

Absence of Cortical Vein Opacification Is Associated with Lack of Intra-arterial Therapy Benefit in Stroke

Citation for published version (APA):

Jansen, I. G. H., van Vuuren, A. B., van Zwam, W. H., van den Wijngaard, I. R., Berkhemer, O. A., Lingsma, H. F., Slump, C. H., van Oostenbrugge, R. J., Treurniet, K. M., Dippel, D. W. J., van Walderveen, M. A. A., van der Lugt, A., Roos, Y. B. W. E. M., Marquering, H. A., Majoie, C. B. L. M., van den Berg, R., & MR CLEAN Trial Investigators (2018). Absence of Cortical Vein Opacification Is Associated with Lack of Intra-arterial Therapy Benefit in Stroke. *Radiology*, 286(2), 643-650. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2017162445>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/02/2018

DOI:

[10.1148/radiol.2017162445](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2017162445)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:

Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

References

1. Lakhani P, Sundaram B. Deep learning at chest radiography: automated classification of pulmonary tuberculosis by using convolutional neural networks. *Radiology* 2017;284(2):574–582.
2. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, et al. Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature* 2017;542(7639):115–118.
3. Gulshan V, Peng L, Coram M, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *JAMA* 2016;316(22):2402–2410.
4. World Health Organization. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: principles and recommendations. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 (WHO/HTM/TB/2013.04). http://www.who.int/tb/publications/Final_TB_Screening_guidelines.pdf. Accessed October 30, 2017.
5. Chest radiography in tuberculosis detection: summary of current WHO recommendations and guidance on programmatic approaches. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/252424/1/9789241511506-eng.pdf>. Updated 2016. Accessed October 30, 2017.
6. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2017. http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/. Accessed October 30, 2017.

Errata

Originally published online:

<https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2017162740>

Immediate Allergic Reactions to Gadolinium-based Contrast Agents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Ashkan Heshmatzadeh Behzadi, Yize Zhao, Zerwa Farooq, Martin R. Prince

Erratum in:

Radiology 2018;286(2):731
DOI:10.1148/radiol.2018174037

Abstract, second sentence of Results should read as follows: The overall and severe rates of GBCA allergic-like adverse events were 9.2 and **0.52** per 10000 administrations, respectively: 81% (539 of 662) were mild, 13% (86 of 662) were moderate, and 6% (37 of 662) were severe reactions.

Second paragraph of Results, first sentence should read as follows: The overall rate of patients who had immediate allergic-like reactions was 9.2 per 10000 administrations and the overall rate of severe immediate allergic-like reactions was **0.52** per 10000 administrations.

Third paragraph of Discussion, first sentence should read as follows: The favorable low reaction rate for nonionic linear GBCAs stands in contrast to their worrisome lower kinetic stability, which is thought to increase the risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis and gadolinium **retention** in the brain (22,43–45).

Fifth paragraph of Discussion, second sentence should read as follows: This greater rate of allergic-like reactions must be considered with other aspects of safety including the favorable stability of macrocyclic agents that reduces risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis and gadolinium **retention** in the brain (43,47,48).

Table 3, under the column Comparison, fourth row head should read as follows: **Non-protein binding linear vs macrocyclic**

Table 5, under column Jung et al (7), the overall bias should be **Moderate**

Originally published online:

<https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2017162445>

Absence of Cortical Vein Opacification is Associated with Lack of Intra-arterial Therapy Benefit in Stroke

Ivo G. H. Jansen, Annemieke B. van Vuuren, Wim H. van Zwam, Ido R. van den Wijngaard, Olvert A. Berkhemer, Hester F. Lingsma, Cornelis H. Slump, Robert J. van Oostenbrugge, Kilian M. Treurniet, Diederik W. J. Dippel, Marianne A.A. van Walderveen, Aad van der Lugt, Yvo B. W. E. M. Roos, Henk A. Marquering, Charles B. L. M. Majoie, René van den Berg, for the MR CLEAN Trial Investigators

Erratum in:

Radiology 2018;286(2):731
DOI:10.1148/radiol.2017174043

The legend for Figure 1b–d should read as follows: Images in unaffected hemisphere show full opacification (arrow) of **sphenoparietal sinus (b)**, superficial middle cerebral vein (**c**), and vein of Labbé (**d**).