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CHAPTER 1

General introduction
Physical activity

‘Physical activity is good, more activity is even better,’ state the physical activity guidelines updated by the Health Council of the Netherlands in August 2017. Physical activity is defined as ‘any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure.’ The physical activity guidelines for adults and older people advise at least 150 minutes of physical activity at moderate intensity per week, spread over different days. In addition, exercises to strengthen muscles and bones at least twice a week are recommended, in combination with balance exercises for older people. Finally, it is essential to avoid sedentary behavior (sitting still for longer periods of time) as much as possible. These guidelines of the Dutch Health Council are comparable with the recommendations provided by the World Health Organization (WHO). It is expected that only 44% of the adults and older adults do reach the recommended activity levels of 150 minutes of physical activity at moderate intensity, combined with exercises to strengthen muscles and bones. Physical activity improves muscle strength, walking speed, and physical fitness in older adults, and that reduces the risk of disabilities, fractures, cognitive decline, and dementia. Furthermore, it reduces the risk of cardiovascular diseases, such as coronary heart disease and stroke, diabetes, cancers (including breast and colon cancer), depression, and even mortality. Therefore, physical activity is essential for health. Sedentary behavior on the other hand is associated with an increased risk of mortality. To increase activity levels, it is recommended to integrate physical activity into daily life as much as possible.

Physical activity in nursing homes

Physical activity is not only beneficial for healthy (older) adults; it also has positive effects on more frail populations, such as nursing home residents. Many nursing home residents suffer from multi-morbidities and are severely care dependent; they require assistance with their daily activities and show a decreased initiation of these activities. Long-term nursing home care in the Netherlands is provided in psychogeriatric or somatic wards. Psychogeriatric wards house nursing home residents with dementia, whereas somatic wards are mainly focused on residents suffering from cerebrovascular accidents, neurological disorders, mobility problems, and/or malignancies. The wards can differ in size from small-scale (up to 8 residents) wards up to more traditional large-scale wards of up to 30 residents. In nursing home wards, a home-like environment is provided that focuses on residents’ wellbeing; nursing home residents take their meals in the dining room and many wards have kitchen facilities to prepare meals. Nursing homes in the Netherlands are, in general, part of non-profit care organizations. The care provided in the nursing homes is complex and requires a multidisciplinary approach. A multidisciplinary team of nursing home staff is employed in Dutch nursing
homes, consisting of nursing staff and allied health professionals such as physiotherapists, occupational therapists, dieticians, psychologists, and nursing home physicians. Furthermore, residents’ families and volunteers are involved in nursing home care.

Research indicates that the physical activity levels of nursing home residents are extremely low. Only 4% of the Dutch nursing home residents living in somatic wards were physically active for 30 minutes, five times a week. Furthermore, a study with activity trackers showed that nursing home residents were sedentary (sitting or lying) for 74% of the time between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. These results are comparable with a study of ambulatory nursing home residents with dementia, who were sedentary for 72% of the day. A previous observation study conducted in the USA (2002) showed that nursing home residents were doing nothing, or as little as watching TV, in 66% of the observations conducted during the day.

The WHO activity guidelines recommend that older adults who are not able to perform the recommended amounts of physical activity, due to health conditions, should be as physically active as their abilities and conditions allow. The Dutch activity guidelines do not specify their recommendations for specific populations, such as nursing home residents. Recently, however, a task force report of de Souto Baretto provided recommendations for activity levels among nursing home residents; they recommended exercise training, e.g. strength and aerobic exercises, for those residents who are still capable. Exercise has positive effects on muscle strength, flexibility, endurance, balance, physical functioning, and quality of life; however, the positive effects of exercising a few times a week for a limited amount of time might be small when the residents are still inactive and sedentary during the rest of their day. In addition, taking into account the vulnerability of nursing home residents and their care dependency, it cannot be expected that all residents perform intensive exercises. Therefore, rather than performing exercises, it is recommended that sedentary behavior of all nursing home residents be reduced by enhancing activity levels to maintain these residents’ functioning, which can be done by increasing light activities during the day. Residents could perform activities of daily living (ADL), such as washing, dressing, mobility, and eating, and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL), such as setting a table, preparing food, and watering plants. Physical activity does not need to be vigorous, because participation in daily activities already contributes to maintenance of physical functioning and higher quality of life. In addition, different studies have shown the associations between performing these ADL and IADL and positive mood, higher levels of wellbeing, and higher self-esteem.
The role of nursing staff in residents’ physical activity levels

Nursing staff providing twenty-four hour care in the nursing homes play a key role in the residents’ daily lives, and have the opportunity to encourage residents in their daily activities and their independence. In Dutch nursing homes, different levels of nursing staff provide direct care. In general, most of the direct care is provided by certified nursing assistants (in Dutch: verzorgenden), who have two to three years of secondary-vocational training. Other levels of nursing staff are: nurse aides (in Dutch: zorghulpen), nursing assistants (in Dutch: helpenden) with two years of secondary-vocational training; registered nurses (in Dutch: MBO verpleegkundigen) with four years of secondary-vocational training; and bachelor-educated registered nurses (in Dutch: HBO verpleegkundigen).

The essential role of nursing staff was already emphasized by Henderson’s definition of nursing (1960): ‘The unique function of the nurse is to assist the individual, sick or well, in the performance of those activities contributing to health or its recovery that he/she would perform unaided if he had the necessary strength, will or knowledge. And to do this in such a way as to help him gain independence as rapidly as possible.’

Encouraging nursing home residents in their daily activities is an important part of their job, and is mentioned as part of basic care by various nursing scientists. Nursing staff can assist a resident by providing adequate support in eating and drinking (for example, providing all the necessary items to prepare a sandwich, or pouring a drink without sugar and milk). Additionally, nursing staff can promote residents’ independence by encouraging them to continue as much as possible with activities that they performed before they entered the nursing home (for example, walking to the reception with a resident every day to pick up the newspaper). Nursing staff can encourage nursing home residents’ independence especially during daily care activities. Moreover, nursing staff could provide meaningful activities adjusted to the preferences of the resident, such as preparing a meal, walking, or watering plants, which should create a personalized home-like environment, and should sustain residents’ sense of self and normality. With the right support, nursing home residents are still able to perform a lot of daily activities.

The focus on encouraging residents’ activities and independence fits into the shift in the nursing home care culture during the last years. A shift is ongoing from the traditional medical care model (‘hospital-like’, ‘institutional’, and impersonal) towards a more social model of care that focuses on residents’ well-being, capabilities, autonomy, and quality of life. The Dutch Quality Improvement Framework for Nursing Home Care, published in 2017, stated that nursing home care aims to contribute to residents’ quality of life, and it is specified that nursing homes should involve residents in meaningful activities during their day. Nevertheless, nursing staff are likely to do things for the residents and tend to take over residents’ activities, even when the
residents could perform these activities themselves.\textsuperscript{34} Nursing staff are often used to
task-oriented working, focusing on the task they need to fulfill in time, rather than
person-centered working, focusing on the residents’ needs and preferences.\textsuperscript{35} Several
barriers could influence nursing staff, such as the high workload they experience\textsuperscript{36}—
nursing staff might perceive that they have no time to talk about or listen to the
residents’ needs and preferences\textsuperscript{37}—as well as lack of support from colleagues,\textsuperscript{38} and
lack of knowledge and awareness. Nursing staff indicate that encouragement of
residents is liable to be pushed aside due to the other tasks that they need to fulfill.\textsuperscript{31}
Despite these various barriers, nursing staff are still the key to creating an environment
in which residents are encouraged. Nursing staff might need support at the
organizational and social levels to change to their behavior to encourage residents’ daily
activities and independence. An essential first step within this behavioral change, is to
create awareness of own practices among nursing staff.\textsuperscript{39}

\section*{Objectives and outline}

To conclude, it is known that nursing home residents are inactive. However, it is
unknown which activities nursing home residents are involved in during the day.
Furthermore, the role of nursing staff in these activities is unknown, despite the fact
that they can make a difference in residents’ activity levels and independence.
Therefore, this dissertation focuses on both nursing home residents’ daily activities and
the role of nursing staff in these activities. First, it describes the situation in the nursing
homes with respect to the activities of the resident, the role of nursing staff and their
perceived behavior aimed at encouraging residents. In addition, to encourage residents’
daily activities and independence, a nursing intervention is developed and tested in
terms of its feasibility and its effects on both the residents and the nursing staff.
Objectives

The overall objective of this dissertation is to develop a nursing intervention aiming to change nursing behavior towards encouraging the daily activities and independence of nursing home residents, and to evaluate the impact of this nursing intervention on both nursing staff and residents. In the view of this objective, this dissertation aims:

1. to provide insight into the daily activities of nursing home residents;
2. to provide insight into the role of nursing staff in the daily activities of nursing home residents;
3. to provide insight into the extent to which nursing staff in the Netherlands perceive to encourage the activities of residents;
4. to develop and test a feasible nursing intervention to change nursing staff behavior towards encouraging nursing home residents in their daily activities and independence;
5. to evaluate the impact of this nursing intervention on both nursing staff behavior and the daily activities and independence of nursing home residents.

Outline

The different aims of the dissertation are addressed in the subsequent chapters. Chapter 2 obtains insight into the daily activities of nursing home residents, based on an observation study. The role of nursing staff in the daily activities of nursing home residents is explored in Chapter 3. The results of a cross-sectional study on the extent to which nursing staff perceive to encourage nursing home residents in functional activity are presented in Chapter 4; in addition, this chapter describes the association between nursing staff behavior and their professional characteristics, contextual-factors, and their information-seeking behavior. Chapters 2-4 provide essential input for the development of the nursing intervention. Chapter 5 addresses the feasibility of this intervention; furthermore, the step-by-step development of the nursing intervention is represented in a box in this chapter. Chapter 6 describes a quasi-experimental study that shows the impact of the nursing intervention on both nursing staff behavior and residents’ functioning and independence. In Chapter 7, the main findings of the studies in this dissertation are summarized and discussed, including methodological and theoretical considerations and implications for both research and practice.
CHAPTER 1

Research line: ‘Nurses on the Move: towards high-quality care in nursing homes’

The studies in this dissertation were conducted as part of the research line ‘Nurses on the Move: towards high quality care in nursing homes,’ which was funded by ZonMw, The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (grant 520001003). This research line aims to contribute to the improvement of quality of care in general, and, more specifically, to the improvement of functional status and the reduction of disability among nursing home residents. The research line consists of three interrelated PhD-projects:

1) Encouraging daily activities and independence among residents (this dissertation)
2) Supporting nursing staff in implementing innovations
3) Directing nursing care staff and quality of care in nursing homes

Living Lab in Ageing and Long-Term Care

This research was embedded in the Living Lab in Ageing and Long-Term Care, a formal collaboration between Maastricht University, Zuyd University of Applied Sciences, and seven long-term care organizations, all located in the southern part of the Netherlands. The goal of this multidisciplinary collaboration is to improve quality of life, quality of long-term care, and quality of work.
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CHAPTER 2

Daily (in)activities of nursing home residents in their wards: an observation study

This chapter was published as:
Abstract

Objectives: Research shows that nursing home residents are largely inactive. This inactivity negatively influences physical fitness, and participation in daily activities is known to have a positive influence on physical function and quality of life. Existing research does not provide sufficient insight into the daily activities in which nursing home residents participate. This insight is needed to develop future interventions in order to encourage nursing home residents to participate in daily activities and, thereby, decrease inactivity. The purpose of this study was to obtain insight into daily (in)activities of psychogeriatric and somatic nursing home residents during the day and their body positions during these (in)activities.

Design: Cross-sectional observation study.

Setting: Nursing homes in the Netherlands (19 psychogeriatric and 11 somatic wards).

Participants: Seven hundred and twenty-three nursing home residents in seven nursing homes.

Measurements: Observations were conducted using a self-developed observation list. Residents were observed in their wards during five random observation times between 7:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m., in which the daily activity and position of the resident during this activity were scored. Percentages of activities and positions were calculated for each observation time.

Results: In total, 3,282 observations (91% of the intended 3,615 observations) were conducted. Nursing home residents of both psychogeriatric and somatic wards were mainly observed partaking in inactivities such as sleeping, doing nothing and watching TV (range: 45–77% of the five observation times). Furthermore, residents were engaged in Activities of Daily Living (ADL) (range: 15–38%), which mainly comprised activities related to mobility (range: 10–19%) and eating and drinking (range: 2–17%). Engagement of residents in Instrumental ADL (IADL) was rarely observed (up to 3%). Residents were largely observed in a lying or sitting position (range: 89–92%).

Conclusion: The majority of the psychogeriatric and somatic nursing home residents spend their day inactive in a lying or sitting position in the ward. To encourage nursing home residents in daily activities in the wards, interventions are needed that a) focus on increasing ADL and IADL and b) encourage standing and walking in nursing home residents.
Introduction

There is increasing evidence that nursing home residents are largely inactive.1-5 The consequences of inactivity can be enormous for frail nursing home residents, since inactivity is negatively related to physical fitness.1 Subsequently, a decline in physical fitness, including muscle strength, balance and physical performance, can be a risk factor for sedentary behavior in nursing home residents.1 This decline in physical fitness has a negative effect on the care dependency of older people, which has an influence on the workload of nursing staff.6 Even in healthy older people, ten days of bed rest has disastrous effects on muscle function and aerobic capacity, and leads to an overall reduction of physical activity.7 Therefore, and because of the known benefits of physical activity on physical fitness, physical performance and quality of life, diverse exercise interventions, e.g. resistance, flexibility, balance and/or functional training, have been developed.8 However, physical activity does not need to be vigorous to have positive effects, since participation in daily activities already contributes to maintaining physical functioning9-11 and is associated with a higher quality of life.5

Insight into the current level of daily activities of nursing home residents is necessary in order to tailor interventions to increase these daily activities. However, it is challenging to obtain valid and reliable information about daily activities among nursing home residents. Different measurement methods are available to measure physical activity such as questionnaires, activity monitors and observations. Not all of these methods are appropriate to obtain insight into daily activities. Questionnaires, as used in Edvardsson et al.,5 could be biased by proxy ratings,5 have limited reliability and validity,12 show floor effects and suffer from recall bias in low levels of physical activity.13 Activity monitors, as used in Ikezoe et al.1 and Egerton and Brauer,4 could identify body positions, but cannot provide detailed information about the kind of daily activity in which residents were partaking. Even though they are time consuming, observations seem to be the best measurement method to obtain detailed information about daily activities.

Different observation studies collected data only during specific time slots, such as meal times and organized activities, which does not provide insight into the kind of activities that occur during the whole day.14,15 To our knowledge, in the last 20 years, only two observation studies provided insight into the activities and/or positions of nursing home residents over the whole day. MacRae et al.2 observed the positions of ambulant nursing home residents (n=95) in five nursing homes from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.2 Positions were defined as lying, sitting, standing, walking or wheelchair propelling. This study found that nursing home residents were observed lying or sitting in 83.5–93.8% of the observations. Harper Ice3 provided information about activities and positions during the activities of nursing home residents (n=27) at one nursing home. The observations took place from 8:00 a.m. to bedtime. Activity categories were personal care, social and
expressive activities, doing little or nothing, and movement; positions were classified as reclining, sitting or upright. The results showed that residents were mainly lying (29%) or sitting (69%) during the day. Furthermore, it showed that residents spent 66% of their day doing little or nothing, doing social activities (12%), handling personal care (18%), or 3% moving (walking and moving in a wheelchair).

The aforementioned studies\textsuperscript{2,3} provide important information about the level of physical activity in nursing home residents and recommend residents partake in activities throughout the day to prevent further decline. However, these studies included only small sample sizes and were both conducted in the US, and demographic changes have most likely occurred the past two decades. These demographic changes could influence the possibilities for residents’ activities and positions. This study purposes to include a larger sample of nursing homes and nursing home residents outside the US. Furthermore, previous studies did not distinguish between psychogeriatric and somatic residents. The main admission reason of psychogeriatric residents is dementia, and somatic residents are mostly admitted to the nursing home because of cerebrovascular accidents, neurological disorders, mobility problems and malignancies.\textsuperscript{16} Based on the admission reasons for these wards, differences in care dependency and mobility and, therefore, activities and positions could be expected. Thus, the aim of this study is to gain insight into the daily (in)activities of psychogeriatric and somatic nursing home residents during the day and their positions during these (in)activities.

**Methods**

*Study design and sample*

An explorative, cross-sectional, observation study was conducted in June and July of 2014. The sample consisted of nursing home residents living in psychogeriatric (n=19) and somatic (n=11) wards (long stay) in seven nursing homes in the southern part of the Netherlands. These wards housed 723 (383 psychogeriatric and 340 somatic) residents. Rehabilitation (short stay) wards were excluded.

*Measures*

Residents’ gender, age, mobility, functioning in Activities of Daily Life (ADL) and cognitive functioning were assessed. The residents’ mobility was scored as mobile or immobile (wheelchair dependent or bedridden). ADL functioning was scored with the Barthel Index, which ranges from 0–20: a lower score indicates a higher dependency in ADL functioning.\textsuperscript{17} Cognitive functioning was assessed using the Cognitive Performance
Scale (CPS) ranging from 0 (no cognitive impairment) to 6 (very severe cognitive impairment). The focus of this study was to report on the daily activities residents were engaged in during the times of observation. Furthermore, the positions of the residents during the performance of these activities were scored. No standard observation list was found to score daily activities and positions; therefore, a self-developed observation list was used.

The development of the observation list consisted of the following steps. First, a pilot observation study was conducted without a predefined list of activities and positions. During this pilot study, nine nursing home residents (four psychogeriatric and five somatic residents) were observed for one minute every 15 minutes (16 hours in total). The observation list was composed based on the observed daily activities during this pilot study. Based on the observation study of de Bruin et al., games, crafts and domestic activities were added. In the next step, face and content validity of the observation list were checked by five observers (three nurses, one research assistant and one researcher). They checked whether the list was complete (any activities missing that could be observed in the nursing home ward) and appropriate for the nursing home setting. After this check, minor adjustments to the observation list were made, i.e. three daily activities were added: physiotherapy, nonverbal communication, and putting on a hearing aid. Eventually, the observation list consisted of 33 different daily activities plus the options ‘resident not present in the ward’ and ‘comments’ (comments could be made; for example, if the activity did not fit into one of the predefined activities, the observer could describe the observed activity).

The observations were conducted by five individual observers who received training before the start of the observations. The aim of this 3.5-hour training was to explain the observation manual and to practice observations using 20 video fragments of older people performing daily activities. The observers scored the daily activity and position during this activity for each fragment independently. After this exercise, differences in scores were discussed together until an agreement was reached with all observers.

To test the reliability of the observation list, the extent of agreement between observers during observations in the nursing home was calculated with the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The ICC was 0.859 for the activities and 0.873 for the positions.

In this study, daily activities were defined as all activities residents were engaged in during a day. Being engaged in an activity did not necessarily mean that the resident was physically active (e.g., dressing or walking around), since daily activities also include passive activities (e.g., getting dressed by a nurse).

The daily activities were clustered into four categories: ‘inactivity’, ‘ADL’, ‘Instrumental ADL’ (IADL) and ‘communication and hobbies’. The category ‘inactivity’ was scored
when the resident was observed sleeping, doing nothing, watching TV or smoking based on the category of passive activities found in Harper Ice. The categories ‘ADL’ and ‘IADL’ were based on the Barthel Index and the Groningen Activity Restriction Scale (GARS). These instruments are reliable and valid for measuring physical functioning. ‘ADL’ consisted of personal care, going to the bathroom, eating and drinking, mobility, dressing and bathing. Domestic activities (e.g., setting the table) and preparing food were categorized as ‘IADL’. The last category was ‘communication and hobbies’, which consisted of activities such as verbal communication and reading. The categories do not indicate whether the resident was actively or passively engaged in the activity. Detailed information on categories and corresponding activities on the observation list is provided in Table 2.1.

Positions were defined as lying, sitting, standing, walking or transferring. Transferring was defined as a change in position during the observation. The different positions were based on the observation study of MacRae et al., in which the observations were coded as lying, sitting, standing, walking or wheelchair propelling. However, in the current study, wheelchair propelling was defined as an activity in which the position of the residents was sitting.

Table 2.1: (Sub)Categories of activities and the 33 corresponding activities of the observation list in which residents could be engaged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Subcategories</th>
<th>33 activities of the observation list</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inactivity</td>
<td>no activity</td>
<td>doing nothing, smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>watching TV</td>
<td>watching TV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sleeping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>personal care</td>
<td>personal hygiene, putting on glasses or hearing aid, taking medication, wiping off hands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>going to the bathroom</td>
<td>going to the bathroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eating and drinking</td>
<td>drinking, eating with hands, eating with cutlery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mobility</td>
<td>walking, moving forward in a wheelchair with arms, moving forward in a wheelchair with legs, moving forward in an electric wheelchair, moving with arms, changing positions, transferring, physiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dressing</td>
<td>dressing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bathing</td>
<td>washing, showering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL</td>
<td>domestic activities</td>
<td>setting table, cleaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>preparing food/drinks</td>
<td>preparing food, pouring a drink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and hobbies</td>
<td>communication</td>
<td>verbal communication, nonverbal communication, visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hobbies</td>
<td>reading, crafts, games</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADL = Activities of Daily Living; IADL = Instrumental Activities of Daily Living
Procedure

The management of the nursing home or the contact person within the nursing home provided information about the nursing home and the wards, and they informed the nursing staff about the observations. Nursing staff members in the wards assessed the background characteristics of each resident using an inventory consisting of different questionnaires. The information needed for the questionnaires was gathered using the residents’ records and nurses’ knowledge about the resident. This inventory was sent to the contact person of the nursing home, and this person spread the inventory to the nursing staff in the wards and collected the completed inventories.

Observations were scored on a hand-held tablet (Samsung Galaxy Tab 3, Suwon, Korea) using the e-Droid-cell Pro app to open and adjust the observation list. The observation list was integrated into an Excel file and included the random sequence and observation times in each ward (see Figure 2.1).

![Figure 2.1: Self-developed observation list on a tablet](image)

In order to observe residents for one complete day, observations were conducted over a 16-hour period. Since observations in a ward were completed by one observer, observations were conducted over two days. To obtain an overview of the whole day, the observations were divided into five time blocks. Each ward was randomly observed once during each of the five time blocks: 7:00 to 10:00 a.m. (observation time 1), 10:00
a.m. to 1:00 p.m. (observation time 2), 1:00 to 4:00 p.m. (observation time 3), 4:00 to 7:00 p.m. (observation time 4) and 7:00 to 11:00 p.m. (observation time 5). All wards were visited in a random sequence during each observation time. Randomization was conducted using the website http://www.randomizer.org/. The observer walked around the ward, observed each resident for one minute and registered the daily activity and position of the resident. If residents were observed performing more than one activity, the main daily activity was reported. The main activity was defined as the most relevant or meaningful activity for the resident. Comments were processed and, if needed, the described activity was redefined in one of the 33 activities. Only nursing home residents who were present in the ward were observed.

**Ethical considerations**

The medical research ethics committee of Atrium-Orbis-Zuyd approved the study protocol (14-N-84). The management of each nursing home signed research declaration to obtain the observations anonymously and to gather background characteristics of the residents. The privacy of the residents was considered during all observations; for example, when the resident was in the bathroom with the door closed, the door was not opened by the observer.

**Data analyses**

The data from the Excel files were transferred to SPSS version 22, which was used to conduct the analyses (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics were performed for all wards together and separately for the psychogeriatric and somatic wards. Due to the anonymous observations, it was not possible to follow a single resident over time. Therefore, percentages of activities and positions were calculated for each observation time separately based on all individual observations. To compare the different types of wards, an independent t-test was used for the continuous variables and a chi-square test was used for the categorical variables. Additional analyses were conducted to compare the activities and positions of residents in psychogeriatric and somatic wards. Percentages of activity categories and positions were estimated and analyzed based on the expected percentage of each ward. A linear, mixed-effects, regression model was used to calculate the differences in activity categories and positions between psychogeriatric and somatic wards for each observation time.
DAILY (IN)ACTIVITIES OF NURSING HOME RESIDENTS

Results

Study population

All 30 wards (19 psychogeriatric and 11 somatic) at seven nursing homes were observed. The nursing home wards housed 723 residents in total. The nursing homes varied in size from 2–10 wards. Per ward, the number of residents ranged from 10–47 residents. Demographic characteristics of the nursing home residents are presented in Table 2.2. The mean age of all nursing home residents was 83.0 (SD 8.9) years, and 68% of the residents were female. Compared to somatic residents, on average, the psychogeriatric residents were older, were more often mobile, were less severely dependent in ADL and had more severe cognitive impairments. Ninety-one percent (n=3,282) of the intended (n=3,615) observations were completed, which equates to an average of 4.5 observations per resident.

Table 2.2: Demographic characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean age (SD)*</th>
<th>% female</th>
<th>% mobile residents*</th>
<th>Mean Barthel score (SD)*</th>
<th>Mean CPS score (SD)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>83.0 (8.9)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychogeriatric</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>85.2 (6.4)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>8.0 (6.1)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>80.5 (10.6)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7.1 (5.3)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant at p<0.05; Range Barthel: 0–20 (a lower score indicates an increased disability).\textsuperscript{17} Range Cognitive Performance Scale (CPS): 0–6 (a higher score indicates a more severe cognitive impairment).\textsuperscript{18}

Daily activities in the wards

Table 2.3 presents the percentages of the observed daily activities residents were engaged in during the five observation times based on all individual observations. Most nursing home residents were observed to be inactive, i.e. watching TV, sleeping or doing nothing (range: 45–77% for the five observation times). When engaged in ADL (range: 15–38%), nursing home residents were most often engaged in activities related to mobility (range: 10–19%) and eating and drinking (range: 2–17%), such as walking or eating, respectively. ADL, like dressing, bathing and personal care, were mostly observed in the morning between 7:00–10:00 a.m. Mobility activities were observed during all observation times, but the greatest number of mobility activities was observed during the early afternoon between 1:00–4:00 p.m. IADL, like setting the table, was rarely observed (up to 3%). Residents were observed in communication activities, like chatting with others, during 4–10% of the observations. Engagement in hobbies, e.g. painting, reading or knitting, was particularly observed between 10 a.m.
and 4 p.m. Based on the additional regression analysis at the ward level, no significant differences between psychogeriatric and somatic wards were found with regard to the activity categories.

Table 2.3: Observed activities in the nursing home wards (%) based on each observed resident

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation time</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
<th>Psychogeriatric wards (n=19)</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
<th>Somatic wards (n=11)</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N observations</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactivity</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No activity</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching TV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal care</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going to the bathroom</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating and drinking</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dressing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic activities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing food</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication &amp; hobbies</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobbies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<1 = percentages below 0.5%, variation in sum of percentages (around 100%) exist due to rounding;
Observation time blocks: T1: 7:00–10:00 a.m., T2: 10:00 a.m.–1:00 p.m., T3: 1:00–4:00 p.m., T4: 4:00–7:00 p.m., T5: 7:00–11:00 p.m.;
*During T3, one psychogeriatric ward (residents n=23) was not observed.

Positions during the activities

Table 2.4 presents the positions of the nursing home residents during the observation times. During all observation times, residents were observed primarily sitting or lying (range: 89–92%). Lying was especially observed in the early morning and late evening when residents slept in their beds. However, during the daytime (10 a.m.–7 p.m.), between 16–24% of the residents were observed in a lying position. Transfers, in which the resident changed position, were rarely observed (up to 1%). Both psychogeriatric
and somatic residents were mainly sedentary (lying or sitting) during the day (psychogeriatric range: 85–91% versus somatic range: 91–93%). No significant differences were found in positions between the different types of wards based on the additional regression analysis at the ward level.

Table 2.4: Positions of the nursing home residents (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation time</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Psychogeriatric wards (n=19)</th>
<th>Somatic wards (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N observations</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lying</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferring</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<1 = percentages below 0.5%, variation in sum of percentages (around 100%) exist due to rounding.

Observation time blocks: T1: 7:00–10:00 a.m., T2: 10:00 a.m.–1:00 p.m., T3: 1:00–4:00 p.m., T4: 4:00–7:00 p.m., T5: 7:00–11:00 p.m.

**Discussion**

The results of this study show that the majority of nursing home residents spend their day inactive (sleeping, watching TV or doing nothing). If psychogeriatric and somatic residents were engaged in ADL, it mainly consisted of activities related to mobility, eating and drinking. Findings indicate that residents were rarely engaged in IADL related activities, like preparing food or setting the table. Most residents were observed in a lying or sitting position during daily activities. Ninety-one percent of the residents were present in the ward during all observations.

The large amount of inactivity observed in this study is in line with the previous studies of MacRae et al.\(^2\) and Harper Ice.\(^3\) Despite a different country specific context, the comparable degree of inactivity from US nursing homes indicates that the large amount of inactivity observed in the current study is not unique to the Dutch nursing home setting. In addition, it indicates that, almost 20 years later, there is still a relatively unchanged nursing home situation with regard to inactivity.

The fact that residents were mainly inactive could be explained by patient related, organizational or environmental aspects. Different patient related aspects, such as care dependency, mobility problems, chronic diseases, fatigue, cognition and habitual inactivity of the resident, could have influenced the large amount of observed inactivity and sedentary positions. As indicated by the low score on the Barthel Index, both
psychogeriatric and somatic residents are largely dependent in ADL functioning. This high level of dependency could have influenced the number of observed activities.

The observed inactivity could also possibly be influenced by organizational aspects, for example, a potential lack of relevant and meaningful activities offered in the nursing home. Meaningful activities are defined as enjoyable activities for nursing home residents. If they engage in meaningful activities, residents improve their emotional wellbeing, cognitive status and physical functioning while reducing problematic behaviors. Research shows that if activities are not relevant or meaningful to residents, residents prefer to do nothing or to watch TV. Residents could be encouraged in activities they prefer, and, especially mobile residents, could be encouraged to perform activities in which they stand or walk during the day. Other organizational aspects that could possibly influence residents’ activities are staff related such as attitude towards activities, workload, amount of staff, work experience and education level. Environmental aspects are the facilities of the ward and the nursing home; for example, facilities that make it possible for nursing home residents to walk around the ward or nursing home, to set the table or to perform other household activities.

Increasing daily activities in nursing home residents will become of greater importance in the near future because there is a change occurring in the care system in the Netherlands. This change will result in a longer stay at home, with more severe cases admitted to the nursing home. Therefore, the nursing home residents will have more complex care problems, will be more severely disabled and more care dependent. It will become increasingly important to encourage residents in their daily activities and to maintain their physical capacity as much and as long as possible.

Research shows that older people who spend more time doing daily and household activities have a lesser decline in mobility. Participation in daily activities can positively influence physical functioning and quality of life. Sparling et al. argued that it is more important for older adults to increase light activities during the day and to decrease sedentary time rather than to exercise. Therefore, future interventions should focus on increasing the daily activities of nursing home residents (especially ADL and IADL) and tailoring these activities to each individual.

It is important to give residents the opportunity to be physically active in the ward during the day, in which nursing staff play an important role. Nursing staff can help residents by tailoring daily activities to the functional abilities, interests and prospects of each individual, and fitting these tailored activities to daily routines while taking into account the possible cognitive impairments. For example, a nurse could encourage a resident to walk to the dining room, or they could walk together instead of the nurse pushing the wheelchair. In order to understand how nursing staff members could encourage nursing home residents in daily activities, it would be necessary to gain...
insight into the role of nursing staff during daily activities of nursing home residents. Moreover, it is essential to know which activities the residents prefer. This preference of the residents could, for example, be identified by the MIBBO (Dutch acronym for ‘Measure to Identify Meaningful Physical Activities in the Elderly’). The MIBBO is a photo-interview conducted to investigate the preferences of residents. A previous study utilizing the MIBBO found that residents’ preferred activities were gymnastics, orchestra, preparing food, walking, watering plants and feeding pets. Aside from nursing staff, informal caregivers can be involved in encouraging residents to perform daily activities. Prospective studies should focus on feasible interventions to encourage daily activities among nursing home residents.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to distinguish between psychogeriatric and somatic wards. Based on different admission reasons and differences found in age, mobility, ADL and cognitive functioning, differences in activities and positions between psychogeriatric and somatic wards could be expected. For example, somatic residents were mainly observed in a lying or sitting position (range: 91–93%), which could be partly explained by the fact that the majority of the somatic residents were immobile (73%). Even though more psychogeriatric residents were mobile (61%), they were mainly observed in a lying or sitting position as well (range: 85–91%). Additional multilevel analyses did not find significant differences in activities or positions between the different types of wards. Future interventions in nursing home residents should take a different approach to focusing on the psychogeriatric and somatic residents’ daily activities. For the psychogeriatric residents especially, their cognitive impairments should be taken into account as for the somatic resident their somatic impairment should be taken into account.

The strength of this study is the large number of observed nursing home residents. Although previous observation studies performed more observations per resident, these studies observed smaller groups. MacRae et al. completed 64 to 96 observations per individual (n=95), Harper Ice, on average, completed 146 observations per individual (n=27), and the current study completed a maximum of five observations per individual (n=723). Observing a large group of residents anonymously provided insight into daily activities (in general) instead of individual activity patterns, and reduced the intensity of the observation method. Furthermore, the anonymous observations made it possible to observe all residents in the ward. During a pilot observation study in which individual informed consent was obtained, it was noticed that participating residents were not representative of the whole ward regarding their activity level. By observing all residents anonymously without individual consent for participation, no selection bias could arise based on willingness to participate.

There are also limitations to using anonymous observations. Observations could not be linked to previous observations or to individual characteristics of a resident. This made
it impossible to calculate correlations between residents’ characteristics and activities. Bias could arise in this study if all active residents were outside their ward and only inactive residents stayed in the ward. However, the findings showed that, on average, more than 90% of the residents were present in their ward during the day, which means that most residents spent their day in the ward, and the group of observed residents probably did not largely change between the observation times. Despite using only nursing home residents in the southern part of the Netherlands, the study population is expected to be representative of the nursing home population in the Netherlands as a whole. Residents of different organizations, different nursing homes and different types of wards were observed. The observer did not monitor the residents while they were in the bathroom and respected privacy at all times. Therefore, up to 2% of the activities and positions were missing. Nursing staff were informed of the observations. Since wards were visited in a random sequence and the residents in the wards were randomly observed, it is not presumable that this influenced the observations by, e.g. nurses providing extra encouragement for residents to perform activities.

**Conclusion**

This study shows that the majority of psychogeriatric and somatic nursing home residents spend their day inactively (doing nothing, watching TV or sleeping) in the ward. When nursing home residents were engaged in ADL, it mainly consisted of activities related to mobility, eating and drinking. Engagement in IADL was rarely observed. Both psychogeriatric and somatic residents were mainly observed sitting and lying during the day, while the majority of the psychogeriatric residents were mobile. Future interventions to prevent further decline should focus on encouraging residents in ADL and IADL in the nursing home ward and increasing the activities in which mobile residents are encouraged to stand and walk during the day.
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CHAPTER 3

The role of nursing staff in the activities of daily living of nursing home residents

This chapter was published as:
Abstract

The aim of this cross-sectional study was to explore the role of nursing staff in residents’ activities. Nursing home residents ($n = 723$) were observed in their wards, randomly five times for one minute between 7 a.m. and 11 p.m. Resident’s (in)activity and the role of nursing staff or others in this activity were recorded. Roles were defined as ‘taking over the activity,’ ‘giving support,’ or ‘supervision.’ Nurse observers were interviewed to obtain insight into their observation-experiences. Residents were observed in activities of daily living in 31% of all 3282 observations, and inactive in 57%. Nursing staff provided support in 51% of the observations and took over activities in 45%; supervision was rarely observed (4%). Nurse observers who knew the residents reported that a large part of activities were taken over unnecessarily. Based on these results, nursing staff are recommended to provide more supervision and support to optimize residents’ activities and independence.
THE ROLE OF NURSING STAFF IN THE ACTIVITIES OF DAILY LIVING

Introduction

Maintaining activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental ADL (IADL) is of major importance in the frail nursing home population. It is well known that performing ADL and IADL, such as washing, dressing and preparing food, has a positive influence on physical functioning\(^1\) and is associated with a higher self-esteem\(^2\) and with a higher quality of life.\(^3,4\) Furthermore, residents’ quality of life is positively influenced by their independence in these daily activities.\(^5,6\) Despite these positive effects, nursing home residents spend their day mainly inactive.\(^7-9\)

Nursing homes are facilities that provide 24 h functional support and care for people who require assistance with daily activities, and have identified health needs. Nursing home care aims to provide a supportive, safe, and homelike environment in which residents are assisted to maintain their functional status as long as possible.\(^10\) In Dutch nursing homes, nursing staff are mainly certified nurse assistants (CNAs),\(^11,12\) with three years of secondary-vocational training. Besides, registered nurses (RNs), with four years of secondary-vocational training or bachelor-education, are part of the nursing staff. Henderson\(^13\) defined nursing in 1960 as: ‘The unique function of the nurse is to assist the individual, sick or well, in the performance of those activities contributing to health or its recovery that he would perform unaided if he had the necessary strength, will or knowledge. And to do this in such a way as to help him gain independence as rapidly as possible.’ This definition by Henderson\(^13\) implies that, also in the nursing home, it is the core business of nursing staff to encourage residents to perform daily activities and to encourage their independence.

Since nursing staff provide 24/7 care, they play a key role in care provision and, ideally, demonstrate leadership behavior in encouraging nursing home residents in daily activities and maintaining independence. Nursing home residents’ dependence is related to nursing staff behavior.\(^14\) Nursing staff can play different roles in the activities of the residents, for example, nursing staff could give instructions step-by-step. Moreover, nursing staff could take over residents’ activities, which is not encouraging independence and physical activity. Previous intervention studies\(^2,15-24\) have focused on changing the nursing behavior towards motivating and supportive behavior in the daily activities of nursing home residents. In these studies, nursing staff were taught motivational techniques to encourage residents. Most intervention studies reported on the effects on residents, for example, residents’ functioning in ADL. Other studies\(^22-24\) evaluated change in behavior of nursing assistants using observations. Nursing staff were observed for 15–30 minutes in these studies, and their performance of function-focused care activities was evaluated using the Restorative Care Behavior Checklist (RCBC).\(^25\) Function-focused care activities performed by nursing staff could be encouraging the resident verbally to walk or walk together with the resident instead of pushing their wheelchair. Although these studies
showed whether or not nursing assistants performed function focus care behaviors during care moments at an intervention setting, they do not provide insight into different roles of nursing staff in residents’ activities during the day. Insight into the role of nursing staff in different activities of residents, especially ADL and IADL, could provide useful information for developing and evaluating nursing interventions to encourage residents in activities and their independence. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to explore the role of nursing staff in residents’ ADL and IADL.

Methods

A cross-sectional mixed-method design was used in this study, consisting primarily of quantitative observations in Dutch nursing homes and additional qualitative semi-structured interviews. To explore the role of nursing staff in residents’ ADL and IADL during daily nursing care, the observations were conducted anonymously. Due to the anonymous observations all residents and all nursing staff in the wards could be observed without participation bias. The observations were conducted in June and July of 2014. Dutch nursing homes provide long-term care in psychogeriatric and somatic wards. Psychogeriatric wards are provided for people with dementia, while somatic wards focus on people with physical problems. The qualitative component of this study consisted of semi-structured interviews with the nurse observers, these interviews were conducted after the quantitative data collection.

Participants

Seven nursing homes in the southern part of the Netherlands participated in this study. The participating nursing homes are embedded in the Living Lab in Ageing and Long-Term Care. The nursing homes consisted of 19 psychogeriatric and 11 somatic long-term care wards and housed 723 residents (383 and 340 residents from psychogeriatric and somatic wards, respectively). The sample included the nursing home residents present in the ward during the observations, and the people who were involved in residents’ activities, distinguishing between nursing staff (both RNs and CNAs) and others (such as family and volunteers). No distinction was made between CNAs and RNs, they were put together as ‘nursing staff.’ Furthermore, three of the five observers were RNs between 25 and 39 years of age, with up to 20 years of work experience.

Measures

The following background characteristics of the residents were extracted from the residents files: gender, age, mobility (mobile, wheelchair dependent or bedridden), functioning in ADL (measured by the Barthel index; BI), and cognitive functioning
(assessed by the Cognitive Performance Scale; CPS).\textsuperscript{29} The BI ranges from 0 to 20, with a lower score indicating increased disability,\textsuperscript{28} and the CPS ranges from 0 to 6 with a higher score indicating more severe cognitive impairment.\textsuperscript{29}

A self-developed observation list was used to register the daily (in)activity the resident was engaged in, and the role of nursing staff and others in the resident’s activity. The development of the observation list consisted of a pilot observation study, validity check, and adjustments to the list; more details about the development can be found elsewhere.\textsuperscript{7} The daily (in)activities residents could be engaged in were categorized into 1) inactivity, 2) ADL and IADL, and 3) communication and hobbies. When residents were engaged in daily activities, it did not mean that residents were physically active themselves, since their activities could be taken over.

ADL consisted of personal care (e.g., brushing teeth, combing one’s hair), going to the bathroom, eating and drinking (e.g., eating with hands or cutlery), mobility (e.g., walking, pushing a wheelchair, changing position), dressing (e.g., taking off one’s clothes), and bathing (e.g., having a shower, washing at the sink). IADL that can be relevant for nursing home residents in their wards included domestic activities (e.g., setting the table) and preparing food/pouring a drink (e.g., preparing a sandwich).

The role of nursing staff in residents’ ADL and IADL was categorized as ‘taking over the activity,’ ‘giving support,’ or ‘supervision.’ ‘Taking over the activity’ was registered when nursing staff performed the activity instead of the resident (e.g., a resident in a wheelchair was pushed by the nurse, or a resident was dressed by a nurse). ‘Giving support’ consisted of verbal support (e.g., giving instructions), and/or physical support (e.g., taking somebody by the arm). ‘Supervision’ meant that the nurse observed the resident’s activity and interfered when necessary (e.g., the nurse walked beside the resident and could intervene if the resident stumbled). These categories were based on a pilot observation study, in which residents’ activities and positions, and the kind of support residents received (no support, some support or a lot of support) were scored.

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with each of the three nurse observers who performed the observations. The nurse observers were asked about their experiences during the observations, their perceptions of the role of nursing staff, and their ideas for positively changing the role of nursing staff in residents’ daily activities.

\textit{Procedure}

Permission for the anonymous observations was provided by the management of each participating nursing home by signing a research declaration. The contact person within the nursing home provided information about the number of residents in each ward. Nursing staff in the wards were informed about the observations and completed the inventory of the background characteristics of each resident living in the ward.
The observations were conducted by one out of five observers (three nurses, one research assistant, and one researcher). Two of the nurse observers conducted the observations within the nursing home they were employed in. In order to prevent observation bias and to reach a high interrater reliability, all observers received a three and a half hours’ training program before starting, in which they received instructions for observations, practiced observations using video fragments, and discussed the definitions ‘taking over’, ‘support,’ and ‘supervision’ to reach consensus between the observers.

In each nursing home, the observations were performed during a 16 h period (between 7.00 a.m. and 11.00 p.m.), divided over two days. To provide an overview of the whole time period, the observations were divided into 5 time blocks. All wards within a nursing home were visited by an observer in a random sequence during each time block (randomization was carried out using http://www.randomizer.org). Theoretically, if all 723 residents were present in the wards during the five observation times, a total of 3615 observations could be conducted.

An observer walked around the ward and observed each resident present for one minute. The observer noted the main (in)activity the resident was engaged in during that minute. In addition, the role of nursing staff or others in the resident’s activity was recorded, namely, who was involved (nursing staff or others) and, in the case of nursing staff, what their role was (‘taking over the activity,’ ‘giving support,’ or ‘supervision’). If an observation did not fit within the predefined categories, the observer could describe the observation in the ‘comment column.’ The observer used a hand-held tablet, which was loaded with the observation list. The observation list was integrated into an Excel file and could be opened and adjusted during the observations using the e-Droid-cell Pro app. This file also provided information to the observer about the sequence of wards and observation times.

Data analysis

The data collected during the observations on the Excel files were transferred to SPSS (version 22, IBM, Armonk, NY). The residents’ background characteristics were compared between the psychogeriatric and somatic wards using the chi-square test for the dichotomous variable (gender), and independent t-tests for the continuous variables (age, BI, and CPS). Descriptive analyses of the anonymous observations were conducted for all wards and the five observation times together. To obtain insights into the role of nursing staff, percentages of the different types of roles were calculated. Additionally, a chi-square test was conducted to compare the role of nursing staff in residents’ ADL and IADL between the psychogeriatric and somatic wards. The interviews were recorded and transcribed afterward. The researcher summarized each interview and sent the summary to the nurse for a member check before analyzing the data.
To test the interrater reliability of the observers regarding their score of the role of nursing staff, an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated. Reliability was tested by the extent of agreement of a small sample of 85 observations (in nine wards) between two observers during their observations in the nursing home. The role was scored as 1: taking over; 2: support; 3: supervision; and 4: no involvement. The ICC (two-way mixed absolute agreement) was 0.865 for the role of nursing staff, indicating good agreement between the raters. This ICC was high enough to decide to continue with the observations in the nursing home and not test the reliability any further.

**Ethical considerations**

The study protocol was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee. During all observations, the privacy of the residents was taken into account. For instance, the bathroom doors were not opened by the observer. All data, including background characteristics, were collected anonymously at ward level, which meant that residents could not be followed over time and that residents’ characteristics could not be linked to the observations. Since the data were collected anonymously at ward level, the Medical Research Ethics Committee deemed exempt from individual consent of the nursing home residents. The three nurse observers signed an informed consent form for recording the interview.

**Results**

**Background characteristics of the residents**

Table 3.1 shows the background characteristics of the 723 nursing home residents living in the observed wards. In total, 68% of the residents were female. The nursing home residents living in psychogeriatric wards were, compared with the residents living in somatic wards, older, less severe dependent in ADL and more severe cognitive impaired. Furthermore, 61% of the residents in psychogeriatric ward were mobile, versus 27% of the residents in somatic wards. In total, 91% \((n = 3282)\) of the intended \((n = 3615)\) observations were completed, the observation was not conducted if a resident was not present in the ward. In the psychogeriatric wards, 93% of the intended observations were completed and 89% in the somatic wards. The maximum number of observed residents in each ward during the different observation moments showed that at least 717 of the 723 individual residents were observed.
Table 3.1 Background characteristics of nursing home residents living in psychogeriatric and somatic wards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age: mean ± SD</td>
<td>83.0 ± 8.9</td>
<td>85.2 ± 6.4</td>
<td>80.5 ± 10.6</td>
<td>&lt; .001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI: mean ± SD</td>
<td>7.6 ± 5.8</td>
<td>8.0 ± 6.2</td>
<td>7.1 ± 5.3</td>
<td>&lt; .001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI ≤ 9: n (%)</td>
<td>455 (63)</td>
<td>224 (58)</td>
<td>231 (68)</td>
<td>.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS: mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>&lt; .001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference (p<0.05) between residents living in psychogeriatric and somatic wards.

- BI = Barthel index, range BI: 0–20 (a lower score indicates increased disability, where a score ≤ 9 means severe need of help).
- CPS = cognitive performance scale, range CPS: 0–6 (a higher score indicates more severe cognitive impairment).

**Overall involvement in daily activities**

Nursing home residents were engaged in ADL and IADL during 31% (n = 1005) of all observations, and residents were observed as inactive during 57% of all observations. The flowchart in Figure 3.1 provides an overview of the observed (in)activities with and without involvement of nursing staff and others. The flowchart also shows the involvement of nursing staff and others in the residents’ ADL and IADL, and the role of nursing staff. A small number of involvement observations (n = 31, 1%) was missing, they were not recorded on the tablet by the observer.

In 11% of all observations, the involvement of nursing staff or others in the residents’ daily activities was noted. Activities in which involvement was noted were mainly ADL and IADL (78%). When no involvement was recorded, residents were generally observed as inactive (65%) (see Figure 3.1). Nursing staff (n = 235 observations) were four times more often involved in residents’ ADL and IADL than others, such as family and volunteers (n = 52 observations). Table 3.2 shows the observed involvement, which varied between the different ADL and IADL. Eating and drinking, and mobility, were most often observed without the involvement of nursing staff or others, respectively 76% (n = 209) and 78% (n = 374). Bathing, dressing, and going to the bathroom were most often observed with the involvement of nursing staff or others, respectively 88% (n = 35), 69% (n = 20), and 51% (n = 23).
Figure 3.1. Flowchart of the observed activities and involvement, including the number of observations and percentages. ADL = activities of daily living; IADL = instrumental activities of daily living.
Table 3.2 Observed involvement and the different roles of nursing staff in residents’ ADL and IADL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No involvement [n = 712]</th>
<th>Involvement of others [n = 52]</th>
<th>Involvement of nursing staff – and their roles [n = 235]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>Taking over n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal care</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going to the bathroom</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating and drinking</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dressing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IADL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic activities</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing food</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADL = activities of daily living; IADL = instrumental activities of daily living.

**The role of nursing staff in residents’ ADL and IADL**

When nursing staff were involved, they provided physical and/or verbal support in 51% (n = 119) of the observations, took over residents’ activities in 45% (n = 106), and provided supervision in 4% (n = 10). The nursing staff’s role differed per activity (see Table 3.2); they commonly took over activities involving preparing food/pouring a drink (83%, n = 5), personal care (61%, n = 17), and bathing (50%, n = 17), and provided physical and/or verbal support mostly when residents were going to the bathroom 74% (n = 17). Supervision of ADL and IADL was seldom observed. There were no statistically significant differences in the role of nursing staff in residents’ ADL and IADL between the psychogeriatric (n = 105) and somatic wards (n = 130). ‘Taking over’ was scored in 51% of the observations in the somatic wards and in 40% of the observations in the psychogeriatric wards (p=0.080). Nursing staff provided ‘support’ in 44% of the observations in the somatic wards, compared with 56% in the psychogeriatric wards (p=0.060). ‘Supervision’ was observed in 5% of all observations in the somatic wards and in 4% of the observations in the psychogeriatric wards (p=0.730).

**Experience of the nurse observers**

The nurse observers (n = 3), acknowledged the large amount of inactivity of nursing home residents. Further, the nurse observers who knew the residents mentioned that a large part of residents’ activities were unnecessarily taken over by the nursing staff:
residents could have performed the activities themselves (with support or supervision). Reasons for nursing staff to take over activities included time pressure, expectations, and lack of knowledge. The nurse observers found it most remarkable that the observations made them aware of the large amount of inactivity of among the nursing home residents and the amount of activities that were taken over by nursing staff. The nurses stated that, given the findings, a change is necessary, however, it will be hard and take time to change nursing staff behavior. Their ideas for improvement were obtaining insight into residents’ capacity and preferences, making appointments, pronouncing expectations, and being aware of their own behavior.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to explore the role of nursing staff in residents’ ADL and IADL. When nursing staff were involved in residents’ ADL or IADL, they provided verbal and/or physical support in 51% of the observations; in 45% they completely took over the residents’ activities. Supervision was rarely observed (4%). The observations created awareness among the nurse observers about the large amount of inactivity of nursing home residents and a large part of ADL and IADL were unnecessarily taken over by nursing staff.

Involvement of nursing staff and others was mainly observed in residents’ ADL and IADL, which was to be expected, since nursing home residents show a dependency in their functioning. Eating and drinking, and mobility, were frequently performed without involvement of nursing staff or others, whereas bathing was most often observed with involvement. This is in line with the hierarchical order of ADL decline; first, older people lose their ability to bathe independently, thereafter, they lose their independence in mobility, and at the last, older people lose their ability to eat independently.31,32

Nursing staff have a major role in increasing the activity levels of nursing home residents; however, this might be challenging. Nursing home care is a high demanding nursing practice area and the availability of nursing staff is limited. A Dutch report about staffing and quality of care indicates that, in nursing home wards of 30 residents, on average four direct care members are available, and about 1.5 staff members to support the nursing staff.33 Geriatric nurses are trained to perform in a complex care environment so that nursing home residents remain as independent as possible in this institutionalized long-term care setting. Without any doubt this complexity requires nursing staff awareness of the possibilities and possible complications in the process of encouraging activities. Nursing staff have various tasks, such as direct and indirect care, practical nursing tasks, support, logistics, administration, and communication.34,35 They need to switch rapidly between these tasks;36 and multi-tasking is part of the job.34 Nursing staff may experience a high workload;21,37 consequently, they may feel that
they do not have time to talk or listen to the residents. This perceived shortage of time and focus on their tasks could have led to more activities being taken over by nursing staff in the current study, instead of providing supervision or support. Nursing staff are mainly focused on the tasks they need to do (task-oriented) instead of focusing on the residents’ needs and preferences (person-centered). Nurses should ensure that residents receive optimal care based on the person’s abilities and nursing care needs. Therefore, nursing models that emphasize individualized person-centered care should be embraced. By applying such an individualized care approach; nursing staff create a stimulating living environment.

When reflecting upon the observations with the nurse observers, they pointed out that the observations made them aware of the large amount of inactivity, and that many activities that were taken over by nursing staff could have been performed by the residents themselves. This awareness seems to be essential in the process of behavioral change. An observational approach to become aware of the magnitude of the problem and the explicit role of the nurses may be an important aspect to achieve a change in the nursing staff behavior that can be included in intervention and training programs.

Encouraging residents to engage in daily activities is challenging, but essential since residents may show decreased initiation of activities. If nursing staff do not encourage residents in daily activities but take over these activities instead, the residents will become more care dependent. For example, losing mobility is associated with different care problems, such as incontinence and pressure ulcers. In addition, encouraging residents’ mobility is necessary since it gives residents a sense of freedom, choice and independence, and is therefore a key factor in their quality of life.

Nursing staff provide care in the residents’ direct environment and have the most contact with them; therefore, it is expected that they should encourage nursing home residents to carry out daily activities. Based on the results of this study, nursing staff are advised to change their behavior to encourage residents to become engaged in more activities, and to provide more supervision or support instead of taking over residents’ activities, in order to increase activity levels and to maintain the residents’ capacity and independence.

Despite the differences in cognitive and physical status between residents in psychogeriatric and somatic wards, the large amount of residents’ inactivity and the role of nursing staff in these wards are comparable. Therefore, in both wards interventions should be aimed at encouraging residents’ daily activities and independence. However, the differences in residents’ cognitive and physical status implicates that nursing staff should use a different approach in encouraging residents. Residents in psychogeriatric wards commonly have cognitive problems, and therefore might need demonstration of a certain activity with step-by-step support. Residents
living in somatic wards suffer from physical impairments, they often understand explanations, and therefore, might need more physical support, general instructions, and feedback during daily activities.

Nursing staff could decrease the large amount of inactivity by offering relevant activities during the day, tailored to residents’ capacity and preferences. To encourage nursing home residents it is important to avoid highly demanding activities and build a trusting relationship. Nursing staff should discuss activity preferences with the resident (and family) and could collaborate with the nursing home physician and the multidisciplinary team, including physiotherapists, occupational therapists, and recreational therapists. This encouragement of activities and independence, based on residents’ capacity and preference, fits into the cultural change that is ongoing from the medical model towards resident-directed care. Traditional nursing home wards shift to small scale wards with a homelike environment, for example in Green House homes. Nursing home residents living in these wards could be engaged in IADL activities, such as preparing food or setting the table.

To change nursing behavior, nursing staff need education on how to encourage residents in daily activities. Other important components of existing intervention studies that aim to change nursing behavior, such as function-focused care, are policy and coaching. Nursing staff should be supported by their management in the encouragement of activities and independence of nursing home residents. The management should underline the importance of activities and independence of resident and could facilitate training and coaching for nursing staff in the encouragement of residents.

**Limitations**

Some limitations of this study need to be addressed. Because of the anonymous observations, it is unknown which particular resident and nursing staff were observed; therefore, the resident’s characteristics could not be linked with the role of nursing staff. It is not known if the nursing staff were more often involved and had different roles in the activities of residents with a lower functional capacity, that is, residents who needed more support by the nursing staff. Furthermore, the characteristics of the involved nursing staff (and others) were not assessed. Hence, it is not possible to examine whether the characteristics of the nursing staff, for example, educational level or years of experience, were associated with the extent to which activities were taken over. Lastly, when residents were observed without the involvement of nursing staff or others, it remains unclear if there had been involvement before the observation. The residents possibly had been encouraged to perform that activity themselves, and as a consequence, no involvement was observed.
Conclusions

This study shows that, when involved, nursing staff took over almost half of residents’ ADL and IADL. Supervision of these activities, in which the nurse observed a resident and could interfere when necessary, was rarely observed. Nurses who conducted the observations reported that many activities were unnecessarily taken over; residents could have performed these themselves. It becomes imperative that nurses have to demonstrate competencies in understanding the residents’ needs and how to meet these care needs. This starts at nursing home admission from initial assessment through the evaluation of care that is planned. Encouraging residents’ daily activities and their independence in these activities should be a key role of nursing staff in order to decrease residents’ inactivity and functional decline. Future studies should provide tools to help nursing staff to encourage residents’ activities, and their independence in daily care.
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CHAPTER 4

Do nursing staff encourage functional activity among nursing home residents?
A cross-sectional study of nursing staff perceived behaviors and associated factors

This chapter was published as:
Abstract

Background: Nursing home residents are mainly inactive. Nursing staff can encourage residents to perform functional activities during daily care activities. This study examines 1) the extent to which nursing staff perceive that they encourage functional activity in nursing home residents and 2) the associations between these nursing behaviors and professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 368 registered nurses and certified nurse assistants, working in somatic and psychogeriatric wards of forty-one nursing homes throughout the Netherlands participated. Self-reported data were collected with a questionnaire, comprising the MAINtAIN-behaviors, which assesses the extent to which nursing staff encourage functional activities, including different activities of daily living (ADL), household activities, and miscellaneous encouraging activities (e.g., discouraging informal caregivers from taking over activities residents can do themselves). Additional data collected included professional characteristics (e.g., age), contextual factors (e.g., ward type), and information-seeking behaviors (e.g., reading professional journals). Descriptive statistics were used to determine the extent to which functional activities were encouraged. Hierarchical linear regression analyses were performed to determine the associations between the encouragement of functional activities and other factors.

Results: Nursing staff perceived that household activities (mean 4.1 [scale range 1–9], SD 1.9) were less often encouraged than ADL (mean 6.9, SD 1.2) or miscellaneous activities (mean 6.7, SD 1.5). The percentage of nursing staff stating that different household activities, ADL, or miscellaneous activities were almost always encouraged ranged from 11% to 45%, 41% to 86%, and 50% to 83% per activity, respectively. The extent to which these activities were encouraged differed for some of the professional characteristics, contextual factors, or information-seeking behaviors, but no consistent pattern in associations emerged.

Conclusions: According to nursing staff, household activities are not as often encouraged as ADL or miscellaneous activities. Professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors are not consistently associated with the encouragement of functional activity. Nursing staff should also focus on improving the encouragement of household activities. Future research could examine the role of other factors in encouraging functional activity, such as experienced barriers, and assess to what extent the perception of nursing staff corresponds with their actual behavior.
ENCOURAGEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL ACTIVITY AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS

Background

The importance of encouraging functional activity among nursing home residents is widely recognized. Research shows that being active and performing functional activities is associated with less anxiety, less disruptive behavior, higher self-esteem, and a higher quality of life in nursing home residents. In the United States, federal regulations require the provision of care to maintain the highest level of function among nursing home residents. In the Netherlands, the Health Care Inspectorate emphasizes that nursing homes need to provide care that stimulates activity among residents, encouraging them to be active and perform functional activities on their own, instead of nursing staff taking over activities. Encouragement could take place during activities of daily living (ADL) or during household activities, but also, for example, by discussing with the residents themselves which activities they previously conducted and motivating them to keep on performing them.

Promoting functional activity among residents is not only an opportunity nursing staff have, but also an important part of their job. In the past, nursing homes were mainly organized according to a medical model, in which nursing staff focused on taking care of the physical needs of residents. Currently, the autonomy of residents is crucial and more nursing homes strive to provide homelike environments, in which nursing staff encourage residents to continue their previous activities, including functional ones, as much as possible. In spite of this, research has shown that residents are largely inactive. Residents’ participation in, for example, household activities is low. Nursing staff may be able to play a more substantial role in encouraging functional activities.

There is a lack of research regarding the extent to which nursing staff stimulate residents to be active. In addition, it is unknown how this encouraging behavior varies. Research regarding the use of evidence-based or best practices in nursing care indicates that different factors come into play, including professional characteristics of the nursing staff, such as age, educational level, or years of professional experience, and contextual circumstances, such as staff mix or ward type. In addition, studies have shown that the information-seeking behavior (for example, reading professional journals) of nursing staff may be associated with the use of evidence-based practices.

It is not known how professional characteristics, contextual factors, or information-seeking behaviors are associated with the extent to which nursing staff encourage functional activity among nursing home residents. Therefore, we have conducted a cross-sectional study with a twofold purpose: first, to examine the extent to which nursing staff in the Netherlands perceive that they encourage functional activity in nursing home residents; and, second, to examine the association between these
perceptions and various professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors of nursing staff.

Methods

Context: Nursing homes in the Netherlands

In nursing homes in the Netherlands, a distinction is made between residents with chronic physical problems, who live in somatic wards, and residents with psychogeriatric problems, such as dementia, who live in psychogeriatric wards. Dutch nursing homes provide more complex continuing care and monitoring compared with residential care homes. The meals are often taken in the wards and in many nursing homes small kitchen facilities are available in the ward, for example to prepare breakfast. The majority of the workforce in Dutch nursing homes are certified nurse assistants (CNAs) who receive three years of secondary-vocational training. In addition, care is provided by vocationally-trained or bachelor-educated registered nurses (RNs) who receive four years of training. Nursing homes are primarily non-profit organizations that are united in Actiz, the Dutch organization of healthcare providers. In contrast to some other countries, in the Netherlands there are no national databases comprising detailed information on all nursing homes (such as resident characteristics, or the number or type of staff).

Design and sample

A cross-sectional study was conducted among nursing staff of nursing homes in the Netherlands. From a list of nursing homes provided by Actiz, a random proportionate sample of 100 nursing homes was drawn by author NOK using the sampling procedure from IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Nursing homes were stratified according to five regions in the Netherlands (north, east, south, west, and central) and from each region a number of random nursing homes was drawn, proportionate to the total number of nursing homes in that region. Next, to warrant the exclusion of care homes with a single small nursing home ward, author NOK verified by telephone if the 100 selected nursing homes provided care to at least 25 somatic and/or 25 psychogeriatric nursing home residents. Twenty-five facilities were excluded because they did not meet this criterion and one nursing home no longer existed at the time of recruitment. Of the remaining 74 nursing homes, 46 agreed to participate (see Figure 4.1 for a flowchart). In this sample, nursing homes from all regions were represented, they were distributed largely according to the proportionate sample that was drawn; 11% of the nursing homes were situated in the north, 9% in the
east, 39% in the south, 37% in the west, and 4% in the central region of the Netherlands.

Based on practical considerations, nursing homes with both somatic and psychogeriatric wards were asked to administer 16 questionnaires among the nursing staff (eight from each ward type); nursing homes with only one of these ward types were asked to administer ten questionnaires among the nursing staff. In total, 622 questionnaires were administered.

Nursing staff were eligible if they were RNs or CNAs. Nursing staff working exclusively on night shifts and nursing staff who did not have a contract for at least 12 h per week were excluded from this study because of their limited opportunities to encourage functional activities.

CNA = certified nurse assistant; NH = nursing home; RN = registered nurse.

Figure 4.1. Flowchart of the study sample.
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Data collection

In each participating nursing home a local contact person was asked to distribute the
questionnaires among eligible nursing staff, these nursing staff did not have to work on
the same ward. The contact persons would collect and return the anonymously-
completed questionnaires to the research team within two weeks. If the research team
had not received the questionnaires within three weeks, they would either telephone or
send an email reminder to the contact person. All data were collected in January and
February 2014.

Measures

Encouragement of functional activities (dependent variables)

The MAastricht Nurses Activities INventory-behaviors (MAINtAIN-behaviors)
questionnaire was used to measure the extent to which nursing staff perceive that
they encourage residents to perform functional activities. The MAINtAIN-behaviors was
developed using a comprehensive method in which its usability and content validity
were established in a study involving experts, nursing staff, residents and other nursing
home professionals. The MAINtAIN-behaviors comprises three subscales assessing the
degree to which nursing staff perceive they encourage residents to perform various
types of activities: first, an 8-item subscale for encouraging ADL, for example,
counting independent bathing or showering; second, a 6-item subscale for
couraging household activities, such as encouraging setting and clearing the table;
third, a 5-item subscale for miscellaneous encouraging activities, such as promoting
participation in organized activities, discussing and maintaining previous activities,
counting informal caregivers not to take over activities, discussing the residents’
preferred activities, and encouraging activity as part of the residents’ care plan. For
each item of the MAINtAIN-behaviors, respondents could rate to what extent a certain
activity was encouraged in their ward (‘in my ward, we encourage...’). Answer options
ranged from ‘1 = never’ to ‘9 = always.’ Internal consistency for the subscales, using
Cronbach’s alpha, in the present study was 0.83 for the ADL subscale, 0.79 for the
household activities subscale, and 0.77 for the miscellaneous activities subscale.

Professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors
(independent variables)

Based on literature several professional characteristics, contextual factors, and
information-seeking behaviors were selected. The professional characteristics
comprised gender, age (≤ 35 years, > 35 years ≤ 50, > 50 years), profession (CNA or RN),
years of professional experience in the care for older persons (≤ 10 years, > 10 years ≤
20, > 20 years), and number of work hours per week (≥ 12 h per week ≤ 26, > 26 h per
week < 32, ≥ 32 h per week). The contextual factors consisted of ward type
(psychogeriatric or somatic), and staff mix (proportion of RNs in the ward, i.e., the number of RNs divided by the total number of RNs and CNAs that worked in the ward, according to the respondent).

The information-seeking behaviors included how often respondents referred to specific information sources on care problems (websites, Dutch professional journals, English-language journals, guidelines, colleagues, and experts) or how often they attended specific activities to keep their professional skills and knowledge up-to-date (conferences, courses within their organization, courses outside of their organization, clinical courses in the ward, and reading groups). The behaviors were assessed using single-item questions that were developed for this study. First, it was assessed how often respondents used specific information sources in the past three months. Second, respondents indicated how often they attended professional development activities in the past 12 months. After recoding, the answer categories for each source of information or activity comprised ‘never’ or ‘≥1 time’ in the past three or 12 months.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to determine percentages for the categorical variables. Mean scores and standard deviations were determined for each subscale of the MAINTAIN-behaviors. For each subscale, missing values on the items were imputed with the respondent’s average score for the other items, if at least 75% of the items of that subscale had been completed. Missing values for the ADL, household, and miscellaneous subscales were imputed for a total of 4.9%, 2.4%, and 1.9% of the respondents, respectively.

Mean scores of the three subscales were compared by conducting paired-samples t-tests, with a Bonferroni correction to account for multiple testing. Additional analyses were performed to provide an overview of the extent to which respondents encouraged activity among residents. For these analyses, the answer options of the MAINTAIN-behaviors items were categorized into ‘(almost) never’ (score 1–3), ‘sometimes’ (score 4–6), and ‘(almost) always’ (score 7–9).

For each independent variable (professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors) mean scores and standard deviations of the three MAINTAIN-behaviors subscales were calculated. Hierarchical linear regression analyses (random intercept) were performed to determine the association between each independent variable and each subscale of the MAINTAIN-behaviors (possible range 1–9). In each model one independent variable was used, no additional variables were added to these models. In order to account for the hierarchical structure of the data, nursing staff (level one) were grouped by nursing home (level two). For all models, estimated marginal means, standard errors, p-values, and intraclass correlation
coefficients (ICCs) were determined. For the independent variables with three categories (i.e., age, professional experience, and work hours per week), each category was used as a reference for the other two categories in the analyses (i.e., the first category was compared with the second category, the second category was compared with the last category and the last category was compared with the first category). $P$-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Sensitivity analyses were conducted by imputing missing values on the items of the ADL, household, and miscellaneous subscales with 1 and with 9 instead of the respondent’s average score of the other items within that scale. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

Results

Sample characteristics

A total of 448 respondents from 42 nursing homes completed the MAINTAIN-behaviors (response rate 72%; range per nursing home 50–100%), but 80 questionnaires had to be excluded, because the respondents did not meet the inclusion criteria (see Figure 4.1). The 368 eligible respondents represented 41 nursing homes (sample characteristics are displayed in Table 4.1); 275 (75%) of them were CNAs and 231 (63%) worked in a psychogeriatric ward. Information-seeking behaviors varied, e.g., 5% had searched for information in an English-language journal in the past three months, whereas 99% had consulted a colleague.

Encouragement of functional activities

Table 4.2 displays the perceived encouragement of functional activities. The mean scores for the ADL subscale, the household activities subscale, and the miscellaneous activities subscale were 6.9 (SD 1.2), 4.1 (SD 1.9), and 6.7 (SD 1.5), respectively, out of a theoretical range from 1 to 9. These mean subscale scores differed significantly from each other ($p<0.001$ for all comparisons after Bonferroni correction). More than half of the respondents (66–86%) stated that ADL were (almost) always encouraged, but the need for assistive devices for independent dressing was not always discussed (41%). Less than half of the respondents reported that household activities were (almost) always encouraged (ranging from 11% for folding or putting away clothes to 45% for preparing sandwiches). Regarding miscellaneous encouraging activities, the majority of the respondents (50–83%) indicated that all activities were (almost) always performed. For example, according to 83% of the respondents, residents were (almost) always encouraged to participate in organized activities, such as wheelchair dancing.
Table 4.1. Sample characteristics (N = 368*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional characteristics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>(94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 35 years</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>(33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 35 years ≤ 50</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50 years</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>(27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession/educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNA</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>(75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 10 years</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10 years ≤ 20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20 years</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work hours per week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 12 hours per week ≤ 26</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 26 hours per week &lt; 32</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 32 hours per week</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>(47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contextual factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychogeriatric ward</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>(63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic ward</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff mix: proportion of RNs in the ward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 0.11</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>(49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.11</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>(51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information-seeking behaviors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past three months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading on websites</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Dutch professional journals</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>(49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading English-language journals</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading guidelines</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>(96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting a colleague</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>(99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting an expert</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>(75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a conference</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a course within the organization</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>(91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a course outside the organization</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating in a clinical course in the ward</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>(70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating in a reading group regarding care</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNA = certified nurse assistant; RN = vocationally-trained or bachelor-educated registered nurse.

*N does not always add up to 368 due to missing data.
Table 4.2. Perceived encouragement of ADL, household activities, and miscellaneous activities: means and item-scores per subscale (N = 368*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscales</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household activities</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous activities</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items ADL subscale</th>
<th>(Almost)</th>
<th>(Almost)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Almost)</td>
<td>never</td>
<td>sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closely follow independent ADL performance</td>
<td>14 (4)</td>
<td>109 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage independent performance of ADLs</td>
<td>11 (3)</td>
<td>92 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss assistive devices for eating</td>
<td>31 (8)</td>
<td>93 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliment residents on dressing and undressing</td>
<td>11 (3)</td>
<td>58 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss assistive devices for independent dressing</td>
<td>89 (24)</td>
<td>126 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closely follow independent movement</td>
<td>1 (&lt;1)</td>
<td>61 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage independent movement</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>46 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide assistive devices for bathing</td>
<td>25 (7)</td>
<td>89 (24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items household activities subscale</th>
<th>(Almost)</th>
<th>(Almost)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Almost)</td>
<td>never</td>
<td>sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare sandwiches</td>
<td>51 (14)</td>
<td>149 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage setting and clearing the table</td>
<td>89 (24)</td>
<td>120 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make the beds</td>
<td>204 (56)</td>
<td>94 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage folding or putting away clothes</td>
<td>222 (61)</td>
<td>104 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage light household activities</td>
<td>175 (48)</td>
<td>108 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss with residents household chores they can help with</td>
<td>166 (45)</td>
<td>116 (32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items miscellaneous activities subscale</th>
<th>(Almost)</th>
<th>(Almost)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Almost)</td>
<td>never</td>
<td>sometimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage participation in organized activities</td>
<td>8 (2)</td>
<td>56 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss and maintain the residents’ previous activities</td>
<td>23 (6)</td>
<td>100 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage family/informal caregivers to only help residents when they cannot do something themselves</td>
<td>47 (13)</td>
<td>135 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging physical activity is part of care plan</td>
<td>35 (10)</td>
<td>98 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss preferred activities</td>
<td>60 (16)</td>
<td>105 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADL = activities of daily living.
*N does not always add up to 368 due to missing data. Answers scored on the 9-point scale were categorized into ‘(almost) never’ (scores 1-2-3), ‘sometimes’ (4-5-6) and ‘(almost) always’ (7-8-9).
Mean subscale scores are calculated based on the means of the original 9-point scale scores of all the items within that subscale; the scores can range from 1 (never encouraged) to 9 (always encouraged).
Table 4.3. Mean encouragement of ADL, household activities and miscellaneous activities per professional characteristic and contextual factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional characteristics</th>
<th>ADL subscale Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Household activities subscale Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Miscellaneous activities subscale Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤35 years</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35 years ≤50</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50 years</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profession/educational level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNA</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤10 years</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 years ≤20</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20 years</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work hours per week</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12 hours per week ≤26</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;26 hours per week &lt;32</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥32 hours per week</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contextual factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychogeriatric ward</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic ward</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff mix: proportion of nurses in the ward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤0.11</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.11</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNA = certified nurse assistant; RN = vocationally-trained or bachelor-educated registered nurse.

a Unadjusted means are presented, these are similar to the estimated marginal means resulting from the hierarchical linear regression analyses (random intercept; level 1 - nursing staff, level 2 - nursing home) between each independent variable and each subscale of the MAINtAIN-behaviors (range 1–9). Indicated statistical significant differences (p<0.05) are based on these analyses. No additional variables were added to the models. ICCs range from 0.06–0.10, 0.16–0.19 and 0.02–0.05 for the models with the outcome measure ADL, household activities and miscellaneous activities, respectively. b For variables with three categories, each category was used as a reference for the other two. Because of these variables and to increase the comprehensibility of the table, no p-values are presented. c Statistical significant differences between age ‘≤35 years’ and ‘>35 years ≤50’. d Statistical significant difference between working ‘>26 hours per week <32’ and ‘≥32 hours per week’. e Statistical significant difference between psychogeriatric ward and somatic ward. Due to missing data, sample size for each analysis varies from 311 to 366.
Table 4.4. Encouragement of ADL, household activities and miscellaneous activities; means and associations per information seeking behavior.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADL subscale</th>
<th>Household activities subscale</th>
<th>Miscellaneous activities subscale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading on websites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.0* ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.5* ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Dutch professional journals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading English-language journals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading guidelines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>6.7 ± .8</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting a colleague</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting an expert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past 3 months</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ENCOURAGEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL ACTIVITY AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ADL subscale</th>
<th>Household activities subscale</th>
<th>Miscellaneous activities subscale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean(^a)</td>
<td>± SD</td>
<td>(p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a conference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past year</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>± 1.2</td>
<td>.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past year</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>± 1.2</td>
<td>.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a course within the organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past year</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>± 1.4</td>
<td>.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past year</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>± 1.2</td>
<td>.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending a course outside the organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past year</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>± 1.1</td>
<td>.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past year</td>
<td>6.8*</td>
<td>± 1.3</td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating in a clinical course in the ward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past year</td>
<td>6.8*</td>
<td>± 1.2</td>
<td>.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past year</td>
<td>7.1*</td>
<td>± 1.2</td>
<td>.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating in a reading group regarding care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1x past year</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>± 1.2</td>
<td>.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1x past year</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>± 0.9</td>
<td>.216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Unadjusted means are presented, these are similar to the estimated marginal means resulting from the hierarchical linear regression analyses. *Statistically significant difference (\(p<0.05\)).

Associations between each information-seeking behavior and each subscale of the MAINtAIN-behaviors (range 1–9) were determined using hierarchical linear regression analyses (random intercept; level 1 - nursing staff, level 2 - nursing home), \(p\)-values presented are based on these analyses. No additional variables were added to the models. ICCs range from 0.06–0.09, 0.16–0.19, and 0.03–0.05 for the models with the outcome measure ADL, household activities, and miscellaneous activities, respectively. Due to missing data, sample size for each analysis varies from 314 to 364.
CHAPTER 4

Factors associated with the perceived encouragement of functional activity

Table 4.3 shows the unadjusted mean scores for the ADL activities subscale, for the household activities subscale, and for the miscellaneous activities subscale per professional characteristic and contextual factor. These scores are similar to the estimated marginal means that resulted from the hierarchical linear regression analyses, therefore, only the unadjusted means are presented. The largest difference in the perceived encouragement of activities, in particular household activities, was between respondents working in different ward types. The hierarchical linear regression analyses showed that respondents working in psychogeriatric wards reported significantly more often that household activities were encouraged compared with respondents working in somatic wards (p<0.001, mean score 4.8, SD 1.6 and 3.7, SD 1.6, respectively). The perceived encouragement of miscellaneous activities also differed significantly between respondents from psychogeriatric and from somatic wards, but the difference was smaller (p=0.001, mean score 6.9, SD 1.4 and 6.4, SD 1.4, respectively). As Table 4.3 shows, the only other professional characteristics or contextual factors significantly associated with the encouragement of activities were age and work hours per week (associated with the subscale of miscellaneous activities).

Table 4.4 presents the unadjusted mean scores for the functional activity subscales for each information-seeking behavior. Again, these mean scores were similar to the estimated marginal means resulting from the hierarchical linear regression analyses. On the whole, few of the information-seeking behaviors were significantly associated with the encouragement of functional activities, most of the associations found were with the encouragement of household activities. The hierarchical linear regression analyses revealed that respondents who searched on websites, attended conferences, participated in clinical courses in the ward, or in reading groups regarding care reported significantly more encouragement of household activities in their wards.

Sensitivity analyses in which missing values on the functional activity subscales were imputed with either ‘one’ or ‘nine’ showed similar results for the analyses with the professional characteristics and contextual factors, as well as for the analyses with the information-seeking behaviors.

Discussion

This study showed that, according to nursing staff, household activities are not as often encouraged among residents as ADL or miscellaneous activities are. Some professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors were associated with the perceived encouragement of functional activity. However, no consistent pattern has emerged.
Although no previous research has analyzed the extent to which functional activities are encouraged by nursing staff, there are studies looking into the behavior of residents. These show that residents are largely inactive and rarely participate in household activities. For example, in an observation study among residents of seven nursing homes in the south of the Netherlands, Den Ouden et al. showed that residents were engaged in household activities, but only in less than 3% of their observations. This percentage is lower than one would expect, given the results of the present study, in which 39% to 86% of the nursing staff stated that certain household activities were encouraged - at least sometimes. The differences between the two studies are quite large and may be explained by the different concepts measured, i.e., perceptions of nursing staff versus behavior by residents, and by the difference in the respective samples, i.e., randomly selected nursing homes throughout the Netherlands versus a convenience sample of nursing homes in the south of the Netherlands. Another explanation may be that encouragement by nursing staff does not always result in increased functional activity among residents.

The finding that household activities were not often encouraged, compared with ADL, or miscellaneous activities, contrasts with the culture change that is currently taking place in many nursing homes across the world. Nowadays, many nursing homes strive to maintain the meaningful activities residents previously conducted, including household activities. In some nursing homes, it is expected that nursing staff prepare dinner together with residents. In the Netherlands, this care philosophy particularly occurs in (small-scale) psychogeriatric wards. Indeed, in the present study, nursing staff from psychogeriatric wards stated significantly more often that household activities were encouraged, compared with nursing staff from somatic wards.

In this study, few associations were found between professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors, and the perception whether or not functional activities were encouraged. Associations that were found were inconsistent; factors that were associated with the perceived encouragement of household activities were not associated with the perceived encouragement of ADL. Furthermore, factors that were significantly associated with this perceived encouragement reflected relatively small differences. The different kinds of measures that were used in this study may explain the inconsistent findings. Encouraging functional activities refers to specific behaviors (i.e., specific daily activities), while the information-seeking behaviors were measured on a more general level. For example, respondents were asked if they attended courses in general, they were not asked if they attended courses focused on the encouragement of functional activities. Searching for information in a specific area does not necessarily imply knowledgeability about the encouragement of functional activities. In addition, although we carefully selected our independent variables drawing on previous studies, it might be that the professional characteristics, contextual factors, or information-seeking behaviors used are not the
most important factors for encouraging functional activity. Perhaps more closely-related factors, specific barriers or facilitators, such as the perceived capabilities of residents, support of colleagues, or the availability of domestic facilities in the wards determine whether or not functional activities are encouraged.

The findings of this study indicate that nursing staff prefer sources that allow interaction, such as colleagues or clinical lessons, over traditional sources of knowledge, such as journals. This is in accordance with previous research investigating the knowledge sources of nursing staff. Given the relatively low educational level of nursing staff in nursing homes, it is not surprising that only few nurses in this study actively searched for written information. However, to warrant the quality of care in nursing homes, it is important that evidence-based or best practices reach the nursing staff. To ensure that nursing staff encourage functional activity, nursing homes need to use strategies that meet the preferences and competences of their nursing staff. Changing nursing behavior may be best done by using interactive strategies. To achieve this, it is essential that people with the appropriate knowledge and skills are available in the nursing home.

For this study, a proportionate random sample of nursing homes was drawn from different regions within the Netherlands, resulting in a, from a national perspective, large sample representing nursing home staff throughout the country. This is one of the few studies in the Netherlands that involved so many nursing homes. Most of the nursing homes that agreed to participate in this study were situated in the south of the Netherlands and least in the central region; their distribution was largely similar to the proportionate random sample that was drawn. The results of this study can be used as a reference for other researchers or nursing homes that want to use the MAINTAIN-behaviors to measure the extent to which nursing staff perceive to encourage functional activities. However, the population in the present study might not be entirely representative for nursing home staff in other countries. The majority of the nursing staff participating in this study were CNAs, who are comparable to the licensed practical nurses in the United States. Dutch CNAs receive a three-year secondary-vocational training. In contrast, for example, in the United States the majority of the nursing home staff are nursing assistants who receive a minimal training of 75 h.

Limitations

The present study has a cross-sectional design; therefore, no causal relationships could be assessed. In addition, the aim of this study was to assess the extent to which nursing staff perceive that they encourage functional activity; therefore it assessed nursing staff perceptions, which may not necessarily be the same as the extent to which they actually encourage functional activity. Furthermore, respondents were asked to reflect upon their ward (‘in my ward, we encourage...’), which might not always completely
correspond to their own personal behavior. For a more objective perspective, observations could be conducted regarding the extent to which nursing staff encourage functional activities. Moreover, other contextual factors, such as the availability of specific domestic facilities, could also have been included in this study.

**Implications for research and practice**

The present study examined the association between professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors, and the perceived encouragement of functional activity. Future studies could consider factors that are possibly more closely-linked to the encouragement of functional activities, for example specific barriers or facilitators nursing staff perceive towards encouraging functional activity (e.g., capabilities of residents, self-efficacy of nursing staff, support of colleagues, or time constraints\(^{17,26,27}\)). Furthermore, future studies could examine how the perception of nursing staff corresponds with their actual behavior, and if increased encouragement by nursing staff leads to improved functional activity among residents.

This study showed that household activities were less often encouraged than other activities, according to the nursing staff. Performing household activities is associated with a higher quality of life among nursing home residents\(^3\). Here lies an opportunity for nursing homes; nursing homes could focus on improving the extent to which household activities are encouraged and nursing staff should be aware of the importance of these kinds of activities.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this study show that, according to the nursing staff, most household activities are not often encouraged by a large proportion of the nursing home staff. ADL and miscellaneous activities are more often perceived to be encouraged. Professional characteristics, contextual factors, and information-seeking behaviors are not consistently associated with the encouragement of functional activity. Future studies aimed at improving the encouragement of functional activity could focus on the encouragement of household activities, the association between perceptions and actual behavior of nursing staff, and potential barriers and facilitators for encouraging residents to participate in functional activities. Furthermore, studies providing insight into whether or not encouragement of functional activity by nursing staff leads to improved functional activity among nursing home residents are necessary.
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CHAPTER 5

Feasibility of DAIly NURSE: a nursing intervention to change nursing staff behavior towards encouraging residents’ daily activities and independence in the nursing home

This chapter was submitted for publication as: den Ouden M, Zwakhalen SMG, Meijers JMM, Bleijlevens MHC, Hamers JPH. Feasibility of DAIly NURSE: a nursing intervention to change nursing staff behavior towards encouraging residents’ daily activities and independence in the nursing home.
CHAPTER 7

General discussion
The overall objective of this dissertation was to develop a nursing intervention to change nursing behavior in encouraging the daily activities and independence of nursing home residents, and to evaluate the impact of this nursing intervention on both nursing staff and residents. In the view of this objective, this dissertation purposed:

1. to provide insight into the daily activities of nursing home residents;
2. to provide insight into the role of nursing staff in the daily activities of nursing home residents;
3. to provide insight into the extent to which nursing staff in the Netherlands perceive to encourage the activities of residents;
4. to develop and test a feasible nursing intervention to change nursing staff behavior towards encouraging nursing home residents in their daily activities and independence;
5. to evaluate the impact of this nursing intervention on both nursing staff behavior and the daily activities and independence of nursing home residents.

In this final chapter, the main findings of this dissertation will be summarized and discussed. There is critical reflection on some methodological and theoretical considerations. This chapter ends with the implications of the findings for research and practice.

**Main findings**

Nursing home residents were mainly inactive, spending the day on their ward in a sedentary position, watching TV, sleeping or doing nothing. Nursing home residents were rarely engaged in IADL activities. Insight into the role of nursing staff in residents’ daily activities (ADL and IADL) showed that nursing staff took over almost half of these activities. According to the nurses who conducted the observations on their own ward, many activities were taken over unnecessarily by nursing staff. In contrast with these findings, nursing staff perceived themselves as encouraging residents to perform ADL activities often, although, household activities were perceived as less often encouraged.

The nursing intervention DAlly NURSE was developed based on both these findings and a literature search, in close collaboration with nursing home professionals. DAlly NURSE is an acronym for Daily Activities and Independence by NURsing Staff Encouragement. It aims to change nursing behavior towards encouraging the activities and independence of nursing home residents, by creating awareness of nursing behavior. DAlly NURSE consists of the components education, coaching-on-the-job, and policy. The feasibility study showed that DAlly NURSE was feasible in nursing home practice according to the satisfied nursing home staff. Evaluation of the impact showed that although DAlly
NURSE increased the engagement of nursing home residents in daily activities during breakfast and the context of the breakfast period, it did not affect the dependency levels of nursing home residents. Although no change was observed in the role of nursing staff, nursing staff perceived to encourage ADL and household activities more often after the intervention.

Methodological considerations

Methodological considerations regarding this dissertation will be discussed in this section. These considerations concern the complexity of research in the nursing home setting, the measurement of physical activity among nursing home residents, and the measurement of nursing behavior according to the encouragement of resident activities and independence.

Complexity of research in the nursing home setting

Developing, evaluating and implementing evidence-based interventions in the nursing home setting is challenging. The nursing home population is complex since residents are frail and suffer from heterogeneous health problems and multi-morbidities. Due to the ageing in place policy changes older adults live at home as long as possible and when admitted to a nursing home, nursing staff provide highly demanding complex care. Nursing staff are expected to focus on complex care cases, use technical innovations (such as electronic monitoring systems), work interdisciplinarily, should deliver person-centered care, and focus on the increasing expectations of the residents and their informal caregivers, but the educational levels of nursing staff are generally low in the nursing home setting. These factors of complex care demands, provided by lower-educated nursing staff with the involvement of informal caregivers, make nursing homes a complex setting to conduct research.

Interventions should be tailored to the daily care practice of this complex setting, and therefore interventions in these settings consist of different but related components (multifactorial), different target groups and several outcomes, which make the interventions complex according to the MRC framework. The context affects the implementation of interventions, and also affected the results of this study. Interventions are most effective if they can be tailored to the context of the setting rather than being completely standardized. Tailoring to the context helps to address specific barriers and support the implementation of the intervention specified for that situation. When tailoring is in practice, interventions are more feasible and more likely to be implemented in the nursing home practice. Nevertheless, tailoring makes it harder to measure the effectiveness of interventions when compared to entirely
standardized interventions. Evaluating an intervention should be undertaken with both quantitative outcomes, to measure changes over time, and qualitative outcomes to describe the context. To obtain insight into the implementation of the intervention, possible success factors of the intervention, and to understand the relationship between the elements of the intervention and the outcomes, a process evaluation could unravel the black box.  

DAIly NURSE was developed in the complex nursing home setting. Nursing home staff were involved in the development of the intervention to tailor DAIly NURSE into daily care practice and to make the intervention as feasible as possible. DAIly NURSE was tested as to its feasibility in daily care practice according to the process evaluation of Saunders et al. 8 and adjustments were made to the content of the components of DAIly NURSE based on the recommendations of nursing staff. DAIly NURSE is a complex intervention: it consists of three related components (education, coaching-on-the-job and policy), it targets different groups (care organization, nursing home staff, and residents) and has a number of outcomes (nursing behavior, resident activities and resident independence). DAIly NURSE considers the context (using the MAINtAIN-questionnaire to obtain insight into perceived behavior and barriers), and uses different strategies based on the barriers nursing staff experience to tailor the implementation plan to the context. 9,10 In order to tailor the intervention to the setting, DAIly NURSE should be implemented by the nursing home staff of the care organization, for example the expert nurse providing workshops should be employed in the organization. DAIly NURSE was tested in a quasi-experimental study using both quantitative and qualitative outcome measures to obtain insight into changes over time as well as contextual factors.

Measuring physical activity of nursing home residents

Physical activity includes all movements made, from chewing to running, and it is thus difficult to measure all aspects of physical activity. 11 This dissertation focused on the daily activities of nursing home residents since these activities contribute to the maintenance of functioning and quality of life. 12-17 Different instruments can be used to measure this; observations, questionnaires (diaries), or accelerometers. 11,18 In this dissertation a variety of instruments were used to measure the physical activity levels of residents. (A combination of) measurement instrument(s) should be chosen, depending on the aim of the study, and taking into consideration the population, type of data, contextual factors, time and costs, and limitations of the instruments. 19

Observations in the context of this dissertation obtain detailed insight into the physical activity of nursing home residents, since they provide information about the kind of daily activity in which residents were engaged. Observations can be conducted directly in real time by an independent observer in the same room as the study participant, or...
by using videos recordings of specific periods and conducting indirect observations afterwards using an independent observer. When measuring physical activity, you can follow a resident over time, or you can choose to take ‘snapshots’ during the day or during a specific period, depending on the aim of your study. In this dissertation, real time observations were used to obtain insight into the daily (in)activities of nursing home residents during the day (Chapter 2), and video recordings were used to observe the impact of DAIlly NURSE on the daily activities and dependency levels of the residents during breakfast in the living room (Chapter 6). The advantages of video recording were the ability to observe more than one resident at the same time, and to observe interactions and the context. The observers could also be blinded, and the videos could be used for input in the workshops. The main disadvantage of real time and video-recorded observation is it that they are time consuming. The possible influence of the observer or the camera on the physical activity of the residents should be taken into account, however, the effect of cameras might be smaller since the cameras were placed on cupboards and were often not seen or easily forgotten after a while.

Questionnaires can be used to subjectively measure different aspects of physical activity, such as type of activities, duration and intensity. Questionnaires (self-reported or filled in by proxies) are practical and well accepted instruments with low cost, however, within the study population of nursing home residents, self-reported questionnaires and diaries are difficult for both the residents to use themselves (e.g. due to cognitive impairment), and also for proxies such as nursing staff, who might forget to complete the diaries for residents due to the high workload that nursing staff experience and the various tasks they have. The problem with questionnaires, and with diaries in particular, is recall bias; it is difficult to remember low levels of physical activity with precision, and these are the most common in nursing home residents. Questionnaires are also often not sensitive enough for low levels of activity (such as walking or daily activities) and the validation of questionnaires is inconsistent, which makes it difficult to interpret the results. In this dissertation, the Barthel Index was used as a secondary outcome to measure the physical functioning of the nursing home residents. The questionnaire consists of 10 activities divided into 2-4 robust categories of independency. This questionnaire is commonly used and validated in different populations; however, it is not sensitive to small changes in dependency in specific daily activities. Small changes in daily activities are expected as a result of DAIlly NURSE in this frail nursing home population whose functioning is deteriorating. For example, in the Barthel Index feeding is divided into: ‘unable’, ‘needs help’ or ‘independent’. Observations by video recording were used so as to detect small changes. Nuances in breakfast activities could thus be detected, for example in the observations feeding was divided into: taking bread, taking spreads, preparing a sandwich, cutting bread, bringing food to the mouth, pouring drinks, pouring sugar/milk in drink, stirring drink, picking up
cup/glass, bringing cup/glass to the mouth). Video observations were therefore used as a primary outcome.

Accelerometers are wearable devices that measure real-time accelerations in different axes, these accelerations are translated in activity intensities and sedentary time can be calculated, based on the cut-off points that are chosen.\textsuperscript{24,25} Accelerometers are mostly used to measure physical activity,\textsuperscript{18} although they do not measure the type of activity or the context.\textsuperscript{25} Nowadays, a variety of validated accelerometers are available.\textsuperscript{25} Accelerometers were used preferentially in the studies for this dissertation, however, they are often validated with healthy young study populations, and these results may, therefore, not be translatable to study populations such as nursing home residents with extremely low activity levels.\textsuperscript{25} As long as accelerometers have a limited reliability and validity in frail populations,\textsuperscript{25} they are not recommended for future studies to measure physical activity.

The results of studies focusing on physical activity are often hindered by the limitations of the measurement instruments (such as reliance or the inability to measure type of activity),\textsuperscript{26} especially when focusing on low levels of physical activity in frail populations. Observations are recommended for the measurement of the daily activities of nursing home residents in future studies, as they exceed other instruments (accelerometers and questionnaires) in providing quantitative as well as contextual information (qualitative).\textsuperscript{18} Observations using video recordings can also not only be used to obtain insight into activity levels, but are also useful for interventions that create awareness of physical activity behavior.

\textit{Measuring nursing behavior towards encouraging nursing home residents in daily activities and independence}

In this dissertation, nursing behavior was focused on the extent to which nursing staff encourage residents in their daily activities and independence. This behavior was measured with observations and/or questionnaires. It is important to choose the instrument(s) with which to measure nursing staff behavior based on the aim of the study and to consider the (dis)advantages of the instruments.

Observations provide insight into nursing behavior, in real time or using video recordings. In this dissertation, nursing behavior was observed in real time to obtain insights into the role of nursing staff in the daily activities of the residents (Chapter 3) and video recordings were used to observe the impact of DAILY NURSE on nursing behavior during the breakfast activities of residents (Chapter 6). This study focused on the role of nursing staff in relation to the breakfast activities of the residents and the interaction with residents in these activities; therefore, nursing staff were observed when they were involved in these daily activities of the residents, instead of following
nursing staff during the day. A possible disadvantage of observations is social desirability, for example, nursing staff could encourage residents more often when they know they are observed (in real time or with cameras). It is also time consuming to observe a large group of nursing staff, and if you want to follow nursing staff over time you must take into account their working shifts. An advantage of observations and especially of observations using video recordings is that the videos could be used in the DAlly NURSE – workshops. During the workshops nursing staff watched their own behavior in encouraging nursing home residents, which led to an awareness of their actual behavior, according to the nursing staff. The first step to change nursing behavior is to create awareness. Another advantage of video-recorded observations is that these provide contextual information. For example, changes in the role of nursing staff were not detected with quantitative measures, however, contextual information about the ambiance in the living rooms and nursing staff providing feedback to each other about resident activities and independence could be observed in the videos. These changes in ambiance during mealtimes could influence the physical performance of residents and their quality of life.

Questionnaires can measure the perceived behavior of nursing staff on a large scale. In this dissertation the MAINtAIN-behaviors questionnaire was used in a nationwide study (Chapter 4). This questionnaire was developed especially to measure the perceived behavior of nursing staff towards maintaining and optimizing functional activity in nursing home residents. A possible disadvantage of questionnaires is social desirability; nursing staff might give a more positive answer about their perceived behavior which could affect the validity of the results. Since social desirability is more likely to occur when questions are about people’s own competences, nursing staff were asked in the MAINtAIN-behaviors about the nursing behavior on their ward instead of their own behavior. A previous study showed the usability and content validity of the MAINtAIN, however, the psychometric properties (such as construct validity and sensitivity to change) of this questionnaire should be investigated in future studies. The results of the study on the impact of DAlly NURSE showed that this questionnaire is sensitive to changes, as the intervention group did demonstrate changes over time, and the control group did not change over time.

Comparing the different instruments, observations such as those as used in Chapter 3 and questionnaires such as those used in Chapter 4, we noticed a difference in what nursing staff perceive they do (in the questionnaires) and what they actually do (observed). This discrepancy was also seen in a study on hand hygiene, where people perceived that their hand hygiene was much better than observed. Observations provide a more realistic insight into nursing behavior and are therefore recommended in future studies. Nursing behavior also depends on the context, therefore, it is important to measure not only the behavior itself but also to take into account the context, which could be observed as well. In addition to the observations, MAINtAIN-
behaviors can be used to measure the perceived behavior of nursing staff and to tailor interventions.

**Theoretical considerations**

DAIly NURSE focusses on changing nursing behavior towards encouraging the daily activities and independence of nursing home residents. It was expected that nursing staff behavior would change towards providing more support in resident activities and taking over fewer activities, however, the observed role of nursing staff did not change. It was also expected that nursing home residents would be more engaged in daily activities and perform more activities independently. DAIly NURSE improved the engagement of nursing home residents in daily activities during breakfast; however, no improvement was observed in residents’ dependency. How can this be explained?

Nursing home residents require an increasing amount of support in their daily activities, due to their decline in physical functioning and cognition.33 The complex health problems of the residents require the nursing staff to have an awareness of the capabilities of the residents in performing activities and the consequences of their behavior on resident activities and dependency levels.10 Nursing home residents are still able to perform daily activities independently or with some support, especially when they enter the nursing home.34 Newly admitted residents performed many daily activities at home before admission during the day, such as making coffee, preparing breakfast and collecting the newspaper from their mailbox. When they enter the nursing home, these activities are mostly performed for them, which could easily lead to greater decline in functioning, to higher care dependency and to decline in quality of life.13 Newly admitted residents quickly become used to prepared sandwiches and dinner, a table that is set for them, transfers in wheelchairs, coffee and tea including sugar and milk stirred served, etc. When residents are used to care in which activities are performed for them, it will be hard to change that, and to encourage them to perform activities themselves.35 Nursing home residents should be encouraged in the continuation of activities and independence right from the point of admission.34 Even before admission, nursing staff can make home visits to obtain insights into a resident’s daily activities and independence, and maintain these activities after admission for as long as possible. Daily activities are essential in order to increase physical activity levels and reduce sedentary time among nursing home residents,12 and these daily activities especially contribute to physical functioning and quality of life.13-17,36 Nursing home residents are able to learn despite cognitive problems37 and are able to increase their muscle strength despite functional decline.39 The ‘use it or lose it’ principle is also applicable to nursing home residents, including those with Alzheimer’s disease.39
It is the role of nursing staff to maintain resident activities and independence for as long as possible, according to the definition of nursing by Henderson.\(^{40}\) It is expected that nursing staff encourage residents with pleasure and motivation, provide accurate support during their activities, and take into account the preferences of the residents.\(^{12}\) It remains difficult to encourage resident activities and independence since nursing staff are inclined to take over resident activities.\(^{41}\) They are trained to care for and do things for other people. Nursing staff are also task-oriented, have care routines, and experience different barriers, such as the lack of staff, time and resources, which stimulates them to take over resident activities.\(^{10,20,42}\) Despite these barriers, nursing staff became aware of the context in which nursing home residents had their breakfast, and made changes in this context that provided residents with the opportunity to engage in breakfast activities (such as improving mealtime ambiance by setting the table). Mealtimes are important periods during the day, since residents can perform daily activities, make their own choices during these periods, and socialize with other residents and nursing staff.\(^{28,29,43}\) It is therefore essential for nursing staff to encourage the residents during mealtimes. There is a lot of creativity and knowledge within the nursing staff teams, and therefore they should have the opportunity to search for their own tailored solutions to encourage nursing home residents. Looking at possibilities instead of the impossibilities offers nursing staff the opportunity to change things with the same resources and the same nursing staff.

Encouraging daily activities and independence by nursing staff also depends on the context and the care organization. The social context is important for nursing staff in the encouragement of residents.\(^{10}\) A setting can be encouraging (e.g. set tables and nursing staff eating together with residents) as well as distracting (e.g. nursing staff running around, ward visits and noise from devices). The video recordings facilitated the reflection and discussion context, such as the ambiance during breakfast and how tables are set (including changes over time). This reflection is not only important in interventions focusing on physical activity, but can also be used in interventions for, for example, communication. Further, the policy of the care organization can facilitate changes in nursing behavior. Nursing home management should therefore support change by supporting nursing staff as regards their time and needs so as to change their behavior, and with policy that underlines the importance of residents’ daily activities and independence. Informal caregivers should be informed and involved in the encouragement of a resident’s daily activities and independence. It is expected that care organizations will follow the ongoing shifts in the care culture from the medical model to the social model, focusing on residents’ well-being, capabilities, autonomy and quality of life.\(^{44,45}\) Care organizations are also expected to implement the Dutch Quality Improvement Framework for Nursing Home Care,\(^{46}\) which aims, among other things, to contribute to residents’ quality of life by encouraging them in meaningful daily activities.
and providing person-centered care. DAlly NURSE translates policy into practice through education and coaching, and therefore, fits into these changes and expectations.

**Implications**

The findings of this dissertation have implications for research and practice, as discussed in this section.

**Research**

In this dissertation DAlly NURSE was developed and tested aiming to change nursing behavior towards encouraging the daily activities and independence of nursing home residents. The period of breakfast was first chosen to create awareness and to evaluate the impact of DAlly NURSE. No video recordings were made of other mealtimes or other periods during the day, and so it is not known whether nursing behavior changed in encouraging nursing home residents at other points of the day. Future studies should investigate whether or not the role of nursing staff changes, and whether or not residents are more engaged during lunch and dinner or other periods of the day.

Another point of interest is to investigate the impact of DAlly NURSE on newly admitted residents. It is expected that nursing home residents perform daily activities at home before they enter the nursing home. A quasi-experimental study can investigate whether newly admitted residents are able to maintain these daily activities. In order to do this, the activity and dependency level of the residents should be studied in the home situation and monitored after nursing home admission. This will provide insight into any functional decline in residents after admission.

To evaluate interventions such as DAlly NURSE, not only should quantitative measures be used, but qualitative measures should be used to describe the possible changes in the context and the experiences of nursing home staff. A process evaluation would provide insight into the implementation of DAlly NURSE in nursing home practice. Aspects of the process evaluation should include: the extent to which the education, coaching-on-the-job and policy components are delivered (dose delivered) and implemented as planned (fidelity), satisfaction of nursing home staff (dose received), experienced barriers (barriers), and the proportion of the target population which receive the intervention (reach).

Based on previous studies, it was assumed that daily activities contribute to the maintenance of physical functioning and a higher quality of life, and that exercise would also be beneficial, but is too intensive for a frail nursing home population, and this was not investigated in this dissertation. A trial could be conducted with different groups
(Daily NURSE, exercise, Daily NURSE combined exercise, control) to compare the differences between the types of physical activity and their benefits on the daily activities and functioning of residents.

**Practice**

The findings of this dissertation showed that nursing home residents are mainly inactive during the day, spending the day sedentarily, and that IADL activities in particular are rarely observed in nursing home wards. Nursing staff should enhance resident activity levels by encouraging daily activities such as setting the table, preparing sandwiches, pouring drinks and watering plants. To encourage nursing home residents during the day, it is essential to take into account the activity preferences of the residents.

When starting to change nursing behavior, an awareness of own behavior is the first step. Nursing homes should start with video recordings of one period during the day (for example breakfast) so as to create awareness. Guidelines for the intervention and detailed content of the workshops will be made available. Expert nurses should use these guidelines to implement Daily NURSE within their care organization. In addition to nursing staff, other nursing home professionals should also be involved in the intervention; for example, an occupational therapist could provide information during a workshop, or physiotherapy could be given in the living room or in the corridors of the ward instead of bringing residents to exercise rooms. Nursing home management should support (new) nursing staff in changing their behavior towards encouraging the daily activities and independence of residents, for example by relaxing rules about having meals, or coffee and tea at a certain time, so that nursing staff have the opportunity to encourage residents at these times. There should be a policy of encouraging physical activity, and it is essential to spread this policy widely within the organization in order to support nursing home staff. In addition to the formal caregivers, informal caregivers should be involved so as to create an environment in which nursing home residents are encouraged to maintain their daily activities for as long and as independently as possible, right from the point of nursing home admission, or even before admission, through a home visit from nursing staff on the ward to obtain insight into a resident’s daily activities and independence, and to discuss expectations about a resident’s daily activities and independence. Informal caregivers should be informed and should also be aware of the effect of their behavior on the activities and independence of the residents.
Research line: ‘Nurses on the Move: towards high-quality care in nursing homes’

This dissertation was part of the research line ‘Nurses on the move: towards high quality care in nursing homes’, aiming to contribute to the improvement of quality of care in general, and, more specifically, to the improvement of functional status and the reduction of disability among nursing home residents. The results of the dissertations within this research line show that quality of care is not related to the number of nursing staff on the ward, and instead team climate is an important factor in quality of care. Nursing staff behavior seems to be important for quality of care. Baccalaureate-registered nurses are often not employed in nursing homes, and to increase the number of baccalaureate-registered nurses, a care organization needs a clear vision of nursing home managers and directors. These nurses can then be involved in implementing person-centered care and interventions such as DAily NURSE. Nursing staff perceive themselves as encouraging residents’ daily activities however it remains questionable whether or not they actually encourage the residents. To remove the barriers that nursing staff experience in encouraging nursing home residents, those most related to the encouragement of the residents should be taken into account instead of the most mentioned barriers. The TIP-toolbox is a feasible method for guiding nursing staff in six steps to a structured and tailored implementation plan. This toolbox is also used in the nursing intervention DAily NURSE, so as to decrease the large amount of inactivity in nursing home wards by creating awareness among nursing staff and changing nursing behavior towards encouraging the daily activities and independence of nursing home residents.
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Nursing home residents are largely inactive during the day, even though it is known that physical activity and participation in daily activities have a positive influence on physical functioning and quality of life. Nursing staff who provide care 24/7 in the direct environment of nursing home residents play a key role in the daily life of those residents, and therefore, in their daily activities and independence. The aim of this dissertation is to develop and evaluate a nursing intervention to encourage nursing home residents in daily activities and independence.

Chapter 1, the general introduction of this dissertation, describes the importance of physical activity and especially the importance of daily activities for nursing home residents. It introduces the role of nursing staff in the daily activities of nursing home residents and their independence. This chapter specifies the main objectives and outline of this dissertation.

Chapter 2 reports on the daily activities in which nursing home residents participate and their body positions during these (in)activities. A cross-sectional observation study was conducted in seven nursing homes (19 psychogeriatric and 11 somatic wards), housing 723 nursing home residents in the Netherlands. Nursing home residents (n=723) were observed in their wards, randomly five moments for one minute (5 observers, including 3 nurse observers). The observer recorded the activity of each resident. A total of 3282 observations were conducted. Both psychogeriatric and somatic residents were mainly observed in inactivities (range: 45-77% of the five observation moments), such as sleeping, doing nothing, and watching TV in their wards. When residents were engaged in Activities of Daily Living (IADL; range 15-38%) they were mainly engaged in mobility and eating and drinking activities. Instrumental ADL (IADL), such as setting the table, preparing a sandwich or watering plants, were rarely observed (up to 4%). Residents were mostly observed in a lying or sitting position (range: 89-92%). No significant differences were found in activities or positions between the psychogeriatric and somatic wards. To decrease the large amount of inactivity nursing home residents should be encouraged to participate in daily activities in the wards, focusing on increasing ADL and especially IADL.

The role of nursing staff in the daily activities of the residents was also explored in the abovementioned cross-sectional observation study, and is described in Chapter 3. The observer recorded the role of nursing staff or others in the activity of the resident, when they were involved. Roles were defined as ‘taking over the activity’, ‘giving support’, or ‘supervision’. Nursing staff supported a resident’s ADL and IADL physically and/or verbally in 51% of the observations. Nursing staff took over a resident’s daily activities in 45% of the observations and supervision of these activities was rarely observed (4%). In addition to the observations, the nurse observers (n=3) were interviewed to obtain insight into their observation-experiences. Nurse observers, who knew the residents, indicated that the observations led to a greater awareness of the
large amount of inactivity and that much activity was taken over unnecessarily since the residents could have performed it themselves. Based on these results, nursing staff are recommended to provide more supervision and support to optimize the daily activities and independence of residents.

The MAastr/cht Nurses Activities INventory (MAINtAIN)-behaviors questionnaire was used to obtain insight into the extent to which nursing staff perceive to encourage functional activity (ADL, household and miscellaneous) among nursing home residents. This questionnaire consists of 19 items on ADL (e.g. encouraging residents to move about independently), household activities (e.g. encouraging residents to set the table) and miscellaneous activities (e.g. encouraging informal caregivers not to take over a resident’s activities). Chapter 4 shows the results of a nationwide cross-sectional study among 368 nursing staff members working on the psychogeriatric and somatic wards of 41 Dutch nursing homes, who completed the MAINtAIN-behaviors questionnaire. Associations between their behavior and professional characteristics (e.g. age), contextual factors (e.g. ward type) and information-seeking behaviors (e.g. reading professional journals) were investigated in this chapter. The results showed that nursing staff perceive to encourage household activities less often than ADL or miscellaneous activities (e.g. discouraging informal caregivers from taking over a resident’s activities) among nursing home residents. It is therefore recommended that nursing staff focus on improving their encouragement of household activities among the residents, are aware of the importance of these activities.

The development of the nursing intervention called DAily NURSE, and its feasibility, are presented in Chapter 5. DAily NURSE is an acronym for ‘Daily Activities and Independence by NURsing Staff Encouragement’. This intervention was developed using observations (Chapter 2 and 3), questionnaires (Chapter 4), a literature review and (focus group) interviews. DAily NURSE is a nursing intervention that aims to change nursing behavior by encouraging the activities and independence of nursing home residents through creating awareness. It consists of three components: education, coaching-on-the-job, and policy. The feasibility tested dose delivered, fidelity, dose received (exposure and satisfaction), reach and barriers, using attendance lists, evaluation questionnaires, notes made by the researcher, and a focus group interview with nursing home staff (n=8) at the end of the study. The study was conducted in six psychogeriatric nursing home wards of two nursing homes. All three components of DAily NURSE (education, coaching-on-the-job and policy) were implemented in practice. The attendance rate for the workshops was high (average: 82%). Nursing home staff were satisfied with the workshops (mean score 9 out of 10 points) and agreed that DAily NURSE is feasible in daily nursing care practice and might help to change nursing behavior towards encouraging the daily activities and independence of residents. A few recommendations to further optimize the feasibility of DAily NURSE included: add video observations of a specific period of the day to
create awareness of nursing behavior; educate all nursing staff of the ward during the workshops; and organize information meetings for family members before the start of the intervention.

The impact of DAILY NURSE on both nursing staff and residents was evaluated in a quasi-experimental study (Chapter 6). A total of four psychogeriatric nursing homes participated, two were part of the experimental group (n=7 wards) and two were part of the control group (n=5 wards). The impact of DAILY NURSE was primarily evaluated on the role of nursing staff in resident daily activities and on the engagement of nursing home residents in daily activities and their independence, and the context was taken into account. This was evaluated using video recordings of breakfast during a pre- and posttest. Secondary outcomes were nursing staff behavior measured with the MAINtAIN-behaviors questionnaire and the physical functioning (Barthel Index) and nutritional status (Mini Nutritional Assessment) of residents. Data were analyzed using multilevel regression analyses. The results showed no statistically significant differences in the observed role of nursing staff in resident activities, however, nursing home residents in the experimental group were statistically significantly more often engaged in activities during breakfast after DAILY NURSE, and changes in the context were observed. Nursing staff in the experimental group perceived to encourage ADL and household activities more often after DAILY NURSE. In conclusion, DAILY NURSE had no impact on the observed role of nursing staff but impacted the engagement of nursing home residents positively in daily activities during breakfast.

In the final chapter (Chapter 7), the general discussion, the main findings of this dissertation are discussed. Methodological and theoretical considerations are described and the implications of the findings for research and practice are given.
SAMENVATTING
‘Bewegen is goed, meer bewegen is beter’, dit staat in de Nederlandse Beweegrichtlijnen die in 2017 zijn gepubliceerd. Bewegen heeft vele positieve effecten, niet alleen voor gezonde personen, maar ook voor kwetsbare doelgroepen zoals verpleeghuisbewoners. Deelname in dagelijkse activiteiten heeft een positieve invloed op het lichamelijk functioneren en de kwaliteit van leven van verpleeghuisbewoners. Desondanks zijn de meeste verpleeghuisbewoners inactief. Verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden spelen een sleutelrol in de dagelijkse activiteiten en zelfredzaamheid van verpleeghuisbewoners doordat zij 24 uur per dag in de directe omgeving van de verpleeghuisbewoners zorg leveren. Het doel van dit proefschrift is om een verpleegkundige interventie te ontwikkelen en te evalueren om verpleeghuisbewoners te stimuleren in dagelijkse activiteiten en zelfredzaamheid.

**Hoofdstuk 1**, de algemene introductie van dit proefschrift, beschrijft het belang van lichamelijke activiteiten en in het bijzonder het belang van dagelijkse activiteiten voor verpleeghuisbewoners. Daarnaast introduceert het de rol van verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden in de dagelijkse activiteiten en zelfredzaamheid van verpleeghuisbewoners. Dit hoofdstuk specificerbt de hoofddoelen en de opzet van dit proefschrift.

**Hoofdstuk 2** rapporteert over de dagelijkse (in)activiteiten waarin verpleeghuisbewoners betrokken zijn en hun lichaamshouding tijdens deze (in)activiteiten. Een cross-sectionele observatiestudie is uitgevoerd in 7 Nederlandse verpleeghuizen (19 psychogeriatrische en 11 somatische afdelingen) waar in totaal 723 bewoners verbleven. Psychogeriatrische en somatische verpleeghuisbewoners zijn op 5 willekeurige momenten gedurende de dag op hun afdeling gedurende 1 minuut geobserveerd door een observator (waaronder 3 verpleegkundigen). De observator noteerde vervolgens de activiteit en lichaamshouding van iedere bewoner aan de hand van een observatielijst op een tablet. In totaal zijn 3282 observaties uitgevoerd. Inactiviteiten zoals slapen, niets doen of TV kijken, werden het meest geobserveerd (range: 45-77% van de 5 observatiemomenten). Wanneer bewoners betrokken zijn bij ADL (activiteiten van het dagelijks leven) (range: 15-38%), dan waren dat voornamelijk activiteiten gericht op mobiliteit of eten en drinken. HDL (huishoudelijke activiteiten van het dagelijks leven) zoals tafel dekken, brood smeren en planten water geven, zijn zelden geobserveerd (range: 0-4%). Bewoners zijn meestal in een liggende of zittende positie (range: 89-92%) geobserveerd. Er zijn geen verschillen gevonden tussen de psychogeriatrische en somatische afdelingen betreffende de activiteiten of lichaamshoudingen. Om de grote mate van inactiviteit te verkleinen zouden verpleeghuisbewoners gestimuleerd moeten worden in dagelijkse activiteiten (ADL en met name HDL) op hun afdeling.
De rol van verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden in de dagelijkse activiteiten van verpleeghuisbewoners is ook onderzocht in de hierboven genoemde observatiestudie en wordt beschreven in **Hoofdstuk 3**. De observator noteerde de rol van verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden in de ADL en HDL van de bewoner, wanneer zij betrokken waren. De rol is gedefinieerd als ‘overname’, ‘ondersteuning’ of ‘supervisie’. De resultaten laten zien dat verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden in 51% van de observaties de activiteit ondersteunen. Verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden namen de activiteit over in 45% van de observaties. Supervisie is zelden geobserveerd (4%). Naast de observaties zijn de drie verpleegkundige-observatoren geïnterviewd om inzicht te krijgen in hun observatieervaringen. De verpleegkundige-observatoren, die de bewoners kenden, geven aan dat de observaties leiden tot bewustwording van de grote mate van inactiviteit en dat een groot deel van de activiteiten onnodig wordt overgenomen, omdat de bewoner die activiteit zelf had kunnen uitvoeren. Op basis van de resultaten wordt verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden aanbevolen om meer supervisie en ondersteuning te bieden om daarmee de activiteiten en zelfredzaamheid van verpleeghuisbewoners te optimaliseren.

Om inzicht te krijgen in het gedrag van verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden met betrekking tot de mate waarin zij functionele activiteiten stimuleren bij verpleeghuisbewoners is de ‘MAastricht Nurses Activities INventory (MAINtAIN)-behaviors’ vragenlijst gebruikt. Deze vragenlijst bestaat uit 19 items over ADL (bijvoorbeeld bespreken we of bewoners hulpmiddelen nodig hebben bij het eten), huishoudelijke activiteiten (bijvoorbeeld stimuleren we bewoners om te helpen bij het tafeldekken) en algemene activiteiten (bijvoorbeeld het aanmoedigen van mantelzorgers om niet onnodig activiteiten van bewoners over te nemen). **Hoofdstuk 4** laat de resultaten van een landelijke cross-sectionele studie zien gehouden onder 368 verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden, die de MAINtAIN-behaviors vragenlijst invulden en die werkzaam zijn op psychogeriatrische en somatische afdelingen van 41 Nederlandse verpleeghuizen. Daarnaast zijn de relaties onderzocht tussen het gedrag van de verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden en persoonlijke eigenschappen (bijvoorbeeld leeftijd), contextuele factoren (bijvoorbeeld type afdeling) en de mate waarin ze gebruik maken van verschillende informatiebronnen (bijvoorbeeld vakbladen). Verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden ervaren dat huishoudelijke activiteiten minder vaak gestimuleerd worden bij verpleeghuisbewoners dan ADL en algemene activiteiten. Er is geen consistent verband gevonden tussen het gedrag van de verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden en persoonlijke eigenschappen, contextuele factoren en het gebruik van informatiebronnen. Verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden wordt aanbevolen om met name de huishoudelijke activiteiten te stimuleren en zich bewust te zijn van het belang van deze activiteiten.
De ontwikkeling en de uitvoerbaarheid van de verpleegkundige interventie, genaamd DAlly NURSE, wordt gepresenteerd in Hoofdstuk 5. DAlly NURSE is een acroniem voor ‘Daily Activities and Independence by NURSing Staff Encouragement’. De interventie is ontwikkeld op basis van observaties (hoofdstuk 2 en 3), vragenlijsten (hoofdstuk 4), een literatuuronderzoek en (focusgroep)interviews. Het doel van DAlly NURSE is om het gedrag van verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden te veranderen in het stimuleren van dagelijkse activiteiten en zelfredzaamheid van bewoners, door bewustzijn te creëren. De interventie bestaat uit drie componenten, namelijk educatie (workshops), coaching en beleid. De uitvoerbaarheid is getest aan de hand van een procesevaluatie, waarbij getoetst is in hoeverre de interventie geïmplementeerd en uitgevoerd is zoals gepland, hoeveel medewerkers er zijn bereikt, hoe tevreden de medewerkers zijn met de interventie en welke barrières ze hebben ervaren. Vragenlijsten, presentielijsten, notities van de onderzoeker en een focusgroep interview met acht medewerkers aan het eind van de studie zijn gebruikt om de toepasbaarheid van DAlly NURSE te evalueren. De studie is uitgevoerd binnen zes psychogeriatrische verpleeghuisafdelingen van twee verpleeghuizen. Alle drie de componenten van DAlly NURSE (educatie, coaching en beleid) zijn geïmplementeerd. De aanwezigheid bij de workshops was hoog (gemiddeld 82%). De deelnemers waren tevreden met de workshops (gemiddelde score 9 van 10 punten) en zijn het er over eens dat DAlly NURSE toepasbaar is in de dagelijkse zorgpraktijk en dat het verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden kan helpen om dagelijkse activiteiten en zelfredzaamheid van bewoners te stimuleren. Ook zijn er een aantal aanbevelingen gedaan om de toepasbaarheid van DAlly NURSE te optimaliseren: video-observaties van een specifiek moment gedurende de dag om bewustzijn te creëren van gedrag, alle verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden van de afdeling betrekken in de workshops en organiseren van informatiebijeenkomsten voor familieleden voor de start van de interventie.

De impact van DAlly NURSE op zowel de verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden en de verpleeghuisbewoners is geëvalueerd in een quasi-experimentele studie (Hoofdstuk 6). Vier psychogeriatrische verpleeghuizen namen deel, twee in de experimentele groep (7 afdelingen) en twee in de controle groep (5 afdelingen). De impact van DAlly NURSE is primair geëvalueerd op de rol van de medewerker en de betrokkenheid van bewoners bij de dagelijkse activiteiten en hun zelfredzaamheid, ook is de context meegenomen. Dit is gedaan aan de hand van video-opnames van het ontbijtmoment tijdens een voor-en een nameting. Daarnaast zijn vragenlijsten afgenomen om de secundaire uitkomstmaten in kaart te brengen: MAINtAIN-behaviors om het gedrag van medewerkers in kaart te brengen en de Barthel Index en Mini Nutritional Assessment om het fysiek functioneren en de voedingsstatus van de bewoners in kaart te brengen. De data is geanalyseerd met multi-level analyses, om te kijken of er verschillen zijn
tussen de groepen op de nameting, gecorrigeerd voor de verschillen op de voormeting. De resultaten laten geen verschillen zien in de rol van verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden (ondersteuning of overname) in de activiteiten van de bewoners tijdens het ontbijt. Wel zijn de bewoners meer betrokken bij de ontbijtactiviteiten na DAlly NURSE en zijn er veranderingen te zien in de context; stimulerende omgeving door gedekte tafels en medewerkers die elkaar feedback geven. Verpleegkundigen en verzorgenden ervaren dat zij ADL en huishoudelijke activiteiten meer stimuleren door DAlly NURSE. Er zijn geen verschillen gemeten in het fysiek functioneren en de voedingsstatus van verpleeghuisbewoners. DAlly NURSE heeft dus een positieve impact op de betrokkenheid van verpleeghuisbewoners bij de dagelijkse activiteiten en de context rondom het ontbijtmoment. Bovendien ervaren medewerkers de ADL en huishoudelijk activiteiten meer te stimuleren.

In het laatste hoofdstuk (Hoofdstuk 7), de algemene discussie, worden de hoofdresultaten van dit proefschrift samengevat. Methodologische en theoretische overwegingen worden beschreven en de implicaties van de resultaten voor onderzoek en praktijk worden gegeven.
VALORIZATION
This chapter describes the relevance of the findings of this dissertation. It elaborates on how the daily activities of nursing home residents can be encouraged by the DAlly NURSE intervention, and on the dissemination of the findings.

Relevance

This dissertation provides insight into the daily activities of residents in nursing homes and the role of nursing staff. It resulted in a feasible intervention to change nursing staff practices towards encouraging residents’ daily activities during daily care practice. This intervention, DAlly NURSE, fits into the ongoing changes in nursing home care, which extend from the medical model to the social model, focusing on residents’ well-being, capabilities and quality of life. Furthermore, DAlly NURSE is in line with the Dutch Quality Improvement Framework of Nursing Home Care, published in 2017 by the National Health Care Institute. This framework intends to improve quality of care in the nursing homes by focusing on person-centered care with meaningful activities during the day to improve residents’ quality of life. Performing meaningful daily activities helps residents to remain functioning and it enhances residents’ self-esteem. In addition, it might delay residents’ increasing care dependency. DAlly NURSE supports nursing home staff in providing person-centered daily nursing care. It encourages residents’ independence in daily activities by focusing on what they can do rather than what they cannot.

In society, increasing attention is given to the positive effects of physical activity for young and older people. Physical activity is the central theme in this dissertation. The physical activity guidelines were updated last year by the Dutch Health Council and stated, ‘physical activity is good, more is even better’. Nevertheless, physical activity does not need to be vigorous, since participation in daily activities is already beneficial for the frail nursing home population. Previous physical activity intervention studies in nursing homes focus on exercise rather than on activities in daily life. DAlly NURSE focuses on the encouragement by nursing staff of activities during the day designed to increase daily physical activity levels of nursing home residents. Since nursing staff provide care 24/7 they play a key role in residents’ daily life and, therefore, are the key persons to encourage the residents.

How to create changes in nursing home practice

The studies in this dissertation resulted in a change in nursing care practice, focusing on encouraging residents’ daily activities. To change things and implement innovations in the nursing home it is necessary to obtain insight into the current situation, to have a
clear starting point with insight into current behaviors and barriers. Observations in this dissertation provided insight into the activities of nursing home residents and the role of nursing staff; nevertheless, observations can also be used to obtain an insight into autonomy, communication, physical restraints, mood, etc. The advantage of the observations is that they do not only provide information about the activities, they also provide information about the environment in which these activities take place. For example, a resident might be encouraged to prepare a sandwich if provided with a set table, while a cleared table might discourage such activity. Distractive environments or ambiances can be similarly discouraging. Moreover, observations conducted by nursing staff create awareness of their own behavior and the environment in which they are working. Besides observations, insight into behavior and possible barriers for change can be obtained with questionnaires. The MAINtAIN questionnaire can be used to obtain this insight. However, as the results of this dissertation suggest perceived behavior might not be the same as actual behavior. Nevertheless, it is essential to obtain insight into possible barriers in order to tailor solutions; for example, if lack of knowledge within the organization is an important barrier, staff need education and information.

To implement innovations in nursing home practice, a safe and open environment should be created. Managers have an essential role in creating a safe environment and in supporting nursing staff in changing their behavior and implementing innovations. To support nursing staff, managers should have a clear vision about the innovation they wish to introduce. They should reflect on why things are done in a particular way, and they should invite nursing staff to critically reflect on their own behavior. Managers can be innovative by relaxing rules, such as, relaxing the rule that is common in nursing homes, ‘all residents should have been washed and dressed before breakfast at 9 a.m.’ In addition, managers should create a positive team climate and ensure nursing staff are enthusiastic in working together on common goals of: sharing good practices, reflecting on and learning from difficult situations, looking for possibilities instead of impossibilities, and providing positive feedback to each other.

A lot of knowledge and expertise is already present in nursing homes; however, to implement innovations nursing staff might need coaching and role models. Bachelor-educated nurses can be coaches and role models within their own care organization. As such, they are expected to work methodical and should be able to write an
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implementation plan to implement innovations in nursing care practice; for example, by using the TIP-toolbox. This toolbox provides nursing staff with a stepwise method to write a structured and tailored implementation plan, and is used in the DAlly NURSE intervention, as well. Therefore, bachelor-educated nurses are the key persons to implement innovations in their own nursing home practice, providing coaching-on-the-job with structured feedback, and providing the education for an intervention, such as the interactive workshops of DAlly NURSE. Nevertheless, they can also ask other experts in the multidisciplinary work environment, for example, a physiotherapist can give a clinical lesson about the importance of daily activities, and a psychologist can provide tips and tricks about how to encourage residents to perform activities. Also, other formal and informal caregivers in the nursing home should be involved in changes in nursing home practice; for example, cleaning staff and residents’ families should be involved in discussions on how innovations affect them or their work. Cleaning staff can invite residents to help them with light household chores and informal caregivers can take residents for a walk. Ideally, residents continue the daily activities they performed before coming to the nursing home. For example, if they went to the market with their spouse every Tuesday, they should continue to do so. The focus on encouraging residents’ activities and independence can also be translated to home care, where nursing staff should support and encourage the older adults to continue their daily activities and maintain their functioning.

Dissemination of the findings

Dissemination of the findings of this dissertation started from the beginning of the project. Nursing staff were involved in the observation studies, and the intervention, DAlly NURSE, was developed with their close collaboration. The findings have or will be published in (inter)national scientific and peer-reviewed journals (for example, the Journal of the American Medical Directors Association). Furthermore, the results have or will be presented at (inter)national scientific conferences on geriatrics and nursing homes (like the annual scientific meeting of the Gerontological Society of America, and the Nursing Home Research Conference). In addition, workshops have been offered at national conferences focusing on nursing home staff and other care professionals; for example, during a conference of ‘Dignity and Pride’ [in Dutch: Waardigheid en Trots], a program of the Dutch Secretary of Health, Welfare and Sport to improve quality of care.

and life in the nursing homes. Presentations about the DAley NURSE intervention are also given for other nursing staff, managers, and informal caregivers at different nursing homes of the care organizations who participate in the Living Lab on Ageing and Long-Term Care. The project has received attention from different professional organizations, who have published about this project in their journals, such as an educational journal for nursing staff, Bijzijn XL, and the national journal for physiotherapists, FysioPraxis. The daily newspaper, Trouw, reported the results of the observation study on residents’ activities on their front page. As a result of this, it was also mentioned in a Belgium newspaper, Het Nieuwsblad, and on different news websites.

During the whole project of ‘Nurses on the Move’, the development of the intervention and the findings of the studies were discussed with representatives of national stakeholder organizations, including branch organization Actiz, client organization LOC, the Dutch Nurses Association V&VN, the Health Care Inspectorate, and the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport. The results of the interrelated projects have been presented at international and national conferences. The dissertations, factsheets and products of the other projects can be found on the website of the Living Lab (www.academischewerkplaatsouderenzorg.nl). In addition, information about ‘Nurses on the Move’ and its results can be find at the website of the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development, who funded the project (www.zonmw.nl).

To disseminate the results further the following steps will be taken. As the research conducted in this dissertation is embedded in the Living Lab on Ageing and Long-Term Care, findings of the studies are shared and will be shared with the participating care organizations. For example, a factsheet will be published on the website of the Living Lab, describing the findings of this dissertation. The factsheet and the dissertation will be distributed among the care organizations who are member of the Living Lab on Ageing and Long-Term Care. In addition, a set of guidelines for nursing staff containing detailed description of the intervention, including each workshop, will be available on the website of the Living Lab from October 2018. An e-book of this dissertation will be available on the website of the Living Lab, as well as on the platform for scientific knowledge in the field of nursing (www.proefschriftenverpleegkunde.nl).
DANKWOORD
Nu na ruim vier jaar mijn proefschrift (bijna) af is, is het tijd om het laatste en meest gelezen hoofdstuk van het proefschrift te schrijven: het dankwoord. In dit dankwoord wil ik een aantal mensen in het bijzonder bedanken, zonder hen was dit proefschrift er niet gekomen.

De deelnemers aan het onderzoek, de medewerkers van verpleeghuizen, zonder jullie lag dit proefschrift er zeker niet. Van het invullen van vragenlijsten tot deelname aan de interventiestudie, waarbij jullie je hebben blootgegeven tijdens de video-opnames, jullie inzet is onbeschrijfelijk! Ik heb veel bewondering voor jullie werk en ik hoop dat dit onderzoek en toekomstig onderzoek kan bijdragen aan jullie werkplezier.

In mijn promotietraject werd ik begeleid door een geheel Limburgs team, bestaande uit prof. dr. Hamers, prof. dr. Zwakhalen, dr. Meijers en dr. Bleijlevens. Ik wil dit team bedanken voor het vertrouwen, het delen van kennis en ervaringen, de discussies die we hebben gevoerd, de ondersteuning, de vele leermomenten en de (gezellige) congresbezoeken.

Prof. dr. Hamers, beste Jan, bedankt voor alle feedback die je mij de afgelopen jaren hebt gegeven. Mijn vragen werden regelmatig beantwoord met de vraag: ‘Wat denk je zelf?’ Je hield het overstijgende geheel altijd in de gaten en de betekenis van de onderzoeksresultaten voor de verpleeghuis-praktijk.

Prof. dr. Zwakhalen, beste Sandra, jouw manier van feedback geven heb ik altijd erg prettig gevonden. De rust die jij uitstraalt en de manier waarop je de feedback verwoordt heeft mij erg geholpen. Jouw harde werken heeft nu geleid tot een promotie tot professor en ik ben al de tweede die jou als professor mag aanspreken in het dankwoord.

Dr. Meijers, beste Judith, je altijd vrolijke lach in combinatie met een kritische blik, leerde mij ‘out-of-the-blue’-denken. Je bent een harde werker en je zit (letterlijk) nooit stil, jouw feedback en de korte lijntjes naar de praktijk hebben mij veel geleerd en snel verder geholpen.

Dr. Bleijlevens, beste Michel, je bent officieel geen copromotor, maar vanaf het begin van mijn promotietraject ben je betrokken geweest. Bedankt voor je feedback, belangstelling voor het onderzoek en persoonlijke interesse.


De leden van de klankbordgroep wil ik bedanken voor de waardevolle feedback tijdens de bijeenkomsten: Susanne Bruijns (Actiz), Sonja Kersten (V&VN), Corry Ketelaars (IGZ), Kina Koster (Cicero Zorggroep), Marthijn Laterveer (LOC), Herm Leenders (Zuyderland), Jan Maarten Nuijens (Envida), Roger Ruijters (MeanderGroep), Trudie Severens (Sevagram) en Brigitte Verhage (VWS) en ook Dineke Abels (ZonMw) bedankt.
Angelina, Bert, Deborah, Kirsten, Natascha, Pauline: heel erg bedankt voor jullie betrokkenheid en inzet bij de ontwikkeling en implementatie van DAILY NURSE. Daarnaast wil ik alle observatoren bedanken voor jullie hulp bij de dataverzameling en de analyse van de videobeelden.

Nienke en Ramona, ‘the rockstars in my field’, door en dankzij jullie kan ik dadelijk dit proefschrift verdedigen. Al voor de start van mijn promotietraject waren jullie erbij betrokken, ik kan mij dan ook geen promotietraject zonder jullie voorstellen. We leerden elkaar goed kennen in de afgelopen jaren doordat we de kamer deelden. En natuurlijk was het niet alleen de kamer die we deelden, maar vooral ook vele verhalen. Die verhalen gingen uiteraard niet alleen over werk of over onszelf. Lieve Nienke, bedankt voor de ontspanmomentjes, bijvoorbeeld ‘s avonds buiten in het zwembad in Orlando, op de kerstmarkt in Toulouse of gewoon in Maastricht. Lieve Ramona, ondanks dat Duitsers geen grappen maken kan ik jouw humor erg waarderen. Jouw kritische blik zet dingen weer in perspectief. Op DUB werden we wel eens gezien werden als drieeenheid en als één genoemd als ‘de nurses’, ik ben ook heel blij dat deze ‘nurses’ aan mijn zijde zullen staan tijdens mijn promotie als paranimfen. Bedankt!

Katya en Roy, mijn ‘roomies’ van het laatste jaar, ook met jullie heb ik weer geboft! Natuurlijk moest ik wennen nadat Nienke en Ramona weg waren, maar ik ben heel blij dat jullie al mijn gezeur over de worstelingen in het laatste jaar van het promotietraject aanhoorden, ook al waren jullie nog maar net begonnen. Dankzij jullie is het laatste jaar toch voorbij gevlogen en kwam ik met plezier naar kantoor. Ik wens jullie veel succes met jullie proefschriften!

Erica, we werkten maar een jaar samen, maar het voelt alsof je al veel langer betrokken bent bij mijn onderzoek. Je hebt het afgelopen jaar enorm veel werk verzet voor mijn promotieonderzoek en dook er vanaf dag 1 meteen volop in. Wanneer jij er niet was geweest, dan was ik nu waarschijnlijk nog filmpjes van ontbijtmomenten aan het bekijken. Niet alleen tijdens het werk konden we het goed met elkaar vinden, maar ook buiten het werk hebben we gezellige avonden met elkaar doorgebracht, ik hoop dat we dit blijven doen! Martine, als bijna-buren in Maastricht vonden we elkaar om ’s avonds samen te eten of een kop thee te drinken en tijdens vakanties hebben we op elkaars plantjes gepast, bedankt hiervoor! Rixt, bedankt voor de uitnodiging om mee te gaan korfballen, ik heb met veel plezier op het veld en in de zaal gestaan! Silke, bedankt voor de gezellige dagen die we samen in Chicago doorbrachten! Frans, bedankt voor alle statistische ondersteuning bij de verschillende studies in dit proefschrift. Brigitte, Joanna en Arnold bedankt voor jullie ondersteuning bij alles rondom het promoveren. Susy, bedankt voor jouw input bij mijn eerste artikel. Ook alle andere collega’s die ik hier niet persoonlijk bij naam noem, bedankt voor de fijne tijd op DUB, tijdens lunch(wandelingen), refereerbijeenkomsten, dagjes uit, borrels of etentjes en tijdens congressen in binnen- en buitenland!
Promoveren doe je niet alleen, maar ook ben je niet alleen bezig met promoveren. Gelukkig had ik de afgelopen jaren ook heel veel afleiding van lieve vrienden en familie.

De waardering van vaak jarenlange vriendschappen wordt niet zo vaak uitgesproken, daarom zou ik mijn vrienden en vriendinnen ook in dit hoofdstuk graag willen bedanken. Lotte en Sophie, wat is het heerlijk om met jullie af te spreken! Onze middagen, avondjes of weekenden samen zijn altijd een combinatie van sportiviteit, eten en gezelligheid. Goede gesprekken en samen lachen, ik kan voor alles bij jullie terecht. In Amsterdam, Den Haag, Maastricht, Doetinchem, Rome of ergens daartussenin, we weten elkaar altijd weer te vinden en dat is super! Jill en Margit, ik heb genoten van onze weekendjes weg! Hamburg, Milaan, Keulen en Berlijn waren topweekenden samen met jullie. Maar ook een middag samen de Tour kijken in Utrecht of Doesburg, het maakt eigenlijk niet uit waar wij elkaar treffen, het is altijd fijn om met jullie samen te zijn! Aleid, je bent een ontzettend lieve en belangstellende vriendin. Altijd op de hoogte van hoe het met mij gaat en altijd even geïnteresseerd naar het onderzoek dat ik deed maar vooral ook wat ik daarbuiten doe. Even bellen lukt ons eigenlijk nooit, we hebben toch zeker een uur nodig om weer bij elkaar te komen. Ik heb genoten van onze vakantie in Luxemburg en wat fijn dat wij na ruim 15 jaar ineens weer een keer samen zijn gaan zwemmen in Mosaqua. Ik heb veel bewondering voor jouw doorzettingsvermogen en positiviteit! Bente, Esmée en Irene, jullie zijn laiverds! Waar we in Groningen nog bijna alle vier in dezelfde wijk woonden, zo ver woonden we de afgelopen jaren uit elkaar. Toch hebben we altijd nog contact gehouden en dat vind ik heel fijn. Onze afspraakjes ‘in the middle’ kunnen dadelijk worden voortgezet in Veenendaal! Joost, we kennen elkaar al bijna 30 jaar! Ik vind het bijzonder dat onze vriendschap al zoveel jaren duurt, en niet alleen die van ons, maar ook van onze ouders. Het weekend voordat ik startte in Maastricht zocht ik jou op in Wallingford. Daarna volgden nog veel gezellige weekendjes en dagjes in Enschede, Rotterdam, Amersfoort, Eindhoven en Maastricht. Het is ook altijd leuk om met z’n vieren af te spreken, hopelijk kunnen we weer samen op wintersportvakantie gaan! Marvin, bedankt voor de afleiding van werk met jouw humor. Altijd tijd voor het sturen van een filmpjes of een grap. We plannen regelmatig een etentje of een drankje in Doesburg, Doetinchem of Maastricht, ik hoop dat we dit nog heel lang voort blijven zetten! Thea, als ‘lotgenoten’ leerden we elkaar kennen tijdens ons gezamenlijke bachelor afstudeerproject, samen gingen we op pad door de ommelanden van Groningen. Het fijne contact bleef tijdens onze master, en ook nu zijn we ‘lotgenoten’ tijdens onze promotieprojecten, jij in Groningen, ik in Maastricht. Wat is het fijn om samen te praten over de projecten, maar vooral ook over alles eromheen. Ik kijk uit naar jouw promotie! Esme, wat is het leuk om een ‘Groninger’ tegen te komen in het zuiden! De etentjes waren altijd heerlijk en gezellig, maar ook ons uitje naar de schaatsbaan was tof! Jantine, we leerden elkaar vroeger kennen op de camping en nog steeds hebben we contact. We zien elkaar niet zo heel vaak, maar onze jaarlijkse weekendjes zijn altijd enorm gezellig en daar heb ik ook heel...
veel warme herinneringen aan! Donja, eigenlijk was het gek dat wij elkaar nog niet kenden. Onze ouders kennen elkaar, onze mannen waren huisgenoten en we hebben zelfs vriendinnen die bevriend zijn. Ik ben blij dat we elkaar de afgelopen jaren hebben leren kennen op DUB en daarbuiten. Een weekendje naar Vlissingen, een spelletjesavond, een drankje in Maastricht of een etentje in Duiven, er is altijd genoeg om over te kletsen!

Het spreekwoord luidt: ‘Beter een goede buur dan een verre vriend’, maar als je naast zoveel goede maar verre vrienden ook nog eens een goede buurvrouw hebt, dan heb je het wel heel erg getroffen. Tiny,hartelijk bedankt voor je belangstelling, de gezellige praatjes, een extra doosje aardbeien die je voor ons meenam als je langs de boer ging en de zelfgemaakte wafeltjes, vlaai en jam. Dat alles maakte het wonen in Maastricht wel extra fijn!

Linet en Nadia, jullie zijn dan misschien letterlijk groter, ik ben en blijf jullie grote zus! Lieve Linet, je weet wat je wilt en daar ga je dan ook voor: geneeskunde studeren, in opleiding tot jeugdarts, een huis kopen in Doetinchem, en het lukt je ook nog eens! Ook al ben je het bijna vergeten, we hebben een aantal jaren samen in Maastricht gewoond, waar we samen hebben gewandeld, geklust en gebarbecued in de tuin. Lieve Nadia, wat ben jij een harde werker, ik vind het knap hoe jij je studies, je werk en je sociale leven combineert! Onze vakanties in Italië en Engeland waren heerlijk: samen rondreizen, samen lachen (om niets), spelletjes spelen, haren vlechten, bergen beklimmen, ijsjes eten en ga zo maar door. Ik heb genoten van onze zussendagen; een wandeling over de Koekedaal, een knutselmiddag, een dagje Utrecht en concertbezoek. Ik hoop dat er nog veel zussendagen komen en dat we nog veel mooie momenten met elkaar mogen delen!

Papa en mama: oost west, thuis best. In het oosten zijn wij altijd welkom bij jullie en jullie steunen ons alle drie in de verschillende wegen die we bewandelen. Jullie geven ons de ruimte om te doen wat bij ons past. Waar we tijdens de studies erg verspreid zaten over het land (Groningen, Amsterdam, Maastricht) komen we nu wat meer in de buurt. Helaas worden de weekendjes Maastricht nu minder, maar daarentegen zijn jullie niet meer zo lang onderweg. Lieve papa, met jou reed ik naar Groningen om naar de open dag van Bewegingswetenschappen te gaan, om samen kamers te kijken, en ook nu hebben we samen naar woningen gekeken voor de volgende stap. Je hebt altijd doorgestudeerd en je haalde net een jaar eerder dan ik je mastertitel. Ga je nu ook nog voor de doctorstitel?! Nu we bijna allemaal zijn ‘afgestudeerd’, kijk ik uit naar ons uitstapje naar Madrid! Lieve mama, de interesse in de (ouderen)zorg heb ik waarschijnlijk van jou: de vele verhalen die je vertelde wanneer je thuiskwam van het werk, maar ook jouw betrokkenheid bij de mantelzorg van opa’s en oma’s. Ik vind het leuk om te zien dat je na een aantal jaren nu toch weer in de zorg bent gaan werken, en je weer met nieuwe enthousiaste verhalen terugkomt over hoe jij de bewoners hebt
kunnen stimuleren tijdens de dagelijkse activiteiten of een activiteit hebt georganiseerd voor de bewoners. Lieve papa en mama, ik vind het fijn om te zien dat jullie genieten van de tijd die jullie samen doorbrengen thuis, van de wandelingen met Cato en de weekendjes weg en ik hoop dat jullie dat ook nog heel lang blijven doen!

Liefste Kevin, wie had dat gedacht, dat wij zouden gaan samenwonen in Maastricht!? Door jouw komst naar Maastricht werd mijn huis een thuis. Een thuis waar we onszelf zijn, tot rust komen, gek doen, plannen maken, niets doen, eropuit gaan, vrienden en familie uitnodigen, en waar wij samen zijn. Je weet mij tot rust te brengen met je relativeringsvermogen wanneer ik overloop van dingen die ik wil of moet doen, en aan de andere kant weet je mij te motiveren om toch nog even door te gaan wanneer dat nodig is. Ik vind het bijzonder dat jij een belangrijke bijdrage hebt kunnen leveren aan mijn proefschrift door de cover te ontwerpen. Je steunt mij in de keuzes die ik maak, je staat altijd achter mij en samen gaan we ervoor. Ik kijk uit naar alles wat we samen gaan beleven!!!
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