PSYCHOBIOLOGY OF FOOD INTAKE IN HUMANS
PSYCHOBIOLOGY OF FOOD
INTAKE IN HUMANS

PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Limburg, te Maastricht,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus, Prof. mr. M.J. Cohen,
volgens het besluit van het College van Dekanen,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op donderdag, 21 november 1991
om 14.00 uur

doors

Margriet Sjoukje Westerterp-Plantenga

tegen te Groningen
Promotor: Prof. dr. F. ten Hoor
Co-promotor: Dr. A. B. Steffens

Beoordelingscommissie:
Prof. dr. ir. W. H. M. Saris
Prof. dr. J. E. Blundell
Prof. dr. M. A. van den Hout
Dr. ir. J. A. Weststrate
Dr. M. von Meyenfeldt

CIP-Gegevens, Koninklijke Bibliotheek, Den Haag
Westerterp-Plantenga, Margriet Sjoukje
Psychobiology of Food Intake in Humans/
Margriet Sjoukje Westerterp-Plantenga
Maastricht: Datavyse
Proefschrift Maastricht. Met lit. opg.-Met samenvatting in het Nederlands
ISBN 90 358 0926 2
Trefw.: eetgedrag (geremd, ongeremd) / overgewicht /
dietgeïnduceerde thermogenese

Vormgeving: Mark Liedekerken
Omslag: Evelin Karsten
Illustratie verantwoording: Harry Vankant
Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam,
Floris van Diick:
Stilleven met kazen
Figuren: Audio Visuele Dienst,
RL Maastricht
Druk: Datavyse Maastricht /
Krips Repro Meppel

Aan het onderzoek werd een financiële bijdrage verleend door de Nederlandse
Hart Stichting, subsidie nr. 83055.
De uitgave van dit proefschrift werd mogelijk gemaakt door een subsidie van de
Open universiteit.

Financial support by the Netherlands Heart Foundation
and by the Dr.ir.J.H.J. van der Laar Foundation for the
publication of this thesis is gratefully acknowledged.
## Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Theories on Eating Behaviour</td>
<td>9, 9, 10, 11, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Eating behaviour in general</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Theories for the explanation of eating behaviour</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Eating Behaviour during a Meal</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scope of the study</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Subject characteristics and meal characteristics</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Physiological aspects of eating behaviour</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Psychological aspects of eating behaviour</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Psychometric questionnaires</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Scope of the study</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Techniques</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Subject characteristics</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Actual meal parameters</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Physiological effects of food intake</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The Shape of the Cumulative Food Intake Curve in Humans, during Basic and Manipulated Meals</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Description of the cumulative food intake curve in basic meals and data analysis</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Manipulations</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Variability of the cumulative intake curve</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Restrained Eating, Obesity, and Cumulative Food Intake Curves during Four-Course Meals</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Deceleration in Cumulative Food Intake Curves, Changes in Body-Temperature and Diet-Induced Thermogenesis</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Data analysis</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diet Induced Thermogenesis and Cumulative Food Intake Curves, as a Function of Familiarity with Food and Dietary Restraint in Humans</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>The Accuracy of Subjects' Estimate of Their Forthcoming Ingestion as a Function of Familiarity and Dietary Restraints</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dietary Restraint and Disinhibition in Overweight Women During a Four Month Dieting Period</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Samenvatting</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>The Herman-Polivy Restraint Questionnaire</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Three Factor Eating Questionnaire</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Acknowledgements</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Curriculum Vitae</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

Theories on Eating Behaviour

1.1 Introduction

Everybody experiences the effects of feeding on physical and mental well-being and can think of explanations for these effects. For some time, this aspect of life has been studied scientifically in the field of the psychobiology of food intake. Psychobiology of food intake came into focus in the fifties of this century, when the research concerning the biology of the regulation of food intake expanded enormously. The main question was, and still is, what biological factors regulate food intake and food intake behaviour, and how these factors are affected by the food itself. When food intake behaviour is studied in humans, it is unavoidable that at the same time the influence of psychological factors on biological effects of food intake is studied.

Eating behaviour in animals is roughly based on the physiological signals hunger and satiety. Successive bouts of food intake contribute to the maintenance of body weight, an important homeostasis in life. The other contributor to the maintenance of body weight is the ecophysiological determined factor energy expenditure; both factors ideally maintain the energy balance. Animals metabolize continuously and feed either continuously, or discontinuously. When feeding discontinuously, like for instance humans and rats do, adjustment of eating behaviour to metabolic needs, by anticipation or correction is required. This involves choices of amounts of food and choices of kinds of food at certain times. In humans many of these decisions depend on habits, often established by learning processes through which food intake can be tuned towards need. Eating behaviour in humans may be dominated by cultural, e.g. social, political, economic and ethnic factors, that overrule biological dispositions, for instance in political hunger strikers, but in certain stable subpopulations it may be possible to detect the effects of physiological factors. Examples like lactase deficiency, phenylthiocarbamide tasting and carbohydrate metabolism in Eskimos, which were mentioned by Rozin (1976), prove that biological variables can make a clear contribution to regional feeding habits. In general it is the interplay between biological variables and the mental processes that together determine human feeding practices (Blundell, 1983).

Eating behaviour, like most types of behaviour, is of course a phenotypical phenomenon, based on a genetic component, and developed
under influences of different environmental factors. The genetic component concerns mainly the magnitude of body weight that is defended and maintained, through maintenance of an energy balance at a certain level. For instance, from twin- and adoption studies by Stunkard (1990) it was calculated that the heritability of body weight, corrected for length, could be as high as 0.7, which leaves a small phenotypical margin. Sørensen et al. (1991) conclude from studies on the expression of genetic influence in childhood that the genetic influence on body mass index is already fully expressed by school age, and that the family environment in childhood has a weak influence. From the results of an adoption study of body mass index they conclude that the familial occurrence of obesity is incompatible with dominant, but possibly compatible with recessive transmission of a major gene with a frequency between 0.12 and 0.18. James et al. (1990) observed that the more constant data of energy expenditure give a better idea of the energy balance than the data of fluctuating energy intake. So the maintenance of the energy balance at a certain level may be established mainly through energy output, notwithstanding fluctuating food intake due to for instance food preferences. With respect to the fluctuating energy intake, Rozin et al. (1987) argued that in omnivorous animals, such as humans, genetic predispositions with respect to food preferences are minimal. The modest sibling resemblances they find on a number of measurements are primarily attributable to a shared environment.

It is a fact that it is rather maintenance of energy balance and not food intake, that has a certain genetic predisposition.

Resuming, eating behaviour in humans seems to be controlled by genetic constitution, internal biological signals, the availability - with respect to sufficient amount and quality - and ingestion of certain kinds of food, and by socio-psychological factors, like the situation in which the meals are consumed, and the taste of the food.

1.2 *Eating behaviour in general*

Eating behaviour includes the total amount of food ingested during for instance a day, the periodicity of eating moments throughout the day, the qualitative and quantitative selection, composition and consumption per eating moment, the eating time, the eating rate, and the possible changes in eating rate.

When eating behaviour is studied in humans, some phenomena among others appear: eating behaviour is periodical and long lasting, with variations between days, but rather constant in the long term. Habits play an important role. In animals, eating behaviour is susceptible to changes in energy density of the food; some investigators have found similar, but not generally confirmed results for humans as well. Food intake appeared to increase to a certain extent, with increased palatability of the food, but also with increased physical activity of the subject. Variation in
palatability enhances increase in food intake. Humans seem to find it extremely difficult to force themselves to eat consciously more or less than they would usually, over a longer time.
(Birch et al., 1986; Foltin et al., 1988; Jenkins et al., 1989; Lissner et al., 1987; Weststrate, 1991).

1.3 Theories for the explanation of eating behaviour

The mentioned phenomena concern eating behaviour throughout the day, as well as eating behaviour throughout meals. This particular study is focused on eating behaviour during a meal, therefore we concentrate on theories that try to explain the mentioned phenomena as far as they occur with respect to meals. This implies that we did not reflect on meal to meal intervals, or the number of meals throughout a day. When we focus on the start and finish of a meal, we come across 1) homeostatic as well as 2) non-homeostatic feed-back theories.

ad 1) Homeostatic theories assume that a certain variable is measured in the body, and that this process results in generating signals that control eating behaviour. Research is focused on translating measurement of the variables and the control signals, into a biochemical and physiological context. Some homeostatic theories e.g. the lipostatic, glucostatic and aminostatic theories suggest that specific chemicals or nutrients in the body are regulated (Kennedy, 1953; Mayer, 1955; Mellinkoff, 1956); others suggest regulation of energy, or some function of it (Nicolaides, 1974), but most theories seem to present a too limited account of the control of food intake (Morley, 1983; Smith, 1983; Castonguay, 1983; van Itallie, 1983). Brobeck (1960) integrated the lipostatic, glucostatic and aminostatic theories into the thermostat theory which considers metabolic heat production as the control of food intake. The energostatic theory (Booth, 1972) states that the energy produced by the metabolism of absorbed nutrients is monitored to regulate food intake, and can thus be considered as a further refinement of the thermostat theory. Nicolaides postulated the ischymetric hypothesis which states that the critical message of depletion comes from the rate of utilization, at the cellular level, of all of the energy - producing substrates (Nicolaides, 1974; Nicolaides and Even, 1990). The central problem in validating these theories is the location of detectors and effectors for the homeostatic response. It is now generally accepted that a diffuse complex of excitatory and inhibitory systems located in the hypothalamus contribute to the control of hunger and satiety (Steffens et al., 1990). However, it seems that various other factors are involved in the physiological control of food intake. The modern theories of food intake regulation imply a heterogeneous feed back system, in which various signals derived from the gastro-intestinal tract, liver, the circulating energy, i.e. nutrient pool, depot fat, or metabolizing cells, transmit information to a central system,
i.e. the brain, which is responding to and at the same time integrating information from the various peripheral components (Van Ijllie 1983). The question may arise, whether food intake in humans is still under physiological control, or whether it is largely determined by exogenous factors. These exogenous, non-physiological factors may differ in origin, i.e. hedonic, social, cultural, psychological, environmental, economic, or pharmacological. In man control of food intake is complex, and the primitive hypothalamic reflexes are buried under layers of conditioning, cognitive and social factors, that they are barely discernable (Garrow, 1978).

ad 2) Non-homeostatic theories explaining human eating behaviour emphasize mainly non-physiological factors. Castonguay et al. (1983) assume that eating behaviour does not necessarily compensate a deficiency in favour of a certain homeostasis, but originates from the motivation of the organism to find pleasure and satisfaction. Eating behaviour, regardless of how it started, is continued by certain sensory characteristics of the food that stimulate pleasure areas in the brain. These areas only can be stimulated when the organism is hungry. They communicate with other parts of the brain, including the nerve cells that are influenced by the hormonal and nutritional status of the organism, thus the non-homeostatic theories have homeostatic features as well. Non-homeostatic theories give the possibility to include appetite as an explanation, as well as the hedonic value of food. The consumption of agreeable food stimulates the organism to further consumption by means of positive feed-back, until other inhibiting signals dominate, or pleasure areas are not stimulated anymore. Homeostatic and non-homeostatic theories can be summarized in the boundary model of Herman and Polivy, who postulate that hunger and satiety are controlled by homeostasis, whereas the area in between is controlled by non-homeostasis. Biological pressures maintain consumption within a certain range between the boundaries of hunger and satiety, which they call the range of ‘biological indifference’. Within this range psychological factors would have their greatest impact on the regulation of food intake.

It can be argued that a connection could be made between homeostatic and non-homeostatic theories with regard to control of food selection and nutrient intake. Major characteristics of omnivore feeding behaviour are its adaptability and selectivity, also with respect to homeostatic considerations. The flexibility of omnivore feeding behaviour, which enables the animal to meet the requirements of changing environmental circumstances, determines the biological success of omnivores. Food intake then, is seen as the outcome of an interaction between internal and external constraints (Blundell, 1983). Attention is focused to an investigation into the mechanisms that influence the choice of specific nutritional materials.

Also in the wild, many animals have the opportunity of consuming a variety of edible materials and the variability of the pattern of intake often suggests that a capacity for selection is demonstrated. This is shown for
instance in birds, who develop prey-density dependent searching images for certain prey species, during certain periods (Tinbergen, 1960; Royama, 1970; Croze, 1970). Under natural conditions the problems of selecting appropriate food material are not equally difficult for all species. Herbivores spend a lot of time feeding on material of low caloric density and low nutritional quality and only by careful selection of plant species, herbivores can obtain a balanced intake. Moreover, with the universal factor of seasonal habitat shifts in grazing systems, the herbivore has to track the availability of forage of adequate quality. Geese, for instance, show a strong preference for young growing shoots whenever available and rely primarily on soluble carbohydrates and proteins contained in the cell sap to satisfy their demands (Dren et al., 1987).

Carnivores consume essential nutrients from single sources. They feed on high density material of good nutritional quality, but they are limited in variety of food that they are capable to consume. Thus, animals are either specialists or generalists (Krebs et al., 1981).

Omnivores face the most severe problems of nutrient selection. They eat a wide range of food among which most items do not contain all of the essential materials for optimal biological functioning. Selection of food must take place, related to internal physiological requirements. Physiological information in the internal milieu needs to be balanced with nutritional information in the external environment. In this respect changes in release of the neurotransmitters are thought to be involved in the mechanisms controlling the selection of dietary protein and carbohydrate (Wurtman et al., 1981). Because this topic is subject to discussion nowadays, we will pay some attention to it.

With regard to food selection, nutrient selection is related to plasma and brain tryptophan and ultimately to the neurotransmitter serotonin. The important precursors are tryptophan and tyrosine. Brain serotonin is synthesized from tryptophan. A certain competition between plasma tryptophan and other large neutral amino acids exists in relation to the transport system of the blood-brain barrier, and in relation to the influence of insulin on brain serotonin synthesis. Entry of circulating free, i.e. not bound to albumin tryptophan into the brain can be facilitated by raising plasma tryptophan or by lowering plasma levels of other large neutral amino acids. Insulin has little effect on plasma tryptophan levels, but lowers plasma levels of other large neutral amino acids, thereby increasing the plasma tryptophan/LNAA ratio. The maintenance of plasma tryptophan is brought about by an increase in the amount of tryptophan bound to albumin, which makes up for the fall in plasma free tryptophan. Therefore, the effect of any meal on the plasma tryptophan/LNAA ratio will depend on the contribution of amino acids in the diet and the postprandial secretion of insulin. A high carbohydrate meal, causing a substantial release of insulin, will cause the plasma tryptophan/LNAA ratio to rise, whereas a high protein meal will cause it to fall. Since the plasma tryptophan/LNAA ratio is related to brain serotonin synthesis, it is argued that serotonin releasing brain neurons can
function as variable ratio sensors that respond to the protein content of the diet. Consequently, nutrient selection is related to plasma and brain tryptophan and ultimately to the neurotransmitter serotonin.

One hypothesis states that the chronic selection of dietary protein content of animals is related to the effects of the diet on serotonin synthesis (Woodger, Sirek, and Anderson, 1979). From experiments by Ashley (1985), it was concluded that the animal defends its total caloric intake completely, its protein intake only to the amount required for adequate growth, and its carbohydrate intake not at all. From different experiments the major conclusions are that the serum tryptophan/large neutral amino acids (LNAA) ratio does not vary in any parametric way with the chronic level of protein ingested, implying that this ratio cannot serve as a signal to brain regarding chronic dietary protein consumption (Fernstrom, 1987). Rather, adequate protein intake could be ensured by a general behavioural mechanism for correcting any dietary imbalance (Leathwood and Ashley, 1983; Booth, 1985). These experiments and conclusions concern mainly long-term regulation of food intake.

With respect to short term regulation of food intake a second hypothesis states that the animal's acute selection of dietary carbohydrate depends on the diet's effects on brain serotonin synthesis (Wurtman and Wurtman, 1979). With respect to carbohydrate intake, Wurtman and Wurtman (1979) postulated a behavioural control loop wherein the selected composition of the diet modifies serotonin synthesis and release, and feeds back to influence the subsequent selection of nutrients. If carbohydrate is ingested, the serum tryptophan/LNAA ratio rises, brain tryptophan rises and serotonin synthesis is stimulated. This leads to enhanced release of serotonin from brain neurons which reduces appetite for carbohydrates. As subsequent carbohydrate intake falls, the serum tryptophan/LNAA ratio falls, and, thus, brain tryptophan levels and serotonin synthesis and release also decline. As a result, the inhibition of carbohydrate appetite is reduced, and the animal again begins to select for carbohydrates. However, some studies showed in effect, that feafluramine, a food intake suppressing drug, can suppress snacking in a selected group of obese subjects, but have not shown a truly selective effect on carbohydrate craving (Fernstrom, 1987). Nevertheless, Fernstrom has found a relation between dietary carbohydrate intake and brain serotonin levels under limited conditions, namely fasting rats given a single meal. This is also susceptible to discussion due to the fact that 24 hrs of food deprivation produces a raise in brain tryptophan level, and increases serotonin synthesis, similar to that induced by a carbohydrate meal (Blundell, 1977). Moreover, due to the increased serotonin level, one should expect that after 24hrs food deprivation mainly protein would be consumed, and no carbohydrate. Curzon (1985) argued that the way a particular meal affects brain tryptophan concentration depends not only on the composition of the meal, but also on the extent to which tryptophan has been elevated by previous food deprivation. This might explain the
apparent lack of systematic diet-brain tryptophan relations, observed by Fernstrom et al. (1985). Should the natural food deprivation of inter-meal intervals varying from 30 minutes to 4 hours produce different changes in background brain tryptophan levels, then the killing of animals (for brain examination) after arbitrary time periods with no reference to the individual feeding pattern would recruit animals which are about to eat, have eaten, are eating, or are in the middle of the meal interval. In that case, the experimental set up produces its own effects and differences in deprivation and repletion may be sufficient to prevent a clear effect of diet on brain tryptophan levels. Another problem with respect to these experiments is that only levels of tryptophan and serotonin are measured, whereas turn-over measurements as well would have given more information.

The plasma tryptophan and brain serotonin levels and consequently turn-over, should be measured continuously, by monitoring these contents of the cerebrospinal fluid during meal intervals, with synchronous observation of eating behaviour, in relatively free moving rats, leading a 'normal' cage life.

In effect, food choice might be involved in a great deal in the processes of food intake regulation, whether short term or long term.

1.4 References
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Chapter 2

Eating Behaviour during a Meal

Scope of the study

2.1 Subject characteristics and meal characteristics

Observation and analysis of eating behaviour is regarded as relevant for subjects who suffer from subjective and, or objective problems with their body weight. These problems can result in overweight, restrained eating behaviour, binge eating, or lack of appetite. Therefore, this study has a medical relevance, namely susceptibility to obesity, anorexia nervosa, or bulimia nervosa, diseases of civilization, nowadays. As participating subjects, only subjects from one sexe were chosen, as large differences between sexes with respect to eating behaviour were to be expected, regarding some reports in the literature (Kissileff et al., 1984; 1986). The approach to the topic has been rather naturalistic, with respect to daily life in humans, than exact, like an animal model would be. Only women were chosen to participate, for several reasons. One reason was that at the same time at the same University, a project on smoking started, where only men participated. For the women our project was a nice opportunity to participate. Another reason was that women seem to have more subjective weight problems than men seem to have, so more subjects with restrained eating were to be expected.

Regulation of food intake occurs through the long-term operation of subtle but persistent factors in an environment with short term influences. These factors operate through either postprandial or preprandial regulation or both, whereas short term influences on regulation of food intake are effective during a single meal (de Castro et al., 1985). Short term influences on regulation can be either physiological or cognitive in nature. Physiological influences are related to hunger and satiation, while cognitive influences are for example related to habits (Castonguay et al., 1983). In humans, food intake regulation rests largely on the control of meal size, since meal to meal intervals are fixed by social rather than physiological demands (Bellisle et al., 1980). This short term regulation of control of meal size might be reflected in eating style, which can be characterized by behaviour and by rate of food intake. The rate of food intake during a single meal is described by the cumulative food intake curve, which is either non-decelerating (linear), or decelerating (Kissileff
et al., 1982; MacCleery et al., 1977; Meyer et al., 1972; Pudel et al., 1975).

The parameters of cumulative food intake curves during a meal, could reflect underlying physiological or cognitive processes. If cumulative food intake reflects physiological processes involved in short term control of food intake, the parameters should relate to the amount eaten during the meal.

Several studies have shown correlations between the shape of the cumulative intake curve and obesity or latent obesity. Normal weight subjects, for instance, displayed a deceleration in the rate of intake during a meal whereas the obese and latent obese tended to show a linear rate of intake over time (Meyer et al., 1972). The conclusion was that deceleration in intake reflects biological satiation which is deficient in the obese. On the contrary, in one report accelerated cumulative intake curves for obese men and linear curves for non-obese men were described (Kaplan, 1980). Others have found that obese and non-obese subjects did not differ in eating styles (Adams et al., 1978; Pudel et al., 1975) and moreover that the percentage of non-decelerating (or linear) and decelerating curves in obese and non-obese subjects was the same (Kissileff et al., 1986). Some studies show no relation between obesity and the linear or decelerated aspect of the cumulative food intake curve (Kissileff & Kral, 1986; Westerterp et al., 1988) but rather a relation between 'restrained eating' (Herman and Polivy, 1980; Stunkard and Messick, 1985) and the linearity or deceleration of the curve.

Considering certain aspects of the cumulative food intake curve, its slope and deceleration have been shown sensitive to palatability (Bobroff & Kissileff, 1986), type of food eaten (Kissileff et al., 1984) and preload (Kissileff, 1984). Sensitivity to these meal parameters has been taken to indicate that the cumulative food intake curve is the expression of internal regulatory mechanisms (Meyer & Pudel, 1972; Kissileff & Thornton, 1982) that slow down eating and eventually terminate the meal. The parameters describing the cumulative food intake curve may thus be explained from changes in the internal state.

On the other hand, appetite could be the result of learning experiences based on relations between food and the body during eating and drinking. Learning appears to be the main process by which food intake can be tuned towards need (Booth et al., 1981). The process can be regarded as the conditioning of ingestatory reflexes. If hunger and satiety can be considered as conditioned reflexes, the shape of the cumulative food intake curve is likely to reflect a stable pattern.

2.2 Physiological aspects of eating behaviour

Food intake in humans is affected by physiological and non-physiological factors, and has certain physiological effects, like diet induced thermogenesis. Food intake behaviour during a meal is reflected in
cumulative food intake curves, which parameters may reflect short term regulation mechanisms. The shape of a decelerated cumulative food intake curve seems to depend on internal processes; the shape of a linear cumulative food intake curve seems to reflect primarily a cognitive eating style (Westerterp et al., 1988; Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 1990 a and b). Thus cumulative food intake curves may be used as an instrument to study eating behaviour in relation to the relative contribution of physiological factors that control food intake during a meal. Two of these physiological factors, which, according to the thermostatic theory, are important feedback signals, namely diet-induced-thermogenesis and body-temperature, can be measured in humans during and after a meal, without disturbing them. The measurement of other physiological factors in blood and in the gastro-intestinal tract might be very interesting but may influence eating behaviour to a large extent. Diet-induced thermogenesis is the acute effect of food ingestion on resting metabolic rate, which in the average individual accounts for about 10-15 percent of total daily energy expenditure (Sims et al. 1987; Woo et al., 1985). The primary determinants of diet-induced- thermogenesis are the level of energy intake and the nutrient composition of food. Diet-induced thermogenesis is linearly related to energy intake, but consists of two distinct components: the obligatory component, involved in the postprandial metabolism of the nutrients (Jéquier, 1984; Sims et al., 1987; Woo et al., 1985) and the facultative component, i.e. increased sympathetic nervous system activity. Several factors may determine this facultative component, for instance subject characteristics like degree of obesity (Jéquier, 1984), responses to food like overfeeding (Sims et al., 1987) and sensory characteristics of food (Bessard et al., 1983; Glick et al., 1977; Henry et al., 1986; James et al., 1981; Jung et al., 1978; LeBlanc et al., 1985; Pittet et al., 1976; Schutz et al., 1984). For instance, Le Blanc et al. (1985) found that reduction of food palatability caused a significant diminution in meal thermogenesis and suggested that palatability increases the activity of the sympathetic nervous system, and consequently enhances thermogenesis. On the other hand, Weststrate et al. conclude from experiments where the impact of variation in palatability on diet-induced thermogenesis was studied with liquid test meals being palatable or unpalatable in a random order, that the difference in palatability did not have a significant impact on postprandial metabolism (Weststrate et al., 1990). Possible activation of the sympathetic nervous system does not necessarily contribute at a constant level to diet-induced thermogenesis. This activation may vary with different sensory characteristics of food (Henry et al., 1986) and may be conditioned by familiar menus. Moreover, considering the different subject characteristics, it has been reported that diet induced thermogenesis is relatively low or delayed in obese women (Bessard et al., 1983; Jéquier et al., 1985; Jung et al., 1978; Pittet et al., 1974; Schutz et al., 1984; Steiniger et al., 1987).
2.3 Psychological aspects of eating behaviour

Physiological, psychological and sociological parameters determine the start, size and end of a meal (Bernstein, 1981, Kissileff et al., 1982). One of the psychological parameters, namely the cognitive aspect in judging quality and quantity of food will certainly interfere with physiological factors. People seem to have the ability to estimate their forthcoming ingestion while shopping, cooking and eating, although the more constant data of energy expenditure give a better idea of their energy balance than their fluctuating energy intake data (James et al., 1990).

The capacity to estimate food intake in general is tested in Stunkard's three factor eating questionnaire, (1985), in questions like: 'I have a pretty good idea of the number of calories in common foods', true = F₁; or; 'I often stop eating when I am not full as a conscious means of limiting the amount that I eat', true = F₁; or 'I do not eat some foods because they make me fat', true = F₁; or 'How likely are you to shop for low calorie foods?', very = F₁. High scores on this type of questions label subjects as cognitive restraint: subjects who also might have a high degree of accuracy in estimating their forthcoming food intake during a meal. The accuracy of estimating might be stabilized with familiar menus but might yet have to be developed with an unfamiliar menu.

2.4 Psychometric questionnaires

When one of the subject characteristics related to food intake, namely dietary restraint, appears to play a major role as a psychological factor that influences biological effects of eating behaviour, the question arises of how consistent the phenomenon of dietary restraint is in the long term, and under changing conditions. Most dieters do not succeed in maintaining uninterrupted restriction of intake. The average dieter exhibits periods of restraint punctuated by episodes of disinhibited overeating and does not achieve significant weight loss in relation to physically defended levels (Hibschman et al., 1977). A "restrained eater" is no doubt restrained, but such a restraint is likely to be accompanied by these lapses, or such a restraint may even be a major contributor to such lapses.

Differences between the methods to measure restraint are as much conceptual as psychometric. The Herman-Polivy restraint scale (1980) is designed to identify dieters, whereas the three factor eating questionnaire (Stunkard et al., 1985) is designed to measure successful dieting.

Overweight restrained eaters appear to be less successful dieters with lapses of restraint, as well as restraint per se (Herman et al., 1975 a and b). Normal weight restrained eaters appear to be very successful in keeping their weight at a certain level for a relatively long time, by maintaining their low energy intake, often in relation to a relatively low
energy expenditure (Tuschl et al., 1990). They do not necessarily have to
score high on the Herman-Polivy restraint scale, as they appear to be
mainly food-concerned and less weight concerned (Westerterp-Plantenga
et al., 1991).

Notwithstanding small distinctions both the Herman-Polivy restraint and
the cognitive restraint scores (TFEQ), showed relations with actual eating
behaviour (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 1990, a and b). However,
incidentally, but not generally, a relation has been found between
degree of restraint and amount eaten, although restrained eaters restrict
their food intake.

In some situations the amount eaten is an exponent of restraint or vice
versa. If the amount to eat is very limited (for instance during a strict diet)
the subject may show exceptional restraint as a consequence. Reversely,
in case of disinhibition of restraint, a raise in the amount eaten may be the
consequence.

A phenomenon like counterregulation elucidates eating behaviour during
the meal with regard to the amount eaten. Counterregulation has been
shown in restrained eaters who were given a preload. Eating this preload
was perceived as overeating and led to a successive deliberate increased
intake. Consequently, disinhibition led to increased food intake, which
was positively correlated with preload size. (Herman et al., 1975).

As mentioned before (chapter 1), Herman and Polivy (1984) proposed
that biological pressures maintain consumption within a certain range
between the boundaries of hunger and satiety, which they call the range of
'biological indifference'. Within this range psychological factors would
have their greatest impact on the regulation of food intake. The boundary
model postulates that the zone of biological indifference is wider in
dieters than in non-dieters. Dieters are said to have lower hunger
boundaries and higher satiety boundaries than non-dieters. Also, dieters
have a third, self-imposed 'diet' boundary, located between hunger and
satiety boundaries, marking their maximum desired consumption. Herman
and Polivy (1984) suggest that once restrained eaters transgress this diet
boundary, they eat until they reach the satiety boundary. This has been
called the disinhibition which explains the phenomenon of
counterregulation in restrained eaters: when the self control of restrained
eaters is disrupted, overeating ensues. The perception of having overeaten
disinhibits restrained eaters (Hibser et al., 1977; Ruderman et al.,
1986). Consequently, if a preload is given within the diet boundary,
counterregulation does not have to occur.

Another model has been presented alternatively in the context of
counterregulation and binge eating: an anticipative physiological
response, e.g. the release of insulin before a meal leads to a decline in
blood glucose level, which in this theory is viewed as a compensatory
response. As during and after the meal the glucose level increases, it is
thought to be convenient to lower glucose level in advance when cues
serving as disinhibitors predict large intake. In this context hypoglycemia
is regarded as a conditioned compensatory response and as particularly
strong in the case of intermittent dieting. Hypoglycemia would subjectively be experienced as hunger and would stimulate overeating like binging or counterregulation in order to restore blood glucose level (Jansen, 1990). This model might particularly apply to bulimics as frequent binging will induce rather fluctuating insulin levels.

2.5 Scope of the study

The above-mentioned leads to the following hypotheses:
- normal weight unrestrained eating subjects display eating behaviour, mainly controlled by underlying physiological mechanisms
- overweight subjects display aberrant eating behaviour, with defects in their physiological mechanisms
- restrained eating subjects display a type of eating behaviour which is mainly controlled by psychological, i.e. cognitive factors.
- weight concerned restraint is chronic, and is not affected by a strict diet
- food-concerned restraint demands a cognitively directed attitude with respect to food, which is affected by a strict diet
- disinhibition of restraint is unlikely, if only a modest preload is given

In order to elucidate the relevant underlying mechanisms, a possible relation between the subject characteristics relevant to food intake and parameters that characterize eating behaviour needs to be established. Moreover, the nature of a possible relation between subject characteristics and eating behaviour parameters may be understood better, when this relation is assessed under different food conditions as well.

Chapter 3 deals with the description of the techniques which were used to obtain the relevant parameters.

Chapter 4 deals with the description of the eating behaviour of normal weight and overweight subjects, either unrestrained or restrained with respect to eating behaviour. In effect, cumulative food intake curves during single course solid food lunches, artificially prolonged meals, and energy enriched meals were recorded and analysed.

With respect to the experimental set-up of the following studies, this study revealed that in overweight eaters the distinction between restrained and unrestrained was not reliable. Therefore, in the following studies only overweight restrained eating subjects, of whom we were certain that they were restrained based on previous dieting and the then following trial to keep their body weight at the achieved level, were chosen to participate, as well as normal weight conspecifics, who were either restrained or unrestrained. Moreover, in the following studies more homogeneous groups of subjects, with respect to age and body mass index were chosen, and a second, different psychometric questionnaire was added to the first one.

A single course meal might not be representative, as we noticed with the subjects in the first study, -who wanted a dessert anyway,- and a single
course meal might imply too short a meal duration, to be able to establish
a meal size. Therefore, in the following studies we offered four course
meals, thus lengthening meal duration.

Chapter 5 deals with the relation between subject characteristics,
especially body weight and dietary restraint, and eating behaviour,
described by various meal parameters, especially cumulative food intake
curves, in the situation where four course meals were offered. The
consequences of the four course meal situation with respect to the effects
of succession and relative palatability were assessed as well.

Chapter 6 deals with the assessment of a possible relation between eating
behaviour on the one hand and a reflection of internal processes and
subject characteristics on the other hand. In effect, cumulative food intake
curves, diet-induced thermogenesis and skin and core temperature of
normal weight restrained and unrestrained eating women and of obese
restrained eating women were recorded during four course solid food
lunches, eaten in a laboratory setting (respiration chamber), at a constant
ambient temperature.

Chapter 7 deals with the question, of how different thermogenic
responses may be related to familiarity with the sensory characteristics of
the presented food.

In effect, the relation between eating behaviour, subject characteristics
and diet-induced thermogenesis was established with subjects eating
menus differing in familiarity.

Chapter 8 deals with the assessment of the role of the cognitive factor
‘estimation accuracy’ in determining meal size. In effect we offered
different meal types to different types of subjects. Normal- and
overweight restrained eating women and normal weight unrestrained
eating women were served a familiar meal, and the same unfamiliar meal
twice, respectively. We analysed accuracy of estimate as a function of
meal type and dietary restraint.

Chapter 9 deals with the question of how a strict diet affects ‘restraint’ in
the course of some months, and, if restraint takes place consistently
during a period of strict dieting, how likely is disinhibition as a
consequence of a modest preload, and how does this affect the amount
eaten? In order to be able to support the consistency of the subject
characteristics we have been using throughout the study, we studied
dietary restraint during a counselled four month weight reduction
programme in overweight restrained eating subjects, and compared this to
their conspecifics without this programme.

In effect, in connection with assessing the consequences of dietary
restraint during a four month weight reduction programme in overweight
restrained eating women, low and high caloric preload effects on food
intake were measured. During this period of four months body mass index
and fat mass were measured regularly, and repeated scores on both
restraint questionnaires of this group were compared with those of normal
weight and overweight conspecifics without the weight reduction
programme. In order to obtain meal parameters as part of eating
behaviour, the subjects were invited for lunch to the laboratory about once a fortnight. In two of the seven lunches the preload experiments were conducted.
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Chapter 3

Techniques

In order to obtain evidence for possible relations between psychological factors and physiological effects, relevant to food intake behaviour, the following three categories of parameters are necessary:
subject characteristics
actual meal parameters
physiological parameters

3.1 Subject characteristics

In this study women with subjective and, or objective weight problems participated. Both categories could include women with restrained and unrestrained eating behaviour. Next to these women, normal weight, unrestrained eating conspecifics without weight problems participated. In humans overweight can be classified in several ways. To establish the body mass index that correlates weight and height, we used the Quetelet Index (weight/height\(^2\)) (Quetelet, 1869), the index that is most widely used (Revicki and Israel, 1986). According to Garrow, (1981), we classified women with a Quetelet Index > 25 as overweight. By means of the scores on the psychometric questionnaires distinction was made between unrestrained and restrained eating behaviour. Two following types of psychometric questionnaires were used: the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ) by Stunkard and Messick (1985) and the Herman-Polivy (1980) restraint scale (see appendix).

The Herman-Polivy restraint scale is designed to identify dieters and is mainly weight concerned, whereas the TFEQ is designed to measure successful dieting and is mainly food concerned. In the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire the three factors that are distinguished are, F1: ‘Cognitive restraint’, F2: ‘Disinhibition’, and F3: ‘Hunger’.

3.2 Actual meal parameters

The meal parameters that are obtained from the experiments concerning solid-food lunches, are amount eaten, eating time, eating rate and change in eating rate.
Eating behaviour was observed directly by the experimenter, through a
door-window of the chamber where the subjects stayed before, during and
after the meal (from 11 a.m. till 15.00 p.m.), which did not disturb the
subject because of a difference in light intensity. The experimenter
scored the time at which each bite occurred directly into a digital
computer. Intake was monitored via an electronic weighing scale built
into the table under the plate and connected to the same computer. This
set-up is similar to 'the universal eating monitor' developed by Kissileff
et al., (1980). The technique provides a detailed record of food intake
during a meal. Apart from the amount eaten, eating time, eating rate and
change in eating rate, it also provides bite frequency and bite size. The
result can be presented as a cumulative food intake curve over time.

3.3 Physiological effects of food intake

The main physiological effect of food intake that is measured, is
thermogenesis during and after the meal. Energy expenditure was
measured in the so-called respiration chamber. The respiration chamber is
an open-circuit chamber, with a volume of 14 m³ equipped with bed,
toilet, tv, telephone, chair and table. The volume of air drawn from the
chamber is measured by a dry gasmeter. Samples of in- and out going air
are continuously analysed by a paramagnetic O₂-analyser (Servomex
Taylor, England) and an infrared CO₂-analyser (Hartman and Braun,
West Germany). From the air flow rate, and the O₂ and CO₂
concentration of the in- and outflowing air, VO₂, VCO₂, RQ and
consequently energy expenditure were calculated on line, using an Apple
2E computer (Schoffeniels et al., 1985). Energy expenditure was calculated
using the equation of Brouwer (1965).

Metabolic rate (MR) was calculated from values taken during the period
when subjects sat down quietly one hour before the start of a meal. Diet-
duced thermogenesis (DIT) was calculated from values taken during
two and a half hours from the start of the meal, and was expressed as a
percentage of energy ingested during the meal.

Skin and core temperatures were measured by small sensors, connected to
the same digital computer as the weighing scale was, as well as the
experimenter’s scores of the times at which each bite occurred.

Skin temperature was measured at the upper arm, upper leg, and in the
proximity of the liver. Core temperature was measured at the tympanic
membrane. These sites for measuring core and skin temperature were
chosen in a uniform way according to the literature (Benzing 1969,
Cabanac et al., 1977, Ramanathoo et al., 1964). The proximity of the liver
was chosen according to Berry et al., (1985) and Ma et al. (1987).
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4.1 Abstract

WESTERTERP-PLANTENGA, M.S., K.R. WESTERTERP, N.A. NICOLSON, A. MORDANT, P.F.M. SCHOFFELEN AND F. TEN HOOR. The shape of the cumulative food intake curve, in humans, during basic and manipulated meals. PHYSIOL BEHAV 47(3) 569-576, 1990.-The shape of the cumulative food intake curve of normal weight and obese women was studied during solid food lunches, artificially prolonged meals, and energetically enriched meals eaten in a laboratory setting. Subjects (66 normal weight, 50 obese) displayed consistent eating behaviour over 3–6 repeated meals, with marked differences between individuals. Aspects of eating behaviour were reflected in decelerated and non–decelerated (or linear) cumulative intake curves depending on changes or no changes in eating rate during the course of a meal respectively. A change in eating rate was generally related to a decrease in bite size, with bite rate remaining constant, from the third temporal quarter of the meal onwards, resulting in a decelerated cumulative intake curve. The non–decelerated (linear) cumulative intake curve does not show this change in eating rate: in the first three temporal quarters bite size and bite rate were constant, whereas in the fourth temporal quarter bite size decreased slightly, compensated by a small increase in bite rate. Intraindividual ranges for meal parameters such as chewing time per bite, bite interval and initial eating rate indicated consistency of individual eating behaviour.
In manipulation experiments with a subset of 21 subjects in which meals were artificially prolonged by an interval of 8 min, the cumulative intake curves did not differ significantly from the original cumulative intake curves in 10 non-decelerated (linear) eaters but showed a change towards non-decelerated curves in 8 out of 11 decelerated eaters. In manipulation experiments with a subset of 34 subjects, who consumed similar meals, but energetically enriched by addition of either fat, protein, or carbohydrates, 25 of these subjects showed no change in the shape of their cumulative intake curves.

4.2 Introduction

Regulation of food intake occurs through the long-term operation of subtle but persistent factors in an environment with short-term influences. These factors operate through either postprandial or preprandial regulation or both, whereas short-term influences on regulation of food intake are effective during a single meal [8]. Short-term influences on regulation can be either physiological or cognitive in nature. Physiological influences are related to hunger and satiation, while cognitive influences are for example related to habits [7]. In humans, food intake regulation rests largely on the control of meal size, since meal to meal intervals are fixed by social rather than physiological demands [4]. This short-term regulation or control of meal size might be reflected in eating style, which can be characterized by behaviour and by rate of food intake. The rate of food intake during a single meal is described by the cumulative food intake curve, which is either non-decelerating (linear), or decelerating [18, 20, 22, 25].

Several studies have shown correlations between the shape of the cumulative intake curve and obesity or latent obesity. Normal weight subjects, for instance, displayed a deceleration in the rate of intake during a meal whereas obese and latent obese tended to show a linear rate of intake over time [22]. The conclusion was that deceleration in intake reflects biological satiation which is deficient in the obese. On the contrary, decelerated cumulative intake curves for obese men and linear curves for non-obese men were found [14]. Others, however, have found that obese and non-obese subjects did not differ in eating styles [1, 25] and, moreover, that the percentage of non-decelerating (or linear) and decelerating curves in obese and non-obese subjects was the same [16]. The results of some experiments lend support to the hypothesis that the rate of eating a single relatively homogeneous food is related to an internal state, which changes and leads to inhibitory processes that slow down and eventually terminate the meal [18]. The significance of the shape of the cumulative food intake curve may thus be attributed to changes in internal state.

On the other hand, appetite could be the result of learning experiences based on relationships between food and the body during eating and
drinking. Learning appears to be the main process by which food intake can be tuned towards need [6]. The process can be regarded as the conditioning of ingestatory reflexes. If hunger and satiety can be considered as conditioned reflexes, the shape of the cumulative food intake curve is likely to reflect a certain pattern. In the present study we recorded, described and analysed cumulative food intake curves and eating behaviour of normal weight and overweight subjects during solid food lunches, artificially prolonged meals, and energetically enriched meals. Variability of the cumulative food intake curve was studied by analysing intra-individual and inter-individual ranges for some meal parameters. In order to find out whether the shape of the cumulative food intake curve is a reflection of a changing internal state or of a certain pattern, manipulation experiments with interval-meals and energy-enriched meals were performed.

4.3 Method

4.3.1 Subjects

Female subjects from several groups, including university and hospital employees, university students and women with or without self-defined weight problems were recruited via a local newspaper. Out of the 158 females who responded, 136 healthy non-pregnant women were selected as subjects. We defined a prospective subject as healthy if she did not suffer from diabetes mellitus or hypertension and was not receiving any type of medication. For each subject, weight and height in indoor clothes, without shoes, were used to calculate body mass index (BMI = kg/m²). According to the criterion of BMI > 25 [11], 50 subjects (36.8%) were classified as overweight. The remaining 86 subjects were classified as normal weight.

4.3.2 Procedure

Data presented are based on series of either three or six lunches, which took place at the same time and same day of the week in consecutive weeks. Subjects ate lunch alone in a closed, windowless room, in which table arrangement, lighting, and taped music gave the atmosphere of a restaurant. Eating behaviour was monitored with a concealed camera. Rate of intake was measured and recorded via an electronic balance built into the table under the plate which was connected to a digital computer [15]. All subjects were assured that data would be kept in strict confidence. An initial series of subjects (n=60) was told that they were participating in an experiment concerning the effects of hunger and satiety on saliva production. The subsequent series of subjects (n=76) was
informed that video recordings might be made of some meals and that total amount eaten would be measured. The basic meals included in this analysis consisted of a single course of a homogenous food, rated as moderately to highly palatable by all participants. Pasta (2 cm segments) was mixed uniformly with a sauce of ground meat, tomato puree, mild red bell peppers, onions and mushrooms. The energy density of the food was 4.8 kJ/g. An ample portion (700 g) was served on a large plate at a temperature between 60 and 62°C. Manipulation experiments were performed with a selected subset of 55 subjects who had either consistent deceleration or a consistent linearity over three basic meals. The manipulation experiments consisted of either two artificially prolonged meals (for 21 subjects) or two energetically enriched meals (for 34 subjects). These subjects again were told that they were participating in subsequent experiments concerning effects of hunger and satiety on saliva production.

During the manipulation experiments with prolonged meals, meal length was artificially increased by interrupting the meal for 8 min after subjects had eaten a first portion (150 g). During this interval according to the cover story saliva production was measured with a cotton dental roll held under the tongue for 1 min. After this interval the subjects received a second plate with a full (700 g) portion of the same food.

During the manipulation experiments with energetically enriched food, energy density was increased by addition of either fat, protein, or carbohydrates raising the energy density from the original 4.8 to 6.1 kJ/g. The increase in energy density through additional fat was done by replacing 50 g of the food by a ‘burmaner’ consisting of 30 g sunflower oil and 20 g flour. Increase in energy density through additional carbohydrates was done by exchanging 80 g of the food by a ‘fanomalt’ grain, and increase in energy density through protein was done by exchanging 60 g of the food by a ‘proifar’ grain. (Fantomalt consists of 54.5% carbohydrates, 3% glucose, 7% maltose, 84.5% polysaccharides), 5% water, and 0.5% minerals. Proifar consists of 60% protein, < 1% fat, 29.1% carbohydrate, < 4% water, 6.9% minerals. Both are tasteless powders). Care was taken to avoid influencing taste, consistency, and appearance of the meal with these additions. The increase of 25–30% in energy density was the largest technically possible increase without influencing taste, consistency, or appearance of the meal.

Subjects were instructed to eat no solid food in the three hours preceding a test meal.

Upon arrival, they were asked to record the time they had arisen that morning, time of breakfast, kind and amount of food and beverages consumed at breakfast and before coming to the laboratory. Subjects then rated their degree of hunger on a 100 mm visual analogue scale (VAS). Under the first protocol, salivation was measured as described above.

After receiving the meal subjects were left alone, having been instructed to eat as much as they wanted and that it was not necessary to finish everything on the plate. Subjects signalled when they were finished by
ranging a buzzer on the table. Hunger ratings and salivation measurements (when applicable) were then repeated; in addition, subjects filled in VAS ratings concerning the palatability of the meal, how comfortable they felt during the meal, and how much they had eaten in comparison to their normal lunch. Each subject was weighed, measured for height and asked to fill out a Dutch translation of the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ) [28]. The three dimensions of response are labelled ‘cognitive restraint’ (F1), ‘disinhibition’ (F2) and ‘hunger’ (F3).

4.4 Description of the cumulative food intake curve in basic meals and data analysis

In this paper we present the results of the analysis of food intake data. Results concerning subject characteristics and characteristics of basic meals, published elsewhere [29], are given as far as relevant for this data analysis.

Video recordings of eating behaviour during the test meals were scored by one rater with the help of a portable event recorder, from which data were transferred directly to a computer. Based on these observations, the beginning and end of each meal were defined as the first bite and the last swallow, respectively. The behavioural record and independent weighings of food and plate before and after the meal provided a check on intake data from the electronic balance built into the table. When intake and observational data did not correspond (because, for example, a subject moved her plate off the balance during the meal), the meal was excluded from further analysis. Data from each subject were collected of at least three undisturbed basic meals. Bite rate, bite size and rate of intake were calculated from number of bites, meal duration, and total intake. Because the number of meals per subject varied, two separate analyses of variance with repeated measures were performed, the first of subjects with data for at least three meals (n = 103) and the second for those with at least five meals (n = 34). Both analyses indicated greater between than within subject variability on all of the measures.

A small microcomputer (APPLE II+) recorded the weight data from electronic scales (METTLER PE4) through a four input RS232 datalink. The experiment was recorded on videotape; after the experiment, all of the behavioural observations were recorded (digital observation unit) and transferred to the microcomputer. Since the observed bites per unit time are better defined than the weight changes from the scales due to unrelated activity (stirring, several bites taken from one full spoon etc.) the weight versus time data were autocorrelated with the behavioural versus time data. From this autocorrelation, the time shift between weight changes could then be distributed over the observed bites resulting in one file containing bite moment and bite size.

From this pattern of bite moments (placed on the x-axis) and bite sizes (cumulatively placed on the y-axis) the cumulative intake curve was
derived. The bite moment – bite size pattern makes it possible to calculate
the average rate of intake, bite rate and bite size over any part of the meal.
The two different types of cumulative food intake curves which thus
appear, are characterized as decelerated and linear or non-decelerated
[14,16,18,19,22,23,25] by a change in eating rate or not, over the course
of a meal.
An analysis to discriminate between decelerated and non-decelerated
cumulative food intake curves was performed by curve-fitting. Kissileff
describes the quadratic equation as a good fit for the decelerated
cumulative intake curve [18]. From the fitted curves the slope as well as
the change in slope was calculated.
In order to find out when eating rate decelerates, if it does, and how the
components of eating rate i.e. bite size and bite rate contribute to this
change, a temporal quarter analysis as well as a fixed time analysis on
eating rate, including bite rate and bite size, were performed. Curve fitting
was performed for 55 randomly selected subjects. The temporal quarter
analysis included 92 subjects with 302 meals out of the entire sample of
valid observations. In order to study variability of the cumulative food
intake curve, inter-individual and intra-individual ranges for the meal
parameters chewing time per bite, bite interval and initial eating rate were
calculated.

4.5 Results

4.5.1 Subject characteristics

Characteristics for the normal and for the overweight group are
summarized in Table 1, which includes scores of the three dimensions of
the TFEQ, meal size and meal length. Subjects were not selected on the
basis of age and therefore, as in the general population, age was
related with being overweight in this sample ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$).
Overweight subjects scored significantly higher than normal-weight
subjects on all dimensions of the TFEQ (two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test:
F1, $p < 0.05$; F2, $p < 0.001$; F3, $p < 0.01$). In addition, there was a
different pattern of intercorrelation between the factors in the two weight
groups. In the normal-weight group F1 and F2 were positively correlated
(Spearman $\rho = 0.32$, $p < 0.01$), while in the overweight group the
correlation between these two scores was negative ($\rho = -0.35$, $p < 0.01$).
Meal size, meal length and percentage change in slope of the cumulative
food intake curves did not differ significantly between normal and
overweight groups.
Table 4.1 Characteristics of subjects in two weight categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal Weight (n = 35)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Obese (n = 20)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₁ (&quot;cognitive restraint&quot;)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₂ (&quot;disinhibition&quot;)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₃ (&quot;hunger&quot;)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage change in slope</td>
<td>−12%</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>−13%</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal size (g)</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal length (sec)</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relationship of subject characteristics to intake rate changes is given in table 2. The "disinhibition" factor (F₂) showed a negative relationship with the percentage change in slope in the normal–weight subjects but a positive relationship with percentage change in slope in the overweight group. Age was an important contributor to percentage change in slope in both weight classes. Some negative association between the "cognitive restraint" factor (F₁) and percentage change in slope was evident in the normal–weight group, but among the overweight subjects F₁ showed a positive relationship with percentage change in slope.

"Hunger" (F₃) made no significant contribution to percentage change in slope in either group.

Table 4.2 Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and partial beta values (β) from multiple regression of TFEQ factor scores and age onto percentage change in slope of the curve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal Weight (n = 35)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Obese (n = 20)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₁</td>
<td>−.23†</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>−.24†</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>.25*</td>
<td>0.52*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>−.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>.29†</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r² = 20.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>r² = 22.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, †p<0.01
4.5.2 Characteristics of basic-meals.

Based on the mean values for each of the 136 subjects, average meal duration for the entire group was 9.1 min (SD = 2.4) and average total intake was 479 g (SD = 118). Changes in eating rate over the course of a meal were characterized by curve fitting and by a temporal quarter analysis.

4.5.3 Temporal quarter analysis

A temporal quarter is defined as meal duration divided by 4. The analysis gives mean data for the successive temporal quarters of the meals for 92 subjects with 302 meals. These subjects had either a significant drop in eating rate during the fourth temporal quarter -compared to eating rate during the first temporal quarter-, or not, and therefore were classified as decelerated or non-decelerated eaters. Eating rates, bite sizes and bite rates for every temporal quarter are shown in figure 4.1.
Figure 4.1 Temporal quarter analysis of basic meals. Eating rate (g/sec), bite size (g/bite), bite rate (bites/sec) for each temporal quarter in decelerated (A) \((n = 39)\) and nondecelerated (B) \((n = 53)\) eaters. (A) a, b, c: decelerated eaters; eating rate, bite size, bite rate, respectively, for each temporal quarter. (B) a, b, c: nondecelerated eaters; eating rate, bite size, bite rate, respectively, for each temporal quarter. *Significant differences from the data of the first temporal quarter \((p < 0.05)\).

The significant drop in eating rate, which is shown by the therefore so-called decelerated eaters, is caused by a significant drop in bite size at a constant bite rate in the fourth temporal quarter of the meal (chi-square=64.4, \(p<0.05\)). This drop in eating rate had already started in the third temporal quarter but was not statistically significant. Non-decelerated eaters do not display a drop in eating rate. An analysis of variance on eating rate and bite size shows no differences between decelerated and non-decelerated eaters in eating rate and bite size during the first three temporal quarters, but it does show statistically significant differences in eating rate and bite size between decelerated and non-
decelerated eaters during the fourth temporal quarter (eating rate: \(F_{1,90}=4.60; p<0.05\), bite size \(F_{1,90}=5.52; p<0.025\)). In order to determine whether a change in eating rate (bite size, bite rate) occurs after a temporal part of a meal or after a fixed time period of a meal, a fixed time analysis was performed for 55 out of 92 randomly selected subjects who ate three meals each. The fixed time analysis does not give a clear indication of the moment at which eating rate if it does change, changes.

4.5.4 Curve fitting

An analysis to discriminate between decelerated and non-decelerated cumulative intake curves was performed by curve fitting. Kissileff [18] describes the quadratic equation as a good fit for the decelerated cumulative intake curve. Our temporal quarter analysis shows that the first part of any meal is linear up to about 50% of the meal duration. For this reason our equation for curve fitting starts with a linear part of, empirically chosen, 30%, and is followed by a second quadratic part. This means that in the equation

\[ y = ax + bx^2, \]

\(b\) is zero for the first 30%. Also, these cumulative intake curves do not have an intercept. Curve fitting was performed for 165 meals eaten by 55 subjects (figs 4.2 and 4.3)

The following variables were calculated:

\(a\), the slope of the first 30%

\(a + 2bx\), the slope of the next 70%

\(\frac{(a + 2bx) - a}{a}\), the change in slope as a percentage of the first slope

Figure 4.2 Fitting of a decelerated curve, \(a\), the slope of the first 30% of the curve = 1.80; \(a + 2bx\), the slope of the next 70% of the curve = 0.20. Change in slope as percentage of \(a\), the slope of the first 30%: −89%.
Fitting of a nondecelerated curve, \( a \), the slope of the first 30% of the curve = 0.82; 
\( a+2bx \), the slope of the next 70% of the curve = 0.84. Change in slope as 
percentage of \( a \), the slope of the first 30%; + 2.9%.

The recorded cumulative intake curves fell apart into two groups, namely 
those with a change in slope (as a percentage of the first slope) \(< -10\%\), 
and those with a change in slope (as a percentage of the first slope) \(> -10\%\). See frequency distribution of percentages change in slope, in figure 
4.4. 
Within the first group of curves (n=84) the mean negative change in slope 
was \(-46\%\), (min change \(-15\%;\) max. change \(-104\%\)). 
Within the second group of curves (n=81) the mean negative change in 
slope was \(+16\%\), (min change \(-6\%;\) max. change \(+57\%\). Subjects 
always fell within one group of cumulative intake curves. Their standard 
deviation of the mean of their percentages change in slope ranged from 
0.6-4.4.

Apparently, when subjects had shown a statistically significant decrease 
in eating rate during the fourth temporal quarter their cumulative intake 
curves belonged to the first group, and if this decrease in eating rate did 
not appear they belonged to the second group.

An analysis of variance on the curve fitting--variables shows marked 
differences between subjects for the decelerated eaters with respect to the 
initial slope, (F = 87.00; p<0.025). This does not hold for non--decelerated 
eaters. (F=5.8). These results further show that the initial slope in 
decelerated eaters is steeper than in non--decelerated eaters. (a=1.16; 
a=.81;p<0.05).
Figure 4.4 Frequency distribution of percentage change in slope of the curve. The frequency distribution of percentage change in slope of the curve yields two groups of curves: one with a percentage change in slope $< -10\%$, and one with a percentage change in slope $> -10\%$.
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4.5.5 Regression analysis

To find out whether the change in eating rate over a meal, which is reflected by the cumulative intake curve, is influenced by the parameters ‘amount eaten’ and ‘duration’ a regression analysis for percentage change in slope versus eating rate (bite size, bite rate), amount eaten and duration, was performed for the decelerated and for the non-decelerated eaters. This analysis showed no correlations between percentage change in slope and duration, eating rate or amount eaten. The conclusion from this analysis is that a possible change in eating rate over a meal is not influenced by the amount eaten, nor by eating rate or duration of the meal.

4.6 Manipulations

Results of some experiments lend support to the hypothesis that the rate of eating a single relatively homogeneous food is related to an internal state which changes and leads to inhibitory processes that slow down and eventually terminate the meal [19]. If the shape of the cumulative food intake curve does reflect changes in internal state, it should be susceptible to manipulations in which, for instance it is more likely to achieve satiation.

A meal, artificially prolonged by introducing an interval, is for example likely to improve satiation, as it is reported that the maximal satiating effect of a preload occurs 15 min after it has been given [27]. Also an energy-enriched meal could improve satiation, being that, with the same eating rate, a subject consumes relatively more energy per time-unit [11]. Manipulation 1, Results of introducing an interval. In the first manipulation experiment, the hypothesis was tested that satiation is only achieved after a certain minimum time. People who showed a non-decelerated cumulative intake curve might have had a meal duration which was too short to achieve satiation. Eleven normally decelerated eaters and ten normally non-decelerated eaters were given two randomly scheduled interval experiments with three basic meals.

An interval experiment consisted of serving a meal in two portions in the following manner. Portion A of 150 g, 8 minute interval, followed by portion B of 700 g. A first portion of 150 g was chosen because it was half of the minimal total amount the subjects ate. For the basic meals as well as for the complete interval meals, C, being A+B, curve fitting was performed. For the analysis, the parameters characterizing the whole meal C (= A+B) were compared to those of the basic meals the subjects ate. If more satiation would be achieved by giving the subjects more time, deceleration in meal C should be stronger than deceleration in the basic meals. Fig. 4.5 gives eating rate, bite size and bite frequency for every quarter of the basic meals as well as for the interval meals.
Figure 4.5 Temporal quarter analysis of interval meals. Eating rate (g/sec), bite size (g/bite), bite rate (bite/sec) for each temporal quarter. (A) a, b, c: decelerated eaters (n = 11); eating rate, bite size, bite rate, respectively, for each temporal quarter in basic meals. Percentage change in slope: -49%, S.D. 3.7. (B) a, b, c: decelerated eaters (n = 3 out of 11); interval meals: Percentage in slope: -52%, S.D. 4.1. (C) a,b, c: decelerated eaters (a = 8 out of 11); interval meals. Percentage change in slope: -2%, S.D. 1.2. (D) a, b, c: nondecelerated eaters (n = 10); eating rate, bite size, bite rate respectively for each temporal quarter in basic meals. Percentage change in slope: 0%, S.D. 1.8. (E) a, b, c: nondecelerated eaters (n = 10); interval meals. Percentage change in slope: -1%, S.D. 2.0. *Significant differences from the data of the first temporal quarter (p < 0.05).
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For 13 out of the 21 subjects who had three basic meals and two interval meals each, the 10 basically non-decelerated eaters remained non-decelerated eaters and 3 basically decelerated eaters remained decelerated eaters, showing no variability of the cumulative food intake curve. Eight basically decelerated eaters shifted to a non-decelerated pattern. An analysis of variance on eating rate and bite size in the same subjects during the basic-meal and interval-meal conditions showed no differences in eating rate and bite size during the first three temporal quarters at all. The only differences appeared during the fourth temporal quarter when eating rate and bite size of these eight, now non-decelerated eaters remained constant during the interval meals \( F(1,7) = 5.7; p < 0.05 \) and \( F(1,7) = 5.9; p < 0.05 \).

The 8 out of 11 decelerated eaters who shifted to a non-decelerated pattern showed a prolonged initial eating rate lasting during the third temporal quarter of C. The drop in eating rate occurred in the fourth quarter of the meal by a drop in bite size which is slightly compensated by a rise in bite rate.

Thus, a fresh second start with a delayed drop in eating rate gives the whole cumulative intake curve the character of a non-decelerated one.
A meal prolonged by an interval of 8 min does not result in greater satiation due to a longer meal duration. It has the significance of two meals following each other in which the second one has a prolonged initial eating rate and a delayed slowing down starting in the fourth temporal quarter instead of in the third temporal quarter. Although this appeared to be a general pattern in part C of the 11 originally decelerated eating subjects, it only results in a decelerated cumulative food intake curve in three of the originally decelerated eaters.

**Manipulation 2. Results of energy-enriched meals.** To test the hypothesis that an energy enriched meal gives an earlier satiation or a satiation which fails to occur in basic meals, 34 subjects were given two energy-enriched meals, randomly scheduled with three basic meals.

These meals were enriched by 25%–30% by either carbohydrates (famomalt), protein (protilaf) or fat (burmanier) as described earlier.

Table 3 gives the characteristics of the cumulative intake curves of the basic and energy enriched meals by percentages change in slopes of fitted curves. According to these percentages change in slope, originally decelerated eaters can be divided into two groups (n = 7 and n = 6) and originally non-decelerated eaters can be divided into two groups (n = 18 and n = 3).

For 25 out of 34 subjects who had three basic meals and two energy enriched meals each, the cumulative intake curve has no variability in shape. From these 25 subjects, 18 non-decelerated eaters and 7 decelerated eaters remained non-decelerated and decelerated respectively, (mean percentages change in slope 5%, sd. 2.1; -43%, sd. 2.4) notwithstanding the change in energy density of the food. From the 9 subjects who changed towards another type of cumulative intake curve, 3 non-decelerated eaters became decelerated eaters, mean percentage change in slope -34% sd. 2.9, (did they achieve satiation at last?) and 6 decelerated eaters became non-decelerated eaters, mean percentage change in slope 7%, sd 2.1. An analysis of variance on eating rate and bite size during the basic-meal and interval-meal conditions showed no differences in eating rate and bite size during the first three temporal quarters either. The only differences which were shown were that during the fourth temporal quarter, when eating rate and bite size of the 6 originally decelerated eaters during the basic meal conditions were compared to eating rate and bite size of these, now non-decelerated eaters during the energy-enriched meal conditions (F(1,5) = 6.8; p < 0.05); F(1,5) = 6.9; p < 0.05). This does not hold for the 3 non-decelerated eaters who became decelerated eaters. The general conclusion from the manipulation experiments must be that the individual cumulative food intake curve has little variability despite experimentally prolonged or energy-enriched meals.
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### Table 4.3 Characteristics of the cumulative intake curves of the energy-enriched meals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage Change in Slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decelerated Eaters (n = 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic meals</td>
<td>-40% 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein enriched</td>
<td>-42% 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meals</td>
<td>Carbohydrate-enriched</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meals</td>
<td>-48% 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-enriched meals</td>
<td>-36% 2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage change in slope of decelerated and nondecelerated eaters, separated according to < -10% and > -10%, respectively (see the Curve Fitting section).

### 4.7 Variability of the cumulative intake curve

Variability was studied by calculating interindividual and intraindividual ranges for the parameters chewing time per bite, bite interval and initial eating-rate. Variability in cumulative food intake patterns was further analysed by comparing the shape of cumulative intake curves between basic meals and manipulated meals. Intra-individual ranges for two meal parameters, chewing time per bite and bite interval indicated consistency of individual eating behaviour [29]. Comparing the shape of the cumulative food intake curves in different meals as expressed by the percentage change in slope, or temporal quarter analysis, 38 out of 55 subjects showed no change in shape of the cumulative food intake curve.

### 4.8 Discussion

The present description and analysis of the cumulative food intake curve deals with differences between decelerated eaters and non–decelerated eaters. Decelerated meals were defined by a change in eating rate over the course of a meal whereas non–decelerated meals were defined by hardly any change in eating rate over the course of a meal. Changes in eating rate, caused by different bite sizes at constant bite rates, and differences in duration contributed to the different eating patterns. Normal weight and obese subjects did not display consistent differences in meal size, meal length and change in slope of the cumulative food intake curve. Age relates to obesity and showed a positive association with individuals'
mean deceleration of intake in both weight classes. However previous research had indicated that increasing age was associated with more linear intake curves [23], at least in subjects older than 65 years. On closer inspection of the data, it was evident that the age effect was largely confined to the younger subjects in our sample (table 4.2): the majority of subjects who displayed, on average, a non-decelerated pattern was younger than the group mean of 33 years. Concerning restrained and unrestrained eating subjects a negative relationship between percentage change in slope and ‘cognitive restraint’ (F1 in the TFEQ, [28]) as well as ‘disinhibition’ (F2) was found in the normal weight group which means that these non-decelerated eaters are partly restrained eating subjects. Effective restraint in normal-weight subjects allowed them to finish a meal arbitrarily before enough food had been consumed to produce internal feedback.

The analysis of the cumulative food intake curves of basic meals shows that decelerated eaters started their meals at a significantly higher speed than non-decelerated eaters as shown by the initial slopes. This higher speed was due to a bigger bite size as well as a higher bite frequency. However, high initial intake rate does not always result in a decelerated cumulative intake curve. We identified the following two patterns of intake.

- In decelerated eaters, we found as did some others [9,12,21] a relatively high initial eating rate which was almost constant during the first half of the meal, followed by a drop in eating rate caused by a drop in bite size at a constant bite rate in the third temporal quarter of the meal, with a further decrease in eating rate during the fourth temporal quarter.
- In non-decelerated eaters, we found a relatively low initial eating rate which increased slightly during the second and third temporal quarters and then slightly decreased during the fourth temporal quarter; no statistically significant changes throughout the meal were found.

These patterns are partly consistent with patterns described in the literature [4,14,22,28]. For instance a temporal quarter analysis showed an increase in chewing time per food unit and a decrease of chewing rate, while pause duration and drinking increases, in the fourth temporal quarter of the meal.

The temporal quarter analysis as well as curve fitting deals with the meal itself as a unit, irrespective of its actual length and amount eaten. Both these analyses give a good indication of the moment at which eating rate changes. A fixed time analysis fails to give a clear indication of this moment because of the variability of meal length and of total intake.

The shape of the cumulative food intake curve has been suggested to be the expression of internal regulatory mechanisms [17], and has been shown to be sensitive to palatability and type of food eaten [5,16], as well as to food deprivation [17].

Our results concerning variability of meal parameters, and variability of the shape of the cumulative food intake curve during manipulations suggest that in 70% of the subjects the shape of the cumulative food
intake curve, whether decelerating or non-decelerating is attributed to learning process. However, 17 out of 55 subjects showed variability in the shape of the cumulative food intake curve during manipulations: 8 decelerated eaters became non-decelerated eaters during interval meals, 3 non-decelerated eaters became decelerated eaters and 6 decelerated eaters shifted to a non-decelerated pattern during energy-enriched meals. These 17 subjects thus show a relatively stronger expression of internal regulatory mechanisms as a reaction to manipulations.

Apart from the 3 non-decelerated eaters who became decelerated eaters and who might have achieved satiation at last by a stronger negative feedback, a more surprising result is obtained in the 14 decelerated eaters who showed a shift towards a non-decelerated pattern.

The prolonged initial eating rate during the third temporal quarter of the interval meal, in which the change in internal state is influenced by postponing the progression of the meal, and also the constant eating rate in energy-enriched meals, might be due to the effect of a negative feedback which fails to appear. So in 70% of the human subjects no variability of the shape of the individual cumulative food intake curve was shown, which is likely to be supported by the effect of learning. In 30% of the human subjects flexibility of the shape of the individual cumulative food intake curve was shown, due to relatively stronger effect of internal regulatory mechanisms.
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5.1 Abstract

A four-course meal was presented to 6 obese and 18 normal weight women in which the second course was eaten ad libitum and the other three courses were fixed in amount. Eating behaviour was observed directly and intake was monitored via an electronic scale built into the table under the plate. Intake deceleration was observed in the normal weight women who scored low on the Herman-Pollivy (1980) restraint questionnaire and on the cognitive restraint factor (FI) of Stunkard and Messick's (1985) questionnaire, whereas the normal-weight and obese restrained women displayed linear cumulative food intake. In all groups, if deceleration occurred in the second course it usually was identical in the third and fourth courses, and constant eating rate persisted from second course to the later courses. Food-specific eating rates were positively correlated to relative palatability, and removed deviation from linearity over the four courses.

5.2 Introduction

The rate of food intake during a meal may decrease or be constant, giving a decelerated or linear cumulative intake function. The parameters of these curves could reflect underlying physiological or cognitive processes. If cumulative food intake reflects physiological processes involved in short-term control of food intake, the parameters should relate to the amount eaten during the meal. However, no significant relationship
has yet been found between amount eaten, eating rate, or meal duration and any aspect of the curve during a single-course meal. However, deceleration and slope have been shown sensitive to palatability (Bobroff & Kissileff, 1986), type of food eaten (Kissileff et al., 1984) and preloads (Kissileff, 1984). Sensitivity to these meal characteristics has been taken to indicate that the cumulative food intake curve is the expression of internal regulatory mechanisms (Meyer & Pudel, 1972; Kissileff & Thornton, 1982), that slow down eating and eventually terminate the meal (Westertep et al., 1990).

Nevertheless the parameters describing the shape of the cumulative food intake curve are relatively stable despite variations in many meal characteristics, and are highly consistent within individuals (Westertep et al., 1990). This might be taken to indicate the cognitive nature of intake control, with the effect of hunger and satiety on slope and deceleration being considered as a conditioned reflex (Booth, 1981).

Some studies show a relationship between linearity or deceleration of the cumulative food intake curve and obesity or latent-obesity (Meyer & Pudel, 1972; Bellisle & Le Magnen, 1981; Adams et al., 1978; Rogers & Blundell, 1979; Sunkard et al., 1980; Kaplan, 1980). Other studies show no relationship between obesity and cumulative intake parameters (Kissileff & Kral, 1986; Westertep et al., 1988) but rather a relationship between ‘restrained eating’ (Herman and Polivy, 1980; Sunkard and Messick, 1985) and the linearity or deceleration of the curve.

An interesting aspect of all this is that parameters describing the shape of the cumulative food intake curve during a single course meal, can be related to subject characteristics and meal characteristics, but not to the amount eaten which might be considered as the major effect of eating the meal. Rodin (1981) argued, from a review of the literature, that amount eaten had a significant relationship with other parameters only when the subjects ate for at least 20 minutes. Thus, if the amount eaten has thus far been found to be of little significance for other aspects of the meal, one of the reasons might be that subjects do not consider a single course to be a complete meal and therefore continue eating for a limited time only. We have therefore chosen to study parameters describing the shape of the cumulative food intake curve on offering four-course meals, thus lengthening meal duration.

Also considered are the effects of succession and relative palatability of the separate courses, which this experimental design implies. The parameters of eating rate and intake in each course and over the whole meal are related to the characteristics of the foods and to the dietary restraint and body mass index of the subjects.
5.3 Methods

5.3.1 Subjects

Healthy female subjects (n = 24) were recruited via the local newspaper from the general population in the south of the Netherlands as well as from university students and employees of the Limburg State University. They were divided into women who had participated in a weight reduction group, and women without self-defined weight problems. Informed consent was obtained. Weight and height in indoor clothes, without shoes, were used to calculate body mass index (BMI = kg/m²). According to the criterion used by Garrow (1981) of BMI > 25, six subjects (25%) were classified as overweight. The remaining 18 were classified as normal weight.

5.3.2 Procedure

Data presented here are based on series of three lunches, which took place at the same time of day on the same day of the week in consecutive weeks. Subjects arrived one and a half hours prior to lunch and were installed alone in a respiration chamber, for other reasons. They read, listened to music, or watched television, sitting down quietly one hour before and two hours after lunch.

Eating behaviour was observed directly by the experimenter, through a door-window of the chamber, which did not disturb the subject because of a difference in light intensity. The experimenter scored the time at which each bite occurred directly into a digital computer. Intake was monitored via an electronic weighing scale built into the table under the plate and connected to the same computer. This set-up is similar to the “universal eating monitor” developed by Kissileff et al. (1980). All subjects were aware of this procedure and were assured that all data would be kept in strict confidence. In earlier experiments, no difference in results was found between the situation in which the subjects were told a cover story and the situation in which the subjects were aware of the actual experiment (Westerterp et al., 1990).

The meals consisted of four courses, each of a different homogeneous food. Before the series of experiments started, all subjects rated the different courses of the announced meal theoretically (from 6-10) to be moderately (=6) to highly (=9) palatable. The four courses were: 1) cucumber salad with vinegar and sugar (75 g; 1.4 kJ/g); 2) pasta, mixed uniformly with a sauce of ground meat, tomato puree, mild red bell peppers, onions, mushrooms and oil (700 g; 4.8 kJ/g; 60-62°C); 3) cold cooked rhubarb with sugar (175 g; 2.9 kJ/g); 4) Bulgarian yogurt (100 g; 3.9 kJ/g). Three courses (1, 3 and 4) were small to normal portions, so that the amount eaten was fixed. In order to eliminate the effect of their
succession, courses 3 and 4 were randomly assigned to third and fourth in sequence. The second course consisted of an ample portion, of a size which previously had turned out to be sufficient not to limit intake. Some of course 2 was always left over.

Subjects were instructed to eat no solid food in the three hours preceding a test meal. Before the start of the meal, they were asked to record the time they had arisen that morning, time of breakfast, and the kind and amount of food and beverages consumed at breakfast. Subjects then rated their degree of hunger on a 100-mm line. The three cold courses were available to the subject from a side table in the room. The second course, the warm course, was delivered just before the start of the meal through an airlock. Subjects were instructed to put the courses on the table in the right place, with respect to the weighing scale, to eat the courses in a certain order, and to signal the experimenter when they had finished a course. With respect to the second course, the subjects were told to eat as much as they liked and felt comfortable with. Subjects signalled when they had finished the complete meal.

Hunger ratings were then repeated and in addition subjects rated how comfortable they felt during the meal, and how much they had eaten in comparison to their normal lunch, all on 100-mm lines. Subjects were also asked to rate the relative palatability of the different courses of the meal from 6-10 (6=moderately palatable, 7=palatable, 8=very palatable, 9=highly palatable, 10=extremely palatable): first they had to rate course 1, (the course which had turned out to be moderately palatable in theory, for all subjects), and secondly the courses 2, 3 and 4, in comparison to course 1.

Before the series of lunches started, each subject filled out a Dutch translation (Westerterp et al., 1988) of the three-factor eating questionnaire (TFEQ) developed by Stunkard and Messick (1985), and of the restrained-eating questionnaire developed by Herman and Polivy (1980). The three dimensions of response to the TFEQ were labelled 'cognitive restraint' (F1), 'disinhibition' (F2), and 'hunger' (F3). The score on the Herman and Polivy questionnaire was labelled 'H-P'.

5.3.3 Data analysis

Body mass index, scores on 'cognitive restraint,' 'disinhibition,' 'hunger,' 'Herman-Polivy restraint,' age, were averaged over the entire group and over subgroups split according to body mass index and restraint.

Ratings of hunger, comfort and the amount subjects felt they had eaten compared to their normal lunch were averaged per subject over the three meals. Also amount eaten of the second course, total eating time, eating rate per meal and per course and relative palatability were averaged per subject over the three meals. From previous research was concluded that three repeated meals gave sufficient consistency (Westerterp et al., 1988). These means per subject, which showed very small standard deviations,
were successively averaged over the entire group and/or over the subgroups. The scores of bite moments and plate-weight changes synchronized on the computer yielded individual cumulative food intake curves, both separately for every course as well as continuously over the four courses. Intake rate changes reflected in the shape of the cumulative food intake curve (linear, or decelerated) were expressed by the percentage change in slope, as derived from curve-fitting. We used the quadratic equation, previously described by Kissileff et al. (1982) as a good fit for the decelerated cumulative intake curve. By fitting the curve over 25%, 50%, and 75%, of the eating time of an ad libitum main course, cumulative food intake was linear over about the first 50% of the course duration. For this reason our curve fitting starts with a linear part of 30%, and the rest of the course is fitted to a quadratic equation. We then calculated the slope of the first 30%, the linear slope of the next 70%, and the change in slope as a percentage of the first slope (see also Westerterp et al., 1990). Intake rate changes expressed by this percentage change in slope over the whole meal and per course were averaged per subject over the three meals. These means per subject, with very small standard deviations, were successively averaged over the entire group and over the subgroups. Intake rate changes expressed by the percentage change in slope of the curve were correlated to the subject characteristics cognitive restraint (F1), disinhibition (F2), hunger (F3), age, Herman-Polivy restraint, body mass index, and to the meal parameters amount eaten, total eating time and eating rate.

A simple regression analysis of percentage change in slope on these predictors was performed for the entire group as well as for two subgroups, split according to restraint.

5.4 Results

5.4.1 Subject characteristics

In the entire group (n=24) BMI and H-P (table 5.1) were positively correlated (F1.23=62.63 and p<0.0001; r=0.86). Also positively correlated were F1 and H-P (F1,23=8.04 and p<0.009; r=0.51) and F1 and age (F1,23=18.06 and p<0.0003; r=0.66).

Within the restrained subgroup (normal weight and obese) BMI and H-P were positively correlated too (F1,14=50.05 and p=.0001; r=0.88), but F1 and H-P, or age were not correlated. Obese restrained subjects scored significantly higher than normal weight restrained subjects on H-P (two tailed Mann-Whitney U-test: p<0.05). There were no obese subjects who were unrestrained in their eating since all obese subjects included in this study had recently participated in a weight reduction group.
The scores on the TFEQ factors F2 (disinhibition) and F3 (hunger) were low, without significant differences between groups (table 5.1).

Table 5.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal weight</th>
<th>Entire group</th>
<th>Unrestrained</th>
<th>Restrained</th>
<th>Obese restrained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI Mean</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 Mean</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 Mean</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 Mean</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P Mean</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Mean</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The criteria for normal weight unrestrained are BMI ≤ 25; F1 ≤ 9 and H-P ≤ 15; for normal weight restrained BMI ≤ 25; F1 > 9 or H-P > 15; for obese restrained BMI > 25; F1 > 9 or H-P > 15. The criteria for cognitive restraint and Herman-Polivy restraint are based on the median (F1=9; H-P=15).

5.4.2 Hunger state

Subjects were always hungry when they arrived for the meal tests (mean±sd=94±3 on the 100-mm line), and always satiated after the meal (hunger ratings 7±2). Subjects felt they had eaten slightly more (16%±9) than in their normal lunch but they felt comfortable (82±7). No relationship was found between change in hunger ratings from before to after the meal and amount eaten, total eating time, eating rate or eating rate changes over the whole meal.

5.4.3 Meal parameters

Statistically significant differences in meal parameters between groups were not found, although the normal-weight, restrained group appeared to eat less than the normal-weight unrestrained and the obese restrained groups (table 5.2). In the entire group cognitive restraint (F1) and total amount eaten were negatively correlated (F1,23=8.01 and p<0.009; r=0.66).
The eating rates and relative palatability of each food, averaged across three meals, were positively correlated (F(4,92)=241.06 and p<0.0001; r=0.85). There was no correlation between eating rate and relative palatability with third or fourth courses, i.e. this effect was food specific and not succession specific. Food from a former course did not appear to inhibit further consumption. The eating rates of the second and third courses were higher than those of the previous courses, considering all subjects together.

Table 5.2
Amount eaten during the second course, total eating time, eating rate and relative palatability, of the entire group and subgroups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Entire group</th>
<th>Normal weight</th>
<th>Obese restrained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Unrestrained</td>
<td>Restrained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount eaten during 2nd course (g)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total eating time(s)</td>
<td>Mean 421</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 142</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating rate (gs⁻¹ and kJs⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course 1</td>
<td>Mean 0·5</td>
<td>0·3</td>
<td>0·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 0·05</td>
<td>0·04</td>
<td>0·05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course 2</td>
<td>Mean 0·9</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 0·07</td>
<td>0·08</td>
<td>0·08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course 3</td>
<td>Mean 1·1</td>
<td>0·7</td>
<td>0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 0·3</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>0·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course 4</td>
<td>Mean 0·9</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>0·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD 0·1</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>0·8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Course 3 always represents the rhubarb dish and course 4 the yoghurt dish. Eating rates and relative palatability of each food, averaged across three meals, were positively correlated, \( F(4,92)=241.06, \) p<0.0001, r=0.85; 0.85).

5.4.4 Intake rate

Intake rate change over the complete meal is liable to be affected by different eating rates in the four courses and so is not analysed. The
cumulative intake curves of the separate courses fell into two groups (fig 1), namely those with a change in slope from first 30% to second 70% of each course of only ±10%, indicating a linear function and those with a decrease in slope by more than 10%, indicating a decelerated curve (fig. 2a and 2b).

![Graphs showing cumulative intake curves of different courses](image)

**Figure 5.1** Frequency distribution of subjects' mean percentage change in slope of cumulative intake curves of the four courses of the meals. Restrained subjects are shown in the shaded areas.

![Graphs showing examples of curves](image)

**Figure 5.2** (a) Example of fitting a decelerated curve (change in slope: -89%). (b) Example of fitting a linear function (change in slope: +2.9%).
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The percentage changes in slope of the curves of the second course were positively correlated with the percentage changes in slope of those of the third and fourth courses (F1,23=33.07 and p<0.0001; r=0.77; F1,23=51.49 and p<0.0001; r=0.84).

Considering the four successive courses of the meal, the cumulative food intake curves reflect succession and relative palatability. The first course was linear due to the small portions and being the first course similar to eating the first 75 g of an ad libitum meal or course. In previous experiments eating rate during the first temporal half of an ad libitum meal was found to be constant (Westerterp et al., 1990).

Linearity or deceleration in the curves of the third and fourth courses was similar to this aspect of the curve of the second course.

Table 5.3 Percentage change in slope of the cumulative food intake curves measured over whole meal and per course, for the entire group and for the subgroups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Entire group</th>
<th>Normal weight</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Obese restrained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unrestrained</td>
<td>Restrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole meal (g)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole meal (kJ)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course 1</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course 2</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course 3</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course 4</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.5 Relationship of Intake Rate Changes with Subject Characteristics and Meal Parameters.

No significant correlations were found between change in slope during the ad lib course, and F2, F3, amount eaten, eating time or eating rate. As F1 and H-P, H-P and BMI, and F1 and age were correlated a multiple regression analysis for the remaining predictors was impossible. Therefore a simple regression analysis was performed and showed correlations between intake rate changes and cognitive restraint, Herman-Polivy restraint, body mass index, and age (table 5.4).
Table 5.4

Correlations between intake rate changes expressed as percentage change in slope, and cognitive restraint (P1), Herman-Polivy restraint, Body Mass Index and age, for the entire group and for the subgroups who were restrained eaters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Entire group</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F(1,23)</td>
<td>p &lt;</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>F(1,14)</td>
<td>p &lt;</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>ns.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>ns.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the entire group, a negative relationship between change in slope and cognitive restraint (P1), and also Herman-Polivy restraint indicates that restrained subjects have linear cumulative intakes and unrestrained subjects are decelerated eaters. This is illustrated by the distribution in Fig. 1 and was also found by Westerterp et al. (1988). A negative relationship between change in slope and BMI suggests that obese subjects have linear cumulative intakes and normal weight subjects are decelerated eaters, but as BMI and H-P are correlated the main factor cannot be distinguished. Similar results concerning the relationship between body mass index and shape of the curve were found by Meyer & Pudel (1972,1977), Bellisle & LeMagen (1981), Adams et al. (1978), Rogers & Blundell (1979), Sunkard et al. (1980), and Kaplan (1980).

Within the restrained group, the negative relationships between change in slope and cognitive restraint, and also Herman-Polivy restraint indicate that the more restrained eating behaviour is, the more linear the cumulative food intake curves are. In this group, there is no relationship between change in slope and BMI.

5.5 Discussion

Although there was a higher eating rate with a higher palatability, the change in eating rate during a course did not differ with palatability. Similarly, Spiegel et al. (1983), Bobroff & Kissileff, (1986), and Kissileff et al. (1984) also found that, when palatability was decreased, the linear coefficient of the curve was reduced, but the quadratic coefficient was not affected. Food from an earlier course did not appear to inhibit further consumption. Further consumption was stimulated by a relatively high palatability, as was found previously by Rolls et al. (1981). Kissileff (1988) suggested considering a two course meal as a special case of a one course meal with a small interval, leaving open the possibility that a prior course could have both excitatory and inhibitory influences on a subsequent one. In this study we found a higher eating rate at the start of the second and third course, than during the previous course, and in an earlier study we found.
a higher eating rate immediately after an interval within a one course meal (Westerterp et al., 1990).
The positive relationship between body mass index and Herman-Polivy restraint, and the negative relationship between amount eaten and cognitive restraint (F1), in the entire group, show that the Herman-Polivy restraint scale does relate to body weight, whereas the TFEQ by Stunkard & Messick is related to food intake. This is also confirmed by the lack of a relationship between F1 and H-P in the restrained group. Normal weight restrained women seem to be primarily food-concerned, and consequently successfully weight concerned. Thus, a subject does not necessarily have to score high on Herman-Polivy restraint if weight has been kept at a certain level preferred by the subject for a relatively long time. These subjects seem to have adopted a successful strategy in relating appetite to food intake. The obese restrained women were primarily weight-concerned, and because of their weight-reduction programme, had to be food-concerned. Obviously they scored high on Herman-Polivy restraint, but, because of they have had only limited success in weight reduction, they did not necessarily have to score high on cognitive restraint. Age showed a positive association with restraint, and a negative association with intake-rate change. Similarly, previous research had indicated that increasing age was associated with more linear intake curves, at least in subjects older than 65 years (Meyer et al., 1980).
Finally, no relationship was found in any group between the subject’s eating style and the amount of food eaten. The longer meal duration (20 minutes, compared to 9 minutes in Westerterp et al., 1990) achieved by offering a four-course meal instead of a single course meal, failed to elucidate this aspect of the cumulative food intake curve.
In summary then, cumulative food intake curves derived from ad libitum consumed meal-courses reflect a subjects’ eating style independent of the amount of food consumed. In this study no aspects of the cumulative food intake curve appeared to be sensitive to the amount eaten.
The curves seem to reflect longterm, stabilized responses to learning about physiological and cognitive aspects of food (see also Westerterp et al, 1990). They do not seem to reflect immediate regulation or control of meal size.
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6.1 Abstract

WESTER TERP-PLANTENGA, M. S., L. WOUTERS AND F. TEN HOO R. Deceleration in cumulative food intake curves, changes in body temperature and postprandial thermogenesis. PHYSIOL BEHAV 48 (6) 831-836, 1990. In order to assess the relationship between the shape of the cumulative food intake curve and a reflection of internal processes, eating behaviour, postprandial thermogenesis, and skin and core temperature of normal weight restrained and unrestrained eating women and of obese restrained eating women were recorded during four-course solid food lunches, eaten in a laboratory setting (respiration chamber) at a constant ambient temperature of 22 °C. The skin temperature (upper arm, upper leg, liver proximity) and core temperature were measured constantly from one hour prior to until two hours after the lunch. Normal weight unrestrained eaters displayed decelerated cumulative food intake curves and an increase in the liver temperature data of 0.8-1.5 °C, from the beginning of the meal onwards, remaining high until 60-90 minutes after the meal was consumed. The postprandial thermogenesis of normal weight unrestrained eaters was on average 8.1±1.3%, calculated over two and a half hour from the beginning of the meal. Overweight and normal weight restrained eaters displayed linear cumulative food intake curves, almost no changes in skin and core temperatures and a postprandial thermogenesis of 4.2±0.3% and 4.8±0.7%, calculated over two and a half hours from the beginning of the meal onwards. In conclusion, deceleration in cumulative food intake curves is positively correlated with
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a temperature increase in the skin in the proximity of the liver, and with
postprandial thermogenesis.

6.2 Introduction

Food intake in humans is affected by physiological and non-physiological
factors. Physiological control of food intake in animals is inferred from
observations on the relative consistency in daily or weekly food intake,
maintained on a diet of homogeneous composition under constant
ambient temperature. This physiological control system would correct
deviations in daily energy balance in order to maintain long-term energy
balance (19,23,40,44,59,61). The existence of a physiological control of
food/energy intake in humans was inferred from the observation that
body weight remains relatively constant for longer periods of time in spite
of environmental stimuli (56). Sometimes control of food intake in
animals and in humans can be overruled by environmental stimuli (9,18).
Nevertheless, many data are available that food intake is under
physiological control (7-9,17,35,44-46,56,58,59,61,62,64).

One theory to explain the physiological control is the thermostatic theory,
an integration of the lipostatic, glucostatic, and aminostatic theory. The
thermostatic theory considers metabolic heat production as a control of
food intake (8). The thermostatic theory has been further refined in the
energostatic theory (7), which states that the energy produced by the
metabolism of absorbed nutrients is monitored to regulate food intake.
Specific temperature receptors in the brain would activate and terminate
ingestive behaviour. Major sites for homeostatic control of food intake are
the hypothalamic tracts, the liver, and the gastro-intestinal tract (19,21,41,
42,48,52,58). Thus control of food intake may consist of a feedback
system in which various signals derived from the gastro-intestinal tract,
liver, circulating energy, i.e. nutrient pool, depot fat or metabolizing cells,
transmit information to the brain, which is responding to and integrating
information from the various peripheral components (64). The brain also
responds to and integrates external information.

In humans a variety of non-physiological factors may act on the brain to
terminate or initiate feeding (17), so that the question may arise whether
food intake in humans is still under physiological control or whether it is
largely determined by exogenous factors.

Food intake behaviour during a meal is reflected in cumulative food
intake curves, the shape of which may reflect short term regulation
mechanisms. The shape of a decelerated cumulative food intake curve
appears to depend on internal processes such as hunger and satiation; the
shape of a linear cumulative food intake curve reflects primarily a
cognitive eating style: the subjects seem to decide consciously and
abruptly when to stop eating (65). Thus cumulative food intake curves
may be used as an instrument to study eating behaviour in relation to the
relative contribution of physiological factors to the control of food intake.
during a meal. Two of these physiological factors, which, according to the
thermostatic theory, are important feedback signals: diet-induced
thermogenesis and body-temperature, can easily be measured in humans
during and after a meal. The measurement of other physiological factors in
blood and in the gastro-intestinal tract might be very interesting but will
influence eating behaviour to a large extent.

Diet-induced thermogenesis is the acute effect of food ingestion on
resting metabolic rate, which in the average individual accounts for about
10-15 percent of total daily energy expenditure (57,67). The primary
determinants of diet-induced thermogenesis are the level of energy intake
and the nutrient composition of food: with increasing energy intake and
proportionally increasing protein and/or carbohydrate contents, diet-
induced thermogenesis increases (2,27,37,47,54). Diet-induced
thermogenesis is linearly related to energy intake, but consists of two
distinct components: the obligatory component, involved in the
postprandial metabolism of the nutrients (27,57,67) and the facultative
component, i.e. increased sympathetic nervous system activity. Several
factors may determine this facultative component, for instance subject
characteristics like degree of obesity (30), responses to food like
overfeeding (57), and sensory characteristics of food (6,22,24,26,
33,38,50,54).

In order to assess a possible relationship between eating behaviour on the
one hand and a reflection of internal processes and subject characteristics
on the other hand, cumulative food intake curves, diet-induced
thermogenesis and skin and core temperature of normal weight restrained
and unrestrained eating women and of obese restrained eating women
were recorded during four course solid food lunches, eaten in a laboratory
setting (respiration chamber), at a constant ambient temperature.

6.3 Method

Healthy female subjects (n = 24) were recruited from several sources.
They included university students and employees, women with self-
defined weight problems having participated in a weight reduction group,
and women without self-defined weight problems.

For each subject, weight and height, measured in indoor clothes, without
shoes, were used to calculate body mass index (BMI = kg/m²). According
to the criteria BMI > 25 used by Garrow (20), 6 subjects (25%) were
classified as overweight and 18 as normal weight.

Data presented are based on series of 3 lunches in each subject, which
took place at the same time of day on the same day of the week in 3
consecutive weeks. Subjects arrived one and a half hours prior to lunch
and were installed alone in a respiration chamber, in order to measure
energy expenditure. They read, listened to music, or watched television,
sitting down quietly one hour before and two hours after lunch. The two
similar respiration chambers are open-circuit chambers with a volume of
14m³ equipped with bed, toilet, tv, telephone, chair and table. The volume of air drawn from the chambers was measured by a dry gas meter. Samples of in-and outgoing air were continuously analysed by a paramagnetic O₂-analysers (Servomex Taylor, England) and an infrared CO₂-analysers (Hartmann and Braun, West Germany). The airflow rate, O₂ and CO₂ concentration of the outflowing air were computed on line through an automatic acquisition system interfaced with an Apple 2E computer (33). VO₂, VCO₂, and RQ were calculated. Energy expenditure was calculated using the equation of Brouwer (10). Metabolic rate (MR) was calculated from values taken during the period when subjects sat down quietly one hour before the start of the meal. Diet-induced thermogenesis (DIT) was calculated from values taken during two and a half hours from the start of the meal, and expressed as a percentage of total energy ingested during the meal.

Skin temperature (upper arm, upper leg, liver proximity) and core temperature ( tympanic membrane) were measured constantly from one hour prior until two hours after the lunch. These sites for measuring core and skin temperature have been chosen in a uniform way according to the literature in order to be able to compare the data (3,4,11-16,32,51). The proximity of the liver has been chosen according to Berry et al (5) and Ma et al (43). Berry et al (5) found evidence for thermogenic processes in hepatic tissue, i.e., the enhancement of specific calorigenic metabolic processes within the liver contributed significantly to the heat production of diet induced thermogenesis and provided evidence as to the nature of those thermogenic processes. Ma et al found that about 70% of postprandial elevated VO₂ of cafeteria-fed rats resided in the liver and thus points to the liver as the major effector of the diet-induced thermogenesis in these rats.

Eating behaviour was observed directly by the experimenter through a door-window of the chamber. Due to differences in light intensity the subject was not disturbed by the experimenter, who was scoring bite moments straightforward into a digital computer. Rate of intake was monitored via an electronic balance built into the table under the plate and connected to the same digital computer, similar to 'the universal eating monitor' developed by Kissileff et al (36). All subjects knew what was happening and were assured that data would be kept in strict confidence.

The meals consisted of four courses of a different homogeneous food, rated as moderately to highly palatable by all participants. The courses consisted of: 1. cucumber salad with vinegar and sugar (75 g; 1.4 kcal/g), 2. pasta, mixed uniformly with a sauce of ground meat, tomato puree, mild red bell peppers, onions, mushroom, oil (700 g; 4.8 kcal/g; 60-62°C), 3. cold cooked rhubarb with sugar (175 g; 2.9 kcal/g) and 4. Bulgarian yogurt (100 g; 3.9 kcal/g). Three courses consisted of small to normal portions each, so that the amount eaten was fixed and as an independent variable under experimental control. The second course consisted of an ample portion, which previously had turned out to be considered as an ad libitum portion.
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Subjects were instructed to eat no solid food in the three hours preceding the test meal. Upon arrival, they were asked to record the time they got up that morning, time of breakfast, and kind and amount of food and beverages consumed at breakfast. Subjects then rated their degree of hunger on a 100 mm line. Subjects signalled when they had finished the complete meal. Hunger ratings were then repeated and, in addition subjects rated the relative palatability of the different courses of the meal, how comfortable they felt during the meal, and how much they had eaten in comparison to their normal lunch. Each subject filled out a Dutch translation (65) of the three-factor eating questionnaire (TFEQ) (63), and of the restrained-eating scale (25). The three dimensions of response were labelled ‘cognitive restraint’ (F₁: ‘sensible eating’, being aware of the quality and energy-density of the food and hence of the quantity of the food to ingest), ‘disinhibition’ (F₂: ‘emotional lability’, with a tendency towards disinhibition influenced by different circumstances), and ‘hunger’ (F₃: ‘perceived hunger’, caused by chronically dieting). The response on the restraint eating scale was labelled ‘H-P’.

6.4 Data analysis

Bite moments were scored directly on the same digital computer to which the electronic balance built into the table was connected. These synchronized scores of bite moments and plate-weight changes yielded individual cumulative food intake curves, separately for every course as well as continuously over four courses. Diet-induced thermogenesis appeared as an increase in metabolic rate from the start of the meal onwards until two and a half hours later, compared to metabolic rate one hour prior to the meal. This increase in metabolic rate was expressed as a percentage of the energy intake during the meal ± SEM. Changes in body temperature appeared as a continuous increased body temperature from base line, omitting fluctuations.

6.5 Results

6.5.1 Subject characteristics

Subject characteristics are summarized in table 1. The characteristics are given for the entire group and are also split according to body mass index and restraint. The criteria for normal weight unrestraint are BMI<25; F¹<9 and H-P<15. The criteria for cognitive restraint and restraint according to Herman-Polivy are based on the median, being F¹=9 for cognitive restraint, H-P=15 for restraint according to Herman & Polivy (see also 25). The criteria for normal weight restraint are BMI<25; F¹>9 or H-P>15. The normal weight restrained eating women never have been
obese. The criteria for obese restraint are BMI > 25, F1 > 9, or H-P > 15. There were no obese unrestrained eating subjects since all obese subjects included in this study had participated in a weight reduction group recently. Because of still being overweight and trying not to put on weight, the obese subjects were restrained eating women, scoring high on the Herman-Poliivy restraint scale.

In the entire group BMI and H-P were positively correlated ($r^2 = 0.73; p < 0.01$).

Based on these criteria, restrained subjects scored, as was expected, higher than unrestrained subjects on F1 and H-P. These differences were both significant (two tailed Mann-Whitney U-test: F1, $p < 0.05$; H-P, $p < 0.05$). Obese restrained subjects scored significantly higher than normal weight restrained subjects on H-P (two tailed Mann-Whitney U-test: $p < 0.05$). The scores on F2 (dissimulation) and F3 (hunger) were low, without significant differences between groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6.1</th>
<th>Subject characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entire group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Mean 22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>Mean 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Mean 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>Mean 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P</td>
<td>Mean 15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean 31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean and standard deviations of body mass index (BMI), cognitive restraint (F1), disinhibition (F2), hunger (F3), restraint according to Herman-Poliivy (H-P), and age, of the entire group, and of the subgroups: normal weight unrestrained, normal weight restrained, and obese restrained.

6.5.2 Meal characteristics

Based on the mean values for each of the 24 subjects, average meal duration for the entire group was $19.3 \pm 3.6$ min, and average total intake was $726 \pm 187$ g, being $305 \pm 45$ g for course 1, 3 and 4, and $421 \pm 142$ g for course 2.
Changes in eating rate over a meal were characterized by comparing the amount eaten in the first half of the meal’s duration with the amount eaten during the second temporal half. The ‘meal index’ ($I_m$) is defined as: $I_m = (\text{consumption in first half} - \text{consumption in second half})/\text{total consumption}$. Meals in which $I_m > 0.1$ (that is, those in which at least 55% of the total intake occurred in the first half) were classified as decelerated: meals in which $I_m < 0.1$ were classified as non-decelerated or linear (65).

As $I_m$ does not reflect intake rate changes within two different temporal halves it is supported by curve-fitting (66).

The meal-index $I_{m2}$ of the second course is used as a meal-characteristic for further analysis, as there appears to be a strong correlation between $I_{m2}$ and $I_{m3}, I_{m4}$ (the meal-indices of the third and fourth courses) respectively ($r=0.96; \ r=0.93 \ p<0.001$) and because $I_{m2}$ is obtained from an ad libitum portion offered.

6.5.3 Relationships between subject- and meal characteristics

The relationship between subject and meal characteristics is inferred from the statistically negative correlations between $I_{m2}$ and H-P ($r=0.64 \ p<0.05$) and $I_{m2}$ and $F_1$ ($r=0.63 \ p<0.05$). Apparently unrestrained eating subjects show decelerated cumulative food intake curves, while restrained eating subjects showed non-decelerated (or linear) cumulative food intake curves.

6.5.4 Changes in body temperature

Skin temperature of upper arm and upper leg and the core temperature showed hardly any change during and after the meal. Some subjects, however, showed an increase in the skintemperature in the proximity of the liver of 0.8 - 1.5°C, remaining high until 60-90 minutes after the meal was consumed (Fig. 1 and 2). A negative correlation was found between a change in skintemperature in the proximity of the liver and $F_1$ ($r=0.75 \ p<0.05$) and a positive correlation between this change in skintemperature in the proximity of the liver and $I_{m2}$ ($r=0.78 \ p<0.05$).
Figure 6.1  Skin temperature in the proximity of the liver. Time zero is the start of the meal. $O = \text{Overweight restraint, } \boxplus = \text{normal weight restraint, } \Delta = \text{normal weight unrestraint.}$

Figure 6.2  Increase in skin temperature in the proximity of the liver. Time zero is the start of the meal. $O = \text{Overweight restraint, } \boxplus = \text{normal weight restraint, } \Delta = \text{normal weight unrestraint.}$
In a stepwise regression of $I_{m2}$ and $F_1$ onto $+T$ (the increase in skin temperature in the proximity of the liver), 67% of the variation in $+T$ is explained; 55% is explained by $I_{m2}$ (table 6.2).

**Table 6.2** Pearson correlation coefficients ($r$) and partial beta values from multiple regression of $I_{m2}$ and $F_1$ onto $+T$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>Beta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{m2}$</td>
<td>.74***</td>
<td>3.29**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>-.74***</td>
<td>-.05**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$r^2 = 67\%$

$** p < 0.01$

$*** p < 0.001$

6.5.5 *Diet-induced thermogenesis, changes in body temperature, subject- and meal characteristics*

Metabolic rate during one hour prior to the meal was on average 5.11±0.42 kJ/min, range 4.25 kJ/min - 5.76 kJ/min, with no significant differences between the subject groups. Increase in metabolic rate from the beginning of the meal onwards until two and half hours later was on average 5.9 ± 3.6% in the entire group. This increase in metabolic rate was on average 8.1 ± 1.3 %, in normal weight unrestrained eaters; 4.8 ± 0.7 %, in normal weight restrained eaters; 4.2 ± 0.3 %, in overweight restrained eaters (Fig 6.3 and 6.4).

The relationship between diet-induced thermogenesis (DIT) and changes in skin temperature in the proximity of the liver shows a positive correlation ($r = 0.79 p < 0.05$). Diet induced thermogenesis also shows a statistically significant positive correlation with $I_{m2}$ ($r = 0.67 p < 0.05$), and weak, statistically non-significant negative correlations with H-P and $F_1$. No statistically significant correlations have been found between diet induced thermogenesis and amount eaten, meal duration, or body mass index.

Normal weight unrestrained eaters displayed decelerated cumulative food intake curves and an increase in the liver temperature data of 0.8 - 1.5°C, from the beginning of the meal onwards, remaining high until 60-90 minutes after the meal was consumed. The diet induced thermogenesis of this group was on average 8.1±1.3%, calculated over two and a half hours from the beginning of the meal onwards.

Overweight and normal weight restrained eaters displayed linear cumulative food intake curves, almost no changes in skin and core temperatures and a diet induced thermogenesis of 4.2±0.3%, and 4.8±0.7%, calculated over two and a half hours from the beginning of the meal onwards.
In summary, deceleration in cumulative food intake curves is positively correlated with a temperature increase in the skin in the proximity of the liver, and with a relatively normal diet-induced thermogenesis.

**Figure 6.3** Metabolic rate from the start of the meal onwards. O = Normal weight restraint, Δ = overweight restraint, □ = normal weight unrestrained.

**Figure 5.4** Diet-induced thermogenesis from the start of the meal onwards. O = Normal weight restraint, Δ = overweight restraint, □ = normal weight unrestrained.
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6.6 Discussion

Apparently a change in skin temperature in the proximity of the liver is an indication for a start of metabolic processes in the body immediately after the start of a meal. In obese people, however, the constant low skin temperature, including absence of increased skin temperature in the proximity of the liver, might result from increased cutaneous thermal insulation, which induces lower heat loss and a more stable internal temperature, (see also 28, 49, 50). Body composition and fat distribution had not been measured. With respect to the neighbourhood of the liver, in the normal weight restrained and unrestrained eating women a thin fat layer was present, whereas in the obese women a thick fat layer was observed. Not only cutaneous thermal insulation will be responsible for the absence of a change in skin temperature in the proximity of the liver in the obese, also a lower or delayed diet-induced thermogenesis contributes to this phenomenon. This is confirmed by the same observation in the normal weight restrained eaters, who lack this increased cutaneous thermal insulation, but still show constant skin temperatures and low or delayed diet-induced thermogenesis. These subjects maintain their body weight by restrained eating behaviour, which involves a body weight below their set-point. Their low diet-induced thermogenesis and constant skin temperatures indicate metabolic efficiency, possibly contributing to an increase in body weight to a set-point level (34). In the obese subjects (who recently had followed a weight reduction programme), the same metabolic efficiency, and the elevated body thermal insulation still might be responsible for a positive energy balance (31). Their low diet-induced thermogenesis may be caused by a thermogenic defect that had not disappeared during weight reduction, as was also found before (6, 29). Since basal metabolic rate decreases with weight loss, the overall diet-induced thermogenesis of postobese individuals can be lower than that of lean controls. Although some studies did not find consistent differences in diet-induced thermogenesis in obese and lean subjects, (69), most studies show a blunted thermogenic response in the obese (6, 29, 33, 49, 54, 60). As we found a blunted thermogenic response in the restrained (post)-obese group and in the effective restrained normal weight group, we agree to consider this as a cause rather than the result of obesity, and as constitutive rather than the result of developmental or environmental factors. The relationship between linearity in cumulative food intake curves and a low diet-induced thermogenesis, in restrained normal weight and restrained overweight subjects underlines lack of short term regulation due to lack of thermogenic feedback: the absence of metabolic heat production cannot provide a signal for food intake regulation. The observation that normal-weight unrestrained eaters displayed decelerated cumulative food intake curves, an increase in skin-temperature in the proximity of the liver, and a relatively normal diet-induced thermogenesis, supports the hypothesis that in normal weight
unrestrained eaters food intake is more under physiological control than in restrained normal weight and restrained obese eaters. The mean diet-induced thermogenesis of 8.1 ±1.3 % in this group may be part of the thermogenic response to the meal because the experiments were discontinued two and a half hours after the start of the meal, when energy expenditure might not have returned to premeal base-line yet. Therefore, our figure of 8.1±1.3% might seem low compared to 14.8±1.1%, measured over 24 hrs (54), but still indicates a normal diet-induced thermogenesis. The relationship between deceleration in cumulative food intake curves and a relative normal diet-induced thermogenesis underlines short term regulation of food intake. This corresponds with other findings where eating behaviour, influenced by sensory and cognitive stimulations from food characteristics like spiced food and palatability, enhances diet induced thermogenesis (24, 38, 39).

In this normal weight unrestrained group mean skin temperature of upper arm and upper leg remained unchanged during and after the meal, which was also found in a non-obese group during and after a glucose load, but this nonobese group showed an internal temperature which tended to increase with 0.18 °C (54). We found very little fluctuations in core temperature and no statistically significant increase. Measuring mean skin temperature usually does not include measuring skin temperature in the proximity of the liver. The increase in skin temperature data in that area, as we found, may be caused by the enhancement of specific calorigenic metabolic processes within the liver (5), and, therefore, a more intensive blood circulation in the skin in the proximity of the liver.

Thus the important physiological feedback signals, i.e. diet-induced thermogenesis, and to a lesser extent body temperature, operate, related to decelerated cumulative food intake curves, as a control of food intake in the sense of the thermostatic theory.
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7.1 Abstract

WESTERTERP-PLANTENGA, M . S ., E . VAN DEN HEUVEL, L. WOUTERS, F. TEN HOOIR. Diet induced thermogenesis and cumulative food intake curves, as a function of familiarity with food and dietary restraint in humans. PHYSIOL. BEHAV. 51 (2), 1992. Cumulative food intake curves and diet-induced thermogenesis were recorded in women during four course solid food lunches, consisting of familiar and unfamiliar food, offered in ad libitum or restricted portions, in order to assess a possible relation between eating behaviour on the one hand and a reflection of internal processes and subject characteristics on the other. The subjects were characterized as normal weight restrained, normal weight unrestrained, and obese restrained. A negative relation was found between degree of restraint and deceleration of the cumulative food intake curve during the ad libitum courses of any menu, and between degree of restraint and diet-induced thermogenesis (p < 0.001). Consequently a positive relation was found between deceleration of the cumulative food intake curves during the ad lib courses of any menu and diet-induced thermogenesis (p < 0.001). Diet-induced thermogenesis during the first time a meal consisting of unfamiliar food was served, was significantly higher in all groups than during the other two times, when meals consisting of more familiar food were served (+ 0.98 %; 0.71 %, p < 0.05).
7.2 Introduction

Thermogenesis generated by food ingestion cannot be explained exclusively by the so-called specific dynamic action of food (15). The initial phase of a meal, when sensory stimulations are experienced, is at the origin of some increase in heat production not necessarily identified with the digestion, absorption, or storage of nutrients (13).

LeBlanc et al. found that an early thermogenic response to a meal coincides with a rapid increase in plasma norepinephrine and insulin. In the situation of gavage a reduced thermogenesis was noted and the initial enhanced secretion of norepinephrine and insulin failed to occur (13). Le Blanc et al. found also that reduction of food palatability caused a significant diminution in meal thermogenesis. In situations where unpalatable food was given and in situations when feeding by gavage occurred it was found that the sensory stimulations caused by eating were not experienced and plasma norepinephrine failed to increase (12, 13). This suggests that palatability increases the activity of the sympathetic nervous system, and consequently enhances thermogenesis. From these and other studies (5) it was concluded that a part of the meal thermogenesis may be generated through sensory stimulation and this action may be generated through the activation of the sympathetic nervous system. On the other hand, Weststrate et al. concluded from experiments where the impact of variation in palatability on diet-induced thermogenesis was studied with liquid test meals being palatable or unpalatable in a random order, that the difference in palatability did not have a significant impact on postprandial metabolism (25). Possible activation of the sympathetic nervous system does not necessarily contribute at a constant level to diet-induced thermogenesis. This activation may vary with different sensory characteristics of food (5) and may be conditioned by familiar menus. Moreover, considering the different subject characteristics, it has been reported that diet induced thermogenesis is relatively low or delayed in obese women (1, 7, 8, 14, 17, 18), and in normal weight restrained eating women (21).

In previous experiments a relation between eating behaviour, subject characteristics and diet induced thermogenesis was shown, when subjects were eating a familiar menu (21). The question may arise, of how different thermogenic responses may be related to familiarity with the sensory characteristics of the presented food.

Therefore, in this study we try to elucidate the question of how thermogenic responses, related to different subject characteristics and to eating behaviour, may be related to familiarity with the presented menu.
7.3 Method

7.3.1 Subjects

Healthy female subjects (n = 28) were recruited via a local newspaper from the general population in the south of the Netherlands as well as from university students and employees of the Limburg State University. They were divided into women who had participated in a weight reduction group, and women without self-defined weight problems. Informed consent was obtained. Weight and height in indoor clothes, without shoes, were used to calculate body mass index (BMI; kg/m²). According to the criterion used by Garrow (4), BMI > 25, nine subjects (32%) were classified as overweight. The remaining 19 subjects were classified as normal weight.

7.3.2 Procedure

Data presented here are based on series of three lunches, which took place at the same time of the day on the same day of the week in consecutive weeks. Subjects arrived one and a half hour prior to lunch and were installed alone in a respiration chamber, in order to measure energy expenditure. They read, listened to music, or watched television, sitting down quietly one hour before and two hours after lunch.

The respiration chamber is an open-circuit chamber, with a volume of 14 m³ equipped with bed, toilet, tv, telephone, chair and table. The volume of air drawn from the chambers is measured by a dry gasmeter. Samples of in- and out going air are continuously analysed by a paramagnetic O₂-analysers (Servomex Taylor, England) and an infrared CO₂-analysers (Hartman and Braun, West Germany). From the air flow rate, and the O₂ and CO₂-concentration of the in- and outflowing air, VO₂, VCO₂, RQ and consequently energy expenditure were calculated on line, using an Apple 2E computer (16). Energy expenditure was calculated using the equation of Brouwer (3). Metabolic rate (MR) was calculated from values taken during the period when subjects sat down quietly one hour before the start of a meal. Diet-induced thermogenesis (DIT) was calculated from values taken during two and a half hours from the start of the meal, and was expressed as a percentage of energy ingested during the meal.

Eating behaviour was observed directly by the experimenter, through a door-window of the chamber, which did not disturb the subject because of a difference in light intensity. The experimenter scored the time at which each bite occurred directly into a digital computer. Intake was monitored via an electronic weighing scale built into the table under the plate and connected to the same computer. This set-up is similar to 'the universal eating monitor' developed by Kissileff et al (9). All subjects were aware
of this procedure and were assured that all data would be kept in strict confidence. In earlier experiments no difference in results was found between the situation in which the subjects were told a cover story or were aware of the actual experiment (23). The meals were isocaloric, with the same protein, carbohydrate and fat proportions in the comparable courses of both meal types and consisted of four courses, each of a different homogeneous food. All subjects rated the familiar meal in advance to be moderately to highly palatable. The four courses consisted respectively of:

familiar meal:
1. vegetable soup (150 g; 5.4 kJ/g; 65-68°C);
2. pasta, mixed uniformly with a sauce of ground meat, tomato puree, mild red bell peppers, onions, mushrooms and oil (700 g; 4.8 kJ/g; 60-62°C);
3. applesauce (175 g; 3.2 kJ/g);
4. ice cream (100 g; 4.0 kJ/g).

unfamiliar meal:
1. miso soup (150 g; 5.6 kJ/g; 65-68°C);
2. japanese rice with tempura, soy sauce, salad (700 g; 4.7 kJ/g; 60-62°C);
3. ‘olvrav’ babyfood (a fruit mixture 175 g; 3.2 kJ/g);
4. bulgarian mint yogurt (100 g; 3.9 kJ/g).

Three courses (1, 3 and 4) were small to normal portions, so that the amount eaten was fixed. The second course consisted of an ample portion, of a size which was previously considered an ad libitum portion, not limiting intake. Some of course 2 was always left over. Subjects were instructed to eat no solid food in the three hours preceding a test meal. Before the start of the meal, they were asked to record the time they had arisen that morning, time of breakfast, and the kind and amount of food and beverages consumed at breakfast. Subjects then rated their degree of hunger on a 100 mm line. The two cold courses were available to the subject from a side table in the room. The first and second course, the warm courses, were served just before the start of the meal through an air lock in the respiration chamber. Subjects were instructed to eat the courses from the table and in a certain order, and to signal the experimenter when they had finished a course. With respect to the second course, the subjects were told to eat as much as they liked and felt comfortable with. Subjects signalled when they had finished the complete meal. Hunger ratings were then repeated and in addition subjects rated how comfortable they had felt during the meal, and how much they had eaten in comparison to their normal lunch, all on 100-mm lines. Subjects were also asked to rate the relative palatability of the different courses of the meal from 6-10 (6=moderately palatable, 7=palatable, 8=very palatable, 9=highly palatable, 10=extremely palatable): first they had to rate course 1, and secondly the courses 2, 3, and 4, in comparison to course 1. Finally they rated familiarity of each course: 0, 1 or 2 (0=unfamiliar, 1=at least one experience, 2=familiar).
Before the series of lunches started, each subject completed a Dutch translation (22) of the three factor eating questionnaire (TFEQ) developed by Stunkard & Messick (19), and of the restrained-eating questionnaire developed by Herman & Polivy (6). The three dimensions of response to the TFEQ were labelled ‘cognitive restraint’ (F₁), ‘disinhibition’ (F₂), and ‘hunger’ (F₃). The score on the Herman & Polivy questionnaire was labelled ‘H-P’.

7.3.3 Data analysis

Body mass index and age were averaged over subgroups split according to body mass index and restraint. From the scores on ‘cognitive restraint’, ‘disinhibition’, ‘hunger’, ‘Herman-Polivy restraint’, the median and range were calculated for the same subgroups.

Body mass index distinguishes between being normal weight or overweight. Following the criterion used by Garrow (4), subjects with a Quetelet index > 25 were classified as overweight and subjects with a Quetelet index ≤ 25 were classified as normal weight. The restraint questionnaires discriminate between being unrestrained or restrained, following Herman-Polivy (6). In this population, as in our former populations of subjects (21, 23, 24) the median of the Herman-Polivy scores was 15. Thus, subjects with a score > 15 were classified as restrained (6). From the other restraint questionnaire, the three factor eating questionnaire (19), we used the discrimination between ‘cognitive restraint’ and unrestraint (24). This concerns the scores on the cognitive restraint factor F₁. In this population, as was the case in our former populations of subjects (21, 23, 24), the median of the scores on F₁ was 9. Thus, subjects with a score on F₁ > 9 were classified as cognitive restrained (24). The subjects who are restrained eaters by being food-concerned are classified by F₁ > 9; the subjects who are restrained eaters by being weight concerned are classified by H-P > 15. Therefore, the discrimination between restraint and unrestraint implies an F₁ score > 9, or an H-P score > 15.

The amount subjects felt they had eaten compared to their normal lunch, was averaged per subject over the three meals and over the entire group. Amount eaten of the second course, total eating time, eating rate per meal and per course, were averaged over the entire group (as subgroups did not show significant differences) for each of the three meals.

For the ratings of hunger and comfort, as well as for the ratings of familiarity and relative palatability, the median and range is given over the entire group.

The scores of bite moments and plate-weight changes synchronized on the computer yielded individual cumulative food intake curves, both separately for every course as well as continuously over the four courses. Intake rate changes reflected in the shape of the cumulative food intake curves (linear, or decelerated) were expressed by the percentage change in
slope, as derived from curve-fitting. We used the quadraic equation, previously described by Kissileff et al. (1982) as a good fit for the decelerated cumulative intake curve. By fitting the curve over 25%, 50%, and 75%, of the eating time of an ad libitum main course, cumulative food intake was linear over about the first 50% of the course duration. For this reason our curve fitting starts with a linear part of 30%, and the rest of the course is fitted to a quadratic equation. We then calculated the slope of the first 30%, the linear slope of the next 70%, and the change in slope as a percentage of the first slope (see also Westerterp et al., 1990). From intake rate changes expressed by this percentage change in slope over the whole meal and per course the median and range were calculated per subject over the three meals. Also the medians and ranges were calculated for the subgroups.

Diet induced thermogenesis appeared as an increase in metabolic rate from the start of the meal onwards until two and a half hours later, compared to metabolic rate one hour prior to the meal. This increase in metabolic rate was expressed as a percentage of the energy intake during the meal; median and range are given.

Diet-induced thermogenesis and intake rate changes were related to subject characteristics for the three different meals.

7.4 Results

7.4.1 Subject characteristics

Subject characteristics are summarized in table 1. The characteristics are split according to body mass index and restraint. The criteria for normal weight unrestraint are BMI ≤ 25; F1 ≤ 9 and H-P ≤ 15. The criteria for cognitive restraint and restraint according to Herman-Polivy are based on the median, being F1 = 9 for cognitive restraint, H-P = 15 for restraint according to Herman-Polivy (6).

The criteria for normal weight restraint are BMI ≤ 25; F1 > 9 or H-P > 15. The criteria for obese restraint are BMI > 25; F1 > 9 or H-P > 15. There were no obese unrestrained eating subjects since all obese subjects included in this study had recently participated in a weight reduction group.
Table 7.1  Body mass index (BMI), cognitive restraint (F1), disinhibition (F2), hunger (F3), Herman-Polivy restraint and age, of the subgroups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal weight restrained n = 12</th>
<th>Normal weight restrained n = 7</th>
<th>Overweight restrained n = 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>19.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>20.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>27.4 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>26.9 ± 7.1</td>
<td>29.6 ± 12.3</td>
<td>36.2 ± 16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>median; range</th>
<th>median; range</th>
<th>median; range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>F3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>2-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-13</td>
<td>4-10</td>
<td>3-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-14</td>
<td>7-13</td>
<td>4-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following relations are shown (Spearman’s rho; p; df=27):
BMI is positively correlated with H-P (r=0.75; p<0.0001), with F1 (r=0.58; p<0.001), and with age (r=0.49; p<0.007).
Also F1 and H-P (r=0.78; p<0.0001), and F1 and age (r=0.44; p<0.016) are positively correlated.
Moreover, there is a positive correlation between H-P and age (r=0.49; p<0.025).

7.4.2 Hunger state

Subjects were always hungry when they arrived for the meal tests (median 92; range 87 - 98 on the 100-mm line), and always satiated after the meal (hunger ratings median 8 ; range 3 - 12). Subjects felt they had eaten slightly more (median +15%; range 6 - 22%) than in their normal lunch but they felt comfortable (median 83; range 78 - 89). No relationship was found between change in hunger ratings from before and after the meal and amount eaten, total eating time, eating rate or eating rate changes over the meal.

7.4.3 Meal parameters

Statistically significant differences in meal parameters between subgroups were not found, therefore the meal parameters are given for the entire group.
Table 7.2  Meal parameters
Amount eaten during the second course, total eating time, eating rate and relative palatability of the three meal types.
Total eating time is nett eating time; meal duration is about 30 min. Palatability scale: 6-10 (from moderately palatable to extremely palatable); Familiarity scale: 0, 1, or 2 (unfamiliar, one experience at least, familiar).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount eaten during the 2nd course (g)</th>
<th>Total eating time (s)</th>
<th>Eating rate (g·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Relative palatability</th>
<th>Familiarity 2nd course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>familiar meal</td>
<td>mean 392 sd 139</td>
<td>mean 929 sd 153</td>
<td>mean 0.8 sd 0.07</td>
<td>median 8 range 7.9</td>
<td>median 2 range 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unfamiliar meal</td>
<td>mean 378 sd 146</td>
<td>mean 985 sd 162</td>
<td>mean 0.7 sd 0.07</td>
<td>median 8 range 7.9</td>
<td>median 0 range 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unfamiliar meal</td>
<td>mean 397 sd 142</td>
<td>mean 988 sd 157</td>
<td>mean 0.8 sd 0.06</td>
<td>median 8 range 7.9</td>
<td>median 1 range 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4.4  Intake rate change

Intake rate change over the complete meal is liable to be affected by different eating rates in the four courses and so is not analysed. The cumulative food intake curves of the separate courses fell into two groups, namely those with a change in slope from first 30% to second 70% of each course of only ±10% indicating a linear function and those with a decrease in slope by more than 10%, indicating a decelerated curve (fig 7.1a and b).

Figure 7.1  (a) Example of fitting of a decelerated curve (change in slope: -89%). (b) Example of fitting of a linear function (change in slope: +2.9%).
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The percentages change in slope of the curves of the second courses were positively correlated with the percentages change in slope of those of the third and fourth courses (Spearman's rho; p (df=26)).
For the familiar meals: r=0.74; p<0.0001 and r=0.72; p<0.0001.
For the unfamiliar meal: r=0.86; p<0.0001 and r=0.72; p<0.0001.
For the unfamiliar meal, 2nd time: r=0.59; p<0.001 and r=0.63; p<0.0004.
Considering the four successive courses of a meal, the cumulative food intake curves reflect succession and relative palatability. The first course was linear due to the small portions and being the first course, similar to eating the first 75 g of an *ad libitum* meal or course. In previous experiments, eating rate during the first temporal half of an *ad libitum* meal was found to be constant (23). Linearity or deceleration in the curves for the third and fourth courses was similar to this aspect of the curve of the second course. In the entire group, a negative relation between change in slope and cognitive restraint (F1) and also Herman-Polivy restraint indicates that restrained subjects have linear cumulative intakes and unrestrained subjects are decelerated eaters. This is illustrated by the distribution in figure 7.2 and was also found before (24). Figure 7.2 shows an example of the frequency distribution of subjects' percentage change in slope of cumulative intake curves, namely that of the second course of the third meal. In table 3 the frequency distribution of subjects' percentage change in slope of the four courses of the three meals is assigned to two categories that characterize the shape of the curves, namely: percentage change in slope <-10% (decelerated), and -10%< percentage change in slope <+1

![Figure 7.2](image)

**Figure 7.2** Frequency distribution of subjects' percentage change in slope (p < i s) of cumulative food intake curves of the second course of the third meal. Restrained eating subjects are shown in the shaded areas.
Table 7.3  Frequency distribution of subjects’ percentage change in slope of the cumulative food intake curves of the four courses of three meal types which differ in familiarity, assigned to two different categories, namely: percentage change in slope of < -10% (decelerated), and -10% < percentage change in slope < +10% (n=28).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meal type</th>
<th>Percentage change in slope &lt; -10%</th>
<th>-10% &lt; Percentage change in slope &lt; +10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>course: 1 2 3 4</td>
<td>course: 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiar meal</td>
<td>0 12 13 13</td>
<td>28 16 15 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar meal</td>
<td>0 12 12 13</td>
<td>28 16 16 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st time</td>
<td>0 13 13 13</td>
<td>28 15 15 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4.5 Metabolic rate and diet induced thermogenesis.

Metabolic rate before, during and after the meal is calculated per 30 minutes, from values obtained per 5 minutes. Metabolic rate is averaged per subject type and it is given per subject type for the different meal situations, and per meal type for the different groups of subjects. Metabolic rate data are expressed in Watts as well as in percentages of metabolic rate values during the first 30 minutes of observation. Figure 7.3 shows two examples of metabolic rate during one hour prior to the meal, and increase in metabolic rate from the beginning of the meal onwards until two and a half hours later. For the different meal types and the different subgroups, these metabolic rates are given in table 4. Diet-induced thermogenesis expressed as percentage of the energy ingested during the meal, is also given in table 7.4.
7.3a: metabolic rate in watts for overweight restrained eaters before, during and after three mealtypes.
7.3b: the same in percentages of the metabolic rate during the first half hour.
7.3 c: metabolic rate in watts during the second confrontation with the unfamiliar meal, in three subject types.
7.3 d: the same in percentages of the metabolic rate during first half hour.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in minutes</th>
<th>Normal weight restrained</th>
<th>Normal weight restrained</th>
<th>Overweight restrained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject type:</td>
<td>Familiar; Unfam. 1; 2</td>
<td>Familiar; Unfam. 1; 2</td>
<td>Familiar; Unfam. 1; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-60</td>
<td>88 95 92</td>
<td>75 80 78</td>
<td>102 103 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30</td>
<td>90 97 95</td>
<td>77 82 80</td>
<td>103 105 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
<td>100 100 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>120 132 125</td>
<td>90 98 95</td>
<td>117 120 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>136 138 137</td>
<td>120 123 121</td>
<td>115 116 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>128 140 139</td>
<td>100 108 105</td>
<td>120 127 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>145 147 146</td>
<td>133 138 134</td>
<td>118 123 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>133 143 138</td>
<td>105 113 110</td>
<td>130 135 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>151 151 151</td>
<td>140 142 141</td>
<td>128 131 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>125 137 132</td>
<td>97 107 103</td>
<td>130 133 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>142 144 143</td>
<td>129 133 131</td>
<td>128 131 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>122 133 127</td>
<td>92 100 97</td>
<td>123 130 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>138 140 139</td>
<td>122 124 123</td>
<td>121 128 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>116 130 123</td>
<td>87 93 92</td>
<td>115 122 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>134 136 135</td>
<td>116 117 115</td>
<td>113 120 116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diet-induced thermogenesis and familiarity with the food.

Diet induced thermogenesis (DIT) of first confrontation with the unfamiliar meal was compared to a) the DIT of the confrontation with the familiar meal; b) the DIT of the second confrontation with the unfamiliar meal.

The diet-induced thermogenesis was significantly higher in the first confrontation with the unfamiliar meal, compared to the confrontation with the familiar meal, and also when compared to the second confrontation with the unfamiliar meal (two tailed Mann-Whitney U-test; p<0.05).
7.4.7 Relation of subject characteristics with intake rate changes and diet induced thermogenesis.

Diet-induced thermogenesis and intake rate changes were related to the subject characteristics 'cognitive restraint', Herman-Polivy restraint, body mass index and age, for the three different meal types. Intake rate change during the ad libitum course expressed as percentage change in slope was found to be negatively correlated with body mass index, ‘cognitive restraint’, and Herman-Polivy restraint in every meal (Spearman’s rho; p; df=26):

for the familiar meal: intake rate change with BMI: r=-0.54; p<0.003; with F1: r=-0.71; p<0.0006; with H-P: r=-0.69; p<0.0001.
for the unfamiliar meal: intake rate change with BMI: r=-0.58; p<0.001; with F1: r=-0.71; p<0.0001; with H-P: r=-0.65; p<0.0002.
for the unfamiliar meal 2nd time: intake rate change with BMI: r=-0.43; p<0.02; r=-0.43; with F1: r=-0.66; p<0.0001; with H-P: r=-0.51; p<0.005.

No significant correlations were found between intake rate change and F2, F3, age, or meal parameters.

Diet induced thermogenesis was found to be negatively correlated with body mass index (r=-0.58; p<0.001), ‘cognitive restraint’ (r=-0.82; p<0.0001), and Herman-Polivy restraint (r=-0.68; p<0.0001), (Spearman’s rho; p; df=26).

Consequently, for each of the different meal types diet induced- thermogenesis was positively correlated with intake rate change during the ad libitum course (df=26):

for the familiar meal: DIT 1 and percentage change in slope during the ad libitum course: r=0.67; p<0.0001.
for the unfamiliar meal: DIT 2 and percentage change in slope during the ad libitum course: r=0.77; p<0.0001.
for the unfamiliar meal 2nd time: DIT 3 and percentage change in slope during the ad libitum course: r=0.74; p<0.0001.

7.5 Discussion

Restrained eating normal weight and obese women showed a relatively low diet-induced thermogenesis and a linear cumulative food intake curve during meals consisting of familiar as well as unfamiliar food. Moreover the normal weight restrained eaters showed a non-significant lower metabolic rate during one hour before the start of the meal, compared to the unrestrained eaters. A slightly increased metabolic rate and an increased diet-induced thermogenesis in all groups appeared during the first confrontation with the meal with unfamiliar food, compared to the situations with the familiar meal and with the second confrontation with the unfamiliar meal.
The increased diet-induced thermogenesis in all groups during the first meal with unfamiliar food may be caused by an increased sympathetic activity of the nervous system due to a higher alertness when confronted with unfamiliar food. This corresponds with other findings where eating behaviour, influenced by sensory and cognitive stimulations from food characteristics like palatability, enhanced diet-induced thermogenesis (12).

The relatively low or blunted diet induced thermogenesis in restrained eating normal weight and overweight women indicate an increase in metabolic efficiency (21). Their low diet induced thermogenesis may be caused by a thermogenic defect that had not disappeared during weight reduction in the still overweight group, as was also found before (1, 7). Since basal metabolic rate decreases with weight loss, the overall diet induced thermogenesis of overweight individuals who had followed a weight reduction programme, and of normal weight restrained eating individuals, who are always under dietary restrictions, can be lower than that of lean controls. Although some studies did not find consistent differences in diet induced thermogenesis in obese and lean subjects (25), most studies show a blunted thermogenic response in the obese (1, 7, 14, 17, 18). The relation between the percentage change in slope of the cumulative food intake curve and the magnitude of diet induced thermogenesis is coincidental. Diet-induced thermogenesis and the shape of the curve are characteristics of the subjects, which coincide in these three subject-types. In overweight unrestrained eaters the coincidence might be different. It could be that they display decelerated cumulative food intake curves because of being unrestrained, but a blunted diet-induced thermogenesis because of being overweight. If they have reached a new 'setpoint', considering body weight, and if their intake is not like that, that weight gain is likely, the body will defend the newly achieved level with metabolic efficiency, and a blunted diet-induced thermogenesis is likely. On the other hand, in overweight subjects, who are still in the dynamic phase, the energy intake obviously exceeds energy expenditure, and the surplus is stored as weight gain. In that case metabolic efficiency is not required, and a blunted diet-induced thermogenesis might be the cause of easy weight gain, but does not necessarily have to appear.

The diet induced thermogenesis of 8.8% in the group of unrestrained eaters may be part of the thermogenic response to the meal because the experiments were discontinued two and a half hours after the start of the meal, when energy expenditure had not returned to premeal base-line yet. Therefore our figure of 8.8% might seem low compared to 14.8% measured over 24 hours (17), but still indicates a normal diet induced thermogenesis. An other imperfection is the comparison of metabolic rate during and after the meal to metabolic rate one hour prior to the meal. This imperfection is kept as small as possible because the subjects had to sit down quietly, before, during and after the meal, with the only activities contributing to metabolic rate being writing before and after the meal, and handling spoon, fork, and knife, during the meal. The coincidence of
deceleration in cumulative food intake curves and diet-induced thermogenesis in normal weight unrestrained eaters, indicating physiological, i.e. thermogenic feedback, which might contribute to a control of food intake in the sense of the thermostatic theory (21), is only a part of the relation between eating behaviour and physiological parameters. This relation needs to be further elucidated.

Laessele (11) argues that restrained eaters might need less energy than unrestrained eaters, to maintain the same weight, because of a relatively low energy expenditure. Such a decreased energy expenditure might be genetically determined and restrained eaters might be people with a biological predisposition to a low energy expenditure. However, evidence from other studies shows that frequent dieting and weight cycling (2) in itself is able to cause a long standing decrease in energy expenditure. Our overweight restrained eaters had a history of multiple dieting periods indeed, indicated by the scores on H-P restraint.

Normal weight restrained eaters maintain their planned, relatively low body weight, constantly and successfully. From their scores on H-P restraint it may be concluded that they diet more frequently than unrestrained eaters do, but less frequently than the overweight restrained eaters. They showed a lower level of metabolic rate before the start of the meal, compared to unrestrained eaters. This lower level of energy expenditure in normal weight restrained eaters was also found by Tuschl et al (20). However, they measured over 24 hrs while we measured over one hour only. Their restrained eaters had similar to our normal weight restrained eaters, a slightly higher actual BMI than the unrestrained group, but reported consumption of less energy. The authors state that this is not due to underreporting by their subjects. This apparent contradiction was explained by results concerning energy expenditure. The restrained group had diminished energy requirements without elevated starvation (as indicated by biochemical variables), and consistent weight stability throughout the measuring period. 24 Hour energy expenditure may be subdivided into basal metabolic rate and thermogenesis, due to physical activity, temperature regulation and diet induced thermogenesis. The particular components of total 24 h energy expenditure that account for the lower energy expenditure in normal weight restrained eaters could be diet induced thermogenesis and resting metabolic rate, both being lower. Although their BMI is mostly slightly higher than in the unrestrained group, normal weight restrained eaters regulate their weight below the level at which they would without dietary restrictions. Eating style could have led to compensatory changes in energy expenditure on the one hand, and may therefore be the reason why metabolic rate has declined below the biologically given level.

On the other hand the blunted thermogenic response we found as before (21) in the restrained overweight group and in the restrained normal weight group could support the idea of a genetically determined decreased energy expenditure as a cause rather than the result of obesity and as constitutive rather than the result of developmental factors. The
relatively low or blunted thermogenic responses in overweight restrained eaters and in normal weight restrained eaters might have two different explanations. In restrained eaters decreased energy expenditure might be partly genetically determined. In overweight restrained eaters this might be phenotypically confirmed by their eating style: eating with alternating dietary restrictions and disinhibition easily leads to maintenance of overweight by the mechanism of weight cycling. In normal weight restrained eaters the phenotypical margin might be larger: their eating style of continuous dietary restriction might contribute to the phenomenon of decreased energy expenditure, but their dietary restriction in connection with decreased energy expenditure results in a BMI in the normal range.
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8.1 Abstract

Estimates of forthcoming intake were compared with amount eaten in normal-weight restrained eating women, normal-weight unrestrained eating women and overweight restrained eating women for three four-course lunches of which the first one mainly consisted of an Italian menu, the second and third one mainly of a Japanese menu. A negative relation was found between the difference in estimated and eaten amount for the first and third lunch and degree of restraint \( r = -0.56 \ p < 0.0001 \). This indicates that those who score high on a restraint scale, have learnt better ways of estimating their intake. No relation was found between the difference in estimated and eaten amount and degree of restraint during the second lunch \( p > 0.8 \). This indicates that the unfamiliarity of a meal makes it more difficult to predict how much of it would be satiating.

8.2 Introduction

The capacity to estimate intake is implicitly tested in Stunkards Three Factor Eating Questionnaire, in questions like: ‘I have a pretty good idea of the number of calories in common foods’, true = F1; or: ‘I often stop eating when I am not full as a conscious means of limiting the amount that I eat’ , true = F1; or ‘I do not eat some foods because they make me fat’, true = F1; or ‘How likely are you to shop for low calorie foods?’, very = F1. Scoring on F1 means scoring on the factor cognitive restraint.
High scores on this type of questions label subjects as cognitively restrained: subjects who might have a high degree of accuracy of the estimate of their forthcoming food intake. Unrestrained eating subjects, who score low on F1 questions, belong to the category of subjects who experience physiological parameters in the regulation of food intake more adequately. For instance their diet-induced thermogenesis shows values in the normal range, whereas these values in overweight and normal weight restrained eating subjects were significantly lower (Westerterp et al., 1990). Theoretically, the accuracy of the estimate will be stabilized with familiar menus but has yet to be developed with an unfamiliar menu.

In order to assess the possible relation between cognitive restraint and estimate accuracy in situations with familiar and unfamiliar meals, we offered different meal types to different types of subjects. Normal- and overweight restrained eating women and normal weight unrestrained eating women were served a familiar meal, and the same unfamiliar meal twice, respectively. We analysed accuracy of estimate as a function of familiarity with the meal type and dietary restraint, by comparing estimated and eaten amount of food and relating the differences to the restraint scores, for the unfamiliar as well as for the familiar menus.

8.3 Method

8.3.1 Subjects

Healthy female subjects (n = 28) were recruited via the local newspaper from the general population in the South of the Netherlands as well as from university students and employees of the Limburg State University. They were divided into women who had participated in a weight reduction group, but were still overweight, and women without self-defined weight problems of normal weight. Informed consent was obtained. Weight and height in indoor clothes, without shoes, were used to calculate body mass index (BMI = kg/m²). According to the criterion used by Garrow (1981), BMI > 25, nine subjects (32%) were classified as overweight. The remaining 19 subjects were classified as normal weight. Before the series of lunches started, each subject completed a Dutch translation (Westerterp et al., 1988) of the three factor eating questionnaire (TFEQ) developed by Stunkard & Messick (1985), and of the restrained-eating questionnaire developed by Herman & Polivy (1980). The three dimensions of response to the TFEQ were labelled 'cognitive restraint' (F1), 'disinhibition' (F2), and 'hunger' (F3). The score on the Herman & Polivy questionnaire was labelled 'H-P'. By the criteria for weight and for restraint the women could be classified in three different groups, namely normal weight unrestrained; normal weight restrained; overweight restrained. No overweight unrestrained
eaters participated, as the overweight subjects all were recruited from a weight reduction group. We were sure that these subjects still would be restrained in their eating behaviour. This was also confirmed by the consistency in the answers on the three factor eating questionnaire, and by the interview conducted by the dietician. This procedure was chosen, since in overweight eaters the distinction between restrained and unrestrained was not reliable, because about 60% of them showed inconsistency in answering the three factor eating questionnaire. As consistency of answering the TFEQ the representativity of the answer on the question whether a subject eats what, when and how much she desires, for scoring as restrained or unrestrained considering the entire questionnaire, is taken as a criterion.

In three subject types with two differences the types can be compared two by two, namely concerning weight, and concerning restraint.

8.3.2 Meal components

The meals consisted of four courses, each of a different homogeneous food, and were isocaloric. The proportion of carbohydrate, protein and fat was the same in the comparable courses of both meals. All subjects had rated the familiar meal to be moderately to highly palatable. The four courses consisted respectively of:

familiar meal:
1) vegetable soup (150 g; 5,4 kJ/g; 65-68°C);
2) pasta, mixed uniformly with a sauce of ground meat, tomato puree, mild red bell peppers, onions, mushrooms and oil (700 g; 4,8 kJ/g; 60-62°C);
3) applesauce (175g; 3,2 kJ/g);
4) ice cream (100 g; 4,0 kJ/g).

unfamiliar meal:
1) miso soup (150g; 5,6 kJ/g; 65-68°C);
2) japanese rice with tempura, soy sauce, salad (700g; 4,7 kJ/g; 60-62°C);
3) 'olvarit' babyfood (a fruit mixture 175 g; 3,2 kJ/g);
4) bulgarian mint yogurt (100g; 3,9 kJ/g).

Three courses (1, 3 and 4) were small to normal portions, of a fixed size, which had to be fully consumed. The second course consisted of an ample portion, of a size which was previously considered an ad libitum portion, not limiting intake. Some of course 2 was always left over.

8.3.3 Procedure

Data presented here are based on series of three lunches, which took place at the same time of day on the same day of the week in consecutive weeks. Subjects arrived one and a half hour prior to lunch and were installed alone in a respiration chamber, for other reasons. They read,
listened to music, or watched television, sitting down quietly one hour before and two hours after lunch. Eating behaviour was observed directly by the experimenter, through a door-window of the chamber, which did not disturb the subject because of a difference in light intensity. The experimenter scored the time at which each bite occurred directly into a digital computer. Intake was monitored via an electronic weighing scale built into the table under the plate and connected to the same computer. This set-up is similar to ‘the universal eating monitor’ developed by Kissileff et al (1980). All subjects were aware of this procedure and were assured that all data would be kept in strict confidence.

Subjects were instructed to eat no solid food in the three hours preceding a test meal. Before the start of the meal, they were asked to record the time they had arisen that morning, time of breakfast, and the kind and amount of food and beverages consumed at breakfast. Subjects then rated their degree of hunger on a 100 mm line.

The first and second course, the warm courses, were served just before the start of the meal through an air lock. The two cold courses were available to the subject from a side table in the room. Before they started the meal, subjects estimated the percentage they would ingest of the second course.

Subjects were instructed to eat the courses from the table and in a certain order, and to signal the experimenter when they had finished a course. With respect to the second course, the subjects were told to eat as much as they liked and felt comfortable with.

Subjects signalled when they had finished the complete meal. Hunger ratings were then repeated and in addition subjects rated how comfortable they had felt during the meal, and how much they had eaten in comparison to their normal lunch, all on 100-mm lines.

Subjects were also asked to rate the relative palatability of the different courses of the meal from 6-10 (6=moderately palatable, 7=palatable, 8=very palatable, 9=highly palatable, 10=extremely palatable): first they rated course 1, and secondly the courses 2, 3, and 4, in comparison to course 1.

Finally they rated familiarity of each course as 0, 1 or 2 (0=unfamiliar, 1=at least one experience with, 2=familiar).

8.3.4 Data analysis

Body mass index and age were averaged over subgroups split according to body mass index and restraint. From the scores on 'cognitive restraint', 'disinhibition', 'hunger', 'Herman-Polivy restraint', the median and range were calculated for the same subgroups.

Body mass index distinguishes between being normal weight or overweight. Following the criterion used by Garrow (1981), subjects with a Quetelet index > 25 were classified as overweight and subjects with a
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Quetelet Index ≤ 25 were classified as normal weight. The restraint questionnaires discriminate between being unrestrained or restrained, following Herman-Polivy (1980). In this population, as in our former populations of subjects (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 1990, 1991) the median of the Herman-Polivy scores was 15. Thus, subjects with a score > 15 were classified as restrained. From the other restraint questionnaire, the three factor eating questionnaire (Stunkard and Messick, 1985), we used the discrimination between ‘cognitively restrained’ and unrestrained (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 1991). This concerns the scores on the cognitive restraint factor F1. In this population, as was the case in our former populations of subjects, the median of the scores on F1 was 9.

Thus, subjects with a score on F1 > 9 were classified as cognitively restrained (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 1991). The subjects who were restrained eaters by being food-concerned were classified by F1 > 9; the subjects who were restrained eaters by being weight concerned were classified by H-P > 15. Therefore, the discrimination between restraint and unrestraint implies an F1 score > 9, or an H-P score > 15. Summarizing, the criteria for normal weight unrestraint are BMI ≤ 25; F1 ≤ 9 and H-P ≤ 15. The criteria for normal weight restraint are BMI ≤ 25; F1 > 9 or H-P > 15. The criteria for obese restraint are BMI > 25; F1 > 9 or H-P > 15. There were no obese unrestrained eating subjects since all obese subjects included in this study had recently participated in a weight reduction group.

The amount subjects felt they had eaten compared to their normal lunch, was averaged per subject over the three meals and over the entire group. Amount eaten of the second course, total eating time, eating rate per meal and per course, were averaged over the entire group (as subgroups did not show significant differences) for each of the three meals.

For the ratings of hunger and comfort, as well as for the ratings of familiarity and relative palatability, the median and range is given over the entire group.

Subjects estimated their forthcoming ingestion of the second, the ad libitum course, as a percentage of the food served on the plate. The percentage estimated of the served food (700 g), consequently gave the amount of grammes the subjects imagined they would eat. This estimate was then compared to the amount the subjects ate subsequently. The difference between estimated and eaten amount of this course was calculated for the familiar meal, the unfamiliar meal and again for the unfamiliar meal when it was offered the second time. These differences were related to degree of restraint.
8.4 Results

8.4.1 Subject characteristics

Subject characteristics are summarized in Table 1. In order to identify the

different subject types, the characteristics are also split according to body

mass index and restraint.

**Table 8.1** Body mass index (BMI), cognitive restraint (F1), disinhibition (F2), hunger (F3),

Herman-Polivy restraint and age, of the subgroups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal weight unrestrained</th>
<th>Normal weight restrained</th>
<th>Overweight restrained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 12</td>
<td>n = 7</td>
<td>n = 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>19.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>20.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>27.4 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>26.9 ± 7.1</td>
<td>29.6 ± 12.3</td>
<td>36.2 ± 16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>median; range</th>
<th>median; range</th>
<th>median; range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-12</td>
<td>13-17</td>
<td>16-22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 8.2** Correlation coefficients (Spearman’s rho; p values; df=27).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H-P restraint</th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>r=0.75; (p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>r=0.58; (p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>r=0.49 (p&lt;0.025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P</td>
<td>r=0.78 (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>r=0.49 (p&lt;0.025)</td>
<td>r=0.44 (p&lt;0.616)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.4.2 Hunger state

Subjects were always hungry when they arrived for the meal tests

(median 92; range 87 - 98 on the 100-mm line), and always satiated after

the meal (hunger ratings median 8 ; range 3 - 12). Subjects felt they had

eaten slightly more (median + 15%; range 6 - 22%) than in their normal

lunch but they felt comfortable (median 83; range 78 - 89). No

relationship was found between change in hunger ratings from before and
after the meal and amount eaten, total eating time, eating rate or eating rate changes over the meal.

8.4.3 Meal parameters

Statistically significant differences in meal parameters between subgroups were not found, therefore the meal parameters are given for the entire group.

Table 8.3

Meal parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount eaten during the 2nd course (g)</th>
<th>Total eating time (s)</th>
<th>Eating rate (g·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Relative palatability 2nd course</th>
<th>Familiarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>sd</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>sd</td>
<td>mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>familiar meal</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unfamiliar meal</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unfamiliar meal</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Total eating time is net eating time; meal duration is about 30 min. Palatability scale: 6-10 (from moderately palatable to extremely palatable); Familiarity scale: 0, 1, or 2 (unfamiliar, one experience at least, familiar).

8.4.4 Estimate and amount eaten

During the first confrontation with the unfamiliar meal, there was only underestimate, where as during the first and third meals there was overestimate, as well as underestimate. See for the amounts eaten and the amounts over- and underestimated, as well as the percentages of the ingested food over- and underestimated table 8.4. Since the degree of estimation accuracy appears irrespective of over- or underestimate, the differences between estimates and intake are given as absolute values as well. The differences between the estimate and the amount eaten were correlated to degree of restraint (table 8.5). There were no significant correlations between these differences and body mass index, age or F3 scores.
Table 8.4  Amounts estimated by the subjects; amounts eaten by the subjects, during the three different meals. Over- and underestimates are given separately, as well as the absolute values of the differences between estimated and ingested amounts. Also the percentage over- and underestimated is given, as a percentage of the amount ingested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject types</th>
<th>Amount (g) eaten (n)</th>
<th>Amount (g) overestimated average; range (n)</th>
<th>Amount (g) underestimated average; range (n)</th>
<th>Absolute value (g) of difference between estimate and ingestion average; range (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Familiar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire group</td>
<td>392 (28)</td>
<td>63 8-120 (9)</td>
<td>67 8-128 (19)</td>
<td>65 8-128 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>397 (9)</td>
<td>107 64-120 (3)</td>
<td>111 64-128 (6)</td>
<td>109 64-128 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained normal</td>
<td>389 (7)</td>
<td>26 16-40 (2)</td>
<td>30 16-40 (5)</td>
<td>28 16-40 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight restrained</td>
<td>390 (12)</td>
<td>42 8-100 (4)</td>
<td>46 8-100 (9)</td>
<td>44 8-100 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire group</td>
<td>378 (28)</td>
<td></td>
<td>87 45-129 (28)</td>
<td>87 45-129 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>383 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>92 52-120 (9)</td>
<td>92 52-120 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained normal</td>
<td>375 (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>84 45-108 (7)</td>
<td>84 45-108 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight restrained</td>
<td>376 (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td>93 48-129 (12)</td>
<td>93 48-125 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar 2nd time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire group</td>
<td>397 (28)</td>
<td>64 8-110 (7)</td>
<td>68 8-110 (21)</td>
<td>66 8-110 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>402 (9)</td>
<td>96 64-110 (2)</td>
<td>100 64-110 (7)</td>
<td>98 64-110 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained normal</td>
<td>394 (7)</td>
<td>36 20-40 (2)</td>
<td>40 20-40 (5)</td>
<td>38 20-40 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight restrained</td>
<td>395 (12)</td>
<td>43 8-88 (3)</td>
<td>47 8-88 (9)</td>
<td>45 8-88 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject types</th>
<th>Amount (g) eaten (n)</th>
<th>Percentage overestimated</th>
<th>Percentage underestimated</th>
<th>Absolute value (%) of difference between estimate and ingestion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>median; range (n)</td>
<td>median; range (n)</td>
<td>median; range (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire group</td>
<td>392 (28)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17 2-32 (19) 17 2-32 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>397 (9)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16-30 (3)</td>
<td>24 16-32 (6) 24 16-32 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unrestrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>389 (7)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4-10 (2)</td>
<td>7 4-10 (2) 7 4-10 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overweight</td>
<td>390 (12)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2-25 (4)</td>
<td>13 2-25 (8) 13 2-25 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire group</td>
<td>378 (28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 12-34 (28) 23 12-34 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>383 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 13-34 (9) 23 13-34 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unrestrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>375 (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 12-27 (7) 20 12-27 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overweight</td>
<td>376 (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 12-34 (12) 23 12-34 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire group</td>
<td>397 (28)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2-30 (7)</td>
<td>17 2-30 (21) 17 2-30 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>402 (9)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14-30 (2)</td>
<td>22 14-30 (7) 22 14-30 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unrestrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight</td>
<td>394 (7)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5-16 (2)</td>
<td>10 5-16 (5) 10 5-16 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overweight</td>
<td>395 (12)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3-22 (3)</td>
<td>12 3-22 (9) 12 3-22 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 8.5  Correlation coefficients (Spearman’s rho; p values; df=27) between degree of restraint and difference between estimated and actual amount eaten during the three meal types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meal Type</th>
<th>H-P and difference estimate/eaten</th>
<th>F1 and difference estimate/eaten</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Familiar</td>
<td>( r = -0.82 ) (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>( r = -0.91 ) (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar 1st</td>
<td>( r = 0.12 ) (p&gt;0.58)</td>
<td>( r = 0.11 ) (p&gt;0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfamiliar 2nd</td>
<td>( r = -0.73 ) (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>( r = -0.83 ) (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A negative relation was found between degree of restraint and the absolute value of the difference in the estimated and the amount eaten during the first and third meal (\( r=-0.91; \) p<0.0001; and \( r=-0.83; \) p <0.0001). No relation was found between degree of restraint and the difference in the estimated and the amount eaten during the second, the unfamiliar meal\( (p>0.58) \). See also fig. 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3. Differences between estimates and intakes were not related to the amount eaten.

Figure 8.1  The relation between restraint score (F1) and the absolute value of the difference between the amount estimated to ingest and the amount ingested, expressed as a percentage of the amount ingested.
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Figure 8.2  The relation between restraint score (F1) and the absolute value of the difference between the amount estimated to ingest and the amount ingested, expressed as a percentage of the amount ingested.

Figure 8.3  The relation between restraint score (F1) and the absolute value of the difference between the amount estimated to ingest and the amount ingested, expressed as a percentage of the amount ingested.
8.5 Discussion

Normal weight and overweight restrained eating women estimated their forthcoming ingestion better than unrestrained eating women did. While eating an unfamiliar meal this cognitive aspect of eating behaviour still had to be established. This was achieved during the second confrontation with the unfamiliar meal.

Although the resulting meal sizes showed no differences between restrained and unrestrained eaters, and no differences between familiar and unfamiliar meals, there was a remarkable difference in estimate accuracy, both between subject types and between meal types. The remarkable difference in estimate accuracy between subject types in the situation of familiar meals is a difference in accuracy indeed, irrespective of over- or underestimate. The differences in estimate accuracy between meal types can be taken as a response to unfamiliarity, as there was only underestimate during the first confrontation with the Japanese meal. As soon as this meal type had become familiar, the difference in estimate accuracy had become a difference in accuracy between subject types again. The present results confirm the presumption derived from the answers on the three factor eating questionnaire.

The accurate estimates in restrained eaters were not only consistent with the type of answers on questions in Stunkards TF EQ, but also with the scores on the Herman-Polivy restraint scale. Obviously being food concerned, as well as being weight concerned, are reasons to improve a certain accuracy to estimate one’s own food intake well.

Whether the restrained eating subjects need their accuracy to estimate forthcoming meal size remains a question, because the amount they ate did not differ in accordance with their estimates, comparing the results of the second and third meal. However, they only needed one experience to adjust estimate (and not to adjust amount eaten).

Age relates to obesity, and is positively correlated with body mass index, Herman-Polivy restraint, and FI, cognitive restraint, as was found before (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 1991). Age did not relate to estimate accuracy.

In unrestrained eating women estimate accuracy did not seem necessary, probably owing to their physiological feedback mechanisms (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., 1990), as their resulting meal sizes did not differ in different situations.

Familiarity enhances accurate estimate in restrained eaters. Unfamiliarity causes underestimate, but a certain degree of palatability and hunger, playing their roles in food intake regulation (Porikos et al., 1982), might provide nevertheless a ‘normal’ meal size. During the second confrontation with the unfamiliar meal the food is treated according to the past experience of the relation between its sensory qualities and after-effects of ingestion, which was similarly found by Booth, et al., (1976).
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9.1 Abstract

Most dieters do not succeed in maintaining uninterrupted restriction of intake. Periods of restraint are often punctuated by episodes of disinhibited eating. Identifying dieters or successful dieting means measuring restraint, i.e. weight-concern, food-concern, and susceptibility to disinhibition. The Herman-Polivy restraint-scale measures weight-concern and chronically dieting, so is designed to identify dieters. The three factor eating questionnaire (TFEQ, 13) isolates successful caloric restriction and disinhibition.

In order to assess the consequences of dietary restraint during a four month weight reduction programme in overweight restrained eating women, repeated scores on both restrained eating questionnaires were compared to those of normal weight and overweight conspecifics without a weight reduction programme. This experimental period of four months included four-course solid food lunches once in two or three weeks with preload-experiments for the different subject types.

In the overweight restrained eaters the Herman-Polivy scores were significantly higher than in the normal weight groups (p<0.001) and remained unchanged throughout the four month period of controlled or non-controlled dieting. From the TFEQ scores of the controlled dieting group cognitive restraint increased where as disinhibition decreased significantly during this period; the medians of both scores being higher than in the normal weight unrestrained eaters. These overweight restrained eaters had a constant energy intake at lunchtime (1908±252 kJ),
notwithstanding preloads of 660 or 420 kJ. They compensated for the preloads in the evenings, and their daily energy intake never exceeded 4200 kJ during this dieting period. The normal weight unrestrained eaters compensated partly for the preload energy intake, during the main course of the lunch, namely by 53% (median); range 48%-58%. Counterregulation after preloads in restrained eaters did not occur, probably due to the within boundary preload sizes.

After the controlled dieting period energy intake at lunch time in the overweight restrained group increased immediately by 26%; range 18%-34%, and total energy intake by 22%; 15%-29%, while scores on cognitive restraint and disinhibition returned to their original values.

In conclusion the Herman-Polivy restraint scale identifies dieters irrespective of being on a controlled or non-controlled diet. The TFEQ measures successful dieting effectively by the cognitive restraint factor. Moreover, a decrease in the disinhibition scores under circumstances with controlled dieting is shown and an immediate relapse of the scores on the disinhibition as well as the cognitive restraint factor thereafter.

9.2 Introduction

Most dieters do not succeed in maintaining uninterrupted restriction of intake. The average dieter exhibits periods of restraint punctuated by episodes of disinhibited overeating, and, does not achieve significant weight loss in relation to physiologically defended levels (8). A "restrained eater" is no doubt restrained, but such a restraint is likely to be accompanied by these lapses, or such a restraint may even be a major contributor to such lapses.

Differences between the methods to measure restraint are as much conceptual as psychometric. The Herman-Polivy restraint scale measures the extent to which people display concern with their weight and chronically diet to control it (1). The task of dieting may be very difficult and accumulation of dieting failures may lead to eating disorders (11). In that case, dieters are not typically "successful". Thus in the Herman-Polivy restraint construct disinhibition is measured as well.

The three factor eating questionnaire (TFEQ, 13), does not appear to measure the same behavioural tendencies as does the Herman-Polivy restraint scale, but discriminates between successful caloric restriction and disinhibition. In this questionnaire pure restraint without disinhibition is measured as cognitive restraint (F1), and disinhibition is measured separately (F2).

Resuming, the Herman-Polivy restraint scale is designed to identify dieters, whereas the TFEQ is designed to measure successful dieting. According to this statement, we have found relatively higher scores on the Herman-Polivy restraint scale in overweight restrained eaters compared to the scores of normal weight restrained eaters (19, 21).
The overweight restrained eaters appear to be less successful dieters. They show lapses of restraint, as well as restraint per se (2, 3). Normal weight restrained eaters appear to be very successful in keeping their weight at a certain level for a relatively long time, by maintaining their low energy intake, in relation to a relatively low energy expenditure (14). They do not necessarily have to score high on the Herman-Polivy restraint scale, as they appear to be mainly food-concerned and less weight concerned (19).

In the TFEQ the coupling of cognitive restraint with disinhibition is not always clear. We found this to be age dependent, as well as weight dependent. In the younger age group F1 and F2 were positively correlated, which means that restrained eating behaviour was often susceptible to disinhibition, but among the older age group F1 and F2 were negatively correlated, indicating that these subjects were either restrained, or susceptible to disinhibition (18). In the normal weight group F1, and F2 were positively correlated, while in the overweight group the correlation between these scores was negative (20). Which scale is appropriate for measuring restraint depends on the questions research focuses on. The appropriate subscales of the TFEQ might provide adequate measurements of restriction, when the research focus involves the effects of simply restricting food intake. If the research concerns eating behaviour that characterize dieters irrespective of their extent of weight loss, then the Herman-Polivy restraint scale might be the adequate instrument (1). In former research, where we used both scales, there always has been a positive correlation between the scores on both scales (19).

Notwithstanding these small distinctions both the Herman-Polivy restraint and the cognitive restraint scores (TFEQ), showed relations with actual eating behaviour. Restraint scores were negatively correlated with intake rate change during the ad lib course of a meal, and with the magnitude of diet induced thermogenesis (21). Moreover, restraint scores were positively correlated with the degree of accuracy of subjects’ estimates of their forthcoming ingestion (22).

However, a relation between degree of restraint and amount eaten has been found incidentally (19), but not in general.

The question whether and how restraint relates to the amount of food eaten, is partly answered by the questionnaire: restrained eaters do not always eat what, when, and how much they want. How much they eat is not necessarily related to how much they restrain themselves. The relationship between restraint and eating behaviour has been given in terms of a relationship between restraint and eating rate change during a single course meal irrespective of its actual length and amount eaten (20).

In some situations the amount eaten is an exponent of restraint or vice versa. If the amount to eat is very limited (for instance during a strict diet), the subject may show exceptional restraint as a the consequence. Reversely, in case of disinhibition of restraint a raise in the amount eaten may be the consequence.
The first question we try to elucidate is: how does a strict diet affect ‘restraint’ in the course of some months?

A phenomenon like counterregulation - which is the regulation of the amount of food intake depending on the size of a preload in restrained and unrestrained eaters - elucidates eating behaviour during the meal with regard to the amount eaten. Counterregulation has been shown in restrained eaters who were given a preload. Eating this preload was perceived as overeating and led to a successive deliberate increased intake. Consequently, disinhibition led to increased food intake, which was positively correlated with preload size. (2).

Herman and Polivy (4) proposed that biological pressures maintain consumption within a certain range between the boundaries of hunger and satiety, which they call the range of ‘biological indifference’. Within this range, psychological factors would have their greatest impact on the regulation of food intake. The boundary model postulates that the zone of biological indifference is wider in dieters than in non-dieters. (Fig 9.1). Dieters are said to have lower hunger boundaries and higher satiety boundaries than non-dieters. Also, dieters have a third, self-imposed ‘diet’ boundary, located between hunger and satiety boundaries, marking their maximum desired consumption. Herman and Polivy (4) suggest that once restrained eaters transgress this diet boundary, they eat until they reach the satiety boundary. This has been called the disinhibition which explains the phenomenon of counterregulation in restrained eaters: when the self control of restrained eaters is disrupted, overeating ensues. The perception of having overeaten disinhibits restrained eaters (8, 12). Consequently, if a preload is given within the diet boundary, counterregulation does not have to occur.

Therefore the second question is: if restraint appears strongly during a period of strict dieting, how likely is disinhibition as a consequence of a modest preload, and how does this affect the amount eaten?

In connection with assessing the consequences of dietary restraint during a four month weight reduction programme in overweight restrained eating women, low and high caloric preload effects on food intake were measured. During this period of four months body mass index and fat mass were measured regularly, and repeated scores on both restraint questionnaires of this group were compared to those of normal weight and overweight conspecifics without the weight reduction programme. In order to obtain meal parameters as a part of eating behaviour, the subjects were invited for lunch to the laboratory about once a fortnight. In two of these seven lunches the preload experiments were conducted.
non-dieters:

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Aversive} & \text{Zone of biological indifference} & \text{Aversive} \\
\hline \hline
\text{Hunger} & \text{Satiety} & \text{Capacity} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

dieters:

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Aversive} & \text{Zone of biological indifference} & \text{Aversive} \\
\hline \hline
\text{Hunger} & \text{Diet} & \text{Satiety} \text{ Capacity} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Figure 9.1 The boundary model of Herman & Polivy.

9.3 Method

9.3.1 Subjects

Healthy female subjects were recruited via a local newspaper from the general population in the south of the Netherlands as well as from university students and employees of the Limburg State University. From 124 respondents, 80 subjects, age 20-45 yrs, were selected in four categories:

- 20 normal weight unrestrained eaters (BMI < 25; scores on the TFEQ (13) F1 < 9, F2 < 9; scores on the Herman-Polivy restraint questionnaire < 15; 9 and 15 being the median in formerly studied groups (20, 21).
- 20 normal weight restrained eaters (BMI < 25; F1 > 9 or H-P > 15);
- 40 overweight restrained eaters (BMI > 25; F1 > 9 or H-P > 15). From the overweight restrained group

20 subjects had followed a weight reduction program recently, and 20 subjects were selected to follow a weight reduction program, run by our dietician. These subjects were instructed how to keep a 1000 kcal (4200 kJ) diet of their own compositions, and with sufficient variation. They were also instructed how to keep a dietary record. The four selected groups were as homogeneous as possible, considering age and weight.

Informed consent was obtained. The experimental period lasted four months per subject. During this period BMI was measured and calculated, the % body fat was calculated from skin-fold measurements, and the questionnaires (TFEQ, 13; Herman-Polivy-restraint questionnaire 6) were...
completed. These measurements and scores were taken in week 0, 2, 16, 18.
During the experimental period subjects came to lunch in our laboratory restaurant seven times, with two or three week intervals.

9.3.2 Procedure

The overweight restrained eaters with the controlled diet were regularly seen by the dietician. They were instructed how to keep a dietary record, including how to calculate the amount of energy ingested, divided in protein, fat, and carbohydrate. The subjects with the controlled diet were advised to consume 55 g protein, 35 g fat, and 100 g carbohydrate per day. The normal weight unrestrained and - restrained subjects (n=40) and the overweight control group (n=20) did not keep a food intake diary.

Data presented here are based on series of seven lunches, which took place at the same time of the day, on the same day of the week, with a two or three week interval. Subjects arrived one and a half hour prior to lunch and they were installed alone in a breakfast room. They read, listened to music, or watched television, sitting down quietly one hour before and two hours after lunch.

Intake was monitored via an electronic weighing scale built into the table under the plate and connected to a digital computer. This set-up is similar to "the universal eating monitor" developed by Kissileff et al. (9). All subjects were aware of this procedure and were assured that all data would be kept in strict confidence. In earlier experiments, no difference in results was found between the situation in which the subjects were not informed about the situation and in which the subjects were aware of the actual experiment (20).

The meals consisted of four courses, which were all theoretically rated as attractive, (palatability ratings 7-8 on a scale of 6-10, where 6=palatable). The courses consisted of the following components:

1. vegetable soup (150 g; 5.4 kJ/g);
2. rice (200 g; 6.3 kJ/g) and sauce (400 g; 3.6 kJ/g);
3. cucumber (50 g; 0.8 kJ/g);
4. mango (100 g; 1.8 kJ/g).

Three courses (1, 3 and 4) were small to normal portions, so that the amount eaten was fixed. The second course consisted of an ample portion, of a size which was previously considered an ad libitum portion, not limiting intake. Some of course 2 was always left over.

Subjects were instructed to eat no solid food in the three hours preceding a test meal. Before the start of the meal, they were asked to record the time they had arisen that morning, time of breakfast, and the kind and amount of food and beverages consumed at breakfast. Subjects then rated their degree of hunger on a 100 mm line, which they repeated after every course. The three small courses were available to the subject from a side table in the room. The second course, the main course, was served just
before the start of the meal through an airlock. Subjects were instructed to eat the courses in a certain order, and to signal the experimenter when they had finished a course. With respect to the second course, the subjects were told to eat as much as they liked and felt comfortable with. Subjects signalled when they had finished the complete meal. In addition to the last hunger ratings subjects rated how comfortable they felt during the meal, and how much they had eaten in comparison to their normal lunch, all on 100 mm lines.

One and a half hour prior to the third and fifth lunch subjects were given a fruit yogurt preload, randomly a high caloric one (150 g; 4.4 kJ/g, resulting in 660 kJ) and a low caloric one (150 g; 2.8 kJ/g, resulting in 420 kJ).

9.3.3 Data analysis

Body mass index, the % body fat, age, were averaged over the subjects split according to the four groups described before. This is given for week 0, 8, 16 and 18 in table 9.1. The median and ranges are given similarly for the scores on cognitive restraint, disinhibition, hunger, and H-P restraint. The amount eaten, the eating time and eating rate were averaged over the subgroups split according to these four groups. These meal parameters are given for the seven lunches separately, in table 9.4. Preloads preceeding the third and the fifth lunch, with different caloric contents were offered randomly. As there was no difference in results considering the order in which a high or low caloric preload was offered, the high caloric preload results are presented as belonging to the third meal in week 6-8, and the low caloric preload results are presented as belonging to the fifth meal in week 12-14.

The amount subjects felt they had eaten compared to their normal lunch were averaged per subject over the seven meals. The median and ranges of the hunger ratings before the meals and after the courses are given per subject and split according to the four groups as described, for the five normal meals and for the two meals preceded by a preload, seperately (see table 9.5). Also the median and range of ratings of comfort are given.

The food intake diaries were analysed with a computer program, to obtain the amount of energy ingested, divided in carbohydrate, fat, and protein. Also vitamins and minerals ingested were calculated.

9.4 Results

9.4.1 Subject characteristics

In all groups the following subject characteristics remained constant over the four month dieting period: Body fat %, F3 score, H-P score, age.
Only in the overweight group with the controlled diet BMI and scores on F1 and F2 changed. In the other groups these subject characteristics remained unchanged too (table 9.1). Table 9.2 shows the changes in BMI and F1 and F2 scores from week 0 to week 16 in the overweight restrained group with the controlled diet (Mann Whitney U test).

In week 18 the scores on F1 an F2 in this group returned to almost the original values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9.1</th>
<th>Normal weight (BMI&lt;25)</th>
<th>Overweight (BMI&gt;25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>restrained n=20</td>
<td>restrained n=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>21.3 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>21.5 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>21.7 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>20.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>21.1 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.8 ± 8.4</td>
<td>33.8 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat %</td>
<td>median; range</td>
<td>median; range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>22.6 20.3-24.9</td>
<td>23.4 21.0-25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22.3 20.2-24.4</td>
<td>23.6 21.0-26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.1 20.9-25.3</td>
<td>23.8 21.3-26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>23.1 20.9-25.3</td>
<td>23.2 20.5-25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P</td>
<td>median; range</td>
<td>median; range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 7-12</td>
<td>16 15-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9 7-12</td>
<td>15 13-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9 7-12</td>
<td>15 13-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>9 7-12</td>
<td>15 13-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>median; range</td>
<td>median; range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>10 9-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>11 9-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>10 9-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>10 9-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>median; range</td>
<td>median; range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>5 3-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>6 3-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>6 4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>6 4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>median; range</td>
<td>median; range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>3 1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>3 1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>3 1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4 2-6</td>
<td>3 1-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Criteria for restraint: F1 > 9 or H-P > 15.
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Table 9.2 Significant changes (Mann Whitney U test) in BMI, F1 and F2, from week 0 to week 16, in the overweight restrained group with the controlled diet (n=20; df=19).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>week 0</th>
<th>week 16</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>31.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median; range</td>
<td>median; range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>8 5-11</td>
<td>15 12-18</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>10 7-13</td>
<td>6 3-9</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the entire group the correlations between the subject characteristics BMI, age, H-P, F1, and F2, are given in table 3. As the significance of these correlations might be artificial, due to the selected groups of n=60 restrained and n=20 unrestrained eating subjects, the correlations are also calculated for the following subgroups:

- n=40 normal weight unrestrained with normal weight restrained eaters, thus eliminating the effect of overweight
- n=40 normal weight unrestrained with overweight restrained eaters, thus eliminating the effect of the controlled diet
- n=40 normal weight unrestrained with overweight restrained with the controlled diet.

Except for the correlation between BMI and age, all correlations are present in these subgroups too (df=39; p<0.0001 and r=0.6 till 0.8; Spearmans 'r').

Table 9.3 Correlation coefficients (Spearmans 'r'; p; df=79) of the subject characteristics BMI, age, H-P, F1, and F2 for the entire group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>positive or negative</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI - Age</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI - H-P</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI - F1</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI - F2</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P - F1</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P - F2</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 - F2</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4.2 Food intake diaries

On average the overweight restrained group with controlled diet consumed 45 ±4 g protein, 40± 5 g fat, 120 ± 22 g carbohydrate per day, during the first four weeks. After calculation and instruction (the subjects
with the controlled diet were advised to consume 55 g protein, 35 g fat, and 100 g carbohydrate), they consumed 55±5 g protein, 40 ± 4 g fat, and 110±20 g carbohydrate per day, during week 5-17. During week 18 they consumed 55±4 g protein, 45±5 g fat, and 176±24 g carbohydrate per day. On the days with laboratory lunches, subjects were always told by the dietician how much they had consumed, in kJ, and in grammes carbohydrate, protein and fat, including the preloads, if applicable.

9.4.3 Meal parameters.

The meal parameters amount eaten, eating time, and eating rate, for the four subgroups split according to weight, restraint, and with or without a controlled diet, are given in table 4. Eating rate was on average constant and the same in all groups throughout the experimental period. Amount eaten was significantly smaller in the overweight restrained group with the controlled diet than in any of the other groups, and consequently eating time was shorter (chi-square=1694.07 ; DF=19 ; p<0.0001). Amount eaten did not change after preloads in the normal weight restrained eaters and in the overweight restrained eaters with the controlled diet. The latter compensated, as was concluded from their food intake diaries (on preload days their food intake did not seem to exceed 4200 kJ too, according to the dietary record). The normal weight unrestrained eaters compensated during the main course, with 53%; range 48%-58%, of the energy content of the preload, irrespective of being a high or low caloric preload. The overweight restrained eaters without controlled diet showed a slight increase in amount eaten after the preloads.
Table 9.4 Amount eaten, Eating time, Eating rate, given for the four subgroups split according to weight and restraint** and according to being on a controlled diet or not, for seven times during the experimental period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal weight (BMI&lt;25)</th>
<th>Overweight (BMI&gt;25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>unrestrained n=20</td>
<td>restrained n=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount eaten (g)</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>692 ± 139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>698 ± 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-8*</td>
<td>624 ± 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>686 ± 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-14*</td>
<td>637 ± 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>685 ± 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>685 ± 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating time (s)</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>979 ± 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>985 ± 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-8*</td>
<td>892 ± 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>988 ± 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-14*</td>
<td>901 ± 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>987 ± 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>989 ± 148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eating rate (g/s) 0-18 .7 .07 .7 .08 .7 .07 .7 .08

* week 6-8 high caloric preload; week 12-14 low caloric preload. The high and low caloric preloads were offered randomly, but the data are presented within these weeks. **criteria for restraint: F1>9, or H.2>15.

9.4.4 Hunger state and comfort

Subjects were always hungry when they arrived for the meal tests, and always satiated when they left. Subjects felt they had eaten slightly more (12%: range 6%-18%) than in their normal lunch but they felt comfortable (84; range 79-89). Hunger declined gradually after each course, mostly after course 2, the ad libitum main course (see table 9.5). Apart from in the normal weight unrestrained eaters the decline in hunger was the same irrespective of the preloads. In the normal weight unrestrained eaters hunger declined significantly more (p<0.05) before and after course 1 in the preload situation, but the decline was the same after course 2, 3 and 4, compared to the non-preload situation. Also the hunger ratings in the normal weight unrestrained eating group were higher at the start of the normal lunch meals, and lower at the end of
these meals, compared to the hunger ratings of the restrained eaters (p<0.05).
No relationship was found between change in hunger ratings from before to after the meal and amount eaten, total eating time or eating rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>before the meal</th>
<th>after course 1</th>
<th>after course 2</th>
<th>after course 3</th>
<th>after course 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>normal weight restraint 20%</td>
<td>99; 98-100</td>
<td>85; 82-88</td>
<td>30; 24-36</td>
<td>15; 11-19</td>
<td>1; 0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>without preload</td>
<td>90; 88-92</td>
<td>78; 76-80</td>
<td>29; 26-32</td>
<td>12; 10-14</td>
<td>1; 0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with preload</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal weight restraint 20%</td>
<td>93; 91-95</td>
<td>86; 82-90</td>
<td>45; 38-52</td>
<td>21; 16-26</td>
<td>8; 6-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>without preload</td>
<td>92; 89-95</td>
<td>87; 84-90</td>
<td>43; 38-48</td>
<td>23; 16-30</td>
<td>9; 6-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with preload</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overweight restraint 20% controlled diet</td>
<td>93; 91-95</td>
<td>76; 73-79</td>
<td>36; 32-40</td>
<td>17; 14-20</td>
<td>7; 5-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>without preload</td>
<td>92; 89-95</td>
<td>78; 74-82</td>
<td>39; 34-44</td>
<td>18; 16-20</td>
<td>7; 4-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with preload</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overweight restraint 20%</td>
<td>91; 88-94</td>
<td>81; 79-83</td>
<td>40; 36-44</td>
<td>19; 16-23</td>
<td>6; 3-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>without preload</td>
<td>96; 93-99</td>
<td>85; 82-88</td>
<td>42; 40-44</td>
<td>18; 14-22</td>
<td>6; 4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with preload</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4.5 Relation between subject characteristics and meal parameters

Body mass index, H-P and F1 scores, were negatively correlated with amount eaten in the entire group (Spearman's r; p<0.05; see table 6). These correlations were not significant in all subgroups.

On closer inspection of the data, the correlation between BMI and amount eaten was only significant in the subgroup normal weight unrestrained combined with overweight restrained on a controlled diet, and was obviously dependent on the artificial combination of being overweight and on a controlled diet, contrarily to being normal weight and unrestrained.

The correlations between restraint and amount eaten appeared only in the subgroups where strictly restraint played a role, namely:

- normal weight unrestrained combined with normal weight restrained (eliminating overweight), and
- normal weight unrestrained combined with overweight restrained on a controlled diet.
Disinhibition of restraint might have occurred in the overweight restrained group without the controlled diet, after having consumed a preload. Compared to the normal weight restrained eaters they scored slightly higher on F2, disinhibition, and showed a slight increase in food intake during the lunch after consumption of the preloads. The energy content of this increase in food intake was not related to the energy content of the preload.

No disinhibition after preloads was shown by the overweight restrained eaters on the controlled diet, during the dieting period. Their scores on F2 were decreased during this period. However, in week 18, when the dieting period finished and the subjects did not have to return to the laboratory anymore, their F2 scores were increased to the original level, and in connection with this, the amount eaten during the last lunch was significantly increased, with 26%; range 18%-34% (chi-square=129.2; DF=19; p<0.0001). Then total intake also exceeded 4200 kJ, with 22%; range 15%-29%.

\[\text{Table 9.6}\]

Correlations between body mass index, H-P score, F1 score, and amount eaten during the lunch meal (Spearman's r).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>positive or negative</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI - Amount eaten</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-P - Amount eaten</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 - Amount eaten</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.5 Discussion

Over a period of 18 weeks body mass index, body fat %, scores on Herman -Polivy restraint, scores on cognitive restraint, disinhibition and hunger in normal weight restrained and unrestrained eaters, and in overweight restrained eaters remained constant. Also the amount eaten, the eating time and the eating rate during basic lunch meals remained constant in these groups.

This was also the case for most subject characteristics and meal parameters in the overweight restrained group who followed a controlled diet during this period.

The non-constant parameters in this group were body mass index, scores on cognitive restraint and on disinhibition of the TFEQ. BMI decreased significantly, (as was intended), F1 scores increased, and F2 scores decreased with return to the original values in week 18.

The Herman -Polivy restraint scale is designed to identify dieters and operates successfully in this respect. Irrespective of success among short or long term dieters, it continues to characterize these individuals as
dieters in a consistent way. The scores on the Herman-Polivy scale, in these (selected) groups are sufficiently related to the scores on the factors of the TFEQ of Stunkard and Messick, so that identifying dieters by H-P scores also means identifying disinhibition. The scores on the TFEQ are rather constant over a four month period in three subgroups too, which indicates a general reliability of this questionnaire. The sensitivity of the TFEQ to temporal, strict, food concerned dieting was shown by one subgroup, whose members were meant to be dieting. In that case it appeared that there was a discrimination between F1 and F2, but also a correlation. Generally spoken, this correlation was positive, (table 3), but in the dieting group the change in F1 and F2 scores was counterbalanced. 

The relatively low F1 scores in this group at the start of the experimental period, compared to their conspecifics, might indicate a relatively low cognitive personal responsibility because of the forthcoming professionally counselled dieting period. The following increase in F1 scores might reflect the subjects' growing responsibility, which the type of diet demands. This attitude fades at the end of the dieting period, when the F1 scores return to their original values.

A similar, but reversed pattern, is shown by the F2 scores: an initial decrease, probably due to the comfort of the professional counselling while dieting, and a final increase to almost their original values, indicating that at the moment when a dieting period finishes, a return to normal daily intake easily overshoots. At this moment being cognitive restraint is given up due to disinhibition. This is effectively shown by the dieting group, when the subjects ate significantly more of the *ad libitum* lunch course in week 18, and also exceeded the 4200 kJ they used to consume per day during the dieting period, according to the dietary records. Although this disinhibition effect was not anticipated by us, -for instance by mentioning that the dieting period soon would be over, -it occurred in all dieters.

If the energy intake measurement in the overweight restraint group with the controlled diet was reliable, and energy expenditure is estimated as 11, 12 or 13 MJ (10), weight loss in this group should have been greater. As these calculations imply too many estimates, they are not very reliable as well, but one explanation is that it seems that food intake might have been somewhat higher than reported. However, the idea was to have an overweight group with severe and effective restraint, which was achieved by proposing a more ambitious aim than was acquired. In the entire group, the relations between subject characteristics and amount eaten during lunch were negative, indicating that the higher body mass index and restraint, the lower energy intake during lunch.

Concerning the subgroups, the negative relation between BMI and amount eaten during lunch was only significant in the subgroup: normal weight unrestrained with overweight restrained on a controlled diet, indicating that this relation was achieved by the artificial combination of overweight and a strictly limited food intake.
This relation had been found before, incidentally (19). The negative relation between the subject characteristics F1, H-P, and amount eaten during lunch were only significant in the subgroups: normal weight unrestrained with normal weight restrained; and normal weight unrestrained with overweight restraint on a controlled diet. This indicates that these relations were achieved by means of severe dietary restraint. They support the phenomenon of a slightly higher BMI, related to lower food intake, and a lower energy expenditure in the normal weight restrained eaters (14, 15), compared to normal weight unrestrained eaters. Counterregulation due to disinhibition after the within boundary pre-loads was not shown. The amount eaten during lunch after the preloads changed in two groups. Firstly, normal weight unrestrained eaters compensated partly during the lunch for the ingested pre-load energy. Secondly, overweight restrained eaters consumed slightly more after the preloads. That the phenomenon of counterregulation did not occur significantly, was probably due to the within boundary preloads. A weak effect, shown by the overweight restrained eaters and by the normal weight unrestrained eaters was unrelated to the energy content itself. These effects have been found before as restraint x preload interactions by Hilscher & Herman (8), and Ruderman & Christensen (12). They reported that unrestrained eaters who had a preload ate significantly less than those who did not, whereas restrained eaters who had a preload ate slightly (but not significantly) more than those who did not. They concluded that unrestrained eaters appeared to regulate their eating and restrained eaters appeared to counterregulate.

In our situation, however, the normal weight restrained eaters showed no effect during lunch, and the overweight restrained eaters on the controlled diet showed compensation in their food intake diaries: within the same day food intake never seemed to exceed 4200 kJ. This phenomenon might relate to the boundary model of Herman & Polivy (4). Although the overweight restrained eaters on the controlled diet got a preload, they were sure that they would be informed about its energy content in order to be able to calculate their daily intake. Perception of having overeaten did not occur, and self-control was not disrupted, which was also shown by the relative decreased F2 (dissimulation) score at that time.

The normal weight restrained eaters, who did not show counterregulation either, probably considered this preload as a modest intake of extra energy, which easily could be kept under control, as they are used to handle similar situations.

In the restrained eaters there was no significant difference in decrease of hunger state during the meal between the preload and non preload situation, but in the unrestrained eaters before and after course 1 hunger was decreased significantly more during the preload situation. This might already be related to the reduced subsequent food intake. The hunger ratings before and after the courses of the lunch showed a difference between restrained and unrestrained eaters. Unrestrained eaters showed a higher degree of hunger before the meal, in the situations
without the preloads, and a lower degree of hunger after the meal, compared to the restrained conspecifics. Differences between these groups in hunger ratings have not been found that clear before (19, 21), probably due to the homogeneity of the groups in this actual experiment. The difference found here, is consistent with one of the characteristics of the boundary model, namely the wider zone of biological indifference in dieters, than in non-dieters. As dieters are said to have lower hunger boundaries and higher satiety boundaries than non-dieters (12), this means that it takes greater food deprivation for them to report hunger, and greater consumption to report satiety.

Summarizing, the present study has shown the consistency of the scores on the Herman-Polivy restraint scale, irrespective of degree of restraint and of a dieting period, and the sensitivity of the TFEQ by Stunkard and Messick during a dieting period. The relation between both questionnaires was as such, that it allows the conclusion that H-P restraint implies disinhibition of restraint as well.

Considering restraint x preload interactions, the boundary model by Herman & Polivy was supported by data from this study, in so far that unrestrained eaters regulated food intake to a certain extent, and overweight restrained eaters without a controlled diet seemed to counterregulate. The energy contents of the preloads were as such that the normal weight restrained eaters did not perceive to have overeaten, and the overweight restrained eaters with the controlled diet knew that they were able not to exceed their daily intake. In these groups self-control was not disrupted and disinhibition and subsequently counterregulation did not occur.

For the overweight restrained eating women this non-disrupted self-control was also reflected in the increased cognitive restraint scores and the decreased disinhibition scores during the dieting period.
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Eating behaviour is partly but representatively described by cumulative food intake curves.

Cumulative food intake curves derived from ad libitum consumed meal courses reflect a subject's eating style independent of the amount of food consumed.

Most normal weight unrestrained eating women display decelerated cumulative food intake, and a normal diet-induced thermogenesis, during familiar as well as unfamiliar meals.

Most overweight and normal weight restrained eating women display linear cumulative food intake, and a blunted diet induced thermogenesis, during familiar as well as unfamiliar meals.

Diet induced thermogenesis in all groups was relatively increased during the first confrontation with the meal with unfamiliar food.

Normal weight and overweight restrained eating women estimate their forthcoming ingestion of a familiar meal better than unrestrained eating women do.
With an unfamiliar meal this cognitive aspect of eating behaviour is achieved during the second confrontation with the unfamiliar meal.

The subject characteristics relevant to food intake and meal parameters remain constant in normal weight restrained and unrestrained eating women and in overweight restrained eating women without a counselled diet, over a period of four months.

Scores on the Herman-Polivy restraint questionnaire are consistent in the long term (4 months), and under changing conditions.

The cognitive restraint factor F1 and the disinhibition factor F2 of the three factor eating questionnaire are sensitive to a strict counselled diet.

Unrestrained eaters regulate to a certain extent, in relation to the consumption of a modest preload.
Overweight restrained eaters without a counselled diet counterregulate to a certain extent, in relation to the consumption of a modest preload.

Overweight restrained eaters with a counselled diet and normal weight restrained eaters neither regulate nor counterregulate in relation to the consumption of a modest preload.
Eating behaviour is primarily determined by subject characteristics, and secondarily by the food consumed. In this study, eating behaviour in humans is pretended to be reported on, but in effect it is eating behaviour in women, that has been described and analysed.

Eating behaviour is described partly, but representatively by the cumulative food intake curve, which reflects the food-subject interaction with respect to the amount of food eaten, time spent eating, eating rate, and change in eating rate (chapter 4). The behaviour during a meal that the cumulative food intake curve only implies indirectly is that of pausing, i.e., the use of a napkin, a sip of water, or attention for the surroundings.

We found an increase in pause duration and drinking in connection with a slowing down of the eating rate, during the fourth temporal quarter of the meal (chapter 4), as did Bellisle et al., (1984).

In social situations, the meal-related behaviour becomes at least as important as the eating behaviour itself, and might even influence the eating behaviour. Stunkard and Kaplan (1977) conclude from a study concerning ‘eating in public places’ that the circumstances under which food is eaten are of greater importance in determining how it is eaten than other parameters, and postulate that human eating behaviour has an enormous plasticity. In this respect, the affective dimension of a sensation might be an important motivation for physiological behaviour (Plattmann, 1960) and vice versa it is modified by changes in the internal state, for instance resulting from ingestion (Fantino, 1984; Cahana et al., 1968). Social environment (Edelman et al., 1986) and for instance noise are capable of modifying human behaviour with regard to food choice and amount eaten (Levy-Leboyer et al., 1984), and of producing eating disorders (Metz et al., 1978).

Despite this plasticity in human eating behaviour, one can say in general that eating behaviour is conditioned, and possibly some of the influences mentioned are included. Through these learning processes food intake can be tuned to the metabolic needs of the body (Booth, 1985).

Cumulative food intake curves represent in most of the subjects the result of those learning processes. The typical subject-dependent pattern, in successive courses (chapter 5) and under some manipulated circumstances (chapter 4) appeared consistently. Some subjects, however displayed a change in their cumulative food intake pattern under
manipulated circumstances. Their food intake was apparently more susceptible to their internal feedback, either positive, or negative, or to the environmental influence, simulated by an interrupted meal. Whether feedback represents satiation cannot be distinguished, as there was no difference in the reported satiation after the meal, between the different subjects.

The main relation that has been found throughout this study is the relation between eating behaviour being restrained or unrestrained and the shape of the cumulative food intake curve. One of the food characteristics, palatability, showed a positive correlation with the eating rate (chapter 5), but the eating rate change in the curve was not altered. The cumulative food intake curve can be used as a reliable instrument to describe eating behaviour as a whole, independent of changes in the parameters amount eaten, eating time or eating rate.

A relation between the shape of the cumulative food intake curve and subject characteristics would imply a relation between the parameters that characterize each phenomenon. Such a relation has been found coincidentally between the percentage change in slope of the curve and the diet-induced thermogenesis of the subject. Firstly, diet-induced thermogenesis is a subject characteristic that relates to being normal weight or overweight, and also to being an unrestrained or a restrained eater. In this combination normal weight unrestrained eating subjects displayed a normal diet-induced thermogenesis, and normal weight and overweight restrained eating subjects displayed a blunted diet-induced thermogenesis (chapter 6; 7). The magnitude of the diet-induced thermogenesis was found to be coincidentally positively correlating with the change in slope of the cumulative food intake curve, because both diet-induced thermogenesis and percentage change in slope were correlated negatively with the subjects' restraint scores. In overweight unrestrained eaters the coincidence could be different. They might display decelerated cumulative food intake curves, being unrestrained, but a blunted diet-induced thermogenesis, being overweight. A new phenomenon that was found is represented by normal weight but restrained eaters, displaying a blunted diet-induced thermogenesis (chapter 6; 7). Moreover it was found that an increase in body temperature in the proximity of the liver failed to occur in normal and overweight restrained eaters (chapter 6).

An increase in body temperature in the proximity of the liver, which fails to occur in overweight subjects was explained before as being due to increased thermal insulation by subcutaneous abdominal body fat (Brundin et al., 1989). Considering the relatively normal percentage body fat of normal weight restrained eaters (chapter 9), increased thermal insulation cannot be the explanation for normal weight restrained eaters. Here, we must focus on the fact that they have a rather high level of metabolic efficiency. In this respect, Tuschl (1990), has reported a lower food intake, a slightly higher body weight, and a lower energy expenditure in normal weight restrained eaters, compared to their
unrestrained conspecifics. Plasma levels of triiodothyronine and glucose, which could be taken as indices of long term adaptation to starvation, were not decreased in a restraint group (Laessle et al., 1989). However, the significantly higher levels of triglycerides in restrained eaters, may reflect short term starvation. In relation to their metabolic efficiency they might have elevated levels of free fatty acids. As a consequence of altering eating patterns, psychological and physiological deprivation can be hypothesized in restrained eaters, making them prone to the occurrence of overeating (Laessle, et al., 1989). With respect to this last statement, overweight restrained eaters appear to be more vulnerable than normal weight restrained eaters are. Normal weight restrained eaters who completed the questionnaires on food intake regularly, during a period of four months in our situation, did not show any increase in disinhibition, nor when they returned after longer periods, to participate in a new experiment. In general, normal weight restrained eaters showed the successful type of restrained eating behaviour that overweight restrained eaters aimed for (chapter 9). Overweight restrained eaters appear to be more susceptible to disinhibition than their normal weight conspecifics, and could only cope with a successful restrained eating behaviour that involves a high score on the cognitive component, e.g. when counselled by a dietician.

All in all, restrained eating behaviour may give two possibilities, namely iterative disinhibition promoting susceptibility to eating disorders, and on the other hand successful cognitive restraint that relates well to the consumption of the products of the light-food market of today. The latter effect will enable the cognitive restrained eater to consume a greater variety of 'light' 'fake', but imitating 'normal' food items, without psychological deprivation, or without having the idea to risk disrupted self-control, and consequently disinhibition. Also, the effect of compensation, which is always a pitfall with respect to light-food consumption (chapter 1), will be less severe in restrained eaters, as they behave more cognitively orientated, than physiologically orientated (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., in prep (a)).

The first possibility, susceptibility to eating disorders, may occur in e.g. the subjects who also score significantly higher on the factor disinhibition than our normal weight restrained subjects used to do, and who report several binges a week (with respect to scores on the DSM III-R questionnaire, American Psychiatric Association, they do not necessarily have to be classified as bulimics); Cognitively restrained eating behaviour is only susceptible to eating disorders if the disinhibition (F2) score is sufficiently high (Westerterp-Plantenga et al., in prep (b)).

The last important phenomenon we have to focus our attention on is the problem of being overweight. Obesity still deserves attention, albeit for medical reasons. Several morbid complications, like hypertension, myocardial infarction, diabetes mellitus and atherosclerosis are related to obesity. In addition to these complications, obesity enhances the risk of other morbidities, like
galbladder diseases (increased cholesterol production and secretion),
abnormal hepatic function (significantly enlarged hepatic triglyceride
content), pulmonary problems (hypoventilation), endocrine disorders
(reduction in total serum sex hormones, and irreglar menstrual cycles in
women), increased risk of gout and high hemoglobin levels (Bray,
1985). The part that is due to the genetic background, namely heritability
of body weight, can only serve as a consolation to the overweight
subjects.

For overweight subjects the two possibilities to loose weight, i.e.
restrained eating behaviour and/or increased physical activity, are not
eyasy to fulfill. In the phenotypical margin the opposite effect is often
achieved. It appears to be more difficult to be an overweight restrained
eater, than being a normal weight restrained eater. Being food-concerned,
as normal weight restrained eaters are, guarantees more success than
being weight concerned, as overweight restrained eaters are (chapter 5, 9).

Overweight subjects who participated in our first study (chapter 4) were
difficult to classify as restrained or unrestrained, because about 60% of
them showed inconsistency in answering the three factor eating
questionnaire. Actually, some of them judged themselves being restraint,
but during the interview conducted by the dietician, they scored mainly as
unrestrained eaters. It appeared that their standards, concerning quality
and variety of the menu, were much higher than those of our normal
weight subjects, and that they were more knowledgeable about food-
related items. Therefore, in the three factor eating questionnaire the
answers should be checked in the sense whether they relate to quality,
quantity, weight concern, health, budget, or certain food-standards.
Subjects who answer certain questions with respect to whether and when
they eat the food they desire negatively, obviously feel psychologically
food-deprived.

The other possibility for overweight subjects to loose weight is increase in
energy expenditure through increased physical activity, and consequently
increased lipolysis. Here the opposite effect of what is desired, is also
often achieved. The opposite effect is probably related to increased
insulin release, which disinhibits lipolysis, even although in this situation
insulin insensitivity occurs. The opposite effect could also be due to
increased metabolic efficiency, possibly after weight cycling, which
appears as decreased basal metabolic rate, and as a blunted diet-induced
thermogenesis. The latter can be a cause or a consequence of obesity. As
we found this blunted diet-induced thermogenesis in normal weight
restrained eaters too, we agree to regard this phenomenon as one of the
causes of obesity (chapter 6; 7).

With respect to lipolysis we have no results to attribute to the discussion,
but some remarks could be made. In obesity an excessive accumulation of
body fat is seen. In human adipocytes the β adrenoceptor is the most
important stimulatory receptor involved in lipolysis. Human adipocyte
lipolysis appeared to be mediated both by typical β1, β2, and atypical β3
adrenoceptors In animal obesity it was shown that the β adrenoceptor
mediated lipolysis was dysfunctional, resulting in a decreased lipolytic activity (Hollenga. 1990). This dysfunctional lipolysis was also found, during increased physical activity in obese rats (Balkan. 1991). Westerterp et al. (1990) found a strong negative relation between activity level and fat loss of the subjects in subjects with vertical banded gastroplasty, during observations of 6, 12, 27 and 54 weeks after surgery. These results also showed the contrary from what would be expected. They postulated that a lower energy-demand results first in a lower tendency to increase energy intake after surgery and second in a lower gluconeogenesis, thereby saving fat-free mass.

Normal weight, unrestrained eating women apparently have effective food controls. Low scores on questionnaires and little estimate accuracy show that they are not preoccupied with food. Their marked differences in eating rate from the start compared to the end of the meal, in thermogenesis and body temperature in the proximity of the liver from before to during and after the meal, represent physiological responses. By physiological responses unrestrained eaters can be effectively identified, whereas by responses on the questionnaires restrained eaters can be effectively identified.
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Eating behaviour in humans has physiological as well as psychological aspects. These aspects are clearly shown by the boundary model of Herman & Polivy, with hunger and satiety being the physiological boundaries, and the zone of biological indifference in between, being the psychological area.

The hypotheses which were tested are: physiological aspects like diet induced thermogenesis contribute to the control of eating behaviour in normal weight unrestrained eating women. Psychological aspects, like cognition and restraint control eating behaviour in normal weight and overweight women, with subjective and possibly objective weight problems. These hypotheses were tested by assessing the relation between relevant subject characteristics and eating behaviour. Subjects were characterized as normal weight or overweight by BMI, and as unrestrained or restrained, by two different psychometric questionnaires.

Eating behaviour was characterized by the following meal parameters: meal size, meal duration, eating rate, eating rate change.

Eating rate change turned out to be consistent and representative of a subject's eating style, irrespective of the amount eaten, the eating time, the eating rate. The main relation between subject characteristics and eating behaviour was the relation between dietary restraint and the shape of the cumulative food intake curve. In normal weight women most unrestrained eaters showed decelerated cumulative food intake and most restrained eaters showed linear cumulative food intake over time, during ad libitum meal courses.

The shape of the cumulative food intake curve during the ad libitum meal course was consistent during the then following courses, irrespective of the amount eaten or the eating rate.

In most cases, under different food conditions, like offering unfamiliar food, neither the shape of the curve, nor the relation with the subject characteristics changed. The positive relation between eating rate and palatability of the food did not affect the shape of the curve nor did it change the relation between shape and subject characteristics. During manipulations i.e. prolonged meals (by intervals) or energy enriched meals, the shape of the curve remained constant in 70% of the subjects who participated in that part of the study; 30% showed variability in the shape of the cumulative food intake curve during manipulations. The shift from non-decelerated to decelerated is explained as the onset of negative
feedback at last; the shift from decelerated to non decelerated as postponed negative feedback by a possible stronger positive feedback. With regard to physiological aspects of eating behaviour, a relationship between dietary restraint, the shape of the cumulative food intake curve, and the magnitude of diet induced thermogenesis was found in the sense that:

normal weight unrestrained eaters displayed a relatively normal diet induced thermogenesis, measured during 2 1/2 hours from the start of a meal onwards, and a decelerated cumulative food intake curve. Normal weight and overweight restrained eaters displayed a blunted diet induced thermogenesis and a linear cumulative food intake. This applied to familiar as well as unfamiliar meals. With respect to unfamiliar meals diet -induced thermogenesis was slightly but significantly elevated during the first confrontation. This may be caused by increased sympathetic nervous system activity, due to a higher alertness when confronted with unfamiliar food. With regard to psychological aspects of eating behaviour, a relation between cognitive dietary restraint, and the accuracy of the subjects' estimate of their forthcoming ingestion was found in the sense that:

- normal weight and overweight restrained eating women estimated their forthcoming ingestion of a familiar meal better than unrestrained eaters did. With an unfamiliar meal, this cognitive aspect of eating behaviour still had to be established. This was accomplished during the second confrontation with the unfamiliar meal. The consistency of the psychometric scores on the questionnaires was assessed, over a longer period of time, and under changing conditions. The changing conditions implied a four month period of a strict counselled diet. Responses to preload experiments were included in this study. The Herman Polivy restraint questionnaire appeared to characterize dieters in a consistent way, irrespective of changing conditions. Under changing conditions, the three factor eating questionnaire by Stunkard and Messick showed sensitivity with respect to cognitive restraint and susceptibility to disinhibition.

The boundary model by Herman & Polivy was supported by the restraint x preload interaction, in so far that with a modest preload, consciously consumed, non-disrupted self control was maintained in counselled restrained eaters, which was reflected in increased cognitive restraint scores and decreased disinhibition scores during the experimental period. In effect, the hypothesis that eating behaviour in normal weight unrestrained eating subjects is controlled by physiological aspects is confirmed as far as diet induced thermogenesis is concerned. As long as an independant physiological measurement of satiation for humans is not available, one cannot state that deceleration in cumulative food intake reflects satiation, and that linearity in these curves reflects lack of satiation. All the subjects were always satiated after a meal, irrespective of the shape of the curve.
The hypothesis that eating behaviour in restrained eating subjects is to a certain extent controlled by psychological aspects is supported by their estimate accuracy in familiar meals, and their quick achievement of this accuracy after one confrontation with an unfamiliar meal.
Samenvatting


De hypothese die getoetst werden zijn:
- Fysiologische aspecten zoals dieet-geïnduceerde thermogenese beïnvloeden overwegend het eetgedrag tijdens een maaltijd van normaal gewichtige, ongeremd etende vrouwen.
- Psychologische aspecten zoals cognitie en geremdeheid ten aanzien van eten beïnvloeden overwegend het eetgedrag tijdens een maaltijd van vrouwen die aan subjectieve gewichtsproblemen en eventueel ook aan objectieve gewichtsproblemen lijden.

Deze hypothese werden getoetst door de relatie tussen relevante persoonskenmerken en het eigenlijke eetgedrag tijdens een maaltijd te bepalen. De persoonskenmerken waren: lichaamsge wicht, te weten normaal of overgewichtig, bepaald met behulp van de quetelet index en onderscheiden met behulp van het criterium van Garrow en mate van geremdeheid ten aanzien van voedselopname, bepaald met behulp van psychometrische vragenlijsten.

Het eetgedrag tijdens een maaltijd werd beschreven met behulp van de volgende parameters: maaltijdgrootte, maaltijdduur, eet snelheid en verandering in eet snelheid.

De verandering in eet snelheid bleek consistent en persoons specifiek te zijn; dat wil zeggen dat deze herhaaldelijk hetzelfde beeld gaf, ongeacht de hoeveelheid gegeten, de duur van de maaltijd en de eet snelheid.

De belangrijkste relatie tussen persoonskenmerken en eetgedrag bleek die tussen de mate van geremdeheid en de vorm van de cumulatieve voedselopname curve tijdens een ad libitum gang van een maaltijd. Deze relatie manifesteerde zich in die zin dat de meeste als ongeremd etend scorende vrouwen een vertragende cumulatieve voedselopname curve vertoonden, terwijl de meeste als geremd etend scorende vrouwen ongeacht een normaal lichaamsge wicht of overgewicht lineaire cumulatieve voedselopname vertoonden.

De vorm van de cumulatieve opname curve tijdens de tweede gang van een ad libitum maaltijd bleek tevens te gelijk voor de derde en vierde gang, ongeacht de hoeveelheid gegeten, eetduur of eet snelheid.
Bij variatie in het voedselaanbod, zoals variatie in bekendheid met het voedsel, veranderde noch de vorm van de curve, noch de relatie met persoonskenmerken.

Tijdens manipulaties met het voedselaanbod te weten bij intervalmaaltijden en bij energieverrijkte maaltijden bleef de vorm van de curve stabilis bij 70% van de proefpersonen en verstoorde deze variatie bij 30% van de proefpersonen. Deze variatie betrof zowel een verandering van lineair naar vertragend (bij energieverrijkte maaltijden) als andersom (bij intervalmaaltijden en bij energieverrijkte maaltijden). Een verandering van lineair naar vertragend werd verklaard als het optreden van negatieve terugkoppeling in de vorm van het begin van enige verzadiging; een verandering van vertragend naar lineair werd verklaard als het voortduren van een positieve terugkoppeling.

Wat betreft de fysiologische aspecten van het eetgedrag werd de volgende relatie gevonden tussen de mate van geremdeheid van voedselopname en de vorm van de cumulatieve opname curve, en tussen de mate van geremdeheid van voedselopname en de waarde van de dieetgeïnduceerde thermogenese:

-normaalgewichtige ongeremde eters vertoonden een dieetgeïnduceerde thermogenese in een relatief normale range, gedurende tweeëenhalfuur na het begin van de maaltijd, en een vertragerd cumulatieve voedsel opname curve.

-normaalgewichtige en overgewichtige geremde eters vertoonden een verlaagde dieetgeïnduceerde thermogenese en een lineaire cumulatieve voedselopname.

Dit gold voor situaties met bekende maaltijden. Bij onbekende maaltijden was de dieetgeïnduceerde thermogenese enigszins, maar significant verhoogd gedurende de eerste confrontatie met het onbekende voedsel. Toename in activiteit van het sympathische zenuwstelsel zou hiervan de oorzaak kunnen zijn vanwege een verhoogde staat van alertheid bij de confrontatie met onbekend voedsel.

Wat betreft de psychologische aspecten van eetgedrag werd de volgende relatie gevonden tussen de mate van cognitief geremde voedselopname, de vorm van de curve, en de nauwkeurigheid waarmee de proefpersonen hun komende voedselopname inschaten:

-normaalgewichtige en overgewichtige geremde eters schatten hun toekomstige voedselopname nauwkeuriger in dan ongeremde eters. Dit cognitieve aspect van het eetgedrag was nog niet gerealiseerd bij de eerste confrontatie met onbekend voedsel. Eén ervaring bleek voldoende voor een redelijk nauwkeurige inschatting van de toekomstige voedselopname. Consistentie in psychometrische scores op de vragenlijsten betreffende mate van geremdeheid van eetgedrag werd getoetst over een langere periode en onder veranderende omstandigheden. Deze omstandigheden betreffen het houden van een streng dieet onder begeleiding van een diëtist, gedurende een periode van vier maanden. Preload-experimenten werden tevens tijdens deze periode gedaan.
De Herman-Pollivy restraint questionnaire bleek, ongeacht veranderende omstandigheden, consequent vrouwen die zich beperken in hun voedselopname te onderscheiden van vrouwen die dat niet doen.

Onder deze veranderende omstandigheden bleek de Three Factor Eating Questionnaire van Stunkard and Messick een gevoeligheid te vertonen voor wat betreft de factoren F1 (cognitief geremd) en F2 (disinhibitie).

Het 'boundary' model van Herman and Polivy wordt in zoverre onderstreept dat de restraint x preload interactie, dat in het geval van een bescheiden preload, bewust gegeten door geremde eters die onder begeleiding van een diëtist stonden, de zelfdiscipline gehandhaafd bleef. Dit werd tevens weerspiegeld in de verhoogde scores op de factor cognitief geremd eten en de verlaagde scores op de factor disinhibitie.

De hypothese dat eetgedrag bij normaalgewichtige ongeremde eters voornamelijk wordt bepaald door fysiologische aspecten werd ondersteund voor zover het dieetgeïndiceerde thermogenese betrof. Zolang geen onafhankelijke fysiologische maat voor verzadiging bij mensen is gevonden, kan niet worden beweerd dat vertraging in de cumulatieve voedselopname curve verzadiging weerspiegelt, welke zou ontbreken bij een lineaire voedsel opname. De proefpersonen waren altijd verzadigd na de maaltijd, ongeacht de vorm van de curve.

De hypothese dat eetgedrag bij geremde eters voornamelijk psychologisch bepaald is werd ondersteund door de nauwkeurigheid waarmee zij hun toekomstige voedselopname inschatten en door het verschijnsel dat zij maar één ervaring nodig hadden met onbekend voedsel, teneinde deze nauwkeurigheid opnieuw te bereiken.
Appendix

The Herman-Polivy restraint questionnaire.

1. How often are you dieting? Never; rarely; sometimes; often; always. (scored 0-4).
2. What is the maximum amount of weight (in pounds) that you have ever lost within one month? 0-4; 5-9; 10-14; 15-19; 20+. (scored 0-4).
3. What is your maximum weight gain in a week? 0-1; 1.1-2; 2.1-3; 3.1-5; 5.1+. (scored 0-4).
4. In a typical week, how much does your weight fluctuate? 0-1; 1.1-2; 2.1-3; 3.1-5; 5.1+. (scored 0-4).
5. Would a weight fluctuation of 5 lb affect the way you live your life? Not at all; slightly; moderately; very much. (scored 0-3).
6. Do you eat sensibly in front of others and splurge alone? Never; rarely; often; always. (scored 0-3).
7. Do you give too much time and thought to food? Never; rarely; often; always. (scored 0-3).
8. Do you have feelings of guilt after overeating? Never; rarely; often; always. (scored 0-3).
9. How conscious are you of what you are eating? Not at all; slightly; moderately; extremely. (scored 0-3).
10. How many pounds over your desired weight were you at your maximum weight? 0-1; 1-5; 6-10; 11-20; 21+. (scored 0-4).


The Three Factor Eating Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True/False</th>
<th>Factor number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8 Since I am often hungry, I sometimes wish that while I am eating an expert would tell me that I have had enough or that I can have something more to eat.
9 When I feel anxious, I find myself eating.
10 Life is too short to worry about dieting.
11 Since my weight goes up and down, I have gone on reducing diets more than once.
12 I often feel so hungry that I just have to eat something.
13 When I am with someone who is overeating, I usually overeat, too.
14 I have a pretty good idea of the number of calories in common foods.
15 Sometimes when I start eating I just can’t seem to stop.
16 Since I know how guilty I will feel afterward, I rarely go on eating binges.
17 It is not difficult for me to leave something on my plate.
18 At certain times of the day I get hungry because I have gotten used to eating then.
19 Sometimes I get so nervous that I just have to eat something.
20 While on a diet, if I eat a food that is not allowed I consciously eat less for a period of time to make up for it.
21 Being with someone who is eating often makes me hungry enough to eat also.
22 When I feel blue, I often overeat.
23 I enjoy eating too much to spoil it by counting calories or watching my weight.
24 When I see a real delicacy, I often get so hungry that I have to eat it right away.
25 I often stop eating when I am not full as a conscious means of limiting the amount that I eat.
26 I get so hungry that my stomach often seems like a bottomless pit.
27 My weight has hardly changed at all in the last ten years.
28 I am always hungry so it is hard for me to stop eating before I finish the food on my plate.
29 When I feel lonely, I console myself by eating.
30 I consciously hold back at meals in order not to gain weight.
31 I sometimes get very hungry late in the evening or at night.
32 I eat anything I want, any time I want.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without even thinking about it, I take a long time to eat.</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I count calories as a conscious means of controlling my weight.</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not eat some foods because they make me fat.</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am always hungry enough to eat at any time.</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I pay a great deal of attention to changes in my figure.</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While on a diet, if I eat a food that is not allowed, I often then splurge and eat other high calorie foods.</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often are you dieting in a conscious effort to control your weight?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many pounds over your desired weight were you at your maximum weight?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would a weight fluctuation of 5 lbs affect the way you live your life?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often do you feel hungry?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your maximum weight loss within one month?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do feelings of guilt about overeating help you to control your food intake?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How difficult would it be for you to stop eating halfway through dinner and not eat for the next four hours?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How conscious are you of what you are eating?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How frequently do you skip a meal as a conscious means of limiting your eating?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your maximum weight gain within a week?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How frequently do you avoid ‘stocking up’ on tempting foods?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a typical week, how much does your weight fluctuate?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely are you to shop for low calorie foods?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you eat sensibly in front of others and splurge alone?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely are you to consciously eat slowly in order to cut down on how much you eat?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How frequently do you skip dessert because you are no longer hungry?</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How likely are you to consciously eat less than you want?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you go on eating binges even though you are not hungry?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On a scale of 0-10, where 0 means no restraint in eating (eat whatever you want, whenever you want it) and 10 means total restraint (constantly limiting food intake and never ‘giving in’), what number would you give yourself?

- 'eat whatever you want, whenever you want it': + 1
- 'usually eat whatever you want, whenever you want it': 0
- 'often eat whatever you want, whenever you want it': 1; 2
- 'often limit food intake, but often ‘give in’': 3; 4
- 'usually limit food intake, rarely ‘give in’': 5; 6
- 'constantly limiting food intake, never ‘giving in’': 7; 8

To what extent does this statement describe your eating behavior?

- 'I start dieting in the morning, but because of any number of things that happen during the day, by evening I have given up and eat what I want, promising myself to start dieting again tomorrow': + 2
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