

Legitimate by nature?

Citation for published version (APA):

Boost, C. M. H. (2022). *Legitimate by nature? Examining the legitimisation activities implemented by the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Eleven. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20220311cb>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2022

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20220311cb](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20220311cb)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Propositions

1. A court of law is not legitimate simply as a result of its existence.
2. Using international criminal law as an academic exercise risks damaging its credibility.
3. Politicians should not establish international criminal courts unless they have studied international criminal law.
4. All future international criminal courts should either be hybrid in nature or not exist at all.
5. The ICTR was considered a legitimate court of law by all its stakeholders.
6. The ICTR was used as a political tool, which continues to have a profound impact on peace and reconciliation in Rwanda and in the Great Lakes region.
7. Ethics committees are not equipped to assess the ever-changing nature of empirical research.
8. A multidisciplinary approach is needed to understand today's complex problems, yet it is difficult for interdisciplinary researchers to find a home in academia.
9. Dog walking facilitates the process of completing a PhD.
10. "Never confuse education with intelligence, you can have a PhD and still be an idiot."
– Richard P. Feynman