

Discrimination of Overt, Mouthed, and Imagined Speech Activity using Stereotactic EEG

Citation for published version (APA):

Soroush, P. Z., Cole, S. Y., Herff, C., Ries, S. K., Shih, J. J., Schultz, T., & Krusienski, D. J. (2023). Discrimination of Overt, Mouthed, and Imagined Speech Activity using Stereotactic EEG. In *11th International IEEE/EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering, NER 2023 - Proceedings* (1 ed., Vol. 2023-April). IEEE Computer Society. <https://doi.org/10.1109/NER52421.2023.10123716>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2023

DOI:

[10.1109/NER52421.2023.10123716](https://doi.org/10.1109/NER52421.2023.10123716)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:

Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 10 May. 2024

Discrimination of Overt, Mouthed, and Imagined Speech Activity using Stereotactic EEG

P. Z. Soroush¹, S. Y. Cole¹, C. Herff², S. K. Ries³, J. J. Shih⁴, T. Schultz⁵, and D. J. Krusienski¹

Abstract Recent studies have demonstrated that it is possible to decode and synthesize acoustic speech directly from intracranial measurements of brain activity. A current major challenge is to extend the efficacy of this decoding to imagined speech processes toward the development of a practical speech neuroprosthesis for the disabled. The present study used intracranial brain recordings from participants that performed a speaking task consisting of overt, mouthed, and imagined speech trials. In order to better elucidate the unique neural features that contribute to the discrepancies between overt and imagined model performance, rather than directly comparing the performance of speech decoding models trained on respective speaking modes, this study developed and trained models that use neural data to discriminate between pairs of speaking modes. The results further support that, while there exists a common neural substrate across speech modes, there are also unique neural processes that differentiate speech modes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Speech is the first and foremost modality of human interpersonal communication. Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) that decode and synthesize speech could dramatically improve life for individuals unable to speak due to injury or disease. Invasive measurements of brain activity using electrocorticography (ECoG) [1] or stereotactic electroencephalography (sEEG) [2] have recently shown promise for developing such speech BCIs [3], [4], [5], [6], [7].

For those who have lost the ability to speak, the objective is to translate neural processes during imagined speech to acoustic speech. However, the lack of behavioral output during imagined speech makes it extremely challenging to design an effective decoding model [8], [9]. To overcome this challenge, studies often employ neural processes or behavioral output from overt or mouthed (i.e., inaudible articulations without vocalization) speech as a surrogate to study associated neural activity [3], [10] or to train decoding models [5], [7], [11] for imagined speech applications.

While these studies have shown substantial promise, there are clear limitations to using overt speech surrogates for training imagined-speech decoding models. This may be due, in part, to the unique brain regions activated during overt, mouthed, and imagined speech, and the differences between the neural features extracted from these regions [8], [12], [13], [14]. In order better elucidate the unique neural features

Fig. 1: The combined sEEG depth electrode (channel) locations of the 7 participants from different perspectives using an averaged brain model.

that contribute to the discrepancies between overt and imagined model performance, rather than directly comparing the performance of speech decoding models trained on respective speaking modes, the present study developed and trained models that used neural data to discriminate between pairs of speaking modes.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Participants and Electrode Locations

sEEG data were collected from 7 native English-speaking participants being monitored as part of treatment for intractable epilepsy at UCSD Health. The demographic information of the participants is provided in Table I. The study design was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Virginia Commonwealth University and UCSD Health, and informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The locations of sEEG electrodes were determined solely based on the participants' clinical needs. A subset of the implanted electrodes for each participant was determined to be in or adjacent to brain regions associated with speech and language processing. Fig. 1 shows the depth electrode locations for the 7 participants, with sEEG electrode (channel) counts provided in Table I.

B. Experimental Design and Data Collection

The experimental setup and trial sequence structure are depicted in Fig. 2. For each trial sequence, a sentence

*This work was supported by NSF 2011595/1608140 and BMBF 01GQ1602.

¹P. Z. Soroush, S. Y. Cole, and D. J. Krusienski are with Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA zanganep@vcu.edu

²C. Herff is with the University of Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands

³S. Ries is with San Diego State University, San Diego, CA, USA

⁴J. J. Shih is with UCSD Health, San Diego, CA, USA

⁵T. Schultz is with the University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

