

School autonomy in practice

Citation for published version (APA):

Neeleman, M. B. M. (2019). School autonomy in practice: School intervention decision-making by Dutch secondary school leaders. Maastricht: Universitaire Pers Maastricht.
<https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20190628mn>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2019

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20190628mn](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20190628mn)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Propositions
accompanying the dissertation

School Autonomy in Practice

School Intervention Decision-Making by Dutch Secondary School Leaders

by Annemarie Neeleman

1. Dutch secondary school leaders apply school autonomy more in educational domains than in organizational or staff related domains. (Chapter 2)
2. A wide range of current Dutch secondary school interventions cannot be explained by the evidence presented in various internationally authoritative effectiveness syntheses. (Chapter 3)
3. Dutch secondary school leaders' intervention decisions are grounded in a strong value-driven, holistic, and people-centered orientation. (Chapter 4)
4. Dutch secondary school leaders attach greater value to tacit knowledge and intuition in their decision-making than to evidence. (Chapter 5)
5. Initiatives intended to increase school leaders' accountability should align with their pedagogical beliefs in order to become meaningful to them. (Chapter 6)
6. Scientific evidence offers only a premise for more refined decision-making.
7. Policies seldom dictate actions. They narrow down options at most.
8. School leader effectiveness is context dependent.
9. Statistical significance is not necessarily significant.
10. 3 years and 333 days are minute yet monumental.