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1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Energy metabolism
Physiological science exists for several centuries and the functioning of the various organ systems has been studied extensively. These studies generally concerned the body in the resting state. Only occasionally exercise was used as a model to study the regulation of various organ systems. When athletic activities became more popular and physiologists were confronted with questions from coaches and athletes, investigations to study the responses of the body to exercise were intensified. These investigations accelerated the development of exercise physiology. In the early days of exercise physiology it were especially Scandinavian investigators who extended our knowledge of the body responses to exercise.

To standardize the physical work performed during exercise studies, various types of ergometers and test protocols were developed. The development of ergometry opened new ways of investigations in the laboratory and the insight into the responses of the body to exercise grew rather quickly. Of interest to the physiologists was the maintenance of homeostasis during exercise. Generally accepted concepts had to be revised, since serious disturbances in homeostasis, which were associated with life threatening conditions in patients, had to be considered as normal in healthy people during exercise. For example, a decrease in blood pH below 7.0 is life threatening in patients, while such values are often observed in athletes after an event of 2 to 4 minutes, without being harmful. Another striking finding in athletes as compared with non-trained people, was their high maximal cardiac output (up to 30-40 l.min\(^{-1}\)) and their high pulmonary ventilation (up to 200 l.min\(^{-1}\)). To enable the human body to work at high intensity, the different organ systems all serve the organ that finally delivers the work: the muscle. As with increasing workloads the muscle needs more oxygen, ventilation is stimulated and cardiac output is increased to transport oxygen. The latter is achieved by an increase in both stroke volume and heart rate. As maximal heart rate is not different in trained and untrained people, athletes' hearts are characterized by a high stroke volume, resulting
in high cardiac outputs. Beside the transport of oxygen and carbon
dioxide, circulation has to transport heat to maintain body tempera-
ture at a tolerable level. The rise in body temperature during exercise
may be considered as an increase in hypothalamic "set point" tempera-
These functions of the circulation are facilitated by a change in
distribution of cardiac output. While at rest muscle tissue receives
15-20% of cardiac output, during exercise this may increase to 80-85% (Astrand, 1970).

By investigating the organ systems, especially the cardiorespi-
ramatory system, physiologists tried to find an explanation for the
athlete's ability to sustain heavy exercise for relatively long pe-
riods of time and to increase their energy metabolism about 10 to 20
times the resting value during exercise. The energy delivery has drawn
special attention, because in all athletic events with a cyclic
character, high energy delivery is an absolute prerequisite for athlet-
ic endurance.

The energy needed for muscle contraction is delivered by splitting
the energy rich phosphate ATP (for ref Mathews and Fox, 1976). In the
resting state the muscle fiber contains a small ATP store, which can
be maintained since the ATP used, is resynthesized continuously. This
is accomplished in different ways. If enough oxygen is available the
pyruvate, originating from breakdown of glycogen or glucose, enters
the mitochondria and is metabolized in the citric acid cycle. The
$H^+$ ions produced in the citric acid cycle are transported by carriers
as NAD and FAD to the "respiratory chain", a series of enzymes (the
cytochromes) at the inner surface of the mitochondrial membrane. Here
oxygen is reduced, under formation of water while the liberated energy
is used for ATP resynthesis. In this way, each molecule of glucose
delivers 36 molecules of ATP. The maximum ATP resynthesis rate by this
aerobic energy metabolism is 2.2 mmol ATP per kg dry weight per se-
cond. If the ATP breakdown exceeds aerobic resynthesis capacity, as
may be the case in heavy exercise, additional ATP can be formed by the
faster process of anaerobic breakdown of glucose via pyruvate to
lactate. Lactate is formed because not all the NADH$_2$ can be processed
by the respiratory chain, so the $H^+$ ions are accepted by pyruvate,
resulting in lactate formation. The ATP resynthesis rate by this so-called lactic anaerobic energy metabolism is approximately twice the amount obtained by aerobic metabolism. In vigorous exercise, the anaerobic lactic metabolism starts immediately and reaches its maximal ATP resynthesis rate after 15-20 seconds. The lactate, however, decreases intracellular pH, which is supposed to inhibit glycolysis and the contractile process. Especially events of 10 to 60 seconds duration depend on lactic anaerobic metabolism. If the body has to start vigorous exercise from the resting state, energy for muscle contraction is delivered by splitting the ATP of the limited store, while quick resynthesis is temporarily met by breakdown of creatine phosphate (CP). This lactic-anaerobic metabolism results in an ATP resynthesis rate, which is 5 to 6 times higher than that of aerobic metabolism. However, the fast breakdown of ATP and CP as well as the limited amounts of these substances leads to depletion within 10 seconds. So only in events like a 100 meter dash, energy metabolism almost exclusively depends on lactic anaerobic metabolism.

Concerning energy delivery, one can distinguish different kinds of physical endurance.

a. Activities which last 1 minute or less depend almost entirely on anaerobic energy delivery and require anaerobic physical endurance.

b. Activities which last between 1 and approximately 10 minutes depend almost exclusively on maximal capacity of aerobic energy delivery and require aerobic physical endurance and to a lesser extend anaerobic physical endurance.

c. Activities which last for a longer period of time depend on aerobic energy delivery. In these activities the athletes are not able to work continuously at maximal aerobic capacity, but at a somewhat lower level (Costill et al., 1976). These activities require aerobic physical endurance.

In contrast to anaerobic energy delivery, aerobic energy metabolism can be measured relatively easily in a laboratory situation. By loading an athlete on an ergometer and increasing the workload gradually, the oxygen uptake can be measured via the lung ventilation. At a certain point, when the athlete is nearly maximally exerted, the highest oxygen uptake is measured. This highest oxygen uptake measured
is called maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$), aerobic capacity or aerobic power (Astrand and Rodahl, 1970). Because each liter of oxygen taken up is equivalent to about 20,934 kJ, the higher the capacity to consume oxygen is, the higher the energy output will be. Therefore, $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ is considered to be a good parameter to estimate athletic endurance capacity in events which require high aerobic metabolism.

1.2 Assessment of $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$

When using $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ as parameter to estimate physical endurance, some problems arise. First of all expensive equipment and skilled personnel are required to measure $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ accurately while a $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ test itself is time consuming. Another problem is the definition of "the" $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ because different kinds of ergometers as well as different test protocols produce a different $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ value in the same subject.

The type of ergometer is most important and many simple as well as sophisticated ergometers have been used. Although for instance climbing stairs, stepping up and down a bench or swimming in a flume may be suitable in certain cases, two tools turned out to be most advantageous: the bicycle ergometer and the treadmill. The treadmill loads the subject in a natural way, as walking and running are familiar to all subjects. The speed of the treadmill can be varied as well as the slope. Drawbacks of treadmill exercise are the more difficult collection of blood, the difficulties encountered in calculating the external load, more difficult auscultation of heart and lungs and the risk of injuries. The bicycle ergometer has the advantage that the subject is sitting, so taking blood, measuring different variables and auscultation of the heart and lungs is relatively easy. Besides, the resistance can be adjusted precisely, so the external load can be calculated easily and rather accurately. A possible drawback of the bicycle ergometer is that especially in some countries, people are unfamiliar with cycling.

Comparison of the $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ values obtained on a bicycle ergometer and a treadmill revealed that the $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ as measured on the treadmill generally exceeds the $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ as measured on a bicycle ergometer (Keren et al, 1980). However, it was also reported that trained cyclists could attain the same $\dot{V}O_2^{\text{max}}$ on a bicycle ergometer and on a
treadmill (Hagberg et al., 1978). This is in keeping with the findings of Verstappen and his colleagues (1982), who reported that in cyclists the $\dot{V}O_2\max$ was the same when measured on a treadmill and on a bicycle ergometer, while in long distance runners $\dot{V}O_2\max$ measured on the treadmill exceeded $\dot{V}O_2\max$ measured on the bicycle ergometer. This seems to agree with the findings of Strenne and co-workers (1977) who measured $\dot{V}O_2\max$ in elite skiers, rowers and cyclists both on a treadmill and a bicycle ergometer as well as during the specific athletic activity. In all subjects $\dot{V}O_2\max$ was highest during the specific athletic activity. Only the cyclists attained the same $\dot{V}O_2\max$ on a bicycle ergometer. In general, it can be concluded that in untrained people exhaustive walking or running uphill elicits the highest $\dot{V}O_2\max$, whereas in trained athletes the highest $\dot{V}O_2\max$ may be obtained on an ergometer, simulating the specific athletic activity. So the type of ergometer may be of great importance in measuring the athletes' $\dot{V}O_2\max$.

Another factor that affects $\dot{V}O_2\max$ is the test protocol used. Even when using one type of ergometer and a gradual increase of workload, differences in $\dot{V}O_2\max$ can be measured by using different exercise protocols. Prinzen and co-workers (1979) measured $\dot{V}O_2\max$ on a bicycle ergometer in a number of subjects making use of 3 different test protocols. The first protocol started at 30 Watt while the load was increased with 30 Watt each 3 minutes. In the second protocol the load started at 50 Watt whereupon the load was increased each 2 minutes by 50 Watt, but from a heart rate of approximately 160 beats per minute the load was increased by 25 Watt each 2 minutes. In the third protocol the total amount of work performed at each workload was the same. This implies that the working time at higher loads decreased to less than 1 minute. In the first protocol a significantly higher $\dot{V}O_2\max$ was found than in the other protocols with a maximum difference in $\dot{V}O_2\max$ of 5.2%. Although in the latter study the third protocol did not produce the highest $\dot{V}O_2\max$ it has also been reported that tests, in which the subject is loaded at an intensity which requires anaerobic metabolism, do give higher $\dot{V}O_2\max$ values than tests with a gradually increasing workload (Knowlton et al., 1977). An additional factor that can influence the $\dot{V}O_2\max$ measured on a bicycle ergometer
is the rate of revolution of the paddles. Using higher revolution rates than the recommended 60 rpm (Åstrand and Rodahl 1976; Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980) resulted in higher values of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) (Eckermann and Millahn, 1967; Hermansen and Saltin, 1969). In a recent review on the influence of revolution rate on \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \), Poulos and Vos (1982) came to the conclusion that in untrained people a revolution rate of 50 to 70 per minute elicits the highest \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \). In trained people, also in those not accustomed to perform on a bicycle, revolution rates between 80 and 100 per minute resulted in the highest \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) values. On a treadmill the use of an inclination leads to somewhat higher \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) values, than when running horizontally (Taylor et al 1955, Hermansen and Saltin 1969).

In summary it can be concluded, that in a laboratory with skilled personnel the athlete's \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) can be determined with in accuracy of \( \pm 5\% \), while the type of ergometer and the protocol used, may influence the measurements. It should be keep in mind that \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) is an indirect parameter of maximal aerobic energy output of the body, which in turn has to be converted into propulsive speed.

1.1 \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) and athletic performance

Not only the \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \), but finally the amount of power produced by aerobic metabolism which is converted into propulsive speed, determines the athletic performance. Verstappen and co-workers (1982) reported that at all workloads long distance runners used more oxygen than cyclists when working on a bicycle ergometer, while on the treadmill cyclists showed a higher oxygen uptake than runners at comparable speeds. This indicates that efficiency of movement is important for athletic performance too. So, when investigating the relationship between oxygen uptake and external workload, the type of ergometer used to measure \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) is likely to be of great importance and the efficiency (for definition see section 2.4.7) has to be taken into account.

Even if the \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) is measured on an ergometer which has great similarity to the actual athletic activity, using \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) as a parameter for physical endurance has its limitations. It was reported that in middle and long distance runners \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) did not improve anymore
after a training period, whereas athletic performance in 800 and 3000 m running did (Daniels et al 1978). Houston and co-investigators (1979) tested 8 well trained runners before and after a two week period of inactivity and again after a two week training period. After the inactivity the \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max decreased by 4%, while the endurance time at high intensity increased by 25%. After two weeks training, however, the \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max was restored again, while the endurance time was decreased. This is in agreement with the observation in speed skaters, whose enhanced skating performance was not reflected in an increased \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max as measured in the laboratory (Enschede, 1960).

Because of the difficulties encountered in the assessment of \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max a discrepancy between \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max and athletic performance may occur. Since the amount of power that can be sustained during the athletic activity is of decisive importance it seems worthwhile to consider the maximal workload that an athlete can attain during a progressive test, as an additional parameter for physical endurance. In this test an ergometer with high similarity to the actual athletic activity should be used. Although the validation of the maximal workload attained as parameter for athletic performance is indicated by the findings in speed skaters, (Geyser, 1976, van Ingen Schenau 1981) no extensive literature on this subject is available.

1.4 Laboratory tests for estimating physical endurance

Because trainers and others involved in attending athletes want to be informed of the effects of training, a variety of tests to estimate physical endurance has been developed. Because the validity of the various tests was different, a limited number of test methods is used to date. It was found that one of the most valid parameters for aerobic physical endurance is \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max. Although we pointed out that the measurement of \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max and its use as parameter to assess physical endurance has its limitations, in practice most tests to evaluate the athlete's physical endurance are based upon the assessment of maximal oxygen uptake. Because accurate measurement of \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max is difficult and expensive, several methods have been developed to predict \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max or to estimate physical endurance (Vos et al, 1981). Astrand and Rhyming (1954) introduced their well known method to predict \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max from heart
rate values at submaximal exercise. Because prediction of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) by
the method of Åstrand and Rhyming (1954) is easy to perform it has
become very popular and is widely used. The method is based upon the
following assumptions:
a. a linear relation between heart rate and oxygen uptake during
exercise. However, this is not always the case (Åstrand and Rodahl,
1970).
b. The relationship between heart rate and relative workload is simi-
lar in all subjects (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970). This means that a
70% \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) workload of a world class runner will elicit the same
heart rate as the jogger who also runs at 70% of his \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) load,
despite the fact that the absolute load of the top athlete will be
higher than that of the jogger.

In the original Åstrand-Rhyming test, the subjects are loaded for 6
minutes at an intensity producing a heart rate between 120 and 170
beats per minute. The heart rate attained at a known load is supposed
to correspond with a certain percentage of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \), so maximal \( \dot{V}O_2 \) can
be estimated by extrapolation. However, various factors can influence
the predicted \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \), even when the test is performed under standard
conditions. Åstrand reported differences between estimated and actual
\( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) up to 10-15% while the correlation coefficient between pre-
dicted \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) and actual \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) was only 0.78 (Åstrand and Rodahl,
1970). Similar correlations between predicted and measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) were
found by a variety of investigators (Rowell et al., 1964; Glassford et
al., 1965; De Vries and Klafs, 1965; Davies, 1968; Jessup et al., 1977;
Myles and Toft, 1982; Patton et al., 1982). In general, in trained
people \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) is overestimated while in non-trained subjects \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) is
underestimated with this method (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970). Thøs and
Israel (1975), however, described an overestimation of the \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) in
untrained subjects by the Åstrand test.

Another disadvantage of the Åstrand-Rhyming test is that comparison
between laboratories is difficult because of the different results
obtained. Factors that may lead to a less accurate estimation of
\( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \), are:
- insufficient control of the test-conditions (Åstrand and Rodahl,
1970).
- use of different types of ergometers, which can lead to differences of about 8% in external work in spite of correct calibration (Binkhorst et al., 1973).
- inadequate calibration of the ergometer.

It is assumed that within the same subject the reproducibility of predicted and measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) is similar (Binkhorst, 1982). However, differences in predicted \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) are generally interpreted as changes in physical endurance.

Although the Åstrand-Rhyming test has the advantage that it is easy to perform and takes little time, the test result can be influenced by different factors as discussed before. Estimation of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) from the linear relationship between external workload and oxygen uptake (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970; Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980) may be a possible alternative. If this relation holds, one could estimate \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) by determining the maximal workload that a subject can attain in a progressive test and deduce \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) from this relationship. The use of this method is only allowed if the inter-individual differences are limited and the linear relationship holds for all workloads. The latter, however, may be questioned. Because it is assumed that the efficiency during cycling is not different for low and high workloads (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970), theoretically a linear relation might be expected. However, during heavy exercise additional muscles, like those pulling the handlebars, are activated, while the respiratory muscles increase their oxygen uptake disproportionally to about 10% of total oxygen uptake during maximal exercise (Nielsen, 1936; Utts, 1964). Besides, the oxygen consumption of the heart increases by about 200 ml.min\(^{-1}\). Taking this into consideration, an exponential increase in oxygen uptake may be expected. On the other hand it has been reported that the oxygen uptake levels off at higher loads which means that it does not increase anymore with increasing loads (Åstrand and Rhyming, 1970; Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980). Today this levelling off phenomenon is still used as criterion for maximal exertion and having reached \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \). Levelling off of oxygen uptake, however, has not always been observed by other investigators (Kuipers et al., 1980). It might be that the test protocol itself is responsible for this phe-
nomenon, because Hollmann and Hettinger (1980) reported that levelling off is most commonly found during treadmill exercise, while in bicycle ergometer tests the subjects had to stop the exercise because of local fatigue before the levelling off phenomenon occurred.

Many athletic activities are performed at a level which is 5-10% below the $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ workload (Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980). Endurance training hardly improves $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ although submaximal loads can be sustained for a longer period of time (Gleser and Vogel, 1973; Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980). The latter may be explained by metabolic adaptations, shifting the anaerobic energy delivery to a higher percentage of the $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ workload. This implies that the anaerobic glycolysis is postponed to a higher relative workload and hence the rise in blood lactate. This delayed rise in blood lactate concentration is supposed to lead to reduced stimulation of respiration during submaximal work (Hollmann, 1967). The workload at which blood lactate as well as expiratory volume show a marked increase, is called aerobic-anaerobic transition. In 1964 Wassermann introduced the term anaerobic threshold which was defined as the workload in a progressive test at which the expiratory volume ($\dot{V}E$) and expired CO$_2$ ($\dot{V}CO_2$) change from a linear to an exponential increase with increasing workloads. Wassermann explained this phenomenon as follows. At low work intensities $\dot{V}E$ and $\dot{V}CO_2$ show a linear increase with increasing workloads, but when anaerobic metabolism comes into play the formed lactate diffuses to the blood where it is buffered by bicarbonate. This results in extra CO$_2$ production, which has a stimulatory effect on respiration, leading to a disproportionate increase in $\dot{V}E$ and $\dot{V}CO_2$. Davis et al (1976) reported a correlation of 0.95 between the estimated "ventilatory" anaerobic threshold as defined by Wassermann and the rise in venous blood lactate. Because of this relationship, Mader and co-workers (1976) investigated the lactate kinetics in the peripheral blood during exercise. Because Mader and his colleagues empirically established that during increasing exercise blood lactate started to rise exponentially from blood lactate concentrations of 4 mmol.l$^{-1}$, the workload at which the lactate amounted to 4 mmol.l$^{-1}$ was defined as the anaerobic threshold. Mader stated that in the laboratory the specific physical endurance of an athlete can be estimated by deter-
mining the workload at which the blood lactate amounts to 4 mmol.l⁻¹. He observed that training, resulting in an improvement of athletic performance, also resulted in an upward shift of the anaerobic threshold. During the last few years, however, conflicting results concerning the anaerobic threshold were published (Rupp, 1981; McLellan et al., 1981). Turner (1981) reported that the "ventilatory" anaerobic threshold and the "lactate" anaerobic threshold could be manipulated independently which is not surprising, because the ventilatory response during exercise is not merely regulated by pH or CO₂ production. At present no substantial data are available on the reproducibility of anaerobic threshold determination.

The athletic ability to sustain submaximal workloads for longer periods of time has not only been approached by measuring the anaerobic threshold. Some investigators have loaded their subjects at a fixed workload and measured the endurance time (v. Reekum, 1974; Gysel, 1979). Although in the latter study the observed differences at different occasions were statistically significant, a considerable inter- as well as intra-individual variation was observed. Unfortunately, in the study of Gysel (1979) no relationship between physiological variables and exhaustion was reported, so the physiological load cannot be estimated. Neither, an analysis of the variation in endurance time was presented.

A parameter for physical endurance in athletes which is often used by trainers, coaches and athletes, is the recovery of heart rate after exercise. Also in the laboratory the recovery of heart rate has been used to estimate the physical endurance. A variety of indicators which can be calculated from the recovery of heart rate at different time intervals after a given workload, has been used. Although no conclusive references are available, heart rate is supposed to recover the quicker, the better the physical endurance is. In the present study recovery of heart rate was also investigated.
In summary it can be concluded that the validity of laboratory tests in order to evaluate the physical endurance, is still under debate.

1.5 Variability in athletic performance
Variations in athletic performance on a day to day or a week to week basis are well known. The cause of these variations is still incompletely understood. Part of the variability may be explained by differences in external conditions as for instance wind and surface. Besides, it has been assumed that these fluctuations are of psychological origin. To study variability of physical performance in the laboratory, Katch and co-workers (1982) studied 4 females and 1 male in 8 to 20 successive standard tests on the treadmill during 2-4 weeks. They observed a variability in \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) with a coefficient of variation (sd.mean\(^{-1}\) x 100\%) between 3.7 and 7.3\% while it could be calculated that the coefficient of variation in total running time was 4.1 to 7.8\%. Unfortunately no correlation between maximal external workload and \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) was reported. Because all tests were considered to be maximal as based upon the maximal heart rates reached and the subjective signs as visual distress and fatigue, Katch concluded that the observed variability in \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) and in external workload must be of biological rather than psychological origin. Wright and co-workers (1978) followed two athletes during a 17 week period, in which they were tested weekly. In these subjects the coefficient of variation in \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) was 5.1 and 6.8\%, respectively. No other extensive studies concerning the variability of \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) or physical endurance are known. It may be questioned, however, whether the mentioned studies can explain variability in athletic performance.

Another controversial problem concerning variability of physical performance is the influence of the menstrual cycle. Conflicting results with respect to this topic have been reported (for references Wilmore, 1973). Hollmann and Hettinger (1980) reported that the post-menstrual cycle period leads to increased performance, while just prior to and during the menstrual period a decrease in performance could be observed. Jurkowski and collaborators (1981) showed that aerobic physical endurance and cardiorespiratory functions are not
influenced by the phase of the menstrual cycle, in contrast to anaerobic physical endurance. It was reported that during the luteal phase lactate production as well as anaerobic capacity was improved. On the other hand Stephenson and co-investigators (1982) could not demonstrate any difference in $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ and subjective rating of exertion between the various phases of the menstrual cycle.

1.6 Fatigue and performance

The term fatigue is used very commonly in daily practice. However, different subjective feelings are expressed by the non-specific term fatigue. It is obvious that the words: "I am tired, fatigued", spoken by an investigator who had a busy day in the laboratory, express quite different feelings than the same words spoken by a cyclist, just after a 200 km bicycle race. The signals which the subject perceives from the body during heavy exercise as in the test procedure, have the character of discomfort or stress. During an exercise test or an athletic contest a subject has to deal with feelings of discomfort and stress on one hand and motivational drive on the other. At the very moment that stress and discomfort cannot be counterbalanced by motivation, the subject gives up. Encouragement during competition as well as during the test is meant to increase motivation. To get insight into the perceived exertion, Borg (1962) developed a rating scale for perceived exertion (RPE). This scale includes 15 points, 6 through 20 and its construction was based upon the relationship between heart rate and subjective feelings. If the RPE is multiplied by 10, the heart rate can be approximated. Borg cautions however that this approximation is a simplification and should not be taken too literally (Borg, 1973). A disadvantage of the Borg scale is that overall heaviness of the workload is scored, while a subject perceives different stressful signals from the body while each of them can cause such a stress that it forces the subject to stop. During heavy exercise breathing can be experienced as stressful, while the working muscles can cause feelings that are interpreted as pain. Another subjective experience is that during heavy exercise the muscle strength seems to decrease, resulting in the inability to maintain the
required revolution rate. Additionally the working body can produce many undefinable feelings that contribute to the feelings of stress. Some investigators have tried to develop scales that differentiate between these sensations (Ekblom and Goldberg, 1971; Simonson and Weiser, 1976). Subjective feelings as expressed by athletes, indicate that different kinds of stress can be performance limiting. On some days pain in the working muscles seems to be dominating, while on others respiratory stress limits performance. A laboratory test can be considered as an athletic performance too, because in progressive exercise tests subjects are asked to continue until exhaustion. Although the level of exertion can be objectivated by physiological variables as heart rate, respiratory exchange ratio and lactate level, the moment of stopping the test is a decision made by the subject. To judge whether a subject is really exhausted, most investigators use a number of criteria to be fulfilled. This already indicates that there is no absolute physiological criterion to estimate the exact level of exertion. Generally spoken a subject stops exercise because of fatigue. If the fatigue is so intense that the continuation of exercise is impossible despite utmost efforts of the subject, we may speak of exhaustion.

1.7 The present study
As pointed out, the maximal amount of power that a person can produce aerobically and which can be converted into speed, is of final importance for athletic performance. This maximal amount of power can be assessed in the laboratory on a bicycle ergometer and may be considered as the highest workload a subject can sustain in a progressive exercise test for at least two minutes. Therefore, in bicycle trained people this maximal amount of power (Wmax), expressed in watts, can be considered as a physical performance in the laboratory which is mainly dependent on aerobic physical endurance. This allows to measure physical performance repeatedly in the laboratory setting.

The purpose of the present study is:
a. to evaluate the variability of physical performance and of physiological responses to a standardized test with gradually increasing workload.
b. to investigate the influence of the menstrual cycle upon physical performance and on physiological responses to exercise.
c. to study the relationship between oxygen uptake and external workload to investigate the accuracy of estimating $\dot{V}O_2\max$ from $W_{max}$.
d. to evaluate the validity of some laboratory tests, generally used to estimate aerobic physical endurance.
e. to study the relationship between physiological variables and subjective perception of fatigue.

1.8 Choice of the test protocol
The test protocol should be designed so that the aim of the study could be fulfilled. Besides, each test should provide the data required in a relatively short period of time. However, to investigate the relationship between external workload and $\dot{V}O_2$, the organ systems must have the opportunity to adapt to each workload, because the larger a load-increment is, the longer the time needed for adaptations will be. A complicating factor is that the maximal load attained, decreases exponentially with increasing duration of each load (Whipp et al., 1974). Therefore, we had to compromise on load increment, the time available to each load and the total test duration. Comparison between individuals could be achieved by choosing relative workloads from the previously determined maximal workload of the subject.

All these requirements led to a bicycle ergometer test in which each subject started at the same relative workload, while the load increments consisted of 5% of the individual maximal workload attained. In this way each test consisted of in the average 7 different workloads with a total duration of about 20 minutes.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Subjects

2.1.1 Subjects for the main study.
Eight female and 11 male volunteers participated in the study. All subjects were highly motivated and in excellent health. The characteristics of the subjects are listed in table 2.1. The female subjects registered their menstrual cycle. Each cycle was then divided into 4 equal periods, of which the menstrual period was the first. All female subjects had a natural cycle and none of them used anticonceptive drugs. The subjects were physically active by regular running and cycling for at least 3 to 5 hours per week, but none of them competed in races or contests during the experimental period. Neither the amount of exercise nor the intensity changed significantly during the experimental period. All subjects were non-smokers and did not use any drugs. These subjects followed the regular protocol as described in section 2.2.2.

2.1.2. Subjects for the study on endurance time.
A separate study to evaluate the endurance time at a constant workload as parameter for physical endurance was performed with 10 male subjects. The subjects were in excellent health and 20-31 years old. Three subjects were sedentary, 4 physically active and 3 were well-trained. The characteristics are presented in table 2.2. These subjects performed 4 tests in which they had to work as long as possible on a bicycle ergometer at 80% of the individual maximal workload attained. The protocol is described in section 2.2.3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>code nr.</th>
<th>age (years)</th>
<th>body weight (kg)</th>
<th>mean test-Wmax (Watt)</th>
<th>mean VO\textsubscript{max} (ml/kg/min)</th>
<th>number of successful experiments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2.2 Characteristics of the subjects who participated in the endurance test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subj.</th>
<th>age (years)</th>
<th>body-weight (kg)</th>
<th>( V_{O_2 \text{max}} ) (ml/kg/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Test protocol

2.2.1 Assessment of the mean individual maximal workload in the pre-test period.

To obtain comparable test protocols for all subjects, each protocol was based upon the individual maximal workload attained. Therefore, before the actual experiments started in each subject the maximal workload was assessed 2-3 times in successive tests. Each subject was loaded on a hyperbolic bicycle ergometer (Lode), calibrated at 80 rpm. At this 80-rpm the subjects started at 100 Watt during 5 minutes whereupon each 2½ minute the load was increased by 50 Watt. From a heart rate of 160 beats.min\(^{-1}\) the load was increased by 25 Watt in men and 15 Watt in women every 2½ minute, until the subject had to give up. In each test the maximal workload attained (test-Wmax) was defined as the highest workload the subject completed and if a workload was not completed, the test-Wmax was determined with the following formula:

\[
\text{test-Wmax} = W_{\text{com}} + \left( \frac{t}{150} \times \Delta W \right)
\]
in which $W_{com}$ is the last load which the subject completed for $2\frac{1}{2}$ minutes, $t$ the number of seconds the final, not completed load was sustained, and $dW$ the final load increment.

2.2.2 Regular protocol.

The mean individual maximal workload attained in 2-3 tests (mean test $W_{max}$) was the basis for the regular protocol as used in this study. It consisted of 5 minutes warming up at 70% of the individual mean test $W_{max}$, whereupon each $2\frac{1}{2}$ minutes the load was increased by a step which amounted to 5% of the individual mean test $W_{max}$. The load was increased until the subject gave up, despite encouragement. The subjects were instructed to keep the pedalling rate constant, between 75 and 85 rpm. After stopping the exercise the subjects had to rest on the bicycle ergometer for 5 min. During the experiment no information was given to the subject about workload and values of the physiological variables.

Before, during and after the test different physiological variables were measured.

2.2.3 Test protocol to study endurance time at a constant workload.

In a separate study the endurance time at a constant workload, 80% of the individual $W_{max}$, was investigated. To study also the influence of preceding heavy exercise on the endurance time and the physiological variables, two endurance tests were preceded by the test to assess $W_{max}$. The study on the endurance time at a constant workload consisted of 4 tests, performed on the bicycle ergometer at one week intervals. In the first test, the subjects started at 100 Watt for 3 minutes whereupon each 3 minutes the load was increased by 50 Watt. From a heart rate of 160 beats min$^{-1}$, the load was increased by 25 Watt each 3 minutes, till exhaustion. During the last 30 seconds of each workload blood for lactate analysis was collected from a forearm vein, and heart rate was determined. After exhaustion, the subjects recovered for 10 minutes by easily pedalling at a workload of 75 Watt, whereupon they were loaded again at 80% of the maximal workload just attained.
This load had to be executed as long as possible. If a subject sustained this load for 60 minutes the test was terminated. The subjects were externally motivated by encouragements of the experimenter. Every 10th minute a blood sample for lactate analysis was collected and heart rate was measured. One week later test 2 was performed. The subject was loaded again without any warming up, at 80% of the \( \overline{Wmax} \) attained the week before. Again heart rate and blood lactate concentration were measured every 10 minutes. The 3rd and 4th test consisted of a repetition of test 1 and test 2, respectively.

2.3 Procedure

After arrival in the laboratory the subject was weighed. A cannula (Butterfly 19-b-11-10) was inserted into a forearm vein and connected to an infusion system, filled with isotonie saline, to which heparin had been added (250 IU per 10 ml saline). A three-way stopcock was intercalated to collect venous blood samples. Because the first fraction was mixed with saline, the first ml was discarded. After blood collection the system was flushed with saline. Although it might be preferable to use arterial blood, in the present study collection of venous blood was chosen because blood in forearm veins is arterialized during exercise (Yoshida, 1982).

The subject was provided with pregelled ECG electrodes (Commed) after the skin had been defatted with alcohol. The subject took place on the bicycle ergometer while the saddle and handle bars were positioned so that it was most convenient to the subject. After the subject was connected to the ECG recorder and breathed via a face mask, the experiment started with the measurements under resting conditions, i.e. sitting on the bicycle ergometer. The room temperature was kept at 20±1°C, while the relative humidity was 40-50%. A ventilator was placed in front of the subjects, which was turned on if wanted by the subject.

To assess statistically significant differences, if any, each subject had to perform a sufficient number of successful experiments. Although the first design consisted of 10 experiments in each subject, during the experimental period the number was increased for statis-
tical reasons. The subjects who followed the regular protocol generally performed the test between 20 and 25 times during consecutive weeks. In some subjects tests had to be discarded for technical reasons. In practice it turned out that for each subject a period of 9 to 12 months was needed to complete the required number of experiments. Only 2 subjects were able to perform tests over a period of two years, resulting in a large number of experiments. In these subjects especially seasonal influences on the measured physiological variables were investigated.

2.4 Variables

All following variables were determined or calculated for the resting state and the last 30 seconds of each workload. Heart rate was also determined at the 1st and 5th minute of the recovery phase.

2.4.1 Heart rate

Heart rate was used to trace the circulatory response during exercise and in the recovery period. Heart rate at one minute after exercise was chosen because this interval is commonly used in tests to estimate physical endurance from the recovery of heart rate. The recovery of heart rate 3 minutes after exercise was found to vary considerably in cyclists (unpublished observation). Because in these cyclists it was indicated that the variation in heart rate was less 5 minutes after exercise, this time interval was also chosen in the present study. The ECG was registered on an 8 channel recorder (Schwarzer) and displayed on a Statscope II-Monitor (BD-Electrodyne). Heart rate was calculated either by hand from the recorded ECG or automatically using the Statscope II (BD-Electrodyne).
2.4.2 Respiratory variables: expiratory minute volume ($\dot{V}_E$), respiratory rate, oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$), respiratory exchange ratio (R), respiratory equivalent ($\dot{V}_E/\dot{V}O_2$).

The respiratory variables were used to get information about the respiratory and metabolic responses to exercise. The subject breathed via a face mask connected to a low resistance valve system to guide the expiratory air flow through a pneumotachograph into a Douglas bag which was used to perform the analysis for O$_2$ and CO$_2$. The temperature of the pneumotachograph was controlled by a heating system that maintained the temperature at 37.0±1.0°C. (The temperature of the expiratory air was checked by a thermocouple that was placed directly behind the pneumotachograph). The integrated expiratory volume was recorded on an 8-channel recorder (Schwarzer) and both this volume and the respiratory rate were calculated. The pneumotachograph was calibrated with an air flow calibration set (Gowart) before each experiment. The measured $\dot{V}_E$ was corrected for discontinuous flow (section 3.4.1). The recorded expiratory volume consisted of air at 37.0±1.0°C, at ambient barometric pressure and was saturated with vapour (BTPS or ATPS conditions). Because calculations were made with air under standard conditions, the expiratory volume in BTPS or ATPS was converted to standard conditions, (STPD) using the equation (Diems and Lentner, 1971)

\[
\dot{V}_E^{\text{STPD}} = \dot{V}_E^{\text{ATPS}} \times \frac{273}{273 + t} \times \frac{P_B - P_{H2O}}{760}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

in which $t$ is the temperature (°C) at which $\dot{V}_E$ is measured and $P_{H2O}$ the vapour pressure at that temperature, and $P_B$ the barometric pressure (mmHg). When $\dot{V}_E$ is measured under BTPS conditions, the equation can be written as

\[
\dot{V}_E^{\text{STPD}} = \dot{V}_E^{\text{BTPS}} \times 0.8806 \times \frac{P_B - 47}{760}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)
A gas sample from the Douglas bag was analyzed for CO₂ and O₂, using a mass spectrometer (Riber) or using a paramagnetic analyzer (Rappox, Godart) for O₂, and an infrared analyzer (Mijnhardt) for CO₂. Calibration was done with 2 calibration gases with O₂ and CO₂ concentrations in the physiological range. The calibration gases were checked using the Scholander method. \( \dot{V}O₂ \) was calculated with the following equation (for derivation section 3.4.3).

\[
\dot{V}O₂ = \frac{\dot{V}E \left[ 1 - (F_{EO2} + F_{ECO2}) \right]}{0.7903 \times 0.2093 - \dot{V}E \times F_{EO2}}
\]  

(2.3)

in which \( F_{EO2} \) and \( F_{ECO2} \) are the fractional concentrations of O₂ and CO₂ in expiratory air, respectively.

\( \dot{V}CO₂ \) was calculated from

\[
\dot{V}CO₂ = F_{ECO2} \times \dot{V}E
\]

(2.4)

The quotient of \( \dot{V}CO₂ \) and \( \dot{V}O₂ \) is usually called respiratory quotient (RQ) and reflects the use of substrates metabolized. The latter is based upon the assumption that RQ reflects CO₂ production and O₂ consumption at the cellular level. However, many factors can disturb this relationship. Therefore, the relationship between expired CO₂ and consumed O₂ is called respiratory ratio (R), which does not necessarily reflect O₂ consumption and CO₂ production in relation to substrate metabolism at the cellular level.

R was calculated by

\[
R = \frac{\dot{V}CO₂}{\dot{V}O₂}
\]

(2.5)
The respiratory equivalent is the amount of air expired per liter of oxygen taken up and was obtained by dividing $\dot{V}_E$ by $\dot{V}O_2$. It is used as criterion for the level of exertion.

2.4.3 Venous blood lactate concentration

Venous blood lactate concentration was considered to reflect the anaerobic contribution to energy metabolism. The blood samples were placed in melting ice and centrifugated afterwards. The serum was analyzed for lactate concentration using an auto-analyzer (Technicon AA2), making use of an enzymatic assay (Bergmeyer, 1974).

2.4.4 Venous blood pH, pCO₂, and O₂ saturation

Oxygen saturation of the venous blood was assumed to reflect the degree of arterialisation. The pH and pCO₂ were used as indicators of the acid-base balance of the body. During blood sampling one ml was drawn into a heparin coated syringe and analyzed with the aid of a blood-gas analyser (ABL-2, Radiometer, Copenhagen) which was calibrated automatically every 4 hours.

2.4.5 Back-skin and tympanic temperature

Back-skin and tympanic temperature were used to study the thermal response to exercise. Although generally mean skin temperature is used, as computated from temperature readings at different sites, for technical reasons we only measured skin temperature at one location. A temperature probe (Ellab DU-3) based upon the thermo-couple principle was fixed with tape to the subject's back-skin between the scapulae. This place was chosen because the back-skin temperature was found to give the most reproducible readings.

Another temperature probe (Ellab DU-3) was fixed in the auditory canal, against the tympanic membrane. In this way back-skin temperature and tympanic temperature could be measured simultaneously during the experiment. The tympanic temperature was used because it is com-
sidered to represent core temperature and responds more rapidly to changes in core temperature than rectal temperature.

2.4.6 Maximal workload attained (Wmax) as measure of performance

The maximal workload attained (Wmax) was considered to be the highest workload the subject sustained for at least 2 minutes. Wmax was considered to be a test performance.

2.4.7 Mechanical efficiency

Gross mechanical efficiency, as calculated from oxygen uptake, is assumed to reflect the efficiency of cycling. Because working for 1 minute at 1 Watt (60 W.s) is equivalent to 60 J and because each liter of oxygen used is equivalent to approximately 20934 Joules the mechanical efficiency (ME) (%) can be approximated by:

\[ ME = \frac{60 \times W}{20934 \times \dot{V}O_2} \times 100\% \]

(2.6)

in which W is the load in watt, and \( \dot{V}O_2 \) the actual oxygen uptake (l.min\(^{-1}\)).

2.4.8 Relative workload

To be able to compare workloads between as well as within individuals, the workloads in each test were normalized by converting the absolute workloads to relative workloads. This was done by expressing the workloads of each test as percentage of the Wmax value attained in the corresponding test.
2.4.9 Rating of subjective feelings before and during exercise

To get insight into the feelings that force the subjects to stop the exercise, they were asked to score the different physical feelings at the moment they gave up. The rating scale consisted of points from 0-10 in which 0 means no special sensation at all and 10 the most heavy sensation.

The subjective feelings to be scored were:

a. general fatigue
b. respiratory stress
c. heat stress
d. sensations of pain in the working muscles
e. loss of muscle strength

Because of our interest in the predictability of physical work capacity from subjective feelings before the test, the subjects were asked to predict the maximal workload that could be attained. Since we had the impression that the potential test performance could be estimated better during light exercise than in the resting state, the same question was asked after the 5th minute of the warming-up period at 70% Wmax. The predictive Wmax was compared with the actual Wmax.

For the prediction of Wmax and the rating of the subjective feelings at the moment of exhaustion, the first 5 tests were used to accustom the subjects to the procedure. Therefore, the first 5 tests were discarded for the ultimate analysis.

2.5 Data analysis

2.5.1 Criteria for maximal tests

Only tests which were considered to be maximal efforts were used in the study. To decide whether a test could be considered as maximal, the following criteria, of which 4 out of 6 had to be fulfilled, were used:
1. a lactate concentration in the blood of at least 8 mmol.l⁻¹ (Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980; Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970).
2. a heart rate value within the 99% confidence interval of the individual heart rate at maximal workloads.
3. a respiratory equivalent (\(\dot{V}_E/\dot{V}_{O_2}\)) above 30 (Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980).
4. an R value (\(\dot{V}CO_2/\dot{V}_{O_2}\)) over 1.00 (Binkhorst, 1963).
5. a respiratory rate within the 99% confidence interval of the maximal individual respiratory rate.
6. the investigator's judgement of the subject's distress.

2.5.2 Data analysis

All data were stored on tape and analyzed with the aid of a computer (VAX). Data analysis was executed by making use of the Biomedical computer Program (BMUP-package, Dixon and Brown 1979). The relationship between external workload and oxygen uptake was evaluated with regression analysis. To evaluate differences in variables between groups for statistical significance the data were analyzed with an F-test for equality and a multiple paired t-test.

Graphical reproduction of variables was performed making use of techniques of exploratory data analysis as described by Tukey (1977). For some purposes the experiments of each subject were grouped according to the different \(W_{max}\) values that each subject scored during the experiment. Differences between the variables in these groups of experiments were analysed for statistical significance, making use of techniques described by Lindly and Smith (1972) and Fearn (1975). Differences were considered to be statistically significant at \(p<0.05\).

2.5.3 Prediction of \(\dot{V}_{O_2, max}\)

Prediction of maximal oxygen uptake was performed using the heart rate attained at the end of the first 5 minutes warming up period at a workload which was 70% of the mean test-\(W_{max}\). From the heart rate attained at this workload, the \(\dot{V}_{O_2, max}\) was predicted with the Åstrand nomogram for the prediction of \(\dot{V}_{O_2, max}\) (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970).
Because in the same test the maximal heart rate attained was also determined, the predicted \( \dot{V}O_{2\max} \) was corrected for maximal heart rate (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970).

2.5.4 Anaerobic threshold.
The anaerobic threshold (the load at which the blood lactate concentration amounted to 4 mmol.l\(^{-1}\)) was determined by plotting the lactate concentration as a function of external workload, making use of a smoothing technique described by Tukey (1977). The line that connected the means and 95% probability intervals was drawn. The load, at which the mean lactate level amounted to 4 mmol.l\(^{-1}\) could be interpolated and was considered to be the anaerobic threshold.
3. ACCURACY OF THE DETERMINATION OF THE PHYSIOLOGICAL VARIABLES

3.1 Introduction

To be able to decide whether small changes in the variables measured are of physiological significance, one has to be informed of the accuracy of the methods used to measure the variables.

To express the accuracy or precision of the method used to measure a variable, generally the correlation coefficient between the measured and true values is used. In general a calibration value is known which is considered to be the true value. By comparing the measured and calibrated values, systematic errors, if any, can be revealed, provided that the calibration value is the "true" value. In case the true value is unknown, no direct information about the accuracy of the method can be obtained. In this case the accuracy of the method can be estimated by assessing the reproducibility of duplicate measurements. The reproducibility can be expressed by the correlation between the duplicate measurements or the 99% confidence interval of repeated measurements.

3.2 Heart rate

The ECG was recorded during 30 seconds at rest and during the last 30 seconds of each workload. Heart rate was calculated from the ECG recording over 10 beats. By calculating heart rate at various intervals from the ECG recording, for duplicate calculations, correlation coefficients of 0.992 and 0.998 were obtained, for heart rate values in the range of 140-150 beats.min⁻¹ (n=30) and 180-190 beats.min⁻¹ (n=30), respectively.

3.3 Lactate concentration

The lactate analyser was calibrated with a number of solutions containing low and high lactate concentrations (0.50-20.0 mmol.l⁻¹). This procedure was repeated each day. The correlation between "true" and
measured values was 0.996 in the low \((n=30)\) as well as the high range \((n=30)\). This high accuracy of the method is supported by the 99% confidence interval of the measured values at a true concentration of 2.00 mmol.l\(^{-1}\), being 1.88 to 2.12 mmol.l\(^{-1}\). At a true concentration of 15.00 mmol.l\(^{-1}\), the 99% confidence interval was 14.81 to 15.19 mmol.l\(^{-1}\). The measurements in blood were always done in duplicate resulting in a correlation coefficient of 0.994. The high accuracy of blood lactate measurements means that even small changes in blood lactate concentration can be determined accurately provided that the sampling procedure is correct.

3.4 Measurement of \(\dot{V}O_2\)

Determination of \(\dot{V}O_2\) at a given workload shows an inter- as well as intra-individual variation. (The maximal difference in \(\dot{V}O_2\) at a submaximal workload of 70% \(W_{max}\) was 0.655 l.min\(^{-1}\) between subjects while the intra-individual difference at this workload was maximally 0.349 l.min\(^{-1}\)). One part of this variability may be biological in origin while the other part may be due to errors, made in the assessment of \(\dot{V}O_2\). Because true \(\dot{V}O_2\) is unknown one has to estimate the accuracy of assessing \(\dot{V}O_2\) by determining the accuracy of the methods used to measure the variables from which \(\dot{V}O_2\) is computed. \(\dot{V}O_2\) was calculated from the following equation:

\[
\dot{V}O_2 = \dot{V}_i \cdot F_{\text{I}O2} - \dot{V}_e \cdot F_{\text{EU2}}
\]

(3.1)

To be able to solve this equation, the following variables have to be measured or calculated:

a. the volume of air as exhaled per minute \((\dot{V}_e)\)

b. the fractional concentration of oxygen in the inspired \((F_{\text{I}O2})\) and expired air \((F_{\text{EU2}})\)

c. the inspiratory volume of air as inhaled per min. \((\dot{V}_i)\), which can be calculated (section 3.4.3)
3.4.1 Measurement of $\dot{V}_E$

The expiratory volume ($\dot{V}_E$) was measured by a pneumotachograph (Godart), making use of a Fleisch head-3 (Fleisch, 1956). Calibration was performed by a spirometer with constant flow. The volume displacement could be varied from 10 to 600 l.min$^{-1}$. The spirometer was attached to the Fleisch head of the pneumotachograph and the signal was registered on a recorder (Schwarzer). It turned out that the response of the Fleisch head was linear up to flows of 450 l.min$^{-1}$ after which a deviation occurred. To simulate physiological breathing, which is characterized by discontinuous flow patterns, a calibration pump with known volume displacement was constructed. This calibration pump was attached to the Fleisch head and discontinuous volume displacements, varying from 10 to 200 l.min$^{-1}$ were applied. The expiratory volumes as calculated from the recordings, were compared with the true expiratory volume of the pump. Above volumes of approximately 120 l.min$^{-1}$ the calculated $\dot{V}_E$ was less than the true volume. It turned out that this discrepancy varied with changing tidal volume and frequency, so the use of a single correction factor was not permitted. Graphs at various frequencies and tidal volumes were constructed to correct for discontinuous flow. The discrepancy could also be demonstrated by comparing the expiratory volume as calculated from the recording and from the volume in the Douglas bag as measured by a calibrated gas volumeter.

The 99% confidence interval was 58,2 to 61,8 for a true $\dot{V}_E$ of 60 l.min$^{-1}$ and 143,1 to 156,9 for a true $\dot{V}_E$ of 150 l.min$^{-1}$.

A source of error in assessing $\dot{V}_E$ can be caused by leakage of face mask and tubes. Hence, before each test possible leakage was tested by closing the expiratory circuit at the inlet to the Douglas bag, while the subject was asked to expire forcefully. So leakage, if any, as a source of error can be assumed to be negligible.
3.4.2 Assessment of the concentrations of \( O_2 \) and \( CO_2 \) in the expired air

Because a number of subjects was tested on one day and each subject filled 7 to 10 douglas bags, quick analysis was necessary. So the Haldane and Scholander method were, though accurate, not adequate. Therefore, the gas analysis was performed either with a mass-spectrometer (Kiber) or with a paramagnetic \( O_2 \) analyser (Kapox, Godart) and an infrared \( CO_2 \) analyser (Mijnhardt). The mass spectrometer as well as the paramagnetic \( O_2 \) analyser and infrared \( CO_2 \) analyser were calibrated with fresh air and calibration gases with \( CO_2 \) and \( O_2 \) concentrations in the physiological range. The calibration gases and room air were analyzed at regular intervals with the micro Scholander technique. The correlation coefficient for \( O_2 \) between measured and true value on the paramagnetic analyser varied from 0.992 to 0.994 for true concentrations of 20.93\% (n=30) and 15.83\% (n=30), respectively. The correlation coefficient for \( CO_2 \) on the infrared \( CO_2 \) analyser varied from 0.991 to 0.995 for true concentrations of 0.03\% (n=30) and 4.65\% (n=30), respectively. For the mass spectrometer a correlation coefficient of 0.992 between measured and true values for \( O_2 \) concentrations of 20.93\% (n=30) as well as 15.83\% (n=30) was obtained. For \( CO_2 \) a correlation of 0.993 was obtained for a \( CO_2 \) concentration of 0.03\% (n=30) and 4.65\% (n=30). Duplicate measurements from the same Douglas bag, but with 30 minutes in between, resulted in a slight increase in \( O_2 \) (1-2\%) and a small decrease in \( CO_2 \) concentration (1-2\%).

In some experiments in which the mass spectrometer was used for \( O_2 \) and \( CO_2 \) analysis exceptional values for \( VO_2 \) and \( R \) were obtained. It turned out that choking of the inlet system and instability of the vacuum system could cause erroneous \( CO_2 \) and \( O_2 \) values. Therefore, values that were beyond the 99\% confidence interval of the corresponding relative workload were excluded for further analysis.
3.4.3 Influence of $R$ on $\dot{V}_O_2$ Measurements

If the respiratory exchange ratio is unequal to 1.00 the inspiratory and expiratory volumes are not equal. In case of $R<1$ a volume of $CO_2$ is added to the expired air which is smaller than the volume of $O_2$ taken up from the inspired air. So in this situation $\dot{V}_E < \dot{V}_I$. If on the other hand $R>1$ as during heavy exercise, more $CO_2$ is added to the expired air, than $O_2$ is taken up from the inspired air, so $\dot{V}_E > \dot{V}_I$. Because nitrogen is inert and it is assumed that no nitrogen is taken up or added to the air, $F_{IN2} = F_{EN2}$. Then

$$\dot{V}_I (F_{IN2}) = \dot{V}_E (F_{EN2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

$$\dot{V}_I = \frac{\dot{V}_E}{F_{IN2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

In the expiratory air

$$F_{EN2} = 1 - (F_{EO2} + F_{ECO2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

If fresh air is inspired $F_{IN2} = 0.7903$ and $F_{IO2} = 0.2093$. Then equation (3.1) can be written as

$$\dot{V}_O_2 = \frac{\dot{V}_E [1 - (F_{EO2} + F_{ECO2})]}{0.7903} \times 0.2093 - \dot{V}_E F_{EO2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

In case $R$ equals 1.00 equation (3.5) can be reduced to

$$\dot{V}_O_2 = \dot{V}_E (F_{IO2} - F_{EO2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

By neglecting differences in $\dot{V}_E$ and $\dot{V}_I$ due to $R$ values below or above 1.00, an error is made in the assessment of $\dot{V}_O_2$. By neglecting this so called Haldane correction during light exercise with a $R$ value below
1,00, a too low $\dot{V}O_2$ value is obtained, while at the final stage of loading, with a $R$ value above 1,00, a too high $\dot{V}O_2$ value is calculated. Cronen and Binkhorst (1974) measured $\dot{V}O_2$ at different $R$ values and calculated the difference between the $\dot{V}O_2$ as determined with and without correction for $\dot{V}E$ in relation to $R$. At lower loads and in the resting state the error made by neglecting the effect of $R$ upon $\dot{V}O_2$ approximates 3%. At the highest workloads the error is 0-2%. In the present study corrections for $\dot{V}E$ were made by applying the Haldane factor. However, occasional problems with the mass spectrometer resulted in possible errors in assessing $R$. Hence the possible error, resulting from the effect of $R$ on $\dot{V}E$ measurements will be less than 2%.

3.4.4 Comments to $\dot{V}O_2$ measurements

The accuracy of assessing $\dot{V}O_2$ in the present study as estimated from the accuracy of the methods used to measure the variables from which $\dot{V}O_2$ is calculated, is ±4%. Astrand and Rodahl (1970), however estimated the accuracy of assessing $\dot{V}O_2$ to be less than 3%, while they reported a total variability in $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ of 3%, including biological variations. In the present study total variability in $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ was found to be more than 3%. The coefficient of variation of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ varied between 4.20% to 11.35% from individual to individual (mean 7.58%). These results are comparable to those reported by Moncrieff (1968), who compared $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ measurements in the same subject as presented by a variety of investigators.

3.5 Respiratory rate and respiratory ratio ($R$)

Respiratory rate was determined from the ventilation patterns as recorded with the pneumotachograph and the values during the last minute of each workload were counted. A correlation coefficient of 0.999 was found for duplicate countings in the range from 20-30 respirations $\text{min}^{-1}$ ($n=20$).

Duplicate measurements of $R$ from the same Douglas bag resulted in a
correlation coefficient of 0.991.

3.6 pH, $\text{O}_2$-saturation, $\text{pCO}_2$

The blood gas analyser (AHL3, Radiometer, Copenhagen) was calibrated automatically 4 times a day while errors in calibration were reported. In the manual, provided by the manufacturer, the accuracy is reported to be very high for all measurements. For pH, at true values between 6.99 and 7.64, the 99% confidence interval is ± 0.002. The 99% confidence interval for $\text{pCO}_2$ is ± 0.06 kPa. The 99% confidence interval for oxygen saturation is ± 3%.

3.7 Tympanic temperature and back-skin temperature

The electrodes for the measurement of tympanic and skin temperature were compared with a calibrated mercury thermometer in water of different temperatures between 25 and 40°C. The correlation coefficient between the temperature readings of the electrodes and that of the mercury thermometer was 0.991 for both electrodes. Tympanic temperature was also compared with rectal temperature. Tympanic temperature showed a systematic lower value as compared with rectal temperature with a mean difference of 0.19°C (range -0.02 - 0.41°C). A correlation coefficient of 0.92 between rectal and tympanic temperature was calculated under resting conditions. At a true rectal temperature of 37.0°C, the 99% confidence interval of tympanic temperature was 36.0 to 37.2°C.

3.8 Workload of the bicycle ergometer

The bicycle ergometer was electrically braked while the resistance was independent of revolution rate within certain limits. Because our subjects had to maintain a constant revolution rate between 75 and 85 rpm, the ergometer was calibrated once per year at revolution rates of 70, 80 and 90 rpm. For revolution rates between 70 and 90 rpm the difference between indicated and real loads was ±5 Watt. It was found that the calibration did not change over a one year period.
paring during calibration the indicated load with the real load, a correlation coefficient of 0.99 was obtained for revolution rates between 70 and 90 rpm.
4. VARIABILITY IN PERFORMANCE

4.1 Variability of Wmax and VO₂max

All subjects attained different maximal workloads (Wmax) on different occasions. Some subjects scored 3 distinct Wmax values, while others attained 4 or even more different Wmax values (table 4.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4.1 Maximal workloads attained (Watts) and number of times this load was reached (in parentheses)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>subject</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MALES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEMALES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The coefficient of variation in Wmax attained, as listed in table A1, varied inter-individually between 2.95 and 6.83% (mean 4.79%). No difference in variability could be observed between male and female subjects. Neither a relationship between variability and absolute Wmax or Wmax per kg body weight was found. (In the test population the correlation coefficient between the individual coefficient of variation in Wmax and the individual mean Wmax was 0.23). In all subjects the variability in VO₂max exceeded that of Wmax (table A1). The coef-
icient of variation in $\dot{V}O_2$ max varied inter-individually between 4.20 and 11.35% (mean 7.58%). The correlation between the mean individual $\dot{V}O_2$ max and the individual coefficient of variation in $\dot{V}O_2$ max was -0.50. The variation in $\dot{V}O_2$ max was not related to the variation in Wmax. As shown in figure 4.1 a discrepancy between Wmax and $\dot{V}O_2$ max may occur although a gross relationship between these two variables existed. The correlation coefficient between $\dot{V}O_2$ max and Wmax varied inter-individually from 0.12 to 0.51.

![Graph showing $\dot{V}O_2$ max and Wmax vs experiment number](image)

*Figure 4.1*  
Wmax and $\dot{V}O_2$ max as determined in different tests (experiment number) in one subject. Between test 10 to 20 (June-August) a tendency to an increased $\dot{V}O_2$ max and Wmax can be seen.
Figure 4.2
Relationship between heart rate and external workload. The experiments are grouped according to the Wmax attained. The relationship between mean heart rate and external workload is shown per group. The bars represent the standard deviation.

Figure 4.3
Relationship between blood lactate concentration and workload. The experiments are grouped according to the Wmax attained. The bars represent the standard deviation.
Figure 4.4
Wmax, maximal heart rate and maximal blood lactate concentration attained, as determined in different tests (experiment number) in one subject.

Figure 4.5
Relationship between heart rate and relative workload. The experiments are grouped according to Wmax attained. Per group mean heart rate is plotted as a function of relative workload. The data in this figure refer to those represented in figure 4.2.
4.2 Seasonal and menstrual influences on Wmax

Evaluation of the Wmax values as function of time, did not reveal a seasonal pattern. Only in 2 male subjects a certain pattern in Wmax seemed to occur (figure 4.1). In all other subjects Wmax varied randomly.

In the 8 female volunteers no significant differences were found between the Wmax values attained in the 4 periods of the menstrual cycle in any of the subjects. The same holds for the physiological responses as a function of relative workloads.

4.3 Relationship between Wmax and physiological variables during increasing workloads

By plotting the mean curve and 95% confidence band of the various physiological variables as a function of external workload, after grouping the experiments in each subject according to the Wmax attained, the following results were obtained. At higher Wmax attained, heart rate, expiratory volume, respiratory rate, blood lactate concentration and oxygen uptake were lower at all workloads, resulting in a shift of these curves to the right. The opposite, a shift of the curves to the left, was found when lower Wmax values were reached (figures 4.2 and 4.3 for heart rate and blood lactate concentration, respectively). The observed shift of the mean curves to the left or the right, were significant (p<0.05) for heart rate in all subjects, while for $V_E$ and respiratory rate the shift was statistically significant in 13 subjects and non-significant (0.05<p<0.10) in 6 subjects. The shift of the mean curve of blood lactate concentration was statistically significant in 10 subjects, while a non-significant difference was observed in 9 subjects (0.05<p<0.10 in 6 and p>0.10 in 3 subjects). The differences in $V_{O_2}$ at submaximal workloads between groups with different Wmax levels were statistically significant in 3 subjects, while a non-significant difference was observed in 16 subjects (0.05<p<0.10 in 8 and p>0.10 in the other 8 subjects).

Mechanical efficiency as calculated from oxygen uptake at 70% Wmax tended to be increased when higher Wmax values were attained, while
the opposite was found at lower \( W_{\text{max}} \) values. The differences were statistically significant in 3 and non-significant in the other subjects (0.05 < \( p < 0.10 \) in 8 subjects and \( p > 0.10 \) in 8 other volunteers). No significant shift of the mean curve with changes in \( W_{\text{max}} \) could be found in the other physiological variables, when plotted as a function of external workload.

A pure shift of the variables to the left or the right in relation to \( W_{\text{max}} \) attained, would implicate that the maximal values of the variables concerned are the same, but reached at a different workload. However, in some of the subjects the maximal values of some variables turned out to be different at different \( W_{\text{max}} \) values (table A2). Heart rate, expiratory volume, respiratory rate, \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max and lactate concentration tended to be increased at higher \( W_{\text{max}} \) levels in some subjects while blood pH showed a tendency to decrease at higher \( W_{\text{max}} \) values. The observed differences were statistically significant in only a few cases (table A2). Within one subject, a difference in the maximal value of a variable was not necessarily associated with a difference in another one (figure 4.4 and table A2).

4.4 Relationship between physiological variables and relative workload

In each subject the test protocol consisted of the same external workloads while only the highest workloads could be different, depending on \( W_{\text{max}} \) attained. Because of differences in \( W_{\text{max}} \) values between tests, the absolute workloads can be different in a relative sense (section 2.4.8). By plotting the various physiological variables as a function of relative workload and by grouping the experiments in each subject according to the \( W_{\text{max}} \) attained, the differences as described in section 4.3 and shown in figure 4.2 disappeared (figure 4.5). So the magnitude of the physiological responses to exercise are related to the relative workload.

4.5 Comments

The results of the present study show that \( W_{\text{max}} \) and \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max attained, vary in all subjects. The variability in \( W_{\text{max}} \) and \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max is unrelated
to sex or the absolute level of Wmax and $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$. The variation in $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ exceeds that in Wmax, while differences in Wmax are not always associated with proportional changes in $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$.

The variability in $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ as found in the present study is comparable with the variability in $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ as reported by other investigators (Wright et al., 1978; Katch et al., 1962). The latter investigators concluded that the variability in $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ was biological in origin. Unfortunately in the study of Katch and co-investigators the relation between changes in maximal external workload attained and changes in $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ were not explicitly evaluated. From the present study it cannot be concluded whether the variation in $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ and the discrepancy between changes in Wmax and $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ reflect a biological phenomenon or that they result from errors made in the assessment of $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$.

The shift of the physiological variables in relation to Wmax attained (figures 4.2 and 4.5), indicates that the variation in Wmax on a week to week basis is mainly caused by biological factors. This is supported by the finding that the shift disappears when the physiological variables are plotted as a function of relative workload. This indicates that the magnitude of the physiological responses to exercise depend on the relative workload. This implicates that within one subject a certain relative workload is always associated with values of a physiological variable within narrow limits.

In some subjects there was a tendency that an increase in Wmax attained was associated with an increase in the maximal values of heart rate, $V_{E}$, respiratory rate, blood lactate concentration and $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$. A difference in a certain variable was not necessarily associated with a difference in other variables within the same subject. It should be realized, however, that the duration of the experiments increased when Wmax reached higher values.

No seasonal influences on Wmax could be observed in any of the subjects. In 2 subjects, however, a certain rhythmical change in Wmax was observed. These subjects had been suffering from injuries for a couple of weeks, which decreased their amount of exercise drastically. This was reflected in a decrease in Wmax, which gradually restored to the pre-injury level as the amount of exercise was increased again.

In the present study no influence of the menstrual cycle on per-
formance could be shown. This is in agreement with the results reported by Jurkovski and co-workers (1981). However, the results are not in keeping with the findings reported by Hulmann and Mettinger (1980). These authors reported a decrease in performance just prior to and during the menstrual phase.
5. PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES TO A STANDARD EXERCISE TEST

5.1 Heart rate

Heart rate generally increased with increasing workload in a nearly linear way. In some subjects the heart rate tended to level off at higher workloads. This tendency to level off was not consistent and sometimes was only observed in some of the experiments within one subject. By expressing heart rate at a given workload as percentage of the maximal heart rate attained, relative heart rate values were obtained. By comparing mean relative heart rate at a relative workload of 70% Wmax, it was found that some of the female subjects reached relative heart rates which were slightly higher (86-89%) than those observed in most of the subjects (80% in the average) (table 5.1).

Heart rate at a given submaximal workload showed a standard deviation varying between individuals from 4 to 6 beats.min\(^{-1}\). Figure 5.1 illustrates the relationship between submaximal heart rate at a given workload and the Wmax attained. Although in general higher Wmax values were associated with lower heart rate values at the end of the first workload, discrepancies were found frequently. By plotting heart rate as a function of relative workload, the variation in heart rate at all loads was diminished, with a standard deviation varying between individuals from 3 to 4 beats.min\(^{-1}\). Mean maximal heart rate varied inter-individually from 179 to 190 beats.min\(^{-1}\). Within each subject maximal heart rate showed a variability with a standard deviation varying between individuals from 3 to 5 beats.min\(^{-1}\). No significant correlation was found between individual mean maximal heart rate and age.
Figure 5.1
Wmax, heart rate and blood lactate concentration after 5 minutes of exercise at the lowest workload (210 W) as determined in different tests (experiment number) in one subject.

Figure 5.2
The relationship between $V_{E}$ (STPD) and $V_{O_2}$ in one subject.
Table 5.1
Age, mean relative heart rate at 70% of maximal workload and mean maximal heart rate of the male and female subjects. Means ± sd are presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Mean relative heart rate at 70% Wmax</th>
<th>Mean maximal heart rate (beats/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>83±5</td>
<td>179±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>78±4</td>
<td>100±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>81±4</td>
<td>190±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>82±4</td>
<td>183±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>80±4</td>
<td>184±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>81±5</td>
<td>184±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>82±3</td>
<td>180±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>70±4</td>
<td>180±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>85±3</td>
<td>184±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>83±5</td>
<td>180±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>79±3</td>
<td>181±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>88±3</td>
<td>185±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>82±3</td>
<td>185±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>86±4</td>
<td>186±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>84±3</td>
<td>183±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>89±3</td>
<td>185±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>82±4</td>
<td>183±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>88±3</td>
<td>184±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>80±5</td>
<td>180±5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 $\dot{V}_E$, respiratory rate and tidal volume

Figure 5.2 shows an example of the relationship between $\dot{V}_E$ and oxygen uptake. The relationship between these variables is somewhat curvilinear, illustrating that in general at higher VO$_2$ values ventilation may increase disproportionately. The increase in $\dot{V}_E$ is the result of increased respiratory rate and tidal volume. Tidal volume increased with increasing workloads, but from a load of about 60 to 70% of the
Figure 5.3
The relationship between respiratory rate and \( V_E \) (STPD) in one subject.

Figure 5.4
Mean back skin temperature with 95% confidence limits as function of external workload of all measurements in one subject. (n=16)
**Figure 5.5**
Mean venous oxygen saturation with 95% confidence limits as function of external workload in one subject. (n=52)

**Figure 5.6**
Mean venous blood lactate concentration and pH with 95% confidence limits as function of external workload over all measurements in one subject. (n=52)
Wmax, the increase in $\dot{V}_E$ was mainly caused by an increase in respiratory rate as shown in figure 5.3. In some cases tidal volume decreased slightly during very heavy exercise, while respiratory rate increased disproportionately. Maximal $\dot{V}_E$ showed a variability with a standard deviation varying between individuals from 3 to 10 liters.min$^{-1}$. The variation in $\dot{V}_E$ was less at lower workloads. At 70% Wmax the standard deviation in $\dot{V}_E$ varied intra-individually from 2.5 to 7.0 l.min$^{-1}$. In all subjects respiratory rate varied at given workloads. The standard deviation varied between individuals from 2 to 4 respirations.min$^{-1}$ at all workloads. The mean maximal respiratory rate varied inter-individually from 34 to 59 respirations.min$^{-1}$ (mean 46).

5.3 Tympanic and back-skin temperature

Mean tympanic temperature of the test population rose from 36.0±0.3°C at rest to 37.4±0.3°C (mean±sd) during maximal exercise, despite the fact that the absolute workloads were different. By plotting the tympanic temperature as a function of relative workload, all subjects showed a similar rise in tympanic temperature. This indicates that the rise in core temperature is related to relative workload. In the female subjects no difference in tympanic temperature response was found between the periods of the menstrual cycle. The variation in tympanic temperature was about the same at rest and during exercise. The standard deviation varied from 0.3 to 0.4°C between individuals.

At rest the mean back-skin temperature of the test population was 33.2±0.8°C (mean±sd). During exercise in all subjects a slight initial increase in back-skin temperature was followed by a pronounced fall in this variable to in the average 29.2±0.8°C (figure 5.4). In about 20% of the experiments, temperature registration was incomplete or had to be discarded due to loosening or dislocation of the electrodes.

The variation in back-skin temperature was smaller at low than at high workloads. The standard deviation varied inter-individually from 0.6 to 0.9°C at the lower workloads and from 1.1 to 2.2°C at high workloads. The response of the mean back-skin temperature was similar for all subjects, which indicates that the temperature response of the back-skin is related to relative workload.
5.4 Oxygen saturation and pCO₂ in peripheral venous blood

Figure 5.5 shows a typical example of the relationship between external workload and oxygen saturation in venous blood. After 5 minutes at the lowest workload, the venous oxygen saturation showed a marked variation, while at increasing workloads in all subjects saturation rose to near arterial values. In some subjects the venous saturation occasionally decreased at near maximal workloads. In some subjects this decrease in venous oxygen saturation was observed rather often, while in others this phenomenon was seen only occasionally. No relationship between the occurrence of this drop in oxygen saturation and the level of Wmax attained was found.

Venous pCO₂ generally showed a gradual decline at increasing workloads. At maximal workloads, values varying from 4.5 to 5.3 kPa were found. The variation in pCO₂ showed a standard deviation varying between individuals from 0.3 to 0.5 kPa at all workloads.

5.5 Blood pH and lactate concentration

A typical example of the venous blood pH and lactate concentration, both as a function of external workload, is shown in figure 5.6. While the lactate concentration increased exponentially at increasing workloads, pH showed an exponential decrease. Considerable differences in maximal blood lactate concentration were found between the subjects. The mean maximal lactate concentration varied inter-individually from 7.44 to 15.76 mmol.l⁻¹. No relationship between maximal lactate concentration and the level of Wmax was found. When the blood lactate concentration at 70% Wmax was expressed as percentage of the maximal lactate concentration attained, marked differences were found. The mean relative blood lactate concentration at a relative workload of 70% Wmax varied between individuals from 23 to 45% (mean 33±6%). A non-significant correlation (r=0.26) was found between the relative lactate concentration at 70% Wmax and the relative heart rate at 70% Wmax and between the relative lactate concentration at 70% Wmax and absolute Wmax (r=0.58).

The intra-individual variation in blood lactate concentration at
maximal workloads exceeded that at low workloads. At low workloads the standard deviation varied between individuals from 0.5 to 1.2 mmol.l\(^{-1}\), while at maximal workloads the standard deviation varied between 1.0 and 2.5 mmol.l\(^{-1}\). By plotting the blood lactate concentrations in each individual as a function of relative workload, the standard deviation decreased to values varying inter-individually from 0.2 to 0.6 mmol.l\(^{-1}\) only at low workloads. At high workloads the variation did not change.

5.6 Respiratory exchange ratio (\(R\))

During the increasing exercise test \(R\) gradually increased from about 0.8 to 0.9 during light exercise to values near or over 1.0 during maximal exercise. At 70\% of \(W_{\text{max}}\) mean \(R\) showed a maximal difference of 0.12 between individuals. At maximal workloads the maximal inter-individual difference in mean \(R\) decreased to 0.07. The intra-individual variation in \(R\) at low workloads as well as high workloads had a standard deviation varying from 0.01 to 0.05 between individuals.

5.7 \(\dot{V}O_2\) and its relationship with external workload

Figure 5.7 shows the relationship between oxygen uptake and external workload. The relationship between these variables was approximately linear in all subjects and could be characterized by the following general regression equation:

\[
\dot{V}O_2 = 0.395 + 0.0113 \, W
\]

in which \(\dot{V}O_2\) is the oxygen uptake (l.min\(^{-1}\)) and \(W\) the external workload (Watt).

Comparing the individual regression equations with the general regression equation, inter-individual differences were found (figure 5.7). The first constant varied from 0.291 to 0.787 between subjects. No significant correlation was found between the first constant and body weight, neither between the first constant and absolute \(W_{\text{max}}\). The
slope differed slightly, between individuals varying from 0.0111 to 0.0116.

Comparing mean measured $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ with estimated $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ by means of the general regression equation, revealed a general tendency of under-estimation of $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ (table A1). In some subjects the difference between mean measured and mean estimated $\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}$ amounted to approximately 10%. No levelling off of oxygen uptake occurred in any of the subjects during the test with gradually increasing workloads although in some subjects the oxygen uptake flattened somewhat at high workloads. Only if a subject did not finish a certain workload and consequently the oxygen uptake had to be measured within 1½ minute, a smaller than expected increase in oxygen uptake was observed. It was observed occasionally that oxygen uptake increased disproportionally at near maximal workloads.

The variation in $\dot{V}O_2$ expressed as the standard deviation was smaller at submaximal than at maximal workloads, and varied inter-individually between 0.174 at submaximal and 0.369 l.min⁻¹ at maximal workloads.
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*Figure 5.2* Mean oxygen uptake and 95% confidence limits plotted as function of external workload over all measurements in one subject. (n=19)
5.8 Mechanical efficiency

Mean mechanical efficiency as calculated from the oxygen uptake at 70% Wmax varied inter-individually between 19 and 23% (mean 20.8%; table A1). No significant relation (p>0.10) was found between calculated mechanical efficiency and absolute Wmax, or Wmax relative to body weight (Watt kg⁻¹). However, in the subject with the highest Wmax also the highest mechanical efficiency was found. The intra-individual variation in mechanical efficiency, expressed as standard deviation varied from 0.3 to 1.5%.

5.9 Comments

Heart rate showed a nearly linear increase with workload, but in some subjects a tendency to levelling off was observed. In some of the female subjects heart rate at 70% of Wmax was found to be relatively high. Consequently in these subjects heart rate showed a relatively little increase during increasing exercise. A relatively small increase in heart rate during increasing workloads does not necessarily mean that cardiac output increases proportionally as an increase in stroke volume may compensate for the small increase in heart rate (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970). This, in spite of the fact that in general from a heart rate of about 110-120 beats.min⁻¹, stroke volume does not increase significantly. Enhancement of cardiac output can then only be obtained by an increase in heart rate (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970). The latter investigators, however, reported that some subjects maintained increasing stroke volume until maximal workloads. Also without an increase in cardiac output, oxygen uptake can theoretically be increased by increasing extraction and/or bloodflow to the muscle. From the present study no conclusions can be drawn about the compensatory mechanism, responsible for the increase in oxygen uptake in the absence of a concomitant increase in heart rate.

Generally, maximal heart rate decreases with age (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970). However no significant correlation between maximal heart rate and age was found in the present study. It may be argued that the subjects in this study were young and differed in age only to
a limited extend. However, we observed a non-significant correlation ($p>0.10$) between age and maximal heart rate in a population varying in age between 20 and 60 years ($r=0.69; n=60$).

Maximal heart rate attained showed a variation in all subjects. This is in agreement with the findings as reported by other investigators (Astrand and Saltin, 1961). It may be argued that this variability in maximal heart rate is caused by differences in the level of exertion. This, however remains unknown.

Generally $Q_E$ increased somewhat curvilinearly with increasing workloads. This was caused by an increase in both tidal volume and respiratory rate; the latter generally being the most important at high workloads. In some subjects, however, relatively low maximal respiratory rates were observed. These subjects compensated the relatively small increase in respiratory rate by a relatively pronounced increase in tidal volume. The physiological significance of this phenomenon remains obscure.

In all subjects the increase in tympanic temperature was related to relative workload, which is in agreement with data presented by other investigators (Astrand, 1960; Saltin and Hermansen, 1966). The values of the tympanic temperature were relatively low. This may partly be explained by the difficulties encountered in positioning the temperature electrodes, because painful sensations in the ear often interfered with correct placement. Beside this, dislocation of the electrodes might occur during exercise, resulting in a drop in tympanic temperature readings. The duration of the test may also have influenced the recorded tympanic temperatures, because other investigators (Saltin et al, 1968; Davies et al, 1971) reported that during steady state exercise core temperature only reached stable levels after about 20 minutes. However, the latter authors used rectal temperature, which is considered to have a slower response to changes in core temperature than tympanic temperature (Nadel, 1977).

No difference in tympanic temperature response to exercise was found between the different periods of the menstrual cycle which contrasts with recent findings of Stephenson and co-workers (1982). These investigators, however, measured rectal temperature during steady state exercise, which may explain the different results.
In all subjects after an initial rise, back-skin temperature dropped during exercise to values that were lower than the resting values. The initial rise in back-skin temperature at the beginning of the exercise test is assumed to result from increased blood flow to the skin (Saltin and Hermansen, 1966). The successive fall in backskin temperature is considered to be caused by vasoconstriction and evaporation of sweat (Nakayama et al, 1977), which seems to be related to relative workload as indicated from the present study.

Oxygen saturation in venous blood rose to near arterial values during exercise, while in some cases at near maximal loads a drop in oxygen saturation was observed. Although at rest blood drawn from a forearm vein does not represent arterial blood, during exercise the blood flow through the cutaneous veins increases to such an extent that the oxygen saturation rises to near arterial values. The arterialisation of venous blood during exercise indicates the presence of arterio-venous shunting (Yoshida et al, 1982). The drop in oxygen saturation at maximal workloads may be explained by venoconstriction or diminished arterio-venous shunting.

The decline in \(p\text{O}_2\) with increasing exercise intensity probably results from hyperventilation.

Blood lactate concentration showed an exponential increase with increasing workloads. Large differences in maximal lactate concentrations were found between individuals. Also the submaximal blood lactate concentrations varied considerably from subject to subject. These differences may occur because the blood lactate concentration results from a combination of factors such as the amount of lactate produced, the exchange of lactate between muscle fibers and blood, and the use of lactate as a substrate by muscle fibers and other tissues like heart and liver (Hermansen et al, 1975; Essen et al, 1975; Bonen et al, 1979; Tesch et al, 1980).

The relationship between oxygen uptake and external workload was nearly linear up to maximal workloads and could be approximated by a linear regression equation. This means that \(\dot{V}\text{O}_2\) max can be estimated by determining the maximal workload attained. Although in general the difference between estimated and measured \(\dot{V}\text{O}_2\) max is less than 6%, in some subjects a difference of about 10% was found. In some subjects at
high workloads the increase in oxygen uptake flattened somewhat without showing a real levelling off in any of our subjects. This is in contrast with the findings of other investigators (Niemela et al., 1980; Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980). These investigators, however, loaded the subject on the bicycle ergometer at a revolution rate of 50 rpm, while the optimal revolution rate to elicit $\dot{V}O_2\max$ on a bicycle ergometer was found to be 70 to 90 rpm (Hagberg et al., 1975). That differences in revolution rate may explain the discrepancy observed, is supported by the observation in our laboratory that cycling at 50 rpm resulted in a 25% decrease in $W\max$ and levelling off of oxygen uptake. $W\max$ and $\dot{V}O_2\max$ were not significantly different, when the revolution rate varied between 70 and 90 rpm (unpublished results). It is likely that low revolution rates require more force per revolution, while muscle contraction has to be maintained for a longer period of time during each movement. This may interfere with local blood flow, resulting in increased anaerobic metabolism. These observations might be indications that the phenomenon of levelling off of oxygen uptake may be caused by limitation at the muscular rather than the cardiorespiratory level. The latter is in agreement with the data of Gleser and co-workers (1974) who found that $\dot{V}O_2\max$ is higher, the larger the mass of muscle tissue actively involved in the exercise will be.

Mechanical efficiency during submaximal exercise varied between subjects from 19 to 23% which is in keeping with the values as reported by other investigators (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970; Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980). Differences may be caused by differences in specific bicycle training, although in all the subjects cycling was a daily activity. However, the subjects, except one, did not cycle at a competitive level. This may explain the high mechanical efficiency in this particular subject.
6. EVALUATION OF PARAMETERS TO ESTIMATE PHYSICAL ENDURANCE IN THE LABORATORY

6.1 Recovery of heart rate

After grouping the experiments per subject according to the $\mathbf{W}_{\text{max}}$ attained, the heart rate values at one and five minutes after stopping the exercise were compared. Mean heart rate at one minute after stopping exercise varied between individuals from 166 to 136 beats.min$^{-1}$ (mean 157). Five minutes after stopping the exercise mean heart rate varied between individuals from 141 to 92 beats.min$^{-1}$ (mean 129). The intra-individual variation in heart rate during the recovery period was reflected in the relatively large standard deviation, varying from 9 to 14 beats.min$^{-1}$ between the individuals. In none of the subjects a relationship was found between the recovery of heart rate at different $\mathbf{W}_{\text{max}}$ values attained and maximal heart rate. Neither a relationship was found between the recovery of heart rate and the absolute level of $\mathbf{W}_{\text{max}}$ or $\mathbf{W}_{\text{max}}$ related to body weight. Only in 1 subject a faster recovery of heart rate as compared to all other subjects was found. This difference was statistically significant. The latter subject happened to be the one with the highest $\mathbf{W}_{\text{max}}$, relative to body weight.

6.2 The indirect method of Åstrand-Rhyming to predict $\dot{V}_0_{2\text{, max}}$ from submaximal heart rate

Per subject the experiments were grouped according to $\mathbf{W}_{\text{max}}$ attained, while the mean predicted $\dot{V}_0_{2\text{, max}}$ and the mean measured $\dot{V}_0_{2\text{, max}}$ were compared. Two subjects had to be excluded for estimating $\dot{V}_0_{2\text{, max}}$, because their heart rates after 5 minutes at the first workload did not meet the required range of 120-170 beats.min$^{-1}$.

The results are listed in table A3. In general the individual difference between mean estimated and mean measured $\dot{V}_0_{2\text{, max}}$ was a systematic one, which varied inter-individually between 0 and 19%. The systematic difference resulted in a general overestimation of $\dot{V}_0_{2\text{, max}}$ in some subjects and an underestimation in others. In general $\dot{V}_0_{2\text{, max}}$ was overestimated in the male subjects and slightly underestimated in
the female subjects.

In most subjects the intra-individual variation in predicted and measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max was similar although variations in measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max and \( \dot{W}max \) were not always paralleled by changes in predicted \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max.

We also compared the measured with predicted \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max values as corrected for age and maximal heart rate attained (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970). When using the correction factor based upon maximal heart rate attained, the differences between measured and predicted \( \dot{V}O_2 \)max reduced. Therefore, the data presented in this study were corrected for maximal heart rate attained.

6.3 Anaerobic threshold

For each subject the workloads at which the mean venous blood lactate concentration amounted to 4 mmol.l\(^{-1}\) are presented in table A4. Eight subjects had to be excluded for this part of the study, because lactate values were lacking or the lactate levels exceeded 4 mmol.l\(^{-1}\) at the lowest workload. In general the mean anaerobic threshold was shifted according to the \( \dot{W}max \) attained. This means that the mean anaerobic threshold was reached at a higher workload when higher \( \dot{W}max \) values were reached. However, this shift was not observed when the mean anaerobic threshold was plotted as function of relative \( \dot{W}max \). If \( \dot{W}max \) increased, mean anaerobic threshold generally tended to be reached at a lower relative workload (figure 6.1). In general, the anaerobic threshold was attained at a load of on the average 74% \( \dot{W}max \), varying from 64 to 90% between subjects. No relationship was found between the percentage of \( \dot{W}max \) at which the mean anaerobic threshold was attained and absolute workload. No differences were observed between males and females. Although the mean anaerobic threshold varied with \( \dot{W}max \), the anaerobic threshold determination from single experiments showed considerable variation. Considering the 95% probability interval of blood lactate concentrations at a given workload, differences in anaerobic threshold varying from ±3 to ±7% could be found, although the same \( \dot{W}max \) values were attained.
Figure 6.1
Mean blood lactate concentration as a function of external workload in experiments with different Wmax. The relative workload at which the lactate concentration amounted to 4 mmol.l⁻¹ is indicated.

Table 6.1
The means and standard deviations of endurance time, heart rate and lactate concentration per test are presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>testnr.</th>
<th>mean endurance time (min)</th>
<th>mean heart rate at the moment of exhaustion (beats.min⁻¹)</th>
<th>mean lactate concentration at the moment of exhaustion (mmol.l⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20±10</td>
<td>172±12</td>
<td>5,64±3,31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>51±17</td>
<td>168±12</td>
<td>5,65±2,04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>29±12</td>
<td>169±12</td>
<td>5,12±2,31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45±16</td>
<td>173±11</td>
<td>6,51±3,15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4 Endurance time at a constant workload

Ten subjects were loaded at 80% of the individual maximal workload while endurance time was measured. Per test the mean values of heart rate and blood lactate concentration at the moment of exhaustion were calculated. They are listed in Table 6.1.

The mean endurance time in test 1 and 3 was less than that in test 2 and 4. This was not reflected in the mean heart rate and mean lactate level at the moment of exhaustion. In test 1 and 3 the subjects complained more about local fatigue and pain in the thigh muscles than in test 2 and 4. The endurance time of the 3 trained subjects did not differ from that of the untrained subjects in trial 1 and 3, while in test 2 and 4 the endurance trained subjects tended to sustain the workload for a longer period of time.

The moment of exhaustion was not reflected in the heart rate and lactate levels, neither in the untrained nor in the 3 trained subjects. Considerable differences in endurance time were observed intra-individually. Occasionally the endurance times differed more than 100% between comparable tests in one subject. Intra-individual differences in endurance time especially occurred in test 1 and 3. No effect of test sequence could be detected (two way variance analysis).

6.5 Comments

Among athletes the recovery of heart rate is supposed to be an indicator of physical endurance. This idea was not confirmed by the results in the present study. Only in one subject, who had the highest \( \dot{V}O_2\) max and competed in endurance activities for years, a fast recovery of heart rate which differed significantly from the other subjects, was seen. However, also in this subject no relation between recovery of heart rate and \( \dot{V}O_2\) max attained was observed. The latter is caused by the considerable intra-individual test to test variability in recovery of heart rate.

Comparison between measured \( \dot{V}O_2\) max and \( \dot{V}O_2\) max as estimated from heart rate at submaximal workloads (the Åstrand-Rhyning test) showed an inter- as well as intra-individual variation. The systematic over-
or underestimation of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) in individuals results from inter-individual differences in response of heart rate. It has been assumed that the changes in heart rate as function of relative workload are similar for all subjects (Åstrand and Rhyming, 1970). The results of the present study indicate that this assumption is valid to a limited extend, because inter-individual differences can occur (section 5.1), resulting in systematic errors in the estimation of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \). In most subjects the heart rate values used to predict \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) as accurately as possible, met the requirements as set by Wyndham (1967), resulting in an intra-individual variation in estimated \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) which is comparable to that in measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \). This confirms the assumption that within an individual the variability in estimated and measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) is similar (Winkhorst, 1982). However, occasionally within an individual differences up to 10% were found between estimated and measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \), on a day to day basis. This is in keeping with the findings reported by Wright and co-workers (1978). This variation is caused by the intra-individual variation of heart rate at a given submaximal workload. These variations are unrelated to changes in maximal heart rate or measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) which is in agreement with the findings reported by Rowell and co-investigators (1964). The origin of these intra-individual differences in heart rate at a given submaximal workload is unknown and occurs in spite of the fact that the tests were performed under standard conditions as far as day of the week, exercise on preceding days, time of the day, room temperature, pedal frequency and procedure are concerned.

Although in the present study the revolution rate was higher than the 50 or 60 rpm generally used, it is unlikely that this has influenced the accuracy of the prediction of \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \). Jessup and co-workers (1977) reported that the accuracy of the Åstrand-Rhyming test was not markedly changed when using a revolution rate of 50 or 80 rpm.

By comparing measured and predicted \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \), corrected for age or maximal heart rate, it turned out that correction for maximal heart rate is preferable to that for age. This is caused by the inter-individual variation in maximal heart rate, which is independent of age over a wide range (section 5.1).

Changes in \( \text{Wmax} \) were generally paralleled by changes in anaerobic
threshold because with higher \( W_{\text{max}} \) levels the blood lactate concentration of 4 mmol.l\(^{-1}\) is reached at higher workloads (section 4.3). However, with an increase in \( W_{\text{max}} \) value, the relative workload at which the blood lactate concentration amounts to 4 mmol.l\(^{-1}\) shows a decrease. The latter is caused by a steeper increase in blood lactate concentration when \( W_{\text{max}} \) values are lower; at higher \( W_{\text{max}} \) values a more gradual increase in blood lactate concentration is found. It remains unknown whether these differences in increase in blood lactate concentration are caused by differences in lactate production, wash-out or both.

The findings in the present study show that occasionally intra-individual variations in anaerobic threshold up to 10% may occur between experiments with the same \( W_{\text{max}} \). However, generally in experiments with the same \( W_{\text{max}} \) value, variations in anaerobic threshold from \( \pm 3 \) to \( \pm 7\% \) are found. This variation results from variations in blood lactate concentration at a given workload.

The endurance time, as measured by loading subjects at a constant workload, showed a considerable variability, even in comparable tests and in spite of the fact that each subject was loaded at the same relative workload. The subjects mainly complained of local fatigue and pain in the thigh muscles. The moment of giving up was generally determined by this local fatigue as well as by a general feeling of exhaustion. The moment of exhaustion was not reflected in physiological variables as heart rate and venous blood lactate concentration. Because the total exercise time was less than 60 minutes, glycogen depletion was probably not the dominant factor in the perception of local fatigue. Motivational factors may have rather great influence on endurance time, because in this particular study the subjects complained about the soul-killing character of this activity and needed much encouragement to continue the exercise. Therefore, the moment of giving up seems to be influenced by local fatigue and lack of motivation. The decreased endurance time in test 1 and 3 can be explained by the preceding test performed, causing incomplete recovery. Great differences in test to test endurance times were observed intra-individually. Especially in test 1 and 3 the intra-individual differences were marked. The differences in endurance time between subjects as
found in the present study are in agreement with those described by Geyser (1979).
7. FATIGUE IN A SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE PERSPECTIVE

7.1 Relationship between perceived signs of fatigue and physical performance

The experiments in each subject were grouped according to the Wmax attained. The means and standard deviations of the various subjective feelings at the moment of exhaustion are summarized in Table A5.

The means of the various stress-factors ranged from 1 to 10. Comparing experiments with the same Wmax in one subject revealed that the mean values of the various stress factors relatively seldom reached the mark 9 or 10. These mean values, however, obscure the fact that in single experiments always at least one 9 or 10 was scored. In Table A5 heat stress is not listed, because it turned out that heat stress never was severe enough to score higher than 6. The main stressful factors that caused the subjects to give up were general fatigue, respiratory stress, painful feelings in the working muscles and loss of strength in the muscles which was perceived as if the legs got paralyzed. It appeared that in 10 subjects 9 was the highest mark scored, while in the other subjects 10 was scored frequently. No clear relationship could be observed between respiratory stress and any respiratory variable. Neither a clear relationship between any of the physiological variables and muscle pain or loss of muscle strength could be observed.

Comparing per subject the means of the various stress factors and the Wmax attained, it was found that the mean scores of respiratory stress and general fatigue increased at higher Wmax values. This tendency was observed in all subjects. The sensation of pain in the working muscles showed the opposite, i.e. the higher the Wmax attained is, the lower the mean score of muscular pain will be. No such clear tendency was found between Wmax attained and loss of muscle strength.

Although the mean values of some stress factors tended to be related to Wmax attained, the factor that caused a subject to stop a single exercise test could be different of origin. In some tests the main reason for stopping was general fatigue, while on other days respiratory stress or muscular pain was the main reason for stopping,
despite the fact that the same \( \text{Wmax} \) values were attained.

Prediction of \( \text{Wmax} \) from subjective feelings of fitness before the test, differed considerably from the \( \text{Wmax} \) attained. Actual \( \text{Wmax} \) was underscored or overscored by 5 to 10%. The frequencies of 5% underscore, exact score and 5% overscore were equal. A 10% underscore or a 10% overscore occurred less frequently. No clear inter-individual differences in the accuracy of predicting \( \text{Wmax} \) were found.

The estimation of \( \text{Wmax} \) after the first 5 minutes of exercise was more accurate in all subjects. In about 80% of the experiments \( \text{Wmax} \) was predicted accurately, while in 10% \( \text{Wmax} \) was underscored or overscored. The underscore and overscore were maximally 5%.

7.2 Comments

Heat stress never scored higher than 6, indicating that the heat dissipation was not really stressful. This may be explained by the ventilator that was placed in front of the subject and could be used on demand.

It was found that in 9 subjects a 10 was scored frequently. It appeared that the subjects who never scored a 10, had been active in competitive endurance sports and ever experienced more severe stress than during the laboratory tests. This indicates that during competition the level of motivation, that counterbalances the physical stress, is higher, thus producing a more severe level of exhaustion. It might be expected that respiratory stress is reflected in changes in the respiratory variables, but this was not the case in the present study. Although mean respiratory rate and mean respiratory stress tended to be increased at higher \( \text{Wmax} \), comparison of the respiratory variables and respiratory stress revealed that in single experiments no significant correlation could be found. Similar observations were made on general fatigue and pain in the working muscles. This is in agreement with the findings of Pands and co-workers (1972) who reported that general fatigue is not related to heart rate or ventilatory variables. So it seems that changes in respiratory rate or minute volume, do not necessarily cause the feeling of respiratory stress.
While working at low intensity, the subjects could estimate their maximal performance rather accurately. It may be argued that the subjects could manipulate \( W_{\text{max}} \), because theoretically they were able to know the workloads given. Although during the test no information was given to the subjects about workloads or the values of variables, they could deduce the workloads since the protocol was similar each test and measurements were done at regular intervals. However, before the start of the test, the prediction of \( W_{\text{max}} \) was inaccurate which may be an argument against manipulation of \( W_{\text{max}} \) by the subject. The difference in accuracy of estimating \( W_{\text{max}} \) from subjective feelings indicates that in the resting state perception of physical sensations is no reliable indicator for actual working capacity. During exercise, however, the actual working capacity can be estimated rather accurately from the perception of physical sensations. However, during the first experiments that were used as a try out and were discarded for final analysis a much less accurate estimation was found. The subjects probably need a reference which can be obtained by experience. This is in accordance with observations in athletes that during exercise the maximal performance level can be estimated rather well from physical signs.
B. GENERAL DISCUSSION

In summary the findings in the present study demonstrate that maximal workload attained \( W_{\text{max}} \) and \( V_{\text{O}_2}_{\text{max}} \) vary substantially when assessed on a week to week basis. These variations were random and no seasonal influences or influences of the menstrual cycle could be detected. The variation in \( W_{\text{max}} \) is likely to be biological in origin because an increase and a decrease in \( W_{\text{max}} \) is associated with a shift of a number of physiological variables to the right and the left, respectively when they are plotted as a function of external workload. This shift disappeared if the same variables were plotted as a function of relative workload, which implicates that within a subject a given relative workload is associated with values of the various physiological variables within narrow limits. However, even in experiments in which the same \( W_{\text{max}} \) was attained, inter- and intra-individual differences in physiological responses to exercise were found. The latter finding may explain the limited validity of tests in which physical endurance or \( V_{\text{O}_2}_{\text{max}} \) is predicted from blood lactate concentrations or heart rate values, attained at submaximal workloads. Because of the linear relationship between external workload and oxygen uptake, \( V_{\text{O}_2}_{\text{max}} \) can be estimated by determining \( W_{\text{max}} \) since no levelling off of oxygen uptake at maximal workloads was observed.

The variability in \( W_{\text{max}} \) is considered to be biological in origin since differences in \( W_{\text{max}} \) were associated with a shift of the physiological variables, when plotted as a function of external workload (figures 4.2 and 4.3). However, psychological factors will certainly influence the results obtained. The finding that each subject reached a number of distinct \( W_{\text{max}} \) values, was probably also affected by psychological factors because the subjects were assumed to be intrinsically or extrinsically motivated to finish a certain workload, once started. On the other hand if a subject felt to be able to sustain a higher workload only for a few seconds, he will probably not start the next higher workload in the scheme. It is assumed that the influence of psychological factors on \( W_{\text{max}} \) is rather limited. The motivational influence on the duration to sustain a heavy workload is also present.
in athletic activities, since it can be observed frequently that the motivational drive to maintain a certain speed can be kept by the athlete until the finish is reached, after which he may collapse completely. The variability in \( \text{Wmax} \) as found in the present study was different from subject to subject. It is supposed that the variability in physical performance is an individual feature. The variability as found in the present study is slightly higher than that of physical performance in athletes. By calculating in individual athletes the coefficient of variation in mean speed or the time needed to cover distances in athletic events, which last between 2 and 15 minutes, values between 1 and 5% can be obtained (unpublished results). Therefore, it is postulated that the variability in physical performance in athletes is also mainly determined by biological factors, while psychological factors may influence performance only to a limited extent.

No influence of the menstrual cycle on \( \text{Wmax} \) was observed. This is in contrast with the findings as reported by other investigators (Panikke and Smitka, 1977; Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980), who reported diminished physical endurance just prior to and during the menstrual phase. Because Panikke and Smitka (1977) showed that the menstrual phase may influence physical performance, especially in women with dysmenorrhea, part of the discrepancy may be explained by the fact that in the present study none of the female subjects suffered from dysmenorrhea. Another factor that may have masked the influence of the menstrual cycle on physical performance is the way in which the menstrual cycle was classified. Differences may occur between the classification of the menstrual cycle into 4 phases of equal duration as used in the present study and the classification, based upon hormone levels (Jurekowsk et al., 1978). Moreover, it should be realised that the quoted authors investigated female top athletes, while the subjects in our study were active at the recreational level.

Changes in \( \text{Wmax} \) were reflected in a shift of the physiological variables, when they were plotted as a function of external workload. This shift, however, disappeared if the physiological variables were plotted as a function of relative workload. The latter indicates that the magnitude of the physiological responses to exercise is related to relative workload. This means that within a subject a given rela-
tive workload is always associated with values of the various physiological variables within narrow limits.

Although the shift in physiological variables, associated with changes in $W_{\text{max}}$ (figures 4.2 and 4.3), suggests that the energy cost of exercise may differ on a week to week basis, significant changes in oxygen uptake at a given workload could only be found in 3 subjects. However, though not statistically significant, also in the other subjects changes in oxygen uptake, related to $W_{\text{max}}$, were observed. Differences in energy cost at a given workload may result from a variety of factors. It may be hypothesized that changes in coordination of movements or changes in metabolic efficiency in skeletal muscle may occur. In both cases the energy required for a given workload will change, depending on the degree of coordination and/or the metabolic efficiency. To meet the power, required at a given workload, reduced coordination and/or a decrease in metabolic efficiency will lead to increased stimulation of motor units. This results in increased oxygen uptake, stimulation of the cardiorespiratory system and increased lactate production. The recruitment of extra motor-neurons might explain the subjective feeling that on "bad" days the subjects had to concentrate on cycling in order to keep the pedals going which costed much effort. On "good" days, however, cycling seemed to go automatically without any effort. From the results in the present study no conclusion can be drawn about the validity of the hypothesis concerning coordination and/or metabolic efficiency. A finding that is difficult to explain with this hypothesis is that the maximal value of some of the physiological variables tended to be increased when higher $W_{\text{max}}$ values were reached. The following possibilities might be thought of for the various variables. Since the duration of the test increases when $W_{\text{max}}$ attained increases, higher core temperatures will be reached, because more time is available to transport heat through the body (Davies et al, 1971). Even a small rise in core temperature may lead to extra stimulation of the cardiorespiratory system (Schmidt and Thews, 1980). The increased maximal blood lactate concentration at higher $W_{\text{max}}$ values might be explained by the longer period of time that is available for the wash out of lactate from the working muscles and/or an increased production of lactate.
The physiological responses to exercise varied intra- as well as inter-individually. Within a subject the same \( \text{Wmax} \) value could be associated with pronounced differences in maximal values of the physiological variables. This indicates that the changes in regulation of the cardiorespiratory system are not necessarily completely reflected in the changes in physical performance. This may be explained by compensatory mechanisms of the body as shown by Epstein and coworkers (1965). These reported that changes in oxygen transport to the muscle as caused by decreased cardiac output after beta-adrenergic blockade, can be compensated for by increased oxygen extraction. This is supported by recent investigations, which showed that after application of beta-receptor blocking agents a decrease in maximal heart rate of about 20-30% may be associated with a decrease in \( \dot{V}O_2 \text{max} \) and \( \text{Wmax} \) of only 5-10% (see for references van Baak, 1983).

Comparison between subjects revealed that each subject has his own characteristics concerning physiological responses to exercise. In some subjects, for example, ventilation increased mainly by a rise in respiratory rate and in others mainly by a rise in tidal volume. Barrying this in mind it can be understood that not all subjects could fulfill all the criteria used to estimate whether a subject was maximally exerted. Therefore, the use of one criterion to decide whether a subject is really exerted, is insufficient.

In the present study no levelling off of oxygen uptake was found at maximal workloads. This finding is not in keeping with the observations of other investigators (Åstrand and Rodahl, 1970; Hollmann and Hettinger, 1980). As discussed in section 5.9 the occurrence of levelling off of oxygen uptake may be caused by the protocol as well as by the revolution rate used. In the present study it was occasionally observed that oxygen uptake increased disproportionally at near maximal and maximal workloads. This finding confirms the observations of Niemela and co-workers (1980). The results of the present study indicate that this phenomenon is associated with a disproportional increase in \( \dot{V}_E \) at near maximal workloads. Therefore, it may be speculated that the disproportional increase in oxygen uptake is caused by increased activity of the muscle tissue, involved in respiration. To further evaluate the levelling off phenomenon we performed a pilot
study in which the subjects were loaded at supramaximal workloads. It was found that \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max was increased proportional to the rise in workload above \( w_{\text{max}} \) only if the workload could be sustained for at least 2.5 minutes.

Because the relationship between external workload and oxygen uptake can be approximated by a linear regression equation, \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max can be estimated by determining \( w_{\text{max}} \). However, a systematic under- or overestimations up to about 10% may occur in some individuals. In bicycle trained people \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max may be overestimated and in people who are not bicycle trained \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max may be underestimated because of differences in efficiency. Estimation of \( \dot{V}U_2 \) max from \( w_{\text{max}} \) values may be of practical importance, because no expensive equipment is necessary. Besides, this estimation seems somewhat more accurate than estimating \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max from heart rate at a given submaximal workload, at least when using the protocol of the present study. However, estimating \( \dot{V}U_2 \) max from \( w_{\text{max}} \) with the protocol used in the present study takes about 20 minutes and maximal exertion, whereas estimating \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max from heart rate at a given submaximal workload takes only 6 minutes.

The accuracy of other indirect methods to estimate \( \dot{V}U_2 \) max or physical endurance from submaximal heart rate or blood lactate concentration are limited too because of the inter- and intra-individual variation in these variables. From the results of the present study it can be concluded that inter-individual comparison of \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max as predicted from heart rate attained at a given submaximal workload, can easily lead to erroneous conclusions. Even within one subject, changes in predicted \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max do not necessarily reflect changes in physical endurance. However, since the variations in predicted and measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max are similar, the same can be concluded for changes in measured \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max.

Although in general an increase in \( w_{\text{max}} \) was associated with an increase in the workload at which the blood lactate concentration amounted to 4 mmol.1\(^{-1}\), test to test variations varying from ±3 to ±7% were found. The latter implicates that a difference in anaerobic threshold between 2 tests may not necessarily be interpreted as a change in physical endurance. Since the blood lactate concentration, and consequently the anaerobic threshold, can be influenced by a
variety of factors, it may be questioned whether this concentration is a reliable indicator for the transition from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism. Turner and his colleagues (1981) showed that changes in prestart glycogen may influence the lactate formation and consequently the anaerobic threshold. Another factor that may influence blood lactate concentration is the exchange of lactate between muscle and blood. Tesch (1982) demonstrated that the gradient for lactate concentration between muscle and blood can differ inter- as well as intra-individually by more than 20%, while the correlation coefficient between muscle and blood lactate concentration was found to be 0.89. Although the half life-time of lactate exchange between muscle and blood has been considered to be about 30 seconds for years (Margaria et al, 1964; Whipp and Wasserman, 1972), recent research showed that the exchange is greatly dependent on the blood flow during exercise (Graham et al, 1976). Sahlin and co-workers (1976) studied the muscle and blood lactate concentration after exercise and observed a half life-time of lactate exchange between muscle and blood of 9.5 minutes. In the latter study, however, the subjects rested completely after exercise, so the exchange of lactate from muscle to blood may have been influenced by the absence of dynamic muscle function. The quoted studies suggest that wash-out of lactate may be influenced by the revolution rate used. The latter is supported by the findings of Turner (1981) who observed a shift in the anaerobic threshold with different revolution rates at the same external load, using a bicycle ergometer. In this study at higher revolution rates the load at which the blood lactate amounted to 4 mmol.l⁻¹ was shifted to a lower workload. This may be explained by an enhanced lactate wash-out at higher revolution rates. However, differences in recruitment of motor units at different revolution rates also have to be taken into account. Although in the present study the revolution rates were kept constant, the relative workload at which blood lactate concentration amounted to 4 mmol.l⁻¹, tended to be shifted to relatively lower workloads when the subjects attained a higher $\bar{W}_{\text{max}}$ (figure 6.1). This was caused by variations in the increase of lactate concentration. In the experiments in which a low $\bar{W}_{\text{max}}$ was reached, the rise in blood lactate concentration was steeper as compared to the experiments in which a
higher \( W_{\text{max}} \) was attained. It may be speculated that these differences are caused by differences in recruitment of motor units. On "good" days type I fibers could be recruited preferably, while type II fibers might be recruited additionally only at higher workloads. On "bad" days, however, type II fibers might have been recruited at lower workloads, resulting in an increased lactate production. The latter might explain the increased blood lactate levels at all workloads as well as the steeper rise in blood lactate concentration at lower \( W_{\text{max}} \) values.

Considering the estimation of physical endurance or changes in physical endurance in athletes, it is likely to be important that the test method used should have great similarity to the actual athletic activity. In sports which especially appeal to aerobic endurance of the thigh muscles, the maximal workload attained on a bicycle ergometer may be a more sensitive parameter for changes in physical endurance than measured or estimated \( \dot{V}_O_2_{\text{max}} \) and anaerobic threshold. This assumption is supported by observations in cyclists, made by Snoeckx and co-workers (1983). These investigators tested cyclists 4 times a year during the resting season, the preparation season, the competitive season and the slowing down season. It turned out that absolute \( \dot{V}_O_2_{\text{max}} \) and \( \dot{V}_O_2_{\text{max}} \) relative to body weight were not significantly different in any of the seasons, while \( W_{\text{max}} \) was lower in the resting than in the preparation and competitive season. Because no differences in maximal values of heart rate, blood lactate concentration and \( \dot{V}_E \) were found, it may be assumed that the level of exertion has been similar during all tests. Therefore, it is indicated that \( W_{\text{max}} \) relative to body weight may be a more sensitive parameter for estimating physical endurance in athletes than measured and estimated \( \dot{V}_O_2_{\text{max}} \) or anaerobic threshold. The latter is supported by similar findings in British cyclists (White et al, 1982). However, one should realize that athletic performance depends on such factors as technical ability, aerobic and anaerobic physical endurance and mental stability. Each laboratory test includes only some aspects of athletic performance, while factors as anaerobic power, technique and motivation are difficult to measure in the laboratory setting. Even if a cyclist is tested on a bicycle ergometer, we can only judge about one important pre-
requisite for a good cyclist, i.e. $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ or $W\text{max}$. We have no information about his tactical insight, sprinting capacity, anaerobic capacity and his ability to sustain high workloads during several hours. These factors are just as important as $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ and $W\text{max}$. Coaches and other people involved in attending athletes should realize that the most specific and most sensitive test is the competition itself.
9. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the variability of physical performance and of physiological responses to a standardized exercise test with gradually increasing workloads. The influence of the menstrual cycle on physical performance was included in the study. Besides, the relationship between oxygen uptake and external workload was investigated. The validity of some laboratory tests, generally used to estimate physical endurance and the relationship between physiological variables and subjective perception of fatigue were studied as well.

Nineteen subjects, 11 males and 8 females, who were physically active at the recreational level, participated in the study. Weekly, they performed a standard exercise test with increasing workloads. Each subject performed the test at least 14 times. Each volunteer had an individual protocol based upon the mean of the maximal workloads attained in 2-3 preliminary tests (mean test-Wmax). Each test started at 70% of the mean test-Wmax. This load was maintained for 5 minutes, whereupon each 2½ minute the workload was increased by a load which was 5% of the mean test-Wmax. In each test the load was increased until exhaustion. At rest, during the last 30 seconds of each workload and 1 and 5 minutes after exercise the following variables were determined: heart rate, expiratory volume (VE), respiratory rate, oxygen uptake (VO2), respiratory exchange ratio (R), respiratory equivalent (VE/VO2), lactate concentration, pH, pCO2 and O2 saturation in venous blood, and back-skin and tympanic temperature. Besides, maximal workload attained (Wmax) and mechanical efficiency were assessed. Before the test started, the subjects were asked to estimate the Wmax value which they thought to achieve. This question was repeated after the first 5 minutes of exercise. At the end of the test the subjects had to score on a 10-point scale the subjective feelings at the moment of exhaustion, such as general fatigue, respiratory stress, heat stress, pain or loss of strength in the muscles (chapter 2).

The accuracy of the methods to measure the physiological variables was estimated by comparing calibration values with the registered values, and/or by comparing the results of duplicate or repeated
measurements (chapter 3).

Wmax and $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ varied in all subjects in a random way (chapter 4). The coefficient of variation in Wmax varied inter-individually from 2.95 to 6.83% (mean 4.79%), and that of $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ from 4.20 to 11.35% (mean 7.58%). Discrepancies between Wmax and $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ were observed frequently. No seasonal influences or influences of the menstrual cycle on $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ and Wmax could be established. By grouping the experiments in each subject according to the Wmax attained and by plotting the physiological variables as a function of external workload, a shift in these variables, related to the Wmax attained, was found. This means a shift to the left at lower Wmax values an to the right at higher Wmax values. If per subject the variables were plotted as a function of relative workload, the shift disappeared, indicating that the magnitude of physiological responses to exercise is related to relative rather than absolute workload. This finding implicates that within a subject a given relative workload is associated with values of the various physiological variables within narrow limits.

Considerable inter-individual differences in physiological responses to exercise were found (chapter 5). Within one subject the physiological responses may vary up to 10%, even in experiments in which the same Wmax is attained. The relationship between oxygen uptake and external workload could be characterized by a linear regression equation. Because no levelling off of oxygen uptake was found at higher workloads, $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ can be estimated from this equation by determining Wmax. In some subjects, systematic over- or underestimation of $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ may amount to approximately 10%.

In chapter 6 some parameters, which are used to estimate physical endurance, were evaluated. Recovery of heart rate is no reliable parameter for physical endurance. In some subjects systematic differences up to 19% were found between $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ as estimated from heart rate at a given submaximal workload and the measured $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$. Within one subject, in experiments in which the same Wmax was attained, the variation of heart rate at a given workload leads to an intra-individual variation in predicted $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ of some percents. Therefore within a subject $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ may be over- or underestimated systematically, while the reproducibility of estimated and measured $\dot{V}O_{2,max}$ are similar. Changes in
anaerobic threshold, being the workload at which the blood lactate concentration amounts to 4 mmol.l\(^{-1}\), were generally associated with changes in \(W_{\text{max}}\). However, from a change in the workload at which the blood lactate concentration amounts to 4 mmol.l\(^{-1}\), \(W_{\text{max}}\) cannot be predicted accurately because of the intra-individual variation in blood lactate concentration at a given workload which varied between subjects from ±3 to ±7%. In a separate study the endurance time at a constant workload, 80% of the individual \(W_{\text{max}}\) as measured in a previous test, was investigated. In comparable tests a difference in endurance time up to 100% was found between as well as within individuals. The moment of exhaustion was not reflected in the values of the physiological variables such as heart rate or blood lactate concentration.

The relationship between perceived physical signs of fatigue and physiological variables were investigated in chapter 7. Before exercise started the subjects could not predict \(W_{\text{max}}\) accurately. However, after the first 5 minutes of exercise, \(W_{\text{max}}\) could be estimated rather accurately from physical signs. Respiratory stress and general fatigue tended to be increased at higher \(W_{\text{max}}\) values, while pain in the muscles was more often reported at lower \(W_{\text{max}}\) values. A relatively large variation in stress factors at the moment of exhaustion was observed, inter- as well as intra-individually. No clear relationship could be found between stress factors and physiological variables. This supports the view that the phenomenon of fatigue is rather complex and remains incompletely understood.

In conclusion:

- \(W_{\text{max}}\) and \(\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}\) vary on a week to week basis, which is independent of the season, the phase of the menstrual cycle or the level of physical performance.

- the variation in \(\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}\) is more pronounced than that in \(W_{\text{max}}\), while discrepancies may occur between changes in \(\dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}}\) and \(W_{\text{max}}\).

- \(W_{\text{max}}\) is probably a more sensitive parameter for changes in physical
endurance than $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$.

- Within a subject the magnitude of the physiological responses to exercise is related to relative rather than absolute workload.

- Physiological responses to a standardized test may vary up to 10% inter- as well as intra-individually.

- $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ can be estimated from heart rate at a submaximal workload or from $W_{\text{max}}$, because oxygen uptake and external workload are linearly related without levelling off of oxygen uptake at higher workloads. Generally, estimating $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ from $W_{\text{max}}$ is more accurate than from submaximal heart rate.

- Changes in $W_{\text{max}}$ are generally associated with changes in anaerobic threshold. In experiments with the same $W_{\text{max}}$ value, the variation in anaerobic threshold varies between individuals from ±3 to ±7%.

- No significant relationship was found between perceived signs of fatigue at the moment of exhaustion and physiological variables.
10. SAMENVATTING EN CONCLUSIES

Spierarbeid vraagt energie. Hoe meer energie de spier ter beschikking krijgt, hoe meer arbeid geleverd kan worden. De energie, nodig voor het samentrekken van spieren wordt geleverd door splitsing van een in de spier aanwezige energierijke fosfaatverbinding, het ATP. Het ATP is te beschouwen als een universele energiereactor voor alle cellulaire processen die energie kosten. Naast voortdurende splitsing van ATP, ook in rust, vindt continue nieuwvorming (resynthese) plaats. Dit kan op verschillende manieren gebeuren. Als de cel genoeg zuurstof ter beschikking heeft, wordt pyrodruivenzuur, dat via chemische afsplitsing uit glucose ontstaat, in de mitochondrien (de "energiecentrales" van de cel) volledig verbrand tot kooldioxide en water. Omdat dit proces zuurstof vraagt wordt dit wel aerobe stofwisseling genoemd. Dit aerobe proces gaat relatief langzaam en levert maximaal 2,2 mmol ATP per kilogram droog spiergewicht per seconde. Als de ATP afbraak de aerobe resynthese capaciteit overtreft, zoals bij zware arbeid, kan extra ATP gemaakt worden door anaerobe afbraak (zonder zuurstof) van glucose. De ontstane hoeveelheid pyrodruivenzuur die niet meer volledig in de mitochondrien kan worden verbrand, wordt in melkzuur (lactaat) omgezet (anaerobe glycolyse). Dit proces is sneller dan de aerobe verbranding en levert per seconde ongeveer 2 keer zoveel ATP. Het lactaat verzuurt echter het cellulaire milieu waardoor o.a. de anaerobe glycolyse zelf geremd wordt. Vooral bij maximale inspanningen tot ±60 seconden speelt anaerobe glycolyse een zeer belangrijke rol voor het snel leveren van ATP. Als het lichaam vanuit rust zich maximaal moet gaan inspannen wordt het ATP zeer snel verbruikt. Omdat de glycolyse enige tijd nodig heeft om op gang te komen, vindt gedurende de eerste seconden snelle resynthese van ATP plaats door splitsing van een ander in de cel aanwezig energierijk fosfaat, het creatinefosfaat (CP). Door deze snelle reactie wordt ongeveer 12 mmol ATP per kg droog gewicht per seconde gewonnen. Helaas is de CP voorraad slechts voldoende om enkele seconden maximale inspanning mogelijk te maken.

Sportprestaties kunnen op grond van de energieleverantie als volgt worden onderverdeeld:
a. Inspanningen die tot 1 minuut duren, zijn vooral afhankelijk van
anaerobe energiewinning. We spreken wel van anaerob uithoudingsvermogen.

b. Inspanningen tussen 1 en 10 minuten zijn vooral afhankelijk van energiewinning die aeroob maximaal geleverd kan worden. Hier is het aerob uithoudingsvermogen een zeer belangrijke factor voor de sportprestatie.

c. Bij inspanningen die langer duren zoals marathon e.d. is het van belang dat de atleet kan werken op een hoog percentage van zijn maximale aerob energieleverantie. Hier is ook het aerob uithoudingsvermogen erg belangrijk.

Omdat begeleiders van sporters graag geïnformeerd willen worden over de effecten van training heeft men getracht methodes te vinden om het uithoudingsvermogen te schatten. Een belangrijke voorwaarde voor een goed uithoudingsvermogen is de energieleverantie. Terwijl het meten van anaerob energiewinning moeilijk is, kan aerob energiewinning relatief gemakkelijk gemeten worden. Het is bekend hoeveel energie het lichaam met behulp van een liter zuurstof kan vrijmaken. Hoe hoger het vermogen om zuurstof op te nemen is, hoe meer energie geleverd kan worden, en hoe hoger het aerob uithoudingsvermogen zal zijn. Daarom wordt de maximale zuurstofopname ($\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$) als belangrijke parameter (maat) voor het uithoudingsvermogen van duursporters gebruikt. Het meten van de $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ vraagt echter een goed geoutilleerd laboratorium, zodat gezocht is naar methodes om de $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ te schatten bijvoorbeeld uit de hartfrequentie die bij een submaximale belasting bereikt wordt (de Astrand–Rhyming test). Een andere methode om het uithoudingsvermogen te schatten is het bepalen van de belasting waarbij het lactaat in het bloed 4 mmol.L$^{-1}$ is, omdat verondersteld wordt dat deze concentratie de grens aangeeft waarbij de stofwisseling van aerob naar anaerob overgaat. In de praktijk worden verschillen in test resultaat vaak vertaald in veranderingen van het uithoudingsvermogen. Het is echter niet bekend of variaties in deze geschatte waarden ook betekenen dat het uithoudingsvermogen inderdaad verandert of omdat niet precies bekend is hoe reproduceerbaar zo'n laboratoriumtest is. Naast deze vraag zijn er in de sportpraktijk nog andere niet opgeloste problemen die van belang zijn voor de begeleiders van sporters. Zo is
het bekend dat het prestatievermogen van sporters zonder aanwijsbare oorzaak kan variëren. Verder bestaan er tegenstrijdige opvattingen over de invloed van de menstruele cyclus op het prestatievermogen bij de vrouw. Deze onduidelijkheden hebben gelei tot de huidige studie waarbij getracht werd een antwoord te geven op de volgende vragen:

- wat is de variabiliteit van het fysieke prestatievermogen en de fysische reacties, tijdens het uitvoeren van een gestandaardiseerde fietsergometerproef.
- welke invloed heeft de menstruele cyclus op het prestatievermogen.
- wat is de relatie tussen uitwendige belasting en zuurstofopname.
- wat is de validiteit (waarde) van de laboratorium testen die algemeen gebruikt worden om het prestatievermogen te schatten.
- welke relatie is er tussen subjectieve gevoelens van vermoeidheid en fysische variabelen.

Om deze vragen te kunnen beantwoorden, werden 11 mannelijke en 8 vrouwelijke proefpersonen wekelijks aan een fietsergometer test onderworpen. De proefpersonen waren lichamelijk actief op recreatief niveau. In hoofdstuk 2 worden de in deze studie gebruikte methodes beschreven. Iedere proefpersoon had zijn eigen belastingsprotocol. Om dit protocol vast te kunnen stellen, werd elke proefpersoon 2-3x getest, waarbij steeds het maximaal gehaalde vermogen werd bepaald (test-Wmax). Het gemiddelde van deze 2-3 waardes, het gemiddelde maximale vermogen, werd als uitgangspunt voor het protocol genomen. Uit protocol bestond uit het gedurende 5 minuten fietsen op 70% van het gemiddelde maximale vermogen, waarna elke 2½ minuut de belasting werd opgevoerd met een stappgrootte die 5% van het gemiddelde maximale vermogen bedroeg. De belasting werd opgevoerd tot uittputting. In rust, gedurende de laatste 30 seconden van elke belastingstap en 1 en 5 minuten na afgelopen van de test werden de volgende fysioLOGISCHE variabelen gemeten: hartfrequentie, ademminuutvolume (VE), ademfrequentie, zuurstofopname (V02), respiratoir quotient (R), ademequivalent (VE/V02), lactaatconcentratie, pH, pCO2, en O2 saturatie (=verzadiging) in het veneuze bloed en de huid- en trommelvliesstemperatuur. De hoogste belastingstap die werd bereikt (Wmax) en de mechanisme
efficientie werden eveneens bepaald. Verder moesten de proefpersonen voor aanvang van de test en na de eerste 5 minuten belasting voor- 
swenn wat ze die dag voor maximale belasting zouden halen. Na afloop 
werd gevraagd hoe ze zich voelden op het moment van uitputting voor 
wat betreft ademstress, pijn in de spieren of krachtsverlies en al-
gemene vermoeidheid.

In hoofdstuk 3 wordt beschreven hoe nauwkeurig de methodes zijn om 
de verschillende fysiologische variabelen te meten. Dit werd nagegaan 
door vergelijking van meetresultaten met ijkwaarden en/of aan de hand 
vanduplo of meerdere metingen.

In hoofdstuk 4 wordt beschreven dat de W\textsubscript{max} en \(\dot{V}O\textsubscript{2}\text{max}\) waardes 
varieren zonder dat hierin een speciaal patroon kan worden 
waarge-
nomen. Tussen individuen varieerde de variatie-coëfficiënt voor W\textsubscript{max} 
van 2,95 tot 6,83% (gemiddeld 4,79%) en voor \(\dot{V}O\textsubscript{2}\text{max}\) van 4,20 tot 
11,35% (gemiddeld 7,58%). Er werden frequent discrepanties tussen W\textsubscript{max} 
en \(\dot{V}O\textsubscript{2}\text{max}\) gevonden. Geen invloed van jaargetijde en menstruele cyclus 
op W\textsubscript{max} en \(\dot{V}O\textsubscript{2}\text{max}\) kon worden aangetoond. Door experimenten binnen elke 
proefpersoon te groeperen naar de bereikte W\textsubscript{max} waarde, bleek er, 
afhankelijk van de hoogte van de W\textsubscript{max}, een verschuiving op te treden 
in de fysiologische variabelen als deze werden uitgezet als functie 
van de belasting. Dit wil zeggen een verschuiving naar links bij lage 
W\textsubscript{max} waardes en een verschuiving naar rechts bij hoge W\textsubscript{max} waardes. De 
verschuiving verdween als de fysiologische variabelen werden uitgezet 
as functie van de relatieve belasting. Dit betekent dat voor elke 
proefpersoon bij een bepaalde relatieve belasting min of meer vaste 
waardes van de verschillende fysiologische variabelen horen.

In hoofdstuk 5 wordt beschreven dat er inter- en intra-individuele 
verschillen in fysiologische reactie tijdens inspanning kunnen bestaan 
tot zo'n 10%. Zelfs als eenzelfde W\textsubscript{max} waarde bereikt wordt, kan er 
binnen een persoon een variatie in de fysiologische variabelen bij een 
zelfde belasting te zien zijn, van soms 10%. De relatie tussen zuur-
stofopname en belasting kan worden benaderd door een lineaire regres-
sie-vergelijking omdat er geen afvlakking van de zuurstofopname (le-
velling off) bij hoge belastingen werd gezien. \(\dot{V}O\textsubscript{2}\text{max}\) kan uit deze 
vergelijking geschat worden door de W\textsubscript{max} te bepalen. Voor sommige 
proefpersonen kan een systematische over- of onderschatting van de
$V_{O_2}\text{max}$ tot ongeveer 10% worden gevonden.

In hoofdstuk 6 worden enkele methodes geëvalueerd, die in de praktijk gebruikt worden, om of het uithoudingsvermogen, of veranderingen daarin, te schatten. Het herstel van de hartfrequentie bleek geen relatie te hebben met de $W_{max}$ en lijkt derhalve geen goede maat te zijn voor schatting van het uithoudingsvermogen. De $V_{O_2}\text{max}$ waardes, geschat uit de hartfrequentie bij een bepaalde submaximale belasting (de Åstrand test), bleken bij proefpersonen systematisch te kunnen verschillen van de gemeten $V_{O_2}\text{max}$ waardes. Het verschil tussen voorspelde en gemeten $V_{O_2}\text{max}$ waardes was bij enkele proefpersonen 19%. Dinnen een proefpersoon bleken bij experimenten met eenzelfde $W_{max}$ variaties in hartfrequentie te leiden tot een spreiding in de geschatte $V_{O_2}\text{max}$ van enkele procenten. Deze spreiding is vergelijkbaar met die van de direkte $V_{O_2}\text{max}$ metingen. Daarom kan gesteld worden dat de $V_{O_2}\text{max}$ systematisch over- of onderschat kan worden, terwijl de reproduceerbaarheid van voorspelde en gemeten $V_{O_2}\text{max}$ vergelijkbaar is. De belasting waarbij de bloedlactaat concentratie 4 mmol per liter bedroeg (anaerobe drempel), verschafte in het algemeen naar een hogere waarde als de $W_{max}$ een hogere waarde bereikte. Er werden incidenteel variaties in de melkzuurconcentratie bij een gegeven belasting gevonden voor meer dan 10%, ondanks eenzelfde $W_{max}$. In het algemeen echter schommelde de variatie in anaerobe drempel tussen de proefpersonen bij eenzelfde $W_{max}$ waarde tussen ±3 tot ±7%. Een daling of steiging van de belasting waarbij de lactaatconcentratie 4 mmol per liter bedraagt, hoeft derhalve niet te betekenen dat het uithoudingsvermogen daadwerkelijk is veranderd. De volhoudtij bij een belasting van 80% van de van te voren bepaalde $W_{max}$, bleek sterk te kunnen variëren, onafhankelijk van veranderingen in $W_{max}$. Uitputting kwam niet tot uitdrukking in de fysiologische variabelen als hartfrequentie en lactaatconcentratie in het bloed.

De relatie tussen subjectieve gevoelens van vermoeidheid en fysiologische variabelen wordt beschreven in hoofdstuk 7. Het bleek dat proefpersonen in rust moeilijk hun prestatie konden voorspellen, terwijl dit wel goed mogelijk was na de eerste 5 minuten belasting. Ademstress en algemene vermoeidheid neigden hoger te scoren bij toe- name van de $W_{max}$. Pijn in de spieren daarentegen kwamen vaker voor bij
lagere Wmax waardes. Tussen de verschillende personen bestond een relatief grote variatie in scores van de verschillende stressfactoren, zoals die ervaren werden op het moment van uitputting. Ook binnen individuen bestond een aanzienlijke variatie in subjectieve gevoelens op het moment van uitputting. Er was geen duidelijk verband aanvoor-
baar tussen verschillende subjectieve gevoelens van stress en fysi-
ologische variabelen. Dit steunt de opvatting dat het verschijnsel
vermoeidheid zeer complex is en maar zeer ten dele wordt begrepen.

Samenvattend kan gesteld worden dat:

- Wmax en \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max van week tot week varieer, terwijl er geen verband
  bestaat met de menstruele cyclus, het jaargetijde en het prestatie-
  vermogen.

- de variatie in \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max groter is dan die in Wmax, terwijl er discrep-
  panties tussen veranderingen in \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max en Wmax kunnen voorkomen.

- Wmax waarschijnlijk een gevoeliger maat voor veranderingen in uit-
  houdingsvermogen is dan \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max.

- bij elke proefpersoon de grootte van de fysiologische reacties op
  inspanning gerelateerd is aan de relatie en niet aan de absolute
  belasting. Dit betekent dat binnen een persoon bij een gegeven
  relatiebe lasting waardes voor de verschillende fysiologische
  variabelen gevonden worden, die binnen nauwe grenzen liggen.

- de fysiologische reacties op inspanning niet alleen tot zo'n 10%
  kunnen varieren tussen personen, doch ook binnen een individu.

- \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max geschat kan worden uit de hartfrequentie bij een submaximale
  belasting en uit de Wmax omdat er een lineair verband bestaat tussen
  zuurstofopname en uitwendige belasting, terwijl er geen afvlakking
  van de zuurstofopname bij hoge belasting optreedt.
  Het schatten van de \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max uit de Wmax is in het algemeen wat nauw-
  keuriger dan het schatten van de \( \dot{V}O_2 \) max uit de hartfrequentie bij
een submaximale belasting.

- Veranderingen in $ \textit{Wmax} $ in het algemeen samen gingen met veranderingen in de anaerobe drempel. In experimenten waarbij dezelfde $ \textit{Wmax} $ waarde gehaald werd, schommelde de variatie in de anaerobe drempel tussen de proefpersonen van $ \pm 3-17\% $.

- Er tijdens uitputting geen duidelijk verband gevonden wordt tussen subjectieve gevoelens van vermoeidheid en fysiologische variabelen.
REFERENCES

Åstrand, P.Ø.
Experimental studies of physical working capacity in relation to sex and age.
Munksgaard, Copenhagen, 1952

Åstrand, P.Ø., L. Rhyming
A nomogram for calculation of aerobic capacity from pulse rate during submaximal work.
J. Appl. Physiol. 7, 218-222, 1954

Åstrand, P.Ø.
Aerobic work capacity of men and women, with special reference to age.
Acta Physiol. Scand. 49 suppl. 169, 1960

Åstrand, P.Ø., B. Saltin
Oxygen uptake during the first minutes of heavy muscular exercise
J. Appl. Physiol. 16: 971-975, 1961

Åstrand, P.Ø., K. Rodahl
Textbook of work physiology

Baak, M. van, W. Jennekens, F. Verstappen
Heart rate reduction and maximal work capacity during acute and chronic beta receptor blockade

Bergmeyer, H.U.
Methods of enzymatic analysis vol. 3

Binkhorst, R.A.
De Åstrand fietstest
Gen. en Sport 6: 198-199, 1982

Binkhorst, R.A., K. v. Leeuwen
A rapid method for the determination of aerobic capacity.

Binkhorst, R.A., A.C.A. Vissers, W. Geerts
Submaximale inspanningsproeven met verschillende fietsergometers
Gen. sport nr. 3, 50-52, 1973

Bonen, A., C.J. Campbell, K.L. Kirkley, A.N. Belcastro
A multiple regression model for blood lactate removal in man
Pflügers Arch. 380: 205-210, 1979

Borg, G.
Physical performance and perceived exertion
Skeerups, Lund, 1962
Borg, G.
Perceived exertion: a note on history and methods

Costill, D.L., W.J. Fink, M.D. Pollack
Muscle fiber composition and enzyme activities of elite distance runners.
Med.Sci Sports 2, 96-100, 1976

Croonen, F., R.A. Binkhorst
Oxygen uptake calculated from expiratory volume and oxygen analysis only
Ergonomics 17, 113-117, 1974

Daniels, J.T., R.A. Yarbrough, C. Forster
Changes in VO2max and running performance with training

Davis, J.A., P. Vodak, J.H. Wilmore, J. Vodak, P. Kurtz
Anaerobic threshold and maximal aerobic power for three modes of exercise
J. Appl. Physiol 41: 544-550, 1976

Davies, C.T.M.
Limitations to the prediction of maximal oxygen intake from cardiac frequency measurements.

Davies, C.T.M., L. Barnes, A.J. Sargeant
Body temperature in exercise
Int. Z. angew. physiol. 30: 10-19, 1971

Dlem, K., C. Lentner
Wissenschaftliche Tabellen
Ciba-Geigy AG, Basel 1971

Dixon, W.J., M.B. Brown, editors, BMDP-79
University of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles, London 1979

Ekblom, B., A.M. Goldberg
The influence of physical training and other factors on the subjective rating of perceived exertion

Enschede, F.A.J.
Over de training van schaatsenrijders
Thesis, University of Utrecht, 1960

Epstein, S.E., B.F. Robinson, R.L. Kahler, E. Braunwald
Effects of beta-adrenergic blockade on the cardiac response to maximal and submaximal exercise in man
J. of Clin. Inv. 44: 1745-1753, 1966
Essen, B., B. Pernow, P.O. Gollnick, B. Saltin
Muscle glycogen content and lactate uptake in exercising muscles in:
metabolic adaptations to prolonged physical exercise. Eds.: H. Howald,
J.R. Poortmans Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1975 pp. 130-134

Feern, T.
A Bayesian approach to growth curves
Biometrika 62: 89-100, 1975

Fleisch, A.
Neue Methoden zur Untersuchung des Gasausstausches und der Lungenfunktion
Thieme, Leipzig, 1966

Geysel, J.S.M.
Onderzoek naar de relatie tussen trainingsarbeid en veranderingen in
de trainingstoestand van marathon schaatsers in het seizoen 1975/1974
Gen. Sport 2: 6-17, 1979

Geysel, J.S.M.
Training and testing in marathon speed skating

Glassford, R.G., G.H.Y. Baycraft, A.W. Sedgwick, R.B.J. MacNab
Comparison of maximal oxygen uptake values determined by predicted and
actual methods
J. Appl. Physiol. 20: 509-514 1965

Gieser, M.A., J.A. Vogel
Endurance capacity for prolonged exercises on the bicycle ergometer

The effect of $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ of adding arm work to maximal leg work

Graham, T., G. Sjögaard, H. Lèllgren, B. Saltin
H.A.D. in muscle of man at rest and during exercise
Pflügers Arch. 378: 35-39, 1978

Hayberg, J.M., M.D. Giese, J.P. Müllin
Effect of different gear ratio on the metabolic responses of competitive
cyclists to constant load during steady state work
Med. and Science in Sports 7: 74-78, 1975

Hayberg, J.M.K, M.D. Giese, R.B. Schneider
Comparison of three procedures for measuring $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ in competitive
cyclists

Hermansen, L., G. Saltin
Oxygen uptake during maximal treadmill and bicycle exercise
J. Appl. Physiol. 26: 31-36, 1969
Hermansen, L., S. Maehlum, E.D.R. Pruett, O. Vaage, H. Woldum, T. Wessel-Aas

Hollmann, W.
Zur Frage der Dauerleistungsfähigkeit

Hollmann, W., Th. Nettinger
Sportmedizin - Arbeits und Trainingsgrundlagen
F.K. Schattauer Verlag, Stuttgart-New York 1980

Houston, M.E., H. Bentzen, H. Larsen
Interrelationships between skeletal muscle adaptations and performance as studied by detraining and retraining

Ingen Schenau, G.J. van,
A power balance applied to speed skating; thesis
Free University Amsterdam 1981

Jessup, G.T., C.E. Riggs, J. Lambert, W.D. Miller
The effect of pedalling speed in the validity of the Astrand-Ryhming aerobic work capacity test

Jurkowska, J.E., N.L. Jones, W.C. Walker, E.V. Younglai, J.R. Sutton
Ovarian hormonal responses to exercise

Jurkowska, J.E., N.L. Jones, C.J. Toews, J.R. Sutton
Effects of menstrual cycle on blood lactate, O2 delivery and performance during exercise.

Katch, V.L., J.S. Sady, P. Freedson
Biological variability in maximum aerobic power
Med. Sci. in sports and exercise 14: 21-25, 1982

Keren, G., A. Magazanik, Y. Epstein
A comparison of various methods for the determination of V0₂max

Knowlton, R.G., M.N. Sawka, D.T. Deutsch
Varied intensity treadmill protocols for measurement of maximal oxygen uptake
J.Sportsmed. 17, 263-268, 1977

Kuijpers, H., F.T.J. Verstappen, R.S. Reneman
Influence of therapeutic doses of amoxicillin on aerobic work capacity and some strength characteristics
Am.J.Sportsmed. 8, 274-279, 1980
Lindley, D.V., Smith, A.F.M.
Bayes estimates for the linear model

Mader, A., M. Liesen, H. Heck, H. Philippi, H. Rost, P. Schürch, W. Hollmann
Zur Beurteilung der sportartspezifischen Ausdauerleistungsfähigkeit im Labor

Mathews, D.F., E.L. Fox
The physiological basis of physical education and athletics

Mc. Lellan, T., T. Feroan, J.S. Skinner
The effect of work load duration on the determination of the aerobic and anaerobic thresholds

Margaria, R., P. Corretalli, F. Mangili
Balance and kinetics of anaerobic energy release during strenuous exercise in man

Moncrieff, J.
Individual differences, reliabilities and inter-correlations of oxygen intake measurements
J. Sports med. 8, 153-157, 1968

Myles, W.S., R.J. Toft
A cycle ergometer test of maximal aerobic power

Nadel, E.A.
Problems with temperature regulation during exercise

Nakayama, T., Y. Umnuki, K. Niwa
Fall in skin temperature during exercise
Jap. J. Physiol. 27: 423-437, 1977

Nielsen, B.
Thermoregulation in rest and exercise

Nielsen, M.
Die Respirationsarbeit bei Körperruhe und bei Muskelarbeit
Skand. Arch. Physiol. 74: 299, 1936

Niemela, K., I. Palatsi, M. Linnaluoto, J. Takkunin
Criteria for maximum oxygen uptake in progressive bicycle test

Otis, A.B.
The work of breathing
In: W.O. Fenn and H. Rahn (eds): "Handbook of physiology". Respiration

Pahlke, U., H.P. Smitka
Menstruationszyklus und sportliche Leistungsfähigkeit trainierter
Sportlerinnen.
Med. und Sport 17: 123-126, 1977

Pandolf, K.B., E. Cafarelli, B.J. Noble, K.F. Metz
Perceptual responses during prolonged work

Patton, J.F., J.A. Vogel, R.P. Mello
Evaluation of a maximal predictive cycle ergometer test of aerobic
power

Poulus, A.J., F.W.J. Vos
Trapfrequentie en fysiologische belasting
Gen. en sport 1: 12-16; 1982

Prinzen, F.W., M.J.M. Koppelmans, M.J.E. Bernink, W.R. de Vries
Een vergelijking van maximale prestatie en cardiorespiratoire adapta-
tie gedurende vier verschillende fietsergometertesten
Gen. sport nr. 5, 118-125, 1979

Reekum, J.R., van
Conditie, training en belasting: een onderzoek bij Deltse roeiers
Express Printing, Amsterdam 1974

Rowell, L.B., H.L. Taylor, Y. Wang
Limitations to prediction of maximal oxygen intake
J. Appl. Physiol. 19(5): 919-927, 1964

Rupp, J.C.
Anaerobic threshold measures: variance between method and sex

Sahlin, K., R.C. Harris, B. Nyland, E. Hultman
Lactate content and pH in muscle samples obtained after dynamic exer-
cise
Pfluegers Arch. 367: 143-149, 1976

Saltin, B., L. Hermansen
Esophageal, rectal and muscle temperature during exercise
J. appl. physiol. 21: 1757, 1966

Saltin, B., A.P. Gagge, J.A.J. Stoßwijk
Muscle temperature during submaximal exercise in man
Schmidt, K.F., G. Theus
Physiologie des Menschen, p. 533

Simonsen, E., P.C. Weiser
Psychological aspects and physiological correlates of work and fatigue
Charles C. Thomas publisher Springfield, Illinois, USA 1976

Snoekx, L.H.E.H., H.F.M. Abeling, J.A.C. Lambregts, J.J.F. Schmitz,
F.T.J. Verstappen, K.S. Reneman
Cardiac dimensions in athletes in relation to variation in their
training program
Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. to be published in 1983

Stephenson, L.A., M.A. Kolka, J.E. Wilkerson
Perceived exertion and anaerobic threshold during the menstrual cycle.

Stephenson, L.A., M.A. Kolka, J.E. Wilkerson
Metabolic and thermoregulatory response to exercise during the human
menstrual cycle.

Strømme, S.B., F. Inger, H.D. Meen
Assessment of maximal aerobic power in specifically trained athletes

Taylor, H.L., E. Buskirk, A. Menschel
Maximal oxygen intake as an objective measure of cardiorespiratory
performance
J. Appl. Physiol. 8: 73-76, 1955

Tesch, P.A.
Muscle fatigue in man with special reference to lactate accumulation
during short term intense exercise

Tesch, P.A., W.L. Daniels, D.S. Sharp
Lactate accumulation in muscle and blood during submaximal exercise
Acta Physiol. Scand. 114: 441-446, 1982

Thoss, P., S. Israel
Der Vergleich von gemessenen un nomografisch ermittelten maximaler
Sauerstoffaufnahme bei Sportlern unterschiedlichen Leistungsvermögens
Med. und Sport 9: 261-266, 1975

Tukey, J.W.
Exploratory data analysis
Addison, Wesley publishing co. Massachusetts, 1977

Turner, S.G. E.H. Hughes, G.A. Brooks
Effects of glycogen depletion and pedalling speed on the so-called
"Anaerobic threshold".
Verstappen, F.T.J., R.M. Huppertz, L.H.E.H. Snoecks
Effect of training specificity on maximal treadmill and bicycle ergometer exercise.
Int. J. Sportsmed. 3: 43-46, 1982

Vos, J.A., H.A. Binkhorst, W. Geurts
Principes van de fietsergometer en de praktische toepassing bij controle van de trainingstoestand
Ve Tijsbroek, Lochem, 1981

Wries, H.A. de, C.E. Klafs
Prediction of maximal oxygen uptake from submaximal tests

Wassermann, K.
Detecting the threshold of anaerobic metabolism
Am. J. Cardiol. 14: 844-853, 1964

Whipp, B.J., K. Wassermann
Oxygen uptake kinetics for various intensities of constant load work
J. Appl. Physiol. 33: 351-356, 1972

Whipp, B.J., S.N. Koyal, K. Wassermann
Anaerobic threshold and $O_2$ uptake kinetics for work increment of various durations

White, J.A., G. Quinn, M. Al-Dawalibi, J. Mullhall
Seasonal changes in cyclists’ performance
Brit. J. Sports. Med. 16: 4-12, 1982

Wilmore, J.H
Physiological responses of women to exercise
In: Exercise and sport sciences reviews, pp 126-150

Wright, G.R., K. Sidney, R.J. Shepherd
Variance of direct and indirect measurements of aerobic power

Wyndham, C.H.
Submaximal tests for estimating maximum oxygen intake

Yoshida, T., N. Takeuchi, Y. Suda
Arterial versus venous blood lactate increase in the forearm during incremental bicycle exercise.
Eur. J. appl. physiol. 50: 87-93, 1982
TABLE A1

Mean $W_{\text{max}}$, mean measured and estimated $\dot{V}O_{\text{max}}$ and mean mechanical efficiency at 70% $W_{\text{max}}$ with coefficients of variation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subj.</th>
<th>mean $W_{\text{max}}$ (Watt)</th>
<th>coefficient of variation of $W_{\text{max}}$ (%)</th>
<th>mean $\dot{V}O_{\text{max}}$ (l.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>coefficient of variation of $\dot{V}O_{\text{max}}$ (%)</th>
<th>mean estimated $\dot{V}O_{\text{max}}$ from $W_{\text{max}}$ (l.min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>mean mechanical efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALES</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400.5</td>
<td>4,03</td>
<td>5,69</td>
<td>4,20</td>
<td>5,82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>309.5</td>
<td>4,02</td>
<td>4,03</td>
<td>6,95</td>
<td>3,09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>228.5</td>
<td>2,95</td>
<td>2,99</td>
<td>10,26</td>
<td>2,97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>249.2</td>
<td>5,35</td>
<td>4,38</td>
<td>7,69</td>
<td>3,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>273.0</td>
<td>6,19</td>
<td>3,66</td>
<td>7,59</td>
<td>3,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>270.0</td>
<td>5,29</td>
<td>3,83</td>
<td>6,87</td>
<td>3,84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>288.2</td>
<td>4,89</td>
<td>4,13</td>
<td>6,19</td>
<td>3,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>237.3</td>
<td>5,54</td>
<td>2,84</td>
<td>11,30</td>
<td>3,07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>231.8</td>
<td>6,83</td>
<td>3,07</td>
<td>6,03</td>
<td>3,01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>325.6</td>
<td>4,79</td>
<td>4,29</td>
<td>8,20</td>
<td>4,07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>332.0</td>
<td>4,27</td>
<td>4,12</td>
<td>5,80</td>
<td>4,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALES</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>201.4</td>
<td>3,23</td>
<td>2,53</td>
<td>7,69</td>
<td>2,67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>175.2</td>
<td>5,16</td>
<td>2,33</td>
<td>8,87</td>
<td>2,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>3,40</td>
<td>2,63</td>
<td>6,58</td>
<td>2,64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>148.8</td>
<td>4,38</td>
<td>2,22</td>
<td>9,98</td>
<td>2,07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>195.6</td>
<td>5,73</td>
<td>2,78</td>
<td>6,03</td>
<td>2,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>174.3</td>
<td>5,83</td>
<td>2,41</td>
<td>8,42</td>
<td>2,36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>234.7</td>
<td>3,92</td>
<td>3,17</td>
<td>6,89</td>
<td>3,04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>199.6</td>
<td>5,21</td>
<td>2,58</td>
<td>5,01</td>
<td>2,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subj. nr.</td>
<td>Wmax (Watt)</td>
<td>heart rate (beats.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>V̇̇o₂ (STPD L.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>Respiratory rate (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>T₀ max (°C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>177.1±5.5</td>
<td>141.9±0.2</td>
<td>51.3±2.2</td>
<td>abc5, 37±0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472 B</td>
<td>178.9±4.9</td>
<td>142.6±0.9</td>
<td>52.8±2.8</td>
<td>5.80±0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>495 C</td>
<td>180.4±4.2</td>
<td>142.9±0.73</td>
<td>52.5±1.9</td>
<td>5.76±0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517 D</td>
<td>173.0±6.2</td>
<td>144.8±0.1</td>
<td>54.7±1.3</td>
<td>5.50±0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>285 A</td>
<td>179.5±4.3</td>
<td>34.0±3.6</td>
<td>a3, 78±0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 B</td>
<td>180.4±3.3</td>
<td>89.6±0.74</td>
<td>34.2±2.6</td>
<td>3.96±0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315 C</td>
<td>180.0±2.4</td>
<td>91.9±0.79</td>
<td>34.0±2.2</td>
<td>4.08±0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330 D</td>
<td>161.9±2.9</td>
<td>99.6±0.67</td>
<td>36.0±2.0</td>
<td>4.20±0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>216 A</td>
<td>192.3±4.1</td>
<td>60.6±1.1</td>
<td>2.74±0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228 B</td>
<td>189.5±2.9</td>
<td>76.7±0.64</td>
<td>46.5±2.5</td>
<td>3.08±0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240 C</td>
<td>192.5±3.4</td>
<td>78.2±0.56</td>
<td>46.5±2.5</td>
<td>2.89±0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>238 A</td>
<td>184.2±8.4</td>
<td>83.9±12.2</td>
<td>ab2, 93±0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 B</td>
<td>181.3±5.4</td>
<td>89.6±0.55</td>
<td>47.2±2.1</td>
<td>3.43±0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263 C</td>
<td>184.0±2.6</td>
<td>99.5±11.8</td>
<td>49.4±2.2</td>
<td>3.32±0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>292 A</td>
<td>185.0±1.4</td>
<td>99.1±0.72</td>
<td>47.0±1.4</td>
<td>3.40±0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266 B</td>
<td>182.7±6.7</td>
<td>114.5±14.9</td>
<td>51.1±4.9</td>
<td>3.64±0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 C</td>
<td>185.8±6.0</td>
<td>106.1±0.79</td>
<td>51.1±3.3</td>
<td>3.79±0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294 D</td>
<td>183.0±3.9</td>
<td>112.7±0.92</td>
<td>50.7±2.9</td>
<td>3.65±0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308 E</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>255 A</td>
<td>175.5±3.9</td>
<td>99.2±0.48</td>
<td>c3, 76±0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270 B</td>
<td>175.3±2.6</td>
<td>103.1±0.95</td>
<td>45.5±2.8</td>
<td>3.76±0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285 C</td>
<td>178.7±1.5</td>
<td>108.8±0.33</td>
<td>45.5±3.3</td>
<td>4.09±0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 D</td>
<td>181.5±2.1</td>
<td>109.5±11.8</td>
<td>49.2±6.3</td>
<td>4.43±0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>266 A</td>
<td>187.7±2.9</td>
<td>111.3±0.94</td>
<td>ec3, 82±0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 B</td>
<td>188.8±2.3</td>
<td>116.7±0.91</td>
<td>54.0±2.6</td>
<td>3.94±0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294 B</td>
<td>189.8±2.2</td>
<td>123.0±0.65</td>
<td>53.2±2.6</td>
<td>4.1±0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308 D</td>
<td>189.7±3.3</td>
<td>122.6±0.84</td>
<td>52.5±2.5</td>
<td>4.36±0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>225 A</td>
<td>176.2±5.0</td>
<td>74.0±0.85</td>
<td>38.2±1.7</td>
<td>2.74±0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238 B</td>
<td>177.9±5.6</td>
<td>72.4±0.72</td>
<td>38.6±1.8</td>
<td>2.85±0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 C</td>
<td>180.0±4.8</td>
<td>80.3±0.33</td>
<td>39.4±1.1</td>
<td>2.94±0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263 D</td>
<td>192.5±3.5</td>
<td>79.8±0.32</td>
<td>41.5±4.2</td>
<td>2.80±0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>209 A</td>
<td>181.8±4.2</td>
<td>103.9±0.94</td>
<td>59.4±5.5</td>
<td>3.07±0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 B</td>
<td>182.3±3.2</td>
<td>96.4±0.58</td>
<td>57.0±2.0</td>
<td>3.08±0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231 C</td>
<td>186.1±1.5</td>
<td>105.3±0.64</td>
<td>56.8±7.4</td>
<td>3.07±0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242 D</td>
<td>184.8±3.8</td>
<td>105.4±0.65</td>
<td>60.0±5.0</td>
<td>3.07±0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253 E</td>
<td>184.6±2.3</td>
<td>110.1±0.31</td>
<td>63.0±3.6</td>
<td>3.25±0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264 F</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>306 A</td>
<td>c181.3±0.5</td>
<td>102.9±13.1</td>
<td>a6, 42.3±1.5</td>
<td>e4, 12±0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315 B</td>
<td>181.8±4.7</td>
<td>121.0±0.45</td>
<td>92.0±2.4</td>
<td>4.01±0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330 C</td>
<td>180.8±5.4</td>
<td>120.9±1.70</td>
<td>46.8±2.2</td>
<td>4.43±0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345 D</td>
<td>177.1±3.5</td>
<td>119.2±0.56</td>
<td>47.6±4.2</td>
<td>4.67±0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306 A</td>
<td>177.0±4.2</td>
<td>120.8±10.2</td>
<td>46.0±2.8</td>
<td>3.96±0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323 B</td>
<td>181.0±1.2</td>
<td>124.9±0.61</td>
<td>50.2±2.4</td>
<td>4.16±0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340 C</td>
<td>180.0±3.7</td>
<td>132.3±13.2</td>
<td>50.1±3.6</td>
<td>4.21±0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357 D</td>
<td>182.5±2.1</td>
<td>129.7±14.0</td>
<td>53.0±1.4</td>
<td>4.03±0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE A2 MALES
Mean values ± sd of the various variables at the moment of exhaustion. The experiments are grouped according to the Wmax attained. In each subject the successive levels of Wmax are indicated by A-E (A being the lowest Wmax).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Blood pH</th>
<th>Blood lactate concentration (mmol.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>( V_{E}/V_{O_2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abcd</td>
<td>7.30±0.030</td>
<td>9.03±1.80</td>
<td>32.0±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.04</td>
<td>7.25±0.028</td>
<td>10.57±2.06</td>
<td>30.4±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02±0.03</td>
<td>7.23±0.022</td>
<td>11.89±2.06</td>
<td>30.0±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02±0.03</td>
<td>7.21±0.011</td>
<td>13.02±0.63</td>
<td>32.6±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.04</td>
<td>7.21±0.030</td>
<td>12.47±1.91</td>
<td>27.6±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.04</td>
<td>7.18±0.035</td>
<td>13.20±1.72</td>
<td>27.6±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02±0.04</td>
<td>7.17±0.021</td>
<td>14.10±1.91</td>
<td>28.7±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00±0.02</td>
<td>7.19±0.022</td>
<td>12.95±0.93</td>
<td>36.6±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02±0.02</td>
<td>7.18±0.037</td>
<td>14.04±1.78</td>
<td>30.7±4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.02</td>
<td>7.16±0.018</td>
<td>14.76±2.03</td>
<td>33.2±5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02±0.04</td>
<td>7.26±0.064</td>
<td>11.10±4.92</td>
<td>36.7±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.02</td>
<td>7.28±0.044</td>
<td>11.05±2.29</td>
<td>35.8±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00±0.05</td>
<td>7.26±0.032</td>
<td>11.04±0.65</td>
<td>36.2±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.02</td>
<td>7.37±0.058</td>
<td>7.91±2.41</td>
<td>35.2±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.03±0.02</td>
<td>7.29±0.051</td>
<td>9.75±3.05</td>
<td>36.5±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02±0.02</td>
<td>7.27±0.023</td>
<td>10.83±2.28</td>
<td>34.5±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04±0.02</td>
<td>7.25±0.051</td>
<td>11.26±3.14</td>
<td>37.4±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>7.20±0.7</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>36.8±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00±0.05</td>
<td>7.21±0.021</td>
<td>bd10.32±1.31</td>
<td>31.6±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.04</td>
<td>7.19±0.047</td>
<td>10.60±1.31</td>
<td>33.7±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02±0.03</td>
<td>7.16±0.058</td>
<td>12.77±1.32</td>
<td>33.2±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.02</td>
<td>7.16±0.0</td>
<td>11.25±1.34</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.02</td>
<td>7.23±0.021</td>
<td>11.20±0.78</td>
<td>34.7±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.01</td>
<td>7.26±0.017</td>
<td>11.13±1.16</td>
<td>35.4±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.03</td>
<td>7.25±0.040</td>
<td>11.59±1.55</td>
<td>35.1±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.02</td>
<td>7.23±0.048</td>
<td>11.91±1.69</td>
<td>34.8±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.03</td>
<td>7.29±0.042</td>
<td>9.34±0.95</td>
<td>32.0±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.05</td>
<td>7.29±0.014</td>
<td>10.10±2.15</td>
<td>33.5±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00±0.04</td>
<td>7.28±0.031</td>
<td>10.77±0.76</td>
<td>33.5±3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.01</td>
<td>7.24±0.3</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>34.6±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.02</td>
<td>7.21±0.020</td>
<td>14.77±0.97</td>
<td>36.1±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.03</td>
<td>7.19±0.041</td>
<td>14.56±0.69</td>
<td>36.2±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.02</td>
<td>7.21±0.030</td>
<td>14.96±1.34</td>
<td>37.7±4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.02</td>
<td>7.19±0.023</td>
<td>16.90±1.92</td>
<td>37.0±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00±0.05</td>
<td>7.17±0.028</td>
<td>17.66±1.10</td>
<td>37.6±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>7.15±5</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.03</td>
<td>7.18±0.028</td>
<td>16.29±0.85</td>
<td>32.4±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.98±0.02</td>
<td>7.20±0.021</td>
<td>14.35±0.89</td>
<td>36.3±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00±0.02</td>
<td>7.19±0.032</td>
<td>14.95±1.20</td>
<td>34.7±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.03</td>
<td>7.16±0.021</td>
<td>16.04±1.47</td>
<td>31.1±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>7.22±0.041</td>
<td>13.25±0.12</td>
<td>32.9±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99±0.03</td>
<td>7.22±0.023</td>
<td>14.15±1.18</td>
<td>32.3±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.02</td>
<td>7.20±0.055</td>
<td>14.58±2.12</td>
<td>34.0±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01±0.04</td>
<td>7.16±0.022</td>
<td>15.77±0.72</td>
<td>34.6±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subj. nr.</td>
<td>Wmax (Watt)</td>
<td>Heart rate (beats.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>( \dot{V}_E (STPD) ) (l.min⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>185.5±2.6</td>
<td>69.3±4.8</td>
<td>52.7±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 B</td>
<td>186.2±2.6</td>
<td>72.3±4.9</td>
<td>53.6±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210 C</td>
<td>185.5±1.7</td>
<td>78.1±6.0</td>
<td>55.8±5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>180 A</td>
<td>183.8±2.2</td>
<td>79.6±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170 B</td>
<td>185.1±2.6</td>
<td>80.4±4.6</td>
<td>51.1±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 C</td>
<td>183.6±4.6</td>
<td>80.4±7.4</td>
<td>50.8±7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190 D</td>
<td>185.6±5.0</td>
<td>84.9±5.6</td>
<td>52.3±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>190 A</td>
<td>182.6±2.3</td>
<td>64.0±11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 B</td>
<td>186.9±3.4</td>
<td>62.8±5.7</td>
<td>44.4±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210 C</td>
<td>192.0±2.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>39.3±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>140 A</td>
<td>182.5±1.6</td>
<td>70.5±5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 B</td>
<td>183.2±3.2</td>
<td>74.2±7.0</td>
<td>40.6±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160 C</td>
<td>183.7±2.9</td>
<td>74.8±3.1</td>
<td>41.7±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>170 A</td>
<td>183.0</td>
<td>76.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 B</td>
<td>186.0±1.7</td>
<td>77.1±5.1</td>
<td>48.6±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190 C</td>
<td>187.5±2.8</td>
<td>80.6±5.5</td>
<td>50.0±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 D</td>
<td>184.5±2.0</td>
<td>84.0±3.3</td>
<td>51.7±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210 E</td>
<td>185.4±2.0</td>
<td>84.3±8.6</td>
<td>46.4±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>160 A</td>
<td>184.0±1.3</td>
<td>60.4±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170 B</td>
<td>182.7±2.0</td>
<td>65.9±4.0</td>
<td>34.5±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 C</td>
<td>185.6±5.0</td>
<td>69.1±8.3</td>
<td>33.6±6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190 D</td>
<td>181.5±2.1</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>216 A</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228 B</td>
<td>185.2±3.2</td>
<td>99.1±8.5</td>
<td>55.5±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240 C</td>
<td>184.7±3.0</td>
<td>99.9±6.4</td>
<td>55.6±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252 D</td>
<td>185.6±0.3</td>
<td>105.9±8.8</td>
<td>57.0±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>190 A</td>
<td>184.3±4.5</td>
<td>73.5±10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 B</td>
<td>179.2±4.9</td>
<td>70.4±8.3</td>
<td>39.1±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210 C</td>
<td>178.1±6.4</td>
<td>82.8±3.2</td>
<td>43.2±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 D</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Single numbers without sd refer to only one measurement*

- a means p<0.05 between Wmax values A and B
- b means p<0.05 between Wmax values A and C
- c means p<0.05 between Wmax values A and D
- d means p<0.05 between Wmax values B and C
- e means p<0.05 between Wmax values B and D
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Blood pH</th>
<th>Blood lactate concentration (mmol.l⁻¹)</th>
<th>( \dot{V}<em>{E}/\dot{V}</em>{O_2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,00±0,03</td>
<td>7,21±0,041</td>
<td>10,7±1,77</td>
<td>34,2±2,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,01±0,03</td>
<td>7,18,2±0,032</td>
<td>12,0±1,18</td>
<td>35,1±2,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,02±0,03</td>
<td>7,16,9±0,021</td>
<td>12,25±1,61</td>
<td>35,7±2,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,12±0,04</td>
<td>7,19,4±0,033</td>
<td>11,6±2,21</td>
<td>43,0±4,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,05±0,03</td>
<td>7,18,4±0,021</td>
<td>12,20±1,57</td>
<td>41,2±3,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,08±0,03</td>
<td>7,16,5±0,043</td>
<td>10,74±1,73</td>
<td>43,1±4,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,08±0,03</td>
<td>7,15,5±0,042</td>
<td>12,71±1,86</td>
<td>43,5±1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,01±0,01</td>
<td>7,29,4±0,031</td>
<td>6,10±1,39</td>
<td>32,4±1,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,03±0,02</td>
<td>7,23,5±0,043</td>
<td>7,92±1,58</td>
<td>29,6±2,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,04±0,02</td>
<td>7,22,9±0,027</td>
<td>8,30±1,13</td>
<td>32,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,02±0,03</td>
<td>7,28,8±0,030</td>
<td>9,7±1,58</td>
<td>43,3±6,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,01±0,04</td>
<td>7,25,1±0,046</td>
<td>10,95±1,44</td>
<td>39,2±2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,04±0,02</td>
<td>7,24,9±0,041</td>
<td>11,47±0,90</td>
<td>38,6±1,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,99</td>
<td>7,23,6</td>
<td>14,84</td>
<td>34,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,00±0,03</td>
<td>7,22,9±0,021</td>
<td>11,3±0,46</td>
<td>35,1±2,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,01±0,03</td>
<td>7,21,7±0,032</td>
<td>13,7±1,75</td>
<td>35,5±3,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,00±0,04</td>
<td>7,21,7±0,044</td>
<td>13,6±1,47</td>
<td>35,9±1,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,99±0,04</td>
<td>7,26,6±0,033</td>
<td>12,28±1,73</td>
<td>34,6±3,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,03±0,01</td>
<td>7,18,2±0,029</td>
<td>6,86±0,14</td>
<td>31,3±1,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,00±0,02</td>
<td>7,25,4±0,029</td>
<td>9,83±0,70</td>
<td>30,3±2,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,99±0,03</td>
<td>7,18,9±0,046</td>
<td>9,42±1,64</td>
<td>31,5±2,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,99</td>
<td>7,23,7±0,021</td>
<td>10,32±0,19</td>
<td>30,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,99</td>
<td>7,21,0</td>
<td>13,52</td>
<td>34,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,99±0,03</td>
<td>7,20,7±0,034</td>
<td>14,39±1,73</td>
<td>36,2±2,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,00±0,04</td>
<td>7,19,9±0,021</td>
<td>15,27±2,39</td>
<td>35,4±1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,02±0,04</td>
<td>7,20,2±0,043</td>
<td>14,06±1,13</td>
<td>35,9±3,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,99±0,03</td>
<td>7,27,8±0,038</td>
<td>10,7±1,59</td>
<td>29,8±3,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,99±0,04</td>
<td>7,25,1±0,021</td>
<td>9,3±1,67</td>
<td>30,0±2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,01±0,03</td>
<td>7,27,9±0,025</td>
<td>9,43±1,48</td>
<td>32,5±1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,98</td>
<td>7,26,3</td>
<td>9,48</td>
<td>32,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjectnr.</td>
<td>VMmax=285W</td>
<td>VMmax=300W</td>
<td>VMmax=315W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measured</td>
<td>3.79±0.30</td>
<td>3.96±0.29</td>
<td>4.08±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMmax=1/l (min^-1) measured</td>
<td>3.95±0.05</td>
<td>4.25±0.23</td>
<td>4.44±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% difference of predicted minus measured VMmax</td>
<td>4.49%</td>
<td>7.32%</td>
<td>8.85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE A3 (CONTINUED) FEMALES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjectnr.</th>
<th>Wmax=150W</th>
<th>measured $\dot V O_{2,\text{max}}$ (15 min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>predicted $\dot V O_{2,\text{max}}$ (15 min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>% difference of predicted minus measured $\dot V O_{2,\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.46±0.22</td>
<td>2.40±0.65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2.44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.48±0.18</td>
<td>2.49±0.08</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.65±0.16</td>
<td>2.52±0.05</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-4.91%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subctnr.4</td>
<td>2.37±0.21</td>
<td>2.17±0.13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8.44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.26±0.17</td>
<td>2.66±0.29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+17.70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.35±0.21</td>
<td>2.38±0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+1.28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subctnr.5</td>
<td>2.70±0.25</td>
<td>2.75±0.14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+1.85%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.62±0.18</td>
<td>2.75±0.20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+4.96%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.78±0.09</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+7.34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subctnr.6</td>
<td>1.99±0.27</td>
<td>2.07±0.20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>+4.02%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.29±0.15</td>
<td>2.18±0.21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-3.93%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.34±0.10</td>
<td>2.24±0.24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subctnr.11</td>
<td>2.65±0.06</td>
<td>2.18±0.02</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-17.74%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.67±0.17</td>
<td>2.37±0.10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-11.24%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.83±0.13</td>
<td>2.36±0.11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-16.61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.95±0.10</td>
<td>2.47±0.19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-16.27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subctnr.15</td>
<td>2.30±0.17</td>
<td>2.18±0.09</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-5.21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.34±0.20</td>
<td>2.44±0.14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50±0.09</td>
<td>2.40±0.20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.73±0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+10.53%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subctnr.18</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.01±0.22</td>
<td>2.94±0.13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-2.33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.15±0.20</td>
<td>3.06±0.19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-2.86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.26±0.05</td>
<td>3.20±0.32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.84%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subctnr.19</td>
<td>2.57±0.12</td>
<td>2.47±0.22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-3.89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.53±0.14</td>
<td>2.90±0.22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-14.62%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.67±0.11</td>
<td>2.90±0.36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-8.61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+19.63%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE A4

Absolute and relative workload at which the mean blood lactate concentration amounts to 4 mmol.l⁻¹. In each subject the experiments are grouped according to the Wmax attained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subjectnr</th>
<th>Wmax (Watt)</th>
<th>workload at mean blood lactate concentration of 4 mmol.l⁻¹ (Watt)</th>
<th>relative workload at lactate concentration of 4 mmol.l⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>495</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>472</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>450</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>315</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>238</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>266</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>285</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>238</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>330</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>315</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A5

Scores of the subjective feelings of distress at the moment of exhaustion. The experiments in each subject are grouped according to the Wmax attained. The means and standard deviations are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. nr</th>
<th>Wmax (Watt)</th>
<th>General fatigue</th>
<th>Respiratory stress</th>
<th>muscle pain</th>
<th>decrease of strength</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>8.2±0.3</td>
<td>8.7±1.6</td>
<td>7.8±1.3</td>
<td>8.1±0.6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472</td>
<td>8.5±0.6</td>
<td>8.9±0.3</td>
<td>7.4±1.3</td>
<td>8.2±0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>495</td>
<td>8.5±1.2</td>
<td>8.6±0.4</td>
<td>7.2±1.1</td>
<td>8.0±1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517</td>
<td>3.4±0.4</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td>7.0±0.0</td>
<td>8.0±0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.3±0.5</td>
<td>7.0±1.9</td>
<td>4.4±1.3</td>
<td>6.6±1.4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>8.6±0.3</td>
<td>8.0±0.5</td>
<td>5.7±1.7</td>
<td>7.0±1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>8.2±0.8</td>
<td>4.9±1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.5±0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>8.7±0.8</td>
<td>4.5±2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.0±0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td>8.5±0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.0±0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.5±1</td>
<td>7.7±0.9</td>
<td>8.6±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>8.1±0.8</td>
<td>7.8±1.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.2±1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>8.0±0.0</td>
<td>8.1±0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.6±3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>8.4±0.5</td>
<td>7.2±1.1</td>
<td>10.0±0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>8.2±0.7</td>
<td>5.2±1.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.0±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>10.0±0.0</td>
<td>8.5±0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.0±0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>9.0±0.7</td>
<td>7.9±1.5</td>
<td>7.7±1.3</td>
<td>9.5±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>10.0±0.0</td>
<td>9.3±0.5</td>
<td>4.6±1.5</td>
<td>10.0±0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>8.3±0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.0±0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.3±0.9</td>
<td>9.7±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>8.2±1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.3±1.5</td>
<td>9.5±0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>8.0±1.4</td>
<td>7.5±2.0</td>
<td>8.5±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266</td>
<td>8.2±1.5</td>
<td>7.2±1.2</td>
<td>5.7±2.6</td>
<td>8.3±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>8.0±1.0</td>
<td>7.3±1.0</td>
<td>3.6±2.9</td>
<td>7.3±0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>9.0±1.4</td>
<td>8.0±1.4</td>
<td>3.0±1.4</td>
<td>8.5±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>8.5±0.8</td>
<td>6.6±1.5</td>
<td>8.3±1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>8.5±0.8</td>
<td>7.1±2.8</td>
<td>8.0±2.4</td>
<td>9.0±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>9.0±0.8</td>
<td>6.7±0.5</td>
<td>3.0±3.5</td>
<td>8.7±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>9.0±0.5</td>
<td>6.7±1.8</td>
<td>1.1±2.0</td>
<td>8.6±0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td>8.2±0.9</td>
<td>2.5±1.3</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td>7.3±0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>8.6±0.5</td>
<td>8.0±0.0</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>9.0±0.7</td>
<td>7.2±1.3</td>
<td>8.1±1.2</td>
<td>8.4±1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>8.8±0.4</td>
<td>6.6±2.7</td>
<td>8.3±0.8</td>
<td>7.9±2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td>8.5±1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.0±2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>7.0±1.7</td>
<td>5.3±4.0</td>
<td>8.3±1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>8.0±1.3</td>
<td>6.4±2.4</td>
<td>8.3±1.7</td>
<td>8.3±1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>9.0±0.0</td>
<td>7.4±1.6</td>
<td>8.0±1.0</td>
<td>8.2±0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>9.5±0.5</td>
<td>8.5±0.7</td>
<td>8.0±2.8</td>
<td>8.0±2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>8.5±0.7</td>
<td>8.5±0.7</td>
<td>1.5±2.2</td>
<td>9.0±1.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>9.0±0.4</td>
<td>8.5±0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.1±0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ATPS ambient temperature, barometric pressure and saturated with vapour
BTPS body temperature, ambient barometric pressure and saturated with vapour
CP creatine phosphate
\( F_{EO2} \) fractional concentration of oxygen in expiratory air
\( F_{ECO2} \) fractional concentration of carbon dioxide in expiratory air
\( F_{EN2} \) fractional concentration of nitrogen in expiratory air
\( F_{IO2} \) fractional concentration of oxygen in inspiratory air
\( F_{ICO2} \) fractional concentration of carbon dioxide in inspiratory air
\( F_{IN2} \) fractional concentration of nitrogen in inspiratory air
J Joule
kJ kilojoule
\( pCO_2 \) partial pressure of CO₂
R respiratory ratio
rpm revolutions per minute
sd standard deviation
STPD standard temperature (0°C), barometric pressure of 760 mmHg (101.32 kPa) and dry
\( \dot{\text{V}}_E \) expiratory volume (l.min⁻¹)
\( \dot{\text{V}}_I \) inspiratory volume (l.min⁻¹)
\( \dot{\text{V}}_{CO2} \) expired volume of CO₂ (l.min⁻¹)
\( \dot{\text{V}}_{O2} \) oxygen uptake (l.min⁻¹)
\( \dot{\text{V}}_{VU_{max}} \) maximal oxygen uptake (l.min⁻¹)
W Watt (J.s⁻¹)
\( \delta_{max} \) maximal workload attained (Watt)
NATOURD

Als pas afgestudeerd arts was het voor mij een hevige uitdaging om mede
inhoud en richting te kunnen geven aan het nog op te starten onderzoek
aan de Rijksuniversiteit van Limburg. Boordeval, met nog weinig ge-
structureerde ideeën moest een nieuw laboratorium voor inspanningsfj-
siologie worden opgezet. Al heel snel bleek dat de opleiding tot arts
genezings een opleiding tot wetenschappelijk onderzoeker is. Naast
participatie in het onderzoek dat Dr. F.T.J. Verstappen had geestig-
meerd, trachtte ik mijn eigen richting te vinden. Na enkele moeilijke
jaren leidde de komst van prof. dr. J. Dukker, hij de capaciteitsgroep
anatomie, de voor mij beslissende wending in omdat hij de know how
bezet om mijn ideeën tot realiteit te maken.

Aan het tot stand komen van dit proefschrift hebben uiteindelijk
verschillende mensen een bijdrage geleverd. Een heel directe en be-
langrijke bijdrage leverden mijn promotor prof. dr. R.S. Reneman en
mijn co-promotor Dr. F.T.J. Verstappen. Beste Rob, ik ben je heel dank
verschuldigd voor je scherpe onuitputtelijke geduld om steeds opnieuw
mijn schrijfoefeningen voor dit proefschrift te corrigeren en te
bespreken. Ik heb het als een groot voorrecht beschouwd om van je
grote kennis en je uiterst prettige manier van begeleiden mee te
hebben kunnen profiteren. Beste Frans, als co-promotor en geestelijke
vader van het onderzoek, dat in dit proefschrift beschreven wordt, ben
ik je dankbaar voor je kritische opmerkingen die veel hebben bijgede-
gragen tot de uiteindelijke vorm van dit proefschrift. De discussies
met jou heb ik altijd als zeer stimulerend ervaren.

Peter Geurten en Gerrit van Kranenburg zijn vaak de spil geweest
waaron het hele onderzoek draaide. Zij zorgden er voor dat de experi-
menten doorging konden vinden, terwijl ze bijna al onze tekortkomingen
en fouten op soepele wijze hebben opgevangen. Peter Geurten heeft
daarnaast de figuren voor dit proefschrift verzorgd.

Ofschoon vaak op de achtergrond, doch daarom zeker niet minder
belangrijk, waren de mensen van de mechanische werkplaats. Zij zorgden
ervoor dat speciale instrumentele aanpassingen snel en vakkundig
gerealiseerd werden.

De proefpersonen, die wekelijks aan een infuus werden aangesloten
om zich vervolgens tot het uiterste in te spannen ben ik grote dank verschuldigd. Zonder hun welwillendheid en motivatie had dit onderzoek niet kunnen plaatsvinden.

Voor het verwerken van de data zijn Lex Volovics en Sjef Koos een grote steun voor mij geweest. Met hun hulp werd het voor mij mogelijk gebruik te maken van de computer.

De referenten prof.dr. F. ten Hoor en prof. dr. R.A. Binkhorst ben ik dank verschuldigd voor hun nauwgezet lezen van dit proefschrift en hun vele kritische opmerkingen, die belangrijk hebben bijgedragen tot de uiteindelijke vorm.

Guus van Kooy heeft op vakkundige wijze de omslag verzorgd. Marion de Vreede is mijn reddende engel geweest bij het typen van dit proefschrift. Ofschoon ik me soms bezwaard voelde als ze voor de zoveelste keer alles moest veranderen, was het werk haar nooit te veel.
CURRICULUM VITAE