

Burst Spinal Cord Stimulation in a Rat Model of Chronic Neuropathic pain

Citation for published version (APA):

Meuwissen, K. (2019). *Burst Spinal Cord Stimulation in a Rat Model of Chronic Neuropathic pain: Spinal and Supraspinal Mechanisms*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Gildeprint Drukkerijen. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20191205kw>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2019

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20191205kw](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20191205kw)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Valorization

Chapter 2

The burst waveform has many parameters that can be explored and optimized, one such parameter being amplitude. Our research found that while both waveforms are equally effective, their optimal effects are achieved at different amplitude settings. To our surprise, the study received a number of critical comments; dr. De Ridder and dr. Vanneste criticized our study based on the shape of the waveform we used. Instead of a rebuttal, we would like to take this opportunity to underline the importance of objective and unbiased research, especially when it comes to assessing the various parameter variations of (burst) SCS. When objectivity is lost firm beliefs that lack substantial scientific foundation will prevail, causing further polarization, which will inevitably bring the progression of the field to a halt.

Chapter 3

Our investigation of the analgesic effect of burst and tonic SCS, over time, led to the observation that burst SCS requires significantly more time for its analgesic effect to fully wash in. The delayed wash-in, and subsequently delayed wash-out, had important consequences for the application of burst SCS in the clinical setting. For example, it is now corroborated by clinical observations that the burst waveform is not optimal for patients that require immediate pain relief. However, burst SCS simultaneously delivered with a waveform that does not exhibit a delayed wash-in (if the technology permits) could still yield successful outcomes in the aforementioned patient group.

Chapter 4

We demonstrated that GABA plays a key role in the analgesic mechanisms underlying burst SCS. In the past, similar experimental findings were successfully translated to the clinical setting; neuropathic pain patients with a deficient tonic SCS effect showed improved pain relief following intrathecal administration of subeffective doses of baclofen. Interestingly, our results were not in line with a study that investigated the GABAergic mechanisms underlying burst SCS in 2015. Taking into account the important role GABA plays in the mechanisms underlying tonic SCS we encourage further investigation of the GABAergic mechanisms underlying SCS waveforms.

Chapter 5

We investigated a novel operant testing method able to assess motivational and cognitive aspects of pain processing. The pain field, especially the neuromodulation niche, is currently undergoing a shift of focus towards supraspinal processing. With the introduction of novel SCS-paradigms, that are hypothesized to have strong supraspinal effects, the preclinical field is in need of assessment methods able to address such hypotheses. In this chapter, we have paved the way for preclinical pain assessment able to address both the sensory and emotional aspects of pain. We believe that implementation of operant testing methods such as these can significantly improve the translation to the clinic.

Chapter 6

In the last chapter, by means of fMRI, we assessed the supraspinal mechanisms of tonic and burst SCS in a chronic pain model. We found that burst SCS and tonic SCS both activate brain areas associated with the medial and lateral pain pathways. Additionally, we found that burst SCS might have additional analgesic properties over tonic SCS by the activation of brain areas associated with the reward-system and the raphe nuclei. In light of these new findings, we believe that more research is still required before we can advocate the use of specific waveforms for specific patient populations.