

Criminal behavior and accountability of artificial intelligence systems

Citation for published version (APA):

Giannini, A. (2023). *Criminal behavior and accountability of artificial intelligence systems*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University, University of Florence]. Eleven Publishers.
<https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20231124ag>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2023

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20231124ag](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20231124ag)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Propositions accompanying the dissertation

CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR AND ACCOUNTABILITY OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS

by

ALICE GIANNINI

- 1 AI systems have the capacity to act in a way that generally can be considered by society as “criminal”.
- 2 AI systems lack (criminal) agency – and the feeling of it.
- 3 In the future humans might develop expectations of norm-conforming behavior towards AI systems (i.e., “normative expectations”).
- 4 Criminal law is not the right answer for AI-related harm, even though holding AI systems directly liable could be useful – to a certain extent.
- 5 Folks hold robots to higher moral standards: they blame them more than humans when robots fail.
- 6 “Criminal law is a perennial plant of ancient origin, found everywhere” (Hall, 1962).
- 7 The occurrence of AI systems does not represent the only time that criminal law theory has had to deal with the “shock” of new scientific developments.
- 8 Discussing criminal liability of *new artificial agents* brings about pioneering perspectives on criminal liability of *humans*.
- 9 Artificial intelligence is no match for human stupidity.
- 10 The more I learn, the less I know.

