

Effective cross-border pension information in the face of multi-level legal systems

Citation for published version (APA):

Kramer, S. P. M. (2023). *Effective cross-border pension information in the face of multi-level legal systems: A cross-disciplinary research into the cross-border taxation of pensions*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Eleven Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20231208sk>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2023

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20231208sk](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20231208sk)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

PROPOSITIONS

accompanying the Dissertation

Effective Cross-Border Pension Information in the Face of Multi-Level Legal Systems

by Sander Kramer

1. In any endeavour to substantially reform and enhance the intricate multi-level rule-based legal frameworks governing cross-border pension taxation in their present state, Otto Neurath's quote resonates: *“Es gibt keine tabula rasa. Wie Schiffer sind wir, die ihr Schiff auf offener See umbauen müssen, ohne es jemals in einem Dock zerlegen und aus besten Bestandteilen neu errichten zu können.”*
2. Extrapolating experimental findings to legal contexts and deriving broad prescriptive conclusions can pose normative risks. A prudent solution is to embrace a 'distanced approach' wherein the law refrains from accepting empirical insights at their nominal value but, through translation, enriches legal doctrine, and broadens the paradigm of law and policy formulation.
3. The pivotal role of the tax treatment of cross-border pensions in enabling mobile citizens to assess their legal and pension status and evaluate the sufficiency of their retirement savings warrants increased academic and practical scrutiny.
4. Semantically, a distinction must be drawn between on the one hand, the definition of pension and, on the other hand, the pension definition.

5. To improve inter-level coherence in cross-border pension taxation, the European Commission should employ a more comprehensive 'soft-law approach,' moving away from the 'narrow approach,' which heavily relies on the CJEU's (Court of Justice of the European Union) expansive interpretation of non-discrimination and treaty freedoms. The latter approach often lacks consistency, has *ex tunc*-effect, is overly case-specific, has notable limitations, and can be considered a 'destructive' approach.
6. Adopting the CJEU's expansive interpretation of the non-discrimination principle as the primary, if not only, (effective) mechanism of consolidating the Single Market for supplementary pension schemes, leads to a tensional paradox.
7. The significant political focus on the IORP II Directive and the PEPP Regulation in recent years has diverted attention from the necessary focus on cross-border pension taxation.
8. Given the heterogeneous national conceptions, underlying rationales, legal designs, and the global absence of consensus in classifying retirement systems, a descriptive criterion-based taxonomy, derived from a comparative analysis of pension schemes, is the most suitable approach for categorizing national pension systems and enhancing effective pension information.
9. By combining experimental empirical research and comparative research designs, academics can chart a new path to address the challenges of our dynamic, increasingly globalized world, ensuring the societal relevance of academic research.
10. Writing a PhD thesis proves that gaining knowledge merely reveals the boundaries of our knowledge, i.e. the more we know, the more we become aware of not knowing rather than knowing, and even that is unknown.
11. Riding one's mountain bike across bumpy tracks through the Limburg *Heuveland* every now and then helps to smoothen the road towards finishing a PhD dissertation.