post-operative problems is of importance as it exemplifies regained self-determination. The setting of our study in a rehabilitation centre, where patients come to learn how to adapt, might have overemphasised the importance of this specific coping pattern. Compared to the disease-control group, however, the number of patients with higher ratings was not impressive.

Emotional control and social isolation was applied less often by our patients than by the disease-control of Muthny et al.³⁵, which is consistent with the active role of the patient indicated by the use of the patterns discussed up to now.

Evaluating the influence of some pre-selected variables on the predilection for coping patterns, most variables had no effect. Patients with different cancer stages corresponding to a highly varying prognosis applied similar coping strategies. Whilst this could indeed indicate that coping patterns in one specific disease are independent of prognosis, this is most probably due to lack of information about the varying prognosis or the trust that curative resection removes this variation. Only the time past operation showed a positive correlation with the importance of hedonistic coping, indicating a "learning process".

In conclusion, active and problem-oriented coping patterns were predominant in a group of cancer-free patients after total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy. If these coping patterns are recognised by the managing physician(s), post-operative rehabilitation can probably be further improved.

References

1. Sue-Ling HM, Johnston D, Martin IG, Dixon MF, Landsdown MRJ, McMahon MJ, Axon ATR. Gastric cancer: a curable disease in Britain. *BMJ* 1993;307:591-595
2. Maruyama K, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Sano T, Katai H. Surgical treatment for gastric cancer: the Japanese approach. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:360-368
3. Hanazaki K, Sodeyama H, Wakabayashi M, Miyazawa M, Yokoyama S, Sode Y, Kawamura N, Miyazaki T, Ohtsuka M. Surgical treatment of gastric cancer detected by mass screening. *Hepato Gastroenterology* 1997;44:1126-1132
4. Troidl H. Lebensqualität: ein relevantes Zielkriterium der Chirurgie. *Chirurg* 1989;60:445-449
5. Bozzetti F. Total versus subtotal gastrectomy in cancer of the distal stomach: facts and fantasy. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 1992;18:572-579
6. Buhl K, Lehnert T, Schlag P, Herfarth C. Reconstruction after gastrectomy and quality of life. *World J Surgery* 1995;19:558-564
7. Roder JD, Stein HJ, Eckel F, Herschbach P, Henrich G, Böttcher K, Busch R, Siewert JR. Vergleich der Lebensqualität nach subtotaler und totaler Gastrektomie beim Magenkarzinom. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1996;121:543-549
8. Schwarz A, Büchler M, Usinger K, Rieger H, Glasbrenner B, Friess H, Kunz R, Beger HG. Importance of the duodenal passage and pouch volume after total gastrectomy and reconstruction with the Ulm pouch: prospective randomized clinical study. *World J Surg* 1996;20:60-67
9. Svedlund J, Sullivan M, Liedman B, Lundell L, Sjodin I. Quality of Life after Gastrectomy for Gastric Carcinoma: Controlled Study of Reconstructive Procedures. *World J Surg* 1997;21:422-433
10. Troidl H, Kusche J, Vestweber JH, Eypasch E, Maul U. Pouch versus oesophagojejunostomy after total gastrectomy: A randomized clinical trial. *World J Surg* 1987;11:699-712
11. Fuchs KH, Thiede A, Engemann R, Deltz E, Stremme O, Hamelmann H. Reconstruction of the food passage after total gastrectomy: randomized trial. *World J Surg* 1995;19:698-705
12. Brägelmann R, Armbrecht U, Rosemeyer D, Schneider B, Zilly W, Stockbrügger RW. Total Gastrectomy: The Influence of Preserved Duodenal Transit and of Pouch Reconstruction on Abdominal Symptoms, Nutrient Assimilation, and Medico-Social Functioning. *German J Gastroenterology* 1996;9:555
13. Shapiro DE, Rodrigue JR, Boggs SR, Robinson ME. Cluster analysis of the medical coping modes questionnaire: evidence for coping with cancer styles? *J Psychosom Res* 1994;38:151-9
14. Baider L, Perry S, Sison A, Holland J, Uuziely B, DeNour AK. The role of psychological variables in a group of melanoma patients. An Israeli sample. *Psychosomatics* 1997;38:45-53

15. Graupe F, Schwenk W, Bracht B, Kroner-Herwig B, Stock W. Psychological stress on patients in tumor after-care after R0 resection of colorectal carcinomas. *Chirurg* 1996;67:604-609
16. Gross RE, Burnett CB, Borelli M. Coping responses to the diagnosis of breast cancer in postmastectomy patients. *Cancer Pract* 1996;4:204-211
17. Jacobson PB, Butler RW. Relation of cognitive coping and catastrophizing to acute pain and analgesic use following breast cancer surgery. *J Behav Med* 1996;19:17-29
18. Hulme Moir I. Psychological, social, and surgical factors which influence success or failure after gastric operations. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1979;14:457-462
19. Wagner MK, Armstrong D, Laughlin JE. Cognitive determinants of quality of life after onset of cancer. *Psychol Rep* 1995;77:147-54
20. Barkwell DP. Ascribed meaning: a critical factor in coping and pain attenuation in patients with cancer-related pain. *J Palliat Care* 1991;7:5-14
21. Faller H, Lang H, Schilling S. Causal "cancer personality" attribution- an expression of maladaptive coping with illness? *Z Klin Psychol Psychopathol Psychother* 1996;44:104-116
22. Feifel H, Strack S, Nagy VT. Degree of life-threat and differential use of coping modes. *J Psychosom Res* 1987;31:91-99
23. Spiegel D. Health caring. Psychosocial support for patients with cancer. *Cancer* 1994;74:1453-7
24. Cunningham AJ, Lockwood GA, Edmonds CV. Which cancer patients benefit most from a brief, group, coping skills program? *Int J Psychiatry Med* 1993;23:383-398
25. Finlay IG, Jones OL. Hypnotherapy in palliative care. *J R Soc Med* 1996;89:493-496
26. Grahm G, Danielson M. Coping with the cancer experience. II. Evaluating an education and support programme for cancer patients and their significant others. *Eur J Cancer Care Engl* 1996;5:182-187
27. Grassi L, Rosti G. Psychosocial morbidity and adjustment to illness among long-term cancer survivors. A six-year follow-up study. *Psychosomatics* 1996;37:523-532
28. Holland JC, Korzun AH, Tross S, Silberfarb P, Perry M, Comis R, Oster M. Comparative psychological disturbance in patients with pancreatic and gastric cancer. *Am J Psy* 1986;143:982-986
29. Theissen HH. Coping with illness in the postoperative phase following gastrectomy. *Z Gastroenterol* 1996;34 Suppl 2:29-31
30. Muthny FA, Bechtel M, Spaete M. Lay etiologic theories and coping with illness in severe physical diseases. An empirical comparative study of female myocardial infarct, cancer, dialysis and multiple sclerosis patients. *Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol* 1992;42:41-53
31. Dunkel-Schetter D, Feinstein LG, Taylor SE, Falke RL. Patterns of coping with cancer. *Health Psychol* 1992;11:79-87
32. Beutel M. Bewältigungsprozesse bei chronischen Erkrankungen. Edition Medizin VCH, Weinheim 1988

33. Classen C, Koopman C, Angell K, Spiegel D. Coping styles associated with psychological adjustment to advanced breast cancer. *Health Psychology* 1996;15:434-437
34. Svedlund J, Sullivan M, Sjodin I, Liedman B, Lundell L. Quality of life in gastric cancer prior to gastrectomy. *Qual Life Res* 1996;5:255-264
35. Muthny FA. Freiburger Fragebogen zur Krankheitsverarbeitung. Manual. Verlag Beltz, Weinheim 1989

Chapter X

General Discussion

R Brägelmann, RW Stockbrügger

General Introduction

Introduction

The cardinal medical problem of a patient with gastric cancer is to be suitable for a curative resection. Nothing else matters at that moment. After curative resection, the threat of recurrence has the greatest significance for the patients. However, “functional changes” in their daily post-operative lives gain more importance, too. In this context the term “functional changes” encompasses medical, psychological and social parameters.

Advances in diagnosis¹⁻¹² and surgical technique^{1,2,13-22} caused a rise of curative resection and of survival rates. Although stomach cancer incidence is decreasing, the number of surviving patients with a total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy will remain around the present level for quite a time, because of the improved survival. Furthermore, the rise in proximally located gastric tumours also causes an increase of total or subtotal gastrectomies²³⁻²⁶. In summary, the number of patients for whom long-term post-operative problems after total gastrectomy will be relevant, will probably rise.

In **Chapter I** some features of the situation after total gastrectomy as described in the literature have been discussed. It is pointed out that there are a number of possibly or definitely interrelated factors which determine post-operative symptomatology, nutrient assimilation, and medico-social performance. Although the number of studies performed on functional changes in patients after total gastrectomy is quite high, the data is conflicting in many aspects. Furthermore, information on the relevance of specific alterations after total gastrectomy is often lacking. The most important reasons for discrepancies and shortcomings of information are the following problems:

1. Recruiting an adequate number of representative patients for a study like this is hampered by the poor prognosis of this patient group.
2. A great number of potentially confounding variables have to be evaluated simultaneously after numerous intensive investigations in a large study group using multivariate analysis.

In what follows our results will first be discussed with a descriptive approach. Thereafter, an outline will be given what insights our investigations provide into the pathophysiology of nutrient malassimilation after total gastrectomy, and in the factors influencing post-operative medico-social performance.

Descriptive analysis

Looking at the data of the large patient group presented in **Chapter III** and **Chapter VIII**, the overall impression is that “very little has changed in their (the

patients) lives (after total gastrectomy)"²⁷. This general statement, although true, needs some specific comments.

Due to the selection procedure as described in **Chapter II**, the patients constitute a subgroup of gastric cancer patients with a much better prognosis than the total group of operated gastric cancer patients. However, this selection was intended for this special investigation: namely to study nutrient malassimilation, related symptomatology and possible intervention in a (supposedly) cancer-free patient-group relatively stable after the curative surgical event.

It is probable that adaptive processes occur after total gastrectomy. As there is little information regarding the influence of the time span since operation on this process, it is not possible to define when the adaptive phase after total gastrectomy is ended. Also, the length of an adaptive phase might be different for different parameters. The time span after operation in our patients varied to a great extent, ranging from admission in the first months after operation to years. This feature of our patient population offered the opportunity to include *time span after operation* as a variable of interest. Indeed, there were some variables which were time-dependant; such as ferritin values, medico-social functioning, and the degree of hedonistic coping.

Symptoms were reported with a frequency comparable to the literature. It is noteworthy that this applies to the situation on admission to a rehabilitative centre reflecting symptoms at home as well as symptoms under controlled dietary conditions. According to their own assessment, the hindrance of the patients by these symptoms seems to be low.

Meteorism was significantly more frequent in patients with rapid oro-coecal transit than in those with normal transit time (**Chapter VI**). Colonic bacterial fermentation of malabsorbed carbohydrates with subsequent gas production is the probable explanation for this. There was notably no difference between patients with and without small bowel bacterial overgrowth with regard to meteorism (**Chapter VII**). Dyspepsia, a relatively vague denomination for upper GI-symptoms can have a multitude of causes, either alone or in combination. Pancreatic insufficiency is one of the possible causes. Our intervention study with a high dosage of pancreatic enzymes did, however, not show any improvement of dyspeptic complaints (**Chapter V**). Neither was there any correlation between dyspepsia and rapid oro-coecal transit time (**Chapter VI**), as it is discussed as a possibility in the literature²⁸. In our view, the pathophysiology of dyspepsia after total gastrectomy, still remains unexplained.

Early satiety after gastrectomy is a common problem. The concept of a pouch construction was introduced to overcome the volume-problem after gastric resection. In our data (**Chapter IV**) the construction of a pouch did not have any effect on calorie intake.

Twenty-six percent of the patients had reflux complaints and **alkaline reflux oesophagitis** as diagnosed at endoscopy. This figure is compatible with the data from the literature^{29,30}, but it might overestimate the true frequency of oesophagitis as patients with available endoscopic records had more often reflux complaints. Treatment for intestinal reflux into the oesophagus after total gastrectomy is difficult as the reflux is alkaline by nature. The construction of a Roux-en-Y segment with a 40- to 50-cm long segment proximal of the entero-entero-anastomosis most often is the only solution³¹. As is described in **Chapter IV**, the construction of a pouch did not significantly decrease the frequency of reflux oesophagitis. On the contrary, there was a non-significant trend to more oesophagitis in the pouch group. The notion that pouches can cause more alkaline reflux oesophagitis has been described by others too^{32,33}.

Dysphagia after total gastrectomy can be due to a fibrotic stenosis of the anastomosis or to an inflammatory stenosis in reflux patients; or both. While the former can be treated locally with dilatation, the latter faces the same problems as the reflux patient.

Haematological and biochemical data were only moderately disturbed which is in accordance with most other studies. As is known from the literature, supplementation with vitamin B₁₂, iron and folic acid will efficiently prevent anaemia. In this context it is striking that 138 of our 174 patients (79%) did not have iron supplementation on admission. In these patients moderate anaemia was found in 48.6% and sideropenia in 26.7%. Vitamin B₁₂ supplementation on the other hand, had been given to 126 of 173 patients (72%). Seemingly, the need for iron supplementation after total gastrectomy needs to be stressed more.

Dietary advice after operation had been given to only 63% of the patients. However, the number of meals per day exceeded three in 90 percent of the cases with 75 percent having even five or more meals a day. This indicates that a large proportion of the patients have found out themselves how and what to eat. Under hospital conditions in the three clinical rehabilitation centres the median **energy intake** was 37.8 kilocalories per kilogram body weight and day. This is only five percent below the energy intake considered to be ideal for patients after total gastrectomy (40 kilocalories per kilogram body weight and day)³⁴. Assessing simultaneously the symptoms and the calorie intake, our data suggest that patients after total gastrectomy can eat enough to cover their daily energy requirements without provoking severe abdominal symptoms.

Most of our patients did not report suffering from diarrhoea. Objectively, frequency of bowel movements and faecal consistency were normal in most patients. The slightly elevated faecal mass was normally not even noticed by most patients. The patients produced stools of relatively low volume with a relatively high fat output due to a median fat malabsorption of 11.6 percent (IQR 5.1-19.6). However, it is important to note that the range of fat malabsorption was five to 80

percent. This is comparable to what is found in the literature (**Chapter I, Table I.5**).

Evaluating three different types of reconstruction after total gastrectomy (**Chapter IV**) no differences in symptomatology, clinical signs, nutrient malassimilation, and post-operative performance could be found. Our data, therefore, do not support a preference for any single mode of reconstruction after total gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

Using the H₂-breath test with radiopaque markers the mean oro-coecal transit time for the whole study group was 202 minutes (SEM 4). This is only 17 percent faster than for normal healthy controls. Similar results have been found by Miholic³⁵, who recorded a median small bowel transit time of 200 minutes in 61 patients after curative total gastrectomy with a scintigraphically controlled test meal. Pellegrini et al. even found a slower transit in operated than in not-operated patients³⁶. In 21.7% of our patients, however, oro-coecal transit time was shorter than 75 minutes. In this subgroup of patients steatorrhoea was significantly higher than in the remaining majority of patients.

37.7% of the evaluated patients showed signs of bacterial overgrowth of the upper intestine when evaluated with the H₂-breath test. It is quite difficult to compare this number with existing studies, because data are rather scarce and the reported frequency of bacterial overgrowth varies widely (**Chapter I, Table I.8**). Furthermore, there is the possibility that patients with rapid oro-coecal transit time, in whom the H₂-breath test is not applicable for the evaluation of bacterial overgrowth, additionally also had bacterial overgrowth. There were no differences in symptoms, clinical signs, and nutrient assimilation between patients without and patients with small bowel bacterial overgrowth. Thus it seems that the complaints of patients after gastrectomy are not due to bacterial overgrowth and that the post-operative achlorhydric state does not necessarily cause a bacterial overgrowth syndrome. This is compatible with the literature³⁷⁻⁴⁰.

Weight loss, either pre-operatively (9.2% of the weight in health) and post-operatively (8.7% of the weight in health) was in the range reported by other authors (**Chapter I, Table I.1**). Since most of the patients were slightly overweight in health, this weight loss resulted in a more "normal weight" on admission. The proportion of underweight patients (BMI < 20.0 kg/m²) was only 25%.

Many patients and physicians still consider total or subtotal gastrectomy and the post-operative changes a catastrophe. This notion is not correct. Our data suggests, that gastrectomy as such does not interfere with good post-operative performance as long as there is no remaining malignant disease. Medico-social functioning (**Chapter VIII**) was evaluated with the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS). On admission the whole group of patients had a median ERSS-score of four (IQR 2 to 6) with a range from zero to 17 on a scale from zero to 28 points. Our patients thus scored much better than many other patient groups with chronic

diseases, (even such benign conditions as chronic back pain⁴¹), suggesting that patients after a curative total gastrectomy potentially can perform quite well. However, there are a couple of possible biases to be kept in mind : 1) The positive impression might be caused by the positive selection of our patient group. 2) Another reason for the low score on the ERSS might be, that the ERSS seems to emphasise the importance of mobility by evaluating independence, activity, and isolation. Hampered mobility, however, is most often not a problem of gastrectomised patients.

Whilst the importance of successful and unsuccessful coping strategies for the patient's post-operative behaviour is well recognised, is not sufficiently understood why some patients succeed in their coping process whereas others fail. Some coping strategies are reported to correlate with better adjustment and less emotional distress than others⁴²⁻⁴⁶. A predilection for certain coping strategies during or after disease can be due to sociodemographic factors, personality traits, situational factors, and characteristics of the disease and/or its therapy. Reports about disease-specific coping patterns in patients with different diseases⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ were the reason to examine coping patterns in patients after curative total gastrectomy (**Chapter IX**).

The primary aim was to give a description of coping patterns in this specific patient group. Furthermore, we tried to assess the influence of some objective disease-specific parameters on the patients' "choice" of coping patterns. However, this study should only be seen as a rather crude assessment of trends, as the number of patients examined was small and the number of evaluated parameters relatively high.

A comparison of the twelve examined coping patterns within the patient group, as well as a comparison with a disease-control group from the literature⁴⁸ revealed that our patients mainly followed active and problem-oriented coping patterns. In the context of post-operative dietary problems, it is quite interesting that the pattern *hedonism* is frequently applied by our patients. With an increasing time span after the operation, patients appear to "learn" how to enjoy eating again. It might be, that the positive experience of doing something that was particularly hampered by the disease, emphasises the regained health. All other examined parameters were of no influence on the patients' choice of coping patterns.

Factors influencing nutrient malassimilation after total gastrectomy

In the following paragraph two possible causative factors of nutrient malassimilation after total gastrectomy and their putative pathophysiology will be discussed.

Energy intake

Median energy intake in our patients under clinical conditions was sufficient. Metabolic problems due to a diet five percent below the standard requirements are not probable. However, under uncontrolled conditions and without "motivation" by the clinical setting, dietary intake might be lower. Bradley et al.⁵¹ reported a 15 percent lower intake at home compared to an examination under hospital conditions in ten patients. It is very striking that in our study group patients suffering from greater weight loss seemed to consume more calories per kg body weight as a conscious or subconscious reaction.

As is mentioned above, abdominal symptoms do not seem to be much of a handicap to the patients subjectively. Objectively, however, the frequency and the severity of early satiety were associated with lower energy intake, as was the frequency of vomiting. Symptom-induced low calorie intake has also been mentioned by Harju et al.⁵².

The idea of a pouch construction after total gastrectomy was prompted by the concept of an anatomical volume problem inducing early satiety. In our patient group, the construction of a pouch did not increase the energy intake nor did it decrease the frequency of early satiety. According to our data, there is no necessity to construct a pouch to improve energy intake.

Maldigestion and/or malabsorption

Calculating the energy loss through steatorrhoea, the average fat malabsorption is only of little influence on energy requirements. However, the range of the fat malabsorption was five to 80%, pointing to clinically relevant individual differences. The influence of steatorrhoea on the deficiency of fat soluble vitamins was not addressed in this thesis, but should be kept in mind as well. Therefore, assessment of fat malabsorption in the individual patient is necessary when evaluating patients after total gastrectomy for nutrient malassimilation.

Patients after total gastrectomy produce stools of relatively low volume with a high fat content. This type of a steatorrhoea is less likely to be caused by small bowel bacterial overgrowth but resembles more the type following pancreatic insufficiency. Pancreatic exocrine deficiency can be absolute (organ insufficiency) or relative (non-availability of digestive enzymes). In what follows I will comment on these possibilities in the context of the studies presented.

It seems improbable, that absolute pancreatic insufficiency, often referred to as primary pancreatic insufficiency, is the cause of chronic post-gastrectomy steatorrhoea. In the intervention study (**Chapter V**) the effect of a high dosage of pancreatic enzymes was examined in a subgroup of patients with moderate to severe steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy. Supplementation with pancreatic enzymes in a dosage of lipase 72.000, amylase 54.000, protease 4.800 FIP per

every major meal and half of it per every smaller meal did not significantly increase fat absorption. It is not reasonable to assume that this is a dosage problem, as the prescribed amount of lipase was more than double of what is used for exocrine pancreatic insufficiency in chronic pancreatitis⁵³. Friess et al.⁵⁴ described a primary pancreatic insufficiency after total gastrectomy in nine patients who had been operated three month before. However, adaptation of the pancreas to the post-operative state during time might be of importance for the interpretation of the data. Büchler et al.^{55,56} described an increase of the enzyme content of the pancreas in rats after gastrectomy which took longer for lipase than for other enzymes. In a previous study of our group, a positive correlation of faecal fat output and faecal chymotrypsin output was described in patients after partial and total gastrectomy indicating a positive feedback mechanism of steatorrhoea on the pancreas⁵⁷.

Relative pancreatic insufficiency can theoretically be caused by the bypass of the duodenum, bacterial overgrowth of the small intestine, rapid transit of food through the small intestine with poor mixing of food and enzymes, or a negative feedback mechanisms of the ileum on the exocrine pancreas elicited by the presence of fat or carbohydrates⁵⁸. Most of these mechanisms were investigated in this study.

In **Chapter IV**, patients with and without surgical duodenal bypass were evaluated. There was no difference in the degree of steatorrhoea between the patient groups examined. Fuchs et al.⁵⁹ had similar results. This renders it less probable that duodenal bypass is the reason for steatorrhoea.

The influence of bacterial overgrowth of the small bowel was examined in **Chapter VII**. Steatorrhoea in small bowel overgrowth and subsequent malabsorption of fat soluble vitamins is probably due to a deficiency of conjugated bile acids^{60,61}, possibly also to a toxic effect of deconjugated bile acids on jejunal mucosa^{62,63}, and/or due to relative pancreatic insufficiency with bacterial degradation of pancreatic enzymes as mentioned above. In our study we could not find any differences between patients with and patients without small bowel bacterial overgrowth regarding nutrient malassimilation. Bacterial overgrowth, therefore, seems not to influence steatorrhoea in our patients.

In **Chapter VI** patients with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes were compared with those with a slower transit. Rapid oro-coecal transit was indeed associated with significantly higher degree of steatorrhoea and with a lower faecal chymotrypsin concentration. Since faecal chymotrypsin output per 72 hours was not significantly different, pancreatoco-cibal asynchrony seems to have the most important role in steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy. If pancreatoco-cibal asynchrony is the major reason for steatorrhoea in patients with accelerated small bowel transit, measures to slow down small-intestinal transit - with or without pancreatic enzyme supplementation - would be a logical approach.

Conclusion

Symptom-induced low calorie intake and pancreatocibal asynchrony acting either alone or in concert seem to be the major reasons for nutrient malassimilation in patients after total gastrectomy.

Factors influencing medico-social performance after total gastrectomy

As has been discussed above, patients after total gastrectomy suffer from numerous, but not necessarily severe, problems. The question arises which of the various phenomena has a major impact on post-operative performance. Studying specific pre- and post-operative variables with regard to their influence on medico-social functioning after total gastrectomy might elucidate areas where preventive or therapeutic action could be useful. The influence of a number of them on medico-social functioning is discussed in **Chapter VIII**.

It seems as if the social background of the patients is of importance for the degree of disturbance experienced by them post-operatively. The patients of the clinical centre that served mostly blue-collar workers had higher ratings on the ERSS-score than the patients of the two other centres. It is, however, not evident what caused this difference. It is not very probable that it is caused by inter-rater variability, because the inter-rater reliability of the ERSS is reported to be very good⁴¹. The impact of physical ability may be different for the conception of "good health" between the two patient groups, either because of differing patterns of body self-esteem and/or because of differing influence of the post-operative state on the working situations. Psychological counselling might be of use in the former case and social advice regarding possible changes in working life in the latter.

Our data suggest that medico-social functioning after total gastrectomy improves with time after operation probably due to recovery after the operation and physical, psychological, and social adaptation to the post-operative situation. Zieren et al.⁶⁴ had similar results. This certainly is true only for the selection of tumour-free survivors in this study, in whom the factor of increasing "hope for the future" may have an important impact. The analysis of the coping patterns in **Chapter IX** is consistent with the impression of psychological adaptation. It points to increasing use of *hedonism* with increasing time past operation. This observation has to be regarded with caution, however, because of the small sample size.

Symptoms of dyspepsia, dysphagia, and reflux into the oesophagus had a major impact on medico-social performance after total gastrectomy, although they were not necessarily the most frequent events. The influence of dysphagia and reflux on the ERSS is reflected by a positive correlation between the severity of pathological findings at upper intestinal endoscopy and the ERSS-score (**Chapter VIII, Figure 3**). Here also the interpretation has to be cautious, because the number of patients with severe endoscopic findings was small and the range of the corresponding

ERSS-scores was wide.

Using the more complex statistical approach in **Chapter VIII** the relationship of small bowel bacterial overgrowth and ERSS-scores as described in **Chapter VII** was not found anymore.

Conclusion

In order to improve the medico-social functioning of patients after total gastrectomy physical findings, psychological factors, and the social situations of the patient should be jointly assessed and - if necessary - influenced by a team approach of various professions. Rehabilitative centres may be an ideal environment for this task, as they have the advantage of a specific medical, medico-social, and psychological expertise in combination with a special emphasis on the evaluation and the treatment of chronic medical problems when compared to the standard inpatient or outpatient setting.

References

1. Brennan MF, Karpeh MS Jr. Surgery for gastric cancer: The American view. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer* 1996;23:352-359
2. Sue-Ling HM, Johnston D, Martin IG, Dixon MF, Landsdown MRJ, McMahon MJ, Axon ATR. Gastric cancer: a curable disease in Britain. *BMJ* 1993;307:591-595
3. Maehara Y, Tomoda M, Tomisaki S, Ohmori M, Baba H, Akazawa K, Sugimachi K. Surgical Treatment and Outcome for Node Negative Gastric Cancer. *Surgery* 1997;121:633-639
4. Jatzko G, Lisborg Ph, Klimpfinger M, Denk H. Extended radical surgery against gastric cancer: low complication and high survival rates. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1992;22:102-106
5. Everett SM, Axon ATR. Early gastric cancer in Europe. *Gut* 1997;41:142-150
6. Hanazaki K, Sodeyama H, Wakabayashi M, Miyazawa M, Yokoyama S, Sode Y, Kawamura N, Miyazaki T, Ohtsuka M. Surgical treatment of gastric cancer detected by mass screening. *Hepato Gastroenterology* 1997;44:1126-1132
7. Hisamichi Shigeru. Screening for Gastric Cancer. *World J Surg* 1989;13:31-37
8. Takeda J, Koufuji K, Kodama I, Tsuji Y, Maruiwa M, Kawabata S, Soematsu T, Kagegawa T. Retrospective studies of synchronous double early gastric cancer. *Kurume Med J* 1993;40:53-57
9. Maruyama K. The most important prognostic factor for the gastric cancer patient. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:63-68
10. Moureaux J, Bougaran J. Early gastric cancer. A 25-year surgical experience. *Ann Surg* 1993;217:347-355
11. Pinto E, Roviello F, de Stefano A, Vindigni C. Early gastric cancer: report on 142 patients observed over 13 years. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1994;24:12-19
12. Spataro V, Genoni M, Maurer C, Müller W. Stomach cancer: 10 years experiences with surgical treatment and possibilities for improving the prognosis. *Helv Chir Acta* 1993;59:589-595
13. Iida F, Koike S, Koide N. Extended total gastrectomy for carcinoma of the cardia. *Hepato-gastroenterology* 1993;40:103-106
14. Roder JD, Böttcher K, Siewert JR, Busch R, Hermanek P, Meyer HJ. Prognostic factors in gastric carcinoma. Result of the German Gastric Carcinoma Study. *Cancer* 1993;72:2089-2097
15. Gall FP, Altendorf A, Hermanek P, Gentsch HH. Chirurgische Therapie des Magenkrebses-Stagnation oder Fortschritte? Ergebnisse bei 2665 Magenkrebs-Patienten. *Fortschr Med* 1982;100:1876-1882
16. Koga S, Kishimoto H, Tanaka K, Miyano Y, Kawaguchi H, Takeda R, Nishidoi H, Kumura O. Results of total gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 1980;140:636-638
17. Takeda J, Koufuji K, Kodama I, Tsuji Y, Yokoyama T, Kawabata S, Suematsu T, Kagegawa T. Total gastrectomy for gastric cancer: 12-year data and review of the effect of performing lymphadenectomy. *Kurume Med J* 1994;41:15-21

18. Moriguchi S, Hayashi Y, Nose Y, Maehara Y, Korenaga D, Sugimachi K. A comparison of the logistic regression and the Cox proportional hazard models in retrospective studies on the prognosis of patients with gastric cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 1993;52:9-13
19. Schiessel R, Wenzl E, Pratschner T. Results of the surgical therapy of stomach cancer. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 1987;99:406-410
20. Nakajima T, Nishi M, Kajitani T. Improvement in treatment results of gastric cancer with surgery and chemotherapy. Experience of 9700 cases in the Cancer Institute Hospital, Tokyo. *Semin Surg Oncol* 1991;7:365-372
21. Meyer HJ, Jähne J, Wilke H, Pichlmayr R. Surgical Treatment of Gastric Cancer: Retrospective Survey of 1,704 Operated Cases With Special Reference to Total Gastrectomy as the Operation of Choice. *Semin Surg Oncol* 1991;7:356-364
22. Maruyama K, Okabayashi K, Kinoshita T. Progress in gastric cancer surgery in Japan and its limits of radicality. *World J Surg* 1987;11:418-425
23. Neugut AI, Hayek M, Howe G. Epidemiology of Gastric Cancer. *Semin Oncol-Gastric Cancer*. 1996;23(3):281-291
24. Diehl JT, Hermann RE, Cooperman AM, Hoerr SO. Gastric Carcinoma-A Ten-Year Review. *Ann Surg* 1983;9:12
25. Fortner JG, Lauwers GY, Thaler HT, Concepcion R, Friedlander-Klar H, Kher U, Maclean BJ. Nativity, complications, and pathology are determinants of surgical results for gastric cancer. *Cancer* 1994;73:8-14
26. Korenaga D, Moriguchi S, Orita H, Kakeji Y, Haraguchi M, Maehara Y, Sugimachi K. Trends in survival rates in Japanese patients with advanced carcinoma of the stomach. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1992;174:387-393
27. Farris JM, Ransom HK, Collier FA. Total Gastrectomy: Effects upon Nutrition and Hematopoiesis. *Surgery* 1943;13:823-833
28. van der Kleij FGH, Vecht J, Lamers CBHW, Masclee AAM. Diagnostic value of dumping provocation in patients after gastric surgery. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:1162-1166
29. Lantone G, Lorusso D, Pezzolla F, Lacatena M, Guerra V, Giorgio I. Reconstruction of the digestive tract after total gastrectomy. A comparison of Roux anastomosis with Nakayama's beta anastomosis. *Minerva Chir* 1991;46:885-888
30. Parrilla P, Liron R, Martinez de Haro LF, Ortiz A, Molina J, De Andrés B. Gastric surgery does not increase the risk of developing Barrett's esophagus. *AJG* 1997;92:960-963
31. Debas HT, Orloff SL. Surgery for Peptic Ulcer Disease and Postgastrectomy Syndromes. In: Yamada T, Alpers DH, Owyang C, Powell D, Silverstein F eds. *Textbook of Gastroenterology*. Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott, 1995;1:1523-1543
32. Tanaka T, Fujiwara Y, Nakagawa K, Kusunoki M, Utunomiya J. Reflux Esophagitis after Total Gastrectomy with Jejunal Pouch Reconstruction: Comparison of Long and Short Pouches. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92:821-824
33. Behrns KE, Sarr MG. Diagnosis and management of gastric emptying disorders. *Adv Surg* 1994;27:233-255

34. Wechsler, JG. Ernährungsverhalten und Diät nach Gastrektomie. In Armbrrecht U, Stockbrügger RW eds. *Der gastrektomierte Patient*. Karger 1992:79-87
35. Miholic J, Meyer HJ, Baiks J, Kotaerke J. Einfluß der Rekonstruktionsmethode auf den Ernährungszustand nach Gastrektomie. Vergleich von Roux-en-Y-Ösophagojejunostomie und Jejunuminterposition. *Chirurg* 1991;62:300-305
36. Pellegrini CA, Deveney CW, Patti MG, Lewin M, Way LW. Intestinal transit of food after total gastrectomy and Roux-Y esophagojejunostomy. *Am J Surg* 1986; 151:117-125
37. Stockbrügger RW, Armbrrecht U, Rode JW, Teall AJ, Oberholzer VG, Croker JR, Cotton PB. The Bacterial Overgrowth Syndrome is Uncommon in Pernicious Anaemia: Results of a Follow-up Study. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease* 1990;3:209-215
38. Husebye E. Gastrointestinal motility disorders and bacterial overgrowth. *J Int Med* 1995;237:419-427
39. Drasar BS, Shiner M. Studies on the intestinal flora. Part II Bacterial flora of the small intestine in patients with gastrointestinal disorders. *Gut* 1969;10:812-819
40. Kumar A, Forsmark CE, Toskes PP. Small bowel bacterial overgrowth: The changing face of an old disease. *Gastroenterology* 1995;110:A340
41. Affleck JW, Aitken RCB, Hunter JAA, McGuire RJ, Roy CW. Rehabilitation Status: A Measure of Medicosocial Dysfunction. *Lancet* 1988;1:230-233
42. Wagner MK, Armstrong D, Laughlin JE. Cognitive determinants of quality of life after onset of cancer. *Psychol Rep* 1995;77:147-154
43. Faller H, Lang H, Schilling S. Causal "cancer personality" attribution- an expression of maladaptive coping with illness? *Z Klin Psychol Psychopathol Psychther* 1996;44:104-116
44. Grassi L, Rosti G. Psychosocial morbidity and adjustment to illness among long-term cancer survivors. A six-year follow-up study. *Psychosomatics* 1996;37:523-532
45. Dunkel-Schetter D, Feinstein LG, Taylor SE, Falke RL. Patterns of coping with cancer. *Health Psychol* 1992;11:79-87
46. Classen C, Koopman C, Angell K, Spiegel D. Coping styles associated with psychological adjustment to advanced breast cancer. *Health Psychology* 1996;15:434-437
47. Holland JC, Korzun AH, Tross S, Silberfarb P, Perry M, Comis R, Oster M. Comparative psychological disturbance in patients with pancreatic and gastric cancer. *Am J Psy* 1986;143:982-986
48. Muthny FA, Bechtel M, Spaete M. Lay etiologic theories and coping with illness in severe physical diseases. An empirical comparative study of female myocardial infarct, cancer, dialysis and multiple sclerosis patients. *Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol* 1992;42:41-53
49. Theissen HH. Coping with illness in the postoperative phase following gastrectomy. *Z Gastroenterol* 1996;34:29-31
50. Feifel H, Strack S, Nagy VT. Degree of life-threat and differential use of coping modes. *J Psychosom Res* 1987;31:91-99

51. Bradley EL, Isaacs J, Hersch T, Davidson ED, Milikan W: Nutritional consequences of total gastrectomy. *Ann Surg* 1975;182:415-429
52. Harju E. Dietary habits and eating related symptoms in out-patients following total gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y reconstruction for carcinoma of the stomach. *Anticancer Res* 1985;5:277-279
53. Lankisch PG. Enzyme Treatment of Exocrine Pancreatic Insufficiency in Chronic Pancreatitis. *Digestion* 1993;54:21-29
54. Friess H, Böhm J, Müller MW, Glasbrenner B, Riepl RL, Malfertheiner P, Büchler MW. Maldigestion after total gastrectomy is associated with pancreatic insufficiency. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;91:341-347
55. Büchler M, Malfertheiner P, Glasbrenner B, Beger HG. Rat exocrine pancreas function following total gastrectomy. *Int J Pancreatol* 1986;1:389-398
56. Glasbrenner B, Malfertheiner P, Büchler M, Brandle F, Friess H, Ditschuneit H. Long-term effect of growth promoting conditions on the exocrine pancreas of rats. *Int J Pancreatol* 1989;5:55-67
57. Armbrrecht U, Brägelmann R, Baumgart I, Stockbrügger RW. Fecal Chymotrypsin Output in Relation To Fecal Fat after Partial and Total Gastrectomy. *Gastroenterology* April 1994;106:A219
58. Layer P, Schlesinger T, Gröger G, Goebell H. Modulation of Human Periodic Interdigestive Gastrointestinal Motor and Pancreatic Function by the Ileum. *Pancreas* 1993;8:426-432
59. Fuchs KH, Thiede A, Engemann R, Deltz E, Stremme O, Hamelmann H. Reconstruction of the food passage after total gastrectomy: randomized trial. *World J Surg* 1995;19:698-705
60. Tabaqchali S. The Pathophysiological Role of Small Intestinal Bacterial Flora. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1970;6:139-163
61. Kim YS, Spritz M, Blum M, Terz J, Sherlock P. The role of altered bile acid metabolism in the steatorrhea of experimental blind loop. *J Clin Invest* 1966; 45:956-962
62. Shimoda SS, O'Brien TK, Saunders DR. Fat absorption after infusing bile salts into the human small intestine. *Gastroenterology* 1974;67:7-18
63. Wanitschke R, Ammon HV. Effects of dihydroxy bile acids and hydroxy fatty acids on the absorption of oleic acid in the human jejunum. *J Clin Invest* 1978;61:178-186
64. Zieren HU, Zippel K, Zieren J, Müller JM. Quality of Life after Surgical Treatment of Gastric Carcinoma. *Eur J Surg* 1998;164:119-125

Chapter XI

**Summary and
Concluding Remarks**

Summary and Concluding Remarks

The present thesis supplies data on some aspects of patients after a curative total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy.

In **Chapter I** a critical review regarding post-gastrectomy problems is given. Due to differences in definition and method of assessment the frequency and the reported clinical relevance of abdominal and systemic symptoms after total gastrectomy vary widely. Malnutrition, as defined by weight loss and micronutrient deficiencies, can be caused by either anorexia, absolute pancreatic insufficiency or pancreatocibal asynchrony, rapid upper intestinal transit, upper intestinal bacterial overgrowth, or any combination of these variables. The possible influence of different surgical reconstructions after gastrectomy with regard to functional outcome is discussed. It is outlined that any study on the pathophysiology of post-gastrectomy malnutrition needs to control for all these variables.

Quality of life after total gastrectomy has been examined in numerous trials comparing different reconstruction procedures, but still little is known about interrelations between physical and biochemical variables and post-operative physical, mental, and social performance. An introduction to the concept of coping strategies is provided.

In **Chapter II** the patients examined, the clinical setting of the examination, and the methods used in the studies performed are presented.

In **Chapter III** a descriptive analysis of our patient group is given and a preliminary analysis of possible pathophysiological mechanisms of nutrient malassimilation was done.

Mean body mass index according to Quetelet (BMI) was 26.61 kg/m² (SEM 0.31) pre-operatively and 22.06 kg/m² (SEM 0.23) on admission to the rehabilitative clinics. 96% of the patients had lost weight pre-operatively, and 67% had lost weight since the operation. Only 13% were underweight at the time of operation, whereas 25% of the patients were underweight on admission to the rehabilitative clinics.

Under standardised dietary conditions abdominal symptoms were found in 86% of the patients, but they were not severe. In a univariate subgroup analysis of patients with low calorie intake (<30 kilocalories per kilogram body weight per day) and of patients with higher calorie intake (≥30 kilocalories per kilogram body weight per day) it was found that the latter reported significantly less early satiety and vomiting, whilst meteorism was more frequent.

Strikingly, 138 of our 174 patients (79%) did not have iron supplementation on admission. In these patients moderate anaemia was found in 48.6% and sideropenia in 26.7%. Ferritin concentrations decreased logarithmically with time past operation. Vitamin B₁₂ supplementation, on the other hand, had been given to 126 of 173 patients (72%). Only 63% of the patients reported that they had been given

dietary advice after the operation. The energy intake of most patients under inpatient conditions is sufficient (median 37.8 kcal/kg body weight and day) with 25% of them consuming more than 43.1 kcal/kg body weight and day. Patients after total gastrectomy had a normal defaecation frequency with normal to soft stools. They produced stools of relatively low volume (median 219, IQR (139-322) g/d) with a rather high fat output (median 12.9, IQR (6.2-21.6) g/d) due to a median fat malabsorption of 11.6% (IQR 5.1-19.6). The range of the fat malabsorption was five to 80% pointing to clinically relevant individual differences. Compared to patients with moderate steatorrhoea (faecal fat 7-14 g/d), patients with severe steatorrhoea (faecal fat >14 g/d) had a significantly higher incidence of shortened oro-coecal transit (<75 minutes) and were admitted earlier after operation.

Alkaline reflux oesophagitis was present in 26% of the patients examined. Small bowel bacterial overgrowth as assessed with an H₂-breath test was found in 37% and oro-coecal transit faster than 75 minutes was diagnosed in 21.7% of the patients.

In **Chapter IV** three different functional types of gastric reconstruction after total gastrectomy - duodenal bypass with or without pouch construction, and jejunal interposition, - are compared as to their relevance for functional post-operative performance.

Not one of the numerous parameters examined revealed any statistically significant difference between these different surgical procedures. In conclusion, in our study neither subjective nor objective data support preference for any single mode of reconstruction after total gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

In **Chapter V** a double-blind, randomised, parallel, placebo-controlled trial with a high dosage pancreatic enzyme supplementation in 52 patients with a faecal fat output ≥ 14 g/day, operated on for malignant gastric disease 198 (median; interquartile range 47-608) days previously, and free from recurrence and/or metastasis is reported.

After treatment with lipase 72.000, amylase 54.000, and protease 4.800 FIP per every major meal and half of it per every smaller meal, patients on enzyme therapy felt better overall, but no improvement of a specific symptom could be identified. Enzyme treatment did not result in a significant difference between the placebo and the enzyme treated group regarding fat malassimilation.

The effect of high dose pancreatic enzymes supplementation on symptoms and steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy is marginal and does not justify its routine use.

In **Chapter VI** the influence of a rapid oro-coecal transit time (below 75 minutes) on symptoms, malnutrition, and post-operative performance is discussed.

Bloating was significantly more frequent in patients with a rapid oro-coecal transit. The median faecal chymotrypsin concentration was significantly lower in patients with oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes compared to the remainder (2.7 (IQR

2.1 to 4.6) vs. 4.9 (IQR 2.9 to 7.2) U/g; $p < 0.05$). Furthermore, median faecal chymotrypsin output during 72 hours tended to be lower in the patients with an oro-coecal transit time below 75 minutes. Patients with rapid oro-coecal transit had a 50% higher median daily faecal fat output ($p < 0.02$) and a 47% higher fat malassimilation ($p < 0.05$) compared to patients with normal transit. The number of patients with a daily faecal fat output exceeding 14 grams was 24/62 (39%) in the group with normal oro-coecal transit time versus 22/34 (65%) in those patients with rapid oro-coecal transit ($p = 0.02$).

In patients with relevant steatorrhoea after total gastrectomy measures prolonging small-intestinal transit should be employed.

In **Chapter VII** patients with and patients without small bowel bacterial overgrowth after total gastrectomy were compared.

Mean time since operation was significantly shorter in patients with small bowel bacterial overgrowth than in patients without small bowel bacterial overgrowth ((370, confidence interval=CI 96-645 vs. 687, CI 397-976) days; $p < 0.01$). Controlling for this difference, there were no other significant differences in symptoms and signs between the subgroups except for the medico-social functioning measured with the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS). The mean ERSS showed significantly better medico-social functioning in patients without small bowel bacterial overgrowth than in the remainder (3.7 CI (2.2-5.2) vs. 5.1 CI (3.0-7.0); $p < 0.05$).

The clinical complaints of patients after gastrectomy do not seem to be due to bacterial overgrowth and the post-operative achlorhydric state does not necessarily cause a bacterial overgrowth syndrome.

In **Chapter VIII** the objective was to describe post-operative medico-social performance after curative total gastrectomy and to identify the factors determining it. A linear regression analysis of a great number of social, biochemical and physical parameters was performed.

The median ERSS-score was 4 (IQR 2 to 6) on a scale from 0 (best) to 28 (worst). The patients of the centre serving mainly blue collar worker had higher scores than the two other centres. Time past operation and albumin concentrations were negatively correlated with the Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS), and dyspepsia, dysphagia, and reflux were positively correlated to the ERSS-score. Increasing degrees of pathological findings at upper intestinal endoscopy were positively correlated with the ERSS-scores in a univariate analysis.

In **Chapter IX** coping strategies of patients after total gastrectomy were examined in 50 patients who accepted the invitation of a psychological interview in which a standardised manual was used. The pattern *compliance strategy and trust in doctors* scored significantly higher than all the other fields ($p < 0.0001$). The patterns *self-support* and *relativation by comparison* had significantly higher ratings than all the other fields ($p = 0.0001$) with the exception of the field *compliance strategy and*

trust in doctors. *Problem analysis and problem solving* and *hedonism* scored significantly higher ($p=0.0003$) than *cognitive denial and dissimulation* or the remaining seven fields not mentioned. The fields *compliance strategy and trust in doctors*, *hedonism*, *regressive tendency*, *relativation by comparison*, and *self-support* had at least ten patients (20%) above the mean score of the disease-control group, whereas only the field *emotional control and social isolation* had ten patients below the mean of the disease-control group. There was a positive correlation of the field *hedonism* with time past operation ($r^2=0.5$, $p<0.0001$).

Active and problem-oriented coping patterns were predominant in a group of cancer-free patients after total gastrectomy for gastric malignancy. If these coping patterns are recognised by the managing physician(s), post-operative rehabilitation can probably be further improved.

In **Chapter X** the combined results of these studies are integrated to discuss which factors might be relevant for nutrient malassimilation after total gastrectomy, and what determines post-operative medico-social functioning.

Symptom-induced low calorie intake and pancreatocibo-cibal asynchrony due to rapid small-intestinal transit, acting alone or in concert, seem to be the major reasons for nutrient malassimilation in patients after total gastrectomy.

The social and working situation of the patient, time after operation, symptoms of dyspepsia, dysphagia, and reflux into the oesophagus were independent determinants of medico-social performance after total gastrectomy.

Future studies might focus on measures to prolong intestinal transit in patients with rapid small intestinal transit in order to decrease post-gastrectomy steatorrhoea. Another - possible more important - challenge is to further elucidate the nature of post-gastrectomy dyspepsia in order to establish adequate therapeutic measures.

Chapter XII

Samenvatting

Samenvatting

In dit proefschrift worden enkele aspecten van patiënten na een curatieve totale maagresectie besproken.

In **Hoofdstuk I** wordt een kritisch overzicht over post-gastrectomie problemen gegeven. Omdat definities en methoden vaak verschillen, varieren de frequentie en de gerapporteerde klinische relevantie van abdominale en systemische symptomen na totale gastrectomie nogal sterk. Malnutritie, gedefinieerd als gewichtsverlies en deficiëntie van micronutriënten, kan veroorzaakt worden door anorexie, absolute pancreasinsufficiëntie of pancreatico-cibale asynchronie, versnelde dunne darm passage, bacteriële overgroei van de dunne darm, of iedere combinatie van deze variabelen. De mogelijke invloed van verschillende chirurgische reconstructies na gastrectomie op het functioneel resultaat wordt besproken. Beschreven wordt, dat iedere studie over de pathofysiologie van post-gastrectomie malnutritie voor al deze variabelen gecontroleerd moet zijn.

De samenhang van kwaliteit van leven na totale gastrectomie en verschillende reconstructie procedures is in een aantal studies onderzocht. In tegenstelling hiermee is over de relaties van lichamelijke en biochemische variabelen en het post-operatieve lichamelijke, mentale, en sociale functioneren minder bekend. Een inleiding over het concept "ziekteverwerking" wordt gegeven.

In **Hoofdstuk II** worden de patiënten, de klinische omgeving, en de gebruikte methoden van de volgende studies beschreven.

In **Hoofdstuk III** wordt een descriptieve analyse van onze patiënten groep verricht en een voorlopige analyse van mogelijke pathofysiologische mechanismen van nutrient malassimilatie gegeven.

De gemiddelde body mass index volgens Quetelet (BMI) was preoperatief 26.61 kg/m² (SEM 0.31) en bij opname in de revalidatie kliniek 22.06 kg/m² (SEM 0.23). Van de patiënten had 96% voor de operatie gewicht verloren, en 67% hadden na de operatie gewicht verloren. 25% van de patiënten was bij opname in de revalidatie klinieken onder hun streefgewicht, terwijl ten tijde van de operatie dit slechts 13% was.

Onder gestandaardiseerde dieet-omstandigheden werden abdominale symptomen bij 86% van de patiënten gevonden, maar deze waren niet ernstig. Bij een univariate analyse van patiënten met lage calorie opname (<30 kilocalorieën per kilogram lichaamsgewicht per dag) en patiënten met hogere calorie opname (≥30 kilocalorieën per kilogram lichaamsgewicht per dag) hadden de eersten significant vaker een vroege verzadiging en last van braken, terwijl een opgeblazen gevoel minder vaak voorkwam.

Verrassend was, dat 138 van 174 patiënten (79%) bij opname geen ijzer suppletie hadden. 48.6% van deze patiënten had een matige anemie en 26.7% had een sideropenie. Ferritine concentraties nemen logaritmisch in de loop van de tijd af.

Bij 126 van 173 patiënten (72%) was het vitamine B₁₂ gesupplementeerd. Slechts 63% van de patiënten zei, dat ze dieet-advies hadden gekregen na de operatie. De energie opname van de meeste patiënten tijdens de opname was voldoende (mediaan 37.8 kcal/kg lichaamsgewicht per dag) en 25% van hen consumeerde meer dan 43.1 kcal/kg lichaamsgewicht per dag. De patiënten na totale gastrectomie hadden een normale ontlastingsfrequentie met normaal gevormde tot breiige faeces. De ontlastingsvolumina waren relatief laag (mediaan 219, IQR (139-322) g/d) met een vrij hoog vet verlies (mediaan 12.9, IQR (6.2-21.6) g/d) door een gemiddelde vet malabsorptie van 11.6 percent (IQR 5.1-19.6). Het bereik van de vet malabsorptie was vijf tot 80%, wat op klinisch belangrijke individuele verschillen wijst. Patiënten met een ernstige steatorrhoea (faecaal vet ≥ 14 g/d) hadden significant vaker een oro-coecale transit tijd korter dan 75 minuten en werden vroeger na operatie verwezen dan patiënten met slechts een matige steatorrhoea (faecaal vet < 14 g/d).

Alkalische reflux esophagitis was bij 26% van de onderzochte patiënten te vinden. Bacteriële overgroei van de dunne darm, onderzocht met de H₂-adem test, werd gediagnostiseerd bij 37%; een oro-coecale transit tijd onder de 75 minuten bij 21.7% van de patiënten.

In **Hoofdstuk IV** werden drie functioneel verschillende typen van gastrische reconstructie na totale gastrectomie - het uitsluiten van het duodenum met of zonder pouch, en jejunale interpositie - ten opzichte van hun relevantie voor de post-operatieve functie vergeleken.

Geen enkele van de talrijke onderzochte parameters toonde enig statistisch significant verschil tussen deze operatieve procedures. Samenvattend kan gezegd worden, dat noch subjectieve noch objectieve gegevens een voorkeur voor één bepaalde soort reconstructie na totale gastrectomie bij maagcarcinoom ondersteunen.

In **Hoofdstuk V** wordt een dubbel-blinde, gerandomiseerde, parallelle, placebo-gecontroleerde studie met een hoge dosering van pancreas enzym suppletie bij 52 patiënten met een faecaal vet verlies ≥ 14 g/dag besproken. De patiënten waren 198 dagen (mediaan, interquartile range 47-608) post-operatief en vrij van recedief en/of metastasen.

Na de behandeling met lipase 72.000, amylase 54.000, en protease 4.800 FIP bij iedere hoofdmaaltijd en nog een keer de helft hiervan bij iedere nevenmaaltijd gaven patiënten met enzym therapie aan, zich beter te voelen. Een verbetering van een specifiek symptoom kon niet worden aangetoond. Enzym suppletie had geen significante verandering voor de vet absorptie tussen de groep met placebo en de groep met enzymen ten gevolge.

Het effect van hoog gedoseerde pancreatische enzym suppletie op symptomen en steatorrhoea na totale gastrectomie is marginaal en een routinematig voorschrijven niet geïndiceerd.

Chapter XIII

**Zusammenfassung und
Kommentar**

Zusammenfassung und Kommentar

Die vorliegenden Studien enthalten Daten zur Situation nach kurativer totaler Gastrektomie wegen maligner Magentumoren.

In **Kapitel I** wird ein kritischer Überblick über die Probleme nach totaler Gastrektomie gegeben. Durch Unterschiede in Definition und Erhebungsmethodik unterscheiden sich die angegebene Frequenz und die berichtete klinische Relevanz von abdominalen und systemischen Beschwerden nach totaler Gastrektomie erheblich. Mangelernährung - definiert durch Gewichtsverlust und/oder Mangel an Vitaminen und Spurenelementen - kann durch Anorexie, absolute Pankreasinsuffizienz oder pankreatico-cibale Asynchronie, beschleunigte oro-zökale Passage, bakterielle Überwucherung des Dünndarms oder eine Kombination dieser Faktoren verursacht sein. Des weiteren wird diskutiert, in wieweit die Art der chirurgischen Magenrekonstruktion einen Einfluß auf die postoperative Funktion hat. Es wird dargelegt, daß jede Studie zur Pathophysiologie der postoperativen Mangelernährung alle obengenannten Variablen gleichzeitig erfassen muß.

Der Einfluß verschiedener Rekonstruktionsverfahren auf die Lebensqualität nach totaler Gastrektomie ist in vielen Studien untersucht worden. Die Zusammenhänge zwischen somatischen und biochemischen Faktoren und der postoperativen somatischen, mentalen und sozialen Leistungsfähigkeit der Patienten sind jedoch weitgehend unklar. Es wird eine Einführung in das Konzept der Krankheitsverarbeitung gegeben.

In **Kapitel II** werden die untersuchten Patienten der Studien, die klinische Umgebung, und die angewandten Methoden erläutert.

Kapitel III beinhaltet eine deskriptive Analyse unserer Patientengruppe sowie eine *vorläufige Analyse möglicher pathophysiologischer Mechanismen der Nährstoffmalassimilation*.

Der durchschnittliche Body Mass Index nach Quetelet (BMI) vor der Operation war 26.61 (SEM 0.31). Bei Aufnahme in den Rehabilitationskliniken betrug dieser Wert 22.06 (SEM 0.23). 96% der Patienten hatten vor der Operation Gewicht verloren, und bei 67% setzte sich der Gewichtsverlust auch nach der Operation fort. Bei Aufnahme in den Rehabilitationskliniken waren 25% der Patienten untergewichtig, während dies zum Zeitpunkt der Operation bei nur 13% der Fall war.

Unter standardisierten diätetischen Bedingungen wurden von 86% der Patienten leichte abdominale Beschwerden angegeben. Eine univariate Analyse von Patienten mit niedriger Kalorienaufnahme (<30 Kilokalorien pro Kilogramm Körpergewicht und Tag) und Patienten mit höherer Kalorienaufnahme (>30 Kilokalorien pro Kilogramm Körpergewicht und Tag) zeigte, daß letztere signifikant seltener Probleme mit frühem Sättigungsgefühl und Erbrechen hatten, während Blähungen häufiger waren.

Überraschenderweise hatten 138 unserer 174 Patienten (79%) bei Aufnahme keine

Eisensubstitution. Bei diesen Patienten wurde in 48.6% der Fälle eine geringe Anämie und in 26.7% der Fälle eine Sideropenie gefunden. Die Ferritinwerte nehmen nach Operation im Sinne einer logarithmischen Funktion ab. Substitution mit Vitamin B₁₂ hatten 126 von 173 Patienten (72%) erhalten. Nur 63% der Patienten gaben an, nach der Operation Diätatschläge bekommen zu haben. Die Energieaufnahme der meisten Patienten unter Klinikbedingungen ist ausreichend (Median 37.8 Kilokalorien pro Kilogramm Körpergewicht und Tag). 25% der Patienten konsumierten mehr als 43.1 Kilokalorien pro Kilogramm Körpergewicht und Tag. Die Patienten nach totaler Gastrektomie hatten eine normale Stuhlfrequenz mit normaler oder weicher Konsistenz. Die Stühle hatten eine relativ geringes Volumen (Median 219, IQR (139-322) g/d) mit einem hohen Fettanteil (Median 12.9, IQR (6.2-21.6) g/d). Dies wird durch eine Fettmalabsorption verursacht, die im Mittel 11.6% (IQR 5.1-19.6) beträgt. Die Spanne der Fettmalabsorption war fünf bis 80%. Dies weist auf klinisch relevante individuelle Unterschiede hin. Patienten mit mäßiger Steatorrhoe (Stuhlfett < 14 g/T) hatten im Gegensatz zu Patienten mit ernster Steatorrhoe (Stuhlfett ≥ 14 g/T) signifikant häufiger eine oro-zökale Passagezeit unter 75 Minuten und waren früher nach Operation eingewiesen.

Eine alkalische Refluxösophagitis lag bei 26% der untersuchten Patienten vor. Eine bakterielle Überwucherung des Dünndarms oder eine oro-zökale Passagezeit unter 75 Minuten wurden mit dem H₂-Atemtest bei 37% respektive 21.7% diagnostiziert. In **Kapitel IV** werden drei funktionell verschiedene Rekonstruktionstypen nach totaler Magenresektion, nämlich die Ausschaltung des Duodenums jeweils mit oder ohne Pouchkonstruktion und die jejunale Interposition, bezüglich ihrer postoperativen funktionellen Ergebnisse verglichen.

In keinem einzigen der zahlreichen untersuchten Faktoren wurden statistisch signifikante Unterschiede zwischen den Operationsmethoden festgestellt. An Hand unserer Daten kann keiner der untersuchten Rekonstruktionsverfahren nach totaler Gastrektomie der Vorzug gegeben werden.

In **Kapitel V** werden die Ergebnisse einer doppelblinden, randomisierten, parallelen, placebo-kontrollierten Interventionsstudie mit hochdosierten Pankreasenzymen bei 52 Patienten mit einer Stuhlfettausscheidung von ≥ 14 g/T berichtet. Die Patienten waren 198 Tage (Mittel, IQR 47-608) zuvor wegen Magenmalignität operiert worden und zum Zeitpunkt der Untersuchung tumor- und metastasenfrei.

Nach Behandlung mit 72.000 Einheiten Lipase, 54.000 Einheiten Amylase, und 4.800 Einheiten Proteasen (FIP) zu jeder Hauptmahlzeit und der Hälfte dieser Dosierung zu jeder Nebemahlzeit gaben die Patienten mit Enzymtherapie zwar an, sich im Ganzen besser zu fühlen; Verbesserung eines spezifischen Symptomes konnte jedoch nicht identifiziert werden. Die Fettmalabsorption wurde durch die Intervention nicht signifikant beeinflusst.

Der Einfluß von hoch dosierter Substitution mit Pankreasenzymen auf Symptome und Steatorrhoe nach totaler Gastrektomie ist marginal und eine routinemäßige Verschreibung ist nicht gerechtfertigt.

Der Einfluß einer beschleunigten oro-zökalen Passage (schneller als 75 Minuten) auf Symptome, Fehlernährung und postoperative Leistungsfähigkeit wird in **Kapitel VI** diskutiert.

Patienten mit beschleunigter Passage hatten signifikant häufiger Blähungen. Die mittlere Stuhlkonzentration des Chymotrypsins war bei Patienten mit einer oro-zökalen Passage unter 75 Minuten signifikant niedriger als bei den übrigen Patienten (2.7 (IQR 2.1 to 4.6) vs. 4.9 (IQR 2.9 to 7.2) U/g; $p < 0.05$). Die mittlere fäkale Chymotrypsinausscheidung über 72 Stunden war bei Patienten mit beschleunigter oro-zökaler Passage zwar niedriger, aber der Unterschied war nicht signifikant. Patienten mit beschleunigter oro-zökaler Passage hatten im Vergleich mit den übrigen Patienten eine 50% höhere tägliche Stuhlfettausscheidung ($p < 0.02$) und eine 47% höhere Fettmalabsorption ($p < 0.05$). Die Zahl der Patienten mit einer täglichen fäkalen Fettausscheidung von mehr als 14 g/T betrug 24/62 (39%) bei den Patienten mit normaler Passagezeit, während es bei den Patienten mit beschleunigter oro-zökaler Passage 22/34 (65%) waren ($p = 0.02$).

Bei Patienten mit relevanter Steatorrhoe nach totaler Gastrektomie sollten Maßnahmen zur Verlängerung der oro-zökalen Passagezeit untersucht werden.

In **Kapitel VII** wurden Patienten nach totaler Gastrektomie mit und ohne bakterieller Überwucherung des Dünndarms verglichen.

Die Zeit seit der Operation war signifikant kürzer bei Patienten mit bakterieller Überwucherung des Dünndarms ((370, Konfidenzintervall=CI 96-645 vs. 687, CI 397-976) Tage; $p < 0.01$). Unter statistischer Berücksichtigung dieses Unterschieds gab es keine weiteren Unterschiede zwischen den Gruppen, mit Ausnahme des medizinisch-sozialen Funktionierens, das mit der Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Scale (ERSS) bestimmt wurde. Der durchschnittliche ERSS-Wert zeigte bei Patienten ohne bakterielle Überwucherung im Vergleich zu den anderen Patienten ein besseres medizinisch-soziales Funktionieren an (3.7 CI (2.2-5.2) vs. 5.1 CI (3.0-7.0); $p < 0.05$).

Die Beschwerden der Patienten nach totaler Gastrektomie scheinen nicht durch eine bakterielle Überwucherung verursacht zu sein. Der postoperative achlorhydrische Zustand führt nicht zwingend zu einem bakteriellen Überwucherungs Syndrom.

Ziel der Überlegungen in **Kapitel VIII** war es, das postoperative medizinisch-soziale Funktionieren nach kurativer totaler Gastrektomie zu beschreiben und Faktoren zu identifizieren, die es bestimmen. Ein lineares Regressionsmodell mit einer großen Anzahl von sozialen, biochemischen und somatischen Faktoren wurde analysiert.

Der mittlere ERSS-Wert betrug 4 (IQR 2 bis 6) auf einer Skala von 0 (bester Wert) bis 28 (schlechtester Wert). Die Patienten derjenigen Klinik, die hauptsächlich

Berufsgruppen mit körperlich anstrengender Arbeit versorgte, hatte höhere Werte als die zwei anderen Kliniken. Die Zeit seit Operation und die Albuminkonzentration korrelierten negativ mit der Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Skala (ERSS), während Dyspepsie, Dysphagie und Reflux positiv korrelierten. In einer univariaten Analyse zeigten zunehmende Abweichungen bei der intestinalen Endoskopie eine positive Korrelation mit der Edinburgh Rehabilitation Status Skala. In **Kapitel IX** wurden die Strategien der Krankheitsverarbeitung von 50 Patienten nach kurativer totaler Gastrektomie, die auf die Einladung des Psychologen reagiert hatten, mit einem standardisierten Fragebogen erfaßt. Das Feld *Compliance-Strategie und Arztvertrauen* zeigte höhere Werte als alle anderen Felder ($p < 0.0001$). Die Strategien *Selbstermutigung* und *Relativierung durch Vergleich* hatten höhere Werte als alle anderen Felder ($p = 0.0001$), mit Ausnahme vom Feld *Compliance-Strategie und Arztvertrauen*. *Problemanalyse und Lösungsverhalten* und *Hedonismus* wurden signifikant höher ($p = 0.0003$) bewertet als *Kognitive Vermeidung und Dissimulation* als auch die anderen sieben nicht erwähnten Felder. Die Felder *Compliance-Strategie und Arztvertrauen*, *Hedonismus*, *Regressive Tendenz*, *Relativierung durch Vergleich*, und *Selbstermutigung* hatten mindestens zehn Patienten (20%) oberhalb des Mittelwertes plus einer Standardabweichung verglichen mit einer kranken Kontrollgruppe aus der Literatur, während nur das Feld *Gefühlskontrolle/sozialer Rückzug* zehn Patienten unterhalb dieses Vergleichswertes hatte. Es gab eine positive Korrelation des Feldes *Hedonismus* mit der Zeit, die seit der Operation verstrichen war ($r^2 = 0.5$, $p < 0.0001$).

Tumorfremie Patienten nach totaler Gastrektomie zeigen hauptsächlich aktive und problem-orientierte Krankheitsverarbeitung. Falls der behandelnde Arzt/Ärztin diese Strategien erkennt, kann die postoperative Rehabilitation wahrscheinlich noch weiter verbessert werden.

In **Kapitel X** wird unter Berücksichtigung der gesamten Ergebnisse dieser Studien diskutiert, welche Faktoren für die Nahrungsmittelmalassimilation nach totaler Gastrektomie relevant sind und was das postoperative medizinisch-soziale Funktionieren beeinträchtigt.

Eine symptombedingte erniedrigte Kalorienaufnahme und/oder eine pancreatocibale Asynchronie scheinen die Hauptursache der Mangelnährung nach totaler Gastrektomie zu sein.

Die sozialen Umstände und speziell die Arbeitsplatzsituation der Patienten, die Zeitspanne nach Operation, sowie die Symptome Dyspepsie, Dysphagie und Reflux sind unabhängige Faktoren, die das medizinisch-soziale Funktionieren nach totaler Gastrektomie bestimmen.

Es bleibt zu untersuchen, ob eine Verlängerung der Dünndarmtransitzeit bei Patienten mit Steatorrhoe nach Gastrektomie diese vermindert. Eine andere Aufgabe ist es, die Dyspepsie nach Gastrektomie näher zu beschreiben, um entsprechende therapeutische Maßnahmen zu entwickeln.

Dankwoord

Dankwoord

Het werd mij verteld, dat het dankwoord het meest gelezen deel van een proefschrift is. Dit wetende, wordt het ook een lastig te schrijven gedeelte, omdat men toch niet alle betrokken personen kan noemen. Zoals velen voor mij, wil ik dan ook eerst *iedereen* die aan de studie heeft meegedaan van harte bedanken, ook al is dat wat onpersoonlijk.

Enkele personen wil ik toch bij name noemen.

Hooggeleerde Stockbrügger, als mijn promotor had je vanaf het begin de touwtjes van de studie vast in handen. Je was het hoofd achter het hele onderzoek. Wat voor mij als een kleine studie in Bad Kissingen was begonnen, is door jouw consequente begeleiding en sturing behoorlijk gegroeid totdat dit proefschrift is ontstaan. Beste Reinhold, als vriend was je altijd aanspreekbaar en hebt de meeste “üps en douns”, zoals ze hier in Nederland zeggen, meegemaakt en meegeleefd. Zowel voor het eerste als voor het tweede punt mijn hartelijke dank.

Zeergeleerde Armbricht, beste Ulrich, toen ik in Bad Kissingen kwam, stond alles kant en klaar om met deze studie te starten. Dat was voor een groot deel jouw verdienste. Jouw directe theoretische en praktische begeleiding was onmisbaar - zowel bij de interpretatie van de een of andere test als ook bij sommige operatie verslagen.

Mijn co-auteurs Dr D Rosemeyer, Prof Dr W Zilly, Prof Dr B Schneider, Drs A Volovics en HH Theissen wil ik danken voor de inzet zowel bij de data verzameling als ook bij de interpretatie van de gegevens.

De leden van de beoordelingscommissie, Prof Dr G Kootstra, Prof Dr ir. WHM Saris, Prof Dr H Abrahamsson, Prof Dr P Pop, Prof Dr JPMA Smout bedank ik voor hun bereidwilligheid het manuscript te lezen en voor het geven van waardevolle adviezen.

Prof Dr Kruseman en Prof Dr HFP Hillen, mijn opleiders voor Interne Geneeskunde in Maastricht, bedank ik hartelijk voor hun inzet.

Mijn mentor Karel Leunissen wil ik bedanken voor enkele interessante gesprekken, die ik belangrijker vond als ik destijds misschien heb laten zien.

De leden van de afdeling diëtiëk in Bad Kissingen onder de leiding van Mv. Heide wil ik graag bedanken voor hun inzet bij de verzameling van de voedings-gegevens.

De werkgroep gastroenterologie Maastricht had met dit onderzoek alleen indirect te doen omdat de data verzameld waren toen ik hier kwam. Mijn dank is dan ook voornamelijk voor de prettige samenwerking aan Wim, Robert-Jan, Rob, Maurice, Erik, Ingrid, Peter, Ardi, Silvia, Bertine, en Gaby. Boudewijn en Ton wil ik graag extra noemen - met de ene zou ik nog graag willen koken en met die andere nog een voetbal finale zien.

Graag wil ik nog noemen mijn langdurige kamergenoot Wubbo Mulder met wie in ontspannen discussies de meeste problemen van de wereld zijn opgelost.

Evelyn Pijpers, vriendin, paranimf en Oxfordian, wil ik graag danken voor het vele leuke gesprekken. Motivatie dieptepunten heb je opgevangen zodat het toch nog goed is gekomen. Ik ben zeker dat jouw toekomst in de kliniek en ook in de wetenschap uitstekend zal lopen.

Marie-Noël Janssen, wil ik danken voor de taalcorrecties (this is business) maar ook en vooral voor je voortdurende en besmettelijke vrolijkheid (this is personal).

Tiny Wouters heeft -zoals bij de meeste pomovendi van het azM voor mij- in een alomvattende professionele greep het manuscript "drukklaar" gemaakt.

En nu.

Curriculum Vitae

Curriculum Vitae

Robert Brägelmann

1960	Geboren te Vechta, Duitsland
1977-1978	Uitzending Verenigde Staten (YFU), Portland, Michigan
1981	Eindexamen Gymnasium, Gymnasium Antonianum Vechta
1981-1982	Studie Engels en Geschiedenis, Universiteit Frankfurt am Main
1982-1984	Studie Geneeskunde, Rijks Universitair Centrum Antwerpen
1984-1985	Studie Geneeskunde, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover
1985-1986	Studie Geneeskunde, Universiteit Frankfurt am Main
1989-1992	Arts-assistent interne geneeskunde Marbachtalklinik Chefarzt Prof Dr R W Stockbrügger Chefarzt Dr Dr U Armbricht
1992-1993	Arts-assistent interne geneeskunde Diakonissenkrankenhaus Witten Chefarzt Prof Dr Gallenkamp
1993-1994	Arts-assistent interne geneeskunde Kreiskrankenhaus Lichtenfels Chefarzt Prof Dr Atzpodien
1994-	Arts-assistent interne geneeskunde Academisch Ziekenhuis Maastricht Prof Dr HFP Hillen
30-06-1996	Specialist Interne Geneeskunde