

The performance of medical record review as an instrument for measuring and improving patient safety

Citation for published version (APA):

Klein, D. O. (2019). *The performance of medical record review as an instrument for measuring and improving patient safety*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Maastricht University. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20191106dk>

Document status and date:

Published: 06/11/2019

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20191106dk](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20191106dk)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Stellingen

behorend bij het proefschrift

The performance of medical record review of deceased patients as a quality instrument for measuring and improving patient safety

van

Dorthe Odyl Klein

1. Costs of adverse events are well studied although the costs of detecting adverse events are unexplored. (this thesis)
2. The more triggers a medical record contains, the higher the probability of detecting an adverse event. (this thesis)
3. High false-positive rates of trigger systems result in high costs for medical record review. (this thesis)
4. Lack of a clear definition of preventability concerning adverse events, results in a low reproducibility of the judgment on preventability. (this thesis)
5. Medical record review is not suitable for benchmarking between hospitals. (this thesis)
6. Quality is never an accident, it is always the result of intelligent effort. (John Rushkin)
7. Carefulness will cost nothing, carelessness may cost lives.
8. Veiligheid is als een druppelinfuus: je moet continu aan de gang blijven en het nooit laten verwateren. (John Taks)
9. Before a screening instrument is implemented in practice, it should be evaluated on clinical effectiveness and cost effectiveness.
10. The secret of a balanced life is a cup of tea in one hand and a book in the other. (The Tea Spot)
11. If you are going to get anywhere in life, you have to read a lot of books. (Roald Dahl)