

Sacroiliac joint dysfunction

Citation for published version (APA):

Hermans, S. M. M. (2024). *Sacroiliac joint dysfunction: is fusion the solution?* [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Maastricht University. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20240527sh>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2024

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20240527sh](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20240527sh)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Summary

The current thesis encompasses a thorough investigation into sacroiliac joint (SIJ) dysfunction, with attention for effectiveness of diagnosis, surgical treatment and outcome.

Chapter one provides a general introduction of the global prevalence and impact of low back and buttock pain, emphasizing the often-overlooked role of SIJ dysfunction. The chapter covers the anatomy and function of the SIJ, discussing its innervation, motion, and the importance of form and force closure in maintaining stability. Various factors contributing to SIJ dysfunction, including posttraumatic, degenerative, postpartum, post lumbar fusion surgery, and connective tissue disorders, are discussed. Challenges are discussed in diagnosing SIJ dysfunction, outlining symptoms and diagnostic tests, and emphasizes the limited role of imaging. Management strategies, ranging from conservative approaches to surgical options like MISJF, are detailed. The societal impact and costs are briefly touched upon.

Chapter two comprises a systematic review and meta-analysis on the effectiveness of MISJF compared to conservative management in patients with SIJ dysfunction. Exploration of the literature indicated a limited availability of studies which met the strict inclusion criteria. Two randomized controlled trials (RCT's) and one retrospective cohort study were included, encompassing 388 patients (207 conservative and 181 surgical). In a pooled mean difference analysis, MISJF demonstrated greater reduction in Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) pain score compared to conservative management; -37.03 points. Moreover, MISJF was associated with a greater reduction in Oswestry Disability Index outcome; -21.14 points. Additionally, one cost-effectiveness analysis was included and favored MISJF. The outcomes of this review must be interpreted with caution due to the important limitation of the small sample size.

Chapter three presents a double-center observational study to investigate the clinical results and safety of MISJF over a one-year observation period at Zuyderland Medical Center and Medical Spectrum Twente. A total of 29 patients were included. In 44.8% of patients SIJ dysfunction was of postpartum origin. Statistically significant and clinically relevant improvements in pain (VAS-pain score improved from 7.83 (± 1.71) to 4.97 (± 2.63)) and quality of life (EQ-5D-3L score, improved from 0.266 (± 0.129) to 0.499 (± 0.260)) were observed

one-year postoperatively. Opioid consumption decreased from 44.8% to 24.1% postoperatively ($p=0.026$). In 13.7% of patients an adverse event (AE) occurred, comparable to current literature.

Chapter four explores physical activity in patients with postpartum SIJ dysfunction before and after MISJF compared to age-, BMI-, gender- and postpartum-matched controls. Physical activity was measured using triaxial accelerometer for seven consecutive days, before surgery and three months after surgery. The study revealed that physical activity in patients with postpartum SIJ dysfunction does not improve three months following MISJF, while quality of life does improve significantly. The discrepancy between these two observations raises questions about the relationship between quality of life and physical activity.

Chapter five is a cross-sectional case-control study that aims to investigate spatiotemporal parameters, center of pressure and mass, pelvic angles and other joint angles in patients with SIJ dysfunction in comparison with healthy controls. Thirty subjects were recruited for this study; ten patients, ten matched controls and ten healthy student controls. Patients showed an impaired gait, with a lower cadence, longer double support phase, shorter step length and slower walking speed than controls. Also, more balance problems during standing on a single leg and standing up from a chair were observed compared to controls.

Chapter six is the longitudinal follow-up study of chapter five and is conducted to analyze the changes in motion patterns in patients with SIJ dysfunction three months after MISJF. Balance improvements during a single-leg-stance and a faster sit-to-stance execution time were observed after surgery and were now comparable to that of matched controls. Gait analysis revealed no improvement in any of the measured parameters when comparing pre- and postoperative.

Chapter seven shows the study protocol for the SACRIFICE study. A multicenter RCT for the effectiveness of MISJF compared to prolonged conservative therapy in SIJ dysfunction. The aim of this study is to perform the first industry-independent RCT of MISJF versus conservative treatment with a comprehensive assessment of clinical and economic effects. All included patients diagnosed with SIJ dysfunction will be randomized to either prolonged standardized conservative treatment or operative treatment. Patients in the

conservative group may undergo MISJF earliest after 6 months. The primary outcome is back function. Secondary outcome measures include cost-effectiveness from a healthcare and societal perspective.

Chapter eight presents a prospective, double blind RCT to investigate the effectiveness of intraoperative SIJ infiltration with bupivacaine 0.50% versus placebo (NaCl 0.9%) in reducing postoperative pain after MISJF. Results of the study revealed that bupivacaine is not effective in reducing postoperative pain. No changes in pain scores in comparison with placebo, neither as group-effect ($p=0.68$), nor dependent on time (group*time: $p=0.87$) were present. The secondary outcome parameters, which were opioid consumption, patient satisfaction, adverse events, and hospital stay, were also not different in the postoperative period between study groups.