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The end of body composition methodology research?
Steven B. Heymsfielda, Angelo Pietrobellib, ZiMian Wangc and Wim H.M. Sarisd

Purpose of review
Over one century of research has led to methods for measuring all major body components at the atomic, molecular, cellular, and tissue-system levels. These remarkable developments have fueled a rapid and sustained increase in ‘body composition’ biological findings and related publications. Other than small, incremental improvements in available methods, is there no longer a need for developing new body composition methods? This review examines the question: are we approaching the ‘end’ of body composition methodology research?

Recent findings
Emerging and rapidly growing areas outside of ‘traditional’ body composition research are highlighting the need for new and innovative method development. Recently introduced technologies such as positron emission tomography and functional magnetic resonance imaging extend ‘mass’ estimates to corresponding ‘function’ and physiology in humans. Although all major components are now measurable in humans, large gaps remain when considering factors such as radiation exposure, invasiveness, static versus dynamic measurements, and laboratory versus clinic and field assessments.

Summary
The end of the first phase of body composition method development has now arrived: all major components are measurable in vivo. The accessibility of these methods is stimulating rapid advances in biological knowledge surrounding human body composition from in utero to old age. Sustaining advances in new body composition method development will require extending the boundaries of the field as it now exists.
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In his classic book *End of Science*, John Horgan describes the rational idea that one day all scientific knowledge will be in hand [1]. Some fields have already reached maturity and progress in these areas is slow and findings are incremental. Others are new and exploding with activity. Like life itself, scientific disciplines are born, grow, mature, and potentially reach their logical ‘end’.

The assessment of body composition in animals and humans in vivo is an area of scientific research that overlaps with many different fields and disciplines. Nevertheless, there is a central core of scientists who meet on a regular basis (website: http://www.bc2005.soton.ac.uk) and whose primary focus is the development of methods for quantifying the different body compartments. Several journals now have entire sections devoted to body composition research and a new journal, the *International Journal of Body Composition Research* (website: http://www.ijbcr.com), is solely focused on methods and findings in the area. There are several multi-author textbooks on body composition methodology and findings [2–7], and a second edition of one [7] was released in the past several months. A search of *PubMed* with the term ‘body composition’ shows a striking rise over time in the yearly rate of total publications (Fig. 1) with no abatement in sight. But can we rest assured that the end of the ‘methodology’ area, specifically, is not approaching in the near future? There is a good reason to ask this question.

Body composition methodology is an area of investigation dedicated to the study and application of methods used to quantify body components from atomic to whole body levels. The methodology area of body composition research extends back several hundred years, but modern developments were initiated in the past century.

Early investigators had practical considerations in mind, for example, finding a means by which to non-destructively establish the oil content of fish [8]. A novel method was devised by which the fish specific gravity was established and oil quantified using a ‘two-component’ model. The two-component model qualitatively assumed that one component was oil with a low specific gravity and the second component was the remaining tissue with a higher specific gravity. Accordingly, specific gravity became a measure of fish oil content. During the mid 1940s the fish oil technique was refined and extended by Albert Behnke...
and his colleagues [9]. Behnke developed an underwater weighing system that included adjustment of specific gravity for residual air trapped in the lungs. Behnke and his colleagues also advanced a quantitative two-compartment human model consisting of fat and fat-free mass (often referred to as lean body mass), each with assumed stable densities. Siri [10,11] and other later investigators refined these density estimates such that in humans fat is now assumed to have a density of 0.9 g/cm$^3$ and fat-free mass a density of 1.1 g/cm$^3$ at body temperature. Assuming these two stable densities and provided with the subject’s measured density by underwater weighing, one can then compute the percentage of body weight as fat. The two-compartment model was later extended to three (water, fat, and residual mass) and four-compartment (water, fat, mineral, and residual mass) models with the addition of measures such as total body water and bone mineral [12]. Thus, when combined with other introduced methods such as in-vivo neutron activation analysis, all components at the ‘molecular level’ of body composition (Fig. 2) became measurable by the 1980s.

This specific methodology evolution and other similar parallel developments [7] is striking as over the past several decades the entire body composition compartment ‘map’, in addition to molecular level components, has been filled in (Fig. 2) [13]. The map shows the major body compartments at the four relevant levels, atomic, molecular, cellular, and tissue-system/organ. All of the compartments shown in Fig. 2 can now be measured in clinical and research settings with varying levels of accuracy and precision. For skeletal muscle, major muscles can be individually measured and not just the total body compartment. Similarly, many selected regions within the adipose tissue compartment are now recognized and can be accurately measured in vivo. Some complexities remain, such as how to measure very young subjects or even subjects in utero; and the ‘super-obese’. Even these areas are getting increasing scrutiny and are examined in this issue (Friedman, Bernstein, Shen and Das). Refined methods are being reported on a regular basis, such as the introduction of air displacement plethysmography, reviewed here by Fields, as an accurate and practical alternative to Behnke’s original underwater weighing technique now in use for over five decades [9]. Thus, the possibility exists that body composition methodology research may be reaching an incremental stage and that the ‘explosion’ in publications, now more than 3000 per year, is due to application of the available methods to improve understanding of biological processes. A key development is that many of the newer
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back seat to more proximal functional estimates. Fully processes, body composition measurements often take the biological 'malfunctions' are at the heart of disease pro-

volume of structures and not their 'functions'. Since is also concerned largely with measuring the mass or even pancreatic beta-cell mass? International efforts are already underway searching for means to measure these compartments that are central to disease processes, although much of the research is outside what might be considered 'classical' body composition investiga-
tion. Bridging these discipline gaps is critical because the knowledge gained by transcending traditional scientific boundaries may be substantial. At a cellular level we have seen the development of transcriptomics, proteomics, and more recently, also metabolomics, leading to the holistic approach of system biology. Classical body composition research might thus be viewed as the foundation of a field that has yet linked to these 'omics' technologies to emerge as a powerful research tool for all biological and medical sciences.

The classical body composition map as outlined in Fig. 2 is also concerned largely with measuring the mass or volume of structures and not their 'functions'. Since biological 'malfunctions' are at the heart of disease processes, body composition measurements often take the back seat to more proximal functional estimates. Fully characterizing physiological and disease processes in vivo, however, ideally captures changes in mass, structure/shape, and function over time (Fig. 3). Imagine being able to accurately measure both pancreatic β-cell mass and insulin secretion over time, particularly in response to various lifestyle and pharmacologic interventions. This is not a science-fiction dream, but a finite current goal of many research groups. Cardiac function, structure, and mass are now measurable using gated magnetic resonance imaging and myocardial oxygen consumption can be estimated using methods as such as oxygen-15 positron emission tomography (PET). Newer methods such as PET and functional magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy are aligning measures of mass, function, and metabolism.

Examining the wide potential scope of body composition methodology research gives rise to other new unexplored or only partially studied research pathways. The methods for estimating the mass of components in Fig. 2 can be organized, for example, into those that are appropriate for measuring total body versus regional, invasive versus non-invasive, radiation versus non-radiation, and static versus dynamic. The resulting body composition maps now have many open cells with methods awaiting either improvement or new development.

Conclusion

Boundless opportunities are available to not only develop new and powerful body composition measurement methods, but for greatly expanding the scope of biological knowledge. Traditional body composition methodology research, however, has not yet fully expanded into rapidly growing fields and bridges need to be built that join divergent and separate lines of scientific inquiry. Otherwise, the field of body composition methodology research is in danger of losing its brightest minds and reaching a finite end as predicted for some scientific areas by Horgan [1]. Alternatively, extending the field’s
boundaries to new research areas and aligning with other developing fields could lead to a sustained, even invigorated, scientific discipline devoted to body composition method development.
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